



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
 1000 NAVY PENTAGON
 WASHINGTON, DC 20350-1000

07 September 04

MEMORANDUM FOR ACTING UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (ACQUISITION,
 TECHNOLOGY AND LOGISTICS)

Subj: PROVISION OF CERTIFIED DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DATA TO THE
 BRAC 2005 JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUPS - Data Call 2: E&T
 MILVAL, 29 June 2004

Encl: (1) Department of Navy Activities with Certified Data -
 Data Call 2: E&T MILVAL, 29 June 2004
 (2) Initial Summary of Data Concerns Data Call 2:
 E&T MILVAL, 29 June 2004

In compliance with both the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Internal Control Plan (ICP) for the Base Realignment and Closure Process, Appendix B to Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) Memorandum "Transformation Through Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC 2005) Policy Memorandum One - Policy, Responsibilities, and Procedures," dated 16 April 2003, and the Department of the Navy Procedures for Certification of BRAC 2005 Information, enclosure (1) to Secretary of the Navy Memorandum "Internal Control Plan for Management of the Department of the Navy 2005 Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) Process Policy Advisory Two," dated 27 June 2003, this memorandum is a certification of Department of the Navy (DON) data provided to the Joint Cross Service Groups (JCSGs) for their use in analyzing common business support functions. The data consists of certified responses to Data Call 2: E&T MILVAL, issued 29 June 2004 and is being provided to the JCSGs via the DON Base Information Transfer System (DONBITS) and Military Value Analysis Database (MAD).

Enclosure (1) provides the list of 9 DON activities for which we are providing certified data in response to the E&T MILVAL Data Call. This completes the initial certification of this datacall, with cumulative total of 155 activities.

During the course of our initial quality review of the data, we discovered a few areas where we believe the data is incorrect, incomplete, or in need of further clarification. A summary of the data concerns identified to date is provided in enclosure (2). We are taking action to resolve these concerns. Additionally, we anticipate that other areas of concern will be identified as we conduct more detailed analysis in the coming weeks. The JCSG may also find during the course of their own analysis of the data, additional areas requiring clarification or supplementation, and request additional data be collected.

Subj: PROVISION OF CERTIFIED DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DATA TO THE
BRAC 2005 JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUPS - Data Call 2: E&T
MILVAL, 29 June 2004

Updated certified data will be collected by the IAT and provided to the JCSG as part of the weekly refresh to the MAD as it becomes available.

Subject to the foregoing, I certify that the information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Anne Rathmell Davis
Special Assistant to the Secretary of the Navy
for Base Realignment and Closure

cc:
Chair, E&T JCSG
O-6/GS-15 Lead, E&T JCSG
Navy & Marine Corps E&T JCSG Principals

Department of Navy Activities with Certified Data -- Data Call 2: E&T MILVAL, 29 June 2004

<u>Service</u>	<u>ACTIVITY NAME</u>	<u>ACTIVITY PLAD</u>	<u>Activity UIC</u>
USMC	CG_MAGTF_TRNGCOM	CG MAGTFTC TWENTYNINE PALMS CA	67399
USMC	CG_MCAS_CHERRY_PT	CG MCAS CHERRY POINT NC	00146
USMC	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	CG MCAS MIRAMAR CA	67865
USMC	CG_MCB_QUANTICO_VA	CDR, MCB QUANTICO VA	00264
USMC	CG_MCRD_PARRIS_ISLAND_SC	CG MCRD PARRIS ISLAND SC	00263
USMC	CG_MCRD_SAN_DIEGO_CA	CG MCRD SAN DIEGO CA	00243
USN	COMPATRECONWING_ELEVEN_JACKSONVILLE_FL	PATRECONWING ELEVEN	09461
USMC	MCMWTC	CO MWTC BRIDGEPORT CA	64495
USN	NAS_NORTH_ISLAND_CA	NAVAL BASE CORONADO, CA	00246

Initial Summary of Data Concerns Data Call 2: E&T MILVAL, 29 June 2004		
DoD Number	ACTIVITY PLAD	DISCREPENCY NOTED ON INITIAL QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW
1600	CG_MAGTF_TRNGCOM	Activity incorrectly entered own name in data cells that should have contained name of Joint Center of Excellence and Civilian Research Center. Please correct these two data elements.
1697	CG_MAGTF_TRNGCOM	Activity did not report military personnel, but reported military payroll in question 1699.
1699	CG_MAGTF_TRNGCOM	Civilian payroll is not consistent with response provided in question 1698.
1613	CG_MCAS_CHERRY_PT	Simultaneous flight operations. The answer of "N/A" draws concern that the data may be incomplete.
1621-1626, 1630-1632, 1635, 1641	CG_MCAS_CHERRY_PT	All answered with N/A. Concern that the data may be incomplete.
1633-1634	CG_MCAS_CHERRY_PT	All answered with the response "0". Concern that the data may be incomplete.
1655	CG_MCAS_CHERRY_PT	Activity left two columns blank.
1656	CG_MCAS_CHERRY_PT	MCAS Cherry Point most likely has an AICUZ. Are these ranges a significant distance from the MCAS? If not, then the Cherry Point AICUZ is sufficient.
1699, 1702- 1705, 1707- 1710, 1716, 1723, 1725	CG_MCAS_CHERRY_PT	Range list is different than in previous questions. Range list should be consistent among all the questions and between datacalls.
1683	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	Activity did not report any hours schedule for air ranges. However, ranges list seems to be comprised primarily of air ranges.
1699	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	Activity reported 4 military personnel that support the range in question 1697. Total payroll for those 4 military personnel is \$1.7M. Seems too high.
1723	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	Please verify response to this question. All air combat ranges are NOT affected by urbanization?
1725	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	Activity did not report environmental compliance costs, yet indicates in 1658 that they did have environmental compliance costs. .

Initial Summary of Data Concerns Data Call 2: E&T MILVAL, 29 June 2004		
DoD Number	ACTIVITY PLAD	DISCREPANCY NOTED ON INITIAL QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW
1714-1724	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	Activity responded n/a to all questions for the air combat functions. However, the ranges listed in previous questions seem to be air ranges.
1654	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	Range list is longer than previous questions. Totals for each row do not add up to 100%. Range list should be consistent with all datacalls.
1621	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	DoD1621 Type Runway Operations: The IAT recently received your data regarding this question. However, after careful review of the information, a question still remains. The answer of "0" for Runway Operations Supporting Graduate Flight Training draws concern that the data may be incomplete. Please review the question response in general, and provide an updated response if appropriate.
1635	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	DoD1635 Distance to drop zones/landing zones: The IAT recently received your data regarding this question. However, after careful review of the information, a question still remains. All of the drop zones/landing zones that you supplied draws concern that the data may be inaccurate. Please review the question response in general, and provide an updated response if appropriate.
1638	CG_MCAS_MIRAMAR_CA	DoD1638 Helo Landing/Takeoff Pad Condition: The IAT recently received your data regarding this question. However, after careful review of the information, a question still remains. The answer of "0" draws concern that the data may be incomplete. Please review the question response in general, and provide an updated response if appropriate.
1658	CG_MCB_QUANTICO_VA	Activity indicated that their environmental budget is \$0. However, they have indicated significant environmental impacts in previous questions. Activity should provide environmental budget in response to this question.
1697	CG_MCB_QUANTICO_VA	Military payroll was reported in question 1699, therefore, question 1697 should be completed with the number of military personnel that support the range.
1698	CG_MCB_QUANTICO_VA	Civilian payroll was reported in question 1699, therefore, question 1698 should be completed with the number of civilian personnel that support the range.
1719, 1721-	CG_MCB_QUANTICO_VA	Ranges noted do not consistent with ranges noted in previous questions. Range list should be consistent.

Initial Summary of Data Concerns Data Call 2: E&T MILVAL, 29 June 2004		
DoD Number	ACTIVITY PLAD	DISCREPANCY NOTED ON INITIAL QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW
1650	CG_MCRD_PARRIS_ISLAND_SC	Activity did not report a coastline or littoral training areas in the capacity datacall.
1699	CG_MCRD_PARRIS_ISLAND_SC	Activity response indicates civilian payroll of \$40K, but no civilians were reported in 1698.
1714-1725	CG_MCRD_PARRIS_ISLAND_SC	List of ranges is inconsistent with ranges listed in previous questions. All blocks were marked N/A with the exception of space combat where activity marked "can do with limitations." Activity should have marked level of potential impacts in land combat function and marked N/A to all other functions that do not occur at their facility. Range listed should match to those listed in previous questions and be consistent throughout the datacall.
1733	CG_MCRD_SAN_DIEGO_CA	The question asks: "What is the population density of the county where your installation is located?" Answer of 2,498,016 seems too high. Answer reqd to be in population per Sq Mile.
1686	COMPATRECONWING_ELEVEN_JACKSONVILLE_FL	It is expected that total percentage of time spent in the listed activities would equal 100. They currently do not.
1689	COMPATRECONWING_ELEVEN_JACKSONVILLE_FL	The field "Joint Cross Service Events and Coalition" means that All of your events included both Joint and Coalition simulated scenarios. The same is true for the field "Joint Cross-Service Events and Inter-Agency". Activity needs to verify that responses are consistent with the amplification.
1695	COMPATRECONWING_ELEVEN_JACKSONVILLE_FL	In Capacity Data Call 1 response the Activity responded with information that stated they had "virtual" simulation capability. In this question they responded that the capability is constructive. One data call needs correction.
1736	MCMWTC	The questions states "List percentage of your current Specialized Skills Training faculty who have a 4 year (or more degree), 2 year degree, or high school diploma." Total % across each row should add up to 100%, only count

Initial Summary of Data Concerns Data Call 2: E&T MILVAL, 29 June 2004		
DoD Number	ACTIVITY PLAD	DISCREPENCY NOTED ON INITIAL QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEW
1753	MCMWTC	Classroom usage rate is too high. Bottom answer box (Total classroom usage rate) should be the result of dividing Weekly Usage by Total Weekly Capacity. Total classroom usage rate will be 1.0 or less unless multiple shifts are taught in which rooms are full to capacity more than 40 hrs a week.
1650-1726	NAS_NORTH_ISLAND_CA	Data in responses to 1650-1726 seems to duplicate information provided by FACSFAC SD. If FACSFAC is the scheduling authority, Activity needs to work with them to provide any information required. Allow them to report and change responses to N/A, unless it deals with something like NASNI shoreline, which is not accounted for elsewhere.