



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20350-1000

22 December 04

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIR INDUSTRIAL JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUP

Subj: PROVISION OF CERTIFIED DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DATA TO THE BRAC
2005 JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUP - INDUSTRIAL JCSG SHIP OVERHAUL
AND REPAIR SUBGROUP

Encl: (1) Scenarios/Activities with Certified Data
(2) Summary of Data Concerns

In compliance with both the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Internal Control Plan (ICP) for the Base Realignment and Closure Process, Appendix B to Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics) Memorandum "Transformation Through Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC 2005) Policy Memorandum One - Policy, Responsibilities, and Procedures," dated 16 April 2003, and the Department of the Navy Procedures for Certification of BRAC 2005 Information, enclosure (1) to Secretary of the Navy Memorandum "Internal Control Plan for Management of the Department of the Navy 2005 Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) Process Policy Advisory Two," dated 27 June 2003, this memorandum is a certification of Department of the Navy (DON) data provided to the Industrial Joint Cross Service Group (JCSG) Ship Overhaul & Repair Subgroup for their use in analyzing common business support functions. The data consists of certified responses to Scenario Data Calls as listed in enclosure (1), and is provided to the Industrial JCSG via posting to the portal. The scenario templates have been populated with all responses requested by the JCSG, as well as some amplifying information collected that may be useful to you in your analysis.

DON intends to include this data in its evaluation of the two parent scenarios that generated the need for enabling scenarios. The DON principals to your JCSG will be invited to participate in these deliberations to ensure consistency of approach.

During the course of our initial quality assurance review of the data, we discovered a few areas where we believe the data is incorrect, incomplete, or in need of further clarification. A summary of the data concerns identified to date is provided in enclosure (2). We are taking action to resolve these concerns. Additionally, we anticipate that other areas of concern will be identified as we conduct more detailed analysis in the coming weeks. The JCSG may also find during the course of their own analysis of the data, additional areas requiring clarification or supplementation, and request additional data be collected. Updated certified data will be collected by the IAT and provided to the JCSG as it becomes available.

Subj: PROVISION OF CERTIFIED DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY DATA TO THE BRAC
2005 JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUP - INDUSTRIAL JCSG SHIP OVERHAUL
AND REPAIR SUBGROUP

Subject to the foregoing, I certify that the information is
accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Anne Rathmell Davis
Special Assistant to the Secretary of the Navy
for Base Realignment and Closure

cc:

O-6/GS-15 Lead, IND JCSG
Navy & Marine Corps IND JCSG Principals

Scenarios/Activities with Certified Data

DON-0033 (IND-0037)

<u>Activity Plad</u>	<u>Activity Name</u>	<u>Activity UIC</u>
NAVSHIPYD_NORFOLK_VA	NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, VA	00181
SIMA_NORFOLK_VA	SIMA NORFOLK VA	32770
NAVSUBSUPPFAC_NEW_LONDON_CT	NAVSUBSUPPFAC NEW LONDON CT	68316

DON-0034 (IND-0038)

<u>Activity Plad</u>	<u>Activity Name</u>	<u>Activity UIC</u>
NAVSHIPYD_NORFOLK_VA	NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, VA	00181
NAVSHIPYD_NORFOLK_VA	NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD, VA	00181
SIMA_NORFOLK_VA	SIMA NORFOLK VA	32770
NAVSUBSUPPFAC_NEW_LONDON_CT	NAVSUBSUPPFAC NEW LONDON CT	68316

Summary of Data Concerns

DON-0033

DoD Question # 21133 For SIMA Norfolk VA, it appears that use of FAC 6000 may be an inaccurate code. We requested that the activity revalidate FAC codes using the OSD Facility Pricing Guide (version 6 March 2004).

DoD Question # 21130 NAVSUBSUPPFAC New London CT asked to provide facilities shutdown data information required by the JCSG.

DoD Question # 21139 Requested NAVSHIPYD Norfolk VA to identify the number of civilian labor required for work performed at the NRMD, RATA work and RRC support work.

DoD Question # 21133 and 21139 DoD Question # 21133 TRIREFFAC Kings Bay GA, it appears that the FAC code may be entered incorrectly. We requested that the activity revalidate FAC codes using the OSD Facility Pricing Guide (version 6 March 2004). DoD Question # 21139 the activity included data for computers, which are a BOS cost and should not be captured in the question.

DON-0034

DoD Question # 21230 NAVSUBSUPPFAC New London CT asked to provide facilities shutdown data information required by the JCSG.

DoD Question # 21233 It appears that SIMA Norfolk VA reported FAC codes may be identified incorrectly. We requested SIMA Norfolk VA to revalidate FAC codes using the OSD Facility Pricing Guide (version 6 March 2004).

DoD Question # 21239 Requested NAVSHIPYD Norfolk VA to identify the number of civilian labor required for work performed at the NRMD, RATA work and RRC support work.

Enclosure (2)