



ACQUISITION,  
TECHNOLOGY  
AND LOGISTICS

## THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3010 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3010

APR 7 2004

### MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF CHAIRMEN OF THE JOINT CROSS-SERVICE GROUPS

SUBJECT: 2005 Base Closure and Realignment Selection Criteria

The Secretary, in accordance with section 2913 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Public Law 101-510, published the proposed final selection criteria in the Federal Register on February 12, 2004. By operation of law, these criteria became final on March 15, 2004. As such, the Department shall use the attached 2005 Base Closure and Realignment Selection Criteria, along with the force-structure plan and infrastructure inventory to make recommendations for the closure or realignment of military installations inside the United States as defined in the statute. The criteria will also be used by the 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission in their review of the Department of Defense final recommendations.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael W. Wynne".

Michael W. Wynne  
Acting USD (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics)  
Chairman, Infrastructure Steering Group

Attachments:  
As stated

cc: Infrastructure Steering Group Members  
MilDep BRAC DASs



## **Department of Defense Final Selection Criteria**

In selecting military installations for closure or realignment, the Department of Defense, giving priority consideration to military value (the first four criteria below), will consider:

### ***Military Value***

1. The current and future mission capabilities and the impact on operational readiness of the Department of Defense's total force, including impact on joint warfighting, training, and readiness.
2. The availability and condition of land, facilities and associated airspace (including training areas suitable for maneuver by ground, naval, or air forces throughout a diversity of climate and terrain areas and staging areas for the use of the Armed Forces in homeland defense missions) at both existing and potential receiving locations.
3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, and future total force requirements at both existing and potential receiving locations to support operations and training.
4. The cost of operations and the manpower implications.

### ***Other Considerations***

5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs.
  6. The economic impact on existing communities in the vicinity of military installations.
  7. The ability of both the existing and potential receiving communities' infrastructure to support forces, missions, and personnel.
  8. The environmental impact, including the impact of costs related to potential environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities.
-