

Cirillo
in Box Folder**Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC**

From: Meyer, Jennifer, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2005 1:37 PM
To: 'Scott K. Meyer'; 'Patricia H. Meyer'; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'pbradshaw@fountainheadtitle.com'; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McCreary, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'nherman@usna.edu'; Joe Rubino; Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Bohanan, John'; 'Chris Goode'; Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Bieri, Elizabeth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Felix, Kevin, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lauren Giel'; 'hhigroup.com - Troy Dionne'; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'katiehoutman@cs.com'; Walsh, Deirdre, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Help the victims of Hurricane Katrina
Attachments: Sept 7 Katrina relief invitation.pdf



Sept 7 Katrina relief
 invitati...

See below.

All proceeds for the event go to the Red Cross relief fund.

From: Tiner, Mark (Landrieu) [mailto:Mark_Tiner@landrieu.senate.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2005 11:48 AM
To: Meyer, Jennifer, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Help the victims of Hurricane Katrina

Y'all please come, it's going to be a ton of fun. Thank you for considering, I know you're busy.

Best,
 Mark

From: Lindsay Boudreaux [mailto:Lindsay.Boudreaux@allangreenberg.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2005 11:20 AM
To: Cleveland Park Men's Club; Nathan Work; Stephens Craig H; Tom Vincent; Christopher Booksh; Cecily Boudreaux; Erika Albright; Diana Apalategui; John Dale; Thomas Noble; Bill Bourque; Suzanne Klein; Tomas Ramirez; Tiner, Mark (Landrieu); Gueydan, Michelle; Slade Elkins; Robin Gross; Matt Derhammer; Matthew Wigglesworth; Pontius, Patrick; Eleanor Margaret Vogelsang (E-mail 2); eebrown333@yahoo.com; samriddhp@hotmail.com; scramer@worldbank.org; Kathryn Thompson; klhrnca@hotmail.com; jgraham1@ups.com; ckeller777@yahoo.com; Sunday_K@bls.gov; tiffany.l.cox@us.pwc.com; Chris Merida; bobmccormick_7@yahoo.com
Subject: FW: Help the victims of Hurricane Katrina

Final invitation!!!

Thanks everybody for all of your help! - Tonya

On Wednesday, September 7th, the Louisiana, Mississippi, Florida and Alabama State Societies are hosting a fundraiser to benefit the victims of Hurricane Katrina.

Being from Louisiana and having family that was directly impacted by this devastating hurricane, I feel especially inclined to urge everybody to attend or send a contribution of any amount.

We are still looking for sponsors at \$2,000 and co-sponsors at \$1,000. All checks can be written to the Red Cross with Hurricane Relief 2005 in the memo section. On Monday we will send the invitation with out with

the list of sponsors. This is a very necessary and important cause and we would really appreciate your help. Checks can be brought to the event or sent to:

Att: Tonya Fulkerson

122 Maryland Avenue, NE, Lower Level

Washington, DC 20002

The location will be the patio of Jones Day on 311 First Street, NW (between C & D Streets, NW) 7th Floor from 6:00 PM -9:00 PM.

Thanks! - Tonya

Tonya Fulkerson

Deputy Finance Director

Friends of Kent Conrad

ph: 202-314-3224

fx: 202-314-3234

www.kentconrad.com

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 9:25 PM
To: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

Thanks - everything else has already been incorporated

Christine
Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

-----Original Message-----

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 9:24 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

We will replace GSA with OMB tomorrow in Oceana and add a footnote. David

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>; Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Andrew.Napoli@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 31 21:20:47 2005
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

I YIELD To David on this one as I know it is a bouncing and sensitive ball. I thought the President was still in but understand the GAO is now OMB???

David - is this what you and Charlie agree to?

Earlier, by the attached, Bill sent this language out for incorporation.

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 8:39 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

wasn't the President taken out of the report requirement for this motion? or am I thinking of another

Christine
Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 1:54 PM
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

Christine/Andy, I was hoping that changes that I sent earlier this morning following LEGAL review would have made the final copy. However, they are not there, so I am attaching below the full sections of Community Concerns/Commission findings and Recommendations again:

Please advise if you have any questions. Thanks.

Bill

Community Concerns

The Virginia Beach, Virginia community places high value on the military's contribution to the community and fears the loss of over 11,000 direct jobs would devastate the local economy. The state has invested significant resources in improved roads around the base and moving schools out of the Accident Prevention Zones. They acknowledged noise complaints by a small, but vocal, minority of residents but pointed out that planning commissions are developing new community planning overlays to limit encroachment and reduce development in the Accident Potential Zones. They argued funds needed to implement the Commission's consideration to relocate the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida could be better spent on the Navy's more pressing needs. They believe the Navy has no better or affordable alternative than remaining at NAS Oceana and managing encroachment. The Jacksonville, Florida community offered to return all of the former NAS Cecil Field property, improved and unencumbered - free and clear. Local governments are prepared to absorb and support the approximately 11,000 personnel that would be associated with the relocation of the Navy's Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base to Cecil Field. The community has invested \$133 million to upgrade Cecil Field's infrastructure and has secured \$130 million in funding for a high speed access road from Cecil Field to Interstate Highway 10. All required base conversion activities, including a new or updated Environmental Impact Statement, can be completed in time to allow the Navy to establish and occupy a new Master Jet Base within the BRAC timeframe.

Commission Findings

The Commission found that significant residential and commercial encroachment had continued around NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress for many years and was exacerbated when the 1995 BRAC Commission redirected F-18 aircraft and supporting assets from MCAS Cherry Point, NC and MCAS Beaufort, NC to NAS Oceana to take advantage of the excess capacity at NAS Oceana. It was the sense of the Commission that the encroachment issues were having a detrimental affect on the operations and training of the Navy's Atlantic Fleet Strike Fighter Wings and on the safety and welfare of the citizens of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake, Virginia. Consequently, the future for NAS Oceana as a Master Jet Base was severely limited, whereas Jacksonville, Florida had taken effective and positive measures to protect the Air Installation Compatibility Use Zones (AICUZ) around Cecil Field, FL.

The intent of the Commission is to ensure that the State of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake take immediate and positive steps to halt the encroaching developments that are pending before them now and in the future, and also to roll back the encroachment that has already occurred in the Accident Potential Zones (APZ) around NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress, particularly in the APZ-1 areas. The Commission also considers that the more severe encroachment problems were created by the state and local governments by not considering the Navy's repeated objections to incompatible residential and commercial developments under the AICUZ guidelines. Consequently, the funds to halt and reverse the encroachment should not come from federal funds, but rather state and local funding sources.

It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS Oceana for closure or realignment. The longstanding and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS Oceana, without strong support from state and city governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term create a situation where the military value of NAS Oceana will be

unacceptable degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing. It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of naval aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high-density training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached, such as Naval Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida, or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete due diligence review of the offer of the state of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within 6 months from the date that the BRAC legislation enters into force and is to be made public to the affected states for comment. After review of the states' comments, which shall be submitted within 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the state comments, and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet master jet base.

Commission Recommendations

The Commission finds that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and the Force Structure Plan; that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation: Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida, if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit: enact state-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in noise levels 70 dB day-night, average noise level DNL or greater; enact state and local legislation and ordinance to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the incompatible use property located within the Accident Potential Zone 1 areas for Naval Air Station Oceana, as depicted for 1999 AICUZ pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to fund and expend no less than \$15 million annually in furtherance of the aforementioned program; codify the 2005 final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study recommendations; legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in noise zones 70 DB DNL or greater for rezoning classification that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines; establish programs for purchase of development rights of the inter-facility traffic area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; enact legislation creating the Oceana-Fentress Advisory Council. It shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the Cities of Virginia Beach, and Chesapeake respectively, by the end of March 2006 have not been taken in their entirety, unless the General Accountability Office certifies in writing to the President and oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2006; and, if the State of Florida appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida, appropriates sufficient funds to secure public-private ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation and turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal government of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, decline from the outset to take the actions required above or within 6 months of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the state of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field. It shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the State of Florida and the City of Jacksonville respectively by the end of 31 December 2006 have not been taken in their entirety unless the Government Accountability Office certifies in writing to the President and oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2007. If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, fail to take all of the prescribed actions and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include but are not limited to all of the Navy F/A-18 strike fighter wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance

support, training, and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.

From: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 1:14 PM
To: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; mla dd - WSO BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

The files are in the process of being uploaded onto the S drive. So far I have the Army file for Chapter 1 and the Navy/Marine file for Chapter 1.

These are currently locked and cannot be opened without a password. The people who need the passwords to get this project done have it. If you need to submit a change, then submit a proposed change in hardcopy or separate file to Christine.

S:\Editor -- Final Report\FINAL REPORT FILES

Andrew V. Napoli
Editor in Chief
Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC)
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202
Main Phone: 703-699-2950
Direct: 703-699-2981
Fax: 703-699-2735

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 12:36 PM
To: mla dd - WSO BRAC
Subject: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes
Importance: High

Charlie has asked me to be the single point of contact for all changes (substantive and technical) that need to be made to the BRAC final report before it goes out for formatting tomorrow morning.

Andy will be placing the draft version of the report on the S: drive within the next hour. I will send an e-mail out informing all of its location. This draft will be locked, so if anyone sees the need for correction, or if a prior correction request was not made, please inform me of the exact changes and their location within the report NO LATER THAN 6PM TODAY.

You may either send them to me via e-mail or bring an annotated hard copy by in person. GC will also be placing a locked version of the bill on the S: drive for reference.

Christine
Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 9:24 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

We will replace GSA with OMB tomorrow in Oceana and add a footnote. David

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>; Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Andrew.Napoli@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 31 21:20:47 2005
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

I YIELD To David on this one as I know it is a bouncing and sensitive ball. I thought the President was still in but understand the GAO is now OMB???

David - is this what you and Charlie agree to?

Earlier, by the attached, Bill sent this language out for incorporation.

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 8:39 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

wasn't the President taken out of the report requirement for this motion? or am I thinking of another

Christine
Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 1:54 PM
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

Christine/Andy, I was hoping that changes that I sent earlier this morning following LEGAL review would have made the final copy. However, they are not there, so I am attaching below the full sections of Community Concerns/Commission findings and Recommendations again:

Please advise if you have any questions. Thanks.

Bill

Community Concerns

The Virginia Beach, Virginia community places high value on the military's contribution to the community and fears the loss of over 11,000 direct jobs would devastate the local economy. The state has invested significant resources in improved roads around the base and moving schools out of the Accident Prevention Zones. They acknowledged noise complaints by a small, but vocal, minority of residents but pointed out that planning commissions are developing new community planning overlays to limit encroachment and reduce development in the Accident Potential Zones. They argued funds needed to implement the Commission's consideration to relocate the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida could be better spent on the Navy's more pressing needs. They believe the Navy has no better or affordable alternative than remaining at NAS Oceana and managing encroachment. The Jacksonville, Florida community offered to return all of the former NAS Cecil Field property, improved and unencumbered - free and clear. Local governments are prepared to absorb and support the approximately 11,000 personnel that would be associated with the relocation of the Navy's Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base to Cecil Field. The community has invested \$133 million to upgrade Cecil Field's infrastructure and has secured \$130 million in funding for a high speed access road from Cecil Field to Interstate Highway 10. All required base conversion activities, including a new or updated Environmental Impact Statement, can be completed in time to allow the Navy to establish and occupy a new Master Jet Base within the BRAC timeframe.

Commission Findings

The Commission found that significant residential and commercial encroachment had continued around NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress for many years and was exacerbated when the 1995 BRAC Commission redirected F-18 aircraft and supporting assets from MCAS Cherry Point, NC and MCAS Beaufort, NC to NAS Oceana to take advantage of the excess capacity at NAS Oceana. It was the sense of the Commission that the encroachment issues were having a detrimental affect on the operations and training of the Navy's Atlantic Fleet Strike Fighter Wings and on the safety and welfare of the citizens of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake, Virginia. Consequently, the future for NAS Oceana as a Master Jet Base was severely limited, whereas Jacksonville, Florida had taken effective and positive measures to protect the Air Installation Compatibility Use Zones (AICUZ) around Cecil Field, FL.

The intent of the Commission is to ensure that the State of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake take immediate and positive steps to halt the encroaching developments that are pending before them now and in the future, and also to roll back the encroachment that has already occurred in the Accident Potential Zones (APZ) around NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress, particularly in the APZ-1 areas. The Commission also considers that the more severe encroachment problems were created by the state and local governments by not considering the Navy's repeated objections to incompatible residential and commercial developments under the AICUZ guidelines. Consequently, the funds to halt and reverse the encroachment should not come from federal funds, but rather state and local funding sources.

It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS Oceana for closure or realignment. The longstanding and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS Oceana, without strong support from state and city governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term create a situation where the military value of NAS Oceana will be unacceptable degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing. It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of naval aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high-density training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached, such as Naval Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida, or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete due diligence review of the offer of the state of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within 6 months from the date that the BRAC legislation enters into force and is to be made public to the affected states for comment. After review of the states' comments, which shall be submitted within 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the state comments, and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet master jet base.

Commission Recommendations

The Commission finds that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and the Force Structure Plan; that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation: Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida, if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit: enact state-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in noise levels 70 dB day-night, average noise level DNL or greater; enact state and local legislation and ordinance to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the incompatible use property located within the Accident Potential Zone 1 areas for Naval Air Station Oceana, as depicted for 1999 AICUZ pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to fund and expend no less than \$15 million annually in furtherance of the aforementioned program; codify the 2005 final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study recommendations; legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in noise zones 70 DB DNL or greater for rezoning classification that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines; establish programs for purchase of development rights of the inter-facility traffic area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; enact legislation creating the Oceana-Fentress Advisory Council. It shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the Cities of Virginia Beach, and Chesapeake respectively, by the end of March 2006 have not been taken in their entirety, unless the General Accountability Office certifies in writing to the President and oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2006; and, if the State of Florida appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida, appropriates sufficient funds to secure public-private ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation and turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal government of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, decline from the outset to take the actions required above or within 6 months of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the state of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field. It shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the State of Florida and the City of Jacksonville respectively by the end of 31 December 2006 have not been taken in their entirety unless the Government Accountability Office certifies in writing to the President and oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2007. If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, fail to take all of the prescribed actions and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include but are not limited to all of the Navy F/A-18 strike fighter wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training, and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.

From: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 1:14 PM
To: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; mla dd - WSO BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

The files are in the process of being uploaded onto the S drive. So far I have the Army file for Chapter 1 and the Navy/Marine file for Chapter 1.

These are currently locked and cannot be opened without a password. The people who need the passwords to get this project done have it. If you need to submit a change, then submit a proposed change in hardcopy or separate file to Christine.

S:\Editor -- Final Report\FINAL REPORT FILES

Andrew V. Napoli
Editor in Chief
Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC)
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202
Main Phone: 703-699-2950
Direct: 703-699-2981
Fax: 703-699-2735

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 12:36 PM
To: mla dd - WSO BRAC
Subject: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes
Importance: High

Charlie has asked me to be the single point of contact for all changes (substantive and technical) that need to be made to the BRAC final report before it goes out for formatting tomorrow morning.

Andy will be placing the draft version of the report on the S: drive within the next hour. I will send an e-mail out informing all of its location. This draft will be locked, so if anyone sees the need for correction, or if a prior correction request was not made, please inform me of the exact changes and their location within the report NO LATER THAN 6PM TODAY.

You may either send them to me via e-mail or bring an annotated hard copy by in person. GC will also be placing a locked version of the bill on the S: drive for reference.

Christine
Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 9:20 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Breitschopf, Justin, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Frank - Ken,

No Findings revised and placed into AF Chapter 1 Section as of 9:15pm Wednesday therefore;

Justin,
When you revise the commissioners comments and then place them into the Report Section, please also include the below paragraph on ANG, with each of the specified recommendations as the LAST part of the findings.

Any questions, see Ken, Frank or myself.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 8:07 PM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC

Cc: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Also 90 and 92.

Lay this language into the end of the "Commission Findings" for each of the 16 indicated Recommendation Sections:

68, 81, 83, 85, 88, 90, 92, 93, 97, 101, 106, 107, 110, 111, 115, and 116

David: should we or should we not send this language - or a copy of this message to Air Force?

-----Original Message-----

From: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 7:53 PM
To: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Fw: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Fyi. Ken

-----Original Message-----

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Andrew.Napoli@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Dan.Cowhig@wso.whs.mil>; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 31 19:45:14 2005
Subject: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Andy -- The blurb below needs to go in the findings for recommendation 68, 81, 83, 85, 88, 93, 97, 101, 106, 107, 110, 111, 115, and 116.

This recommendation directing aircraft movement and personnel actions in connection with Air National Guard installations and organizations is designed to support the Future Total Force. The Commission expects that the Air Force will find new missions where needed, provide retraining opportunities, and take appropriate measures to limit possible adverse personnel impact. The Commission's intent is that the Air Force will act to assign sufficient aircrew and maintenance personnel to units gaining aircraft in accordance with current, established procedures. However, the Commission expects that all decisions with regard to manpower authorizations will be made in consultation with the governor of the state in which the affected Air National Guard unit is located. Any manpower changes must be made under existing authorities, and must be made consistent with existing limitations. Some reclassification of existing positions may be necessary, but should not be executed until the Air Force and the state have determined the future mission of the unit to preclude unnecessary personnel turbulence.

On Oceana -- we will make a change to the recommendation and add a footnote to it first thing tomorrow.

David

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 8:42 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Frank. I do not see much value in sending it to the AF but do if you want. David

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Nathaniel.Sillin@wso.whs.mil>; Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Andrew.Napoli@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 31 20:06:39 2005
Subject: RE: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Also 90 and 92.

Nat will lay this language into the end of the "Commission Findings" for each of the 16 indicated Recommendation Sections:

68, 81, 83, 85, 88, 90, 92, 93, 97, 101, 106, 107, 110, 111, 115, and 116

David: should we or should we not send this language - or a copy of this message to Air Force?

-----Original Message-----

From: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 7:53 PM
To: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Fw: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Fyi. Ken

-----Original Message-----

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Andrew.Napoli@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Dan.Cowhig@wso.whs.mil>; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 31 19:45:14 2005
Subject: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Andy -- The blurb below needs to go in the findings for recommendation 68, 81, 83, 85, 88, 93, 97, 101, 106, 107, 110, 111, 115, and 116.

This recommendation directing aircraft movement and personnel actions in connection with Air National Guard installations and organizations is designed to support the Future Total Force. The Commission expects that the Air Force will find new missions where needed, provide retraining opportunities, and take appropriate measures to limit possible adverse personnel impact. The Commission's intent is that the Air Force will act to assign sufficient aircrew and maintenance personnel to units gaining aircraft in accordance with current, established procedures. However, the Commission expects that all decisions with regard to manpower authorizations will be made in consultation with the governor of the state in which the affected Air National Guard unit is located. Any manpower changes must be made under existing authorities, and must be made consistent with existing limitations. Some reclassification of existing positions may be necessary, but should not be executed until the Air Force and the state have determined the future mission of the unit to

preclude unnecessary personnel turbulence.

On Oceana -- we will make a change to the recommendation and add a footnote to it first thing tomorrow.

David

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 8:39 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

wasn't the President taken out of the report requirement for this motion? or am I thinking of another

Christine

Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 1:54 PM
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

Christine/Andy, I was hoping that changes that I sent earlier this morning following LEGAL review would have made the final copy. However, they are not there, so I am attaching below the full sections of Community Concerns/Commission findings and Recommendations again:

Please advise if you have any questions. Thanks.

Bill

COMMUNITY CONCERNS

The Virginia Beach, Virginia community places high value on the military's contribution to the community and fears the loss of over 11,000 direct jobs would devastate the local economy. The state has invested significant resources in improved roads around the base and moving schools out of the Accident Prevention Zones. They acknowledged noise complaints by a small, but vocal, minority of residents but pointed out that planning commissions are developing new community planning overlays to limit encroachment and reduce development in the Accident Potential Zones. They argued funds needed to implement the Commission's consideration to relocate the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida could be better spent on the Navy's more pressing needs. They believe the Navy has no better or affordable alternative than remaining at NAS Oceana and managing encroachment.

The Jacksonville, Florida community offered to return all of the former NAS Cecil Field property, improved and unencumbered - free and clear. Local governments are prepared to absorb and support the approximately 11,000 personnel that would be associated with the relocation of the Navy's Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base to Cecil Field. The community has invested \$133 million to upgrade Cecil Field's infrastructure and has secured \$130 million in funding for a high speed access road from Cecil Field to Interstate Highway 10. All required base conversion activities, including a new or updated Environmental Impact Statement, can be completed in time to allow the Navy to establish and occupy a new Master Jet Base within the BRAC timeframe.

COMMISSION FINDINGS

The Commission found that significant residential and commercial encroachment had continued around NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress for many years and was exacerbated when the 1995 BRAC Commission redirected F-18 aircraft and supporting assets from MCAS Cherry Point, NC and MCAS Beaufort, NC to NAS Oceana to take advantage of the excess capacity at NAS Oceana. It was the sense of the Commission that the encroachment issues were having a detrimental affect on the operations and training of the Navy's Atlantic Fleet Strike Fighter Wings and on the safety and welfare of the citizens of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake, Virginia. Consequently, the future for NAS Oceana as a Master Jet Base was severely limited, whereas Jacksonville, Florida had taken effective and positive measures to protect the Air Installation Compatibility Use Zones (AICUZ) around Cecil Field, FL.

The intent of the Commission is to ensure that the State of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake take immediate and positive steps to halt the encroaching developments that are pending before them now and in the future, and also to roll back the encroachment that has already occurred in the Accident Potential Zones (APZ) around NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress, particularly in the APZ-1 areas. The Commission also considers that the more severe encroachment problems were created by the state and local governments by not considering the Navy's repeated objections to incompatible residential and commercial developments under the AICUZ guidelines. Consequently, the funds to halt and reverse the encroachment should not come from federal funds, but rather state and local funding sources.

It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS Oceana for closure or realignment. The longstanding and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS Oceana, without strong support from state and city governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term create a situation where the military value of NAS Oceana will be unacceptable degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing. It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of naval aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high-density training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached, such as Naval Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida, or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete due diligence review of the offer of the state of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within 6 months from the date that the BRAC legislation enters into force and is to be made public to the affected states for comment. After review of the states' comments, which shall be submitted within 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the state comments, and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet master jet base.

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS

The Commission finds that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and the Force Structure Plan; that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation: Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida, if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit: enact state-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in noise levels 70 dB day-night, average noise level DNL or greater; enact state and local legislation and ordnance to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the incompatible use property located within the Accident Potential Zone 1 areas for Naval Air Station Oceana, as depicted for 1999 AICUZ pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to fund and expend no less than \$15 million annually in furtherance of the aforementioned program; codify the 2005 final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study recommendations; legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in noise zones 70 DB DNL or greater for rezoning classification that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines; establish programs for purchase of development rights of the inter-facility traffic area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; enact legislation creating the Oceana-Fentress Advisory Council. It shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the Cities of Virginia Beach, and Chesapeake respectively, by the end of March 2006 have not been taken in their entirety, unless the General Accountability Office certifies in writing to the President and oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2006; and, if the State of Florida appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida, appropriates sufficient funds to secure public-private ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation and turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal government of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, decline from the outset to take the actions required above or within 6 months of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the state of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the

relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field. It shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the State of Florida and the City of Jacksonville respectively by the end of 31 December 2006 have not been taken in their entirety unless the Government Accountability Office certifies in writing to the President and oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2007. If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, fail to take all of the prescribed actions and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include but are not limited to all of the Navy F/A-18 strike fighter wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training, and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.

From: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 1:14 PM
To: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; mla dd - WSO BRAC
Subject: RE: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes

The files are in the process of being uploaded onto the S drive. So far I have the Army file for Chapter 1 and the Navy/Marine file for Chapter 1.

These are currently locked and cannot be opened without a password. The people who need the passwords to get this project done have it. If you need to submit a change, then submit a proposed change in hardcopy or separate file to Christine.

S:\Editor -- Final Report\FINAL REPORT FILES

Andrew V. Napoli
Editor in Chief
Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC)
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202
Main Phone: 703-699-2950
Direct: 703-699-2981
Fax: 703-699-2735

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 12:36 PM
To: mla dd - WSO BRAC
Subject: HOT! - BRAC Report Final Changes
Importance: High

Charlie has asked me to be the single point of contact for all changes (substantive and technical) that need to be made to the BRAC final report before it goes out for formatting tomorrow morning.

Andy will be placing the draft version of the report on the S: drive within the next hour. I will send an e-mail out informing all of its location. This draft will be locked, so if anyone sees the need for correction, or if a prior correction request was not made, please inform me of the exact changes and their location within the report **NO LATER THAN 6PM TODAY.**

You may either send them to me via e-mail or bring an annotated hard copy by in person. GC will also be placing a locked version of the bill on the S: drive for reference.

Christine

Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 7:53 PM
To: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Fw: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Fyi. Ken

-----Original Message-----

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Andrew.Napoli@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Dan.Cowhig@wso.whs.mil>; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 31 19:45:14 2005
Subject: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Andy -- The blurb below needs to go in the findings for recommendation 68, 81, 83, 85, 88, 93, 97, 101, 106, 107, 110, 111, 115, and 116.

This recommendation directing aircraft movement and personnel actions in connection with Air National Guard installations and organizations is designed to support the Future Total Force. The Commission expects that the Air Force will find new missions where needed, provide retraining opportunities, and take appropriate measures to limit possible adverse personnel impact. The Commission's intent is that the Air Force will act to assign sufficient aircrew and maintenance personnel to units gaining aircraft in accordance with current, established procedures. However, the Commission expects that all decisions with regard to manpower authorizations will be made in consultation with the governor of the state in which the affected Air National Guard unit is located. Any manpower changes must be made under existing authorities, and must be made consistent with existing limitations. Some reclassification of existing positions may be necessary, but should not be executed until the Air Force and the state have determined the future mission of the unit to preclude unnecessary personnel turbulence.

On Oceana -- we will make a change to the recommendation and add a footnote to it first thing tomorrow.

David

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 7:49 PM
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Andy -- two more recommendations to add the blurb to: 90 and 92. Thks. David

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 7:45 PM
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Andy -- The blurb below needs to go in the findings for recommendation 68, 81, 83, 85, 88, 93, 97, 101, 106, 107, 110, 111, 115, and 116.

This recommendation directing aircraft movement and personnel actions in connection with Air National Guard installations and organizations is designed to support the Future Total Force. The Commission expects that the Air Force will find new missions where needed, provide retraining opportunities, and take appropriate measures to limit possible adverse personnel impact. The Commission's intent is that the Air Force will act to assign sufficient aircrew and maintenance personnel to units gaining aircraft in accordance with current, established procedures. However, the Commission expects that all decisions with regard to manpower authorizations will be made in consultation with the governor of the state in which the affected Air National Guard unit is located. Any manpower changes must be made under existing authorities, and must be made consistent with existing limitations. Some reclassification of existing positions may be necessary, but should not be executed until the Air Force and the state have determined the future mission of the unit to preclude unnecessary personnel turbulence.

On Oceana -- we will make a change to the recommendation and add a footnote to it first thing tomorrow.

David

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 7:45 PM
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ANG RECOMMENDATIONS

Andy -- The blurb below needs to go in the findings for recommendation 68, 81, 83, 85, 88, 93, 97, 101, 106, 107, 110, 111, 115, and 116.

This recommendation directing aircraft movement and personnel actions in connection with Air National Guard installations and organizations is designed to support the Future Total Force. The Commission expects that the Air Force will find new missions where needed, provide retraining opportunities, and take appropriate measures to limit possible adverse personnel impact. The Commission's intent is that the Air Force will act to assign sufficient aircrew and maintenance personnel to units gaining aircraft in accordance with current, established procedures. However, the Commission expects that all decisions with regard to manpower authorizations will be made in consultation with the governor of the state in which the affected Air National Guard unit is located. Any manpower changes must be made

under existing authorities, and must be made consistent with existing limitations. Some reclassification of existing positions may be necessary, but should not be executed until the Air Force and the state have determined the future mission of the unit to preclude unnecessary personnel turbulence.

On Oceana -- we will make a change to the recommendation and add a footnote to it first thing tomorrow.

David

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 12:03 PM
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Report - Community Concerns & Findings

Andy,

Below update reflects the direction from the Front office regarding using the Findings to reflect the Commission's strong intent that could not be "technically" inserted into the Final Recommendation.

The first two paragraphs are my insertion to cover the aforementioned issues and the last two paragraphs are verbatim from the transcript read by the CHMN on 24 AUG.

I also clarified the Community Concerns from the Commissioner's audit yesterday wrt breaking out the \$266 M Florida investment.

Please advise if any of this changes within the next few hours.

VR, Bill Fetzer

COMMUNITY CONCERNS

The Virginia Beach, Virginia community places high value on the military's contribution to the community and fears the loss of over 11,000 direct jobs would devastate the local economy. The state has invested significant resources in improved roads around the base and moving schools out of the Accident Prevention Zones. They acknowledged noise complaints by a small, but vocal, minority of residents but pointed out that planning commissions are developing new community planning overlays to limit encroachment and reduce development in the Accident Potential Zones. They argued funds needed to implement the Commission's consideration to relocate the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida could be better spent on the Navy's more pressing needs. They believe the Navy has no better or affordable alternative than remaining at NAS Oceana and managing encroachment.

The Jacksonville, Florida community offered to return all of the former NAS Cecil Field property, improved and unencumbered - free and clear. Local governments are prepared to absorb and support the approximately 11,000 personnel that would be associated with the relocation of the Navy's Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base to Cecil Field. The community has invested \$133 million to upgrade Cecil Field's infrastructure and has secured \$130 million in funding for a high speed access road from Cecil Field to Interstate Highway 10. All required base conversion activities, including a new or updated Environmental Impact Statement, can be completed in time to allow the Navy to establish and occupy a new Master Jet Base within the BRAC timeframe.

COMMISSION FINDINGS

The Commission found that significant residential and commercial encroachment had continued around NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress for many years and was exacerbated when the 1995 BRAC Commission redirected F-18 aircraft and supporting assets from MCAS Cherry Point, NC and MCAS Beaufort, NC to NAS Oceana to take advantage of the excess capacity at NAS Oceana. It was the sense of the Commission that the encroachment issues were having a detrimental affect on the operations and training of the Navy's Atlantic Fleet Strike Fighter Wings and on the safety and welfare of the citizens of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake, Virginia. Consequently, the future for NAS Oceana as a Master Jet Base was severely limited, whereas Jacksonville, Florida had taken effective and positive measures to protect the Air Installation Compatibility Use Zones (AICUZ) around Cecil Field, FL.

The intent of the Commission is to ensure that the State of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake take immediate and positive steps to halt the encroaching developments that are pending before them now and in the future, and also to roll back the encroachment that has already occurred in the Accident Potential Zones (APZ) around NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress, particularly in the APZ-1 areas. The Commission also considers that the more severe encroachment problems were created by the state and local governments by not considering the Navy's repeated objections to incompatible residential and commercial developments under the AICUZ guidelines. Consequently, the funds to halt and reverse the encroachment should not come from federal funds, but rather state and local funding sources.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS Oceana for closure or realignment. The longstanding and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS Oceana, without strong support from state and city governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term create a situation where the military value of NAS Oceana will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing. It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of naval aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high-density training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached, such as Naval Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida, or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete due diligence review of the offer of the state of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within 6 months from the date that the BRAC legislation enters into force and is to be made public to the affected states for comment. After review of the states' comments, which shall be submitted within 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the state comments, and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet master jet base.

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 11:48 AM
To: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Report Recommendation

Andy,

Below is the latest version of the Report Recommendation for entry into Oceana 193. It is based on the latest bill language from Dan. I'm not sure about the punctuation, but this is a little long, and some strategic punctuation might make it less cumbersome.

I am still working on the Findings Section to include the sense of the commission regarding the clarifications that were removed from the recommendation in order to reflect the public transcript.

Bill Fetzer

COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS

The Commission finds that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and the Force Structure Plan; that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation: Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida, if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by March 31, 2006, to wit: enact state-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in noise levels 70 dB day-night, average noise level DNL or greater; enact state and local legislation and ordinance to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the incompatible use property located within the Accident Potential Zone 1 areas for Naval Air Station Oceana, as depicted for 1999 AICUZ pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to fund and expend no less than \$15 million annually in furtherance of the aforementioned program; codify the 2005 final

Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study recommendations; legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in noise zones 70 DB DNL or greater for rezoning classification that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines; establish programs for purchase of development rights of the inter-facility traffic area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; enact legislation creating the Oceana-Fentress Advisory Council. It shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the Commonwealth of Virginia, and the Cities of Virginia Beach, and Chesapeake respectively, by the end of March 2006 have not been taken in their entirety, unless the General Accountability Office certifies in writing to the President and oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2006; and, if the State of Florida appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida, appropriates sufficient funds to secure public-private ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation and turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal government of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, decline from the outset to take the actions required above or within 6 months of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the state of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field. It shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the State of Florida and the City of Jacksonville respectively by the end of 31 December 2006 have not been taken in their entirety unless the Government Accountability Office certifies in writing to the President and oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2007. If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia, and Chesapeake, Virginia, fail to take all of the prescribed actions and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include but are not limited to all of the Navy F/A-18 strike fighter wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training, and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 9:21 AM
To: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cole, Christopher, CTR, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: OCEANA

Attachments: 31 AUG Basic Bill - Oceana.doc

Dan, Two corrections included as discussed (plus one typo fixed).

Bill



31 AUG Basic Bill -
Oceana.doc...

From: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2005 8:24 AM
To: Cole, Christopher, CTR, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: OCEANA

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 8:09 PM
To: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sarkar, Rumu, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: OCEANA

This is the Oceana recommendation built from the transcript with three deletions for clarity. With the sensitivity of this

recommendation, we best leave it alone. We are waiting to hear from GAO about the role they have been assigned. Their input may require another change, but we might also deal with their concerns in the report language.

David

<< File: Oceana.doc >>

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 9:25 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: List of ANG actions by state

Frank. The Oceana recommendation we want to use is on the table in my office. It is straight from the transcript with the fewest possible changes. David

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Dan.Cowhig@wso.whs.mil>; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.VanSaun@wso.whs.mil>; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Schaefer, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Schaefer@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Tue Aug 30 07:02:02 2005
Subject: FW: List of ANG actions by state

info

From: Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, August 29, 2005 7:10 PM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Combs, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McRee, Bradley, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Breitschopf, Justin, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Beauchamp, Arthur, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: List of ANG actions by state

Attached is a list of our ANG actions by Unit/State. Rather than having to flip thru multiple charts and look at different a/c types. Let me know if you see any holes--but I had Tanya scrub it--so I doubt you'll find any.

Craig

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: McCreary, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 10:02 AM
To: Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Schaefer, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sarkar, Rumu, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Jones, Audrey, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Principi, Anthony, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Principi, Anthony, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Bilbray, Jim Congressman; Coyle, Philip; Gehman, Harold, USN (Ret); Hansen, Jim Congressman; Hill, James T, USA (Ret); Newton, Lloyd, USAF (Ret); Skinner, Sam; Turner, Sue Ellen BGen, USAF (Ret)
Subject: RE: Press Report that Rumsfeld May Advise the President not to Accept the Commission Report

Just Keeping things Interesting..

From: Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 10:01 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Schaefer, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sarkar, Rumu, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Jones, Audrey, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McCreary, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Anthony J. Principi (Anthony.Principi@wso.whs.mil); anthony principi; Bilbray, Jim Congressman; Coyle, Philip; Gehman, Harold, USN (Ret); Hansen, Jim Congressman; Hill, James T, USA (Ret); Newton, Lloyd, USAF (Ret); Skinner, Sam; Turner, Sue Ellen BGen, USAF (Ret)
Subject: Press Report that Rumsfeld May Advise the President not to Accept the Commission Report

There is a press report that SecDef Rumsfeld may ask the President not to accept the Commission's report. I called Mike Wynne who stated that the story is false. Rumsfeld, he states, has been given a positive outlook. DoD is not happy with the report, but "that unhappiness is not leading to rejection." The concern is in our conditional recommendations on Oceana, Cannon and Ft Monmouth insofar as they may cause Congressional mischief.

If anything new develops, I'll keep you posted.

Charlie

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 10:01 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Schaefer, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sarkar, Rumu, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Jones, Audrey, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McCreary, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Anthony J. Principi (Anthony.Principi@wso.whs.mil); anthony principi; Bilbray, Jim Congressman; Coyle, Philip; Gehman, Harold, USN (Ret); Hansen, Jim Congressman; Hill, James T, USA (Ret); Newton, Lloyd, USAF (Ret); Skinner, Sam; Turner, Sue Ellen BGen, USAF (Ret)
Subject: Press Report that Rumsfeld May Advise the President not to Accept the Commission Report

There is a press report that SecDef Rumsfeld may ask the President not to accept the Commission's report. I called Mike Wynne who stated that the story is false. Rumsfeld, he states, has been given a positive outlook. DoD is not happy with the report, but "that unhappiness is not leading to rejection." The concern is in our conditional recommendations on Oceana, Cannon and Ft Monmouth insofar as they may cause Congressional mischief.

If anything new develops, I'll keep you posted.

Charlie

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 9:53 AM
To: Turner, Colleen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Wasleski, Marilyn, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net); Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Napoli, Andrew, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Yoder, Charles, WSO-BRAC; Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Gingrich, Karl, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Chapter 1 Guidance
Importance: High

Team Leads: Please follow this guidance and distribute as appropriate:

Reference our meeting decisions and post meeting decisions regarding actions and responsibilities for Chapter 1:

- **COBRA Data:** Karl has prepared a run for all final COBRAs - he will coordinate this list with each individual analyst, who will be responsible for laying in the Karl Verified data into the shell
- **Commission Recommendation section:**

Situation # 1: Commission approves DoD recommendation verbatim

Commission Recommendations

The Commission finds the Secretary's recommendation consistent with the final selection criteria and force structure plan. Therefore, the Commission approves the recommendation of the Secretary.

Situation #2: Commission modifies or amends DoD recommendation

Commission Recommendations

The Commission finds that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final selection criteria **XXX**, as well as from the force-structure plan. Therefore, the Commission recommends the following: "**XXXXXX**." The Commission finds that this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the final selection criteria and force-structure plan. The full text of this and all Commission recommendations can be found in Appendix Q.

For this situation, the **XXXXXX** is a direct lift and drop of the full marked-up bill "recommendation" for the respective item.

Situation #3: Commission completely rejects DoD recommendation

Commission Recommendations

The Commission finds that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final selection criteria **XXX**, and the force-structure plan. Therefore, the Commission has rejected the recommendation of the Secretary. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force-structure plan and final selection criteria.

• **Secretary of Defense Recommendation section:**

Situation for a full "ADD" (Broadway; NAS Oceana; Galena FOL; Realign Naval PGS, CA and the AFIT, OH; Relocate Medical Command Headquarters):

Secretary of Defense Recommendation

None. The Commission added this military installation to the list of bases to be considered by the Commission for closure and realignment as a proposed change to the list of recommendations submitted by the Secretary of Defense.

Commission Recommendations

For this situation a direct lift and drop of the exact final (consolidated) Motion is appropriate - please discuss Oceana with OGC due to multiple clarifying motions - with the preceding and ending statements regarding deviation.

Frank A. Cirillo, Jr., P. E.

Director, Review and Analysis

Base Closure and Realignment Commission

2521 Clark Street, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202

voice (703) 699-2903 - cell (703) 501-3357

Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil

fcirillo@terpalum.umd.edu

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Sarkar, Rumu, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2005 12:59 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Voting Methodology

Frank: here's my message to Gen. Hague, and since we might be able to swing a quorum next week of the Commissioners, substantial technical amendments may be made, if Gen Hague and the Chairman agree to this approach. (I also have four proxies.) It is one sure-fire method of litigation-proofing ourselves. Otherwise, if the inaccuracies in the motions/amendments stay as is, I can guarantee that we will not be able to meet any challenges in court, Rumu

Rumu Sarkar
Associate General Counsel
2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600, Room 600-18
Arlington, VA 22202-3920
Tel: (703) 699-2973
Cell: (703) 901-7843
Fax: (703) 699-2735

From: Sarkar, Rumu, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, August 28, 2005 12:47 PM
To: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Voting Methodology

Sir: I just wanted to get a sense of your views regarding the following items:

- Some motions do not accurately record the person offering them or the second, or Chris and I may have divergent views depending on whose voice we heard. Since many of the motions do not have complete and accurate data, should we (1) read the transcript to make final determinations or (2) eliminate this information from the record or (3) make it up as we go along?
- Some technical amendments are substantive (e.g., Oceana) and I have marked those amendments as "(Conformed Amendments)" as a note to indicate that not insubstantial changes have been made by staff for purposes of clarity and rationalizing the amendment. If you or Dan have differing views, please let me know.
- Also, Frank has brought to my attention that certain motions are WRONG insofar as they have the wrong information in them, but were passed by the Commissioners. Since we have proxies, and can execute more, should this issue be pro-actively dealt with or should we leave the misleading information as is? Can the technical amendments be voted on by proxy, or should we follow the agreed upon policy as described in the second bullet?
- Also, where the Chairman moved motions already voted on into the record by separate vote, I am indicating those motions as e.g., 163, as amended. I believe that indicating that this was 163-1, as amended, after an amendment has already been voted is more confusing. Further, the Chairman did not follow this way of doing things consistently with all motions further adding potential confusion to the record. I believe that we agreed that these motions are "surplusage" and I would like your agreement on indicating them in the manner described so that they can be distinguished from say 163-1 which crafted to simply accept the SecDef's recommendation.
- Finally, I am reconciling my records with Chris' on the e-webpage, but I need final input from Dan and Ethan in getting a final master copy of the motions book made. Their input will further update Chris and mine's. I plan to keep all proposed motions in the master motions book, but will highlight the motion no. actually voted on. The vote tallies are ready, and may be inputted into the e-webpage whenever Chris is ready. However, I would like to keep rounds of edits to the minimum no. possible to avoid confusion.

Many thanks, Rumu

Rumu Sarkar
Associate General Counsel
2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600, Room 600-18
Arlington, VA 22202-3920
Tel: (703) 699-2973
Cell: (703) 901-7843
Fax: (703) 699-2735

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2005 10:30 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re:

We will hopefully reconve at two. We will have time to deal with Oceana. Likely tomorrow. David. Frank. Pls pass to others.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Fri Aug 26 10:26:18 2005
Subject: Re:

Hard to do from the floor - send a runner unless David can advise

This e-mail has been sent from the Blackberry of Frank Cirillo, Director of Review and Analysis, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Fri Aug 26 08:12:13 2005
Subject:

Fyi, Commissioner Gehman has sent over a language change to the Oceana motion stating that there will be a session to consider at 1300 today. Please verify with the non-recused leadership that this is something we're supposed to do. It's pulling us off prepping AF stuff. Jim

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2005 10:28 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE:

We're not going to be able to get to it anyway since we're scrambling on AF slides...

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2005 10:26 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re:

Hard to do from the floor - send a runner unless David can advise

This e-mail has been sent from the Blackberry of Frank Cirillo, Director of Review and Analysis, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Fri Aug 26 08:12:13 2005
Subject:

Fyi, Commissioner Gehman has sent over a language change to the Oceana motion stating that there will be a session to consider at 1300 today. Please verify with the non-recused leadership that this is something we're supposed to do. It's pulling us off prepping AF stuff. Jim

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Heckman Gary Maj Gen AF/XP
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2005 10:03 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: We have Holloman and Mountain Home as our remaining 'Cecil Fields'

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, August 26, 2005 8:12 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC

Fyi, Commissioner Gehman has sent over a language change to the Oceana motion stating that there will be a session to consider at 1300 today. Please verify with the non-recused leadership that this is something we're supposed to do. It's pulling us off prepping AF stuff. Jim

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 11:14 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

I think that we should state "not less than \$15 million" to allow VA to accelerate the buyout if that makes more economic sense.

VR, Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 10:58 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

All:

In case the "underlined" did not come across - this is the C Skinner piece:

- enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and spend \$15 million dollars annually for such purposes and ;

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:21 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

This is the motion as read and amended. Underlined is Skinner's amendment. Chairman made the motion and Skinner, Hill, and Newton all seconded. Skinner made the motion to amend

and again, multiple seconds. but not Gehman....

Motion Number: 193-4A

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
 - Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,
 - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit:
 - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level DNL or greater;
 - enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and spend \$15 million dollars annually for such purposes and ;
 - codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations;
 - legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater for rezoning classifications that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines;
 - establish programs for purchase of development rights of the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; Enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council. at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- and if the State of Florida:
 - appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida,
 - appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation, and;
 - turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field.
 - If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take the all of the prescribed actions, and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and

appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.[] at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;

- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

[[It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base.[]

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 10:26 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

6th tick mark "...and spend \$15 million annually..."

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:59 AM
To: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

Marci: Info - not sure of your action yet.

Jim: Your "Underlines" did not come across?

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:21 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

Subject: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

This is the motion as read and amended. Underlined is Skinner's amendment. Chairman made the motion and Skinner, Hill, and Newton all seconded. Skinner made the motion to amend and again, multiple seconds...but not Gehman....

Motion Number: 193-4A

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
 - Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,
 - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit:
 - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level DNL or greater;
 - enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and spend \$15 million dollars annually for such purposes and ;
 - codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations;
 - legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater for rezoning classifications that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines;
 - establish programs for purchase of development rights of the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; Enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council.' at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- and if the State of Florida:
 - appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida,
 - appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation, and;
 - turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field.
 - If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take the all of the prescribed actions, and the

State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.[] at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;

- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

[]It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base.[]

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:37 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

fyi

-----Original Message-----

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:34 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

Agree. Dan. Pls pass to chris to stop real time posting of motions. David

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Dan.Cowhig@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Thu Aug 25 09:29:01 2005

Subject: RE: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

Website is incorrect. Anser is feeding directly from the hall with no QA. What we sent you was the language as read and amended. Gehman did not second as the website states. New London is also significantly incorrect in that it shows deviations in Criteria 1-4 when what Newton read was deviation in criteria 1 only. Strongly suggest that all motion posting stop immediately. This is a huge problem for at least the Navy team.

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:25 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

Jim. Can you tell me if the website is correct. David. Ps. I understand we may need to make a correction. Thks. David

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Thu Aug 25 09:20:54 2005
Subject: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

This is the motion as read and amended. Underlined is Skinner's amendment. Chairman made the motion and Skinner, Hill, and Newton all seconded. Skinner made the motion to amend and again, multiple seconds...but not Gehman....

Motion Number: 193-4A

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
 - Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,
 - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit:
 - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level DNL or greater;
 - enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy

and to appropriate and spend \$15 million dollars annually for such purposes and ;

- codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations;
- legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater for rezoning classifications that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines;
- establish programs for purchase of development rights of the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; Enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council.[] at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;

- and if the State of Florida:

- appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida,
- appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation, and;
- turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field.
- If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take the all of the prescribed actions, and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.[] at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

[]It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base.[]

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:29 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:29 AM
To: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

Website is incorrect. Anser is feeding directly from the hall with no QA. What we sent you was the language as read and amended. Gehman did not second as the website states. New London is also significantly incorrect in that it shows deviations in Criteria 1-4 when what Newton read was deviation in criteria 1 only. Strongly suggest that all motion posting stop immediately. This is a huge problem for at least the Navy team.

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:25 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

Jim. Can you tell me if the website is correct. David. Ps. I understand we may need to make a correction. Thks. David

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Thu Aug 25 09:20:54 2005
Subject: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

This is the motion as read and amended. Underlined is Skinner's amendment. Chairman made the motion and Skinner, Hill, and Newton all seconded. Skinner made the motion to amend and again, multiple seconds...but not Gehman....

Motion Number: 193-4A

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the

realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;

- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:

- Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,
- if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit:
 - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level DNL or greater;
 - enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and spend \$15 million dollars annually for such purposes and ;
 - codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations;
 - legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater for rezoning classifications that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines;
 - establish programs for purchase of development rights of the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; Enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council. at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;

- and if the State of Florida:

- appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida,
- appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation, and;
- turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field.
- If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take the all of the prescribed actions, and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base. at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

[I]t is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise

encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base. □

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:21 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Official Motion - 193-4A (2)

This is the motion as read and amended. Underlined is Skinner's amendment. Chairman made the motion and Skinner, Hill, and Newton all seconded. Skinner made the motion to amend and again, multiple seconds...but not Gehman....

Motion Number: 193-4A

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
 - Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,
 - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit:
 - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level DNL or greater;
 - enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and

purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and spend \$15 million dollars annually for such purposes and ;

- codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations;
- legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater for rezoning classifications that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines;
- establish programs for purchase of development rights of the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; Enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council. at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;

- and if the State of Florida:

- appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida,
- appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation, and;
- turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field.
- If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take the all of the prescribed actions, and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base. at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base. 11

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:18 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Web

Yes the second is wrong. Dan pls pass to Chris. Thks. DH

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Hague@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Dan.Cowhig@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Thu Aug 25 09:15:13 2005
Subject: RE: Web

I am not sure what the issue is but at least this must be wrong? Still there

Offered By: Chairman Principi
Seconded By: Commissioner Gehman

-----Original Message-----

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:11 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Web

Frank. Has oceana been corrected on the web. David

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, August 25, 2005 9:11 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Web

Frank. Has oceana been corrected on the web. David

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2005 8:14 PM
To: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Principi, Anthony, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Newton, Lloyd, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Gehman, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Skinner, Samuel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana motion

Dan, FYI. There may be a few changes left throughout the evening and early tomorrow. This is supposed to be briefed at the end of the Navy issues, so it could go early.

VR, Bill

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Aarnio, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2005 2:32 PM
To: Principi, Anthony, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Bilbray, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Coyle, Phillip, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hansen, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Skinner, Samuel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Newton, Lloyd, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Turner, Sue, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Letter From Major General Douglas Burnett, Florida National Guard, Adjutant General

Mr. Charirman, Honorable Commissioners, Directors, Team Leads, and Staff,

I have read a great deal of "sworn" testimony about Oceana vs: Cecil Field. I believe if there is anything thus far that I have read that consists of cognitive, intuitive, and introspective descriptors about airspace availability and coordination with the FAA in the Jacksonville area, it is contained within this letter form Major General Burnett.

I would echo Major General Burnett's evaluation (on behalf of the FAA) of the airspace he knows so well, and hope that all of you have had a chance to consider the true merit of his commentary to Chairman Principi. I regret that I do not have a soft copy to attach to this email.

Thank you,

James Aarnio
R&A Interagency Team
FAA Detailee

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, August 23, 2005 7:15 AM
To: Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Motions for SoTx facilities
Importance: High
Attachments: BRAC Motion to Strike (NSI NAS CC).doc; BRAC Motion to Amend (NAS Oceana).doc



BRAC Motion to Strike (NSI NAS...
BRAC Motion to Amend (NAS Ocea...

This sort of action is certainly not helpful....

From: Turner, Gordon [mailto:gordon.turner@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 11:01 PM
To: 'Hague, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC'
Cc: Cowhig, Dan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; King, Mac; McCreedy, Sheila; 'Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC'; 'Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC'; 'Walsh, Deirdre, CIV, WSO-BRAC'
Subject: Motions for SoTx facilities
Importance: High

Gen Hague,

Attached are the motions for Ingleside and NAS Kingsville that Congressman Ortiz discussed with Commissioner Bilbray. Commissioner Bilbray has agreed to sponsor these and it is our understanding that he has discussed his endorsement with you. Please confirm with Commissioner Bilbray and give me a call if you have any questions.

Since the Oceana issue is an add, please review the NAS-K motion to ensure it has the proper references.

Dan Cowhig had stated that the motions were required prior to a 0900 meeting on Tue AM.

vr,
gordon

Gordon Turner
Congressional Fellow
Office of Congressman Solomon Ortiz (TX-27)
(202) 225-7742

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 2:31 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carroll, Ray, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: UTAH DELEGATION REQUEST -

Importance: High

Attachments: DLI Principi Letter.doc



DLI Principi
Letter.doc (29 KB...)

Frank - Syd - this came up as an issue while I was in Monterey - then you asked Syd for thoughts. Please see note below. I have not responded (obviously) yet. Is there something we can give them?
Christine and Charlie: This request is probably better answered in LL vs. PA chain.

The approach is much the same as the FL, AL, TX push to take the spin-off from the Oceana Add should it be successful. In this case it is to consider moving DLI to Hill AFB and Post Graduate to WPAFB.

In any event the reply should probably follow the same track of the Oceana actions??

Dave/Syd/Jim: please collaborate on your thoughts as to whether this is a consideration as well as working with LL to respond.

Bob/Marilyn: Are there similar requests in the DFAS wars? I think there are.

Frank

Christine

Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

From: Petersen, Steve [mailto:Steve.Petersen@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2005 2:17 PM
To: 'Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC'
Cc: James Gallagher; 'Castle, William (Hatch)'; 'Morrison, Mark (Bennett)'; Shaun Parkin; Rick Mayfield (UDA); 'vickiel@webpipe.net'; James Gallagher
Subject: UTAH DELEGATION REQUEST -
Importance: High

Christine-- As the BRAC coordinator for the Utah Congressional Delegation Staff, I am writing to communicate to you our extreme frustration and disappointment to learn, in checking on the disposition of the official request letter our Delegation had sent to the Commission two weeks ago --- that the BRAC plans to **ignore** our request. That letter (attached) had asked for a simple COBRA analysis vis-a-vis Utah's Hill AFB and the Defense Language INstitute at Monterrey, California.

Since the COBRA data must now exist for Monterrey, and the Hill AFB data already exists in the original DOD recommendation, it would be a relatively simple matter for staff to run the computer analysis comparing the two locations

.....
What would it take, about 15-20 minutes of computer and staff time, maximum????

It is inconceivable to us that this common-sense request would be ignored by the Commission.

At a minimum, the Monterrey COBRA should be made available to us... The BRAC has to justify their decision to keep Monterrey open in what is traditionally one of the Highest-Cost areas in the entire Country. That was one of the primary reasons the Army had looked to close the DLI in 1995 and considered Utah as the receiving location.

We remind you that Utah did not make this request in a vacuum. We waited until after the BRAC themselves voted to add Monterrey to the "study list" for consolidation at Monterrey..... OR any other location where it would be cost-effective and not result in operational deficiencies. That was the motion that was made and passed unanimously by the Commissioners at the "add" hearing last month.

We once again, urge that the BRAC honor our reasonable request. Otherwise, it makes us feel that the DLI decision is pre-ordained and cannot withstand the light of scrutiny.....What is there to hide??

Isn't one of the reasons behind the "BRAC" process is to SAVE MONEY and actually CLOSE BASES?

I can be contacted at: 202-225-0456.

Thank you for your consideration.

STEVEN T. PETERSEN

Sr. Policy Advisor and Counsel

Office of Rep. Rob Bishop

124 Cannon HOB, Washington, D.C. 20515

(202) 225-0453

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2005 4:26 PM
To: 'Ed Brown'; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Today's Hearings

No coats and ties needed at all.

Craziness not in the noted rules - sanity check still in order - as I type this Commissioner recusal internal dialogue in progress.

See you Mon AM - All Commissioners on board -

-----Original Message-----

From: Ed Brown [mailto:edbrown61@verizon.net]
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2005 1:55 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Bob Cook
Subject: Re: Today's Hearings

Frank:

I'll be there. Are coat and tie necessary?

I noticed the Commission passed five procedural rules at the end of the hearing and the

Chairman stated they would be posted on the web site -- they aren't yet. Are some of these the craziness you refer to?

As I mentioned before, I am not a Commission staff member; hence, should not have been in the picture. Also, I went to the Redskins game and got home too late for an old man to get up so early.

Ed

----- Original Message -----

From: "Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC" <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: <edbrown61@verizon.net>; "Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC" <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2005 8:54 PM
Subject: Re: Today's Hearings

> Great insight Ed. We definitely have gone beyond an independent
> Commission to independent commissioners. Indeed many of us are tired -
> we have had 4 days of skulling Commissioners u way beyond in the past.
> Topmorrow is the first swing through dry runs- very different pattern
> this year. Also tomorrow SASC begins a very accusatory Second 2903 Review.

>
> Jan and Sherry came to the Great Jet Off. VA did not shine. Not sure
> either.

>
> Ed - we would love you to come in Monday - even Sunday but Mon would
> be great - for some sanity checksm Some craziness going on regarding
> voting process.

> Missed you at the photo op this AM :)

> Frank

>
> This e-mail has been sent from the Blackberry of Frank Cirillo,
> Director of Review and Analysis, Defense Base Closure and Realignment
> Commission

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Ed Brown <edbrown61@verizon.net>
> To: Frank Cirillo <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Bob Cook
> <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>
> Sent: Sat Aug 20 17:44:49 2005
> Subject: Today's Hearings

> Frank and Bob:

>
> Interesting hearings today. I got a sense that many Commissioners are
> prepared to reject some of DoD's recommendations based on geographical
> presence, military personnel savings (I, too, still do not understand
> the DoD explanation), questionable centers of excellence, etc. The
> Oceana-Cecil arguments were good theater and again I couldn't predict
> the outcome.

> There

> seems to be quite a difference of opinion among former Navy aviators.
> In the news conference afterward, the Virginia delegation was asked
> why they just started doing something about arresting encroachment but
> failed to answer the question.

>
> The staff I could see looked tired. I hope there will some time to
> get some rest before the final deliberation hearings. To us who have
> not had personal contact with the Commissioners, it will be
> enlightening to see how their questions and statements during hearings
> compare to their final votes.

> One week to go!!

>
> Ed
>

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Ed Brown [edbrown61@verizon.net]
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2005 1:55 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Bob Cook
Subject: Re: Today's Hearings

Frank:

I'll be there. Are coat and tie necessary?

I noticed the Commission passed five procedural rules at the end of the hearing and the Chairman stated they would be posted on the web site -- they aren't yet. Are some of these the craziness you refer to?

As I mentioned before, I am not a Commission staff member; hence, should not have been in the picture. Also, I went to the Redskins game and got home too late for an old man to get up so early.

Ed

----- Original Message -----

From: "Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC" <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: <edbrown61@verizon.net>; "Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC" <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2005 8:54 PM
Subject: Re: Today's Hearings

> Great insight Ed. We definitely have gone beyond an independent Commission
> to independent commissioners. Indeed many of us are tired - we have had 4
> days of skulling Commissioners u way beyond in the past. Topmorrow is the
> first swing through dry runs- very different pattern this year. Also
> tomorrow SASC begins a very accusatory Second 2903 Review.

>
> Jan and Sherry came to the Great Jet Off. VA did not shine. Not sure
> either.

>
> Ed - we would love you to come in Monday - even Sunday but Mon would be
> great - for some sanity checksm Some craziness going on regarding voting
> process.

> Missed you at the photo op this AM :)

> Frank

>
> This e-mail has been sent from the Blackberry of Frank Cirillo, Director
> of
> Review and Analysis, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Ed Brown <edbrown61@verizon.net>
> **To:** Frank Cirillo <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Bob Cook
> <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>
> **Sent:** Sat Aug 20 17:44:49 2005
> **Subject:** Today's Hearings

> Frank and Bob:
>
> Interesting hearings today. I got a sense that many Commissioners are
> prepared to reject some of DoD's recommendations based on geographical
> presence, military personnel savings (I, too, still do not understand the
> DoD explanation), questionable centers of excellence, etc. The
> Oceana-Cecil
> arguments were good theater and again I couldn't predict the outcome.
> There
> seems to be quite a difference of opinion among former Navy aviators. In
> the news conference afterward, the Virginia delegation was asked why they
> just started doing something about arresting encroachment but failed to
> answer the question.
>
> The staff I could see looked tired. I hope there will some time to get
> some
> rest before the final deliberation hearings. To us who have not had
> personal contact with the Commissioners, it will be enlightening to see
> how
> their questions and statements during hearings compare to their final
> votes.
>
> One week to go!!
>
> Ed
>

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, August 21, 2005 8:12 AM
To: 'bracprocess'; RSS dd - WSO BRAC Clearinghouse
Cc: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: CH 0962 DoN 0365 WWF 15

Clearinghouse,

Can you please resend CH 0962 WWF#15 in a manner that will allow for the attached chart depicting DNR contours of hypothetical operations at Cecil Field to be viewed clearly. We are unable to view the chart as a result of the document being scanned. If it is possible we would appreciate an electronic version of the chart send directly to me ASAP. If you have any questions please let me know.

Thank You,

Michael Kessler

Navy Team Associate Analyst

BRAC Commission

Office of Review and Analysis

www.brac.gov

From: bracprocess [mailto:bracprocess@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, August 19, 2005 6:16 PM
To: RSS dd - WSO BRAC Clearinghouse; bracprocess
Cc: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank,

CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: CH 0962 DoN 0365 WWF 15

Clearinghouse,

See attached DoN response to subject tasking.

Alex T. Remily
Major, USMC
DASN IS&A, Medical Team
2221 South Clark, Suite 900 (CP6)
Arlington, VA 22202
Phone (703) 602-6373
Fax (703) 602-6550

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT !!! FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY - DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA

-----Original Message-----

From: RSS dd - WSO BRAC Clearinghouse [mailto:Clearinghouse@wso.whs.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 11:50
To: bracprocess
Cc: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: OSD BRAC Clearinghouse Tracker 0962C Navy Marine Corps Question: WWF 15

Please provide a response to the inquiry below and return to OSD BRAC Clearinghouse NLT noon Friday, 19 August 2005, with the designated signature authority, in PDF format.

When contacting the Clearinghouse, please refer to OSD BRAC Clearinghouse Tasker 0962C.

Thank you for your cooperation and timeliness in this matter.

OSD BRAC Clearinghouse

-----Original Message-----

From: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 11:29 AM
To: RSS dd - WSO BRAC Clearinghouse
Cc: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Meyer, Robert, CTR, OSD-ATL
Subject: Navy Marine Corps Question: WWF#15

Please provide the tracking number and response directly to me. Thank You.

<<Clearinghouse request WWF #15.doc>>

Michael Kessler

Navy Team Associate Analyst

BRAC Commission

Office of Review and Analysis

www.brac.gov

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Ed Brown [edbrown61@verizon.net]
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2005 5:45 PM
To: Frank Cirillo; Bob Cook
Subject: Today's Hearings

Frank and Bob:

Interesting hearings today. I got a sense that many Commissioners are prepared to reject some of DoD's recommendations based on geographical presence, military personnel savings (I, too, still do not understand the DoD explanation), questionable centers of excellence, etc. The Oceana-Cecil arguments were good theater and again I couldn't predict the outcome. There seems to be quite a difference of opinion among former Navy aviators. In the news conference afterward, the Virginia delegation was asked why they just started doing something about arresting encroachment but failed to answer the question.

The staff I could see looked tired. I hope there will some time to get some rest before the final deliberation hearings. To us who have not had personal contact with the Commissioners, it will be enlightening to see how their questions and statements during hearings compare to their final votes.

One week to go!!

Ed

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2005 2:59 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Mission

Bill: In case you want to send per C Hill -

Frank

Critical question - please respond by Aug 22:

- 1) Please explain any limitations or impacts of moving the Oceana classified mission to any other location on East Coast.
- 2) Can the above mission be relocated as above before 2009? 2011?
- 3) What would the one-time cost be for such a move?

This e-mail has been sent from the Blackberry of Frank Cirillo, Director of Review and Analysis, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 8:27 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Reader's Comments from the Virginia Pilot

These should be forwarded up the chain to anyone who would want to know what the "street" is saying about VA Beach City Council and Oceana. These are the last ten postings taken in order from the paper's website. I think that they are trying to tell us something.

Barbara C.

City: Virginia Beach

Posted:

5:10 PM Aug. 17

OUR RIGHTS OR OCEANA?

If it can happen in the resort area, it can happen anywhere in Virginia Beach.

All resort area property owners are in danger of losing our property rights, specifically our right to develop our property according to CURRENT land use zoning, which has been in place for decades. If the CURRENT zoning of a property allows the owner to add a home ("increase density"), lawmakers are talking about taking away that right --a right we paid for when we bought our property.

This devalues our property, because we cannot build additional home(s) on our lot, and a developer would pay less because of this NEW restriction.

When City Council threatened to take homes "to save Oceana," homeowners packed City Council chambers in protest. This new attack is even more devious. We need to tell our representatives that taking property rights in this new way is just as bad!

Michael O.

City: Va.Beach

Posted:

5:01 PM Aug. 17

THE SKY IS NOT FALLING

We object to our representatives spending hard-earned tax dollars, without referenda or overwhelming citizen support, in a knee-jerk reaction to save Oceana.

It is unconscionable that the leaders of this city, region, and state, and media, would jump on the doom and gloom bandwagon and encourage widespread panic among the populace. To claim this area would suffer untoward economic loss is simply fighting their fear of change by attempting to stir up mass hysteria.

Many recent articles in the Virginian-Pilot and Governing magazine, state that most regions that lose military bases fare as well if not BETTER THAN they did prior to the base closing. For example:

"[BRAC] is not a death knell for a community's economic health. 'Economies become more diversified, stronger and more immune to cyclical ups and downs of defense budgets. They become 21st-century economies rather than 20th-century industry economies that grow up around a base.'" (Kenneth Beeks, VP of policy for Business Executives for National Security) It's time to have an honest discussion about Oceana.

Steve P.

City: Va beach

Posted:

4:18 PM Aug. 17

Close it down. To all the whiners that say Oceana was here first I say " Tell it to a native American".

Greg C.

City: VB.

Posted:

2:56 PM Aug. 17

Close Oceana! The pilots themselves say that they can't properly train there. What else needs to be said.

If the Mayor and City Council, Warner, Allen and Drake really are concerned for the Navy readiness the safety of the citizens of V.B. and the Navy pilots not just the money, they should stand aside for they have had many chances over many years and have failed miserably on record for everyone to see.

Charles L.

City: Lemoore

Posted:

1:46 PM Aug. 17

Princess Anne County ? Va Beach in 1963... Navy was there before Va Beach..Growing up in and around Va Beach and also stationed at Oceana, I have seen the City grow. Yes the city has been chasing their own tails on this matter for years..Now it's high noon... The city has done it to themself, by being too big and money hungry. Let's just sit back and think of all the we have done to support the city in the past. The mayor has been in office how long?? The navy has stoodby and let the city come too close, But yet we sit back and point fingers away from ourself when we let this happen over the years..So we think that Texas and Fla are going to set back and do nothing after what happen to them in 1993?..Come on people its here the time has past and you the people of Va Beach are going to pay a high price if this happens..So vote next time and make it count..Cause if you don't There maybe other things that go bye...Remember what you lose if Oceana closes.. ALOT! I don't live there anymore but it just brings up things that have been going one for years..Remeber Va Beach was a small town no she has outgrown it and will pay a dear price for its growth..(what gave its growth in the first place) Greg M.

City: Portsmouth

Posted:

1:43 PM Aug. 17

I hope that the BRAC commission at least has the good sense to ignore this latest ploy by the city of Virginia Beach. I think it would be pure stupidity to believe that Virginia Beach won't throw the encroachment machine back into high gear as soon as Oceana is off the BRAC list.

Not that I wish to see Oceana close, but I cannot find it in myself to feel sorry for the city of Virginia Beach. They have allowed their government to encroach on Oceana over the Navy's protest. They took for granted they could do what they wanted and Oceana would never leave. Now the city is acting like a spoiled child who is finally be asked to pay for its mistakes.

Karl S.

City: Virginia beach

Posted:

1:40 PM Aug. 17

First I have to say that this is a feeble attempt to do Damage Control on a sinking ship. Do you really think that the BRAC commission is going to buy this token effort. Sorry, I am making plans to sell my house in VA Beach before the bottom drops out with panic and move to Isle of Wight. Now for some other interesting points that I would invite the Virginian Pilot Investigative reporters to sink their teeth into. I would be interested to see what ties there are to the current Vrginia Beach Council members and the real estate community or better yet the developers that work hand in hand with the realtors. Correct me if I'm wrong but didn't Thelma Drake make all her money in real estate and isn't she currently active in real estate. It is interesting to me that she proposes that the entire Hampton Roads community should pay for the sins of a few greedy fat cats. Let us not forget how Va Beach invokes imminent domain to build their high rise hotels on the beach and drive out family owned businesses that have been there for years. It isn't even the fault of the Va Beach residents other than their blind stupidity in watching this develop. Remember the light rail and how that got voted down to keep the rif-raf of Norfolk out of the posh surroundings of Va Beach. Or the Southeastern Expressway? If I lived in Norfolk, Chesapeake, Suffolk, Newport News or Portsmouth I'd say tough luck Virginia Beach you made your bed now sleep in it. I could go on but I would continually digress. I just hope that the surrounding communities don't start posting signs that say Dogs and Virginia Beach residents prohibited.

John N.

City: Virginia beach

Posted:

1:26 PM Aug. 17

Do we really think that Va Bch will purchase that land after the Sept vote? They'll most likely find something else they forgot they needed the money for, that extenstion of 64 to Pat Robinson's empire for example, and use it as an excuse to default due to lack of funding. It's great for them because they will win some citizens votes and "save" oceana. Would we really suffer from oceana relocating? Most expert economists say that in the short term, perhaps(we'd see a great decrease in bumper sticker sales). But in the long run, no. Home prices may flatline but not decline. Virginia Beach is a growing tourist attraction and also a growing attraction for big businesses. Just look at how fast they filled Town Point. I'd hate to see the base that brought me here, disappear. But, I feel in the long run we'd benefit from it's removal.

P S.

City: Virginia Beach

Posted:

1:26 PM Aug. 17

I was born and raised here in Va Beach. Oceana was here long before I was. The city officials have blatantly ignored requests from the Navy for years re: development. In the last two years alone, 6, SIX, new neighborhood developments have been built within a two mile radius of my home. When does it end! Let the city buy this land back, but let it come out of the mayor's & council's bank accounts, not charged to us!

Celeste R.

City: Hayes

Posted:

1:07 PM Aug. 17

I think that Oceana should be closed. Since I have moved to Hampton Roads nearly 4 years ago, all that you hear is people complaining of the jet noise. Then the city agreed to the building of the condos and now are so concerned with losing the base that they are now willing to spend the extra money to purchase the land back is absurd. I feel that the people are getting what they originally wanted with the jets being moved, so why a change of heart now.

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 2:39 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

Haha - perfect idea!! Who came up with Nat's little postcard on his desk, riding behind Bob on a motorcycle? It's so true!!

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 1:36 PM
To: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

Thanks Diane - hey, how about tying Dan Cowig up and locking him in the storage room?

-----Original Message-----

From: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 12:03 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

Frank, please let me know how I can help you with anything!! Do not hesitate!

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 10:19 AM
To: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

ok

-----Original Message-----

From: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:22 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'edbrown61@verizon.net'; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Commissioner Schedule

Frank,
We will continue to keep our eyes and ears open to help the RandA process for staff time with the Commissioners.

Your idea of phone calls - especially with Comm Skinner - seems to be another viable alternative for exchange, especially with last minute changes and cancellations. And we knew there would be changes daily with the MS, but it is a solid planning tool for all.

It also seems that staff recommendations for last minute briefings could come in handy as we speed towards the finals. They might even breathe a sigh of relief with that kind of approach and fewer slides - less work for all at this stage.

Diane

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kristen.Baxter@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC <diane.carnevale@wso.whs.mil>; Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC <jason.cole@wso.whs.mil>; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <robert.dinsick@wso.whs.mil>; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net) <edbrown61@verizon.net>; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Nathaniel.Sillin@wso.whs.mil>; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.VanSaun@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 17 07:08:32 2005
Subject: Commissioner Schedule

Charlie / Kristen:

Please let us know of any changes to the Commissioners' availability over these next several days of critical sessions.

We lost two sessions with the Chairman yesterday and understand from Commissioner Skinner via 20 minute phone call last night that we will not see him until Saturday as he is going to Cecil. We show a pretty busy day today with Commissioners Coyle, Gehman and Skinner. We have a pretty good system and synergy set up and changes, although inevitable, detract.

As a PS - I believe I got a lot accomplished in speaking to C Skinner last night but we are way behind and getting to him with specifics which is bad for the process as he is very steady, very smart and in my opinion, very influential. We need to really get him all day Saturday if at all possible. We may even ask to use him for dry runs Saturday and Sunday as, if he has the patience, we can accomplish two junctures at once. He is very supportive of the Chairman's memo on motions.

Thanks for any info you might have.

Nat is our focal point for all these sessions.

Frank

Frank A. Cirillo, Jr., P. E.
Director, Review and Analysis
Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202 voice (703) 699-2903 - cell (703) 501-3357 Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil fcirillo@terpalum.umd.edu

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 12:14 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

We getting it set up right now so that any changes go to "invitees" as soon as they are changed via outlook

Christine
Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 10:19 AM
To: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

Thanks Christine: Disregarding the message below (?) - what we need is:
- Notification of any more changes as they happen
- As much of C Skinner's time as he and we can handle

-----Original Message-----

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 8:41 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Commissioner Schedule

HUH?

I'll put the Saturday/Sunday stuff on his calendar....which is the answer I think you wanted

Christine
Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

-----Original Message-----

From: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:22 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'edbrown61@verizon.net'; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Commissioner Schedule

Frank,

We will continue to keep our eyes and ears open to help the RandA process for staff time with the Commissioners.

Your idea of phne calls - especially with Comm Skinner - seems to be another viable alternative for exchange, especially with last minute changes and cancellations. And we knew there would be changes daily with the MS, but it is a solid planning tool for all.

It also seems that staff recommendations for last minute briefings could come in handy as we speed towards the finals. They might even breathe a sigh of relief with that kind of approach and fewer slides - less work for all at this stage.

Diane

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kristen.Baxter@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC <diane.carnevale@wso.whs.mil>; Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC <jason.cole@wso.whs.mil>; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <robert.dinsick@wso.whs.mil>; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net) <edbrown61@verizon.net>; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Nathaniel.Sillin@wso.whs.mil>; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.VanSaun@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 17 07:08:32 2005
Subject: Commissioner Schedule

Charlie / Kristen:

Please let us know of any changes to the Commissioners' availability over these next several days of critical sessions.

We lost two sessions with the Chairman yesterday and understand from Commissioner Skinner via 20 minute phone call last night that we will not see him until Saturday as he is going to Cecil. We show a pretty busy day today with Commissioners Coyle, Gehman and Skinner. We have a pretty good system and synergy set up and changes, although inevitable, detract.

As a PS - I believe I got a lot accomplished in speaking to C Skinner last night but we are way behind and getting to him with specifics which is bad for the process as he is very steady, very smart and in my opinion, very influential. We need to really get him all day Saturday if at all possible. We may even ask to use him for dry runs Saturday and Sunday as, if he has the patience, we can accomplish two junctures at once. He is very supportive of the Chairman's memo on motions.

Thanks for any info you might have.

Nat is our focal point for all these sessions.

Frank

Frank A. Cirillo, Jr., P. E.
Director, Review and Analysis
Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202 voice (703) 699-2903 - cell (703) 501-3357 Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil fcirillo@terpalum.umd.edu

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 12:03 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

Frank, please let me know how I can help you with anything!! Do not hesitate!

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 10:19 AM
To: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

ok

-----Original Message-----

From: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:22 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'edbrown61@verizon.net'; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Commissioner Schedule

Frank,

We will continue to keep our eyes and ears open to help the RandA process for staff time with the Commissioners.

Your idea of phne calls - especially with Comm Skinner - seems to be another viable alternative for exchange, especially with last minute changes and cancellations. And we knew there would be changes daily with the MS, but it is a solid planning tool for all.

It also seems that staff recommendations for last minute briefings could come in handy as we speed towards the finals. They might even breathe a sigh of relief with that kind of approach and fewer slides - less work for all at this stage.

Diane

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kristen.Baxter@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC <diane.carnevale@wso.whs.mil>; Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC <jason.cole@wso.whs.mil>; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <robert.dinsick@wso.whs.mil>; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net) <edbrown61@verizon.net>; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Nathaniel.Sillin@wso.whs.mil>; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.VanSaun@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 17 07:08:32 2005
Subject: Commissioner Schedule

Charlie / Kristen:

Please let us know of any changes to the Commissioners' availability over these next several days of critical sessions.

We lost two sessions with the Chairman yesterday and understand from Commissioner Skinner

via 20 minute phone call last night that we will not see him until Saturday as he is going to Cecil. We show a pretty busy day today with Commissioners Coyle, Gehman and Skinner. We have a pretty good system and synergy set up and changes, although inevitable, detract.

As a PS - I believe I got a lot accomplished in speaking to C Skinner last night but we are way behind and getting to him with specifics which is bad for the process as he is very steady, very smart and in my opinion, very influential. We need to really get him all day Saturday if at all possible. We may even ask to use him for dry runs Saturday and Sunday as, if he has the patience, we can accomplish two junctures at once. He is very supportive of the Chairman's memo on motions.

Thanks for any info you might have.

Nat is our focal point for all these sessions.

Frank

Frank A. Cirillo, Jr., P. E.
Director, Review and Analysis
Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202 voice (703) 699-2903 - cell (703)
501-3357 Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil fcirillo@terpalum.umd.edu

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 8:43 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Commissioner Schedule

Frank the schedule is already changing - mostly just moving your session times - I've Got Jason and Nat working it to make sure all changes are known and put out there for all to see

Christine

Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:09 AM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net); Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Commissioner Schedule
Importance: High

Charlie / Kristen:

Please let us know of any changes to the Commissioners' availability over these next several days of critical sessions.

We lost two sessions with the Chairman yesterday and understand from Commissioner Skinner via 20 minute phone call last night that we will not see him until Saturday as he is going to Cecil. We show a pretty busy day today with Commissioners Coyle, Gehman and Skinner. We have a pretty good system and synergy set up and changes, although inevitable, detract.

As a PS - I believe I got a lot accomplished in speaking to C Skinner last night but we are way behind and getting to him

with specifics which is bad for the process as he is very steady, very smart and in my opinion, very influential. We need to really get him all day Saturday if at all possible. We may even ask to use him for dry runs Saturday and Sunday as, if he has the patience, we can accomplish two junctures at once. He is very supportive of the Chairman's memo on motions.

Thanks for any info you might have.

Nat is our focal point for all these sessions.

Frank

Frank A. Cirillo, Jr., P. E.

Director, Review and Analysis

Base Closure and Realignment Commission

2521 Clark Street, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202

voice (703) 699-2903 - cell (703) 501-3357

Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil

fcirillo@terpalum.umd.edu

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 8:41 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Commissioner Schedule

HUH?

I'll put the Saturday/Sunday stuff on his calendar....which is the answer I think you wanted

Christine
Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

-----Original Message-----

From: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:22 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'edbrown61@verizon.net'; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Commissioner Schedule

Frank,

We will continue to keep our eyes and ears open to help the RandA process for staff time with the Commissioners.

Your idea of phne calls - especially with Comm Skinner - seems to be another viable alternative for exchange, especially with last minute changes and cancellations. And we knew there would be changes daily with the MS, but it is a solid planning tool for all.

It also seems that staff recommendations for last minute briefings could come in handy as we speed towards the finals. They might even breathe a sigh of relief with that kind of approach and fewer slides - less work for all at this stage.

Diane

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kristen.Baxter@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC <diane.carnevale@wso.whs.mil>; Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC <jason.cole@wso.whs.mil>; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <robert.dinsick@wso.whs.mil>; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net) <edbrown61@verizon.net>; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Nathaniel.Sillin@wso.whs.mil>; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.VanSaun@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 17 07:08:32 2005
Subject: Commissioner Schedule

Charlie / Kristen:

Please let us know of any changes to the Commissioners' availability over these next several days of critical sessions.

We lost two sessions with the Chairman yesterday and understand from Commissioner Skinner via 20 minute phone call last night that we will not see him until Saturday as he is going to Cecil. We show a pretty busy day today with Commissioners Coyle, Gehman and Skinner. We have a pretty good system and synergy set up and changes, although inevitable, detract.

As a PS - I believe I got a lot accomplished in speaking to C Skinner last night but we are way behind and getting to him with specifics which is bad for the process as he is very steady, very smart and in my opinion, very influential. We need to really get him all day Saturday if at all possible. We may even ask to use him for dry runs Saturday and Sunday as, if he has the patience, we can accomplish two junctures at once. He is very supportive of the Chairman's memo on motions.

Thanks for any info you might have.

Nat is our focal point for all these sessions.

Frank

Frank A. Cirillo, Jr., P. E.
Director, Review and Analysis
Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202 voice (703) 699-2903 - cell (703) 501-3357 Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil fcirillo@terpalum.umd.edu

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:22 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'edbrown61@verizon.net'; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Commissioner Schedule

Frank,
We will continue to keep our eyes and ears open to help the RandA process for staff time with the Commissioners.

Your idea of phne calls - especially with Comm Skinner - seems to be another viable alternative for exchange, especially with last minute changes and cancellations. And we knew there would be changes daily with the MS, but it is a solid planning tool for all.

It also seems that staff recommendations for last minute briefings could come in handy as we speed towards the finals. They might even breathe a sigh of relief with that kind of approach and fewer slides - less work for all at this stage.

Diane

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kristen.Baxter@wso.whs.mil>; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC <C.Battaglia@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC <diane.carnevale@wso.whs.mil>; Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC <jason.cole@wso.whs.mil>; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Christine.Hill@wso.whs.mil>; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil>; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Robert.Cook@wso.whs.mil>; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC <robert.dinsick@wso.whs.mil>; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net) <edbrown61@verizon.net>; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Nathaniel.Sillin@wso.whs.mil>; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.VanSaun@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Aug 17 07:08:32 2005
Subject: Commissioner Schedule

Charlie / Kristen:

Please let us know of any changes to the Commissioners' availability over these next several days of critical sessions.

We lost two sessions with the Chairman yesterday and understand from Commissioner Skinner via 20 minute phone call last night that we will not see him until Saturday as he is going to Cecil. We show a pretty busy day today with Commissioners Coyle, Gehman and Skinner. We have a pretty good system and synergy set up and changes, although inevitable, detract.

As a PS - I believe I got a lot accomplished in speaking to C Skinner last night but we are way behind and getting to him with specifics which is bad for the process as he is very steady, very smart and in my opinion, very influential. We need to really get him all day Saturday if at all possible. We may even ask to use him for dry runs Saturday and Sunday as, if he has the patience, we can accomplish two junctures at once. He is very supportive of the Chairman's memo on motions.

Thanks for any info you might have.

Nat is our focal point for all these sessions.

Frank

Frank A. Cirillo, Jr., P. E.
Director, Review and Analysis
Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202 voice (703) 699-2903 - cell (703)
501-3357 Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil fcirillo@terpalum.umd.edu

Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2005 7:09 AM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Carnevale, Diane, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cole, Jason, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net); Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Commissioner Schedule
Importance: High

Charlie / Kristen:

Please let us know of any changes to the Commissioners' availability over these next several days of critical sessions.

We lost two sessions with the Chairman yesterday and understand from Commissioner Skinner via 20 minute phone call last night that we will not see him until Saturday as he is going to Cecil. We show a pretty busy day today with Commissioners Coyle, Gehman and Skinner. We have a pretty good system and synergy set up and changes, although inevitable, detract.

As a PS - I believe I got a lot accomplished in speaking to C Skinner last night but we are way behind and getting to him with specifics which is bad for the process as he is very steady, very smart and in my opinion, very influential. We need to really get him all day Saturday if at all possible. We may even ask to use him for dry runs Saturday and Sunday as, if he has the patience, we can accomplish two junctures at once. He is very supportive of the Chairman's memo on motions.

Thanks for any info you might have.

Nat is our focal point for all these sessions.

Frank

Frank A. Cirillo, Jr., P. E.

Director, Review and Analysis

Base Closure and Realignment Commission

2521 Clark Street, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202

voice (703) 699-2903 - cell (703) 501-3357

Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil

fcirillo@terpalum.umd.edu