

JAN 15 1933 Gen Newton

How does it all link!

Schmittke - Forces side

Harvey - Business side

Hill - Bliss - Environmental issues

McChas/Lewis vs Page/Bragg

Lease issues Don't have to come to the Commission, why did you do it?

ADM. GERTMAN -

Double moves? - important of Knox, etc, etc.

TRAVEL - Can we get out as still & Knox fast enough like you can at Bliss & Hood?

Schmittke - still school center? Knox Accession and 5 Display Centers

Depots - vehicle backlog from JMW's AFGKANSAD, Has this been taken into acct?

Page - ACCT SUFFICIENT FOR 18TH ABN GARS?

CSA - yes, it's enough

McChas/Lewis link up - is it still good?

CSA - yes, it's good  
ADDITIONAL GROWTH IN ETC

Hansen

Chemical issues -

Research

Why research down?

Shouldn't we keep it for future use?

Paper Base Rounds

- Sweetheart Deals

- Need to sell to H's Gars  
Biden

Coyle - This is a different round

Than previous.

- AT work
- Budgets come up
- Post 9/11 Environ
- Army Growings

HERE # OF LOCATIONS  
ARMY DOES NOT  
MENTION ALL REASON  
THAT JMW GROUPS  
DID.

What did you do differently this time?

Norway - MV plant B's take

TRANS FAMILIAR TAKEN INTO ACCT TOO!

Schmittke - change to TRANSFORMATION

MONMOUTH

SKILLED SPECIALISTS - will you lose  
THIS IN THE MOVE?

CAMPUS RELATIONSHIP  
GREAT TOWNSHIP CAPABILITY

CSA -

RELATIONAL RELATIONSHIPS  
WILL BE ENHANCED!

Who pays?

12.8 BILL WILL BE  
PAID w/ SAVINGS

B/L Base -  
MONMOUTH

Move lots

of people -

numbers

Don't MATCH

How close  
is it? Do  
we have  
sufficient  
capacity?

McChas/Lewis/Morale  
What's the logic?

## Environmental

24. Environmental Costs. The commission has been informed that the COBRA model does not include costs for environmental restoration. If so, are there any BRAC installations where such costs would be in excess of \$10 million? Please provide a complete list of these locations, with a description for each of the environmental problems and an estimate of the clean-up costs.
25. The reuse potential, and hence fair market value, of property will be affected if property is conveyed with institutional controls. For example, a deed restriction requiring fencing, signage, or limiting the reuse of the property to only specified activities.

We would appreciate it if you would discuss any sites that you are aware of where there will be prime property conveyed with institutional controls.

Could you please provide any additional or supplemental information for the record?

## Economic

*Commenter - Reassignment of Health Care*

26. Retiree medical access. Closure or downsizing of medical facilities can have a significant adverse effect on the local retiree community. The Commission has been informed that the COBRA model includes estimates of TRICARE costs. Has the Army determined if adequate health care will be available locally to fully meet the medical needs of each retiree community?
27. DOD/Army Assistance to Gaining Communities. Some communities that are gaining jobs are suggesting that DOD/Army help build transportation and other municipal infrastructure. What is DOD/Army policy on financially helping affected communities and can you identify in the budget associated money?

**Suggested Commissioner Questions  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission**

**Hearing on Department of the Army Recommendations and Methodology**

Witnesses:

The Honorable Francis J. Harvey, Secretary of the U.S. Army;  
General Peter J. Schoomaker, Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army; and  
Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army for Infrastructure Analysis,  
Dr. Craig College.

May 18, 2005

**Process**

1. Late removal of bases from Army-related BRAC lists. Can the Army bases disapproved for closure or realignment by DOD's Infrastructure Executive Committee (IEC) and the Army's Senior Review Group (SRG) late in the process-from mid-April forward- be identified for the Commission on a list that includes a brief statement of the reason for each action?
2. Leased Space. It appears that some of the moves out of leased space in the National Capitol Region (NCR) move the same activities into leased space at their new locations, such as the headquarters for Installation Management Agency (IMA) move to Ft Sam Houston. If this is the case, how does this support the initiative of moving out of leased space, and enhancing force protection? Aren't we just trading one landlord for another?
3. BRAC restrictive guidance. Did OSD direct Army groups responsible for generating the BRAC list to remove or add any installation closures or realignments to the final BRAC list? If so, what installations?
4. Past BRAC rounds. Looking back at past BRAC rounds, did Army accomplish as much of its closure and realignment plan as was feasible or did unexpected circumstances limit implementation? What can this BRAC round do to better execute identified closures and realignments, to realize promised savings, and to accommodate community transition concerns?

5. US Government Agency inclusion on Army bases. What is the increase of use of Army bases by non-DOD US Government agencies resulting from this BRAC rebasing plan? Is there greater potential for use of Army bases by non-DOD US Government agencies and how would that be funded? Is there unsatisfied demand by non-DOD US Government agencies for use of Army bases?
6. Tracking of proposals. Yesterday's testimony mentioned that 845 locations are affected by the 222 recommendations. Why are there a number of realignments (gains, losses and disestablishment of capability) not listed in the formal listings by state or the complete list of indexed actions by state, but actions on those installations can be found within the narrative for each of the teams? (For example: Watervliet Arsenal, Lima Tank Plant, Tooele Army Depot, Sierra Army Depot.)

### Force Structure

- Comm. SKIN*
7. Relocating Guard and Reserve units to nearby Army installations The Commission appreciates the essential contribution to national defense and domestic emergencies made by our Guard and Reserve forces. Many Guard and Reserve units and personnel are currently located within 50 miles of Army installations, but nevertheless maintain separate bases and facilities. Not all Guard and Reserve locations that could move onto nearby Army installations are planning to do so even though many Army installations have space for them, improved security could result, and some Reserve Component basing costs could be reduced. Is there additional opportunity to relocate some Guard and Reserve units from separate bases to Army installations, and eliminate additional facilities?
  8. Guard & Reserve. Many of the Army's Reserve Component related recommendations are predicated on the ability to acquire land to construct new facilities, often adjacent to existing Guard facilities. What is the Army's estimate of land acquisition costs and are those costs reflected in their COBRA costs and savings calculations? How can the Commission be assured that the Army will be able to purchase needed land, and how is this an efficiency?

9. Guard & Reserve. How does the Army expect to dispose of existing excess reserve property? To the extent that land sales are planned, does the Army have any projection of revenues from such sales?
10. State Adjutant's General involvement in BRAC decisions DOD testimony indicated that The Adjutant Generals (TAG) were involved in reserve component-related BRAC recommendations. Were TAGs in agreement with all BRAC recommendations affecting their states? Were there notable exceptions?
11. Return of overseas units. DOD may not have indicated basing for all of the returning troops from Europe and Korea. How can the Commission be assured that a facility identified for realignment or closure might not later be needed? How can decisions about potential excess basing capacity be discussed without knowing all basing needs?
12. Unit Rebasing. The Army is currently moving many units from one base to another base under Army Modularity. Does the DOD BRAC report include these numbers? If not, why not? If not, how will these additional forces impact costs and the impact on base and community infrastructure?
13. Joint/Cross Service Installations. DOD has emphasized joint and cross-service criteria in its BRAC plans. To what extent will Army bases evolve from principally single-service bases to significant joint/cross-service use as a result of BRAC rebasing?

#### **Cost**

14. Army Materiel Command (AMC) & Leased Space. A theme affecting a number of AMC recommendations is to move AMC elements out of leased space, however it is not always clear how these moves result in consolidation and economic efficiencies: moving the Communications-Electronics Command (CECOM) from Ft. Monmouth to Aberdeen, MD; the Tank-Automotive Command (TACOM) personnel located at Rock Island, IL to Detroit, MI, and AMC Headquarters personnel from Ft. Belvoir, VA to Hunstville, AL. It is unclear what the goal was. Since we do not yet have the Army details, please discuss your logic for these and other command moves.

15. Army budgeting to implement BRAC The Overseas Basing Commission indicated that the Army plan for basing of overseas units returning to the US may benefit from scheduling that allows time for the receiving US bases to more fully prepare the infrastructure needed to accommodate returning units. Required military infrastructure includes base housing, headquarters, training, and maintenance facilities; Civilian community infrastructure includes family housing, schools, roads, and diverse municipal services.
- a. Do Army BRAC implementation plans allow enough flexibility for gaining bases and gaining communities to have the time and resources to prepare for the arrival of the planned additional personnel?
  - b. Do Army's plans address the losing community needs, as was suggested recently by DOD?
  - c. Will the next budget request for Army include information that will lay out the budget and funding plan to match the BRAC basing plan so that Congress (the Armed Services and Defense Appropriations committees) and the impacted communities can see the matching of funds to BRAC moves?
  - d. Specifically, please speak to this at Ft Bliss where 11,000 additional troops will be quartered.
16. Cold Region Test Activity Transfer. In the 1995 BRAC, the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center were moved from Ft Greely to Ft Wainwright. The training location did not change and remains at Bolio Lake for CRTA. The 2005 Army plan moves the CRTA back to Ft Greely. The cost for the 95 BRAC move was \$23.1 million with a savings of \$17.9 million. The 2005 proposal costs \$50,000 with a savings of \$200,000 yet the 2005 proposal appears to be a simple reversal of the 1995 decision. Could you comment on this? Will the Army lose some or all of the original planned \$17.9 million in savings?

### **Recommendation / Function Specific**

17. Ft Monroe Closure. How do the moves to Ft Eustis and Ft Knox enhance military value? Does Ft Eustis have existing facilities comparable to those at Ft Monroe or will TRADOC and Installation Management Agency require new facilities?

18. Ft Monmouth Closure. Ft Monmouth is an acquisition and research installation. Is there concern that highly trained technology expertise will be lost in the move of these important Army functions?

19. Ft Gilliam and Ft McPherson Closures. Are the closures of Ft Gilliam and Ft McPherson independent? Ft McPherson is located on a major transportation hub. Is there concern about transportation access for FORSCOM after the move? Why would USARC not be relocated to a site with a large concentration of reserve component forces rather than Pope AFB?

20. Depot Maintenance & 50/50 The law requires that no more than 50 percent of a service's depot maintenance workload can be contracted out in order to retain a viable organic base to perform this work. What assurances can you provide us that implementation of your recommendations will not violate the "50/50" provision?

21. Depot Maintenance 50/50 reporting How will the service consolidation of intermediate and depot level maintenance activities affect the ability to accurately account for depot level maintenance under 50/50 reporting requirements?

22. Red River Army Depot It is not surprising to see Red River Army Depot on the list given prior BRAC round discussions. The HMMM is a critical Army vehicle that has been in short supply and you have leveraged Red River greatly to achieve some of your goals. How does your recommendation to close Red River impact Army operations, transformation to modularity, and the Army's Tactical Wheeled Vehicle Strategy?

23. Realign Pope AFB to Ft Bragg Given the Air Force's planned reduction in stationed airlift at Pope AFB after realignment, is the Army satisfied that sufficient airlift capability will exist at Pope to meet its increased training and operational requirements, resulting from the addition of an airborne brigade?

*Handwritten notes:*  
Haley  
we can  
Double SOC of  
one loss Depot.  
we had 700 many  
Depot, CTRs  
AF Excellence  
Allow us to  
DO THIS.

Principles - Guaranteed  
DCN:11633

Short term cost of deal, guarantee  
Less new centers than it's deal closes  
Recruiting problems  
Longer distances to travel to deal

WHAT'S THE TOTAL IMPACT?  
How many do you expect to lose?