



DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT COMMISSION

2521 SOUTH CLARK STREET  
ARLINGTON, VA 22202  
TELEPHONE: (703) 699-2950

DCN: 12018

**Chairman:** The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
**Commissioners:** The Honorable James H. Billray • The Honorable Philip E. Coyle III • Admirable Harold W. Gehrman, Jr., USN (Ret.) • The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill, USA (Ret.) • General Lloyd W. Newton, USAF (Ret.) • The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner • Brigadier General Sue Ellen Turner, USAF (Ret.)  
**Executive Director:** Charles Battaglia

June 6, 2005

TO: [Clearinghouse@wso.whs.mil](mailto:Clearinghouse@wso.whs.mil)

FROM: BRAC Commission

SUBJECT: Request comment on included questions about closure, Ft Monmouth

1. The justification for the recommendation to "Relocate the US Army Military Academy Preparatory School to West Point, NY" states that this move "increases training to enhance coordination, doctrine development, training effectiveness and improve operational and functional efficiencies". Please discuss these improvements.
2. Part of the recommendation is to "Relocate the Joint Network Management System Program Office to Fort Meade, MD." What are the functions that these personnel perform, and what is the efficiency that will be gained from this movement?
3. Please elaborate on the functions and mission of people impacted by the recommendation to "Relocate Information Systems, Sensors, Electronic Warfare, and Electronics Research and Development & Acquisition (RDA) to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD."
4. Are there any drawbacks to consolidating the PEO EIS functions at Ft. Belvoir?
5. An additional part of the recommendation is to: "Relocate the Budget/Funding, Contracting, Cataloging, Requisition Processing, Customer Services, Item Management, Stock Control, Weapon System Secondary Item Support, Requirements Determination, Integrated Materiel Management Technical Support Inventory Control Point functions for Consumable Items to Defense Supply Center Columbus, OH, and reestablish them as Defense Logistics Agency Inventory Control Point functions; relocate the procurement management and related support functions for Depot Level Reparables to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, and designate them as Inventory Control Point functions, detachment of Defense Supply Center Columbus, OH, and relocate the remaining integrated materiel management, user, and related support functions to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD. How are these functions currently performed and organized? Can you please articulate the efficiencies which will be gained through this movement.

6. Please discuss the recommendation to "Realign Fort Belvoir, VA by relocating and consolidating Sensors, Electronics, and Electronic Warfare Research, Development and Acquisition activities to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, and by relocating and consolidating Information Systems Research and Development and Acquisition (except for the Program Executive Office, Enterprise Information Systems) to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD" and the benefits from the justification that state: "The recommendation establishes a Land C4ISR Lifecycle Management Command (LCMC) to focus technical activity and accelerate transition."

7. Are there any concerns regarding the payback portion which states: "The total estimated one-time cost to the Department of Defense to implement this recommendation is \$822.3M. The net of all costs and savings to the Department of Defense during the implementation period is a cost of \$395.6M. Annual recurring savings to the Department after implementation are \$143.7M with a payback expected in 6 years."

8. Is there any additional information that you would like to communicate that might impact on these recommendations?

9. In unclassified terms, please name and describe all laboratory, test and certification facilities. Please note specifically: estimated time to newly construct each of those facilities to include time to achieve any required certifications; any certifications required; estimated cost to newly construct; length of time that old and new facilities would need to be co-operational before old facility could be "turned off".

10. In unclassified format, what support to legacy systems or technology will need to be reconstituted in Aberdeen?

11. In unclassified format, please note and discuss any unique features of the Ft. Monmouth installation itself, to include any support to outside organizations or agencies. Is the impact to these organizations discussed in the recommendation? If not, please describe any impacts like relocation or potential continued operation in place.

12. In unclassified format, describe the relationship between Ft. Monmouth, Ft. Dix, Lakehurst NAS and Willow Grove. Include descriptions of acreage, facilities, current Ft. Monmouth usage of that location, and average yearly hours or days of Ft. Monmouth use of that facility. How do recommendations regarding Willow Grove impact Ft. Monmouth activities?

13. There has been significant mention of the loss of intellectual capital. Given the current Ft. Monmouth workforce, on average, how many years of experience do senior system personnel have with that system? How long does it take, and what kind of training or education is required for someone to be considered a "system expert"? Is there any way to quantify the impact of the loss of this experience upon a system and the soldier?

14. Are any of the organizations in leased facilities on Ft. Monmouth? If so, name the organization and leased building.

15. How many engineering labs (Army) are there? How do they work with sister Service labs? DCN: 12018

16. What is unique about the Ft. Monmouth installation itself?

17. Can the test bed area here be recreated at Aberdeen?

18. How do you (Ft. Monmouth) deal with technology transfers?

19. Why were the facilities at Natick and Adelphi not brought into an Army C4ISR recommendation?

20. Was Homeland Security/Homeland Defense taken into consideration as part of the Ft. Monmouth closure recommendation? If so, how? If not, why not?

21. What were the first and second choice locations ahead of Aberdeen? Why were they rejected? How was Aberdeen deemed the best facility?

22. In looking at the Technical recommendations, there are many joint C4ISR facilities, but no land C4ISR center. Why is there no such recommendation, and how does the recommendation to close Ft. Monmouth fit in with that rationale?

Regards,

R. Gary Dinsick  
Army Team Leader