

DEFENSE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE COMMISSION
2521 S. CLARK STREET, SUITE 600
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202
(703) 699-2950

MEMORANDUM OF MEETING

DATE: June 15, 2005

TIME: 9:00 am

MEETING WITH: Wright-Patterson AFB and Community Officials

OBJECTIVE: To discuss the BRAC recommendations affecting WPAFB

JCSG STAFF: Lester C. Farrington
Lesia Mandzia

OTHER COMMISSION PARTICIPANTS:

None.

NON-COMMISSION PARTICIPANT(S):

Name/Title/Phone Number

WPAFB OFFICIALS

COL Peter F. Hoene---AFMC Special Assistant for BRAC, 937-257-8975
Linda McLaughlin---Ass't. to the Commander, 88th Base Wing, 937-257-3942
CAPT Jason Decker---Public Affairs, 937-257-6306
Mark Paulson---AF Research Lab, 937-904-6765
Debra Miesle---AFMC/SGS, 937-656-3652
Wendell Banks---AF Research Lab, 937-656-0818DPCX,
William Borger---AF Research Lab, 937-255-2520
Charlene Xander---AFMC/Manpower, 937-257-0323
Tom Stafford---AFMC/XPS, 937-257-4141
Paul Ulrich---46OG/OGM/OL-AC, 937-255-6302
John Murphy---46)G/OGM/OI-AC, 937-255-6302
CAPT Elizabeth Miller---AFMC/SGSR, 937-656-3647

Lori Stryker---AFSG/OMY, 937-257-8389
Lynn Moad---DFSG/DC, 937-257-2714
Doug Fleaser---DFSG/OM, 937-257-1955
Pete Jacques---88 ABW/LGRRP, 937-904-3160
Gus Reed---AF Research Lab, 937-255-3267
Jay Asher---ASC/XPP, 937-904-8572
Barbara O'Brien---88 ABW/CECX, 937-257-4804
Linda Cardwell---88 MSS/DPC, 937-257-3699
Jerry Stryker---88 MSS/DPCX, 937-257-1888

COMMUNITY OFFICIALS

Louis C. Ferraro, Jr.-- Ferro Consulting, 937-427-3834
Jim Leftwich---Dayton Development Coalition, 937-229-9074

MEETING RESULTS/FOLLOW-UP ACTION:

We met with the community officials at a separate meeting outside the base following the meeting with WPAFB officials.

Air Force officials provided us with a Mission Briefing of Air Force Materiel Command and then discussed each of the 7 BRAC recommendations affecting WPAFB. (4 technical, 2 medical and 1 hqs. & support). The net effect of these recommendations is a GAIN of 589 military personnel and a LOSS of 170 civilian personnel. Following the discussions we were given a windshield tour of Area B of the base that will be affected by the recommendations.

WPAFB officials made the point that they support the Secretary of Defense BRAC recommendations and they are not in a position to speculate or provide opinions on the results or the analysis behind the recommendations. After discussing each recommendation, WPAFB officials stated that the recommendations are not without challenges. Three challenges were discussed—(1) manpower (recruiting sufficient numbers of people for the skills required, (2) MILCON (determining the most appropriate locations to build on the base) and (3) implementation (ensuring organizational changes don't impact mission, ensuring new structure is aligned with common goals and metrics and the reconstitution of specialized functions).

WPAFB officials made the point that the Base has sufficient capacity and space to accommodate the influx of added workload to be received. They further stated that with respect to the sensor work to be received from Rome Lab, the work is compatible with the work that WBAFB now performs on sensors. Officials estimated that 718 people now work in WPAFB's Sensor Directorate (138 officers, 1 enlisted, and 579 civilian personnel).

As a result of our visit, WPAFB agreed to take on the following action items at our request:

1. **The number of people in WPAFB, Rome & Hanscom Sensor Directorates**
2. **Clarification of terminology—DFSG, OSSG & CFS.**
3. **The intent of the Rotary Wing Transfer—V-22, PRV, or both?**
4. **A discussion as to what (function and people) is moving out of WPAFB to China Lake concerning Live Fire testing. More precise terminology and specifics needed.**
5. **The intent of the CP0 consolidation (Hqs. & Support)**
6. **Results of recent WPAFB review of manpower impacts (SWAT team results).**
7. **Any disconnects/inconsistencies identified by WPAFB concerning the BRAC recommendations that need clarification.**
8. **Map of WPAFB showing facilities/areas affected by BRAC recommendations (incoming & outgoing)**

COMMUNITY MEETING

Community officials take issue with the recommendation that calls for Development and Fielding Group (DFSG) and other Operational Support Systems Group elements to Hanscom (Tech-6, 22). They are concerned with the evaluation of military value and the lack of available real estate at Hanscom to accommodate the movement from WPAFB.

They made the following points:

1. **Evaluation of military did not capture all available data**
2. **Local Dayton information technology contractors were not accounted for in the calculation of military value.**
3. **Collocation of acquisition and users should be maintained at WBAFB.**
4. **DOD does not perform IT R&D on Business Management Operations.**
5. **Inclusion of a business systems acquisition organization like DFSG in C4ISR was inappropriate and misleading.**
6. **Sufficient land for MILCON is not available at Hanscom AFB. Roughly, 40 acres are required and only 8.4 unconstrained acres are available.**
7. **Relocation to Hanscom does not adequately address the enormous differences in cost of operations between Hanscom and WPAFB.**

Community officials provided a working paper and other data at the conclusion of the meeting.