


*The Honorable Sue Turner*  
*Commissioner*

**DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND  
REALIGNMENT COMMISSION**



**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
FINAL PRESENTATION**

**WASHINGTON, D.C.**

**AUGUST 20, 2005**

## 20 AUG 8 DoD FINAL PRESENTATION

MR. Michael Ulyne

Vice Adm Evan Chavik - Navy / Joint Staff Force Structure

Dr Francis Harvey - Army Lt Gen Dave Barno

Adm Ullalaid - Vice CNO

Gen John Jumper - AF

Ulyne

- ① MilPers Savings - MP freed up here can be recycled there
- ② Leased Space - better to own than rent
- ③ Evion Pastor - costs not included - obligation there anyway - DoD expects cost
- ④ Oceana - don't move it.

Harvey - Consol RCs, HQ,

general } Transform logistics, depots, etc, R+D, T+E  
 } Realign Acquisition,

FT Monmouth → APG - CAISR CofE - OK w/ new intel capital!

Saves \$1B - low econom' synerg eff of complementary functions

RR, Hawthorne, LS Amm, etc. capacity issues

↳ consol workload into existing CofE to meet surge. Save \$5B NPV

Hawthorne - .25B NPV savings - huge economic

HRC/FT Knox personnel center

Jumper

1. F<sub>3</sub> ↓ 20% → buying Δs
2. MP savings → use elsewhere / new missions
3. ANG / Res —

20 Aug 05

Adm Willard

new London - \$1B/20yr - disperse force - } 2/3 of USN savings  
 PNSY - elim excess cap - \$1B/20yr } over 7 yr  
 NASB - keep open 5 P3's  
 Oceana -

Chauk ditto

Aug 11 - Hearing on  
 Impacts on Homeland  
 Security + Homeland  
 Defense and on Units  
 of the ANS

~~Adm Willard~~

Diff understanding current + future relationship between DoD and DHS

If all the BRAC rec approved - new map reflects gaps in NE - NW - Gulf Coast which lead Amer people to opine <sup>to us their fears</sup> that anyone <sup>who wants to</sup> float, fly, or walk into the country or at least get closer to it than perhaps today.

will find it easier to

What review was done by DoD - c/s DHS - to ensure national + regional security ~~is not negatively~~ impacts <sup>sufficiently</sup> addressed

F+Knox

Ellsworth - 1700 applied to stressed career fields.

Inglis - fleet concentration moves - E+W coast

21/33

Med

Rec to create enlisted medical training C of E.

Great idea - for many years -

Rec to ~~F&E~~ The new SARMC - SA Rgn Med Ctr.

Clearly - the very basics <sup>for all medic training is</sup> probably same

However, the 3 services - diff expectations of final product.

➔ (1) What kind of oversight or advisory body <sup>kind of oversight or advisory body</sup> would be put in place to insure <sup>all 3 services</sup> the Navy & the Air Force that they will get the quality of medic they expect from <sup>school house</sup>.

(2) <sup>I know</sup> ~~they would~~ you expect <sup>this action</sup> to achieve <sup>some degree of</sup> jointness in the medical community from the ground up.

I find this interesting since the leadership <sup>usually</sup> sets the <sup>example</sup>.  
 \* The Unified Medical Command is coming <sup>down the pike</sup> ~~down the pike~~ <sup>the Add under consideration</sup>

➔ (3) Which should come first - The Unified <sup>medical</sup> Medical Cmd or the <sup>enlisted training merger</sup> enlisted training merger.