



Motion # 151-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5,  
Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, California,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 151 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, California, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5,  
Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, California,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 151 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Riverbank Army Ammunition Plant, California, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 152-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Sierra Army Depot, California,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 152 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Sierra Army Depot, California, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Sierra Army Depot, California,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 152 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Sierra Army Depot, California, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 153-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7  
Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 153 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7  
Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 153 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 154-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8,  
Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana,  
Appearing at Chapter VI, Section 154 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8,  
Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana,  
Appearing at Chapter VI, Section 154 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 8, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendations 8, 14 and 17,  
Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, Deseret  
Chemical Depot, Utah,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Sections 154, 160 and 163 of the Bill, respectively, of  
the Bill

Global motion to amend recommendations Ind 8, 14 and 17 to condition closure  
on completion of chemical demilitarization mission at Newport and Umatilla;  
directs conversion of Deseret to a conventional demilitarization function on  
completion of chemical demilitarization mission.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendations 8, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, and 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" where it appears in Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendations 8, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, and 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission, in accordance with treaty obligations, close", and;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" where it appears in Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission, realign Deseret

Chemical Depot, UT, by establishing a conventional weapons demilitarization plant on the site.”, and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendations 8, 14 and 17,  
Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, Deseret  
Chemical Depot, Utah,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Sections 154, 160 and 163 of the Bill, respectively, of  
the Bill

Global motion to amend recommendations Ind 8, 14 and 17 to condition closure  
on completion of chemical demilitarization mission.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendations 8, Newport Chemical Depot, Indiana, 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, and 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" where it appears in each of the listed recommendations and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission, close", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



1



Motion # 155-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group 9,  
Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, Kansas,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 155 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group 9,  
Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, Kansas, is consistent with the Final Selection  
Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group 9,  
Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, Kansas,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 155 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group 9, Kansas Army Ammunition Plant, Kansas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



1

1



Motion # 156-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10,  
Lima Tank Plant, Ohio,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 156 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, Lima Tank Plant, Ohio, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10,  
Lima Tank Plant, Ohio,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 156 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                      Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, Lima Tank Plant, Ohio, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 157-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 11,  
Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, Mississippi,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 157 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 11, Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, Mississippi, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 11,  
Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, Mississippi,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 157 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 11, Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant, Mississippi, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 158-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12,  
Hawthorne Army Depot, Nevada,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 158 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Hawthorne Army Depot, Nevada, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12,  
Hawthorne Army Depot, Nevada,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 158 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Hawthorne Army Depot, Nevada, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 6 and 8 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 159-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13,  
Watervliet Arsenal, New York,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 159 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved                      Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Watervliet Arsenal, New York, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13,  
Watervliet Arsenal, New York,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 159 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Watervliet Arsenal, New York, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14,  
Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 160 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                      Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14,  
Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 160 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14,  
Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 160 of the Bill

Conditions closure on completion of chemical demilitarization mission IAW  
treaty obligations.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 14, Umatilla Chemical Depot, Oregon, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission in accordance with Treaty obligations, close", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 161-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15,  
Lackland Air Force Base, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 161 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15,  
Lackland Air Force Base, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 161 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

1

1

1

1

1

Motion # 162-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 16,  
Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 162 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 16, Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 16,  
Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 162 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved                      Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 16, Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 163-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17,  
Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 163 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17,  
Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 163 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17,  
Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 163 of the Bill

Conditions closure on completion of chemical demilitarization mission IAW treaty obligations.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission in accordance with Treaty obligations, close", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17,  
Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 163 of the Bill

Conditions closure on completion of chemical demilitarization mission IAW treaty obligations and completion of a study to evaluate Deseret CD as a site for conventional weapons demilitarization.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 17, Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Close" and insert in its place the language "On completion of the chemical demilitarization mission in accordance with Treaty obligations and if, after completion of a comprehensive study to evaluate Deseret Chemical Depot, Utah as a site for conventional weapons demilitarization it is shown that such a use is not feasible, close", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 164-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18,  
Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity, Norfolk, Virginia,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 164 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity, Norfolk, Virginia, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18,  
Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity, Norfolk, Virginia,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 164 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Ship Intermediate Maintenance Activity, Norfolk, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Fleet Readiness Centers,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 165 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Fleet Readiness Centers, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Fleet Readiness Centers,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 165 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                      Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Fleet Readiness Centers, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Fleet Readiness Centers,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 165 of the Bill.

Deletes realignment of ALQ-99 Electronic Warfare from NSA Crane, IN.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Fleet Readiness Centers, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "n" of Chapter VI, Section 165 of the Bill; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

-----

---



'

1



Motion # 166-1

A Motion to Approve  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26,  
Naval Shipyard Detachments,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 166 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Naval Shipyard Detachments, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26,  
Naval Shipyard Detachments,  
appearing at Chapter VI, Section 166 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Industrial Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Naval Shipyard Detachments, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

'

1

Motion # G167-1

A Motion to Approve  
Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3,  
Defense Intelligence Agency,  
appearing at Chapter VII, Section 167 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3, Defense Intelligence Agency, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3,  
Defense Intelligence Agency,  
appearing at Chapter VII, Section 167 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 3, Defense Intelligence Agency, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



'

1



Motion # G168-1

A Motion to Approve  
Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4,  
National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities,  
appearing at Chapter VII, Section 168 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4,  
National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities,  
appearing at Chapter VII, Section 168 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Intelligence Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 4, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Activities, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 169-1

A Motion to Approve  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4,  
Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 169 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service  
Recommendation 4, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda,  
Maryland, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4,  
Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 169 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made that Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4,  
Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 169 of the Bill.

Allows for continuation of AFIP functions not identified in the DoD recommendation.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 4, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Accident Investigation to Dover Air Force Base, DE; relocate enlisted histology technician training" and insert in its place "Accident Investigation to Dover Air Force Base, DE; AFIP capabilities not specified in this recommendation will be absorbed into other DoD, Federal, or civilian facilities, as necessary; relocate enlisted histology technician training", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Brooks City Base, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 170 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Brooks City Base, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Motion # 170-2

A Motion to Strike  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Brooks City Base, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 170 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Brooks City Base, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Brooks City Base, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 170 of the Bill.

Corrects an error in the name of an organization.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Brooks City Base, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the word "Occupational", and substitute in its place the word "Operational", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Brooks City Base, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 170 of the Bill.

Corrects an error in the name of an organization; changes gaining location for the Naval Health Research Center Electro-Magnetic Energy Detachment and the Human Effectiveness Directorate of the Air Force Research Laboratory.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Brooks City Base, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the word "Occupational", and substitute in its place the word "Operational";
- that the Commission strike the language "the Naval Health Research Center Electro-Magnetic Energy Detachment, the Human Systems Development and Acquisition function, and the Human Effectiveness Directorate of the Air Force Research Laboratory to Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH.", and substitute in its place the language "and the Human Systems Development and Acquisition function to Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH. Relocate the Naval Health Research Center Electro-Magnetic Energy Detachment and the Directed Energy portion of the Human Effectiveness Directorate of the Air Force Research Laboratory to Fort Sam Houston, TX.", and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



1

1



A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9,  
McChord Air Force Base, Washington,  
Appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 171 of the Bill.

Retains operational medicine in support of flying mission on site.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                      Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9, McChord Air Force Base, Washington, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "**Realign McChord Air Force Base, WA**, by relocating all medical functions to Fort Lewis, WA." and insert in its place "**Realign McChord Air Force Base, WA**, by reorganizing medical functions under Madigan Army Medical Center, Fort Lewis, WA. McChord Air Force Base medical functions will be reorganized and relocated as directed by the Commander, Madigan Army Medical Center.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Motion # 171-1

A Motion to Approve  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9,  
McChord Air Force Base, Washington,  
Appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 171 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service  
Recommendation 9, McChord Air Force Base, Washington, is consistent with the  
Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9,  
McChord Air Force Base, Washington,  
Appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 171 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9, McChord Air Force Base, Washington, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 3, and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9,  
McChord Air Force Base, Washington,  
Appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 171 of the Bill.

Conditions approval on a study and finding by DoD that implementation of the recommendation will result in real net savings to the Federal government as a whole.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 9, McChord Air Force Base, Washington, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "**Realign McChord Air Force Base, WA,** by relocating all medical functions to Fort Lewis, WA." and insert in its place "If, after completion of a comprehensive study, the Department of Defense finds that such action will result in real net savings to the Federal Government as a whole, **realign McChord Air Force Base, WA,** by relocating all medical functions to Fort Lewis, WA.", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 172-1

A Motion to Approve  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10,  
San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 172 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Motion # 172-2

A Motion to Strike  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10,  
San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 172 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10,  
San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 172 of the Bill.

Deletes realignment of enlisted medical training at Naval Air Station Great Lakes, IL, Sheppard Air Force Base, TX, Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, Naval Medical Center San Diego, CA.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "b" of Chapter VIII Section 172 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10,  
San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 172 of the Bill.

Clarifies the reference to Portsmouth.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Naval Medical Center Portsmouth," and insert in its place "Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA," and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10,  
San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 172 of the Bill.

Clarifies the reference to Portsmouth and directs consolidation.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Naval Medical Center Portsmouth," and insert in its place "Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA," and;
- that the Commission strike the language "by relocating basic and specialty enlisted medical training to Fort Sam Houston, TX," and insert in its place "by consolidating basic and specialty enlisted medical training at Fort Sam Houston, TX," and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10,  
San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 172 of the Bill.

Clarifies the reference to Portsmouth and directs establishment of joint enlisted medical training.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 10, San Antonio Regional Medical Center, Texas, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "Naval Medical Center Portsmouth," and insert in its place "Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA," and;
- that the Commission strike the language "by relocating basic and specialty enlisted medical training to Fort Sam Houston, TX," and insert in its place "by consolidating in a joint program basic and specialty enlisted medical training at Fort Sam Houston, TX," and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12,  
Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 173 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12,  
Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 173 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 5 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12,  
Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 173 of the Bill.

Deletes realignment of inpatient services at MCAS Cherry Point, NC, Keesler AFB, MS and Fort Knox, KY.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2, 5 and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraphs "a", "f" and "i", and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12,  
Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 173 of the Bill.

Deletes realignment of inpatient services at Keesler AFB, MS and Fort Knox, KY.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 12, Convert Inpatient Services to Clinics, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, and 7 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraphs “f” and “i”, and replace with the language , “Realign Keesler Air Force Base, MS, by converting the medical center into a community hospital,”;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 15,  
Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and  
Development and Acquisition,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 174 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Medical Joint Cross Service  
Recommendation 15, Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and  
Medical Research and Development and Acquisition, is consistent with the Final  
Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 15,  
Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and  
Development and Acquisition,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 174 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Recommendation 15, Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and Development and Acquisition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15,  
Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and  
Development and Acquisition,  
appearing at Chapter VIII, Section 174 of the Bill.

Deletes realignment of Non-medical Chemical Biological Defense Development and Acquisition at Tyndall AFB, FL, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division, VA and the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Crane Division, IN.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Medical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Joint Centers of Excellence for Chemical, Biological, and Medical Research and Development and Acquisition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraphs "i", "j" and "k" of Chapter VIII, Section 174, as it appears in the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 175-1

A Motion to Approve  
Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 5,  
Commodity Management Privatization,  
appearing at Chapter IX, Section 175 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 5, Commodity Management Privatization, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 5,  
Commodity Management Privatization,  
appearing at Chapter IX, Section 175 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 5, Commodity Management Privatization, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 176-1

A Motion to Approve  
Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 7,  
Depot Level Reparable Procurement Management Consolidation,  
appearing at Chapter IX, Section 176 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 7, Depot Level Reparable Procurement Management Consolidation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 7,  
Depot Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation,  
appearing at Chapter IX, Section 176 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 7, Depot Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7,  
Depot Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation,  
appearing at Chapter IX, Section 176 of the Bill.

Deletes realignment of Lackland AFB, TX.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Depot Level Repairable Procurement Management Consolidation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5, and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "a", Chapter IX, Section 176 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 177-1

A Motion to Approve  
Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 13,  
Supply, Storage, and Distribution Management Reconfiguration,  
appearing at Chapter IX, Section 177 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 13, Supply, Storage, and Distribution Management Reconfiguration, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 13,  
Supply, Storage, and Distribution Management Reconfiguration,  
appearing at Chapter IX, Section 177 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Supply and Storage Joint Cross Service Recommendation 13, Supply, Storage, and Distribution Management Reconfiguration, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5,  
Co-locate Extramural Research Program Managers,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 178 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Co-locate Extramural Research Program Managers, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5,  
Co-locate Extramural Research Program Managers,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 178 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 5, Co-locate Extramural Research Program Managers, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Consolidate Air and Space C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 179 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Consolidate Air and Space C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Consolidate Air and Space C4ISR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 179 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                      Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Consolidate Air and Space C4ISR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6,  
Consolidate Air & Space C4ISR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 179 of the Bill

Approve only the realignment of Air and Space C4ISR RDAT&E from Maxwell AFB, AL; strike all other realignments.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 6, Consolidate Air & Space C4ISR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language "**Realign Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, Maxwell Air Force Base, AL, and Lackland Air Force Base, TX**, by relocating Air & Space Information Systems Research and Development & Acquisition to Hanscom Air Force Base, MA.", and replace it with the language "**Realign Maxwell Air Force Base, AL**, by relocating Air & Space Information Systems Research and Development & Acquisition to Hanscom Air Force Base, MA.", where it appears in paragraph "a" of Chapter X, Section 179 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "b" of Chapter X, Section 179 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # G180-1

A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7,  
Consolidate Ground Vehicle Development & Acquisition in a Joint Center,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 180 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved          Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Consolidate Ground Vehicle Development & Acquisition in a Joint Center, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7,  
Consolidate Ground Vehicle Development & Acquisition in a Joint Center,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 180 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 7, Consolidate Ground Vehicle Development & Acquisition in a Joint Center, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9,  
Consolidate Maritime C4ISR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 181 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, Consolidate Maritime C4ISR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9,  
Consolidate Maritime C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test &  
Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 181 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, Consolidate Maritime C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9,  
Consolidate Maritime C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test &  
Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 181 of the Bill.

Deletes relocation of maritime information systems RDAT&E.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 9, Consolidate Maritime C41SR Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "d" of Chapter X, Section 181 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



1.

1.



A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12,  
Consolidate Navy Strategic Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 182 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Consolidate Navy Strategic Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12,  
Consolidate Navy Strategic Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 182 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 12, Consolidate Navy Strategic Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # G183-1

A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13,  
Consolidate Sea Vehicle Development & Acquisition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 183 of the Bill.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved                  Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Consolidate Sea Vehicle Development & Acquisition, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13,  
Consolidate Sea Vehicle Development & Acquisition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 183 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 13, Consolidate Sea Vehicle Development & Acquisition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15,  
Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 184 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15,  
Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 184 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15,  
Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 184 of the Bill.

Deletes realignment of NSW Center, Crane, IN and Point Mugu, CA.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission consolidate the paragraphs of Chapter X, Section 184 of the Bill into a single paragraph, and append the language "That the Secretary may not proceed with the movement of activities from Naval Base Ventura County and other organizations affected by the recommendation until the Secretary of the Navy develops a time-phased plan that identifies the specific schedule for movement of capabilities and the assurance that readiness will not be impacted. Further, the Secretary must also put into place a program to maximize the retention of critical workforce personnel before, during, and after the move. If the Secretary of the Navy, after study, finds that execution of discrete elements of the movement directed by the Commission would violate these conditions, he may defer those elements of the relocation to an appropriate time-frame consistent with operational requirements after obtaining the consent of the Secretary of Defense." and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement: The Commission supports the creation of an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center at China Lake. The Sea Range, including target development, should continue to be operated from Point Mugu and supported by the appropriate number of personnel.

However, the Commission is concerned that moving, within a short period of time, all components from Naval Base Ventura County, including NSWC Port Hueneme and other organizations affected by the recommendation, may have an adverse affect on readiness.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15,  
Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 184 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 15, Create a Naval Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission consolidate the paragraphs of Chapter X, Section 184 of the Bill into a single paragraph, and append the language “Activities affected by this Secretary of Defense recommendation will be reorganized and relocated as directed by the Secretary of the Navy.” and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

← Pt Magu  
PITD  
Cast & Dogs



1

1

Motion # G185-1

A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18,  
Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 185 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved      Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18,  
Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 185 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 18, Create an Air Integrated Weapons & Armaments Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation Center, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and  
Ammunition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group  
Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site  
for Guns and Ammunition, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and  
Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and  
Ammunition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and  
Ammunition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill.

Consolidates energetics RD&A and T&E at Indian Head, MD, except for that performed at China Lake, CA.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                      Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission append the language “, except energetics RD&A and T&E in support of Special Operations.” to paragraph “b” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph “d” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal” from paragraph “f” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal”;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.” from paragraph “g” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except

energetics to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ. Consolidate energetics RD&A and T&E at Indian Head, MD except the RD&A and T&E performed at China Lake.", and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and  
Ammunition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill.

Consolidates energetics RD&A and T&E at Indian Head, MD, except for that performed at China Lake, CA and Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission append the language “, except energetics RD&A and T&E in support of Special Operations.” to paragraph “b” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph “d” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal” from paragraph “f” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal”;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.” from paragraph “g” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except

energetics to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ. Consolidate energetics RD&A and T&E at Indian Head, MD except the RD&A and T&E performed at China Lake, CA and Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.”, and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and  
Ammunition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill.

Consolidates energetics RD&A and T&E at Indian Head, MD, except for that performed at China Lake, CA and Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission append the language “, except energetics RD&A and T&E in support of Special Operations.” to paragraph “b” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph “d” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal” from paragraph “f” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal”;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.” from paragraph “g” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except

energetics to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ. Consolidate energetics RD&A and T&E at Indian Head, MD, except the RD&A and T&E performed at China Lake, CA. However, this recommendation does not require that the limited amount of energetics work currently performed at Picatinny Arsenal, NJ be moved.", and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and  
Ammunition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill.

Amends the Secretary's recommendation..

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission append the language “, except guns and ammunition RD&A and T&E in support of Special Operations.” to paragraph “b” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph “d” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal” from paragraph “f” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal”;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.” from paragraph “g” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.”, and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19,  
Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and  
Ammunition,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill.

Amends the Secretary's recommendation..

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 19, Create an Integrated Weapons & Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission append the language “, except guns and ammunition RD&A and T&E in support of Special Operations.” to paragraph “b” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph “d” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal” from paragraph “f” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal”;
- that the Commission strike the language “Development & Acquisition to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.” from paragraph “g” of Chapter X, Section 186 of the Bill and insert in its place “Development & Acquisition except energetics to Picatinny Arsenal, NJ.”, and;

- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



6

10

A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22,  
Defense Research Service Led Laboratories,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 187 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved      Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22,  
Defense Research Service Led Laboratories,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 187 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22,  
Defense Research Service Led Laboratories,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 187 of the Bill.

Changes Air Force Research Laboratory Information Systems Directorate gaining site to Rome Laboratory, NY, and rejects realignment of White Sands Missile Range, NM.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 22, Defense Research Service Led Laboratories, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the language “Information Systems Directorate to Hanscom Air Force Base, MA” and insert in its place “Information Systems Directorate to Rome Laboratory, NY”, where it appears in paragraph “d”, Chapter X, Section 187 of the Bill;
- that the Commission strike paragraph “f”, Chapter X, Section 187 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 24,  
Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 188 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 24, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 24,  
Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 188 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 24, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Amend  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 24,  
Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development &  
Acquisition, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 188 of the Bill.

Strikes realignment of Wright-Patterson AFB, OH fixed-wing related Live Fire Test and Evaluation functions.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 24, Establish Centers for Fixed Wing Air Platform Research, Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criterion 1 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike paragraph "b", Chapter X, Section 188 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this change and the recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # G189-1

A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26,  
Establish Centers for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test  
& Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 189 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Establish Centers for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26,  
Establish Centers for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test  
& Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 189 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 26, Establish Centers for Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



Motion # 190-1

A Motion to Approve  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 28,  
Navy Sensors, Electronic Warfare, and Electronics Research, Development &  
Acquisitions, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 190 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move that the Commission find that Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 28, Navy Sensors, Electronic Warfare, and Electronics Research, Development & Acquisitions, Test & Evaluation, is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Strike  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 28,  
Navy Sensors, Electronic Warfare, and Electronics Research, Development &  
Acquisitions, Test & Evaluation,  
appearing at Chapter X, Section 190 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Technical Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 28, Navy Sensors, Electronic Warfare, and Electronics Research, Development & Acquisitions, Test & Evaluation, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike the recommendation; and
- that the Commission find this change is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 1,  
Naval Air Station, Brunswick, ME.  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 191 of the Bill.

Closes Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME.

Offered by: -----

Seconded by: -----

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure of Naval Air Station Brunswick, Maine, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Closes Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME." at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 1,  
Naval Air Station, Brunswick, Maine,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 191 of the Bill.

Closes Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME; amend DoN Recommendation 18.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure of Naval Air Station Brunswick, Maine, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Navy Recommendation 18 Naval Air Station Brunswick, Maine, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 2 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike Navy Recommendation 18, appearing at Chapter II, Section 65 of the Bill;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Close Naval Air Station Brunswick, ME. Relocate its aircraft along with dedicated personnel, equipment and support to Naval Air Station Jacksonville, FL. Consolidate Aviation Intermediate Maintenance with Fleet Readiness Center Southeast Jacksonville, FL." at Chapter II, Section 65 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

-----



A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 2,  
Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, California,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 192 of the Bill.

Closes Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, CA.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure the Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, California, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "If the Secretary of the Navy does not enter into a long-term lease on or before January 1, 2007 that provides for the redevelopment of the Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, California, under the authorities granted by Section 2732 of Public Law 99-661, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1987, close Navy Broadway Complex, San Diego, California, and relocate the units and function on Navy Broadway Complex to other Department of the Navy-owned sites in San Diego." at Chapter XI, Section 191 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



8/24/05

193-FA

Motion # 193-4A

A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 3,  
Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill.

Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
  - **“Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,**
    - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit:
      - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone

- (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level DNL or greater;
- enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy;
  - codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations;
  - legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater for rezoning classifications that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines;
  - establish programs for purchase of development rights of the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; Enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council.” at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- and if the State of Florida:
    - appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida,
    - appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation, and;
    - turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the

municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field.

- If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take the all of the prescribed actions, and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.” at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

“It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening

encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base."

A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 3,  
Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill.

Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
  - **“Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,**
    - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana by the end of March 2006, to wit:
      - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone

(AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level DNL or greater;

- enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy, and to appropriate and expend \$15 million annually for such purposes, none of which can come from federal funds;
  - codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations;
  - legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to evaluate undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater for rezoning classifications that would not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines;
  - establish programs for purchase of development rights of the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council.” at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- and if the State of Florida:
    - appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida,
    - appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation, and;
    - turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to

the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field.

- If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take the all of the prescribed actions, and the State of Florida meets the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base.” at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

“It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy’s future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base.”

A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 3,  
Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill.

Amends Chapter XI, Section 193, as approved by the Commission.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- Reword the fifth paragraph of motion 193-4A to read “enact state and local legislation and ordinances to establish a program to condemn and purchase all the property located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and expend \$15 million annually for such purposes, none of which can come from federal funds.”

Motion Number: 193-4A V1 (As Conformed)

A Motion to Make Additional Recommendation 3, Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia, to appear at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill. Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, FL, conditioned on prior actions by the State of Florida.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation:
  - "Realign Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Cecil Field, Florida,
  - if the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to enact and enforce legislation and ordinances to reverse present encroachment and to prevent further encroachment of Naval Air Station Oceana and Navy Auxiliary Landing Field (NALF) Fentress by the end of March 2006, to wit:
    - enact State-mandated zoning controls requiring the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to adopt zoning ordinances that require the governing body to follow Air Installation Compatibility Use Zone (AICUZ) guidelines in deciding discretionary development applications for property in Noise Level 70 dB Day Night Average Noise Level (DNL) or greater; and,
    - enact state and local legislation and city ordinances, as appropriate, to establish a program that requires the Commonwealth of Virginia and the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake, respectively, to fund the condemnation and purchase of the property rights for all of the property that is incompatible under the AICUZ guidelines located within all the Accident Potential Zone One areas for Naval Air Station Oceana and NALF Fentress as depicted for 1999 AICUZ Pamphlet published by the U.S. Navy and to appropriate and spend \$15 million dollars annually for such purposes none of which can come from federal funds; and,

- codify the 2005 Final Hampton Roads Joint Land Use Study (JLUS) recommendations; and,
- legislate requirements for the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake to ensure that undeveloped properties in Noise Zones 70dB DNL or greater are rezoned to not allow uses incompatible under AICUZ guidelines; and,
- establish programs and dedicated state and local funding for purchase of property and related rights of property that is incompatible with AICUZ guidelines and located in the Inter-facility Traffic Area between NAS Oceana and NALF Fentress; and enact legislation creating the Oceana/Fentress Advisory Council;” and,
- it shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the Commonwealth of Virginia and the cities of Virginia Beach and Chesapeake, respectively, by March 31, 2006 will not have been taken in their entirety, unless the Comptroller General of United States so certifies in writing to the President and to the oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2006; and,
- if the State of Florida:
  - appropriates sufficient funds to relocate commercial tenants presently located at Cecil Field, Florida; and,
  - appropriates sufficient funds to secure Public Private Ventures for all the personnel housing required by the Navy at Cecil Field to accomplish this relocation; and,
  - turns over fee simple title to the property comprising the former Naval Air Station Cecil Field, including all infrastructure improvements that presently exist, to the Department of Defense on or before December 31, 2006, if the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia decline from the outset to take the actions required above, or within six months of the Commonwealth of Virginia or and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia failing to carry through with any of the actions set out above, whichever is later. The State of Florida may not encumber the title by any restrictions other than a reversionary clause in favor of the State of Florida and short-term tenancies consistent with the phased relocation of the Master Jet Base to Cecil Field; and,
- it shall be deemed that the actions prescribed to be taken by the State of Florida and the city of Jacksonville, Florida, by December 31, 2006, will not have been taken in their entirety, unless the Comptroller General of United States so certifies in writing to the President and to the oversight committees of Congress by June 1, 2007; and,
- If the Commonwealth of Virginia and the municipal governments of Virginia Beach, Virginia and Chesapeake, Virginia fail to take all of the prescribed actions, and the State

of Florida meets all the conditions established by this recommendation, the units and functions that shall relocate to Cecil Field will include, but are not limited to, all of the Navy F/A-18 Strike Fighter Wings, aviation operations and support schools, maintenance support, training and any other additional support activities the Navy deems necessary and appropriate to support the operations of the Master Jet Base." at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill; and,

- o that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

Further, this motion shall include an additional statement of the Commission:

The BRAC 2005 report language shall state:

"It is the sense of the Commission that the Secretary of Defense deviated from the BRAC criteria by failing to consider NAS OCEANA for closure or realignment. The long standing and steadily worsening encroachment problem around NAS OCEANA, without strong support from State and City governments to eliminate current and arrest future encroachment, will in the long term, create a situation where the military value of NAS OCEANA will be unacceptably degraded. The remedies presented to the Commission thus far have been unconvincing.

It is also the sense of the Commission that the future of Naval Aviation is not Naval Air Station Oceana. The Commission urges the Navy to begin immediately to mitigate the noise encroachment and safety issues associated with flight operations around the Virginia Beach area by transitioning high intensity training evolutions to other bases that are much less encroached such as Navy Outlying Field Whitehouse, Florida or Kingsville, Texas.

The Secretary of Defense is directed to cause a rapid, complete, due diligence review of the offer of the State of Florida to reoccupy the former NAS Cecil Field and to compare this review against any plan to build a new master jet base at any other location. This review is to be completed within six months from the date the BRAC legislation enters into force, and is to be made public to the effected states for comment.

After review of the states' comments, which shall be submitted with 120 days after publishing the review, the Secretary of Defense shall forward to the oversight committees of Congress the review, the states' comments and his recommendation on the location of the Navy's future Atlantic Fleet Master Jet Base."

A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 3,  
Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia,  
appearing at Chapter XI, Section 193 of the Bill.

Realigns Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA by relocating the East Coast Master Jet Base to Kingsville, TX.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made no Recommendation for the realignment of Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 3 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission amend the recommendation to realign Naval Air Station Oceana, VA and transfer the FRS and/or an equivalent number of squadrons to Naval Air Station Kingsville, TX; and
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 8,  
Consolidate Medical Command Headquarters,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 194 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

To consolidate the OSD(HA), TCMA, and service Surgeons General

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the consolidation of the medical command headquarters, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Close the Bureau of Navy Medicine, Potomac Annex, in the District of Columbia; the Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force, the Air Force Medical Operating Activity, and the Air Force Medical Support Activity, Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia; the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), the Tricare Management Activity, the Office of the Army Surgeon General, the U.S. Army Medical Command, the Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force, and the Air Force Medical Operating Activity and Air Force Medical Support Activity in Skyline Drive, leased space in Falls Church, Virginia; relocate the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs, the Office of the Army Surgeon General, the Bureau of Navy Medicine, the Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force, the Tricare Management Activity, and the indicated elements of the U.S. Army Medical Command, the Air Force Medical Operating Activity, the Air Force Medical Support Activity to a single, contiguous site that meets the current Department of Defense

Anti-Terrorism Force Protection standards for new construction at either the National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland; Walter Reed Army Medical Center, District of Columbia, Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia, or federally owned or leased space in the National Capital Region; consolidate the support elements of the indicated organizations. Appropriate elements of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Health Affairs, the Office of the Army Surgeon General, the Bureau of Navy Medicine, and the Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force will remain in the Pentagon, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.” at Chapter XI, Section 194 of the Bill, and;

- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 5,  
Galena Forward Operating Location, Alaska,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 195 of the Bill.

Closes Galena FOL, AK.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure of Galena Forward Operating Location, Alaska, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 1, 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Close Galena Forward Operating Location, Alaska." at Chapter XI, Section 195 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 6,  
Defense Finance & Accounting Service, Denver, Colorado,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 196 of the Bill.

Additional recommendation; closes DFAS Denver, CO

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure of the Defense Finance & Accounting Service site in Denver, Colorado, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 3 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Close the Defense Finance & Accounting Service site at Denver, CO." at Chapter XI, Section 196 of the Bill, and;
- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 6,  
Defense Finance & Accounting Service, Denver, Colorado,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 196 of the Bill.

Closes DFAS Denver; retains Rome, NY and Limestone, ME; directs DFAS assign functions to provide for strategic redundancy.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the closure of the Defense Finance & Accounting Service site in Denver, Colorado, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 3, 4, 6 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned Defense Finance & Accounting Service, Denver, Colorado;
- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense made Headquarters and Support Activities Joint Cross Service Group Recommendation 37, Defense Finance and Accounting Service, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 3, 4 and 6 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission strike Headquarters and Support Activities Recommendation 37, Defense Finance and Accounting Service, and insert in its place **“Close the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) sites at Denver, CO; Rock Island IL; Pensacola Saufley Field, FL; Norfolk Naval Station, VA; Lawton, OK; Pensacola Naval Air Station, FL; Omaha, NE; Dayton, OH; St. Louis, MO; San Antonio, TX; San Diego, CA; Pacific Ford Island, HI; Patuxent River, MD; Charleston, SC; Orlando, FL; Lexington, KY; Kansas City, MO; Seaside, CA; San Bernardino, CA; and**

**Oakland, CA.** Relocate the functions performed at these locations to the DFAS sites at Cleveland, OH, Columbus, OH, Indianapolis, IN Limestone, ME, and Rome, NY; grow the DFAS site at Cleveland, OH, to not less than 1500 Full Time Equivalent (FTE); grow the DFAS site at Limestone, ME to not less than 600 FTE, and grow the DFAS site at Rome, NY to not less than 1000 FTE; maintain not less than the current FTEs at the DFAS sites at Columbus, OH, and Indianapolis, IN. Assign functions among the DFAS sites retained to provide for strategic redundancy in all critical tasks. **Realign the Arlington, VA** site by relocating all functions to the remaining DFAS sites except the minimum essential DFAS liaison staff to support the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer, Military Service Chief Financial Officers, and Congressional requirements, which will be retained in the National Capital Region.”, and;

- that the Commission find this additional recommendation and change to the existing recommendation as amended are consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 7,  
Professional Development and Education,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 197 of the Bill.

Establishes a single oversight board for NPGS and AFIT located in the NCR.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved

Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the realignment of the Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, and the Air Force Institute of Technology, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, he substantially deviated from Final Selection Criteria 3, 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation "Realign the Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, and the Air Force Institute of Technology, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, by forming a new and permanent oversight board responsible for curriculum review and approval, and program development for the resident and non-resident degree-granting programs at both schools. This Board, consisting of an equal number of members from the governing boards of each school, civilian education authorities recommended by the U.S. Secretary of Education, and other education officials as designated by the Secretary of Defense, will be chartered by the office of the Secretary of Defense and will provide a formal report of its actions and accomplishments to that office bi-annually. The Board's duties will consist of those actions listed as 'Goals' in the Memorandum of Agreement that formed an Educational

Alliance between the Secretaries of the Air Force and Navy on December 4, 2002. This Board will be located in the National Capital Region. By this recommendation, the newly formed board will also have the authority to:

- take action to eliminate unnecessary curricula and program duplication;
- identify, approve, and implement programs of collaboration in research and instruction between the schools, and;
- expand nonresident programs and arrangements with private institutions of higher learning to meet common curriculum and non-Department of Defense focused class requirements.”

at Chapter XI, Section 197 of the Bill, and;

- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.



A Motion to Make  
Additional Recommendation 8,  
Relocate Medical Command Headquarters,  
to appear at Chapter XI, Section 198 of the Bill.

Offered by: \_\_\_\_\_

Seconded by: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved                  Disapproved

I move:

- that the Commission find that when the Secretary of Defense failed to recommend the collocation of the medical command headquarters, he substantially deviated from final selection criteria 1, 2 and 4 and the Force Structure Plan;
- that the Commission add to the list of installations to be closed or realigned the recommendation;

**“Relocate Medical Command Headquarters**

- a. Close the Potomac Annex, in the District of Columbia. Realign Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia. Realign Skyline, leased space in Falls Church, Virginia.
- b. Collocate the Navy Bureau of Medicine, Office of the Surgeon General of the Air Force, the Air Force Medical Operating Activity, and the Air Force Medical Support Activity, Office of the Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs), Tricare Management Activity, Office of the Army Surgeon General and U.S. Army Medical Command to a single, contiguous site that meets the current Department of Defense Anti-Terrorism Force Protection standards for new construction at either the National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland; Bolling Air Force Base, District of Columbia, or federally owned or leased space in the National Capital Region and consolidate common support activity.”

at Chapter XI, Section 198 of the Bill, and;

- that the Commission find this additional recommendation is consistent with the Final Selection Criteria and Force Structure Plan.

\_\_\_\_\_



