

McRee, Bradley, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: McRee Bradley LtCol TEC/MT [Bradley.McRee@angtec.ang.af.mil]
Sent: Friday, July 01, 2005 10:37 AM
To: 'Bradley.McRee@wso.whs.mil'
Subject: Fw: Highlights from yesterdays meeting.

BRADLEY N. McREE, Lt Col, USAF
Commandant
Academy of Military Science
400 IG Brown Drive
McGhee Tyson ANGB, TN 37777
dsn 266-3510, comm 865-985-3510, fax -3518 bradley.mcree@angtec.ang.af.mil

-----Original Message-----

From: Hall, Craig, CIV, WSO-BRAC <craig.hall@wso.whs.mil>
To: Turner, Colleen, CIV, WSO-BRAC <colleen.turner@wso.whs.mil>
CC: Small, Kenneth, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Kenneth.Small@wso.whs.mil>; McRee, Bradley, CIV, WSO-BRAC <Bradley.McRee@wso.whs.mil>
Sent: Wed Jun 29 17:37:24 2005
Subject: Highlights from yesterdays meeting.

Collen,

As promised here are my notes...

Battle Creek:

- * Guard station has capacity to bed down additional A-10s if air Force wants to increase squadron size
- * DOD recommendation includes A-10s replacing and F-16 unit (unit conversion), but with no additional training dollars in the cost estimates (COBRA). This might take as much as \$60 M. Current A-10 unit at Battle Creek has an usually high amount of experience (hours in A-10s and operational experience which will be lost). Also, the unit who converts to A-10 will not be mission capable during the conversion. AF requirements provide a unit 2 years to reach initial operational capability (IOC)
- * Their major issues was "the savings to the department are so small is it worth it to the AF to lose this unit and its skilled pilots?"
- * Community challenge validity of AF's MCI methodology (specific in paper). MCI is biased towards active units and does not account for quality of airspace (only proximity). Also, the SOF/CSAR category does not accommodate the A-10's operational characteristics well.
- * Request staff/commissioner visit. Letter provided to BRAC/Leg Affairs staff.
- * Provided "leave behind" of detail analysis

Great Falls

- * Purpose of the visit was to address Commissioners Coyles question at the Portland regional hearing on whether the Great Falls guard station could move to Malmstrom AFB.
- * Community felt move to Malmstrom was viable option. Offers great airspace and training areas and a chance to expand the mission of the Guard unit. Malmstrom could accommodate other ANG F-16s (to increase squadron size). They could also accommodate F-15s at Malmstrom.
- * Col McDonald was planning to submit a paper to the Commission outlining the relocation of the Guard unit to Malmstrom as an option to the DOD recommendation.

USAF BRAC 2005 Base MCI Score Sheets

Base Score Sheet for W. K. Kellogg APT AGS MCI: Fighter

(The questions that lost the most points are at the top of the list.)

Max Points

This is the maximum number of points this formula can contribute to the overall MCI score.

Earned Points

This is the number of points this formula did contribute to the overall MCI score for this base.

Lost Points

The difference between Max Points and Earned Points.

Running Score from 100

The maximum MCI score is 100 and the minimum is 0. This is a running balance that shows the impact of the lost points from the formula evaluation on the overall MCI score for the base.

<u>Formula</u>	<u>Max Points</u>	<u>Earned Points</u>	<u>Lost Points</u>	<u>Running Score from 100</u>
1245.00 Proximity to Airspace Supporting Mission (ASM)	22.08	3.13	18.95	81.05
1203.00 Access to Adequate Supersonic Airspace	6.72	0.00	6.72	74.33
1246.00 Proximity to Low Level Routes Supporting Mission	7.25	0.85	6.39	67.94
1271.00 Prevailing Installation Weather Conditions	5.52	0.00	5.52	62.42
1266.00 Range Complex (RC) Supports Mission	11.95	6.49	5.46	56.96
1233.00 Sufficient Munitions Storage	4.79	0.00	4.79	52.17
1270.00 Suitable Auxiliary Airfields Within 50NM	5.18	2.59	2.59	49.58
8.00 Ramp Area and Serviceability	2.97	0.74	2.23	47.35
1214.00 Fuel Dispensing Rate to Support Mobility and Surge	2.64	0.51	2.13	45.22
1221.00 Hangar Capability - Small Aircraft	3.88	1.94	1.94	43.28
1205.20 Buildable Acres for Air Operations Growth	1.96	0.31	1.65	41.63
1205.10 Buildable Acres for Industrial Operations Growth	1.96	0.49	1.47	40.16
1235.00 Installation Pavements Quality	2.97	2.23	0.74	39.42
213.00 Attainment / Emission Budget Growth Allowance	1.68	1.01	0.67	38.75
1250.00 Area Cost Factor	1.25	0.59	0.66	38.09
1402.00 BAH Rate	0.88	0.65	0.22	37.87
1207.00 Level of Mission Encroachment	2.28	2.07	0.21	37.66
1269.00 Utilities cost rating (U3C)	0.13	0.07	0.05	37.61
9.00 Runway Dimension and Serviceability	2.28	2.28	0.00	37.61
1232.00 Sufficient Explosives-sited Parking	3.65	3.65	0.00	37.61
1241.00 Ability to Support Large-Scale Mobility Deployment	1.76	1.76	0.00	37.61
1242.00 ATC Restrictions to Operations	5.98	5.98	0.00	37.61
1403.00 GS Locality Pay Rate	0.25	0.25	0.00	37.61

Battle Creek 7/8/05

Bilbray

Maj Gen Strip

MCI same std as AD

320 Ac AB secure perimeter

Ft Custer 10K Ac

(15) A-10s

\$4.2m op cost of base State pays 25%

Try Costs for moving A-10s

Union w/ Full-Time employees

\$68m to retrain F-16 to A-10

Noncombat rated 3-5 years

Block 30 @ Selfridge coming down 2 yrs earlier (JSF ship)

Great coop w/ state, city pd for much

2300 hrs A-10 pilots

Large try exports

Boise Banner Battle Creek (18) A-10s generated 14 days

rainbow

no try til engagement

F-16 both tubs

Gov (Ste)

MILCON costs to convert F-16s to A-10s

COBRA over est cost savings

Selfridge 1932 haps

Nothing needed at Battle Creek

Bilbray: ~~Added~~ You will be folded in whole thing...

You have made a strong case

Told then he was against huge movements in AG.

Bilbray: Whole comm not happy w/ AF

BC

Met w/ Gen Denton yesterday

Skinner there on 29th

* Chmn: ~~FF~~ Reverse Flow 130s

Assoc Wings

Col. Schwartz, Chmn Principi, Maj Gen Stump

8/1/05

89 - got A-10s

Conty built 10K FT R/W

10,000' since '87

Maj Gen Stump: Rankings + MCI biased against Guard bases
\$41M in last 10 yrs.

AF did not address long costs

① Union for FT people F-16 will have priority

Move F-16 unit to '07

390

1575

170

800?

F-22

F-35

now delayed 2 yrs

If implement BRAC planes + people gone

Now 3½ AEFs deployed

AF elim (50) more C-130s

Many a/cft gone if these recs go through

30% red in flying cap of ANG

Guard/Reserve most cost effective

\$3.9M (400K out of state budget) \$3½M

* Enhance G+R

Principi: Frustration: How maintain bal w/ fewer a/cft,

Stump: problem is retiring a/cft too soon

TAGs willy to give up if not a huge bathtub

Prin: don't know what ODR will hold

will not see complete reversal of AF recs

May BC people live in western MI

Side: Look at by weapon system

Said Barnes has 84% ret. rate

Matin State has enrollment problems

William Grove failed ORI

Joint Cap

Strong Conty support

Story: Need 18 A-10s Kosovo Bore / Bares / BC
15 days bombs on target

Principi: will look at carefully
2300 total hrs 200 combat hrs

2/19 Battle Creek @ Russell Schantz
Cagle, Hill

40 yrs

10 K FT R/W city cooperation
AF invest \$50 in last 10 yrs

Selfidge 130 miles away
Virtually no people will go...

200 carbot hrs 2400 hrs in A-10

Loss of people huge
(They have also met w/ Newton)

Their proposal: Willow Grove X
BC
Selfidge X

Send to Boice
Baltimore

City paid for network infra, encroachment buffer

BC has highest MC rate
104%

Good ranges: Atherbury & Grezland - Can drop live ord
Weather

Aaron
Gen Sealy

Nancy Barbour - Dykema Gossett
Aaron Taliaferro - LA, Cong. Joe Schwarz
Cong. Joe Schwarz
Gen. Ron Seeley
Eric Flodin - LA, Sen. Debbie Stabenow
Michael Kricken - Senate Armed Services Comm.

2024 to ref.

Coyle + Hill : Concern about ANG recs
Hill: Concern over DHS / DOD Cooperation