

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 10:18 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: C. Skinner Request

Have fun...

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 10:16 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: C. Skinner Request

Jim:
Commissioner Skinner wants a paper summarizing Oceana to include 2005 recommendation and prior BRAC (1995, more?) Actions. Nat can help your AA with the prior material.

His note - "ASAP would be appreciated"

Nat: develop a suspense process for C and ED tasks.

fc

This e-mail has been sent from the Blackberry of Frank Cirillo, Director of Review and Analysis, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 10:40 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: C. Skinner Request

Fun, Aye

I'm on it!

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 10:18 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: C. Skinner Request

Have fun...

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 10:16 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: C. Skinner Request

Jim:
Commissioner Skinner wants a paper summarizing Oceana to include 2005 recommendation and prior BRAC (1995, more?) Actions. Nat can help your AA with the prior material.

His note - "ASAP would be appreciated"

Nat: develop a suspense process for C and ED tasks.

fc

This e-mail has been sent from the Blackberry of Frank Cirillo, Director of Review and Analysis, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 11:37 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana

Attachments: Oceana.doc



Oceana.doc (72 KB)

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 11:47 AM
To: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana

thnx

From: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 11:37 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana

<< File: Oceana.doc >>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 12:25 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: C. Skinner Request

Attachments: Oceana 95 action.doc; NAS Oceana.doc



Oceana 95
action.doc (70 KB)



NAS Oceana.doc
(33 KB)

Jim, Following attached FYI:

VR, Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2005 10:16 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: C. Skinner Request

Jim:
Commissioner Skinner wants a paper summarizing Oceana to include 2005 recommendation and prior BRAC (1995, more?) Actions. Nat can help your AA with the prior material.

His note - "ASAP would be appreciated"

Nat: develop a suspense process for C and ED tasks.

fc

This e-mail has been sent from the Blackberry of Frank Cirillo, Director of Review and Analysis, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 6:32 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: NAS Oceana

Attachments: Oceana EXEC Summary.doc; TAB D BRAC 1995 Commission Report.doc; TAB A NAS Oceana BRAC 2005.doc; TAB B BRAC 1993 Commission Report.doc; TAB C 1995 Navy Report.doc

Jim,

Attached info on Oceana provided as requested.



Oceana EXEC Summary.doc (41 KB) TAB D BRAC 1995 Commission Rep... BRAC 2005.doc... TAB A NAS Oceana BRAC 2005.doc... TAB B BRAC 1993 Commission Rep... TAB C 1995 Navy Report.doc (41...

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2005 6:53 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: NAS Oceana

Attachments: Oceana EXEC Summary.doc; TAB A NAS Oceana BRAC 2005.doc; TAB B BRAC 1993 Commission Report.doc; TAB C 1995 Navy Report.doc; TAB D BRAC 1995 Commission Report.doc

Sir, background on NAS Oceana as requested by Commissioner Skinner. Bill Fetzer did a nice job gathering this together although we still do not have the deliberative documents which preceded the final decisions.

V/R Jim



Oceana EXEC Summary.doc (41 KB) TAB A NAS Oceana BRAC 2005.doc... TAB B BRAC 1993 Commission Rep... TAB C 1995 Navy Report.doc (41... TAB D BRAC 1995 Commission Rep...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 3:02 PM
To: Aarnio, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Airspace Bullets

thanx

From: Aarnio, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, May 23, 2005 2:51 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Airspace Bullets

<< File: BRAC AIRSPACE.doc >>

Bill,

Some general cross-service thoughts on airspace. Jim Hanna has the referenced CD.

Thanks,

Jim Aarnio

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Aarnio, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2005 11:10 AM
To: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, MAJ, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Butler, Aaron, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carroll, Ray, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: "Adds"

Gentlemen,

I have to plead ignorance on my sources for "adds". If you have any which may contain airspace issues (less likely), please keep me in mind.

Thanks,

Jim Aarnio (FAA) Interagency Team, ext: 2929

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2005 3:12 PM
To: Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Updated Adds Shell

Attachments: PHNSY Add Presentation.doc



PHNSY Add
resentation.doc (36.

Gentlemen,

Forwarding this in the event it is needed prior to my arrival tomorrow (Will be late due to my number 2 daughter's graduation). Rationale included for this add may not be strong enough, but I'll work that when I get in. The only other add will be to run data on moving the NSY Boston Det numbers for moving to Norfolk instead of Bremerton. Blount Island moving to Charleston is a non-starter due to the MILCON required to accept the USMC work at the Weapons Station.

CW

Clarenton W. "CW" Furlow
2005 BRAC Commission
Review and Analysis
(703) 699-2946

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2005 4:24 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Adds - Oceana

Attachments: Adds Presentation Template-Oceana.doc



Adds Presentation
Template-Oce...

FYI

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2005 2:43 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Adds

Guys, looks like we need to have our adds fleshed out by the 27th at which time the commissioners will be canvased for support. Intent is to notify DoD 1 July with the Adds hearing pm 19 July.

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2005 2:56 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Adds

Which means that our shells need to be finished by Saturday....

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2005 2:43 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Adds

Guys, looks like we need to have our adds fleshed out by the 27th at which time the commissioners will be canvased for support. Intent is to notify DoD 1 July with the Adds hearing pm 19 July.

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2005 8:09 AM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: AddsDraft
Attachments: Base Closure Add List.doc

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2005 8:15 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net); Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hood, Wesley, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Rhody, Dean, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Robertson, Kathleen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Wasleski, Marilyn, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: AddsDraft

TLs -

Per our meeting today.

Please see the attached very early draft of the current potential adds list for which the annotated team leads are tasked with formalizing the Adds Shell for each and providing electronically to Ethan, copy, Nat, Bob and Myself by noon Friday.

Bob will vet the list with you after he gets in Friday about 2 PM and we will all meet on this package and the overall Process at 9 AM on Saturday, in lieu of our 8:30 Staff Meeting.

These will need to be final by Saturday and available for Bob and I to brief Charlie Sunday at 2PM for vetting with the Commissioners at Monday and Tuesday RHs

From: Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2005 6:41 PM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Adds



Base Closure Add
List.doc (37 ...)

Here is the revised list. If each team lead could provide the following by Friday morning:

1. Write a one line summary of the proposed action (I have drafted them in the attached doc.)
2. Submit a digital copy of Bob Cook's add list justification (try and get it on 1 page). See me if you have formatting questions.
3. Request a COBRA run for the specific action through Karl or try and build one yourself.

Cheers,

Ethan

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2005 12:00 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana Adds

Well I hope you used different data□.

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2005 11:53 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Adds

Jim,

FYI Oceana Adds chart is provided. I liked how CW did his and mimicked it somewhat.

Bill

<< File: Oceana.doc >>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2005 12:01 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Oceana Adds
Attachments: Oceana.doc

Good model from CW (plagiarized by Bill)

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2005 11:53 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Adds

Jim,

FYI Oceana Adds chart is provided. I liked how CW did his and mimicked it somewhat.

Bill



Oceana.doc (163 KB)

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 11:07 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana - Moody Comparison

Attachments: Oceans-Moody MiLVal comparison.ppt

Jim, FYI attached shows comparison of Oceana-Moody Capacity and Military Value calculations.

I'm putting this analysis on the shelf now unless I hear that we are a go!

Fetz



Oceans-Moody
MiLVal comparison...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 3:54 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Adds Hearing
Attachments: Adds Hearing Shells.ppt; Fort Eustis.ppt



Adds Hearing Shells.ppt (130 K..
Fort Eustis.ppt (140 KB)

Thoughts? I'd prefer a simpler background as it will eat less file space...

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 3:32 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Robertson, Kathleen, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Adds Hearing

See Ed's first cut at Adds Shells - along with one SAMPLE

From: Ed Brown [mailto:edbrown61@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 12:23 PM
To: Frank Cirillo; Bob Cook
Subject: Adds Hearing

Frank and Bob:

I have turned my attention to the presentations at Adds Hearing I hope I am not duplicating anything Ethan or someone else is doing. Attached are proposed hearing shells and how I envision Fort Eustis would be presented if it were accepted for presentation. If it is determined that the Commission will designate Base X as the gaining installation(s) in its proposed recommendations, then the Fort Eustis slide pertaining to Change DoD Recommendations would have to be changed. The personnel numbers are those shown in Appendix D; however, the total impact would be greater since Med-12 has the hospital converting to a clinic instead of closing it. Let me know what you think.

Also, let me know if you need me to revise the add recusals information based on the decisions of last Saturday and Sunday and the upcoming discussions with Commissioners at Buffalo, Charlotte, and Atlanta.

Since you both are traveling this week, I do not plan on coming into the office unless you need me to do so and will be in Fredericksburg helping Rina baby sit. I'll remain

available by e-mail: [REDACTED]

Ed

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 4:33 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Adds Hearing

Guess my thought is who cares about that other stuff...it becomes oboe if this add is accepted and will come out in the analysis...

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 4:32 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Adds Hearing

Maybe the commissioners can absorb all those colors and the sudden flip-flop, but I got confuddled after the yellow meant "disagreed with," green "OK" -- to yellow meaning the recommended change!! When you flip flop the "pretty colors" to an airdale, he is either too high or too low on the glide slope. One set of conditions is usually fatal!!

There must be a simpler way to render the info. Perhaps Ft EU was too hard to track with all the different scenarios in play.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 3:54 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Adds Hearing

Thoughts? I'd prefer a simpler background as it will eat less file space...

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 3:32 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Robertson, Kathleen, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Adds Hearing

See Ed's first cut at Adds Shells - along with one SAMPLE

From: Ed Brown [mailto:edbrown61@verizon.net]
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2005 12:23 PM
To: Frank Cirillo; Bob Cook
Subject: Adds Hearing

Frank and Bob:

I have turned my attention to the presentations at Adds Hearing □ I hope I am not duplicating anything Ethan or someone else is doing. Attached are proposed hearing shells and how I envision Fort Eustis would be presented if it were accepted for presentation. If it is determined that the Commission will designate □Base X□ as the gaining installation(s) in its proposed recommendations, then the Fort Eustis slide pertaining to □Change DoD Recommendations□ would have to be changed. The personnel numbers are those shown in Appendix D; however, the total impact would be greater since Med-12 has the hospital converting to a clinic instead of closing it. Let me know what you think.

Also, let me know if you need me to revise the add recusals information based on the decisions of last Saturday and Sunday and the upcoming discussions with Commissioners at Buffalo, Charlotte, and Atlanta.

Since you both are traveling this week, I do not plan on coming into the office unless you need me to do so and will be in Fredericksburg helping Rina baby sit. I□ll remain available by e-mail.

Ed

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2005 9:15 AM
To: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Ed Brown (edbrown61@verizon.net); Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hood, Wesley, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Rhody, Dean, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Robertson, Kathleen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Wasleski, Marilyn, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Adds Hearing
Importance: High
Attachments: Adds Hearing Shells - 29 Jun Revision.ppt



Adds Hearing Shells
- 29 Jun R...

Bob and Tls - Please look this over and provide feedback to Ed and to Nathan who is our focal point for all deliberative presentation issues. After this AM discussion, it seems we are interested in the format to generally lead toward what we believe to be the final deliberation format. These latest charts come closer to that and I believe a long way in that direction but we need to set up a time in the next week when the five Team Leads, Nat, and Ethan decide what we want so we can run by Charlie and David - to enable a decision an immediate start of populating the shells. Time is of the essence as our Adds Hearing is two weeks from today.

Ethan - Please work an Outlook Generated Appointment for a meeting this week when all are present - In my mind, the only date that will work is Saturday AM but sooner would be better. I am scheduled to Attend Boston, DC. No VA and MD - But maybe we could all forfeit the DC Hearing and send suitable Subs. Admiral Gehman will be in that AM but we could also play our idea off of him - maybe at our 7:30 on Thursday??

Frank

-----Original Message-----

From: edbrown61@verizon.net [mailto:edbrown61@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2005 10:05 PM
To: Frank.Cirillo@wso.whs.mil
Subject: Adds Hearing

Frank:

Attached is a file of revised adds hearing shells based on comments received today. I deleted the background lines but left the color -- I didn't like the look when there was no background color with black print. I don't think we need to have the same format as the final deliberation hearing. I'm sure we'll be revising these as we get closer to the 19th.

Ed

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 07, 2005 5:53 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Adds

We need to provide spider charts, formal add recommendation, and rationale for each proposed add by 0900 Friday, 8 July. Please pass to Ethan Saxon. Hal, we will use Brunswick as the demonstration add for the Saturday morning Team Leader meeting with Charlie. Please work with Ethan to populate. If possible, can you also produce a graph of COBRA data (cost/savings)?

Additionally, can you (Hal) get something put together on Oceana?

Thanks, Jim

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 07, 2005 6:00 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Adds
Attachments: Oceana.doc

NAS Oceana Spider Chart (from Bill)



Oceana.doc (163 KB)

Michael Kessler
Navy Team Associate Analyst
BRAC Commission
Office of Review and Analysis
www.brac.gov

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 07, 2005 5:53 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Adds

We need to provide spider charts, formal add recommendation, and rationale for each proposed add by 0900 Friday, 8 July. Please pass to Ethan Saxon. Hal, we will use Brunswick as the demonstration add for the Saturday morning Team Leader meeting with Charlie. Please work with Ethan to populate. If possible, can you also produce a graph of COBRA data (cost/savings)?

Additionally, can you (Hal) get something put together on Oceana?

Thanks, Jim

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2005 5:37 PM
To: BRAC Calendar; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Mulkey, Grant, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: R&A Meeting with Oceana Representatives

Roger changes of people & place.

Bill Fetzer

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC **On Behalf Of** BRAC Calendar
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2005 5:22 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Mulkey, Grant, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Updated: R&A Meeting with Oceana Representatives
When: Thursday, July 14, 2005 10:00 AM-11:00 AM (GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: Senate Russell 236

CHANGES in BLUE - Note location change

PURPOSE: To discuss NAS Oceana and the upcoming SecDef response to Commission questions/Add's hearing

ATTENDEES: Sen Warner
Rep. Thelma Drake - VA02

Mayor Meyera E. Oberndorf - City of Virginia Beach; Bob Matthias - Assistant to the City Manager, Virginia Beach; Art Collins - Executive Director, Hampton Roads Planning Commission; George Schlossberg - Kutak Rock LLP; Admiral Dick Dunleavy (Ret.)

POC: Mike Cosío or Lucian Neimeyer, SASC Staff, 202-224-8636
Military Legislative Assistant
Office of Congresswoman Thelma Drake (VA-2)
202-225-4215

COMMISSION ATTENDEES: Jim Hanna, Bill Fetzer

LA: C Hill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 10:57 AM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Adds

Attachments: Oceana - Adds charts.ppt

Ken,

Attached charts FYI. Updated versions can be found (as I complete them) on the S drive, R&A shells, Navy Considerations.

VR, Bill



Oceana - Adds
charts.ppt (132 ...)

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 11:29 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Beauchamp, Arthur, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Breitschopf, Justin, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Combs, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana Adds

Thanks Bill - we will follow the same pattern.

Ken

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 10:57 AM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Adds

Ken,

Attached charts FYI. Updated versions can be found (as I complete them) on the S drive, R&A shells, Navy Considerations.

VR, Bill

<< File: Oceana - Adds charts.ppt >>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 1:49 PM
To: Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: *R/I Anti-OLF (in Washington County) activists to meet with North Carolina's congressional delegation Wednesday.

Attachments: popup_icon.gif



popup_icon.gif (595 B)

thnx

From: Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 1:42 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: *R/I Anti-OLF (in Washington County) activists to meet with North Carolina's congressional delegation Wednesday.

fyi

Clarenton W. "CW" Furlow

2005 BRAC Commission
Review and Analysis
(703) 699-2946

=====
News Briefs
July 12, 2005
[Staff Reports](#) View stories by reporter
Sun Journal

OLF opponents are to meet Wednesday

Opponents of the Washington-Beaufort County outlying landing field for F/A-18 Super Hornets are expected to meet with North Carolina's Washington delegation Wednesday.

About 50 eastern North Carolina residents along with representatives from concerned interest groups including the Audubon Society and Freedom/Works for a Sound Economy will be at the Canon House Office Building with Reps. G.K. Butterfield and David Price beginning at 9 a.m. to voice their opposition to the proposed 30,000-acre OLF near the Poscosin Lakes National Wildlife Refuge.

Court action has delayed the \$186 million Navy project to train pilots for aircraft carrier landings. Opponents say the Navy did a poor job analyzing its potential harm to migrating birds like the 25,000 tundra swans and 65,000 snow geese which feed in farm fields west of the site.

The Navy is re-doing its Environmental Impact Statement on that site and four others including one in northwest

Craven County but maintained in a brief filed last week that the planes will come no closer than two miles of the refuge.

Congress froze much of the money to complete the project until the U.S. Fourth District Court rules on a Navy appeal of a decision halting land purchases slated to begin July 20.

End Report

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 2:32 PM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Moody AFB Base Visit Report

Follow Up Flag: Review
Flag Status: Flagged

Attachments: Base Visit Report Moody AFB.doc

Ken / Dave,

Attached is a joint base visit report compiled by Syd Carroll and me. We visited Moody together, with Syd focusing on the outbound education and training piece, and me focusing on the inbound A-10 aircraft piece.

Moody is slated to gain 48 A-10s (36 from Pope, NC and 12 from Eielson, AK) and lose 46 T-6s and 67 T-38Cs to five different bases total.

I'd be grateful for your review before Syd and I forward this report to the E-Libr ary.



Base Visit Report
Moody AFB.do...

On a related note, I'm sending copies to Jim Hannah and Bill Fetzer to review as part of their Oceana closure analysis. In terms of Moody's capacity to handle Oceana, I'd like to highlight a few major potential issue areas. First, the preliminary DoN recommendation (rejected by OSD) that analyzed an Ocean move to Moody noted MilCon costs of appx \$345M. In our opinion, that estimate is probably very low. Additionally, costs to relocate and build required facilities for redirecting the 48 inbound A-10s, as well as current force of 11 HC-130s and 14 HH-60s plus manpower were not likely well captured in the preliminary DoN projections.

Second, housing at Moody and in nearby Valdosta, GA is extremely limited. As noted in the report, Moody currently has only 300 Military Family Housing units on base (with a net gain of appx 255 in coming years). Additionally, they are using leased apartment space off base to house a portion of their unaccompanied officers since on-base UOQs are insufficient. The Valdosta, GA metropolitan area which encompasses Moody has a job base of appx 66,000. The nearest next largest population center is in Tallahassee, FL, about 1.5 hours drive away. Bottom line, housing the ~10,000 inbound Navy personnel associated with Oceana will be a Herculean task, requiring significant investment either in military housing, or up-front costs for privatized housing (to eliminate fear and risk amongst the civilian community who won't likely invest the large sums necessary to build thousands of housing units).

Lastly, with regard to an MJB at Moody, I visited Eglin AFB, FL. Though Bill tells me that the MJB aircraft would not likely use Gulf of Mexico airspace off the Florida panhandle, in talking with the airspace manager responsible for Eglin and Tyndall, the Gulf airspace will be pretty well tapped out with the pending addition of the JSF training (~145 aircraft) at Eglin.

V/R
Tim

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 3:38 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Adds Presentation Slides

Hmmmm

From: Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 3:36 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Turner, Colleen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Ed Brown'; Carroll, Ray, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Adds Presentation Slides

Just wanted to give you a quick update on the slides. I am updating them based on this morning's presentation and ***Duke is reading them for syntax & verb issues.*** I am also on the phone with ANSWER to learn some new powerpoint format tips. Tonight, I will save the revised slides back into their respective folder Air Force, Navy, & Joint for you to disassemble and edit. Please try and hold the formatting line as much as possible. I am sorry about the compressed time frame, but I am hoping if I farm them out tonight I can get them emailed back edited for final presentation form Friday night.

I will update the Spider charts so you don't have to revise those, unless you know of a portion of the spider getting cut off etc.

Thank you for your forbearance with the ceaseless formatting changes.

Cheers,

Ethan

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2005 4:54 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Script

Attachments: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc

Here's my rough draft of the Mona Lisa. Please draw mustaches where appropriate!



ADDS TESTIMONY -
Oceana.doc (4...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 9:09 AM
To: Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Script

Attachments: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc

FYI, Enjoy!!



ADDS TESTIMONY -
Oceana.doc (4...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 9:12 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana Script

Thanks Bill!

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 9:09 AM
To: Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Script

FYI, Enjoy!!

<< File: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc >>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 9:13 AM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: CNO
Attachments: CNO.doc



CNO.doc (34 KB)

These are the talking points I used to prep for CNO might be a good guide for our session with Gen Newton...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 4:10 PM
To: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: July 18 SECDEF Hearing Questions
Importance: High

From: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 11:41 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Wasleski, Marilyn, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Schmidt, Carol, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McRee, Bradley, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carroll, Ray, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: July 18 SECDEF Hearing Questions
Importance: High

Just wanted to remind everyone for clarification that sec def questions for Monday should be specifically related to the list that went out on 1 July.

Also, Frank would like to get the questions sent NLT NOON FRIDAY. Please send me your Questions NLT 1000 Friday.

Thanks

NBS

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Schlossberg, George R. [George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:17 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Schlossberg, George R.; Jackler, Hilary A.
Subject: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 1 of 3

Attachments: Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf; FACT SHEET ON REZONING FOR NEAR POST, LLC.pdf; Application of Near Post for the November 25, 2003 Agenda.pdf



Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf (5...
FACT SHEET ON REZONING FOR NEA.
Application of Near Post for t...

Mr. Fetzer:

Thank you for taking the opportunity to meet with representatives from the NAS Oceana community today. Following our discussions, you asked several questions to which we promised to respond; in addition, we offered to send you electronic copies of our package. Attached is the following responsive information:

1. Fact Sheet and Inter-Office Memorandum describing City's Review and Approval of Near-Post Project.
2. Four Pane AICUZ Map.
3. Air Easements Map and the Map of Easement Purchases by Navy around NAS Oceana.
4. 1999 AICUZ Map.
5. Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.

Because of the size of the documents, I will be sending you an additional two emails, each containing two of the maps. I will also have a messenger deliver a hard copy of the fact sheet and inter-office memorandum for your review.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf>> <<FACT SHEET ON REZONING FOR NEAR POST, LLC.pdf>>
<<Application of Near Post for the November 25, 2003 Agenda.pdf>>

George R. Schlossberg
Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.
Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Schlossberg, George R. [George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:17 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Subject: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 2 of 3

Attachments: Four Pane AICUZ Map.pdf; Air Easements Map and Map of Easement Purchases by Navy around NAS Oceana.pdf



Four Pane AICUZ Air Easements Map
Map.pdf (9 MB)... and Map of E...

Mr. Fetzer:

Attached please find the (1) Four Pane AICUZ Map and the (2) Air Easements Map and the Map of Easement Purchases by Navy around NAS Oceana.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<Four Pane AICUZ Map.pdf>> <<Air Easements Map and Map of Easement Purchases by Navy around NAS Oceana.pdf>>

George R. Schlossberg
Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

#####

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.

Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Schlossberg, George R. [George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:18 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Schlossberg, George R.; Jackler, Hilary A.
Subject: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

Attachments: 1999 AICUZ Map.pdf; Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.pdf



1999 AICUZ Map.pdf (3 MB)



Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.pdf

Mr. Fetzer:

Attached please find the: (1) The 1999 AICUZ Map, and (2) The Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<1999 AICUZ Map.pdf>> <<Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.pdf>>

George R. Schlossberg
Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.
Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 6:48 PM
To: Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Breitschopf, Justin, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Beauchamp, Arthur, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Combs, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carroll, Ray, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Questions for Hearings
Attachments: Adds Qs Grand Forks and Moody.doc

Craig,

Here are some proposed questions for the hearings next week. Looks like one or two may be partially or fully overcome by the letter we just got from DOD responding to Chairman Principi's 1 Jul letter.

On a related note, I think it would be helpful to all parties concerned if we recommended to the senior staff that we forward these questions to the AF so that their reps can have better, more detailed responses.



Adds Qs Grand
Forks and Moody....

Tim

From: Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 11:57 AM
To: Beauchamp, Arthur, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Combs, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Breitschopf, Justin, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Questions for Hearings

Team

I need questions for the adds hearing either wrt to our 4 bases (GF/Pope/Galena/Moody) to ask the Air Force. Either email them to me or input them in this document on the S-drive.

<<file://\ESCALADE\BRAC-Polk\R & A\AF Team\Hearing Questions\Adds Hearing\AF Questions.doc>>

Thanks.

Craig

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 6:55 PM
To: Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carroll, Ray, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Commission Request Moody Scenario COBRA
Attachments: 8. COBRA USAF 00XX Moody (S-150).pdf; COBRA USAF CT-0457 (S-150) Close Moody for Oceana.CBR

From: Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:46 PM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Commission Request Moody Scenario COBRA

Heres what the AF would do with Moody if the keys were passed to the Navy...

- The 48 A-10 aircraft provided to Moody in other BRAC scenarios will instead move to Holloman AFB, NM.
- The 820th Security Forces Group will move to Eglin AFB, FL.
- Combat Search and Rescue (CSAR) forces will be divided between Davis Monthan AFB, AZ (2 HC-130 and 2 HH-60 PAA) and Patrick AFB, FL (9 HC-130 and 12 HH-60 PAA).
- The TF-34 CIRF shop that moved to Moody from Shaw AFB, SC in another scenario will also be moved to Davis Monthan AFB, AZ. Tenants stay to support the Navy.

From: Johansen David L LtCol SAF/IEB
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:35 PM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Freund Paul G Ctr SAF/IEIT
Subject: FW: Commission Request Moody Scenario COBRA

Ken Here are the pdf and cbr files for Moody...it's on its way to the CH now...call if you have any questions.

VR, Dave
David L. Johansen, Lt Col, USAF
Chief, Base Realignment & Closure Div
DSN: 222-9510 Comm: (703) 692-9510

-----Original Message-----

From: Freund Paul G Ctr SAF/IEIT
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 4:54 PM
To: Johansen David L LtCol SAF/IEB
Subject: Commission Request Moody Scenario COBRA

Dave,

Here they are.



8. COBRA USAF COBRA USAF
30XX Moody (S-15...T-0457 (S-150) Clo.

Courtesy Mr. Lebo, Mrs. Novitski, and Mr. Scovel.

Paul

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 7:01 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Hearing questions

Attachments: ADDs Hearing Questions.doc

First cut.



ADDs Hearing
Questions.doc (27...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 7:05 PM
To: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 1 of 3

Attachments: Application of Near Post for the November 25, 2003 Agenda.pdf; FACT SHEET ON REZONING FOR NEAR POST, LLC.pdf; Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf



Application of Near Post for t... FACT SHEET ON REZONING FOR NEA. Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf (5...

Please add these docs to the e-Library. This is 1 of 3.

Thanks, Bill Fetzer

-----Original Message-----

From: Schlossberg, George R. [mailto:George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:17 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Schlossberg, George R.; Jackler, Hilary A.
Subject: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 1 of 3

Mr. Fetzer:

Thank you for taking the opportunity to meet with representatives from the NAS Oceana community today. Following our discussions, you asked several questions to which we promised to respond; in addition, we offered to send you electronic copies of our package. Attached is the following responsive information:

1. Fact Sheet and Inter-Office Memorandum describing City's Review and Approval of Near-Post Project.
2. Four Pane AICUZ Map.
3. Air Easements Map and the Map of Easement Purchases by Navy around NAS Oceana.
4. 1999 AICUZ Map.
5. Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.

Because of the size of the documents, I will be sending you an additional two emails, each containing two of the maps. I will also have a messenger deliver a hard copy of the fact sheet and inter-office memorandum for your review.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf>> <<FACT SHEET ON REZONING FOR NEAR POST, LLC.pdf>>
<<Application of Near Post for the November 25, 2003 Agenda.pdf>>

George R. Schlossberg
Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

#####

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.

Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 7:06 PM
To: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 2 of 3

Attachments: Air Easements Map and Map of Easement Purchases by Navy around NAS Oceana.pdf; Four Pane AICUZ Map.pdf



Air Easements Map and Map of E...
Four Pane AICUZ Map.pdf (9 MB)...

2 of 3

-----Original Message-----

From: Schlossberg, George R. [mailto:George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:17 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Subject: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 2 of 3

Mr. Fetzer:

Attached please find the (1) Four Pane AICUZ Map and the (2) Air Easements Map and the Map of Easement Purchases by Navy around NAS Oceana.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<Four Pane AICUZ Map.pdf>> <<Air Easements Map and Map of Easement Purchases by Navy around NAS Oceana.pdf>>

George R. Schlossberg
Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

#####

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.

Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 7:07 PM
To: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

Attachments: Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.pdf; 1999 AICUZ Map.pdf



Combination Map Showing Develo... 1999 AICUZ Map.pdf (3 MB)

3 of 3

-----Original Message-----

From: Schlossberg, George R. [mailto:George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:18 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Schlossberg, George R.; Jackler, Hilary A.
Subject: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

Mr. Fetzer:

Attached please find the: (1) The 1999 AICUZ Map, and (2) The Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<1999 AICUZ Map.pdf>> <<Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.pdf>>

George R. Schlossberg
Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

#####

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.

Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 9:31 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Hearing Qs

Attachments: ADDs Hearing Questions.doc



ADDs Hearing
Questions.doc (33...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 11:42 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Script

Attachments: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc

I feel really comfortable about this script now. I removed the option of Moody only and only discuss Moody as related to the COBRA analysis and the DOD letter.

VR, Bill



ADDS TESTIMONY -
Oceana.doc (4...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 11:57 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana Script

Have you shared with Bob?

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 11:42 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Script

I feel really comfortable about this script now. I removed the option of Moody only and only discuss Moody as related to the COBRA analysis and the DOD letter.

VR, Bill

<< File: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc >>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 12:00 PM
To: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Oceana Script

Attachments: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc

FYI. Please advise if you have any changes or recommendations.

VR, Bill

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 11:42 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Script

I feel really comfortable about this script now. I removed the option of Moody only and only discuss Moody as related to the COBRA analysis and the DOD letter.

VR, Bill



ADDS TESTIMONY -
Oceana.doc (4...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 12:35 PM
To: Tran, Duke, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana to Moody

Duke,

Do you have (or would you generate) an economic assessment for Moody AFB, Valdosta, GA by moving all the current Air Force personnel out of Moody (4,603 military and 286 civilians), and moving in all the Navy personnel from NAS Oceana, VA (7,939 military, 1,368 civilians and 688 students)?

We're prepping slides for the Adds hearing.

Thank you!

Tim

Tim MacGregor
Senior Air Force Analyst
Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 625-14
Arlington, VA 22202
(703) 699-2921
<mailto:timothy.macgregor@wso.whs.mil>
<http://www.brac.gov>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Tran, Duke, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 12:39 PM
To: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana to Moody

Tim,
I'm about to run it because I just finished reviewing Ethan's ADDS master slides. I'll get back to you soon.

Duke

From: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 12:35 PM
To: Tran, Duke, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana to Moody

Duke,

Do you have (or would you generate) an economic assessment for Moody AFB, Valdosta, GA by moving all the current Air Force personnel out of Moody (4,603 military and 286 civilians), and moving in all the Navy personnel from NAS Oceana, VA (7,939 military, 1,368 civilians and 688 students)?

We're prepping slides for the Adds hearing.

Thank you!

Tim

Tim MacGregor
Senior Air Force Analyst
Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 625-14
Arlington, VA 22202
(703) 699-2921
<mailto:timothy.macgregor@wso.whs.mil>
<http://www.brac.gov>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Schlossberg, George R. [George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 2:41 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Schlossberg, George R.; Jackler, Hilary A.
Subject: Follow-Up Response to NAS Oceana Questions

Attachments: Follow-Up Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf; Navy Letter.pdf; PC Near Post Report Conclusion.pdf



Follow-Up Memo to Mr. Bill Fet...
Navy Letter.pdf (249 KB)
PC Near Post Report Conclusion...

Mr. Fetzer:

Thank you again for taking the opportunity to meet with representatives from the NAS Oceana community yesterday. Pursuant to your request, this memorandum and attached documents serve to answer your outstanding questions.

The proposed height of the buildings on the Near Post site are seventy (70) to seventy-five (75) feet, or five (5) to six (6) stories.

Attached is the following responsive information:

1. Letter from the Navy regarding the Near Post site.
2. Conclusion report of the staff of the Planning Commission on the Near Post site.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<Follow-Up Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf>> <<Navy Letter.pdf>> <<PC Near Post Report Conclusion.pdf>> George R. Schlossberg Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

#####

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message. Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Tran, Duke, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 3:42 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Wasleski, Marilyn, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tran, Duke, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Gingrich, Karl, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Econ Impacts for ADD List

All,

I've just finished running economic impact scenarios for the ADD List. These runs are fairly simple because they address CLOSUREs only. I believe I had the most up-to-minute personnel data from you, but inform me immediately if you have revisions.

I store these runs in **S:\R & A\Inter Agency Team\Economics QA's\EIT Scenarios\BRAC ADDs**, under appropriate folders for ADD installations. The folders are enumerated by order that Ethan has in the master slideshow. Just look at those PDF files starting with **BRADD**. Please forward this email to appropriate staff in case I missed them. Thank you.
Duke

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 4:20 PM
To: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Follow-Up Response to NAS Oceana Questions

Attachments: PC Near Post Report Conclusion.pdf; Navy Letter.pdf; Follow-Up Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf



PC Near Post Report Conclusion...



Navy Letter.pdf (249 KB)



Follow-Up Memo to Mr. Bill Fet...

Marcy, Please add these attachments to the BRAC Library (VA Beach Community inputs regarding NAS Oceana). This goes with the three emails forwarded yesterday plus the numerous paper docs that I sent over today.

Thanks, Bill Fetzer

-----Original Message-----

From: Schlossberg, George R. [mailto:George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 2:41 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Schlossberg, George R.; Jackler, Hilary A.
Subject: Follow-Up Response to NAS Oceana Questions

Mr. Fetzer:

Thank you again for taking the opportunity to meet with representatives from the NAS Oceana community yesterday. Pursuant to your request, this memorandum and attached documents serve to answer your outstanding questions.

The proposed height of the buildings on the Near Post site are seventy (70) to seventy-five (75) feet, or five (5) to six (6) stories.

Attached is the following responsive information:

1. Letter from the Navy regarding the Near Post site.
2. Conclusion report of the staff of the Planning Commission on the Near Post site.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<Follow-Up Memo to Mr. Bill Fetzer.pdf>> <<Navy Letter.pdf>> <<PC Near Post Report Conclusion.pdf>> George R. Schlossberg Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

#####

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected

by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.

Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 5:22 PM
To: Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Hyperlink Chart for NAS Oceana

Attachments: Oceana - Seashire.ppt



Oceana -
Seashire.ppt (336 KB)

Ethan, this is the chart that will go after the Ocean Spider.

Thanks

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2005 5:28 PM
To: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Updated Testimony

Attachments: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc

Bob, Updated testimony attached as discussed.

VR, Bill



ADDS TESTIMONY -
Oceana.doc (4...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 7:44 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC;
'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Why do you have to talk about that one slide and not the others which may be more important? I recommend that you delete those words because "requirements" mean different things to different people.

Fezt

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2005 2:51 PM
To: McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ADDS TESTIMONY

<< File: ADDS TESTIMONY.doc >>

Please look this over. I'm concerned about the highlighted portion...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 8:04 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Jim,

The problem is that one size doesn't fit all! That's why your words will seem confusing to some or many.

My presentation is all about closing NAS Oceana and the SIQ (Slide in Question) only talks about the new action being "considered" by the commission. Hal thinks that he needs to discuss the whole enchilada in order for the picture to be more clear. Consequently his SIQ is different - He's a SIQ B!!

No problem we have all night to fix it.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 7:54 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

Trying to capture overview of the slides that have the most potential for confusion. That initial slide confuses me every time I see it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 07:43:48 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Why do you have to talk about that one slide and not the others which may be more important? I recommend that you delete those words because "requirements" mean different things to different people.

Fezt

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2005 2:51 PM
To: McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ADDS TESTIMONY

<< File: ADDS TESTIMONY.doc >>

Please look this over. I'm concerned about the highlighted portion...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 11:30 AM
To: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana testimony

Attachments: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc



ADDS TESTIMONY -
Oceana.doc (4...

FYI and forwarding

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 1:37 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

We will post attachments from email 1 to 3.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 7:07 PM
To: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

3 of 3

-----Original Message-----

From: Schlossberg, George R. [mailto:George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:18 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Schlossberg, George R.; Jackler, Hilary A.
Subject: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

Mr. Fetzer:

Attached please find the: (1) The 1999 AICUZ Map, and (2) The Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<1999 AICUZ Map.pdf>> <<Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.pdf>>

George R. Schlossberg
Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

#####

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at 402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.

Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 1:47 PM
To: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

thnx

-----Original Message-----

From: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 1:37 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

We will post attachments from email 1 to 3.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 7:07 PM
To: Reborchick, Margaret, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

3 of 3

-----Original Message-----

From: Schlossberg, George R. [mailto:George.Schlossberg@KutakRock.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2005 5:18 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Schlossberg, George R.; Jackler, Hilary A.
Subject: Response to NAS Oceana Questions - Email 3 of 3

Mr. Fetzer:

Attached please find the: (1) The 1999 AICUZ Map, and (2) The Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.

If you have any questions, please call me directly at 202-828-2418 or by e-mail at george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com.

<<1999 AICUZ Map.pdf>> <<Combination Map Showing Development Constraints Around NAS Oceana.pdf>>

George R. Schlossberg
Kutak Rock LLP
1101 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20036
Tel: (202) 828-2418
Fax: (202) 828-2488
george.schlossberg@kutakrock.com

#####

ANY FEDERAL TAX ADVICE CONTAINED IN THIS MESSAGE SHOULD NOT BE USED OR REFERRED TO IN THE PROMOTING, MARKETING OR RECOMMENDING OF ANY ENTITY, INVESTMENT PLAN OR ARRANGEMENT, AND SUCH ADVICE IS NOT INTENDED OR WRITTEN TO BE USED, AND CANNOT BE USED, BY A TAXPAYER FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING PENALTIES UNDER THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE.

#####

This E-mail message is confidential, intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, attorney work product or otherwise protected by applicable law. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender at

402-346-6000 and delete this E-mail message.

Thank you.

#####

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 1:58 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Cover, Aye! Any time frame proposed? We are standing by and correcting data charts like crazy! I told Joe to pack his PJs and toothbrush for this evening's festivities.

Were you providing comments for Commish B to toss out?? We are requesting a recompute on the \$26K ducks.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 11:45 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

I will be reviewing adds with commissipners tomorrow mornoing. Bob and Frank will meet with TLs this afternoon to coordoinate. Bill, please cover for me as I have followup meetings on the hill.

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:39:58 2005
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

Make sure all review slides with ethan today

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:31:24 2005
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

One size does fit all as we have the same series of slides. I need to tee up what the commission will see.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:04:10 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Jim,

The problem is that one size doesn't fit all! That's why your words will seem confusing to some or many.

My presentation is all about closing NAS Oceana and the SIQ (Slide in Question) only talks about the new action being "considered" by the commission. Hal thinks that he needs to discuss the whole enchilada in order for the picture to be more clear. Consequently his SIQ is different - He's a SIQ B!!

No problem we have all night to fix it.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 7:54 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC;
'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC;
Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

Trying to capture overview of the slides that have the most potential for confusion. That initial slide confuses me every time I see it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC
<Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein,
David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
<Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
<Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 07:43:48 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Why do you have to talk about that one slide and not the others which may be more important? I recommend that you delete those words because "requirements" mean different things to different people.

Fezt

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2005 2:51 PM
To: McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ADDS TESTIMONY

<< File: ADDS TESTIMONY.doc >>

Please look this over. I'm concerned about the highlighted portion...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 1:59 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

He does it all on his own!

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 13:57:59 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Cover, Aye! Any time frame proposed? We are standing by and correcting data charts like crazy! I told Joe to pack his PJs and toothbrush for this evening's festivities.

Were you providing comments for Commish B to toss out?? We are requesting a recompute on the \$26K ducks.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 11:45 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

I will be reviewing adds with commissipners tomorrow mornoinng. Bob and Frank will meet with TLs this afternoon to coordoinate. Bill, please cover for me as I have followup meetings on the hill.

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:39:58 2005
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

Make sure all review slides with ethan today

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:31:24 2005
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

One size does fit all as we have the same series of slides. I need to tee up what the commission will see.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein,

David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
<Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
<Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:04:10 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Jim,

The problem is that one size doesn't fit all! That's why your words will seem confusing to some or many.

My presentation is all about closing NAS Oceana and the SIQ (Slide in Question) only talks about the new action being "considered" by the commission. Hal thinks that he needs to discuss the whole enchilada in order for the picture to be more clear. Consequently his SIQ is different - He's a SIQ B!!

No problem we have all night to fix it.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 7:54 AM
To: Fetzter, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC;
'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC;
Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

Trying to capture overview of the slides that have the most potential for confusion. That initial slide confuses me every time I see it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzter, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzter@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC
<Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein,
David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC
<Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
<Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 07:43:48 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Why do you have to talk about that one slide and not the others which may be more important? I recommend that you delete those words because "requirements" mean different things to different people.

Fetz

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2005 2:51 PM
To: McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzter, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ADDS TESTIMONY

<< File: ADDS TESTIMONY.doc >>

Please look this over. I'm concerned about the highlighted portion...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 3:05 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Already talked to Frank. No TL meeting, but we are grooming VGs and testimony. We have gone over Joe's testimony twice. He is still rewriting to get within the "box."

VR, Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 1:59 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

He does it all on his own!

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 13:57:59 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Cover, Aye! Any time frame proposed? We are standing by and correcting data charts like crazy! I told Joe to pack his PJs and toothbrush for this evening's festivities.

Were you providing comments for Commish B to toss out?? We are requesting a recompute on the \$26K ducks.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 11:45 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

I will be reviewing adds with commissipners tomorrow mornoinng. Bob and Frank will meet with TLs this afternoon to coordoinate. Bill, please cover for me as I have followup meetings on the hill.

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:39:58 2005
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

Make sure all review slides with ethan today

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC

<Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:31:24 2005
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

One size does fit all as we have the same series of slides. I need to tee up what the commission will see.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 08:04:10 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Jim,

The problem is that one size doesn't fit all! That's why your words will seem confusing to some or many.

My presentation is all about closing NAS Oceana and the SIQ (Slide in Question) only talks about the new action being "considered" by the commission. Hal thinks that he needs to discuss the whole enchilada in order for the picture to be more clear. Consequently his SIQ is different - He's a SIQ B!!

No problem we have all night to fix it.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 7:54 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil'; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: ADDS TESTIMONY

Trying to capture overview of the slides that have the most potential for confusion. That initial slide confuses me every time I see it.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Brian.McDaniel@wso.whs.mil>; 'CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil' <CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil>; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC <David.Epstein@wso.whs.mil>; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Harold.Tickle@wso.whs.mil>; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Michael.Kessler@wso.whs.mil>; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC <joe.barrett@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Mon Jul 18 07:43:48 2005
Subject: RE: ADDS TESTIMONY

Why do you have to talk about that one slide and not the others which may be more important? I recommend that you delete those words because "requirements" mean different things to different people.

Fetz

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2005 2:51 PM
To: McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; CW.Furlow@wso.whs.mil; Epstein, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ADDS TESTIMONY

<< File: ADDS TESTIMONY.doc >>

Please look this over. I'm concerned about the highlighted portion...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 3:12 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: New VG for Oceana
Attachments: Oceana - Seashire.ppt



Oceana -
Seashire.ppt (337 KB)

Attached is updated chart - approved by Bob Cook. Please replace the previous chart with this one to be shown with my third VG.

Thanks.

Fetz

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 4:06 PM
To: Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Back-up
Attachments: Oceana-APZs.ppt

Ethan,

Please add the attached chart as a Back-up in case a Commissioner asks about the noise and other APZs.

Thanks,

Bill



Oceana-APZs.ppt
(128 KB)

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 18, 2005 6:00 PM
To: Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ADDs Briefing Script - Oceana - Fetzer - Final Iteration for Today
Attachments: ADDS TESTIMONY - Oceana.doc

FYI

Fetzer



ADDS TESTIMONY -
Oceana.doc (5...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Tran, Duke, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 19, 2005 8:13 AM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Wasleski, Marilyn, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tran, Duke, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: ADD List Economic Impacts

Good morning,
Please print out latest copies of economic impacts for your respective ADD installations for your presentation. You still can find them at
S:\R & A\Inter Agency Team\Economics QA's\EIT Scenarios\BRAC ADDs.

These new files should reflect the latest personnel changes as of 6:00 pm yesterday (from Ethan's master slideshow). Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Duke

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 10:04 AM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: XO NAS Oceana Email

Sir

My email address, the CO's is also included. I look forward to our meeting on the 29th at 0830.

v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge

CAPT USN

Executive Officer NAS Oceana

Comm: 757.433.2922

DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 12:56 PM
To: 'troy.snead@navy.mil'
Subject: WEB Site ERROR

Troy,

Are you aware that your website link to the PDF file for the Oceana/Fentress Field AICUZ is incorrect? Both PDF files on that page link to Chambers field. You may want to fix that with the increased attention that Oceana will be receiving in the next few weeks.

VR, Bill Fetzer

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 1:20 PM
To: 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Boog,

Thanks for the short note. As I mentioned in the hearing, I do not presume that I or the BRAC could, in 20 days, solve a very difficult issue regarding NAS Oceana encroachment and a new Master Jet Base. However, we will be in dialogue with the DOD, Navy, local community, CODEL and whomever else has a stake in the outcome to ensure that we fully understand the issues that have brought NAS Oceana to the attention of the BRAC.

FYI, the following is provided for planning purposes:

29 JULY (0830-1230 - or as long as you need) - Staff visit by me
2 AUG (0800-1600) - Commissioner's Visit (Exact Itinerary and date/time is tentative at this time. I will confirm when final arrangements known.).
10 AUG - Regional Hearing in Washington, DC (location/Time TBD)

Staff Visit -- This visit is for your benefit to scope out any issues that appear to be off target or need better clarification. It's my opportunity to lay the groundwork for the Commissioners' Visit on 2 AUG (tentative) and also get a detailed picture of the scope of the Oceana/Fentress issues:

Noise zones, APZs, course rules adapted to comply with noise abatement procedures, negative training (if any) at Fentress, how litigation and community issues distract the command from their primary mission of base operations and support, necessity for fleet proximity, criticality of supporting Dam Neck ops, importance of over water range proximity, RAG location and stresses, need for CV availability for CARQUALs, Single-site for all strike A/C (i.e. -- if two squadrons are located at CHPT, why not half), etc, etc, etc.. I'm pretty familiar with general base ops and training and the need for day/night ops and landings and naval aviation issues in general.

I would also like to take a windshield tour of Oceana and Fentress. If you have ops going on at Fentress on 29 July - all the better.

The staff visit will not include any press or CODEL involvement. They will come into play during the Commissioner's Visit. Normally, we have allowed CODEL reps (no press) to sit in on the base CO briefings and tours with the Commissioners, but not participate in any of the discussions. Most CODELs have respected that arrangement as long as we provide a press availability after the visit - normally outside the gate at their discretion.

Commissioner Visit (GEN Newton, USAF - Ret; GEN Hill, USA - Ret; and Mr. Skinner, former SEC of Transportation)

This will be a little more formal in nature. We usually pre-meet for 15 minutes with the base CO and any other military officials present (CAGs/Regional Commanders/COMSTRKFITWINGLANT/Tenant COs/Etc.) followed by a Command brief of the basic mission or missions of the base that also includes the tenant commands affected by the potential BRAC action. After the Base and tenant command briefing we should allow 30-45 minutes for questions by the Commissioners. After that we will take a tour of NAS Oceana and maybe Fentress Field (if that is essential to their understanding of the encroachment issues). If you think that a helo tour of Oceana and Fentress (and the transition zones) for the commissioners would be more beneficial, let me know and I will have my MILAIR lift folks work on it.

After the tour, the Commissioners will likely provide a press availability for the benefit of the local press and community leaders and CODEL.

You can expect the CODEL to rally the local people for sign waving demonstrations on 2 AUG and they may set up some type of community center rally or special event (or dinner with the Senators or Congresswoman, Mayor, etc.) and invite the Commissioners and base officials to participate. It's too early to tell, but we had all that in Texas when we visited Ingleside and Corpus.

Please contact me if you have any questions. I'll be working on the itinerary and the base visit preps all week and most of next.

VR, Fetz

703-699-2915 - Office

703-856-3685 - Cell

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [mailto:patrick.lorge@navy.mil]

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 10:04 AM

To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil

Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA

Subject: XO NAS Oceana Email

Sir

My email address, the CO's is also included. I look forward to our meeting on the 29th at 0830.

v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge

CAPT USN

Executive Officer NAS Oceana

Comm: 757.433.2922

DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 1:20 PM
To: 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Boog,

Thanks for the short note. As I mentioned in the hearing, I do not presume that I or the BRAC could, in 20 days, solve a very difficult issue regarding NAS Oceana encroachment and a new Master Jet Base. However, we will be in dialogue with the DOD, Navy, local community, CODEL and whomever else has a stake in the outcome to ensure that we fully understand the issues that have brought NAS Oceana to the attention of the BRAC.

FYI, the following is provided for planning purposes:

29 JULY (0830-1230 - or as long as you need) - Staff visit by me

2 AUG (0800-1600) - Commissioner's Visit (Exact Itinerary and date/time is tentative at this time. I will confirm when final arrangements known.).

10 AUG - Regional Hearing in Washington, DC (location/Time TBD)

Staff Visit -- This visit is for your benefit to scope out any issues that appear to be off target or need better clarification. It's my opportunity to lay the groundwork for the Commissioners' Visit on 2 AUG (tentative) and also get a detailed picture of the scope of the Oceana/Fentress issues:

Noise zones, APZs, course rules adapted to comply with noise abatement procedures, negative training (if any) at Fentress, how litigation and community issues distract the command from their primary mission of base operations and support, necessity for fleet proximity, criticality of supporting Dam Neck ops, importance of over water range proximity, RAG location and stresses, need for CV availability for CARQUALs, Single-site for all strike A/C (i.e. -- if two squadrons are located at CHPT, why not half), etc, etc, etc. I'm pretty familiar with general base ops and training and the need for day/night ops and landings and naval aviation issues in general.

I would also like to take a windshield tour of Oceana and Fentress. If you have ops going on at Fentress on 29 July - all the better.

The staff visit will not include any press or CODEL involvement. They will come into play during the Commissioner's Visit. Normally, we have allowed CODEL reps (no press) to sit in on the base CO briefings and tours with the Commissioners, but not participate in any of the discussions. Most CODELs have respected that arrangement as long as we provide a press availability after the visit - normally outside the gate at their discretion.

Commissioner Visit (GEN Newton, USAF - Ret; GEN Hill, USA - Ret; and Mr. Skinner, former SEC of Transportation)

This will be a little more formal in nature. We usually pre-meet for 15 minutes with the base CO and any other military officials present (CAGs/Regional Commanders/COMSTRKFITWINGLANT/Tenant COs/Etc.) followed by a Command brief of the basic mission or missions of the base that also includes the tenant commands affected by the potential BRAC action. After the Base and tenant command briefing we should allow 30-45 minutes for questions by the Commissioners. After that we will take a tour of NAS Oceana and maybe Fentress Field (if that is essential to their understanding of the encroachment issues). If you think that a helo tour of Oceana and Fentress (and the transition zones) for the commissioners would be more beneficial, let me know and I will have my MILAIR lift folks work on it.

After the tour, the Commissioners will likely provide a press availability for the benefit of the local press and community leaders and CODEL.

You can expect the CODEL to rally the local people for sign waving demonstrations on 2 AUG and they may set up some type of community center rally or special event (or dinner with the Senators or Congresswoman, Mayor, etc.) and invite the Commissioners and base officials to participate. It's too early to tell, but we had all that in Texas when we visited Ingleside and Corpus.

Please contact me if you have any questions. I'll be working on the itinerary and the base visit preps all week and most of next.

VR, Fetz

703-699-2915 - Office

703-856-3685 - Cell

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [mailto:patrick.lorge@navy.mil]

Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 10:04 AM

To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil

Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA

Subject: XO NAS Oceana Email

Sir

My email address, the CO's is also included. I look forward to our meeting on the 29th at 0830.

v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge

CAPT USN

Executive Officer NAS Oceana

Comm: 757.433.2922

DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 1:42 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Cc: 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Attachments: Biographies - FINAL.pdf



Biographies -
FINAL.pdf (129 K...

Belay my last!! The Commissioners' visit date has been changed to Monday 1 August, and the Commissioners are now: Principi, Gehman and Skinner. Bios attached. This may change again, but the 1 AUG date is pretty firm.

Fetz

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 1:20 PM
To: 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Boog,

Thanks for the short note. As I mentioned in the hearing, I do not presume that I or the BRAC could, in 20 days, solve a very difficult issue regarding NAS Oceana encroachment and a new Master Jet Base. However, we will be in dialogue with the DOD, Navy, local community, CODEL and whomever else has a stake in the outcome to ensure that we fully understand the issues that have brought NAS Oceana to the attention of the BRAC.

FYI, the following is provided for planning purposes:

29 JULY (0830-1230 - or as long as you need) - Staff visit by me
2 AUG (0800-1600) - Commissioner's Visit (Exact Itinerary and date/time is tentative at this time. I will confirm when final arrangements known.).
10 AUG - Regional Hearing in Washington, DC (location/Time TBD)

Staff Visit -- This visit is for your benefit to scope out any issues that appear to be off target or need better clarification. It's my opportunity to lay the groundwork for the Commissioners' Visit on 2 AUG (tentative) and also get a detailed picture of the scope of the Oceana/Fentress issues:

Noise zones, APZs, course rules adapted to comply with noise abatement procedures, negative training (if any) at Fentress, how litigation and community issues distract the command from their primary mission of base operations and support, necessity for fleet proximity, criticality of supporting Dam Neck ops, importance of over water range proximity, RAG location and stresses, need for CV availability for CARQUALs, Single-site for all strike A/C (i.e. -- if two squadrons are located at CHPT, why not half), etc, etc, etc.. I'm pretty familiar with general base ops and training and the need for day/night ops and landings and naval aviation issues in general.

I would also like to take a windshield tour of Oceana and Fentress. If you have ops going on at Fentress on 29 July - all the better.

The staff visit will not include any press or CODEL involvement. They will come into play during the Commissioner's Visit. Normally, we have allowed CODEL reps (no press) to sit in on the base CO briefings and tours with the Commissioners, but not participate in any of the discussions. Most CODELs have respected that arrangement as long as we provide a press availability after the visit - normally outside the gate at their discretion.

Commissioner Visit (GEN Newton, USAF - Ret; GEN Hill, USA - Ret; and Mr. Skinner, former SEC of Transportation)

This will be a little more formal in nature. We usually pre-meet for 15 minutes with the base CO and any other military officials present (CAGs/Regional Commanders/COMSTRKFITWINGLANT/Tenant COs/Etc.) followed by a Command brief of the basic mission or missions of the base that also includes the tenant commands affected by the potential BRAC action. After the Base and tenant command briefing we should allow 30-45 minutes for questions by the Commissioners. After that we will take a tour of NAS Oceana and maybe Fentress Field (if that is essential to their understanding of the encroachment issues). If you think that a helo tour of Oceana and Fentress (and the transition zones) for the commissioners would be more beneficial, let me know and I will have my MILAIR lift folks work on it.

After the tour, the Commissioners will likely provide a press availability for the benefit of the local press and community leaders and CODEL.

You can expect the CODEL to rally the local people for sign waving demonstrations on 2 AUG and they may set up some type of community center rally or special event (or dinner with the Senators or Congresswoman, Mayor, etc.) and invite the Commissioners and base officials to participate. It's too early to tell, but we had all that in Texas when we visited Ingleside and Corpus.

Please contact me if you have any questions. I'll be working on the itinerary and the base visit preps all week and most of next.

VR, Fetz

703-699-2915 - Office

703-856-3685 - Cell

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [mailto:patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 10:04 AM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: XO NAS Oceana Email

Sir

My email address, the CO's is also included. I look forward to our meeting on the 29th at 0830.

v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge

CAPT USN

Executive Officer NAS Oceana

Comm: 757.433.2922

DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 1:42 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Cc: 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Attachments: Biographies - FINAL.pdf



Biographies -
FINAL.pdf (129 K...

Belay my last!! The Commissioners' visit date has been changed to Monday 1 August, and the Commissioners are now: Principi, Gehman and Skinner. Bios attached. This may change again, but the 1 AUG date is pretty firm.

Fetz

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 1:20 PM
To: 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Boog,

Thanks for the short note. As I mentioned in the hearing, I do not presume that I or the BRAC could, in 20 days, solve a very difficult issue regarding NAS Oceana encroachment and a new Master Jet Base. However, we will be in dialogue with the DOD, Navy, local community, CODEL and whomever else has a stake in the outcome to ensure that we fully understand the issues that have brought NAS Oceana to the attention of the BRAC.

FYI, the following is provided for planning purposes:

29 JULY (0830-1230 - or as long as you need) - Staff visit by me
2 AUG (0800-1600) - Commissioner's Visit (Exact Itinerary and date/time is tentative at this time. I will confirm when final arrangements known.).
10 AUG - Regional Hearing in Washington, DC (location/Time TBD)

Staff Visit -- This visit is for your benefit to scope out any issues that appear to be off target or need better clarification. It's my opportunity to lay the groundwork for the Commissioners' Visit on 2 AUG (tentative) and also get a detailed picture of the scope of the Oceana/Fentress issues:

Noise zones, APZs, course rules adapted to comply with noise abatement procedures, negative training (if any) at Fentress, how litigation and community issues distract the command from their primary mission of base operations and support, necessity for fleet proximity, criticality of supporting Dam Neck ops, importance of over water range proximity, RAG location and stresses, need for CV availability for CARQUALs, Single-site for all strike A/C (i.e. -- if two squadrons are located at CHPT, why not half), etc, etc, etc.. I'm pretty familiar with general base ops and training and the need for day/night ops and landings and naval aviation issues in general.

I would also like to take a windshield tour of Oceana and Fentress. If you have ops going on at Fentress on 29 July - all the better.

The staff visit will not include any press or CODEL involvement. They will come into play during the Commissioner's Visit. Normally, we have allowed CODEL reps (no press) to sit in on the base CO briefings and tours with the Commissioners, but not participate in any of the discussions. Most CODELs have respected that arrangement as long as we provide a press availability after the visit - normally outside the gate at their discretion.

Commissioner Visit (GEN Newton, USAF - Ret; GEN Hill, USA - Ret; and Mr. Skinner, former SEC of Transportation)

This will be a little more formal in nature. We usually pre-meet for 15 minutes with the base CO and any other military officials present (CAGs/Regional Commanders/COMSTRKFITWINGLANT/Tenant COs/Etc.) followed by a Command brief of the basic mission or missions of the base that also includes the tenant commands affected by the potential BRAC action. After the Base and tenant command briefing we should allow 30-45 minutes for questions by the Commissioners. After that we will take a tour of NAS Oceana and maybe Fentress Field (if that is essential to their understanding of the encroachment issues). If you think that a helo tour of Oceana and Fentress (and the transition zones) for the commissioners would be more beneficial, let me know and I will have my MILAIR lift folks work on it.

After the tour, the Commissioners will likely provide a press availability for the benefit of the local press and community leaders and CODEL.

You can expect the CODEL to rally the local people for sign waving demonstrations on 2 AUG and they may set up some type of community center rally or special event (or dinner with the Senators or Congresswoman, Mayor, etc.) and invite the Commissioners and base officials to participate. It's too early to tell, but we had all that in Texas when we visited Ingleside and Corpus.

Please contact me if you have any questions. I'll be working on the itinerary and the base visit preps all week and most of next.

VR, Fetz

703-699-2915 - Office

703-856-3685 - Cell

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [mailto:patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 10:04 AM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: XO NAS Oceana Email

Sir

My email address, the CO's is also included. I look forward to our meeting on the 29th at 0830.

v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge

CAPT USN

Executive Officer NAS Oceana

Comm: 757.433.2922

DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 2:22 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

1 Aug aye!
v/r Boog

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC [mailto:William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 13:42
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Lorge, Patrick J CAPT
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Belay my last!! The Commissioners' visit date has been changed to Monday 1 August, and the Commissioners are now: Principi, Gehman and Skinner. Bios attached. This may change again, but the 1 AUG date is pretty firm.

Fetz

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 1:20 PM
To: 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Boog,

Thanks for the short note. As I mentioned in the hearing, I do not presume that I or the BRAC could, in 20 days, solve a very difficult issue regarding NAS Oceana encroachment and a new Master Jet Base. However, we will be in dialogue with the DOD, Navy, local community, CODEL and whomever else has a stake in the outcome to ensure that we fully understand the issues that have brought NAS Oceana to the attention of the BRAC.

FYI, the following is provided for planning purposes:

29 JULY (0830-1230 - or as long as you need) - Staff visit by me
2 AUG (0800-1600) - Commissioner's Visit (Exact Itinerary and date/time is tentative at this time. I will confirm when final arrangements known.)
10 AUG - Regional Hearing in Washington, DC (location/Time TBD)

Staff Visit -- This visit is for your benefit to scope out any issues that appear to be off target or need better clarification. It's my opportunity to lay the groundwork for the Commissioners' Visit on 2 AUG (tentative) and also get a detailed picture of the scope of the Oceana/Fentress issues:

Noise zones, APZs, course rules adapted to comply with noise abatement procedures, negative training (if any) at Fentress, how litigation and community issues distract the command from their primary mission of base operations and support, necessity for fleet proximity, criticality of supporting Dam Neck ops, importance of over water range proximity, RAG location and stresses, need for CV availability for CARQUALs, Single-site for all strike A/C (i.e. -- if two

squadrons are located at CHPT, why not half), etc, etc, etc.. I'm pretty familiar with general base ops and training and the need for day/night ops and landings and naval aviation issues in general.

I would also like to take a windshield tour of Oceana and Fentress. If you have ops going on at Fentress on 29 July - all the better.

The staff visit will not include any press or CODEL involvement. They will come into play during the Commissioner's Visit. Normally, we have allowed CODEL reps (no press) to sit in on the base CO briefings and tours with the Commissioners, but not participate in any of the discussions. Most CODELs have respected that arrangement as long as we provide a press availability after the visit - normally outside the gate at their discretion.

Commissioner Visit (GEN Newton, USAF - Ret; GEN Hill, USA - Ret; and Mr. Skinner, former SEC of Transportation)

This will be a little more formal in nature. We usually pre-meet for 15 minutes with the base CO and any other military officials present (CAGs/Regional Commanders/COMSTRKFITWINGLANT/Tenant COs/Etc.) followed by a Command brief of the basic mission or missions of the base that also includes the tenant commands affected by the potential BRAC action. After the Base and tenant command briefing we should allow 30-45 minutes for questions by the Commissioners. After that we will take a tour of NAS Oceana and maybe Fentress Field (if that is essential to their understanding of the encroachment issues). If you think that a helo tour of Oceana and Fentress (and the transition zones) for the commissioners would be more beneficial, let me know and I will have my MILAIR lift folks work on it.

After the tour, the Commissioners will likely provide a press availability for the benefit of the local press and community leaders and CODEL.

You can expect the CODEL to rally the local people for sign waving demonstrations on 2 AUG and they may set up some type of community center rally or special event (or dinner with the Senators or Congresswoman, Mayor, etc.) and invite the Commissioners and base officials to participate. It's too early to tell, but we had all that in Texas when we visited Ingleside and Corpus.

Please contact me if you have any questions. I'll be working on the itinerary and the base visit preps all week and most of next.

VR, Fetz

703-699-2915 - Office
703-856-3685 - Cell

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [mailto:patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 10:04 AM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: XO NAS Oceana Email

Sir

My email address, the CO's is also included. I look forward to our meeting on the 29th at 0830.

v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge

CAPT USN

Executive Officer NAS Oceana

Comm: 757.433.2922

DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:40 AM
To: Cole, Christopher, CTR, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Uncertified Transcript 19 Jul 05 "Adds" Hearing

Attachments: 19 JULY Staff Testimony - OCEANA Naval Air Station.doc

Chris - attached is my review and correction of the testimony regarding NAS Oceana. There were some misspelled words and errors in who said what. Also, the editorial comment about (chuckles) is inappropriate.



19 JULY Staff
Testimony - OCEA...

VR, Bill Fetzer

From: Cole, Christopher, CTR, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 6:44 AM
To: mla dd - WSO BRAC
Subject: Uncertified Transcript 19 Jul 05 "Adds" Hearing

Attached is the uncertified transcript from Tuesday's hearing.

<<File: Uncertified DC 19 Jul Adds Transcript.doc >>

Christopher S Cole

Manager, ANSER BRAC Commission Support Team

ANSER (Analytic Services Inc.)

(703) 699-2972

christopher.cole.ctr@wso.whs.mil

www.brac.gov

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 3:32 PM
To: Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC

Attachments: Oceana Scenario Summary.doc



Oceana Scenario
Summary.doc (3...

Carl, Draft requests provided as discussed.

Please provide comments. Note that if we do the scenario relocate to average new dirt and understand the costs for average dirt, then I can then get a relative idea of the costs to go to government owned dirt.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 3:54 PM
To: 'william.zobel@navy.mil'
Subject: Draft Questions

Attachments: Oceana Scenario Summary.doc



Oceana Scenario
Summary.doc (3...

Skip, FYI these are my first cut at asking the clearing house questions. I made them relatively generic so as not to tie the Navy's hands. I have to ask the Kingsville Q's because they are in the public domain right now, and the Commissioners will want to know that we asked the questions and that there are reasonable responses - other than aviator experience and intuition.

Please advise soonest of any recommended clarifications or corrections. I'll be here late this evening so call 703-699-2915 anytime until□.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44 [mark.anthony@navy.mil]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 5:21 PM
To: Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC; Biddick, Dennis CIV; Nichols, Christopher T. CAPT BRAC
Cc: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Subject: FW: Draft Questions

Attachments: Oceana Scenario Summary.doc



Oceana Scenario
Summary.doc (2...

I assume you have seen these already as I asked Bill to put them through you all. vr, Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Zobel, William C CIV COMNAVREG MIDLANT ,Executive Director
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 16:08
To: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44
Subject: FW: Draft Questions

Mark,
Here they are. How do you want to handle these? R, Zobes

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC [mailto:William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 15:54
To: Zobel, William C CIV COMNAVREG MIDLANT ,Executive Director
Subject: Draft Questions

<<Oceana Scenario Summary.doc>>

Skip, FYI these are my first cut at asking the clearing house questions. I made them relatively generic so as not to tie the Navy's hands. I have to ask the Kingsville Q's because they are in the public domain right now, and the Commissioners will want to know that we asked the questions and that there are reasonable responses - other than aviator experience and intuition.

Please advise soonest of any recommended clarifications or corrections. I'll be here late this evening so call 703-699-2915 anytime until□.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44 [mark.anthony@navy.mil]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 5:27 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Subject: RE: Draft Questions

Hey Bill...got it and can answer all but the COBRA run....thanks for taking all reference to a specific new ground site off the language and I'll give Dennis all he needs on everything else you asked for and more. r, Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 17:21
To: Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC; Biddick, Dennis CIV; Nichols, Christopher T. CAPT BRAC
Cc: 'william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil'
Subject: FW: Draft Questions

I assume you have seen these already as I asked Bill to put them through you all. vr, Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Zobel, William C CIV COMNAVREG MIDLANT ,Executive Director
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 16:08
To: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44
Subject: FW: Draft Questions

Mark,

Here they are. How do you want to handle these? R, Zobes

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC [mailto:William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 15:54
To: Zobel, William C CIV COMNAVREG MIDLANT ,Executive Director
Subject: Draft Questions

<<Oceana Scenario Summary.doc>>

Skip, FYI these are my first cut at asking the clearing house questions. I made them relatively generic so as not to tie the Navy's hands. I have to ask the Kingsville Q's because they are in the public domain right now, and the Commissioners will want to know that we asked the questions and that there are reasonable responses - other than aviator experience and intuition.

Please advise soonest of any recommended clarifications or corrections. I'll be here late this evening so call 703-699-2915 anytime until□.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:45 PM
To: Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Proposed Qs

Attachments: Oceana Scenario Summary.doc

Carl,

Attached is a more refined list of COBRA requests. Question 1 will be directed toward CFFC. Q 5 is a leading question that could lay the path for Q 6 in the long term. Let me know if we need to get the Navy/Army flags involved for you to be able to get the data needed. I recommend that we assume that little or no infrastructure for a new FCLP suitable OLF exists at F.P. That way you don't need any data from the Army - just forgiveness for coveting thy neighbor's dirt!

Call me when you get in. I may still be here!!

Fetz



Oceana Scenario
Summary.doc (3...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 8:51 PM
To: Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Qs

Attachments: Oceana Scenario Summary.doc

Carl, Attached is a more refined list of proposed COBRA Qs. Q1 will be directed to CFFC, and they are prepared to answer it, Q5 is a leading question that moves us toward a potential long term solution to Q 6.

Please call when you get in on FRI and we can discuss. I may still be here!!

VR, Fetz



Oceana Scenario
Summary.doc (3...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC [carl.deputy@navy.mil]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 9:47 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana Qs

Sir,

Roger. Do you anticipate this being the "final" list of assignments we'll see on the Clearinghouse message? My data call writer is away to New Orleans and won't be back until the weekend, so Friday will a really special day, for many reasons. Do try to get some sleep.

V/R

...cd

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC [mailto:William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil]
Sent: Thu 7/21/2005 8:50 PM
To: Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Qs

Carl, Attached is a more refined list of proposed COBRA Qs. Q1 will be directed to CFFC, and they are prepared to answer it, Q5 is a leading question that moves us toward a potential long term solution to Q 6.

Please call when you get in on FRI and we can discuss. I may still be here!!

VR, Fetz.

<<Oceana Scenario Summary.doc>>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:15 AM
To: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carroll, Ray, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Wasleski, Marilyn, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Uncertified Transcript 19 Jul 05 "Adds" Hearing

Thanks Tim, I concur. I corrected the Oceana testimony with the "MS Word - track changes" feature and sent it back.

The testimony was on the C-SPAN.org website yesterday, but I would like a VHS copy in case we need to review it for final deliberations.

VR, Bill

From: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 8:24 AM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Carroll, Ray, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Tickle, Harold, CIV, WSO-BRAC; McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Furlow, Clarenton, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Wasleski, Marilyn, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Saxon, Ethan, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Uncertified Transcript 19 Jul 05 "Adds" Hearing

AF Team (and others who testified to the Commission last Tuesday, 19 July),

If you haven't already, I'd highly recommend you review the uncertified Adds Hearing transcript Christopher Cole sent yesterday. After reviewing just the 20 pages of transcript when I was in the chair, I noted 25 required corrections, to include 16 instances of quotes being attributed to the wrong person.

I managed to get a hold of a VHS copy of the C-SPAN 3 coverage in its entirety. I'm reconnoitering opportunities to make copies available to all of you for your use in reviewing your individual testimony to ensure the transcript accurately reflects who spoke, and what was spoken.

Tim

Tim MacGregor
Senior Air Force Analyst
Base Closure and Realignment Commission

2521 Clark Street, Suite 625-14
Arlington, VA 22202
(703) 699-2921
<mailto:timothy.macgregor@wso.whs.mil>
<http://www.brac.gov>

From: Cole, Christopher, CTR, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 6:44 AM
To: mla dd - WSO BRAC
Subject: Uncertified Transcript 19 Jul 05 "Adds" Hearing

Attached is the uncertified transcript from Tuesday's hearing.

<<File: Uncertified DC 19 Jul Adds Transcript.doc >>

Christopher S Cole
Manager, ANSER BRAC Commission Support Team

ANSER (Analytic Services Inc.)
(703) 699-2972
christopher.cole.ctr@wso.whs.mil

www.brac.gov

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:49 AM
To: 'william.zobel@navy.mil'
Subject: Revised Q's - Draft

Skip,

Attached are the revised draft Q's that are being prepped for the clearing house. Q-1 will be directed to CFFC for response and should lay the rationale for the assumptions on why the rest may or may not make sense.

Q's 2 through 7 are needed to respond to Commissioners' specific questions. Those will be coordinated with the ASN BRAC IAT. Most are politically driven as you can imagine by the statements and press comments in the public domain. The IAT already knows some of the answers, but we need to ask formally in order to obtain data to establish decision points.

Q 5 may seem a big stretch for an OLF (90-100 miles from Oceana) but it could assist the Navy and the state in establishing a long range plan for an MJB (Q-6). The Army owns Ft Pickett and there is some UXO there, but with 42,000 acres of federal land, there are possibilities. Fentress could then be somewhat relieved of constant traffic and used for surge.

Please hold close within your CFFC and Oceana network until we get approval to ask. There may be more as we get more input on the issues.

If you can get me the answers/rationale to Q 1 as soon as possible, that will help in answering the rest of the questions.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:52 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Subject: RE: Revised Q's - Draft - actually attached this time

Attachments: Oceana Scenario Summary.doc



Oceana Scenario
Summary.doc (3...

FYI

Sorry for the earlier misfire.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:49 AM
To: 'william.zobel@navy.mil'
Subject: Revised Q's - Draft

Skip,

Attached are the revised draft Q's that are being prepped for the clearing house. Q-1 will be directed to CFFC for response and should lay the rationale for the assumptions on why the rest may or may not make sense.

Q's 2 through 7 are needed to respond to Commissioners' specific questions. Those will be coordinated with the ASN BRAC IAT. Most are politically driven as you can imagine by the statements and press comments in the public domain. The IAT already knows some of the answers, but we need to ask formally in order to obtain data to establish decision points.

Q 5 may seem a big stretch for an OLF (90-100 miles from Oceana) but it could assist the Navy and the state in establishing a long range plan for an MJB (Q-6). The Army owns Ft Pickett and there is some UXO there, but with 42,000 acres of federal land, there are possibilities. Fentress could then be somewhat relieved of constant traffic and used for surge.

Please hold close within your CFFC and Oceana network until we get approval to ask. There may be more as we get more input on the issues.

If you can get me the answers/rationale to Q 1 as soon as possible, that will help in answering the rest of the questions.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:52 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Subject: RE: Revised Q's - Draft - actually attached this time

Attachments: Oceana Scenario Summary.doc



Oceana Scenario
Summary.doc (3...

FYI

Sorry for the earlier misfire.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:49 AM
To: 'william.zobel@navy.mil'
Subject: Revised Q's - Draft

Skip,

Attached are the revised draft Q's that are being prepped for the clearing house. Q-1 will be directed to CFFC for response and should lay the rationale for the assumptions on why the rest may or may not make sense.

Q's 2 through 7 are needed to respond to Commissioners' specific questions. Those will be coordinated with the ASN BRAC IAT. Most are politically driven as you can imagine by the statements and press comments in the public domain. The IAT already knows some of the answers, but we need to ask formally in order to obtain data to establish decision points.

Q 5 may seem a big stretch for an OLF (90-100 miles from Oceana) but it could assist the Navy and the state in establishing a long range plan for an MJB (Q-6). The Army owns Ft Pickett and there is some UXO there, but with 42,000 acres of federal land, there are possibilities. Fentress could then be somewhat relieved of constant traffic and used for surge.

Please hold close within your CFFC and Oceana network until we get approval to ask. There may be more as we get more input on the issues.

If you can get me the answers/rationale to Q 1 as soon as possible, that will help in answering the rest of the questions.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:53 AM
To: 'william.zobel@navy.mil'
Subject: FW: Revised Q's - Draft - actually attached this time

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Attachments: Oceana Scenario Summary.doc



Oceana Scenario
Summary.doc (3...

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:52 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Subject: RE: Revised Q's - Draft - actually attached this time

FYI

Sorry for the earlier misfire.

Fetz

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 9:49 AM
To: 'william.zobel@navy.mil'
Subject: Revised Q's - Draft

Skip,

Attached are the revised draft Q's that are being prepped for the clearing house. Q-1 will be directed to CFFC for response and should lay the rationale for the assumptions on why the rest may or may not make sense.

Q's 2 through 7 are needed to respond to Commissioners' specific questions. Those will be coordinated with the ASN BRAC IAT. Most are politically driven as you can imagine by the statements and press comments in the public domain. The IAT already knows some of the answers, but we need to ask formally in order to obtain data to establish decision points.

Q 5 may seem a big stretch for an OLF (90-100 miles from Oceana) but it could assist the Navy and the state in establishing a long range plan for an MJB (Q-6). The Army owns Ft Pickett and there is some UXO there, but with 42,000 acres of federal land, there are possibilities. Fentress could then be somewhat relieved of constant traffic and used for surge.

Please hold close within your CFFC and Oceana network until we get approval to ask. There may be more as we get more input on the issues.

If you can get me the answers/rationale to Q 1 as soon as possible, that will help in answering the rest of the questions.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 10:40 AM
To: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Subject: Clearing House Q's

Attachments: Clearinghouse request WWF #10.doc; Clearinghouse request WWF #5.doc; Clearinghouse request WWF #6.doc; Clearinghouse request WWF #7.doc; Clearinghouse request WWF #8.doc; Clearinghouse request WWF #9.doc

Mike, attached Q's for your records and forwarding for action. # 5 is for CFFC and #'s 6 through 10 are for IAT COBRA.

VR, Bill



Clearinghouse request WWF #10...equest WWF #5.d..equest WWF #6.d..equest WWF #7.d..equest WWF #8.d..equest WWF #9.d..

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Gordy, Thomas [ThomasGordy@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 4:27 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Subject: FW: Letters

Attachments: image001.gif



image001.gif (12
KB)

Bill,

I sent the letters by electronic fax to your email. If you did not receive them, let me know and I will resend.

Good talking to you this morning. Let me know if there is anything else I can provide.

Vr,

Tom

Tom Gordy

Chief of Staff

Congresswoman Thelma Drake

(202) 225 - 4215

(757) 497 - 6859

www.drake.house.gov

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 4:56 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Oceana Alternative

-----Original Message-----

From: Van Saun, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 2:50 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Battaglia, Charles, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Principi, Anthony, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana Alternative

The whole FL delegation just put Cecil Field on the table to be considered as an alternative to Oceana. Much to work on but they want to work with Navy to explore the option!!! WOW!

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 5:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Fetz

Is your security clearance still active? If so, could you fax it to 757.433.2007 or email it to the CO?

v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge

CAPT USN

Executive Officer NAS Oceana

Comm: 757.433.2922

DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Keys, Richard D CDR FFC (N762) [Richard.Keys@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:26 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44
Subject: FT PICKETT INFORMATION

Attachments: FT PICKETT OLF.doc; Fort Pickett NWINoise.pdf; FortPickettCensusNoise.pdf



FT PICKETT
OLF.doc (27 KB)



Fort Pickett
NWINoise.pdf (317..



FortPickettCensusN
oise.pdf (10...

Sir,

Attached are documents previously generated regarding Ft Pickett as an OLF. I will have to fax a draft of the letter previously sent to Governor Warner. As explained in the EIS, FT Pickett was not within the designated OLF study area. However, because of comments received during the process we did a separate analysis of Ft Pickett using our OLF siting criteria. There have been two variations on the Ft Pickett OLF. There is an existing airfield which was proposed to be expanded (Blackstone AAF). It is joint civil use and also within three miles of the town of Blackstone. Therefore, it did not meet our requirement of low population density and no incompatible (civilian) operations. The latest suggestion was to close down the National Guard live fire training area and build an OLF within the confines of the Ft Pickett boundaries. This is the issue the attached papers address.

In 2002, 1997 Navy personnel used Fort Pickett a total of 161,000 mandays and 333 Navy Reservists use it for 1,041 mandays. Marines totaled 2,500 personnel and 22,340 mandays and Marine Reserves were 865 personnel for 2,212 mandays. Navy use was 3% and USMC was 5% of total annual usage. Navy primary users are specwar units. Marine users are FAST companies, 2nd LAR, and 24 and 26 MEU. Additionally HCS 4 and 6 use it for live fire 7.62, 50 cal, 2.75 rocket, and hellfire. F-14 and F-18 use it for inert bombs. This data is from range scheduling records.

V/R
CDR Keys
FFC N441
757-836-3674
cell 757-646-7068

<<FT PICKETT OLF.doc>> <<Fort Pickett NWINoise.pdf>> <<FortPickettCensusNoise.pdf>>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:46 PM
To: 'Keys, Richard D CDR FFC (N762)'
Subject: RE: FT PICKETT INFORMATION

thnx. also received the fx.

fetz

From: Keys, Richard D CDR FFC (N762) [mailto:Richard.Keys@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:26 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44
Subject: FT PICKETT INFORMATION

Sir,

Attached are documents previously generated regarding Ft Pickett as an OLF. I will have to fax a draft of the letter previously sent to Governor Warner. As explained in the EIS, FT Pickett was not within the designated OLF study area. However, because of comments received during the process we did a separate analysis of Ft Pickett using our OLF siting criteria. There have been two variations on the Ft Pickett OLF. There is an existing airfield which was proposed to be expanded (Blackstone AAF). It is joint civil use and also within three miles of the town of Blackstone. Therefore, it did not meet our requirement of low population density and no incompatible (civilian) operations. The latest suggestion was to close down the National Guard live fire training area and build an OLF within the confines of the Ft Pickett boundaries. This is the issue the attached papers address.

In 2002, 1997 Navy personnel used Fort Pickett a total of 161,000 mandays and 333 Navy Reservists use it for 1,041 mandays. Marines totaled 2,500 personnel and 22,340 mandays and Marine Reserves were 865 personnel for 2,212 mandays. Navy use was 3% and USMC was 5% of total annual usage. Navy primary users are specwar units. Marine users are FAST companies, 2nd LAR, and 24 and 26 MEU. Additionally HCS 4 and 6 use it for live fire 7.62, 50 cal, 2.75 rocket, and hellfire. F-14 and F-18 use it for inert bombs. This data is from range scheduling records.

V/R
CDR Keys
FFC N441
757-836-3674
cell 757-646-7068

<<FT PICKETT OLF.doc>> <<Fort Pickett NWINoise.pdf>> <<FortPickettCensusNoise.pdf>>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:47 PM
To: 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

It is. I will fwd to our security officer.

VR, Fetz

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [mailto:patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 5:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Fetz
Is your security clearance still active? If so, could you fax it to 757.433.2007 or email it to the CO?
v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge
CAPT USN
Executive Officer NAS Oceana
Comm: 757.433.2922
DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:48 PM
To: Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: security clnc.

Marty, can you fax this or do I need to take other action?

VR, Bill

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [mailto:patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 5:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Fetz
Is your security clearance still active? If so, could you fax it to 757.433.2007 or email it to the CO?
v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge
CAPT USN
Executive Officer NAS Oceana
Comm: 757.433.2922
DSN: 433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:51 PM
To: 'Gordy, Thomas'
Subject: RE: Letters

Attachments: image001.gif



image001.gif (12
KB)

thanx. got em.

Bill

From: Gordy, Thomas [mailto:ThomasGordy@mail.house.gov]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 4:27 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Subject: FW: Letters

Bill,

I sent the letters by electronic fax to your email. If you did not receive them, let me know and I will resend.

Good talking to you this morning. Let me know if there is anything else I can provide.

Vr,

Tom

Tom Gordy

Chief of Staff

Congresswoman Thelma Drake

(202) 225 - 4215

(757) 497 - 6859

www.drake.house.gov

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2005 12:10 PM
To: Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: security clnc.

It was a BI, but I don't know the various DOD Background Investigation agencies that are involved. I do know that when I checked in to the BRAC staff, the WHS/WSO security manager looked me up in the DOD database and I had up to a TS level (or higher). I have maintained my clearance since I retired from the Navy in 98. DELEX Corporation Security Office holds it for me as a courtesy.

Bill

From: Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2005 9:45 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: security clnc.

Do you know the type of investigation and the issuing agency for your clearance?

Marty

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:48 PM
To: Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: security clnc.

Marty, can you fax this or do I need to take other action?

VR, Bill

From: Lorge, Patrick J CAPT [mailto:patrick.lorge@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 5:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA
Subject: RE: XO NAS Oceana Email

Fetz
Is your security clearance still active? If so, could you fax it to 757.433.2007 or email it to the CO?
v/r Boog

Patrick J. Lorge
CAPT USN
Executive Officer NAS Oceana
Comm: 757.433.2922

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2005 4:58 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Base Summary sheet for Oceana

Attachments: Base Summary Sheet - Oceana.doc

Jim,

I modified the base sheet summary to capture BRAC -05 Recommendations as well as the Commission consideration. UNODIR I will use the modified format for the visit briefing books.



Base Summary
Sheet - Oceana.do...

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Sunday, July 24, 2005 8:21 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Re: Base Summary sheet for Oceana

Ok

-----Original Message-----

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC <William.Fetzer@wso.whs.mil>
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC <James.Hanna@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Sat Jul 23 16:58:08 2005
Subject: Base Summary sheet for Oceana

Jim,

I modified the base sheet summary to capture BRAC -05 Recommendations as well as the Commission consideration. UNODIR I will use the modified format for the visit briefing books.

VR, Bill

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Niemeyer, Lucian (Armed Services) [Lucian_Niemeyer@armed-services.senate.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 7:04 AM
To: BRAC Bill Fetzer
Cc: james.hanna@wso.whs.mil
Subject: NAS Oceana letters

Attachments: England BRAC Letter.pdf; Document.pdf



England BRAC
Letter.pdf (2 MB)...



Document.pdf (45
KB)

Bill: As requested, here are Senator Warner's letter and Sec England's response. I'll give you a call to discuss both. Thanks - L

Lucian Niemeyer

Committee on Armed Services

United States Senate

(202) 224-8636

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Niemeyer, Lucian (Armed Services) [Lucian_Niemeyer@armed-services.senate.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 8:17 AM
To: BRAC Bill Fetzer
Cc: james.hanna@wso.whs.mil
Subject: JSF Jet Noise Information

Attachments: Noise bar chart all.ppt; Noise bar chart F_A.ppt



Noise bar chart
all.ppt (87 KB...



Noise bar chart
F_A.ppt (80 KB...

Bill: Reference Sec England's letter you plan to include in the Commissioner's package, we've been trying to get certification from the Navy to the comment in Sec England's letter. " (Oceana) does, however, have significant encroachment issues that pose operational risks, particularly when the Joint Strike fighter is introduced, which will bring with it higher noise levels."

Do you have any certification that the JSF will be a louder aircraft than any currently assigned to NAS Oceana? I always remembered the F-14 Tomcat being the loudest, and the attached charts from the Navy bear that out. We'll continue to search for updated decibel level studies and will include the data in our testimony. Thanks - L

-----Original Message-----

From: Halley, Thomas CDR OLA [mailto:thomas.halley@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 3:28 PM
To: O'Connor, Stanley (Armed Services)
Subject: JSF Jet Noise Stuff.

Sir,
Hope this is the info that you were looking for? I think the JSF Program Office is going to send you some additional information.

V/R
Comet

We do not have a report on JSF noise levels. What we have are some preliminary readings taken on the Concept Demonstration Aircraft (CDA) back in the 01 timeframe compared against known legacy aircraft data. We do not yet have a production representative engine in a production representative aircraft to establish installed engine noise criteria. The first Conventional Take-Off and Landing (CTOL) flies in 06, the Short Take-Off Vertical Landing (STOVL) in 07 and Carrier Variant (CV) in 08.

<<Noise bar chart all.ppt>> <<Noise bar chart F_A.ppt>>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 8:24 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Just in case

Attachments: 1277_1.pdf; 1277_2.pdf; 1277_3.pdf; 1277_4.pdf; 1277_5.pdf; 1277_6.pdf; 1277_nov2.xls



1277_1.pdf (218 KB)



1277_2.pdf (254 KB)



1277_3.pdf (142 KB)



1277_4.pdf (804 KB)



1277_5.pdf (729 KB)



1277_6.pdf (820 KB)



1277_nov2.xls (22 KB)

Tim MacGregor
Senior Air Force Analyst
Base Closure and Realignment Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 625-14
Arlington, VA 22202
(703) 699-2921
mailto:timothy.macgregor@wso.whs.mil
http://www.brac.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2005 6:27 PM
To: Beauchamp, Arthur, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Breitschopf, Justin, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Combs, David, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cruz, Tanya, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Flinn, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC; MacGregor, Timothy, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Just in case

This might be of interest to several of you.

Ken

From: Pease Fred SES SAF/IEB
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2005 7:20 AM
To: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Just in case

-----Original Message-----

From: Sample James Ctr AF/XOO-ARA
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2005 2:18 PM
To: Pease Fred SES SAF/IEB
Cc: Holland William Brig Gen AF/XOO; McCullough Carl SES AF/XOO-ARA; From James Lt Col AF/XOXJ; Brennan Timothy Ctr SAF/IEBB
Subject: RE: Just in case

Hello Sir,

In short I believe he is wrong about the airspace calculations; he misinterpreted how we did the volume metrics. At first it was going to be that a base got credit for □sections of airspace□ in proximity. But we also saw the problem with this and specifically W72. W72 was switched from one warning area to about 16 during the BRAC process. It was the same amount of airspace so magically it shouldn't be 16 times better because of name

changes. So we took a different approach. We calculated the actual volume of the sections of MOAs, Restricted, Warning Areas and ATCAAs within 150, 200, 250, and 300 NM of a base and used that number for the metric.

The PDFs attached provide pictures of the analytical process:

#1 Shows the all the airspace used for analysis

#2 Shows a graphical summary volumes for each base

#3 Using Moody AFB as an example, this shows the volumes of airspace used to calculate the 150 and 200NM values for the base

#4 is 3-D view of the area around Moody & all the airspace

#5 Is the same as #3 for the 150NM distance but in 3-D

#6 is a 3-D view of the volumes of airspace used to calculate the 150NM distance for Moody

I also attached the answers calculated for each base and put in widget.

Let me know if you need anything else.

VR - Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Pease Fred SES SAF/IEB

Sent: Tue 7/12/2005 11:07 AM

To: Sample James Ctr AF/XOO-ARA

Cc: Holland William Brig Gen AF/XOO; McCullough Carl SES AF/XOO-ARA; From James Lt Col AF/XOXJ

Subject: FW: Just in case

Jim,

Thoughts pls. Visit is for tomorrow

Gerald F. (Fred) Pease Jr.

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force

Basing and Infrastructure Analysis

Rm 5C 283 (703) 697-2524

gerald.pease@pentagon.af.mil

WORCESTER: We found that when we corrected these errors in the three data error blocks depicted here on the slide, our recalculated score rises substantially from 42.83 to 60.88.

This correction achieves what should have been Otis' correct MCI rating. It was based on a precise recalculation using the correct data applied to the Air Force's own formulas.

The impact of this correction is huge.

Using the initial inaccurate score, we were dead on arrival when the Air Force developed

its basing scenarios. Only those bases with the highest military value ratings were considered for key flying missions. Those with the lowest scores were closed.

If our corrected score had been used by the Air Force, Otis would have remained open.

The three data error categories I just briefed can be further broken down into nine different attributes that contained substantial errors where data corrections or recalculations gained additional points for Otis.

In the next few slides we're going to discuss these attributes in a little bit greater detail.

Three air space categories or attribute issues are problematic: proximity to air space supporting mission, range complex supporting mission, and access to supersonic air space.

The three air space issues are summed up on this slide.

The three major air space ranges were not included by the DOD in the BRAC data collection process, resulting in a loss of credit for those air spaces. For the air space we did get credit for, that credit was incomplete or improper as identified in the briefing graphic block on the upper right-hand side, or for failure to consider several important air space attributes such as proximity or access to supersonic air spaces.

When you include the missing air spaces, that which we didn't get credit for, Otis is number one in the nation for total volume of air space available.

Under key mission infrastructure and large-scale deployment, initial credit was given for 18 explosive-sited parking spots -- that's very critical to our mission -- and the ability to load armament on them, hangar spots for 12 F-15s and parking for three C-17s, far below our true capacity.

WORCESTER: We should have been credited for the actual capacity which is much more than 50 explosive-sited parking spots, more than 30 hangar spots and more than six spots for C-17s.

By the way, all of these points would have brought us up to 100 percent credit in those categories. Otis can support all of these forces simultaneously unlike many other installations, where they would have to make the decision to harbor or bed-down all of those airlift aircraft or their fighter aircraft.

At Otis, we can do it all simultaneously, concurrently, with no impact to any other operations.

Our airfield capacity rivals or exceeds the capacity at many higher-rated Air Force installations.

Using the formulas and algorithms that the Air Force used, my team was able to input the correct data to arrive at the fighter MCI score that Otis Air National Guard Base should have received.

Please note we rose in value by 42 percent and a ranking from 88 to a new position of 27 overall. This ranking was based on certifiable Air Force data and results in a dramatic change in our overall ranking compared to other Air National Guard installations.

We are confident when our analysis is complete, we will be the highest ranked fighter base in the Air National Guard.

So far, we have shown you that correcting Otis' ranking using the Air Force's own methodology resulted in a dramatic leap in Otis' ranking. What we will discuss now are flaws with Air Force's methodology; flaws which, if corrected, would have resulted in an even higher rating for Otis.

For example, the Air Force methodology rated installations with access to a few large high-quality ranges lower than those with access to many small ranges. Ranges that were too small to support fighter operations were included in the fighter MCI equally and skewed the overall military value.

WORCESTER: In another example, the Air Force methodology did not consider air space saturation and accessibility. These attributes are too important to ignore.

Finally, the Air Force methodology purported to measure a base's proximity to air space, but a high percentage of the score bore little relation to actual proximity.

If the Air Force had gotten its methodology right, Otis would have rated higher still.

Here is a detailed look at how the flawed methodology affected the Air Force's evaluation of training ranges.

The blue depicts the high usage air spaces that our unit uses at this time. If you just look at our two normal air spaces and then compare them to those further down the South coast that are in a congested area, you will notice that the mid-Atlantic bases identified in red with multiple ranges get higher scores.

Whiskey 72, an alphanumeric designator for air space, that space which is on the bottom of the slide broken up into small pieces or segments, is again broken into 16 different individual air spaces.

Langley Air Force Base received credit for 16 separate ranges as did any other base that was within 150 miles of those ranges. These are additive, which artificially boosts their score. And, unfortunately, this is factored into 34 percent of the total MCI.

Interestingly, our prime air space, that Whiskey 105 area at the 6:00 position in blue, only got one credit for one air space and yet it is broken into nine individual segments.

Our unit only got credit for one and at least it should have gotten nine.

Saturation concerns, meaning how many units using the same air space in a fixed amount of time, was not factored into the equation. Issues such as range scheduling, deconfliction, necessity to seek air space extensions, et cetera, are all current day-to-day problems that were not addressed.

These are not an issue for Otis.

We have access to superb, large, high-volume air space around the clock. And yet we were scored lower than other bases such as Langley, Atlantic City and others to the south that have to share and deconflict their ranges.

We are the 95 percent user of our air space. Our air space can support advanced long-range, large force training scenarios that are critical to the fielding of fourth and fifth-generation fighter aircraft capabilities and is part of the BRAC philosophy established by the Air Force for current and future mission assessments.

WORCESTER: The last several slides consider the Air Force's failure to accurately measure important attributes because of flawed methodology.

To our surprise, one vital attribute of military value that the Air Force did not even attempt to capture was a base's value to homeland defense mission.

This slide depicts the actual fighter MCI criteria and corresponding weighting factors.

As you can plainly see, homeland defense was not a consideration in rating a base's military value. The emphasis on training ignored strategic military value and homeland defense.

As you can see from this quote, the Air Force acknowledged the importance of this mission, yet failed to quantitatively or qualitatively measure its importance as a current mission.

In fact, the United States Navy took this approach to homeland defense at Naval Air Station Point Mugu and removed the base from BRAC consideration.

The Air Force, however, chose not to follow this approach.

Factors obviously crucial to a fighter base's contribution to national security were left out. Factors such as current air sovereignty alert mission as we do at Otis; our superb

strategic location; our surge capability and response to increased NORAD threat levels, such as what was accomplished on 9/11; our extensive base security and multilayered protection; and our future and asymmetric threat assessments and response capabilities in the future are all attributes that should have been considered.

Otis would have stood out as the premier air defense location for the protection of the entire Northeastern United States.

Let's sum up our military value component.

Using the corrected military value score, Otis should be ranked 27 out of 154 bases. The incorrect military value prevented Otis from being considered by the base closure executive group for the basing scenarios that followed. We were excluded from the game.

If they had corrected the flawed methodology for evaluating air space and given proper consideration to current and future homeland defense missions, the Air Force would have improved its ranking process and Otis would have remained open.

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 8:31 AM
To: 'Niemeyer, Lucian (Armed Services)'
Subject: RE: NAS Oceana letters

Thanks Lucian.

I will call you after our morning meeting --about 9 AM.

Bill

From: Niemeyer, Lucian (Armed Services) [mailto:Lucian_Niemeyer@armed-services.senate.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 7:04 AM
To: BRAC Bill Fetzer
Cc: james.hanna@wso.whs.mil
Subject: NAS Oceana letters

Bill: As requested, here are Senator Warner's letter and Sec England's response. I'll give you a call to discuss both. Thanks - L

Lucian Niemeyer

Committee on Armed Services

United States Senate

(202) 224-8636

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 10:41 AM
To: Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Clearance Investigation for Fetzer

Thanks Marty, did you already email it or fax it to Oceana?

Bill

From: Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 9:57 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Clearance Investigation for Fetzer

FYI

From: Henry, Haseena, CTR, WHS/APSD
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 9:47 AM
To: Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Prudhomme, Tom, CIV, WHS/APSD
Subject: RE: Clearance Investigation for Fetzer

Mr Heigh, please see below.

Clearance -Top Secret
Date – Nov 2002
Investigation Type - SBPR
Agency - DSS

Thank You

Haseena Henry
Security Specialist
WHS/APSD
(P) 703-601-2553 x102
(F) 703-601-3000

-----Original Message-----

From: Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2005 9:45 AM
To: Prudhomme, Tom, CIV, WHS/APSD; Henry, Haseena, CTR, WHS/APSD
Subject: Clearance Investigation for Fetzer

Haseena or Tom,

My spreadsheet does not have the type of investigation or agency that issued Mr. William Fetzer his Top Secret clearance. I need to pass his clearance for a meeting on 1 Aug 05. can you supply me with the necessary information please?

Col Heigh
MARTIN HEIGH, Col, USAFR
Military Assistant BRAC Commission
Office: 703-699-2977
Cell: 703-587-0061

Blackberry Cell: 703-901-7803
Fax: 703-699-2735

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 10:51 AM
To: Aarnio, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Subject: Cecil field

Jim,

I need a quick turnaround on the airspace issues associated with Cecil Field. In 1993 BRAC decision on Cecil closure, the Navy asserted that the Cecil airspace was encroached. The BRAC Commission found that the air encroachment was overstated.

What is the truth. Is it manageable?

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 11:48 AM
To: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC; Cirillo, Frank, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Cook, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Clearing House #11
Attachments: Clearinghouse request WWF #11.doc

Mike, please forward this for action.

VR, Bill



Clearinghouse
request WWF #11...

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Aarnio, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 12:01 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Barrett, Joe, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Cecil field

Fetz,

Talked to Joe Banretthis morning about this very issue. I think Cecil is viable. Encroachment on the ground side would mainly be on the N/NE due to JAX Int'l. JAX approach control handles approach procedures for Cecil. If the Hornets use the South 18R- 18L rwys and the 27 R/L rwys, they would have good access to the Atlantic Warning Areas, GOMEX Warning Areas, and even up to Moody's airspace. Don't know about ground expansion or surface infrastructure, but would guess it would be limited from about 090-270 degrees azimuth.

JAX is not a real high density airport, and I think airspace issues for arrivals and departures could be worked. I'd suggest investigating the environmental side (noise). I'm not familiar with the demographics South and Southwest of Cecil. I think Cecil certainly has the runways! Nothing shorter than 8200x200 and even one (18L) over 12,000x200.

One cautionary note: On the East access to the Atlantic there are busy domestic corridors, but I think the intermediate altitudes to access the Warning Areas can be managed.

Let me know what more I can do.

Jim

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 10:51 AM
To: Aarnio, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Subject: Cecil field

Jim,

I need a quick turnaround on the airspace issues associated with Cecil Field. In 1993 BRAC decision on Cecil closure, the Navy asserted that the Cecil airspace was encroached. The BRAC Commission found that the air encroachment was overstated.

What is the truth. Is it manageable?

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 12:40 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana

VA BCH is getting hammered in the local press for not standing up to the developers

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 12:59 PM
To: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana

Reportedly, the developers are the source of their election campaign funds, but I don't know that for a fact and that's not germane at this point.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 12:40 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana

VA BCH is getting hammered in the local press for not standing up to the developers

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 1:25 PM
To: Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Subject: FW: FT PICKETT INFORMATION

Attachments: FortPickettCensusNoise.pdf; Fort Pickett NWINoise.pdf; FT PICKETT OLF.doc



FortPickettCensusNoise.pdf (10...



Fort Pickett NWINoise.pdf (317..



FT PICKETT OLF.doc (27 KB)

FYI,

Gary,

I have issued a clearing house question to the Navy, but I need your help in analyzing the pros and cons of Ft Pickett.

The real issue behind this idea is: Will Ft Pickett be feasible as a potential Master Jet Base that will eventually be home to at least 244 Super Hornets and whatever JSF quantities are realized in the future?

To alleviate the near term encroachment issues at Oceana and the OLF, the thought was to first establish an OLF at Ft P, with the idea of building the MJB later when the Navy gets a plan and a budget assembled to do that.

We know that it costs about \$60-70M to build an OLF with runways, lighting, arresting gear and minimal structures to support FCLPs, etc. At Ft P the ROM to clean up the UXO is \$25M. So we're close to \$100M already just to get in the door.

Can the Navy MJB co-exist (embedded near the center of the present boundary on ~ 5,000 acres) with the present plans for national Guard activities and planned exercise activity from Ft Lee & elsewhere?

If so, what are the issues and potential costs that must be addressed?

If not, how much would it cost the Army (Active & Guard) to move out of Ft P?

Since you guys don't have a real closure candidate, I thought that this would provide some intellectual stimuli!!

VR, Bill Fetzer

From: Keys, Richard D CDR FFC (N762) [mailto:Richard.Keys@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:26 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44
Subject: FT PICKETT INFORMATION

Sir,

Attached are documents previously generated regarding Ft Pickett as an OLF. I will have to fax a draft of the letter previously sent to Governor Warner. As explained in the EIS, FT Pickett was not within the designated OLF study area. However, because of comments received during the process we did a separate analysis of Ft Pickett using our OLF siting criteria. There have been two variations on the Ft Pickett OLF. There is an existing airfield which was proposed to be expanded (Blackstone AAF). It is joint civil use and also within three miles of the town of Blackstone. Therefore, it did not meet our requirement of low population density and no incompatible (civilian) operations. The latest suggestion was to close down the National Guard live fire training area and build an OLF within the confines of the Ft Pickett boundaries. This is the issue the attached papers address.

In 2002, 1997 Navy personnel used Fort Pickett a total of 161,000 mandays and 333 Navy Reservists use it for 1,041 mandays. Marines totaled 2,500 personnel and 22,340 mandays and Marine Reserves were 865 personnel for 2,212 mandays. Navy use was 3% and USMC was 5% of total annual usage. Navy primary users are specwar units. Marine users are FAST companies, 2nd LAR, and 24 and 26 MEU. Additionally HCS 4 and 6 use it for live fire 7.62, 50 cal, 2.75 rocket, and hellfire. F-14 and F-18 use it for inert bombs. This data is from range scheduling records.

V/R
CDR Keys
FFC N441
757-836-3674
cell 757-646-7068

<<FT PICKETT OLF.doc>> <<Fort Pickett NWINoise.pdf>> <<FortPickettCensusNoise.pdf>>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 1:58 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: FT PICKETT INFORMATION

As we discussed tell me manana!

R.Gary Dinsick
Army Team Chief
Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202
(703) 699-2950

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 1:25 PM
To: Dinsick, Robert, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Deputy, Carl W. CDR BRAC
Subject: FW: FT PICKETT INFORMATION

FYI,

Gary,

I have issued a clearing house question to the Navy, but I need your help in analyzing the pros and cons of Ft Pickett.

The real issue behind this idea is: Will Ft Pickett be feasible as a potential Master Jet Base that will eventually be home to at least 244 Super Hornets and whatever JSF quantities are realized in the future?

To alleviate the near term encroachment issues at Oceana and the OLF, the thought was to first establish an OLF at Ft P, with the idea of building the MJB later when the Navy gets a plan and a budget assembled to do that.

We know that it costs about \$60-70M to build an OLF with runways, lighting, arresting gear and minimal structures to support FCLPs, etc. At Ft P the ROM to clean up the UXO is \$25M. So we're close to \$100M already just to get in the door.

Can the Navy MJB co-exist (embedded near the center of the present boundary on ~ 5,000 acres) with the present plans for national Guard activities and planned exercise activity from Ft Lee & elsewhere?

If so, what are the issues and potential costs that must be addressed?

If not, how much would it cost the Army (Active & Guard) to move out of Ft P?

Since you guys don't have a real closure candidate, I thought that this would provide some intellectual stimuli!!

VR, Bill Fetzer

From: Keys, Richard D CDR FFC (N762) [mailto:Richard.Keys@navy.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2005 6:26 PM
To: william.fetzer@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Anthony, Mark H CIV FFC N44
Subject: FT PICKETT INFORMATION

Sir,

Attached are documents previously generated regarding Ft Pickett as an OLF. I will have to fax a draft of the letter previously sent to Governor Warner. As explained in the EIS, FT Pickett was not within the designated OLF study area. However, because of comments received during the process we did a separate analysis of Ft Pickett using our OLF siting criteria. There have been two variations on the Ft Pickett OLF. There is an existing airfield which was proposed to be expanded (Blackstone AAF). It is joint civil use and also within three miles of the town of Blackstone. Therefore, it did not meet our requirement of low population density and no incompatible (civilian) operations. The latest suggestion was to close down the National Guard live fire training area and build an OLF within the confines of the Ft Pickett boundaries. This is the issue the attached papers address.

In 2002, 1997 Navy personnel used Fort Pickett a total of 161,000 mandays and 333 Navy Reservists use it for 1,041 mandays. Marines totaled 2,500 personnel and 22,340 mandays and Marine Reserves were 865 personnel for 2,212 mandays. Navy use was 3% and USMC was 5% of total annual usage. Navy primary users are specwar units. Marine users are FAST companies, 2nd LAR, and 24 and 26 MEU. Additionally HCS 4 and 6 use it for live fire 7.62, 50 cal, 2.75 rocket, and hellfire. F-14 and F-18 use it for inert bombs. This data is from range scheduling records.

V/R
CDR Keys
FFC N441
757-836-3674
cell 757-646-7068

<<FT PICKETT OLF.doc>> <<Fort Pickett NWINoise.pdf>> <<FortPickettCensusNoise.pdf>>

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:27 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05

Draft Itinerary provided FYI.

Please advise if there are any changes NLT COB 26 JULY.

VR, Bill Fetzer

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached
Attachments: Itinerary-Oceana.doc



Itinerary-Oceana.doc
(43 KB)

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:27 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05

Draft Itinerary provided FYI.

Please advise if there are any changes NLT COB 26 JULY.

VR, Bill Fetzer

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:29 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

Let's give it time to digest with the base folks.

From: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

Does this need to be sent out to Comms?

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

<< File: Itinerary-Oceana.doc >>

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:27 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05

Draft Itinerary provided FYI.

Please advise if there are any changes NLT COB 26 JULY.

VR, Bill Fetzer

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:52 PM
To: 'Niemeyer, Lucian (Armed Services)'; 'Gordy, Thomas'
Subject: FW: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

Attachments: Itinerary-Oceana.doc



Itinerary-Oceana.doc (43 KB)

Lucian/Tom,

Attached FYI. Please advise ASAP if this fits with your plans. I have heard nothing from the mayor's office. The time period from 1300-1400 is yours if you want to set up a press availability or other community event.

The aerial tour is somewhat constrained to the four Commissioners and me, but we only have two ICS cords to share, so am not sure who gets to listen and who gets to just look out the window and point at the stuff□..

VR, Bill

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:27 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05

Draft Itinerary provided FYI.

Please advise if there are any changes NLT COB 26 JULY.

VR, Bill Fetzer

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 5:00 PM
To: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

Talked to Lucian Neimeyer and Tom Gordy today. They don't have anything set up yet, but I can't wait for them.. Gordy will call me by noon tomorrow. I offered the 1-2PM timeframe for community events, including a press availability. Nothing from the mayor yet.

Bill

From: Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:56 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

noticed no "community time" - have you received any requests yet - I do know that Sen Warner's office has been very interested in the agenda

Christine

Christine O. Hill
Director, Legislative Affairs
BRAC Commission
703-699-2950

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

<< File: Itinerary-Oceana.doc >>

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:27 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05

Draft Itinerary provided FYI.

Please advise if there are any changes NLT COB 26 JULY.

VR, Bill Fetzer

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 8:46 AM
To: Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

Attachments: Itinerary-Oceana.doc

Draft FYI. Expect changes after 1200 today to accommodate community time.

Bill

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached



Itinerary-Oceana.doc (43 KB)

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:27 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05

Draft Itinerary provided FYI.

Please advise if there are any changes NLT COB 26 JULY.

VR, Bill Fetzer

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 11:04 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Cecil Field --Check it out

Attachments: default.htm



default.htm (11 KB)

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 11:44 AM
To: McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Cecil Field --Check it out

Thanks. This is helping me more than you know!!

Bill

-----Original Message-----

From: McDaniel, Brian, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 11:04 AM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Cecil Field --Check it out

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Walsh, Deirdre, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 12:57 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana

Bill,

I got a call from Alex Silbey of Congressman Butterfield's office. His boss represents the OLF in NC that's related to the Oceana add.

He offered any help he could, and if you wouldn't mind calling him, he'd like to speak with you. 202-226-5328 is his direct line.

Thanks --

Deirdre M. Walsh
Congressional Affairs
House Liaison
BRAC Commission
703-699-2968

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 12:58 PM
To: Walsh, Deirdre, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Oceana

Thnx. Calling him now!

From: Walsh, Deirdre, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 12:57 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: Oceana

Bill,

I got a call from Alex Silbey of Congressman Butterfield's office. His boss represents the OLF in NC that's related to the Oceana add.

He offered any help he could, and if you wouldn't mind calling him, he'd like to speak with you. 202-226-5328 is his direct line.

Thanks --

Deirdre M. Walsh
Congressional Affairs
House Liaison
BRAC Commission
703-699-2968

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 6:47 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Robinson, Matthew, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: RE: Hotel in Norfolk

Who needs the rooms, so far? Norfolk is too far away from NAS Oceana. Recommend something closer to VA Beach. Matt, Can you handle it? I am swamped over here with too little time to work it now. Thanks.

Bill

From: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 4:43 PM
To: Robinson, Matthew, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Subject: FW: Hotel in Norfolk

From: JanGehman@aol.com [mailto:JanGehman@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 4:24 PM
To: Kristen.Baxter@wso.whs.mil
Subject: Re: Hotel in Norfolk

I would rcmd base quarters.
Call Denis Stratton, Fleet Forces Command Protocol
tel: 757-836-3642
I have left a message on her voice mail explaining who you are.
If that doesn't work out...the best hotel is the Norfolk Waterside Marriott.
HWG

Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2005 7:44 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'; Sillin, Nathaniel, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Kessler, Michael, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: Final BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 Oceana
Attachments: Itinerary-Oceana.doc



Itinerary-Oceana.doc
(39 KB)

Attached is an updated version of the itinerary that will be forwarded to the Commissioners on Wednesday morning. Note that the Press Availability was moved up from 1300 to 1045 to accommodate the Congressional Members who need to depart for other events. The PA will be outside the gate, so I left a 15 min cushion for the transition from the briefing to the gate area. No community meetings or briefings were requested by the Mayor or CODEL to coincide with this visit.

VR, Bill Fetzer

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:28 PM
To: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: RE: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05 - attached

From: Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2005 4:27 PM
To: Baxter, Kristen, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Hill, Christine, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Heigh, Martin, COL, WSO-BRAC; 'william.zobel@navy.mil'; 'Keeley, Thomas CAPT NAS Oceana VA'
Cc: Hanna, James, CIV, WSO-BRAC; Fetzer, William, CIV, WSO-BRAC; 'Lorge, Patrick J CAPT'
Subject: BRAC Visit Itinerary 1 AUG 05

Draft Itinerary provided FYI.

Please advise if there are any changes NLT COB 26 JULY.

VR, Bill Fetzer