

Wednesday
Motions

Motion Number: 2-4A**Overview:** A motion to amend Army Recommendation 6, Fort Gillem, Georgia**Group:** Army**Full Text**

Requiring a contiguous enclave.

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Army Recommendation 4, Fort Gillem, Georgia, he substantially deviated from final selection criteria 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "establish an enclave" and insert in its place "establish a contiguous enclave", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the final selection criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Chairman Principi**Seconded By:** Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	1	0

Certified By: _____**Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____**Date:** _____

Motion Number: 5-1

Overview: A Motion to Approve As Amended Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

Group: Army

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan, as amended.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	1	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 5-2**Overview:** Motion to Strike Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey**Group:** Army**Full Text**

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)**Result:** FAILED**Offered By:** Commissioner Bilbray**Seconded By:** Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi		X	
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen		X	
James T. Hill		X	
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner		X	
Vote Totals	2	6	1

Certified By: _____**Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____**Date:** _____

Motion Number: G-11-49

Overview: Motion to Approve Various Army Recommendations, Reserve Component Transformation in various states

Group: Army

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Army Recommendations

- 25, Reserve Component Transformation in Alabama;
 - 28, Reserve Component Transformation in Arizona;
 - 30, Reserve Component Transformation in Arkansas;
 - 33, Reserve Component Transformation in California;
 - 35, Reserve Component Transformation in Connecticut;
 - 37, Reserve Component Transformation in Delaware;
 - 39, Reserve Component Transformation in Georgia;
 - 40, Reserve Component Transformation in Hawaii;
 - 42, Reserve Component Transformation in Illinois;
 - 44, Reserve Component Transformation in Indiana;
 - 46, Reserve Component Transformation in Iowa;
 - 48, Reserve Component Transformation in Kentucky;
 - 50, Reserve Component Transformation in Louisiana;
 - 52, Reserve Component Transformation in Maryland;
 - 54, Reserve Component Transformation in Massachusetts;
 - 55, Reserve Component Transformation in Michigan;
 - 57, Reserve Component Transformation in Minnesota;
 - 58, Reserve Component Transformation in Missouri;
 - 60, Reserve Component Transformation in Montana;
 - 62, Reserve Component Transformation in Nebraska;
 - 65, Reserve Component Transformation in New Hampshire;
 - 66, Reserve Component Transformation in New Jersey;
 - 68, Reserve Component Transformation in New Mexico;
 - 69, Reserve Component Transformation in New York;
 - 72, Reserve Component Transformation in North Carolina;
 - 73, Reserve Component Transformation in North Dakota;
 - 75, Reserve Component Transformation in Ohio;
 - 77, Reserve Component Transformation in Oklahoma;
 - 80, Reserve Component Transformation in Oregon;
 - 82, Reserve Component Transformation in Pennsylvania;
 - 85, Reserve Component Transformation in Puerto Rico;
 - 87, Reserve Component Transformation in Rhode Island;
 - 89, Reserve Component Transformation in Tennessee;
 - 91, Reserve Component Transformation in Texas;
 - 95, Reserve Component Transformation in Vermont;
 - 97, Reserve Component Transformation in Washington;
 - 99, Reserve Component Transformation in West Virginia;
 - 102, Reserve Component Transformation in Wisconsin;
 - 103, Reserve Component Transformation in Wyoming;
- are consistent with the final selection criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: G-52-56

Overview: Motion to Approve Various Army Recommendations, U.S. Army Reserve Command and Control in various regions

Group: Army

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Army Recommendations
 - 107, USAR Command and Control New England;
 - 109, USAR Command and Control Northeast;
 - 112, USAR Command and Control Northwest;
 - 115, USAR Command and Control Southeast; and
 - 117, USAR Command and Control Southwest,
 are consistent with the final selection criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 57-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 6, Marine Corps Logistics Base Barstow, California

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 6, Marine Corps Logistics Base Barstow, California, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 58-1, As Amended**Overview:** Approve As Amended Navy Recommendation 7, Naval Support Activity Corona**Group:** Navy**Full Text**

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 7, as amended, Naval Support Activity Corona, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Chairman Principi**Seconded By:** Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____**Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____**Date:** _____

Motion Number: 58-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Navy Recommendation 7, Naval Support Activity Corona

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 7, Naval Support Activity Corona, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 59-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 9, Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach Detachment, Concord, California

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 9, Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach Detachment, Concord, California, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 60-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Navy Recommendation 10, Submarine Base New London, Connecticut

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 10, Submarine Base New London, Connecticut, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen		X	
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	1	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 61-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 12, Officer Training Command, Pensacola, Florida

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 12, Officer Training Command, Pensacola, Florida, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 62-1, As Amended**Overview:** Motion to Approve As Amended Navy Recommendation 13, Naval Air Station Atlanta, Georgia**Group:** Navy**Full Text**

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 13, Naval Air Station Atlanta, Georgia, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan, as amended.

Vote**Session:** Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Chairman Principi**Seconded By:** Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	2	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 63-1

Overview: Approve Navy Recommendation 14, Navy Supply Corps School Athens, Georgia

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 14, Navy Supply Corps School Athens, Georgia, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 64-1, As Amended

Overview: Approve As Amended Navy Recommendation 15, Naval Support Activity New Orleans, Louisiana

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 15, Naval Support Activity New Orleans, Louisiana, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan, as amended.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 66-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve As Amended Navy Recommendation 19, Marine Corps Support Activity Kansas City, Missouri

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 19, Marine Corps Support Activity Kansas City, Missouri, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan, as amended.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 67-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 20, Naval Station Pascagoula, Mississippi

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 20, Naval Station Pascagoula, Mississippi, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 69-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Navy Recommendation 23, Naval Shipyard Portsmouth, Kittery, Maine

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 23 Naval Shipyard Portsmouth, Kittery, Maine, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 70-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 25, Naval Station Newport, Rhode Island

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 25, Naval Station Newport, Rhode Island, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 71-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 26, Naval Station Ingleside, Texas and Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, Texas

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 26, Naval Station Ingleside, Texas and Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen		X	
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	5	2	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 71-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Navy Recommendation 26, Naval Station Ingleside, Texas and Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, Texas

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 26, Naval Station Ingleside, Texas and Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Hansen

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi		X	
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill		X	
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner		X	
Vote Totals	2	5	2

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 72-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 28, Engineering Field Division/Activity, appearing at Chapter II, Section 72 of the Bill.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 28, Engineering Field Division/Activity, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 73-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 29, Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 29, Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Centers, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	1	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 74-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 34, Navy Recruiting Districts

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 34, Navy Recruiting Districts is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	1	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 75-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 35, Navy Regions

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 35, Navy Regions, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 76-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 37, Navy Reserve Centers appearing at Chapter II, Section 76 of the Bill.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 37, Navy Reserve Centers, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)
Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi
Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	1	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 77-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Navy Recommendation 44, Navy Reserve Readiness Commands

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 44, Navy Reserve Readiness Commands, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 121-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Education and Training Recommendation 6, Combat Service Support Center

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Education and Training Recommendation 6, Combat Service Support Center, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 123-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Joint Center of Excellence for Culinary Training, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 126-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Net Fires Center

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Net Fires Center, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)
Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi
Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 127-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Prime Power to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Prime Power to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 138-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, Consolidate Correctional Facilities in Joint Regional Correctional Facilities

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, Consolidate Correctional Facilities in Joint Regional Correctional Facilities, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)
Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi
Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 139-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Consolidate Defense Commissary Agency Eastern, Midwestern Regional and Hopewell, Virginia Offices

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Consolidate Defense Commissary Agency Eastern, Midwestern Regional and Hopewell, Virginia Offices, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 140-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 27, Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR D&A Capability

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 27, Consolidate Defense Information Systems Agency and Establish Joint C4ISR D&A Capability, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 141-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 30, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 30, Consolidate Media Organizations into a New Agency for Media and Publications, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 142-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 31, Consolidate Transportation Command Components

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 31, Consolidate Transportation Command Components, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 144-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 35, Create Joint Mobilization Sites

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 35, Create Joint Mobilization Sites, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By:

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 147-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 44, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 44, Relocate Air Force Real Property Agency, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 148-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 46, Relocate Army Headquarters and Field Operating Agencies

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 46, Relocate Army Headquarters and Field Operating Agencies, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 150-1, As Amended

Overview: Approve As Amended Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4, Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach, California

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4 as amended, Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach, California, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 151-1

Overview: Motin to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, California

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, California, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 152-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Sierra Army Depot, California

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Sierra Army Depot, California, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 153-1**Overview:** Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7 Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois**Group:** Joint Cross Service Groups**Full Text**

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Chairman Principi**Seconded By:** Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 154-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve As Amended Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8 as amended, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 155-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group 9, Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, Kansas

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group 9, Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, Kansas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 156-2**Overview:** Motion to Strike Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, Lima Tank Plant, Ohio**Group:** Joint Cross Service Groups**Full Text**

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, Lima Tank Plant, Ohio, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Commissioner Skinner**Seconded By:** Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 157-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 11, Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, Mississippi

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 11, Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, Mississippi, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 158-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Hawthorne Army Depot, Nevada

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Hawthorne Army Depot, Nevada, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 6 and 8 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 159-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Watervliet Arsenal, New York

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Watervliet Arsenal, New York, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 160-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14 as amended, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 161-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Industrial Recommendation 15, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Recommendation 15, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 162-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 16, Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, Texas

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 16, Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 163-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, as amended, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	1	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 164-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity, Norfolk, Virginia

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity, Norfolk, Virginia, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 165-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Fleet Readiness Centers

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, as amended, Fleet Readiness Centers, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 166-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Naval Shipyard Detachments

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Naval Shipyard Detachments, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 167-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3, Defense Intelligence Agency

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3, Defense Intelligence Agency, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 168-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 175-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 5, Commodity Management Privatization

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 5, Commodity Management Privatization, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 176-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 7, Depot Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 7, as amended, Depot Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 176-3A

Overview: Motion to Amend Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Depot Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation. Deletes realignment of Lackland AFB, TX.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Depot Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5, and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "a", Chapter IX, Section 176 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Hill

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 177-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 13, Supply, Storage, and Distribution Management Reconfiguration

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 13, Supply, Storage, and Distribution Management Reconfiguration, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 180-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Consolidate Ground Vehicle Development & Acquisition in a Joint Center

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Consolidate Ground Vehicle Development & Acquisition in a Joint Center, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 183-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Consolidate Sea Vehicle Development & Acquisition

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Consolidate Sea Vehicle Development & Acquisition, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 185-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 189-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Establish Centers for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Establish Centers for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: G-1-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Army Recommendation 5, Fort Wainwright, Alaska, Army Recommendation 8, Fort McPherson, Georgia, Army Recommendation 19, Fort Monroe, Virginia, Army Recommendation 106, U.S. Army Garrison Michigan (Selfridge), Headquarters & Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 46, Relocate Army Headquarters and Field Operating Agencies, appearing at Chapter I, Sections 1, 3, 8 and 51 and Chapter V, Section 148, respectively, of the Bill. Global motion to approve recommendations Army 5, 8, 19 and 106 and H&SA 46, Chapter I, Sections 1, 3, 8 and 51 and Chapter V, Section 148, respectively, of the Bill.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Army Recommendation 5, Fort Wainwright, Alaska, Army Recommendation 8, Fort McPherson, Georgia, Army Recommendation 19, Fort Monroe, Virginia, Army Recommendation 106, U.S. Army Garrison Michigan (Selfridge), and Headquarters & Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 46, Relocate Army Headquarters and Field Operating Agencies, are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: G-4-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Army Recommendation 10, Fort Bragg, North Carolina, Army Recommendation 15, Fort Hood, Texas, Army Recommendation 22, Operational Army (IGPBS), appearing at Chapter I, Sections 4, 6 and 10, respectively, of the Bill. Global motion to approve Army recommendations 10, 15 and 22, sections 4, 6 and 10 of the Bill.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Army Recommendation 10, Fort Bragg, North Carolina, Army Recommendation 15, Fort Hood, Texas, and Army Recommendation 22, Operational Army (IGPBS), are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By:

Seconded By:

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 5-3A

Overview: Motion to Amend Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, appearing at Chapter 1, Section 5 of the Bill. Deletes realignment of Sensors, Electronics, and Electronic Warfare RDAT&E and Information Systems RDAT&E from Fort Belvoir, VA.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "b" of Chapter I, Section 5 as it appears in the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	0	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 5-4B

Overview: Motion to Amend Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, appearing at Chapter 1, Section 5 of the Bill. Conditions approval of recommendation on mitigating impact on current operations and taking measures to maximize retention of critical workforce.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the recommendation the language "The Secretary may only proceed with the movement of activities from Fort Monmouth to Aberdeen Proving Ground after putting in place safeguards that will ensure that no on-going program will be moved until redundant capability is established, or other mitigating factors are in place to ensure that no degradation of the program or its support to the Global War On Terror or any other military contingency operation will occur as a result of the movement of the program. Furthermore, the Secretary must also put into place programs to maximize the retention of critical workforce personnel before, during and after any such move.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 7-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Army Recommendation 16, Red River Army Depot, Texas, appearing at Chapter 1, Section 7 of the Bill. Deletes the realignment of depot level maintenance of a variety of items, including combat vehicles, powertrains, construction equipment, tactical vehicles and associated storage and distribution functions.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Army Recommendation 16, Red River Army Depot, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3 and 6 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close Red River Army Depot, TX. Relocate the depot maintenance of Armament and Structural Components, Combat Vehicles, Depot Fleet/Field Support, Engines and Transmissions, Fabrication and Manufacturing, Fire Control Systems and Components, and Other to Anniston Army Depot, AL. Relocate the depot maintenance of Powertrain Components, and Starters/Generators to Marine Corps Logistics Base Albany, GA. Relocate the depot maintenance of Construction Equipment to Anniston Army Depot, AL, and Marine Corps Logistics Base Albany, GA. Relocate the depot maintenance of Tactical Vehicles to Tobyhanna Army Depot, PA and Letterkenny Depot, PA." and replace it with the language "Realign Red River Army Depot, TX.";
- that the Commission strike the language "Relocate the storage and distribution functions and associated inventories of the Defense Distribution Depot to the Defense Distribution Depot, Oklahoma City, OK.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Turner

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: G-9-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Army Recommendation 20, Maneuver Training, Army Recommendation 105, Single Drill Sergeant School, appearing at Chapter I, Sections 9 and 50, respectively of the Bill. Global motion to approve recommendations Army 20 and 105 of the Bill.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Army Recommendation 20, Maneuver Training, Army Recommendation 105, Single Drill Sergeant School, are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 62-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Department of the Navy Recommendation 13, Naval Air Station Atlanta, Georgia, appearing at Chapter 2, Section 62 of the Bill. Realigns NAS Atlanta, GA BOS functions under Dobbins ARB, GA.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Department of the Navy Recommendation 13, Naval Air Station Atlanta, Georgia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire paragraph and insert in its place "Realign Naval Air Station Atlanta, GA by consolidating base operations support activities under Dobbins Air Reserve Base", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi		X	
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen		X	
James T. Hill		X	
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner		X	
Vote Totals	3	6	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 191-4B

Overview: Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 1, Naval Air Station, Brunswick, Maine, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 191 of the Bill. Closes Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME; amend DoN Recommendation 18.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure of Naval Air Station Brunswick, Maine, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 18 Naval Air Station Brunswick, Maine, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike Navy Recommendation 18, appearing at Chapter II, Section 65 of the Bill;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Close Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME. Relocate its aircraft along with dedicated personnel, equipment and support to Naval Air Station Jacksonville, FL. Consolidate Aviation Intermediate Maintenance with Fleet Readiness Center Southeast Jacksonville, FL." at Chapter II, Section 65 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Skinner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	2	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 192-4A

Overview: Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 2, Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, California, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 192 of the Bill. Closes Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, CA.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure the Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, California, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "If the Secretary of the Navy does not enter into a long-term lease on or before January 1, 2007 that provides for the redevelopment of the Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, California, under the authorities granted by Section 2732 of Public Law 99-661, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1987, close Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, California, and relocate the units and function on Navy Broadway Complex to other Department of the Navy owned sites in San Diego." at Chapter XI, Section 191 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 64-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Navy Recommendation 15, Naval Support Activity New Orleans, Louisiana, appearing at Chapter II, Section 64 of the Bill. Directs the relocation of Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve to the Federal City project, if constructed on or before September 30, 2008.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 15, Naval Support Activity New Orleans, Louisiana, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close Naval Support Activity New Orleans" and insert in its place the language "Realign Naval Support Activity New Orleans",
- that the Commission strike the language "Relocate Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base New Orleans, LA." and insert in its place the language "If the State of Louisiana obtains funding and commences construction of the Federal City project proposed for the Naval Support Activity West Bank property on or before September 30, 2008, then relocate Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve to the Naval Support Activity West Bank property, New Orleans, LA. If the State of Louisiana fails to do so on or before September 30, 2008, then Relocate Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base New Orleans, LA.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Hill

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 66-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Navy Recommendation 19, Marine Corps Support Activity Kansas City, Missouri, appearing at Chapter II, Section 66 of the Bill. Directs the relocation of Marine Corps Reserve Support Command element of Mobilization Command to a facility in the Federal City project in New Orleans, LA, if the Federal City project is constructed on or before September 30, 2008.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 19, Naval Support Activity New Orleans, Louisiana, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Relocate Marine Corps Reserve Support Command element of Mobilization Command to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base New Orleans, LA," and insert in its place the language "If the State of Louisiana obtains funding and commences construction of the Federal City project proposed for the Naval Support Activity West Bank property on or before September 30, 2008, then relocate Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve Support Command element of Mobilization Command to that facility on the Naval Support Activity West Bank property, New Orleans, LA. If the State of Louisiana fails to construct an appropriate Federal City facility on or before September 30, 2008, then relocate Marine Corps Reserve Support Command element of Mobilization Command to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base New Orleans, LA," and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 150-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4, Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach, California, appearing at Chapter VI, Section 150 of the Bill. Deletes relocation of tactical missile maintenance.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 9, Naval Weapons Station Seal Beach, California, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language “; relocate the depot maintenance of Other Components to Anniston Army Depot, AL; and relocate the depot maintenance of Tactical Missiles to Letterkenny Army Depot, PA.”, and replace it with the language “; and relocate the depot maintenance of Other Components to Anniston Army Depot, AL.”, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 194-4A

Overview: Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 8, Consolidate Medical Command Headquarters, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 194 of the Bill. To consolidate the OSD(HA), TCMA, and service Surgeons General.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the consolidation of the medical command headquarters, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Close the Bureau of Navy Medicine, Potomac Annex, in the District of Columbia; the Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force, the Air Force Medical Operating Activity, and the Air Force Medical Support Activity, Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia; the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), the Tricare Management Activity, the Office of the Army Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Command, the Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force, and the Air Force Medical Operating Activity and Air Force Medical Support Activity in Skyline Drive, leased space in Falls Church, Virginia; relocate the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs, the Office of the Army Surgeon General, the Bureau of Navy Medicine, the Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force, the Tricare Management Activity, and the indicated elements of the U.S. Army Medical Command, the Air Force Medical Operating Activity, the Air Force Medical Support Activity to a single, contiguous site that meets the current Department of Defense Anti Terrorism Force Protection standards for new construction at either the National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland; Walter Reed Army Medical Center, District of Columbia, Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia, or federally owned or leased space in the National Capital Region; consolidate the support elements of the indicated organizations. Appropriate elements of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs, the Office of the Army Surgeon General, the Bureau of Navy Medicine, and the Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force will remain in the Pentagon, as determined by the Secretary of Defense." at Chapter XI, Section 194 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	0	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 193-4A

Overview: Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
 - "Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,
 - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit:
 - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level DNL or greater;
 - enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy;
 - codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations;
 - legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater for rezoning classifications that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines;
 - establish programs for purchase of development rights of the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; Enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council." at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
 - and if the State of Florida:
 - appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida,
 - appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation, and;
 - turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field.
 - If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take the all of the prescribed actions, and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base." at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

"It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment

problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base."

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 193-4D

Overview: Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Amends Chapter XI, Section 193, as approved by the Commission.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- Reword the fifth paragraph of motion 193-4A to read "enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and expend \$15 million annually for such purposes, none of which can come from federal funds."

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 154-4A

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, appearing at Chapter VI, Section 154 of the Bill Conditions closure on completion of chemical demilitarization mission IAW treaty obligations.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission in accordance with Treaty obligations, close", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 160-4A

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, appearing at Chapter VI, Section 160 of the Bill. Conditions closure on completion of chemical demilitarization mission IAW treaty obligations.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission in accordance with Treaty obligations, close", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 163-4B

Overview: Motion to Approve Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, appearing at Chapter VI, Section 163 of the Bill Conditions closure on completion of chemical demilitarization mission IAW treaty obligations and completion of a study to evaluate Deseret CD as a site for conventional weapons demilitarization.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission in accordance with Treaty obligations and if, after completion of a comprehensive study to evaluate Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah as a site for conventional weapons demilitarization it is shown that such a use is not feasible, close", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	1	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 165-3A

Overview: Motion to Strike Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Fleet Readiness Centers, appearing at Chapter VI, Section 165 of the Bill. Deletes realignment of ALQ-99 Electronic Warfare from NSA Crane, IN.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Fleet Readiness Centers, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "n" of Chapter VI, Section 165 of the Bill; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Wednesday, August 24 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Sarkar, Rumu, CIV, WSO-BRAC

From: Matthew.Lepore@usdoj.gov
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 3:33 PM
To: rumu.sarkar@wso.whs.mil
Cc: Andrew.Tannenbaum@usdoj.gov; H.Thomas.Byron@usdoj.gov
Subject: RE: CT AG App for TRO and Memo In Support of TRO

Attachments: Order on TRO.pdf



Order on TRO.pdf
(46 KB)

Here is the Temporary Restraining Order, entered against the BRAC Commission today, preventing it from "transmitting a final report to the President . . . that includes the recommendation to realign the Connecticut 103rd Fighter Wing located at Bradley Air National Guard Station in Windsor Locks, Connecticut, until the Court has ruled on the Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, which is scheduled to be heard on September 7, 2005 at 11:00 a.m." Obviously, we are not pleased with the Court essentially giving plaintiffs a gratuitous order that does exactly what we had promised to do in a letter and declaration. But he's done it, and now we are working on some options over here both in the DC and COA (I'll defer to Tom Byron on all appellate issues, who I'm copying here). Regardless, the court assured me that he'd rule on the PI by 9/7, so that's another reason why the TRO has little effect. Of course, should he fail to rule by 9/7, this injunction does prevent the Commission from issuing its report re: the 103rd on the 8th. Please, therefore, pass this along to the Commission and GC and forward any questions to me. Let me reiterate what I said on the phone, which is that the Commission should continue preparing its report at this point as if this TRO had not been issued; the TRO does not prevent them from preparing the report, even with the 103rd recommendations in it, at this time.

Tom and I would like to talk to you about some possible language to accompany the report regarding any outstanding litigation. We'll call you shortly to discuss.

Matt

Matthew Lepore
United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
P.O. Box 883
Washington, D.C. 20044
Phone: (202) 514-3770; Fax: (202) 318-7601 matthew.lepore@usdoj.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Lepore, Matthew (CIV)
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 12:56 PM
To: 'rumu.sarkar@wso.whs.mil'
Subject: FW: CT AG App for TRO and Memo In Support of TRO

Matthew Lepore
United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
P.O. Box 883
Washington, D.C. 20044
Phone: (202) 514-3770; Fax: (202) 318-7601 matthew.lepore@usdoj.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Melissa.Gordon@po.state.ct.us [mailto:Melissa.Gordon@po.state.ct.us]
Sent: Tuesday, August 30, 2005 12:48 PM
To: Lepore, Matthew (CIV)
Subject: CT AG App for TRO and Memo In Support of TRO

Attorney Lepore,

Attached please find the above referenced documents being filed this afternoon in court. Any questions please do not hesitate to contact Perry Zinn Rowthorn or myself. Thank you.

<<RELL V RUMSFELD AFF OF PZR.pdf>> <<RELL V RUMSFELD APPFOR TRO.pdf>> <<RELL V RUMSFELD
MEMO IN SUP OF TRO.pdf>> <<RELL V RUMSFELD TRO.pdf>>

Pendaflex
Esselte
762-1/3 10%  P2

Thursday
8/25**Motion Number: 79-1, As Amended**

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Air Force Recommendation 6, Eilson Air Force Base, Alaska, Moody Air Force Base, Georgia, and Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 6, as amended, Eilson Air Force Base, Alaska, Moody Air Force Base, Georgia, and Shaw Air Force Base South Carolina, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 84-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Air Force Recommendation 12, Onizuka Air Force Station, California

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 12, Onizuka Air Force Station, California, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 95-1, As Amended

Overview: Approve, As Amended, Air Force Recommendation 27, W.K. Kellogg Air Port Air Guard Station, Michigan

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 27, as amended, W.K. Kellogg Air Port Air Guard Station, Michigan, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 120-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4 and 5, Aviation Logistics School

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Aviation Logistics School, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Hill

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 122-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, as amended, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill		X	
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	1	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 122-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Joint Center for Consolidated Transportation Management Training, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Hill

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi		X	
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner		X	
Vote Totals	3	5	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 124-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, Joint Center of Excellence for Religious Training and Education, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hansen

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	2	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 125-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, Joint Strike Fighter Initial Joint Training Site, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hansen

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 129-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3, Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3, Co-locate Miscellaneous Air Force Leased Locations and National Guard Headquarters Leased Locations, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	1	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 130-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve As Amended Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Joint Cross Service Group Activities Recommendation 5, as amended, Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan, as amended.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 130-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities. Substitutes "realign" for "close" in subsection regarding Elkridge Landing Road in Linthicum, MD to account for remaining organizations and functions.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the word "Close" in paragraph "b" and replace it with the word "Realign", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Bilbray

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 131-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Co-locate Military Department Investigative Agencies with DoD Counterintelligence and Security Agency

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, as amended, Co-locate Military Department Investigative Agencies with DoD Counterintelligence and Security Agency, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 131-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Co-locate Military Department Investigative Agencies with DoD Counterintelligence and Security Agency. Conditions transfer of the Counterintelligence Field Activity and Defense Security Service to the Department of Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency (DoD CSA), an organization that does not currently exist, on the establishment of DoD CSA.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 131, Co-locate Military Department Investigative Agencies with DoD Counterintelligence and Security Agency, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Disestablish CIFA and DSS, and consolidate their components into the newly created Department of Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency." and insert in its place "Disestablish Counterintelligence Field Activity and Defense Security Service, and consolidate their components into the Department of Defense Counterintelligence and Security Agency, if that agency is established by law or directive.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Skinner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 132-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, Co-locate Miscellaneous Army Leased Locations

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, Co-locate Miscellaneous Army Leased Locations, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	1	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 133-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Co-locate Miscellaneous OSD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased Locations

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Co-locate Miscellaneous OSD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased Locations, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	6	2	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 134-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, as amended, Co-locate Missile and Missile Defense Agencies, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 134-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Co-locate Missile and Missile Defense Agencies. Substitutes "realign" for "close" in subparagraph relating to leased space in Huntsville, AL to account for remaining DoD tenants.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Co-locate Missile and Missile Defense Agencies, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the word "Close" where it appears in paragraph "b", Chapter V, Section 134, and insert in its place "Realign", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commission Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 135-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Co-locate Navy Education and Training Command and Navy Education and Training Professional Development and Technology Center

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Co-locate Navy Education and Training Command and Navy Education and Training Professional Development and Technology Center, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 136-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Consolidate Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) Headquarters

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Consolidate Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) Headquarters, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	6	2	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 187-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, as amended, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 188-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 24, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 24, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 137-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve As Amended Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) within each Military Department and the Defense Agencies.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, as amended, Consolidate Civilian Personnel Offices (CPOs) within each Military Department and the Defense Agencies, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 137-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Consolidated Civilian Personnel Office Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies. Deletes realignment of Rock Island, IL CPOC and Stennis Space Center, MS HRSC-Southeast; limits realignment of Wright-Patterson AFB, OH CPO to transactional functions, and; retains capability to perform personnel management advisory services and non transactional functions at Wright Patterson AFB, OH, Robins AFB, GA, Hill AFB, UT, Tinker AFB, OK, and Bolling AFB, DC.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Consolidated Civilian Personnel Office Within Each Military Department and the Defense Agencies, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraphs "b" and "d" of Chapter V, Section 137;
- that in paragraph "h" of Chapter V, Section 137, the Commission strike the language "Realign Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, by relocating the Civilian Personnel Office", and insert in its place the language "Realign Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, by relocating the transactional functions of the Civilian Personnel Office";
- append the sentence "Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services, the non-transactional functions, necessary to support Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH civilian workforce." to paragraph "g" of Chapter V, Section 137;
- append the sentence "Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services and non transactional functions necessary to support Robins Air Force Base, GA civilian workforce." to paragraph "h" of Chapter V, Section 137;
- append the sentence "Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services and non transactional functions necessary to support Hill Air Force Base, UT civilian workforce." to paragraph "i" of Chapter V, Section 137;
- append the sentence "Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services and non transactional functions necessary to support Tinker Air Force Base, OK civilian workforce." to paragraph "j" of Chapter V, Section 137, and;
- append the sentence "Retain sufficient positions and personnel to perform the personnel management advisory services and non transactional functions necessary to support Bolling Air Force Base, DC civilian workforce." to paragraph "k" of Chapter V, Section 137, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X

James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 143-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve As Amended Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 33, Consolidate/Co-locate Active and Reserve Personnel and Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 33, as amended, Consolidate/Co-locate Active and Reserve Personnel and Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissione Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 146-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 41, Joint Basing.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 41, as amended, Joint Basing, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 146-3A

Overview: Amend Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 41, Joint Basing. Deletes a reference apparently consolidating the Naval Research Laboratory, a Navy Working Capital Fund Activity, with dissimilar organizations.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 41, Joint Basing, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "-Naval Research Laboratory (NRL)," where it appears in paragraph "d", Chapter V, Section 146 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

And, that further, the Commission makes the additional statement: "NRL is a Secretary of the Navy Working Capital Fund Activity. Real property and BOS functions integral to the research and industrial functions at NRL will remain with the Commanding Officer. Because of Navy's centralization of installation management functions, Naval District Washington provides non-mission related services to NRL already, such as Morale, Welfare, and Recreation and food services. This is not intended to alter that relationship."

And further, as pertains to the entire Joint Basing recommendation, the Commission states that, "Manpower savings shall not be directed, as they are in the DoD proposal, but must be derived from standard manpower and functional analysis studies, and cooperative joint determinations between the affected installations. Moreover, the Department of Defense must provide DoD-wide standards for delivery of services and common definitions for those services before installation management functions are relocated from the losing activities."

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: 149-1

Overview: Approve Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 49, Relocate Miscellaneous Department of Navy Leased Locations

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 49, Relocate Miscellaneous Department of Navy Leased Locations, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Skinner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill		X	
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	4	4	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 169-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4, as amended, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 170-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve As Amended Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Brooks City Base, Texas

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, as amended, Brooks City Base, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 171-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9, McChord Air Force Base, Washington.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9, as amended, McChord Air Force Base, Washington, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Skinner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 172-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Turner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 173-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12, as amended, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 174-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 15, Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and Development and Acquisition

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 15, as amended, Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and Development and Acquisition, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 174-3A

Overview: Motion to Amend Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and Development and Acquisition. Deletes realignment of Non-medical Chemical Biological Defense Development and Acquisition at Tyndall AFB, FL, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division, VA and the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Crane Division, IN.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and Development and Acquisition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraphs "i", "j" and "k" of Chapter VIII, Section 174, as it appears in the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 178-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Co-locate Extramural Research Program Managers

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Co-locate Extramural Research Program Managers, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 179-4A

Overview: Amend Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Consolidate Air & Space C4ISR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Consolidate Air & Space C4ISR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Realign Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, Maxwell Air Force Base, AL, and Lackland Air Force Base, TX, by relocating Air & Space Information Systems Research and Development & Acquisition to Hanscom Air Force Base, MA.", and replace it with the language "Realign Maxwell Air Force Base, AL, by relocating Air & Space Information Systems Research and Development & Acquisition to Hanscom Air Force Base, MA.", where it appears in paragraph "a" of Chapter X, Section 179 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "b" of Chapter X, Section 179 of the Bill, and;
- ? that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			

Vote Totals	0	0	0
--------------------	---	---	---

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 181-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, Consolidate Maritime C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, as amended, Consolidate Maritime C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 182-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Consolidate Navy Strategic Test & Evaluation

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Consolidate Navy Strategic Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Hill

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi		X	
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	1	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 184-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	6	1	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 184-2

Overview: Motion to Strike Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Bilbray

Seconded By: Not Seconded

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	0	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 186-1, As Amended

Overview: Motion to Approve, As Amended, Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, as amended, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	6	1	2

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 187-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories. Changes Air Force Research Laboratory Information Systems Directorate gaining site to Rome Laboratory, NY, and rejects realignment of White Sands Missile Range, NM.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Information Systems Directorate to Hanscom Air Force Base, MA" and insert in its place "Information Systems Directorate to Rome Laboratory, NY", where it appears in paragraph "d", Chapter X, Section 187 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "f", Chapter X, Section 187 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 190-2

Overview: Strike Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 28, Navy Sensors, Electronic Warfare, and Electronics Research, Development & Acquisitions, Test & Evaluation

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 28, Navy Sensors, Electronic Warfare, and Electronics Research, Development & Acquisitions, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 79-3A

Overview: Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 6, Eielson Air Force Base, Alaska, Moody Air Force Base, Georgia, and Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina, appearing at Chapter III, Section 79 of the Bill. Strikes distribution of F-16 aircraft from the 354th FW.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 6, Eielson Air Force Base, Alaska, Moody Air Force Base, Georgia, and Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "The 354th Fighter Wing's F-16 aircraft will be distributed to the 57th Wing, Nellis Air Force Base, NV (18 aircraft)" where it appears in paragraph "a", Chapter III, Section 79 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Hansen

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 136-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Headquarters & Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Consolidate Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) Headquarters, appearing at Chapter V, Section 136 of the Bill.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters & Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Consolidate Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) Headquarters, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "relocating and consolidating Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) with its subcomponents at Aberdeen Proving Ground (APG), MD" and insert in its place "relocating Army Test and Evaluation Command (ATEC) to Fort Belvoir, VA.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi		X	
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen		X	
James T. Hill		X	
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner		X	
Vote Totals	2	6	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 169-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 169 of the Bill. Allows for continuation of AFIP functions not identified in the DoD recommendation.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Accident Investigation to Dover Air Force Base, DE; relocate enlisted histology technician training" and insert in its place "Accident Investigation to Dover Air Force Base, DE; AFIP capabilities not specified in this recommendation will be absorbed into other DoD, Federal, or civilian facilities, as necessary; relocate enlisted histology technician training", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Turner

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 195-4A

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 5, Galena Forward Operating Location, Alaska, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 195 of the Bill. Closes Galena FOL, AK.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure of Galena Forward Operating Location, Alaska, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Close Galena Forward Operating Location, Alaska." at Chapter XI, Section 195 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 196-4B

Overview: Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 6, Defense Finance & Accounting Service, Denver, Colorado, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 196 of the Bill. Closes DFAS Denver; retains Rome, NY and Limestone, ME; directs DFAS assign functions to provide for strategic redundancy.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure of the Defense Finance & Accounting Service site in Denver, Colorado, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned Defense Finance & Accounting Service, Denver, Colorado;
- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 37, Defense Finance and Accounting Service, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike Headquarters and Support Activities Recommendation 37, Defense Finance and Accounting Service, and insert in its place "Close the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) sites at Denver, CO; Rock Island IL; Pensacola Saufley Field, FL; Norfolk Naval Station, VA; Lawton, OK; Pensacola Naval Air Station, FL; Omaha, NE; Dayton, OH; St. Louis, MO; San Antonio, TX; San Diego, CA; Pacific Ford Island, HI; Patuxent River, MD; Charleston, SC; Orlando, FL; Lexington, KY; Kansas City, MO; Seaside, CA; San Bernardino, CA; and Oakland, CA. Relocate the functions performed at these locations to the DFAS sites at Cleveland, OH; Columbus, OH; Indianapolis, IN; Limestone, ME; and Rome, NY, grow the DFAS site at Cleveland, OH, to not less than 1500 Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) grow the DFAS site at Limestone, ME to not less than 600 FTEs, and grow the DFAS site at Rome, NY to not less than 1000 FTEs; maintain not less than the current FTEs at the DFAS sites at Columbus, OH, and Indianapolis, IN. Assign functions among the DFAS sites retained to provide for strategic redundancy in all critical tasks. Realign the Arlington, VA site by relocating all functions to the remaining DFAS sites except the minimum essential DFAS liaison staff to support the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer, Military Service Chief Financial Officers, and Congressional requirements, which will be retained in the National Capital Region.", and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation and change to the existing recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton			

	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 197-4A

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 7, Professional Development and Education, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 197 of the Bill. Establishes a single oversight board for NPGS and AFIT located in the NCR.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of the Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, and the Air Force Institute of Technology, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Realign the Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, and the Air Force Institute of Technology, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, by forming a new and permanent oversight board responsible for curriculum review and approval, and program development for the resident and non-resident degree-granting programs at both schools. This Board, consisting of an equal number of members from the governing boards of each school, civilian education authorities recommended by the U.S. Secretary of Education, and other education officials as designated by the Secretary of Defense, will be chartered by the office of the Secretary of Defense and will provide a formal report of its actions and accomplishments to that office bi-annually. The Board's duties will consist of those actions listed as 'Goals' in the Memorandum of Agreement that formed an Educational Alliance between the Secretaries of the Air Force and Navy on December 4, 2002. This Board will be located in the National Capital Region. By this recommendation, the newly formed board will also have the authority to:
 - take action to eliminate unnecessary curricula and program duplication;
 - identify, approve, and implement programs of collaboration in research and instruction between the schools, and;
 - expand nonresident programs and arrangements with private institutions of higher learning to meet common curriculum and non-Department of Defense focused class requirements."
- at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: 170-4B

Overview: A Motion to Amend Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Brooks City Base, Texas, appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 170 of the Bill. Corrects an error in the name of an organization; changes gaining location for the Naval Health Research Center Electro-Magnetic Energy Detachment and the Human Effectiveness Directorate of the Air Force Research Laboratory.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Brooks City Base, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the word "Occupational", and substitute in its place the word "Operational";
- that the Commission strike the language "the Naval Health Research Center Electro-Magnetic Energy Detachment, the Human Systems Development and Acquisition function, and the Human Effectiveness Directorate of the Air Force Research Laboratory to Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH.", and substitute in its place the language "and the Human Systems Development and Acquisition function to Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH. Relocate the Naval Health Research Center Electro-Magnetic Energy Detachment and the Directed Energy Portion of the Human Effectiveness Directorate of the Air Force Research Laboratory to Fort Sam Houston, TX.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Turner

Seconded By: Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____ Date: _____

Motion Number: 173-3B

Overview: A Motion to Amend Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics, appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 173 of the Bill. Deletes realignment of inpatient services at Keesler AFB, MS and Fort Knox, KY.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 5 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraphs "f" and "i", and convert the medical center into a community hospital;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Turner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen		X	
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	2	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 133-3

Overview: A Motion to Strike Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Co-locate Miscellaneous OSD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased Locations, appearing at Chapter V, Section 133 of the Bill.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3, Co-locate Miscellaneous OSD, Defense Agency, and Field Activity Leased Locations, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraphs "a" through "d" and "f" through "r" of Chapter V, Section 133 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (0800-1200)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi		X	
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen		X	
James T. Hill		X	
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner		X	
Vote Totals	2	6	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 198-4A

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 8, Relocate Medical Command Headquarters, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 198 of the Bill.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the collocation of the medical command headquarters, he substantially deviated from final selection criteria 1, 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;

- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation;

"Relocate Medical Command Headquarters

a. Close the Potomac Annex, in the District of Columbia. Realign Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia. Realign Skyline, leased space in Falls Church, Virginia.

b. Collocate the Navy Bureau of Medicine, Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force, the Air Force Medical Operating Activity, and the Air Force Medical Support Activity, Office of the Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), Tricare Management Activity, Office of the Army Surgeon General and U.S. Army Medical Command to a single, contiguous site that meets the current Department of Defense Anti Terrorism Force Protection standards for new construction at either the National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland; Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia, or federally owned or leased space in the National Capital Region and consolidate common support activity."

at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;

- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Turner

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 181-3A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, Consolidate Maritime C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, appearing at Chapter X, Section 181 of the Bill. Deletes relocation of maritime information systems RDAT&E.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, Consolidate Maritime C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "d" of Chapter X, Section 181 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Bilbray

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 186-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, appearing at Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill. Consolidates energetics RD&A and T&E at Indian Head, MD, except for that performed at China Lake, CA.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission append the language “, except energetics RD&A and T&E in support of Special Operations.” to paragraph “b” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph “d” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal” from paragraph “f” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal”;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.” from paragraph “g” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ. Consolidate energetics RD&A and T&E at Indian Head, MD except the RD&A and T&E performed at China Lake.”, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle			X
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	6	1	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 171-4B

Overview: A Motion to Amend Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9, McChord Air Force Base, Washington, Appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 171 of the Bill. Retains operational medicine in support of flying mission on site.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9, McChord Air Force Base, Washington, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Realign McChord Air Force Base, WA, by relocating all medical functions to Fort Lewis, WA." and insert in its place "Realign McChord Air Force Base, WA, by reorganizing medical functions under Madigan Army Medical Center, Fort Lewis, WA. McChord Air Force Base retains on site the operational medical functions necessary to support the flying mission.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Turner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 143-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 33, Consolidate/Co-locate Active and Reserve Personnel and Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force, appearing at Chapter V, Section 143 of the Bill. Relocates functions performed at Buckley Annex, CO to Buckley AFB, CO; deletes realignment of Robins AFB, GA.

Group: Joint Cross Service Groups

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Recommendation 33, Consolidate/Co-locate Active and Reserve Personnel & Recruiting Centers for Army and Air Force, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language in paragraph "b" of Chapter V, Section 143 of the Bill "by relocating the Air Reserve Personnel Center processing functions to Randolph Air Force Base, TX, and consolidating them with the Air Force Personnel Center at Randolph Air Force Base, TX," and insert in its place "by relocating the Air Reserve Personnel Center to Buckley Air Force Base, Denver CO.";
- that the Commission strike paragraph "c" of Chapter V, Section 143, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Skinner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____



Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 99-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 31, Reno-Tahoe International Airport Air Guard Station, NV appearing at Chapter III, Section 99 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 99, Reno-Tahoe International Airport Air Guard Station, NV he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place, "Realign Reno-Tahoe International Airport Air Guard Station, NV. Distribute the 8 C-130 aircraft assigned to the 152d Airlift Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 9 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 189th Airlift Wing (ANG), Little Rock Air Force Base, Arkansas.
- Establish 8 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 152d Airlift Wing (ANG), Reno Tahoe International Airport Air Guard Station, Nevada.", and
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Thursday, August 25 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Friday 8/26

Motion Number: 68-1, As Amended

Overview: Approve, As Amended, Navy Recommendation 21, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, Pennsylvania, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, Pennsylvania

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Navy Recommendation 21, as amended, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, Pennsylvania, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, Pennsylvania, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 91-1**Overview:** Motion to Approve Air Force Recommendation 22, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, Louisiana**Group:** Air Force**Full Text**

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 22, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, Louisiana, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Commissioner Newton**Seconded By:** Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	0	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 100-1, As Amended**Overview:** Motion to Approve, As Amended, Air Force Recommendation 32, Cannon Air Force Base, NM**Group:** Air Force**Full Text**

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 32, as amended, Cannon Air Force Base, New Mexico, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Chairman Principi**Seconded By:**

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	6	1	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 104-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 37, Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota appearing at Chapter III, Section 104 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 37, Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;

- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the 319th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135R aircraft to the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Scott AFB, IL (12 aircraft), which retires its eight KC-135E aircraft; the 916th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Seymour-Johnson AFB, NC (eight aircraft), which will host an active duty associate unit; the 6th Air Mobility Wing, MacDill AFB, FL (four aircraft), which will host a Reserve association with 927th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) manpower realigned from Selfridge ANGB, MI; the 154th Wing (ANG), Hickam AFB, HI (four aircraft), which will host an active duty associate unit; and the 22d Air Refueling Wing, McConnell AFB, KS (eight aircraft), which currently associates with the 931st Air Refueling Group (AFR)" and insert in its place the language, "Distribute the 319th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the following KC 135R/T PAA:

- The 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Scott AFB, IL (eight PAA KC 135R/T). The 126th Air Refueling Wing KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft;

- The 916th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Seymour-Johnson AFB, NC (16 PAA KC-135R/T), which will host an active duty associate unit;

- The 6th Air Mobility Wing, MacDill AFB, FL (16 PAA KC-135R/T), which will host a Reserve association with 927th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) manpower realigned from Selfridge ANGB, MI;

o The 154th Wing (ANG), Hickam AFB, HI (12 PAA KC-135R/T), which will host an active duty associate unit, and;

- The 22d Air Refueling Wing, McConnell AFB, KS (48 PAA KC 135R/T), which currently associates with the 931st Air Refueling Group (AFR);

Modify infrastructure at Grand Forks AFB to accommodate the emerging Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) mission. The Secretary of Defense will maintain eight KC-135 aircraft at Grand Forks Air Force Base to facilitate an efficient and cost effective bed down of UAVs. The Secretary will keep the tankers in place until the UAVs are operational at Grand Forks, but not later than 31 Dec 2010 unless otherwise required by the Department of Defense for National Emergencies.

- that the Commission strike the language "Realign McConnell Air National Guard (ANG) Base by relocating the 184th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) nine KC-135R aircraft to the 190th Air Refueling Wing at Forbes Field AGS, KS, which will retire its eight assigned KC-135E aircraft." and insert in its place, "Realign McConnell Air National Guard (ANG) Base by distributing the 184th Air Refueling Wing's (ANG) nine KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the PAA requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 12 Primary Aircraft Authorization KC-135R/T aircraft at the 190th Air Refueling Wing, Forbes Field AGS, KS. The 184th Air Refueling Wing KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the AMARC at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft.", and:

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 109-2

Overview: Motion to strike Air Force Recommendation 43, Ellsworth Air Force Base, South Dakota and Dyess Air Force Base, Texas

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 43, Ellsworth Air Force Base, South Dakota and Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	1	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 112-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Air Force Recommendation 46, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 46, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 113-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Air Force Recommendation 47, Hill Air Force Base, Utah, Edwards Air Force Base, California, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona, and Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 47, Hill Air Force Base, Utah, Edwards Air Force Base, California, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, Luke Air Force Base, Arizona, and Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)
Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton
Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	6	0	3

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 114-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Air Force Recommendation 49, Langley Air Force Base, Virginia

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 49, Langley Air Force Base, Virginia, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commisssioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 118-1**Overview:** Motion to Approve Air Force Recommendation 53, Air Force Logistics Support Centers**Group:** Air Force**Full Text**

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 53, Air Force Logistics Support Centers, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Friday, August 26 (0800-1200)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Chariman Principi**Seconded By:** Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 119-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Air Force Recommendation 55, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Air Force Recommendation 55, F100 Engine Centralized Intermediate Repair Facilities, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 128-1

Overview: Motion to Approve Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that the Commission find that Education and Training Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14, Undergraduate Pilot and Navigator Training, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 82-3A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 10, Beale Air Force Base, California, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan, appearing at Chapter III, Section 82 of the Bill. Deletes change to fighter mission at Selfridge ANGB, MI.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 10, Beale Air Force Base, California, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "15 F-16 aircraft and" and the language "A-10 and" where it appears in paragraph "b" of Chapter III, Section 82 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)
Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi
Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 100-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 32, Cannon Air Force Base, NM, appearing at Chapter III, Section 100 of the Bill. Realigns Cannon AFB, NM; establishes single site IFF.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 32, Cannon Air Force Base, NM, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 6 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Cannon Air Force Base, NM by disestablishing the 27th Fighter Wing and distributing its aircraft to meet the requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Relocate from Moody AFB, GA, all Introduction to Fighter Fundamentals (IFF) Training for Pilots, IFF Training for Weapons Systems Officers, IFF Training for Instructor Pilots, and all associated training assets and aircraft, including all AT-38/T-38C aircraft, to Cannon AFB, NM.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (0800-1200)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi		X	
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	4	3	2

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 100-4B

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 32, Cannon Air Force Base, NM Appearing at Chapter III, Section 100 of the Bill. Realigns Cannon AFB, NM; establishes an enclave until the date of December 31, 2009.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 32, Cannon Air Force Base, NM, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 6 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
 - that the Commission strike the entire recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Cannon Air Force Base, NM, by disestablishing the 27th Fighter Wing and distributing its aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorization (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
 - After disestablishing the 27th Fighter Wing, the Air Force shall establish an enclave at Cannon Air Force Base which enclave shall remain open until December 31, 2009 during which time the Secretary of Defense shall seek other missions for possible assignment to Cannon Air Force Base, NM. IF the Secretary designates a mission for Cannon Air Force Base during this period, the enclave status would revert to the status appropriate for the designated mission. IF the Air Force does not find a mission for Canon Air Force Base, NM, by Decemnrber 21, 2009, Cannon Air Force Base, NM enclave shall be closed.
 - Nothing in this directive shall prohibit the state of New Mexico and the Department of Defense from enting into an agreement to close the enclave at Cannon Air Force Base earlier than December 31, 2009.
- That the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Froce Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	6	1	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 80-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 7, Kulis Air Guard Station, Alaska, and Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, appearing at Chapter III, Section 80 of the Bill. Conditions closure Kulis AGS, AK and relocation of 176th Wing to Elmendorf AFB, AK on availability of funds to provide adequate infrastructure; recommends distribution F-15C/D aircraft to Langley AFB, VA and ANG.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 7, Kulis Air Guard Station, Alaska, and Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close", and replace it with the language "Contingent on the availability of adequate military construction funds to provide the necessary facilities at Elmendorf AFB, AK, close",
- that the Commission strike the language "The 3d Wing at Elmendorf Air Force Base will distribute 24 of 42 assigned F-15C/D aircraft to the 1st Fighter Wing, Langley Air Force Base, VA.", and replace it with the language "The Commission recommends 3d Wing at Elmendorf Air Force Base will distribute 18 of 42 assigned F-15C/D aircraft to the 1st Fighter Wing, Langley Air Force Base, VA and 6 to an Air National Guard unit.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 103-4D

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 35, Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina, Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, Pennsylvania, and Yeager Air Guard Station, West Virginia, appearing at Chapter III, Section 103 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 35, Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina, Pittsburgh International Airport Air Reserve Station, Pennsylvania, and Yeager Air Guard Station, West Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;

- that the Commission strike the text of paragraph "a" of Chapter III, Section 103 of the Bill and insert in its place, "Realign Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina. Distribute the 25 C-130E aircraft assigned to the 43d Air Lift Wing and the 36 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 23d Fighter Group to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

- Establish 16 PAA C-130H aircraft at Pope Army Air Field, Fort Bragg, North Carolina.

- Establish 48 PAA A 10 aircraft at Moody Air Force Base, Georgia.

Transfer real property accountability to the Army; disestablish the 43d Medical Group and establish a medical squadron. The Air Force will establish an Air Support Operations Group to provide unity of command of Air Force units on Pope Army Air Field, mission execution planning, and management of efficient loadout of Fort Bragg assets.", and;

- that the Commission strike the text of paragraph "b" of Chapter III, Section 103 of the Bill and insert in its place, "Realign Little Rock Air Force Base, Arkansas. Distribute 42 of the C-130 aircraft assigned to Little Rock Air Force Base, Arkansas to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

- Establish 8 PAA C-130J aircraft at the 143d Airlift Wing (ANG), Quonset State Airport Air Guard Station, Rhode Island;

- Establish 8 PAA C-130J aircraft at the 146th Airlift Wing (ANG), Channel Islands Air Guard Station, California;

- Establish 9 PAA C-130 aircraft at 189th Airlift Wing (ANG), Little Rock Air Force Base.", and;

- that the Commission strike the text of paragraph "c" of Chapter III, Section 103 of the Bill and insert in its place, "Realign Yeager Airport Air Guard Station (AGS), West Virginia.

- Establish 8 PAA C-130H aircraft at Yeager Airport Air Guard Station (AGS), West Virginia.",

- that the Commission strike the text of paragraph "d" of Chapter III, Section 103 of the Bill and insert in its place, "Realign Pittsburgh International Airport (IAP) Air Reserve Station (ARS), Pennsylvania. Establish a contiguous enclave at the Pittsburgh International Air Station (ARS), Pennsylvania sufficient to support continued operations of the reserve station units, including flight operations, and compatible with combined use of the civilian airport by the Air Reserve, Air National Guard and civilian users. Within that enclave, establish a Regional Joint Readiness Center (RJRC) at the Pittsburgh International Air Station with the mission of providing civil-military operations, homeland security and community-based medical support to the Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security National Incident Management Plan and the National Response Plan. The enclave and RJRC will be staffed at the current manning level of the ARS. The PAA and personnel allocations of Air National Guard units at Pittsburgh are unaffected by this recommendation.", and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 68-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Navy Recommendation 21, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, Pennsylvania, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, Pennsylvania, appearing at Chapter II, Section 68 of the Bill. Deletes deactivation of 111th FW (ANG); establishes an ANG enclave; restricts reserve enclave.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 21, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, Pennsylvania, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, Pennsylvania, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Deactivate the 111th Fighter Wing (Air National Guard) and relocate assigned A-10 aircraft to the 124th Wing (Air National Guard), Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, Boise, ID (three primary aircraft authorized); 175th Wing (Air National Guard), Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, Baltimore, MD, (three primary aircraft authorized); 127th Wing (Air National Guard), Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, MI (three primary aircraft authorized) and retired (six primary aircraft authorized)." and insert in its place "Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG), the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 124th Wing (ANG), Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, Boise, Idaho, the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 175th Wing (ANG), Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, Baltimore, Maryland, and the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan, to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 124th Wing (ANG), Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, Boise, Idaho
- Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 175th Wing (ANG), Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, Baltimore, Maryland,
- Establish 24 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan.
- If the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Pennsylvania Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth.",
- that the Commission strike the language "Establish an enclave for the Army Reserve units remaining on or relocating to Willow Grove and the Air National Guard 270th Engineering Installation Squadron." and replace it with "Establish a contiguous enclave for the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) and the 270th Engineering Installation Squadron (ANG) sufficient to support operations of those units, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the former Naval Air Station as a civilian airport. The Army Reserve units not relocated from Willow Grove by this recommendation, as amended, and those relocated to Willow Grove by other recommendations, as amended, will be incorporated into the Armed Forces Reserve Center established by Army Recommendation 82. The property retained under Federal title to construct the AFRC shall be limited to the absolute minimum essential to construct that facility, shall be encompassed within the enclave established by the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) and the 270th Engineering Installation Squadron (ANG), and shall be sited to minimize interference with the Air Guard enclave and joint civilian use of the former Naval Air Station as a civilian airport.";
- that the Commission defines the authority granted to the Army by the words "retain essential facilities to support activities of the Reserve Components" where they appear in Army Recommendation 82, to be limited to the property necessary to construct AFRC itself. Should the Secretary of the Army determine that access to more property would be beneficial, a joint use agreement should be executed to obtain a tenancy from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 82-3A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 10, Beale Air Force Base, California, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan, appearing at Chapter III, Section 82 of the Bill. Deletes change to fighter mission at Selfridge ANGB, MI.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 10, Beale Air Force Base, California, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Michigan, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "15 F-16 aircraft and" and the language "A-10 and" where it appears in paragraph "b" of Chapter III, Section 82 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			

Vote Totals	0	0	0
--------------------	---	---	---

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 95-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 27, W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI, appearing at Chapter III, Section 95 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 27, W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI. Distribute the 110th Fighter Wing's A-10s (15 aircraft) to the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge ANGB, MI." and insert in its place "Realign W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI. Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 110th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 110th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Air Guard Station as a civilian airport. If the State of Michigan decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 110th Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 110th Fighter Wing will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Michigan and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Michigan Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 110th Fighter Wing is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			

Vote Totals

0 0 0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 94-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 25, Otis Air National Guard Base, Massachusetts, Lambert St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, Missouri and Atlantic City Air Guard Station, New Jersey appearing at Chapter III, Section 94 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 25, Otis Air National Guard Base, Massachusetts, Lambert St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, Missouri and Atlantic City Air Guard Station, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;

- that the Commission strike that the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place,

- "Realign Otis ANGB, MA. Distribute the fifteen F-15 aircraft assigned to the 102d Fighter Wing's (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 253d Combat Communications Group, and 267th Communications Squadron will remain in place at Otis, with 104th Fighter Wing at Barnes providing administrative support as the parent wing. An air sovereignty alert (ASA) facility will be constructed at Bradley International Airport Air Guard Station, CT. Firefighter positions from Otis will move to Barnes Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, MA. If the Commonwealth of Massachusetts decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Massachusetts Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth.

- Realign Lambert-St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, St. Louis, MO. Distribute the fifteen F-15 aircraft assigned to the 131st Fighter Wing to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 157th Air Operations Group (AOG) and the 218th Engineering Installation Group (EIG) will relocate from Jefferson Barracks geographically separated unit (GSU) into space at Lambert International. Jefferson Barracks real property accountability will transfer to the Army. If the State of Missouri decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Missouri and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Missouri Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

- Establish 18 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 125th Fighter Wing, Jacksonville International Airport Air Guard Station, Florida (ANG);

- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 177th Fighter Wing, Atlantic City International Airport Air Guard Station, New Jersey (ANG);

- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 158th Fighter Wing, Burlington International Airport Air Guard Station, Vermont (ANG).", and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)
Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman
Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	0	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 98-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 30, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT, appearing at Chapter III, Section 98 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 30, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the 120th Fighter Wing's F-16s to the 187th Fighter Wing, Dannelly Field Air Guard Station, AL (three aircraft); the 132d Fighter Wing, Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA (three aircraft); and retire (nine aircraft)." and insert in its place "Distribute the fifteen F-16 aircraft assigned to the 120th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 15 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 120th Fighter Wing (ANG), Great Fall International Airport Air Guard Station, MT.
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 187th Fighter Wing (ANG), Dannelly Field Air Guard Station, AL.
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 132nd Fighter Wing Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA (ANG).", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	0	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 108-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 41, Portland international Airport Air Guard Station appearing at Chapter III, Section 108 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 41, Portland International Airport Air Guard Station, he deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language, "Realign the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) by distributing the wing's KC-135R aircraft to the 507th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Tinker Air Force Base, OK (four aircraft); the 190th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Forbes Field Air Guard Station, KS (three aircraft); and by reverting one aircraft to backup inventory. Operations and maintenance manpower for four aircraft from the 939th Air Refueling Wing is realigned with the aircraft to Tinker Air Force Base. The 939th Air Refueling Wing's remaining manpower, to include expeditionary combat support, is realigned to Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA." and insert in its place, "Realign the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR). Distribute the KC-135R/T aircraft assigned to the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the 507th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Tinker Air Force Base, OK as a twelve Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) KC-135R/T wing. Operations and maintenance manpower for four PAA aircraft from the 939th Air Refueling Wing will realign to Holloman AFB, NM. The 939th Air Refueling Wing's expeditionary combat support (ECS) is realigned to Vandenberg Air Force Base, California.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 116-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 51, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington appearing at Chapter III, Section 116 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;

- that the Commission strike the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place, "Realign Fairchild Air Force Base, WA. Distribute the 141st Air Refueling Wing's KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

- Establish eight PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 185th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Sioux Gateway Airport Air Guard Station, Iowa. The 185th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft.

- Establish eight PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 161st Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport Air Guard Station, AZ.

- If the State of Washington decides to change the organization, composition and association of the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force:

- establish the 141st Air Refueling Wing as an associate flying wing of the 92d Air Refueling Wing, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington, with the 92nd's Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remaining in place;

- provide opportunity for 141st Air Refueling Wing personnel to operate the future tanker replacement aircraft as determined by the Secretary of Defense

- The 256th Combat Communications Squadron and 242d Combat Communications Squadron, which are ANG geographically separated units at Four Lakes and Spokane, are relocated to Fairchild Air Force Base

- all other personnel allotted to the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Washington and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.

This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Washington Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state", and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Bilbray

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			

Motion Number: 83-4A

Overview: Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA appearing at Chapter III, Section 83 of the Bill. Defines future composition and role of 163d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) as it relates to the security interests of the State of California and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the text of the language, "Realign March Air Reserve Base, CA. The 163 d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will distribute its nine KC-135R aircraft to the 452 d Air Mobility Wing (AFR), March Air Reserve Base (four aircraft); the 157th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Pease International Tradeport Air Guard Station, NH (three aircraft); the 134th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), McGhee-Tyson Airport Air Guard Station, TN (one aircraft); and the 22 d Air Refueling Wing, McConnell Air Force Base, KS (one aircraft). The 163 d Air Refueling Wing's expeditionary combat support (ECS) will remain in place" and insert in its place, "Realign March Air Reserve Base, CA. Distribute the 163 d Air Refueling Wing (ANG)'s KC 135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the following KC-135R/T PAA:
 - The 452nd Air Mobility Wing (AFR), March Air Reserve Base, CA (12 PAA KC-135R/T);
 - The 157th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Pease International Tradeport Air Guard Station, NH (eight PAA KC-135R/T);
 - The 108th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), McGuire Air Force Base, NJ (eight PAA KC-135R/T). The 108th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft;
 - If the State of California decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 163d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force:
 - the 163d Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remaining in place;
 - reassign a sufficient number of aircrews and maintenance personnel of the 163d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to the 146th Airlift Wing (ANG), a C-130 unit located at Channel Islands Air Guard Station, California, to bring that unit to a fully manned status, with the Air Force providing retraining where necessary, and;
 - all other personnel allotted to the 163d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of California and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, rescue operations or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.
 - This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the California Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 163rd Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			

Motion Number: 5-4D

Overview: A Motion to Amend Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, appearing at Chapter 1, Section 5 of the Bill. Conditions approval of recommendation on mitigating impact on current operations and taking measures to maximize retention of critical workforce.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the recommendation the language "The Secretary of Defense shall submit a report to the Congressional Committees of Jurisdiction, that movement of organizations, functions, or activities from Fort Monmouth to Aberdeen Proving Ground will be accomplished without disruption of their support to the Global War on Terrorism or other critical contingency operations, and that safeguards exist to ensure that necessary redundant capabilities are put in place to mitigate potential degradation of such support, and to ensure maximum retention of critical workforce.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			

Vote Totals	0	0	0
--------------------	---	---	---

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 106-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 39, Mansfield-Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH appearing at Chapter III, Section 106 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG C-130)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 39, Mansfield-Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 4 and 6 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place the language, "Realign Mansfield-Lahm Municipal Airport Air Guard Station (AGS), OH. Distribute the 179th Airlift Wing's C-130H aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 8 C-130H PAA at the 908thth Airlift Wing (AFR), Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama.
- Establish a contiguous enclave for the 179th Airlift Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Mansfield-Lahm Municipal Airport as a civilian airport.
- If the State of Ohio decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 179th Airlift Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 179th Airlift Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Ohio and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Ohio Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 179th Airlift Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state." and,
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		

Sue E. Turner

X

Vote Totals

9

0

0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 117-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 52, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI appearing at Chapter III, Section 117 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG C-130)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 52, General Mitchell Air Reserve Station, WI
- he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 4 and 6 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the eight C-130H aircraft of the 440th Airlift Wing to the 94th Airlift Wing (AFR), Dobbins Air Reserve Base (ARB), GA (four aircraft), and the 314th Airlift Wing, Little Rock Air Force Base, AR (four aircraft). Realign the 440th Airlift Wing's operations, maintenance and Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) manpower to Fort Bragg, NC." and insert in its place the language, "Distribute the 440th Airlift Wing's C-130H aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the following C-130H PAA:
- The 94th Airlift Wing (AFR), Dobbins Air Reserve Base (ARB), GA (8 PAA C-130H)
- The Air Force Reserve/Active Duty unit (designation to be determined) at Pope Army Airfield, NC (16 PAA C-130H);
- Realign the 440th Airlift Wing's operations, maintenance and Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) manpower to Pope Army Airfield, NC.
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 86-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 15, New Castle Airport Air Guard Station, DE, appearing at Chapter III, Section 86 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG C-130)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 15, New Castle Airport Air Guard Station, Delaware, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place, "The 166 Airlift Wing (ANG) at New Castle County Airport Air Guard Station (AGS), Delaware, maintains its 8 PAA C-130 aircraft. Establish 10 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 145th Airlift Wing (ANG), Charlotte/Douglas International Airport AGS, North Carolina. The 165th Airlift Wing (ANG) at Savannah International Airport Air Guard Station, Georgia maintains its 8 PAA C-130 aircraft.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 88-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 16, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID, appearing at Chapter III, Section 88 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG C-130)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 15, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the four C 130H aircraft of the 124th Wing (ANG) to the 153d Airlift Wing (ANG), Cheyenne, WY. The new, larger unit at Cheyenne will create an active duty/ ANG association.", and insert in its place, "Distribute the 4 C-130 aircraft assigned to the 124th Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 6 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 153rd Airlift Wing (ANG), Cheyenne, Wyoming. The 153rd Airlift Wing (ANG) will create an active duty / ANG association at Cheyenne.
- If the State of Idaho decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 124th Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 124th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Mississippi and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Idaho Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 124h Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: 93-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 24, Martin State Air Guard Station, appearing at Chapter III, Section 93 of the Bill. Adjusts gaining sites of distributed airframes. (Reserve/ANG C-130)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 24, Martin State Air Guard Station, Maryland, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the eight C-130J aircraft of the 175th Wing (ANG) to the 146th Airlift Wing (ANG), Channel Islands AGS, CA (four aircraft), and 143d Airlift Wing (ANG), Quonset State Airport AGS, RI (four aircraft). The Aerial Port Squadron will move to Andrews Air Force Base, MD. The 143d and 146th Airlift Wings will each retire two C-130E aircraft (total of four)." and insert in its place "Distribute the 8 C-130J aircraft assigned to the 175th Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 8 Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) C-130J at the 146th Airlift Wing (ANG), Channel Islands AGS, California
- Establish 8 Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) C-130J at the 143d Airlift Wing (ANG), Quonset State Airport AGS, Rhode Island
- The Aerial Port Squadron located at Martin State Air Guard Station, Maryland will move to Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland. If the State of Maryland decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 175th Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 175th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Maryland and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Maryland Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 175th Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		

Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 92-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 23, Andrews Air Force Base, MD, Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK Tinker Air Force Base, OK and Randolph Air Force Base, TX, appearing at Chapter III, Section 92 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG C-130)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 23, Andrews Air Force Base, MD Will Rogers Air Guard Station, OK, Tinker Air Force Base, OK and Randolph Air Force Base, TX, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language, "The 137th's C-130H aircraft are distributed to the 136th Airlift Wing (ANG), Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, TX (4 aircraft), and 139th Airlift Wing (ANG), Rosecrans Memorial Airport Air Guard Station, MO (4 aircraft)." and insert in its place, "Distribute the 137th Air Airlift Wing (ANG)'s C 130 aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. If the State of Okalahoma decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 137th Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 137th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Okalahoma and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Okalahoma Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 137th Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.; and
- that the commission insert the text, "Establish 8 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 136th Airlift Wing ANG, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, TX. Establish 10 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 139th Airlift Wing (ANG), Rosecrans Memorial Airport Air Guard Station, MO" and;
- that the commission strike the text, "The aerial port squadron at Will Rogers moves to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Fort Worth, the Aeromedical Squadron and fire fighters move to Rosecrans AGB. Other elements of the 137th Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support remain in place at Will Rogers." and insert in its place, "The 137th Airlift Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support remain in place at Will Rogers Air Guard Station, Oklahoma."
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By:

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		

Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____
Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 110-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 44, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN, appearing at Chapter III, Section 110 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG C-130)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 44, Nashville International Airport Air Guard Station, TN he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place "Distribute the 8 C-130 aircraft assigned to the 118th Airlift Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Assigned Aircraft (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
 - o Establish 8 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 182nd Airlift Wing (ANG), Greater Peoria Airport, AGS, Illinois.
 - establish 8 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 123rd Airlift Wing (ANG), Louisville International Airport Air Guard Station, Kentucky."
- establish a contiguous enclave for the 118th Airlift Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of those units, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Nashville International Airport as a civilian airport.
- if the State of Tennessee decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 118h Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force all personnel allotted to the 118th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Tennessee and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.
- this recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Tennessee Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 118h Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state," and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		

Sue E. Turner

X

Vote Totals

9

0

0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 102-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 34, Schenectady County Airport Air Guard Station, NY appearing at Chapter III, Section 102 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG C-130)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 34, Schenectady County Airport Air Guard Station, NY, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place "Establish 10 Primary Authorized Aircraft (PAA) (LC 130H at the 109th Airlift Wing (ANG), Schenectady County Airport Air Guard Station, NY." and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 108-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 41, Portland international Airport Air Guard Station appearing at Chapter III, Section 108 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG KC-135)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 41, Portland International Airport Air Guard Station, he deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language, "Realign the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) by distributing the wing's KC-135R aircraft to the 507th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Tinker Air Force Base, OK (four aircraft); the 190th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Forbes Field Air Guard Station, KS (three aircraft); and by reverting one aircraft to backup inventory. Operations and maintenance manpower for four aircraft from the 939th Air Refueling Wing is realigned with the aircraft to Tinker Air Force Base. The 939th Air Refueling Wing's remaining manpower, to include expeditionary combat support, is realigned to Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA." and insert in its place, "Realign the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR). Distribute the KC-135R/T aircraft assigned to the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the 507th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Tinker Air Force Base, OK as a twelve Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) KC-135R/T wing. Operations and maintenance manpower for four PAA aircraft from the 939th Air Refueling Wing will realign to Holloman AFB, NM. The 939th Air Refueling Wing's expeditionary combat support (ECS) is realigned to Vandenberg Air Force Base, California.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 82-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 10, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI appearing at Chapter III, Section 82 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG KC-135)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 37, Beale Air Force Base, CA, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language, "Realign Beale Air Force Base, CA. The 940th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) will realign its KC-135R tanker aircraft while its expeditionary combat support (ECS) elements will remain in place. Beale's KC-135R aircraft will be distributed to the Air National Guard at Selfridge ANGB, MI (four aircraft) and 134th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), McGhee-Tyson Airport Air Guard Station, TN (four aircraft). Realign Selfridge Air Reserve Base, MI. The 927th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) at Selfridge will distribute its eight KC-135 aircraft to the 127th Wing (ANG) at Selfridge. The 127th Wing will retire its 15 F-16 aircraft and eight C-130E aircraft, and will convert to A-10 and KC-135R aircraft" and insert in its place, "Realign Beale Air Force Base, California. Distribute the 940th Air Refueling Wing's (AFR) KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 940th Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements will remain in place.
- Establish 12 PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 134th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), McGhee-Tyson Airport Air Guard Station, Tennessee. The KC-135E aircraft assigned to the 134th Air Refueling Wing will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft.
- Realign Selfridge Air Reserve Base, MI. Distribute the KC-135R/T aircraft assigned to the 927th Air Refueling Wing's (AFR) and the 8 C-130E aircraft assigned to the 127th Wing (ANG) at Selfridge Air Reserve Base, Michigan to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- The 127th Wing will convert from C-130E to KC-135R/T aircraft. Establish 8 PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge Air Reserve Base, Michigan." and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X

James T. Hill	X
Lloyd W. Newton	X
Samuel K. Skinner	X
Sue E. Turner	X

Vote Totals	8	0	1
-------------	---	---	---

Certified By: _____ Date: _____

Verified By: _____ Date: _____

Motion Number: 83-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA appearing at Chapter III, Section 83 of the Bill. Defines future composition and role of 163d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) as it relates to the security interests of the State of California and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force. (Reserve/ANG KC-135)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 83, March Air Reserve Base, CA, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the text of the language, "Realign March Air Reserve Base, CA. The 163 d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will distribute its nine KC-135R aircraft to the 452 d Air Mobility Wing (AFR), March Air Reserve Base (four aircraft); the 157th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Pease International Tradeport Air Guard Station, NH (three aircraft); the 134th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), McGhee-Tyson Airport Air Guard Station, TN (one aircraft); and the 22 d Air Refueling Wing, McConnell Air Force Base, KS (one aircraft). The 163 d Air Refueling Wing's expeditionary combat support (ECS) will remain in place" and insert in its place, "Realign March Air Reserve Base, CA. Distribute the 163 d Air Refueling Wing (ANG)'s KC 135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the following KC-135R/T PAA:
 - The 452nd Air Mobility Wing (AFR), March Air Reserve Base, CA (12 PAA KC-135R/T);
 - The 157th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Pease International Tradeport Air Guard Station, NH (eight PAA KC-135R/T);
 - The 108th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), McGuire Air Force Base, NJ (eight PAA KC-135R/T). The 108th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft;
- If the State of California decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 163d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force:
 - the 163d Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remaining in place;
 - reassign a sufficient number of aircrews and maintenance personnel of the 163d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to the 146th Airlift Wing (ANG), a C-130 unit located at Channel Islands Air Guard Station, California, to bring that unit to a fully manned status, with the Air Force providing retraining where necessary, and;
- all other personnel allotted to the 163d Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of California and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, rescue operations or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.
- This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the California Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 163rd Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		

James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ Date: _____

Verified By: _____ Date: _____

Motion Number: 116-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 51, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington appearing at Chapter III, Section 116 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG KC-135)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place, "Realign Fairchild Air Force Base, WA. Distribute the 141st Air Refueling Wing's KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish eight PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 185th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Sioux Gateway Airport Air Guard Station, Iowa. The 185th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft.
- Establish eight PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 161st Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport Air Guard Station, AZ.
- If the State of Washington decides to change the organization, composition and association of the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force:
- establish the 141st Air Refueling Wing as an associate flying wing of the 92d Air Refueling Wing, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington, with the 92nd's Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remaining in place;
- provide opportunity for 141st Air Refueling Wing personnel to operate the future tanker replacement aircraft as determined by the Secretary of Defense
- The 256th Combat Communications Squadron and 242d Combat Communications Squadron, which are ANG geographically separated units at Four Lakes and Spokane, are relocated to Fairchild Air Force Base
- all other personnel allotted to the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Washington and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.
- This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Washington Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By:

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		

Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 97-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 28A, Key Field Air Guard Station, Mississippi appearing at Chapter III, Section 97 of the Bill. Defines future composition and role of 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) as it relates to the security interests of the State of Mississippi and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force. (Reserve/ANG KC-135)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 28A, Key Field Air Guard Station, Mississippi, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place, "Distribute the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG)'s KC 135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 12 PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 128th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), General Mitchell Air Guard Station, Wisconsin. If the State of Mississippi decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force:
 - establish Key Field as a Regional Operations and Security Center (ROSC) location, with the 186th Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remaining in place;
 - reassign a sufficient number of aircrews and maintenance personnel of the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to the 172d Airlift Wing (ANG), a C-17 unit located on Thompson Field, Mississippi to bring that unit to a fully manned status, with the Air Force providing retraining where necessary, and;
 - all other personnel allotted to the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Mississippi and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.
 - This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Mississippi Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 186th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
 - that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		

Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 78-2A

Overview: A Motion to Strike Air Force Recommendation 5 Birmingham International Airport Air Guard Station, AL appearing at Chapter III, Section 78 of the Bill. Strikes motion in its entirety. Aircraft and personnel remain at Birmingham's 117th Air Refueling Wing. (Reserve/ANG KC-135)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 5, Birmingham International Airport Air Guard Station, AL, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4, and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 101-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 33, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY, appearing at Chapter III, Section 101 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG KC-135)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 33, Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station, NY, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Niagara Falls Air Reserve Station (ARS), NY." Distribute the KC-135R/T aircraft assigned to the 107th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 10 PAA KC 135R/T at the 101st Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Bangor International Airport Air Guard Station, Maine. The 101st Air Refueling Wing KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aircraft Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis Monthan AFB, Arizona, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft.
- All personnel allotted to the 107th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and form an Air National Guard/Air Force Reserve associate wing with the 914th Airlift Wing. Guard personnel will be provided the training necessary to support the airlift mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the New York Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 107th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: 87-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 16, Robins Air Force Base, Georgia appearing at Chapter III, Section 87 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (Reserve/ANG KC-135)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 16, Robins Air Force Base, Georgia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "The 19th Air Refueling Group's KC-135R aircraft will be distributed to the 22d Air Refueling Wing, McConnell Air Force Base, KS (nine aircraft), and to backup aircraft inventory (three aircraft)" and insert in its place, "Distribute the 19th Air Refueling Group's KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the PAA requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Gehman

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 68-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Navy Recommendation 21, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, Pennsylvania, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, Pennsylvania, appearing at Chapter II, Section 68 of the Bill. Deletes deactivation of 111th FW (ANG); establishes an ANG enclave; restricts reserve enclave.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 21, Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow Grove, Pennsylvania, and Cambria Regional Airport, Johnstown, Pennsylvania, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Deactivate the 111th Fighter Wing (Air National Guard) and relocate assigned A-10 aircraft to the 124th Wing (Air National Guard), Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, Boise, ID (three primary aircraft authorized); 175th Wing (Air National Guard), Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, Baltimore, MD, (three primary aircraft authorized); 127th Wing (Air National Guard), Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, MI (three primary aircraft authorized) and retired (six primary aircraft authorized)." and insert in its place "Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG), the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 124th Wing (ANG), Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, Boise, Idaho, the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 175th Wing (ANG), Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, Baltimore, Maryland, and the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan, to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 124th Wing (ANG), Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, Boise, Idaho
- Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 175th Wing (ANG), Martin State Airport Air Guard Station, Baltimore, Maryland,
- Establish 24 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Mount Clemens, Michigan.
- If the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Pennsylvania Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth.",
- that the Commission strike the language "Establish an enclave for the Army Reserve units remaining on or relocating to Willow Grove and the Air National Guard 270th Engineering Installation Squadron." and replace it with "Establish a contiguous enclave for the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) and the 270th Engineering Installation Squadron (ANG) sufficient to support operations of those units, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the former Naval Air Station as a civilian airport. The Army Reserve units not relocated from Willow Grove by this recommendation, as amended, and those relocated to Willow Grove by other recommendations, as amended, will be incorporated into the Armed Forces Reserve Center established by Army Recommendation 82. The property retained under Federal title to construct the AFRC shall be limited to the absolute minimum essential to construct that facility, shall be encompassed within the enclave established by the 111th Fighter Wing (ANG) and the 270th Engineering Installation Squadron (ANG), and shall be sited to minimize interference with the Air Guard enclave and joint civilian use of the former Naval Air Station as a civilian airport.";
- that the Commission defines the authority granted to the Army by the words "retain essential facilities to support activities of the Reserve Components" where they appear in Army Recommendation 82, to be limited to the property necessary to construct AFRC itself. Should the Secretary of the Army determine that access to more property would be beneficial, a joint use agreement should be executed to obtain a tenancy from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote**Session:** Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Chairman Principi**Seconded By:** Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	1	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 95-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 27, W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI, appearing at Chapter III, Section 95 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG A-10)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 27, W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI. Distribute the 110th Fighter Wing's A-10s (15 aircraft) to the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge ANGB, MI." and insert in its place "Realign W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI. Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 110th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 110th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Air Guard Station as a civilian airport. If the State of Michigan decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 110th Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 110th Fighter Wing will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Michigan and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Michigan Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 110th Fighter Wing is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: 91-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 22, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, Louisiana, appearing at Chapter III, Section 91 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations; corrects misidentification of unit. (AFR/ANG A-10)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 22, New Orleans Air Reserve Station, Louisiana, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the 926th Fighter Wing's A-10 aircraft to the 442d Fighter Wing (AFR), Whiteman Air Force Base, MO (nine aircraft); and the 917th Wing (AFR) at Barksdale Air Force Base, LA (six aircraft)." and insert in its place "Distribute the 15 A 10 aircraft assigned to the 926th Fighter Wing (AFR) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 24 PAA A-10 at the 442d Fighter Wing (AFR), Whiteman Air Force Base, Missouri;
- Establish 24 PAA A-10 at the 917th Wing (AFR) at Barksdale Air Force Base, Louisiana.";
- that the Commission strike the language "The 442d Wing HQ element" and insert in its place "The 926th Wing HQ element", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 88-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 16, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID, appearing at Chapter III, Section 88 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG A-10)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 15, Boise Air Terminal Air Guard Station, ID he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the four C 130H aircraft of the 124th Wing (ANG) to the 153d Airlift Wing (ANG), Cheyenne, WY. The new, larger unit at Cheyenne will create an active duty/ ANG association.", and insert in its place, "Distribute the 4 C-130 aircraft assigned to the 124th Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 6 PAA C-130 aircraft at the 153rd Airlift Wing (ANG), Cheyenne, Wyoming. The 153rd Airlift Wing (ANG) will create an active duty / ANG association at Cheyenne.
- If the State of Idaho decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 124th Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 124th Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Mississippi and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Idaho Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 124h Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: 81-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 8, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ appearing at Chapter III, Section 81 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG A-10)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 8, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire recommendation and insert in its place:
- "Realign Fort Smith Municipal Airport (MAP) Air Guard Station (AGS), AR and Luke Air Force Base, AZ. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG) at Fort Smith Air Guard Station, Arkansas, the 37 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 56th Fighter Wing at Luke Air Force Base, and the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 144th Fighter Wing (ANG) at Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, California to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission;
- Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG), Fort Smith Air Guard Station, Arkansas.
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 144th Fighter Wing (ANG), Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, California.
- Establish a contiguous enclave for the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, compatible with joint use of the Air Guard Station as a civilian airport. The Home Station Training Site moves to Savannah, Georgia.
- If the State of Arkansas decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 186th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 186th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Arkansas and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Arkansas Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 186th Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		

Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 85-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 14, Bradley International Airport Air Guard Station, CT, Barnes Air Guard Station, MA, Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI, Shaw Air Force Base, SC, and Martin State Air Guard Station, MD, appearing at Chapter III, Section 85 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG A-10)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 14, Bradley International Airport Air Guard Station, CT, Barnes Air Guard Station, MA, Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI, Shaw Air Force Base, SC, and Martin State Air Guard Station, MD, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language in paragraph "a" Chapter III, Section 85 of the Bill. "The A-10s assigned to the 103d Fighter Wing will be distributed to the 104th Fighter Wing, Barnes Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, MA (nine aircraft) and retirement (six aircraft). The wing's expeditionary combat support (ECS) elements will remain in place at Bradley and Bradley will retain capability to support a Homeland Defense mission." and insert in its place "Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 103d Fighter Wing (ANG) at Bradley Field, Connecticut and the 15 A-10 aircraft at the 104th Fighter Wing (ANG), Barnes Air Guard Station, Massachusetts to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 18 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 104th Fighter Wing (ANG), Barnes Air Guard Station, MA.
- The 103d Fighter Wing (ANG) Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements will remain in place at Bradley Field, Connecticut and Bradley will retain capability to support a Homeland Defense mission.
- If the State of Connecticut decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 103d Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 103d Fighter Wing will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Connecticut and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.
- This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Connecticut or the Massachusetts Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 103d and 104th Fighter Wings (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state or the commonwealth." and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		

James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 94-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 25, Otis Air National Guard Base, Massachusetts, Lambert St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, Missouri and Atlantic City Air Guard Station, New Jersey appearing at Chapter III, Section 94 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (ANG F-15)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 25, Otis Air National Guard Base, Massachusetts, Lambert St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, Missouri and Atlantic City Air Guard Station, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;

- that the Commission strike that the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place,

- "Realign Otis ANGB, MA. Distribute the fifteen F-15 aircraft assigned to the 102d Fighter Wing's (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 253d Combat Communications Group, and 267th Communications Squadron will remain in place at Otis, with 104th Fighter Wing at Barnes providing administrative support as the parent wing. An air sovereignty alert (ASA) facility will be constructed at Bradley International Airport Air Guard Station, CT. Firefighter positions from Otis will move to Barnes Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, MA. If the Commonwealth of Massachusetts decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Massachusetts Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth.

- Realign Lambert-St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, St. Louis, MO. Distribute the fifteen F-15 aircraft assigned to the 131st Fighter Wing to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 157th Air Operations Group (AOG) and the 218th Engineering Installation Group (EIG) will relocate from Jefferson Barracks geographically separated unit (GSU) into space at Lambert International. Jefferson Barracks real property accountability will transfer to the Army. If the State of Missouri decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Missouri and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Missouri Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.

- Establish 18 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 125th Fighter Wing, Jacksonville International Airport Air Guard Station, Florida (ANG);

- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 177th Fighter Wing, Atlantic City International Airport Air Guard Station, New Jersey (ANG);

- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 158th Fighter Wing, Burlington International Airport Air Guard Station, Vermont (ANG).", and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 108-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 41, Portland international Airport Air Guard Station appearing at Chapter III, Section 108 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (ANG F-15)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 41, Portland International Airport Air Guard Station, he deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language, "Realign the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) by distributing the wing's KC-135R aircraft to the 507th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Tinker Air Force Base, OK (four aircraft); the 190th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Forbes Field Air Guard Station, KS (three aircraft); and by reverting one aircraft to backup inventory. Operations and maintenance manpower for four aircraft from the 939th Air Refueling Wing is realigned with the aircraft to Tinker Air Force Base. The 939th Air Refueling Wing's remaining manpower, to include expeditionary combat support, is realigned to Vandenberg Air Force Base, CA." and insert in its place, "Realign the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR). Distribute the KC-135R/T aircraft assigned to the 939th Air Refueling Wing (AFR) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish the 507th Air Refueling Wing (AFR), Tinker Air Force Base, OK as a twelve Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) KC-135R/T wing. Operations and maintenance manpower for four PAA aircraft from the 939th Air Refueling Wing will realign to Holloman AFB, NM. The 939th Air Refueling Wing's expeditionary combat support (ECS) is realigned to Vandenberg Air Force Base, California.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 98-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 30, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT, appearing at Chapter III, Section 98 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (ANG F-15)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 30, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the 120th Fighter Wing's F-16s to the 187th Fighter Wing, Dannelly Field Air Guard Station, AL (three aircraft); the 132d Fighter Wing, Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA (three aircraft); and retire (nine aircraft)." and insert in its place "Distribute the fifteen F-16 aircraft assigned to the 120th Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 15 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 120th Wing (ANG), Great Fall International Airport Air Guard Station, MT.
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 187th Wing (ANG), Dannelly Field Air Guard Station, AL.
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 132nd Wing Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA (ANG).", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Gehman

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 89-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 18, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada, and Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, appearing at Chapter III, Section 89 of the Bill. (ANG F-15)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that:

- the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 18, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada, and Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, Chapter III, Section 89 of the Bill he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 6 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID. Distribute the F-15C/D aircraft assigned to the 366th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 18 PAA F 15C/D aircraft at the 125th Wing (ANG), Jacksonville International Airport Air Guard Station, Florida.
- Establish 24 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 169th Fighter Wing (ANG), McEntire Air Guard Station, South Carolina.
- Realign Nellis Air Force Base. Distribute the F-16 aircraft assigned to the 57th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 21 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 138th Fighter Wing Tulsa International Airport Air Guard Station, Oklahoma.
- Establish 18 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 144th Fighter Wing Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, California.
- Realign Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK. The 366th Fighter Wing, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID will receive F-15E aircraft from the 3d Wing, Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK (18 aircraft) and attrition reserve (three aircraft).", and
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: 113-2A**Overview:** NO ELECTRONIC WORDING AVAILABLE (AFR/ANG F-16)**Group:** Air Force**Full Text**

NO ELECTRONIC WORDING AVAILABLE

Vote**Session:** Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)**Result:** PASSED**Offered By:** Chairman Principi**Seconded By:** Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____**Verified By:** _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 115-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 50, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA, appearing at Chapter III, Section 115 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations. (AFR/ANG F-16)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 50, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 2 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Richmond International Airport Air Guard Station, VA. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 192d Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 24 F-16 Primary Aircraft Authorized (PAA) aircraft 482d Fighter Wing at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida.
- Richmond International Airport Air Guard Station real property accountability will transfer to the Department of the Army. The 192d Fighter Wing's manpower will associate with the 1st Fighter Wing.
- Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Virginia Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 192d Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth.
- Realign Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 132d Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 18 F-16 PAA 132d Fighter Wing, Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, Iowa.
- Establish 18 F-16 PAA 180th Fighter Wing, Toledo Express Airport Air Guard Station, Ohio.
- Establish 21 F-16 PAA 138th Fighter Wing, Tulsa International Airport Air Guard Station, Oklahoma.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the final selection criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		

Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 89-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 18, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada, and Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, appearing at Chapter III, Section 89 of the Bill. (AFR/ANG F-16)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that:

- the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 18, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada, and Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, Chapter III, Section 89 of the Bill he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 6 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID. Distribute the F-15C/D aircraft assigned to the 366th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 18 PAA F 15C/D aircraft at the 125th Wing (ANG), Jacksonville International Airport Air Guard Station, Florida.
- Establish 24 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 169th Fighter Wing (ANG), McEntire Air Guard Station, South Carolina.
- Realign Nellis Air Force Base. Distribute the F-16 aircraft assigned to the 57th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 21 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 138th Fighter Wing Tulsa International Airport Air Guard Station, Oklahoma.
- Establish 18 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 144th Fighter Wing Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, California.
- Realign Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK. The 366th Fighter Wing, Mountain Home Air Force Base, ID will receive F-15E aircraft from the 3d Wing, Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK (18 aircraft) and attrition reserve (three aircraft).", and
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: 98-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 30, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT, appearing at Chapter III, Section 98 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG F-16)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 30, Great Falls International Airport Air Guard Station, MT he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Distribute the 120th Fighter Wing's F-16s to the 187th Fighter Wing, Dannelly Field Air Guard Station, AL (three aircraft); the 132d Fighter Wing, Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA (three aircraft); and retire (nine aircraft)," and insert in its place "Distribute the fifteen F-16 aircraft assigned to the 120th Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 15 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 120th Wing (ANG), Great Fall International Airport Air Guard Station, MT.
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 187th Wing (ANG), Dannelly Field Air Guard Station, AL.
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 132nd Wing Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA (ANG).", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 94-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 25, Otis Air National Guard Base, Massachusetts, Lambert St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, Missouri and Atlantic City Air Guard Station, New Jersey appearing at Chapter III, Section 94 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG F-16)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 25, Otis Air National Guard Base, Massachusetts, Lambert St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, Missouri and Atlantic City Air Guard Station, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike that the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place,
- "Realign Otis ANGB, MA. Distribute the fifteen F-15 aircraft assigned to the 102d Fighter Wing's (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 253d Combat Communications Group, and 267th Communications Squadron will remain in place at Otis, with 104th Fighter Wing at Barnes providing administrative support as the parent wing. An air sovereignty alert (ASA) facility will be constructed at Bradley International Airport Air Guard Station, CT. Firefighter positions from Otis will move to Barnes Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, MA. If the Commonwealth of Massachusetts decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Massachusetts Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 102d Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth.
- Realign Lambert-St. Louis International Airport Air Guard Station, St. Louis, MO. Distribute the fifteen F-15 aircraft assigned to the 131st Fighter Wing to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 157th Air Operations Group (AOG) and the 218th Engineering Installation Group (EIG) will relocate from Jefferson Barracks geographically separated unit (GSU) into space at Lambert International. Jefferson Barracks real property accountability will transfer to the Army. If the State of Missouri decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Missouri and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Missouri Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 131st Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.
- Establish 18 PAA F-15 aircraft at the 125th Fighter Wing, Jacksonville International Airport Air Guard Station, Florida (ANG);
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 177th Fighter Wing, Atlantic City International Airport Air Guard Station, New Jersey (ANG);
- Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 158th Fighter Wing, Burlington International Airport Air Guard Station, Vermont (ANG).", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 105-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 38, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, North Dakota appearing at Chapter III, Section 105 of the Bill. (AFR/ANG F-16)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 38, Hector International Airport Air Guard Station, North Dakota, he substantially deviated from final selection criteria 1 and 2 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "The 119th Fighter Wing's F 16s (15 aircraft) retire," and insert in its place "With the consent of the State of North Dakota, as expressed in the Governor's August 4th letter to the Commission, and consistent with Air Force plans, the 119th Fighter Wing (ANG) will be redesignated as an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle wing; the Armed Forces Reserve Center planned for construction on Hector Field will be expanded to include sufficient facilities to accommodate at minimum the UAV ground control and intelligence analysis functions and expeditionary combat support elements, including fire, crash and rescue services, of the 119th Wing (ANG), in addition to the units already identified in Army Recommendation 73, Reserve Component Transformation in North Dakota; and the Air Force will retain, adapt or construct appropriate facilities on Grand Forks Air Force Base appropriate to launch, recover, maintain and support the Unmanned Aerial Vehicles assigned to the 119th Wing (ANG).";
- that the Commission explicitly rejects the language contained in justification to the recommendation by the Secretary of Defense that there will be "no flying mission backfill" at Hector Field, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement: This motion, even though it deletes the language "the 119th Fighter Wing's F 16s retire," does not preclude the Air Force from executing the already programmed retirement of the aircraft currently based at Hector Field. All that deletion is intended to do is to ensure flexibility for the Air Force and to keep the Commission out of business that is purely programmatic, outside the realm of the Base Closure Act. If the Air Force isn't permitted to retire those aircraft as programmed, this action wouldn't make any sense.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		

Sue E. Turner

X

Vote Totals

8

0

1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 81-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 8, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ appearing at Chapter III, Section 81 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG F-16)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 8, Fort Smith Air Guard Station, AR, and Luke Air Force Base, AZ, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire recommendation and insert in its place:
 - "Realign Fort Smith Municipal Airport (MAP) Air Guard Station (AGS), AR and Luke Air Force Base, AZ. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG) at Fort Smith Air Guard Station, Arkansas, the 37 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 56th Fighter Wing at Luke Air Force Base, and the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 144th Fighter Wing (ANG) at Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, California to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission;
 - Establish 18 PAA A-10 aircraft at the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG), Fort Smith Air Guard Station, Arkansas.
 - Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 144th Fighter Wing (ANG), Fresno Air Terminal Air Guard Station, California.
 - Establish a contiguous enclave for the 188th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, compatible with joint use of the Air Guard Station as a civilian airport. The Home Station Training Site moves to Savannah, Georgia.
 - If the State of Arkansas decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 186th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 186th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Arkansas and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Arkansas Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 186th Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X

James T. Hill	X
Lloyd W. Newton	X
Samuel K. Skinner	X
Sue E. Turner	X

Vote Totals	8	0	1
--------------------	----------	----------	----------

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 95-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 27, W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI, appearing at Chapter III, Section 95 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG F-16)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 27, W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI. Distribute the 110th Fighter Wing's A-10s (15 aircraft) to the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge ANGB, MI." and insert in its place "Realign W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI. Distribute the 15 A-10 aircraft assigned to the 110th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish a contiguous enclave for the 110th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Air Guard Station as a civilian airport. If the State of Michigan decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 110th Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 110th Fighter Wing will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Michigan and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Michigan Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 110th Fighter Wing is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Newton

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		

Sue E. Turner

X

Vote Totals

8

0

1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 96-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 28, Duluth International Airport Air Guard Station, MN, appearing at Chapter III, Section 96 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG F-16)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

- I move that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 28, Duluth International Airport Air Guard Station, MN, he substantially deviated from final selection criteria 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Duluth International Airport Air Guard Station, MN. Distribute the 15 F16 aircraft assigned to the 148th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 15 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 148th Fighter Wing (ANG), Duluth International Airport Air Guard Station.", and;
- and that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the final selection criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 107-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 40, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH, appearing at Chapter III, Section 107 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations. (AFR/ANG 107-4A)

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that:

- the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 40, Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH, he substantially deviated from final selection criteria 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan; that the Commission strike the language in the subject recommendation and insert in its place
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, OH. Distribute the 18 F16 aircraft assigned to the 132d Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 18 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 140th Wing (ANG), Buckley Air Force Base, Colorado.
- Establish 18 PAA F 16 aircraft at the 149th Wing (ANG), Lackland Air Force Base, Texas.
- Establish a contiguous enclave for the 132d Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit, including flight operations, and compatible with joint use of the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport as a civilian airport.
- If the State of Ohio decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 132d Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 132d Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Ohio and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Ohio Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 132d Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the final selection criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Coyle

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			X
James T. Hill	X		

Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 111-5A

Overview:

Group: Air Force

Full Text

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: FAILED

Offered By:

Seconded By:

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray		X	
Philip Coyle		X	
Harold W. Gehman		X	
James V. Hansen		X	
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton		X	
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner		X	
Vote Totals	2	7	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 186-?

Overview: Clarifying amendment

Group: Air Force

Full Text

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			

Vote Totals	0	0	0
--------------------	---	---	---

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 115-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 50, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA, appearing at Chapter III, Section 115 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 50, Richmond Air Guard Station, VA, and Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 2 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire text of the recommendation and insert in its place "Realign Richmond International Airport Air Guard Station, VA. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 192d Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 24 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 482d Fighter Wing at Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida.
- Richmond International Airport Air Guard Station real property accountability will transfer to the Department of the Army. The 192d Fighter Wing's manpower will associate with the 1st Fighter Wing.
- Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Virginia Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 192d Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the commonwealth.
- Realign Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, IA. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 132d Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish 18 F-16 PAA at the 132d Fighter Wing, Des Moines International Airport Air Guard Station, Iowa.
- Establish 18 F-16 PAA at the 180th Fighter Wing, Toledo Express Airport Air Guard Station, Ohio.
- Establish 21 F-16 PAA at the 138th Fighter Wing, Tulsa International Airport Air Guard Station, Oklahoma.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the final selection criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Skinner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		

Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	9	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 193-4A V1

Overview: Motion Number: 193-4A V1 (As Conformed) A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
 - "Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,
 - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation and ordinances to reverse present encroachment and to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana and Navy Auxiliary Landing Field (NALF) Fentress by the end of March 2006, to wit:
 - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level (DNL) or greater; and,
 - enact state and local legislation and city ordinances, as appropriate, to establish a program that requires the Commonwealth of Virginia and the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake, respectively, to fund the condemnation and purchase of the property rights for all of the property that is incompatible under the AICUZ guidelines located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana and NALF Fentress as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and spend \$15 million dollars annually for such purposes none of which can come from federal funds; and,
 - codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations; and,
 - legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to ensure that undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater are rezoned to not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines; and,
 - establish programs and dedicated state and local funding for purchase of property and related rights of property that is incompatible with AICUZ guidelines and located in the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; and enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council;" and,
 - it shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the Commonwealth of Virginia and the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake, respectively, by March 31, 2006 will not have been taken in their entirety, unless the Comptroller General of United States so certifies in writing to the President and to the oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2006; and,
 - if the State of Florida:
 - appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida; and,
 - appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation; and,
 - turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field; and,
 - it shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the State of Florida and the city of Jacksonville, Florida, by December 31, 2006, will not have been taken in their entirety, unless the Comptroller General of United States so certifies in writing to the President and to the oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2007; and,
 - If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take all of the prescribed actions, and the State of Florida meets all the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and

any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base." at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill; and,
 - that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Further, this motion shall include an additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

"It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states' comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states' comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base."

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Hill

Seconded By: Commissioner Skinner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 193-4D

Overview: A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Amends Chapter XI, Section 193, as approved by the Commission.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- Reword the fifth paragraph of motion 193-4A to read "enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and expend \$15 million annually for such purposes, none of which can come from federal funds."

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Skinner

Seconded By: Commissioner Hill

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray			X
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	7	0	2

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 5-4D

Overview: A Motion to Amend Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, appearing at Chapter 1, Section 5 of the Bill. Conditions approval of recommendation on mitigating impact on current operations and taking measures to maximize retention of critical workforce.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the recommendation the language "The Secretary of Defense shall submit a report to the Congressional Committees of Jurisdiction, that movement of organizations, functions, or activities from Fort Monmouth to Aberdeen Proving Ground will be accomplished without disruption of their support to the Global War on Terrorism or other critical contingency operations, and that safeguards exist to ensure that necessary redundant capabilities are put in place to mitigate potential degradation of such support, and to ensure maximum retention of critical workforce.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Skinner

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 5-4C

Overview: A Motion to Amend Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, appearing at Chapter 1, Section 5 of the Bill. Relocates Sensors, Electronics, and Electronic Warfare Research, Development and Acquisition activities and Information Systems Research and Development and Acquisition except for the Program Executive Office, Enterprise Information Systems and the Night Vision Lab to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

Group: Army

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Army Recommendation 11, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission insert at paragraph "b" the language ""Realign Fort Belvoir, VA by relocating and consolidating Sensors, Electronics, and Electronic Warfare Research, Development and Acquisition activities to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD except the Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate (the Night Vision Lab) and the Project Manager Night Vision/Reconnaissance, Surveillance and Target Acquisition (PM NV/RSTA), and by relocating and consolidating Information Systems Research and Development and Acquisition (except for the Program Executive Office, Enterprise Information Systems) to Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman			X
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	0	1

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 90-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 20, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN, appearing at Chapter III, Section 90 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 20, Capital Air Guard Station, IL, and Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the entire recommendation and insert in its place
- "a. Realign Capital Airport Air Guard Station, IL. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 183d Fighter Wing, Capital Airport Air Guard Station, IL and the 15 F 16 aircraft assigned to the 122d Fighter Wing, Fort Wayne International Airport Air Guard Station, IN, to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. Establish 18 PAA F-16 aircraft at the 183d Fighter Wing, Fort Wayne International Airport Air Guard Station, IN. The Illinois ANG State Headquarters and the 217th Engineering Installation Squadron remain in place at Capital Airport Air Guard Station, IL. If the State of Illinois decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 183d Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 183d Fighter Wing, including the wing Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Illinois and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to the Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) at Capital for F110 engines, air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Illinois Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 183d Fighter Wing is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.
- b. Realign Hulman Regional Airport Air Guard Station, IN. Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 181st Fighter Wing to meet the PAA requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission. The 181st Fighter Wing's ECS elements remain in place. If the State of Indiana decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 181st Fighter Wing to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all other personnel allotted to the 181st Fighter Wing will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Indiana and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Indiana Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 181st Fighter Wing is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.
- c. Realign Dane County Regional Air Guard Station/Truax Field, WI; Joe Foss Field Air Guard Station, SD; Des Moines Air Guard Station, IA; Fort Wayne Air Guard Station, IN; and Lackland Air Force Base, TX; by relocating base-level F-110 intermediate maintenance to Capital Air Guard Station, IL, establishing a Centralized Intermediate Repair Facility (CIRF) at Capital for F110 engines." and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By:

Seconded By:

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner		X	
Sue E. Turner	X		
Vote Totals	8	1	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 111-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 45, Ellington Air Guard Station, TX, appearing at Chapter III, Section 111 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move that:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 45, Ellington Air Guard Station, TX, he substantially deviated from final selection criteria 1 and 2 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "The 147th Fighter Wing's F-16s (15 aircraft) will retire. The wing's expeditionary combat support (ECS) elements will remain in place." and insert in its place "Distribute the 15 F-16 aircraft assigned to the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG) to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
- Establish a contiguous enclave for the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG) sufficient to support operations of that unit compatible with joint use of Ellington Field.
- If the State of Texas decides to change the organization, composition and location of the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force, all personnel allotted to the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG), including the unit's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements, will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Texas and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, Information Operations, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission. This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Texas Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 147th Fighter Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the final selection criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle	X		
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen	X		
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner	X		
Sue E. Turner	X		

Motion Number: ???

Overview: Technical Correction by Staff OK

Group:

Full Text

Unkown

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			

Vote Totals	0	0	0
-------------	---	---	---

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Motion Number: 116-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Air Force Recommendation 51, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington appearing at Chapter III, Section 116 of the Bill. Adjusts distribution of aircraft to reconcile substantial deviations in this and other related recommendations.

Group: Air Force

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Air Force Recommendation 116, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
 - that the Commission strike the text of the entire recommendation and insert in its place, "Realign Fairchild Air Force Base, WA. Distribute the 141st Air Refueling Wing's KC-135R/T aircraft to meet the Primary Aircraft Authorizations (PAA) requirements established by the Base Closure and Realignment recommendations of the Secretary of Defense, as amended by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
 - Establish eight PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 185th Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Sioux Gateway Airport Air Guard Station, Iowa. The 185th Air Refueling Wing's KC-135E aircraft will be transferred to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan AFB, AZ, for appropriate disposal as economically unserviceable aircraft.
 - Establish eight PAA KC-135R/T aircraft at the 161st Air Refueling Wing (ANG), Phoenix Sky Harbor International Airport Air Guard Station, AZ.
 - If the State of Washington decides to change the organization, composition and association of the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to integrate the unit into the Future Total Force:
 - establish the 141st Air Refueling Wing as an associate flying wing of the 92d Air Refueling Wing, Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington, with the 92nd's Air Refueling Wing's Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) elements remaining in place;
 - provide opportunity for 141st Air Refueling Wing personnel to operate the future tanker replacement aircraft as determined by the Secretary of Defense
 - The 256th Combat Communications Squadron and 242d Combat Communications Squadron, which are ANG geographically separated units at Four Lakes and Spokane, are relocated to Fairchild Air Force Base
 - all other personnel allotted to the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain in place and assume a mission relevant to the security interests of the State of Washington and consistent with the integration of the unit into the Future Total Force, including but not limited to air mobility, C4ISR, engineering, flight training or unmanned aerial vehicles. Where appropriate, unit personnel will be retrained in skills relevant to the emerging mission.
- This recommendation does not effect a change to the authorized end strength of the Washington Air National Guard. The distribution of aircraft currently assigned to the 141st Air Refueling Wing (ANG) is based upon a resource-constrained determination by the Department of Defense that the aircraft concerned will better support national security requirements in other locations and is not conditioned upon the agreement of the state", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1300-1800)

Result: FAILED

Offered By: Commissioner Coyle

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner

Yes

No

Abstained

Anthony J. Principi

James H. Bilbray

Philip Coyle

Harold W. Gehman

Motion Number: 68-3A

Overview: ????

Group: Navy

Full Text

????

Vote

Session: Friday, August 26 (1900-2200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: ????

Seconded By: ????

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi			
James H. Bilbray			
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman			
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill			
Lloyd W. Newton			
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	0	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____

Date: _____

Saturday 8/27

Motion Number: 101-4A

Overview: Language Clarification Motion

Group: Air Force

Full Text

???

Vote

Session: Saturday, August 27 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	5	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 60-4A

Overview: A Motion to Amend Navy Recommendation 10, Submarine Base New London, Connecticut, appearing at Chapter II, Section 60 of the Bill.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 10, Submarine Base New London, Connecticut, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission insert the language "Realign Naval Submarine Base New London, Connecticut by consolidating Navy Region Northeast, New London, CT, with Navy Region, Mid-Atlantic, Norfolk, VA.", in the recommendation as previously amended by the Commission, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Saturday, August 27 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	5	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 64-4B

Overview: A Motion to Amend Navy Recommendation 15, Naval Support Activity New Orleans, Louisiana, appearing at Chapter II, Section 64 of the Bill. Directs the relocation of Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve to the Federal City project, if constructed on or before September 30, 2008.

Group: Navy

Full Text

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 15, Naval Support Activity New Orleans, Louisiana, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close Naval Support Activity New Orleans" and insert in its place the language "Realign Naval Support Activity New Orleans",
- that the Commission strike the language "Relocate Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base New Orleans, LA." and insert in its place the language "If the State of Louisiana obtains funding and commences construction of the Federal City project proposed for the Naval Support Activity West Bank property on or before September 30, 2008, then relocate Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve to the Naval Support Activity West Bank property, New Orleans, LA. The remaining tenants will be relocated as stated in the DoD recommendation. If the State of Louisiana fails to do so on or before September 30, 2008, then Relocate Headquarters, Marine Forces Reserve to Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base New Orleans, LA.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Vote

Session: Saturday, August 27 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Newton

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	5	0	0

Certified By: _____

Date: _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Motion Number: 66-4B

Overview: Correction motion voted Saturday AM

Group: Navy

Full Text

???

Vote

Session: Saturday, August 27 (0800-1200)

Result: PASSED

Offered By: Chairman Principi

Seconded By: Commissioner Bilbray

Commissioner	Yes	No	Abstained
Anthony J. Principi	X		
James H. Bilbray	X		
Philip Coyle			
Harold W. Gehman	X		
James V. Hansen			
James T. Hill	X		
Lloyd W. Newton	X		
Samuel K. Skinner			
Sue E. Turner			
Vote Totals	5	0	0

Certified By: _____ **Date:** _____

Verified By: _____ **Date:** _____