DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT COMMISSION
2521 SOUTH CLARK STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22202
TELEPHONE: (703) 699-2950

DCN: 12029

Chairman: The Honorable Anthony J. Principi
Commissioners: The Honorable James H. Bilbray • The Honorable Philip E. Coyle III • Admirable Harold W. Gehman, Jr., USN (Ret.) • The Honorable James V. Hansen
General James T. Hill, USA (Ret.) • General Lloyd W. Newton, USAF (Ret.) • The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner • Brigadier General Sue Ellen Turner, USAF (Ret.)
Executive Director: Charles Battaglia

July 25, 2005

TO: Clearinghouse@wso.whs.mil

FROM: BRAC Commission

SUBJECT: Kansas (KS), Lone Star (LS), Mississippi (MS), and Riverbank (RB) Army Ammunition Plants

1. There has been mention of proprietary processes at each plant. With a general description of the process and avoiding any proprietary restrictions, list each process at each installation, specifically noting whether the government or the operating contractor owns the process.

2. For each line where the process is owned by the operating contractor, how was it determined that the line could be moved to and incorporated with production at another GOCO facility with a different operating contractor or a GOGO?

3. Will workload from each plant closure be directed to the gaining installation? Is there any DoD, Army, or PEO directive to competitively award workload? If so, what is it? Do these recommendations violate any of those directives? How, or why not?

4. If the workload will not be directed to the gaining installations and the work will be competitively awarded, how can the recommendations be evaluated on the merits of the proposed relocations of capabilities to other Army GOCOs or GOGOs?

5. The justifications for MSAAP and RBAAP reference the DoD ability to "nurture partnership with multiple sources in the private sector". Please define and interpret the intent of this statement.

6. Is the intent to close each installation but retain the same operating contractor at the gaining installation? How will this be implemented with the GOGO or one operating contractor at the GOCO now owning the line operated by a different operating contractor or the government?

7. Is the intent for the new line to be operated by the current operating contractor as a tenant on the gaining installation? How is there a "savings" if we have only changed the location in which it's manufactured, and what have we truly accomplished?

8. What is the PEO Ammunition position on these recommendations?

9. Without responding that this is an implementation determination, specifically what equipment from each installation will move to each of the gaining installations? For each move, what is the estimated cost to move that equipment?

10. If the intent is to divest the Army of excess property, why does this need to be accomplished through BRAC?

11. Provide the current 2005 percentage of facility utilization for each installation.

12. Provide updated certified data on the personnel levels by military officer, enlisted, civilian and contractor for each installation.

13. Addressing each installation, what are the advantages and disadvantages to privatizing these functions and installations in place? Why is this or is this not a sound business decision?

Regards,

R. Gary Dinsick
Army Team Leader