



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20350-1000

07 Dec 04

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIR, EDUCATION AND TRAINING JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUP

Subj: PROVISION OF CERTIFIED DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DATA TO THE
BRAC 2005 EDUCATION AND TRAINING JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUP
- SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING SUBGROUP

Encl: (1) Scenarios/Activities with Certified Data
(2) Alternate Receiver Information for Scenarios
(3) Summary of Data Concerns

In compliance with both the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Internal Control Plan (ICP) for the Base Realignment and Closure Process, Appendix B to Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) Memorandum "Transformation Through Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC 2005) Policy Memorandum One - Policy, Responsibilities, and Procedures," dated 16 April 2003, and the Department of the Navy Procedures for Certification of BRAC 2005 Information, enclosure (1) to Secretary of the Navy Memorandum "Internal Control Plan for Management of the Department of the Navy 2005 Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) Process Policy Advisory Two," dated 27 June 2003, this memorandum is a certification of Department of the Navy (DON) data provided to the Education and Training (E&T) Joint Cross Service Group (JCSG) Specialized Skills Training Subgroup for their use in analyzing common business support functions. The data consists of certified responses to Scenario Data Calls as listed in enclosure (1), and is provided to the E&T JCSG via posting to the portal. The scenario templates have been populated with all responses requested by the JCSG, as well as some amplifying information collected that may be useful to you in your analysis.

Enclosure (2) provides additional information pertaining to alternate receivers or E&T-0040 that may warrant further consideration or be helpful to you in evaluation of that scenario.

During the course of our initial quality assurance review of the data, we discovered a few areas where we believe the data is incorrect, incomplete, or in need of further clarification. A summary of the data concerns identified to date is provided in enclosure (3). We are taking action to resolve these concerns. Additionally, we anticipate that other areas of concern will be identified as we conduct more detailed analysis in the coming weeks. The JCSG may also find during the course of their own

Subj: PROVISION OF CERTIFIED DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DATA TO THE
BRAC 2005 EDUCATION AND TRAINING JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUP
- PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION SUBGROUP AND
SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING SUBGROUP

analysis of the data, additional areas requiring clarification or
supplementation, and request additional data be collected.
Updated certified data will be collected by the IAT and provided
to the JCSG as it becomes available.

Subject to the foregoing, I certify that the information is
accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Anne Rathmell Davis
Special Assistant to the Secretary of the Navy
for Base Realignment and Closure

cc:

O-6/GS-15 Lead, E&T JCSG
Navy & Marine Corps E&T JCSG Principals

Scenarios/Activities with Certified Data

E&T-0039

<u>Activity Plad</u>	<u>Activity Name</u>	<u>Activity UIC</u>
NETC_PENSACOLA_FL	NETC, FL	00076
NAVDIVSALTRACEN_PANAMA_CITY_FL	NAVDIVSALTRACEN PANAMA CITY, FL	0610A
NAS_KEY_WEST_FL	NAVAL AIR STATION KEY WEST, FL	00213

E&T-0040

<u>Activity Plad</u>	<u>Activity Name</u>	<u>Activity UIC</u>
NETC_PENSACOLA_FL	NETC, FL	00076
NMITC_DAM_NECK_VA	NMITC DAM NECK, VA	0387A
FITCPAC_SAN_DIEGO_CA	FITCPAC SAN DIEGO, CA	0388A

E&T-0041

<u>Activity Plad</u>	<u>Activity Name</u>	<u>Activity UIC</u>
NETC_PENSACOLA_FL	NETC, FL	00076
NMITC_DAM_NECK_VA	NMITC DAM NECK, VA	0387A
CENCRYPTOLOGY_CORRY_STATION_PENSACOLA_FL	CENCRYPTOLOGY CORRY STATION PENSACOLA, FL	63082

Alternate Receiver Information for Scenarios

Actions for E&T-0040

Action # (From original scenario)	Alternate Action #	Losing Site (from original scenario)	Alternate Receiving Site	Functions
1	1	Dam Neck, VA	Dam Neck, VA	NMITC
2	1	Point Loma, CA	Dam Neck, VA	FITCPAC

Rationale for Suggesting Alternate Receiving Activities

Use the space below to provide amplifying information pertaining to the reasons for recommending alternate receiving sites.

Answer Text:

The Dam Neck regional area offers the attractiveness of a confluence of many Joint & Service specific commands (both operational, as well as intelligence & cryptologic training oriented) such as Joint Forces Command (including their service components: Air Combat Command (Langley AFB); US Fleet Forces Command; Marine Forces, Atlantic Command; & the Army's Forces Command); the JFCOM Joint Training & Simulations Center (Suffolk, VA); the Joint Forces Intelligence Command, USCG's Maritime Fusion Intelligence Center, the Navy's Tactical Training Group, Atlantic; the Navy & Marine Corps Intelligence Training Center (housing both Navy & Marine Intelligence Training); the Center for Naval Intelligence; the Naval Cryptologic Support Activities.

Additionally, the Hampton Roads area is only 3 hrs away from the National Intelligence Agencies (CIA, NSA, DIA) located in the DC area, as well as the Army's service intelligence center in Charlottesville VA (the National Ground Intelligence Center), the Navy's service intelligence center in Suitland, MD (Office of Naval Intelligence) and the Marine Corps service intelligence center in Quantico, VA (Marine Corps Intelligence Activity).

The current replacement value of NMITC's facilities at Dam Neck, VA is \$36,495,691. The original bldg (113, 500 sq ft) was dedicated in 1986. The building was expanded in 1996 to it's current capacity of 186,000 sq ft. The facilities provides a wide range of modern communications capabilities, including the following systems:

- 3-tiered Information Systems architecture including NIPRNET, SIPRNET and JWICS
- Gigabyte Ethernet backbones supporting all three networks
- Gigabyte to the desktop on SIPRNET and JWICS
- Soon to have LOCE, CENTRIXS, and STONE GHOST
- OC-3 circuit supporting JWICS
- 17 Navy Automated Classrooms
- 9 Marine Corps Automated classrooms
- 1 Integrated Intelligence Team Trainers
- 2 JWICS video-teleconferencing suites
- 2 NIPRNET video-teleconferencing suites
- Near-real time national and tactical still and video imagery and MIDB feeds. 14
- Tactical Training Labs including 3 imagery, 3 Operational Intelligence, and a counter-drug lab featuring the following systems: Global Command and Control System –Maritime (GCCS-M), Joint Concentrator Architecture (JCA), Precision Targeting Workstation (PTW), Digital Common Ground Station –Navy (DCGS-N), Collection Management Work Station (CMWS), Joint Deployable Intelligence Support System (JDISS), Strike Navigational Aids for Precision Guided Munitions (SNAP), Mission Distribution System (MDS), Tomahawk Planning System Afloat

(TPSA), Tactical Electronic Reconnaissance Processing and evaluation System, Secure Closed Circuit Television, Photometric Labs, Theater Battle Management Core Systems, TOPSCENE, Combat Assessment Work Station (CAWS), NIMA Product Server, Radiant Mercury, Intelligence Analyst Systems, Intelligence Operations Server, Marine Intelligence Training Stimulator (MITS)

Question DOD033: Military Construction Requirements - Receiving

JCSG: Navy/USMC

Function(s): DON Scenario - Receiving Activities

Question: For each closure/realignment action identified as relocating in the SCENARIO DESCRIPTION applicable to your activity, provide military construction requirement information in the table below for each applicable FAC code. Ensure you provide an answer row for each individual facility required (in the case of multiple facilities for same FAC code). Use the "Rationale" column to give a brief explanation of your rationale for listing each MilCon entry.

Please fill in the following table(s), adding rows as necessary

Action # (-) numeric	FAC CODE (-) string50	FAC DESCRIPTION (Text) string50	Unit of Measure (Text) string50	QTY (based on UM) (#) numeric	Rehab (based on UM) (#) numeric	Type (List) multiple choice ¹	Rationale (Text) string4000	Total Cost* (\$K) numeric
2	1712	Applied Instruction Building	SF		170,000	Default	Training facility required to support the realignment of FITCPAC from Point Loma, CA to Dam Neck, VA.	
NA	1712	Applied Instruction Building	SF		Unknown	Default	Training facility required to support realignment of Air Force Intell Training from Goodfellow AFB, TX	

¹ Choose a value from this list: Default, Red, Amber

							to Dam Neck, VA	
NA	1712	Applied Instruction Building	SF		Unknown		Training facility required to support realignment of Army Intell Training from Fort Huachuca, AZ and Fort Gordon, GA to Dam Neck, VA.	

Initial Summary of Data Concerns for E&T-0040

FITCPAC SAN DIEGO CA

DoD #	Discrepancy
27406	If the value entered in response to Question 02 changed based on a related question in this DDC, then the value entered in response to this question must be updated to reflect the corresponding change.
27402	The value entered must be consistent with the personnel information provided by your host installation in Question DoD1506 of Data Call 2: Criterion Five 17Jun. As a tenant activity, your activity would have provided an input to your host for their response to DoD1506. The response to DoD1506 would have included reductions reflecting PR billet reductions and other FY proposed billet reductions.

Based on the rationale provided with the response to SDC Question 02, it appears that the value entered does not reflect PR 05 billet reductions and FY-06 proposed billet reductions, as it should have to be consistent with values provided by your host in DoD1506. Please confirm that the value provided is consistent with previous values provided to your host for Question DoD1506.

Also, if values entered reflect total authorized billets minus savings realized as a result of consolidation, please add a statement stating this in the rationale. For example "Total current billets reflect authorized billets minus savings realized as a result of consolidation."

27404	The value entered must be consistent with the personnel information provided by your host installation in Question DoD1506 of Data Call 2: Criterion Five 17Jun. As a tenant activity, your activity would have provided an input to your host for their response to DoD1506. The response to DoD1506 would have included reductions reflecting PR billet reductions and other FY proposed billet reductions.
-------	---

Based on the rationale provided with the response to SDC Question 02, it appears that the value entered does not reflect PR 05 billet reductions and FY-06 proposed billet reductions, as it should have to be consistent with values provided by your host in DoD1506. Please confirm that the value provided is consistent with previous values provided to your host for Question DoD1506.

Also, if values entered reflect total authorized billets minus savings realized as a result of consolidation, please add a statement stating this in the rationale. For example "Total current billets reflect authorized billets minus savings realized as a result of consolidation."

27407	If the value entered in response to Question 03 changed based on a related question in this DDC, then the value entered in response to this question must be updated to reflect the corresponding change.
27408	If the value entered in response to Question 04 changed based on a related question in this DDC, then the value entered in response to this question must be updated to reflect the corresponding change.
27418	The rationale entered states "needed equipment/materials required to perform mission at new location." Please provide explanation/break-out of these specific equipment and materials costs in the rationale.
27424	The rationale entered states "Termination requires penalty payment of one half annual cost as one time fee." Please provide explanation regarding the type of mission contract to be terminated and rationale for the fee assumption. "One-half annual cost as one time fee" seems excessive, considering relocation would not occur until 2009.
27425	The rationale entered states "Termination requires penalty payment of one half annual cost as one time fee." Please provide explanation regarding the type of support contract to be terminated and rationale for the fee assumption. "One-half annual cost as one time fee" seems excessive, considering relocation would not occur until 2009.
27426	The rationale entered states "COMPACFLT FCA Student TAD costs to GFAB." Please expand this rationale to include an explanation/break-out of the specific TAD costs and why this is a recurring cost that is not incurred at the present location.

Initial Summary of Data Concerns for E&T-0040

27403 The value entered must be consistent with the personnel information provided by your host installation in Question DoD1506 of Data Call 2: Criterion Five 17Jun. As a tenant activity, your activity would have provided an input to your host for their response to DoD1506. The response to DoD1506 would have included reductions reflecting PR billet reductions and other FY proposed billet reductions.

Based on the rationale provided with the response to SDC Question 02, it appears that the value entered does not reflect PR 05 billet reductions and FY-06 proposed billet reductions, as it should have to be consistent with values provided by your host in DoD1506. Please confirm that the value provided is consistent with previous values provided to your host for Question DoD1506.

Also, if values entered reflect total authorized billets minus savings realized as a result of consolidation, please add a statement stating this in the rationale. For example "Total current billets reflect authorized billets minus savings realized as a result of consolidation."

NMITC_DAM_NECK_VA

DoD #	Discrepancy
27417	If the value entered in response to Question 26 changed based on a related question in this DDC, then the value entered for Miscellaneous Recurring Costs in response to this question must be updated to reflect the change.
27402	Prior to certification of the original data call, it was verbally noted by NMITC that the personnel values entered in response to this question included values for CENNAVINTEL. If the values entered by NMITC include CENNAVINTEL, then NMITC should include an explanation for this in the rationale section of this question.
27426	Please expand the rationale to include an explanation/break-out of the specific TAD costs and why this is a recurring cost that is not incurred at the present location. The items entered for SCI LAN and T1 Line Utilization are not valid costs. Even if the activity is not currently directly paying for these services, they are being paid at some level. Overall cost to DoD for this type of service should not increase based on this relocation action.
27425	The rationale entered states "Cost associated with breaking contract at mid-year-assume 25%." Please provide explanation regarding the type of support contract to be terminated and rationale for assumption of 25%.
27424	The rationale entered states "Cost associated with breaking contract at mid-year-assume 25% unrecoverable." Please provide explanation regarding the type of mission contract to be terminated and rationale for assumption of 25% unrecoverable.
27404	Prior to certification of the original data call, it was verbally noted by NMITC that the personnel values entered in response to this question included values for CENNAVINTEL. If the values entered by NMITC include CENNAVINTEL, then NMITC should include an explanation for this in the rationale section of this question.
27403	Prior to certification of the original data call, it was verbally noted by NMITC that the personnel values entered in response to this question included values for CENNAVINTEL. If the values entered by NMITC include CENNAVINTEL, then NMITC should include an explanation for this in the rationale section of this question.