DCN 888

COBRA REALIGNMENT SUMMARY (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/2
Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Starting Year : 1996
Final Year : 1996
ROI Year : Immediate

NPV in 2015($K): -134,736
1-Time Cost($K): 32

Net Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	-8,060	0	0	0	0	0	-8,060	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	0	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-43,885	-8,777
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	32	0	0	0	0	0	32	0
TOTAL	-8,028	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-51,913	-8,777

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
POSITIONS ELIMINATED							
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POSITIONS REALIGNED							
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Summary:

EXCESS SURGE DRY DOCKS AT NSYD NORFOLK-DET PHILADELPHIA

011
SCENARIO 012
013

Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	32	0	0	0	0	0	32	0
TOTAL	32	0	0	0	0	0	32	0

Savings ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	8,060	0	0	0	0	0	8,060	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	0	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	43,885	8,777
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	8,060	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	51,945	8,777

TOTAL ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/2
Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	32,000	
Total - Other		32,000
Total One-Time Costs		32,000
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	8,060,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		8,060,000
Total Net One-Time Costs		-8,028,000

ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/2
Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	32,000	
Total - Other		32,000
Total One-Time Costs		32,000
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	8,060,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		8,060,000
Total Net One-Time Costs		-8,028,000

TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION ASSETS (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/2
Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

All Costs in $K

Base Name	Total MilCon	IMA Cost	Land Purch	Cost Avoid	Total Cost
NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL	0	0	0	-8,060	-8,060
Totals:	0	0	0	-8,060	-8,060

Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N950BOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
			Total Construction Cost:			0
			+ Info Management Account:			0
			+ Land Purchases:			0
			- Construction Cost Avoid:			8,060
					TOTAL:	-8,060

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
0	0	0	110

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
0	0	0	110

TOTAL PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/2
Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

+ The Percentage of Civilians Not Willing to Move (Voluntary RIFs) varies from base to base.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	32	0	0	0	0	0	32
TOTAL ONE-TIME	32	0	0	0	0	0	32

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COST	32	0	0	0	0	0	32	0

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	8,060	0	0	0	0	0	8,060
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	8,060	0	0	0	0	0	8,060

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	43,885	8,777
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	43,885	8,777
TOTAL SAVINGS	8,060	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	51,945	8,777

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	-8,060	0	0	0	0	0	-8,060
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	32	0	0	0	0	0	32
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	-8,028	0	0	0	0	0	-8,028

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-43,885	-8,777
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-43,885	-8,777
TOTAL NET COST	-8,028	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-51,913	-8,777

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	32	0	0	0	0	0	32
TOTAL ONE-TIME	32	0	0	0	0	0	32

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	32	0	0	0	0	0	32	0

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	8,060	0	0	0	0	0	8,060
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	8,060	0	0	0	0	0	8,060

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	43,885	8,777
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	43,885	8,777
TOTAL SAVINGS	8,060	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	51,945	8,777

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	-8,060	0	0	0	0	0	-8,060
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	32	0	0	0	0	0	32
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	-8,028	0	0	0	0	0	-8,028

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-43,885	-8,777
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-43,885	-8,777
TOTAL NET COST	-8,028	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-8,777	-51,913	-8,777

INPUT DATA REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN ONE - GENERAL SCENARIO INFORMATION

Model Year One : FY 1996

Model does Time-Phasing of Construction/Shutdown: Yes

Base Name	Strategy:
NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA	Realignment

Summary:

EXCESS SURGE DRY DOCKS AT NSYD NORFOLK-DET PHILADELPHIA

SCENARIO 012

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA

Total Officer Employees:	0	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	0
Total Enlisted Employees:	0	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	0
Total Civilian Employees:	110	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	0
Mil Families Living On Base:	0.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	0
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	0.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.18
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	0	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	407	Activity Code:	LOCLPA
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	259		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	123	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NSY NORFOLK-DD PHIL, PA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	32	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777	8,777
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	8,060	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				100.0%

Data As Of 18:41 11/27/1994, Report Created 15:35 02/16/1995

Department : US NAVY
Option Package : NSY NORFOLK DET PHIL
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\PHILDD.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN ONE - PERSONNEL

Percent Officers Married:	71.70%	Civ Early Retire Pay Factor:	9.00%
Percent Enlisted Married:	60.10%	Priority Placement Service:	60.00%
Enlisted Housing MilCon:	98.00%	PPS Actions Involving PCS:	50.00%
Officer Salary($/Year):	76,781.00	Civilian PCS Costs ($):	28,800.00
Off BAQ with Dependents($):	7,925.00	Civilian New Hire Cost($):	0.00
Enlisted Salary($/Year):	33,178.00	Nat Median Home Price($):	114,600.00
Enl BAQ with Dependents($):	5,251.00	Home Sale Reimburse Rate:	10.00%
Avg Unemploy Cost($/Week):	174.00	Max Home Sale Reimburs($):	22,385.00
Unemployment Eligibility(Weeks):	18	Home Purch Reimburse Rate:	5.00%
Civilian Salary($/Year):	54,694.00	Max Home Purch Reimburs($):	11,191.00
Civilian Turnover Rate:	15.00%	Civilian Homeowning Rate:	64.00%
Civilian Early Retire Rate:	10.00%	HAP Home Value Reimburse Rate:	22.90%
Civilian Regular Retire Rate:	5.00%	HAP Homeowner Receiving Rate:	5.00%
Civilian RIF Pay Factor:	39.00%	RSE Home Value Reimburse Rate:	0.00%
SF File Desc:	NAVY DBOF BRAC95	RSE Homeowner Receiving Rate:	0.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN TWO - FACILITIES

RPMA Building SF Cost Index:	0.93	Rehab vs. New MilCon Cost:	75.00%
BOS Index (RPMA vs population):	0.54	Info Management Account:	0.00%
(Indices are used as exponents)		MilCon Design Rate:	9.00%
Program Management Factor:	10.00%	MilCon SIOH Rate:	6.00%
Caretaker Admin(SF/Care):	162.00	MilCon Contingency Plan Rate:	5.00%
Mothball Cost ($/SF):	1.25	MilCon Site Preparation Rate:	39.00%
Avg Bachelor Quarters(SF):	294.00	Discount Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	2.75%
Avg Family Quarters(SF):	1.00	Inflation Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	0.00%

APPDET.RPT Inflation Rates:
1996: 0.00% 1997: 2.90% 1998: 3.00% 1999: 3.00% 2000: 3.00% 2001: 3.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN THREE - TRANSPORTATION

Material/Assigned Person(Lb):	710	Equip Pack & Crate($/Ton):	284.00
HHG Per Off Family (Lb):	14,500.00	Mil Light Vehicle($/Mile):	0.31
HHG Per Enl Family (Lb):	9,000.00	Heavy/Spec Vehicle($/Mile):	3.38
HHG Per Mil Single (Lb):	6,400.00	POV Reimbursement($/Mile):	0.18
HHG Per Civilian (Lb):	18,000.00	Avg Mil Tour Length (Years):	4.17
Total HHG Cost ($/100Lb):	35.00	Routine PCS($/Pers/Tour):	3,763.00
Air Transport ($/Pass Mile):	0.20	One-Time Off PCS Cost($):	4,527.00
Misc Exp ($/Direct Employ):	700.00	One-Time Enl PCS Cost($):	1,403.00

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN FOUR - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Category	UM	$/UM	Category	UM	$/UM
Horizontal	(SY)	61	Optional Category A	( )	0
Waterfront	(LF)	10,350	Optional Category B	( )	0
Air Operations	(SF)	122	Optional Category C	( )	0
Operational	(SF)	111	Optional Category D	( )	0
Administrative	(SF)	123	Optional Category E	( )	0
School Buildings	(SF)	108	Optional Category F	( )	0
Maintenance Shops	(SF)	102	Optional Category G	( )	0
Bachelor Quarters	(SF)	96	Optional Category H	( )	0
Family Quarters	(EA)	78,750	Optional Category I	( )	0
Covered Storage	(SF)	94	Optional Category J	( )	0
Dining Facilities	(SF)	165	Optional Category K	( )	0
Recreation Facilities	(SF)	120	Optional Category L	( )	0
Communications Facil	(SF)	165	Optional Category M	( )	0
Shipyard Maintenance	(SF)	129	Optional Category N	( )	0
RDT & E Facilities	(SF)	160	Optional Category O	( )	0
POL Storage	(BL)	12	Optional Category P	( )	0
Ammunition Storage	(SF)	160	Optional Category Q	( )	0
Medical Facilities	(SF)	168	Optional Category R	( )	0
Environmental	( )	0			

BRAC-95 Scenario Family Housing Data

Scenario No.:	011/012/013
Losing Base:	DRYDOCKS AT PHILADELPHIA
Date:	12/2/94

1. Percentage of Family Housing which can be shut down at the Losing Base:

___0___ %

2. Family Housing Construction Requirements at Gaining Bases:

Gaining Base Name	No. of New Units	No. of Existing Units to be Rehab.
NONE		

3. Purchase of Land at Gaining Bases for Family Housing Construction:

Gaining Base Name	No. of Acres	Cost ($000)

4. Additional Comments:
No military personnel involved.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. E. BUFFINGTON, RADM, CEC, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

COMMANDER
Title

1/30/95
Date

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

2/14/95
Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

CAPTAIN DON G. MORRIS
NAME (Please type or print)

Donald G. Morris
Signature

DIRECTOR OF HOUSING
Title

27 January 1995
Date

NAVFACENGCOM
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Joseph C. Ward
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Housing Management Specialist
Title

1/26/95
Date

Resource
Division

Family Housing
Department

NAVFACENGCOM
Activity

Enclosure (1)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	2-14-~~0114~~ XXXX-012
Scenario Title:	ALT 2 - Shipyards
Date:	23 November 1994 **R**

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	W. A. " Buddy" Trueblood, Jr.
Organization/Code:	Norfolk Naval Shipyard Code 1220 Portsmouth, VA 23709-5000
Office Phone Number:	(804) 396-8114 (DSN) 961-8114
Fax Number:	(804) 396-2626
Home Phone Number	(804) 482-1849

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario. Complete the table on the next page to identify "bases" involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Note that the term **"Losing Base"** refers to host activities, independent activities or other activities specifically identified in the Scenario Development Data Call tasking which are being reduced in size, i.e., closing or being realigned. The term **"Gaining Base"** refers to host or independent activities which will be receiving sites for functions/personnel transferred from losing base(s). For example, a losing base is the activity referred to in the data call tasking, i.e., a Naval Station, Hospital, etc. **Individual tenants should not be separately listed on this table**, e.g., Branch Medical Clinic, Personnel Support Detachment, etc. Individual tenants will, however, be specifically identified in subsequent tables in the data call. The third

column of the table

Enclosure (1)

should be used to identify relevant information regarding workload/missions to be transferred. For example, entries in this column should be short phrases such as, "missile workload", "ships", "F-14 squadrons", "tenants", etc., or to provide other clarifying information. This third column need only be completed to identify major components of the closure/realignment scenario, and should not be used to list all tenant names, etc.

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload /Missions Transferring
Norfolk NSYD (Philadelphia Detachment)	Excess	Maintain Philadelphia Drydocks and associated support buildings

Note: If an activity/function will be relocated into leased office space, please note this fact under the column, Gaining Base, e.g., "Washington, DC - Leased Space".

Enclosure (1)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment)

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly).

Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Naval Shipyard)

To Gaining Base: Not Applicable (dispose of surge docking assets and associated support buildings)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Naval Shipyard)							
To **Gaining** Base: Not Applicable (dispose of surge docking assets and associated support buildings)							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets							
Enlisted Billets							
Civilian Positions							
Military Students							
Tons of Mission Equipment							
Tons of Support Equipment							
Number of Light Vehicles							
Number of Heavy Vehicles							

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

To Be Combined with Table above when receiving functions are determined.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include **all** potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment) (No billets/eliminated)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
	TOTAL	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

(see next page)

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data
(Norfolk Naval Shipyard: Philadelphia Detachment)

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	0	0	110	0	110 R
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	0	0	0	0	0
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	0	0	0	0	0
D. End FY 2001:	0	0	110	0	110 R
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	0	0	0	0	0 R
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	0	0	0		0 R
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	0	0	110	0	110 R
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	0	0	110	0	110 R

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements (Mothball Scenarios Only). Complete the table below to identify any permanent caretaker requirements associated with a "mothball" (deactivation) scenario. **Caretakers should only be identified if an activity will be mothballed as opposed to closed or realigned.** Scenario data call taskings will identify if this is a "mothball" scenario. This area should not be used to identify temporary caretaker requirements associated with closure of the facility. If some or all of the activity will be mothballed, as opposed to closed or realigned, then identify the number of military and/or civilian caretakers that will be required to remain permanently at the activity. Enter the number of caretakers which will be added to the activity in each year. For example, if 100 caretakers will be required in 1996, and then this number will be increased to 150 in 1997 and out, then enter 1996 = 100, 1997 = 50, leave 1998 through 2001 blank, and enter 150 as the total.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment)							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Caretakers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Cost	FY	Description
$31.5	96	Deactivation of cranes and buildings

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Cost	FY	Description
$3,060	96	MILCON P-591S-FY94 Utility Reconfiguration Phase I (Construction advertisement is scheduled for October 1995)
$13,000	96	MILCON P-597S-FY96 Utility Reconfiguration Phase II (Construction advertisement is scheduled for October 1995)

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment)

Cost	FY	"Gaining Base	Description
		Not Applicable	Deactivation

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must beconsistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet						
Losing Base:						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1.						
Description:						
2.						

Description:						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

e. **Net Mission Savings.** Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base:						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1.						
Description:						
2.						
Description:						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment)

Annual Cost	FY	Description
		Not applicable

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Annual Savings	FY	Description
$8,777	97	Begin savings by discontinuance of operational/maintenance costs of drydocks, fire, security, utilities, and cranes

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment)

Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
None	Not applicable	

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment)

Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
None			

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment)

Facility KSF Shutdown: ALL except buildings in support of Foundry and Propeller Shops

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary

Losing Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	$31.5						$31.5
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs	$16.06						$16.06
c.	One-Time Move Costs							
d.	Net Mission Costs							
e.	Net Mission Savings							
f.	Misc Recur Costs							
g.	Misc Recur Savings	0	$8,777	$8,777	$8,777	$8,777	$8,777	$43,885
h.	Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid							

j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)	ALL except Propeller Shop/ Foundry and support buildings

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: Norfolk Naval Shipyard (Philadelphia Detachment)

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)			
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - - -	$8 Million $ $ $	 $ $ $	Revise Utility configuration. Supercedes P-591S, P597S

Data Being Certified: Scenario Number: 2-14-0114-012
ALT 2 - Shipyards
Dispose of surge docking assets retained at NSYD Norfolk
Det Philadelphia as a result of BRAC - 91 decision

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

William H. Ryzewic
NAME (Please type or print)

W. H. Ryzewic
Signature

Executive Director for Naval Shipyard and SUPSHIP Management and Field Activity Support Directorate
Title

1/20/95
Date

Naval Sea Systems Command
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)

G. R. Sterner
Signature

G. R. STERNER
Commander
Naval Sea Systems Command
Title

1-23-95
Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

W A Earner
Signature

Title

2/10/95
Date

Table of Contents
Scenario 2-14-0114-012 Alt 2

Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Scenario Part 1	Page 1R
	Pages 1-2 thru 1-3
Scenario Part 2	Pages 2-1 thru 2-8
	Page 2-9R
	Pages 2-10 thru 2-21
Scenario Part 3	Page 3-8

SCENARIO NUMBER 2-14-0114-012, ALT 2 - Shipyards, Revision One

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
DISPOSE OF SURGE DOCKING ASSETS RETAINED AT NSYC NORFOLK
DETACHMENT PHILADELPHIA AS A RESULT OF BRAC-91 DECISION

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

CAPT W. R. KLEMM
NAME (Please type or print)

W R Klemm
Signature

SHIPYARD COMMANDER
Title

11/23/94
Date

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
Activity

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	2-14-0114-012
Scenario Title:	ALT 2 - SHIPYARDS
Date:	17 NOVEMBER 1994

This scenario degrades both Depot and In-service engineering support of the Fleet. There would be an immediate negative impact on Fleet readiness, required Fleet points of contact would be increased, and response times would be lengthened. This occurs primarily because of dividing and splintering of technical personnel and expertise. Relocation of Depots away from engineering would dilute a critical aspect of Warfare Center full spectrum capability because critical feedback to R&D, Acquisition, and in service support efforts would deteriorate.

Operating costs would increase due to maintaining duplicate facilities and inefficiencies created by more complex Depot, in service engineering, and Fleet interfacing. Implementation cost requires massive amounts of material to be relocated and duplication of equipment and facilities in support of Depot and engineering functions.

A significant portion of Depot resources are shared with engineering and support multiple intra/inter-service customers. Customers/sponsors include PEOs, DRPMs, SPCC, ASO, Air Force, Marine Corps, NAVSEASYSCOM, NAVAIRSYSCOM, Army, Coast Guard, and Foreign Military Sales. The cost effective use of these resources in support of all DOD customers must be considered before relocating Depot functions.

Another asset is that Crane Division occupies 100 square miles in rural area with no encroachment, environmental, or other public relations problems. RF testing in outside ranges occurs without causing or receiving any electromagnetic interference to/from the surrounding residents and communities. There is no interference with aviation flight paths or restricted airspace. This provides the necessary environment to enable high power blue sky testing.

Finally, there are vast differences between the Crane Division and the Naval Shipyards in the levels of technologies employed, sophistication of test equipment utilized, range and depth of combined engineering/technical facilities, and numbers of technical and engineering personnel. The Naval Shipyards focus on waterfront support; repairing, overhauling, modernizing, and drydocking ships. The Crane Division focus is in depth life cycle support of complex electronic components, products, and systems utilized throughout the DOD.

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	MR. BOB MATTHEWS
Organization/Code:	CODE 05M, NSWC CRANE
Office Phone Number:	(812) 854-1534 DSN 482-1534
Fax Number:	(812) 854-2649
Home Phone Number:	(812) 295-2798

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario. Complete the table on the next page to identify "bases" involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Note that the term **"Losing Base"** refers to host activities, independent activities or other activities specifically identified in the Scenario Development Data Call tasking which are being reduced in size, i.e., closing or being realigned. The term **"Gaining Base"** refers to host or independent activities which will be receiving sites for functions/personnel transferred from losing base(s). For example, a losing base is the activity referred to in the data call tasking, i.e., a Naval Station, Hospital, etc. **Individual tenants should not be separately listed on this table**, e.g., Branch Medical Clinic, Personnel Support Detachment, etc. Individual tenants will, however, be specifically identified in subsequent tables in the data call. The third column of the table should be used to identify relevant information regarding workload/mission to be transferred. For example, entries in this column should be short

phrases such as, "missile workload", "ships", "F-14 squadrons", "tenants", etc., or to provide other clarifying information. This third column need only be completed to identify major components of the closure/realignment scenario, and should not be used to list all tenant names, etc.

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload/Missions Transferring
NSWC CRANE	NSYD NORFOLK	SHIP/SEA SYSTEMS

Note: If an activity/function will be relocated into leased office space, please note this fact under the column, Gaining Base, e.g., "Washington, DC - Leased Space".

Enclosure (1)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below: R

Losing Base:	NSWC CRANE

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. * Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

R

From **Losing Base**: NSWC CRANE

To **Gaining Base**: NSYD NORFOLK

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
00164	NSWC CRANE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	8	79	71	0	158
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	8	79	71	0	158
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided. R

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NSWC CRANE							
To **Gaining** Base: NSYD NORFOLK							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions	0	0	8	79	71	0	158
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	.6R	2207.0 R	6571.3R	2.6R	0	8781.5 R
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	2	1.5	0	3.5
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	2	1	0	3
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note 1: In developing the coordinated response with NNSY, emphasis was placed on the transition ASAP. Major specialized electronic equipment procurements must be completed and equipment received, facility renovation and/or MILCON completed and installation of equipment are some of the tasks that must be completed before depot validation can begin. Crane's experience in this specialized area indicates that four years to transfer depot is not unreasonable.

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary. R

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
1. RADAR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY	
AN/SPQ-12 CCA Test Sets	Footnote[1]
AN/SPQ-12 Overhaul Test Sets	
Commercial Test Equipment	
Commercial Test Sets	
Commercial Jigs/Fixtures	
2. MICROWAVE COMPONENTS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY	
Miscellaneous Rackmount Test Equipment	Footnote[1]
Work Stations	
Repair Parts	
3. ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNICAL CAPABILITY	
NSWC Crane-Developed Testers	Footnote[1]
System Test Labs (3)	
Plastic Media Blaster	
Commercial Test Equipment	

Footnote[1] : The above equipment will be required to maintain a baseline of operations and perform continued depot and program support. This type of equipment is either latest state-of-the-art high technology equipment and/or is highly specialized types of equipment not normally utilized by other activities in their operations.

4. ELECTRONIC MODULE TEST & REPAIR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
87 Dodge D50/Topper 87 Dodge 1/2 Ton 86 Dodge D50	Pick-up/delivery of modules, piece parts and equipment
General Purpose Test Equipment Rotating Component Test Equipment	Required by ISEA for Depot Repair
Support Equipment	Required for Depot Operation

5. ACOUSTIC SENSORS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Basic General Purpose Test Equipment, bench test fixtures, benches, storage cabinets, miscellaneous hardware, etc.	Equipment will aid in establishment of basic depot area outfitting. Test fixtures will be required to perform selected repair processes with established procedures.

Note 3: No, NNSY does not have this equipment. NSWC originally identified an extensive list of "general purpose", "commercial", and "benches, fixtures, storage, etc" equipment required to support the Microwave tube, Electronic Warfare, etc. depot functions. NNSY and Crane reviewed the requirements and agreed to eliminate items to the maximum extent. Results of this agreement were incorporated in the revised submission of 26 November 1994. R

6. SHIP/SHIP WEAPONS SYSTEMS DEPOTS-RELATED MATERIAL

72,540 tons of depot-related material	Footnote[2]

[2]All material identified for movement to the new location is in direct support of NSWC Crane Division depot overhaul maintenance functions that are the subject of this scenario. The material is already contained in the Navy Stock Account or Appropriated Purchase Account material inventory. As such, it is considered to be the "Navy Supply System" and is owned by and accountable to Naval Supply Systems Command. The material inventory is positioned at NSWC Crane Division to support depot overhaul maintenance functions.

It consists of unique not-ready-for issue carcasses, unique spare repair parts, and unique equipment incident to the maintenance function. Unique life-of-type-buy material from diminishing manufacturing sources is also contained in this material inventory. This material does not exist at other locations in the Navy infrastructure unless that location is also a designated overhaul point (DOP) facility for the exact same item or system. If the DOP function transfers to a new location, all unique assets must also be transferred to support the production effort.

Since these assets are unique Navy assets of a non-demand basis, DLA does not maintain additional support in its inventory levels. DLA demand-based type material required for the maintenance function is maintained in NSWC Crane Division's Defense Business Operating Fund material inventory accounts under stratified demand-base levels which were not include in transportation charge calculations.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include **all** potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

R

Losing Base Name: NSWC CRANE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
00164	NSWC CRANE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	8	8	0	16
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	8	8	0	16

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001". R

(see next page)

R

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	23	89	3954	0	4066
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	-3	-15	-489	0	-507
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	0	0	0	0	0
D. End FY 2001:	20	74	3465	0	3559
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. NSYD NORFOLK	0	0	158	0	158
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	0	0	158	0	158
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	0	0	16		16
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	20	74	3291	0	3385
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	20	74	3465	0	3559

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

Note 4: NNSY was contacted on 28 November 1994 and it was confirmed NNSY's workforce is predominately WG electronic mechanics. The Depot work being transferred to NNSY does not match up with this current workforce pool. The work being transferred is predominately GS-9 through GS-12 electronic technician level. Current Depot procedures/processes are structured around these grade levels. If the identified positions are not transferred, then a significant training cost would be incurred by NNSY, as well as costly schedule delays in transferring the Depot functions. R

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements (Mothball Scenarios Only). Complete the table below to identify any permanent caretaker requirements associated with a "mothball" (deactivation) scenario. **Caretakers should only be identified if an activity will be mothballed as opposed to closed or realigned.** Scenario data call taskings will identify if this is a "mothball" scenario. This area should not be used to identify temporary caretaker requirements associated with closure of the facility. If some or all of the activity will be mothballed, as opposed to closed or realigned, then identify the number of military and/or civilian caretakers that will be required to remain permanently at the activity. Enter the number of caretakers which will be added to the activity in each year. For example, if 100 caretakers will be required in 1996, and then this number will be increased to 150 in 1997 and out, then enter 1996 = 100, 1997 = 50, leave 1998 through 2001 blank, and enter 150 as the total.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: NSWC CRANE							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers	NONE						
Civilian Caretakers	NONE						

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

RADAR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Description	
3.3	97	Disassembly of Test Station[3]	
4.3	97	Inventory of Equipment and Material[4]	
4.8	97	Depot Certification[5]	
.1	97	Documentation Reproduction[6]	
8.5	98	Disassembly of Test Station[3]	
19.8	98	Inventory of Equipment and Material[4]	R
.1	98	Documentation Reproduction[6]	
10.3	99	Disassembly of Test Station[3]	
52.6	99	Inventory of Equipment and Material[4]	
58.3	99	Depot Certification[5]	
8.0	99	Documentation Reproduction[6]	R
12.9	00	Inventory of Material to be Transferred[4]	
2.0	00	Documentation Reproduction[6]	
23.0	00	Depot Certification[5]	

ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

20.0	99	Disassembly[3]	
42.0	99	Audit Inventory[4]	
200.0	99	ISEA Certification[5]	
259.0	99	Tech Documentation[6]	R
241.7	99	Transition Team	R
511.0	00	ISEA Certification[5]	
241.5	00	Transition Team	R

MICROWAVE COMPONENTS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

63.5	99	Disassembly, Catalog, Inventory	R
24.5	99	Validation/Certification[5]	
63.5	00	Disassembly, Catalog, Inventory	R
24.5	00	Validation/Certification[5]	

[3]Test Equipment Disassembly

This cost includes specialized microwave engineering and technician expertise to disassemble, preserve rf characteristics and catalog all items for reassembly.

[4]Parts Inventory

This cost includes specialized microwave engineering and technician expertise needed to perform in-shop depot parts inventory and cataloging.

[5]Initial Test Set Validation and Facility Certification

This is an Engineering Agent function and cost will be incurred by NSWC/CD as the assigned Engineering Agent. It includes engineer and technician travel and perdiem associated with facility certification, and initial turn-on/validation of state-of-the-art high technology test equipment.

[6]Documentation Requirements

Cost will be incurred for assembly, reproduction and distribution of needed test set procedures and documentation.

ACOUSTIC SENSORS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Description	
25.9	99	WQM-8 Management/Coordination Team[7]	
31.0	99	Acoustic Systems Mgmt/Coord Team[7]	R
31.2	99	Towed Array Handler Mgmt/Coord Team[7]	R
25.9	99	Acoustic Systems Depot Certification[8]	
31.9	00	Towed Array Handler Mgmt/Coord Team[7]	
53.2	00	Acoustic Systems Mgmt/Coord Team[7]	
32.0	00	WQM-6 Mgmt/Coord Team[7]	R
120.8	00	Towed Array Handler Depot Certification[8]	R
159.7	00	Acoustic Systems Depot Certification[8]	

[7]Management/Coordination Teams

These teams will perform technical functions such as system and program familiarity demonstrations, maintenance methodology descriptions, technical support for test equipment removal and transfer, detailed workload transfer planning, and technical assistance during initial repair work at the receiving site.

[8]Depot Certification

Perform certification of the receiving site's processes, personnel, equipment and facilities to ensure repairables are repaired within established procedures and guidelines. Assure all processes are in place and can be executed to produce a quality product. These tasks performed upon depot establishment or movement.

ELECTRONIC MODULE TEST & REPAIR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Description	
95.0	98	Parts Inventory[9]	R
36.0	98	Documentation Requirements[10]	

[9]Parts Inventory

This cost includes specialized electronic module engineering and technician expertise needed to perform analysis and cataloging of existing in-shop depot parts inventory.

[10]Documentation Requirements

Cost will be incurred for assembly, reproduction and distribution of needed test set procedures and documentation.

Note 5: R

Radar, Electronic Warfare & Microwave Components Technical Capabilities

The identified documentation provides the depot necessary information on the highly specialized RF microwave testers and system/units under test. It includes data for the test sets, interface devices, test procedures, software, calibration and the Technical Docunmentation Package for the system hardware being tested. All data currently resides in a master repository at Crane. The materials currently in use are shared between engineering and depot personnel, with only minimal copies. Since the test sets will remain at Crane to support engineering agent functions, a complete copy of this data needs to be made and provided if the depot is transferred to NNSY.

Electronic Module Test & Repair Technical Capability

NSWC/CD maintains 1 master copy of each procedure. Controlled copies are issued to each user as requested. In the case were only the depot exists at NSWC/CD with no engineering usage, the master copy will be shipped. In the dual use case (engineering and depot) where a controlled copy has been issued to the depot, that copy will be shipped. Cost is primarily for inventory of documentation to insure the correct revision of each drawing and procedure is shipped. Approximately 25% of the documentation will need to be reproduced due to the dual use case with no controlled copy issued to the depot (no current workload).

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Cost	FY	Description

1.
Note 13: Of the MILCON funds programmed from FY 1996 through FY 2001, $1,601,720 would be avoided from transferring depot functions. Military Construction projects identified in this program support non-depot operations.

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

1. **MICROWAVE COMPONENTS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY**

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description	
10.6	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Air-Cushion Ride Trucking[11]	
3640.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Material Packing	R
81.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for Material Packing	R
13.2	00	NSYD NORFOLK	Air-Cushion Ride Trucking[11]	

[11]Air Ride Trucking for Specialized Test Set Equipment

Specialized microwave test sets require special considerations for shipping by truck. The added cost includes special air-ride transportation and packaging requirements needed to protect this state-of-the-art high technology test equipment.

2. ACOUSTIC SENSORS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description	
277.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Towed Array Handler Disassembly[12]	
85.6	99	NSYD NORFOLK	WQM-8 Packaging/Shipment[13]	
74.4	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Acoustic Systems Packaging/Shipment[13]	
113.1	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Towed Array Handler Pack/Shipment[13]	
732.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Material Packaging	R
17.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for Packing Material	R
113.1	00	NSYD NORFOLK	Towed Array Handler Pack/Shipment[13]	
380.5	00	NSYD NORFOLK	Acoustic Systems Packaging/Shipment[13]	
85.6	00	NSYD NORFOLK	WQM-6 Packaging/Shipment[13]	

[12]Test Equipment Disassembly

This cost includes specialized acoustic sensors engineering and technician expertise to disassemble and catalog all items for reassembly.

[13]Packaging/Shipment

Specialized electronic test sets require special considerations for shipping by truck. The added cost includes double packaging to eliminate breakage of sensitive electrical components in test equipment.

Note 6:

WQM-6/8, Acoustic Systems - Packaging and shipment costs were computed using the commercial standardized rate tables ($931/1280 ft^3 truckload for shipping , $15/$ft^3$ for packaging) provided by the NSWCC Supply Directorate. Volume quantities were derived from Supply Directorate account records for material in storage and inprocess volume quantities were determined from inspection of depot staging and work areas. It must be understood that most of these systems are no longer supported by OEM's and all available assets are retained for cannibalization purposes as the *only* source of supply.

Towed Array Handler - Shipping and Packaging costs were calculated as explained in the preceding paragraph. This system is a large hydraulic/electro-mechanical assembly that weighs over 1000 pounds. There are currently 15 systems on-site with over 1500 line items of spare parts to support them in warehouse storage areas. Again, this system is only supported by Crane Division and components are retained as the Navy's only active source of supply. Another illustrative example is the system test stand. It weighs approximately 19 tons and with the entire enclosure, consumes 4,131 ft^3. Its disassembly will be a significant and time consuming process involving not only electronic, but also sensitive hydraulic and mechanical components.

3. ELECTRONIC MODULE TEST & REPAIR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description	
10.0	96	NSYD NORFOLK	Transfer of Equipment/Material[15]	
95.0	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Coordination of Move[14]	R
475.0	98	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Material Packing	R
12.0	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for Material Packing	R
319.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Coordination of Move[14]	R
224.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Special packaging and handling of specialized Test Set Equipment[16]	
100.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Disassembly of Equipment[17]	
732.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Material Packing	R
12.0	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for DLR Material Packing	R
319.0	00	NSYD NORFOLK	Coordination of Move[14]	R
100.0	00	NSYD NORFOLK	Disassembly of Equipment[17]	

[14]Coordination of Move

One work year plus travel is identified in FY1998 to plan the technical aspects of the move from NSWC/CD to NNSY. Three work years plus travel is identified each year, FY1999 and FY2000, for NSWC/CD to coordinate the technical aspects of the move to NNSY. Technical coordination ensures the Fleet will continue to be supported during the transition period.

[15]Transfer of Equipment/Material Data Base

$10K is identified in FY1996 as a cost to NSWC/CD to transfer equipment/material data base from NSWC/CD to NNSY. Data base transfer will aid in smooth transition of equipment and material in FY1999 and FY2000.

[16]Special Packaging and Handling of specialized Test Set Equipment

Specialized electronic test sets require special considerations for shipping by truck. The added cost includes special air-ride transportation and packaging requirements needed to protect 14,293 cubic feet of sensitive test equipment.

[17]Test Equipment Disassembly

This cost includes specialized electronic module engineering and technician expertise to disassemble and catalog test and repair equipment in preparation for the P&P/shipping process. One work year is identified in each year, FY1999 and FY2000.

4. **RADAR** R

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
2825.0K	99	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Matrial Packing
70.0K	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for Material Packing

5. **ELECTRONIC WARFARE**

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
589.0K	97	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Matrial Packing
14.0K	97	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for Material Packing
453.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Material Packing
12.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for Material Packing
453.0K	99	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Matrial Packing
12.0K	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for Material Packing
3,035.0K	00	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Material Packing
74.0K	00	NSYD NORFOLK	Labor for Material Packing

R

FOR THIS REVISION, THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66. R

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if-identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must beconsistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NSWC CRANE						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NSYD NORFOLK	0	0	11.1	673.7R	893.4R	917.4R
Description: Depot Engineering Support Travel, Variation Training, Recertification of Tests, Maintenance & Calibration Cost						
2.						
Description:						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

R

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

Note 8: Senior level (GS-11/12) microwave engineering agent technicians and engineers will not be resident at NNSY. These senior level specialized technical people are resident at the losing base and will remain at the losing base supporting the engineering agent functions. These technical people travel to organic and commercial depots for test data correlation, failure trend analysis, depot test set characterization, and repair analysis. R

On-site coordination is required by engineering agents acting as technical interface between the Fleet, industry and depot. Test data correlation, failure trend analysis, depot test set characterization, and repair analysis cannot be accomplished via data exchanges, such as VTCs.

The separation of engineering and depot function causes the engineering agent to pay full calibration and maintenance costs associated with equipment retained at Crane. Historically engineering and depot functions shared the calibration and maintenance costs; with the transfer of depot to NNSY, the engineering agent is required to pay/budget for total costs.

Depot Engineering Support Travel R

This is an Engineering Agent function and cost will be incurred by NSWC/CD as the assigned Engineering Agent. It includes engineer and technician travel and perdiem associated with technically supporting NNSY microwave tube depot; engineering support includes test data correlation, failure trend analysis, depot test characterization, repair analysis.

Calculation based on number of estimated trips required for engineering support @ $1,000 per person per trip for the average stay of 2-3 days.

FY 98 = 10K FY 99 = 205K FY 00 = 213K FY 01 = 222K

Variation Training

This is an Engineering Agent function and cost will be incurred by NSWC/CD as the assigned Engineering Agent. It includes engineer and technician travel and perdiem associated with training test set operators on new configuration components and test requirements.

Calculation based on number of estimated trips required to support variation training @ $1,000 per person per trip for the average stay of 2-3 days.

FY 99 = 15K FY 00 = 15K FY 01 = 15K

Revalidation/Recertification

This is an Engineering Agent function and cost will be incurred by NSWC/CD as the assigned Engineering Agent. It includes engineer and technician travel and perdiem associated with periodic recertification of test sets and operators, and revalidation of technical repair procedures.

Calculation based on number of estimated trips required for support revalidation @ $1,000 per person per trip for the average stay of 2-3 days.

FY 99 = 63K FY 00 = 65K FY 01 = 66K

Maintenance and Calibration Cost
This cost represents the lost maintenance and calibration revenue associated with single vice dual test set use. The Engineering Agent function will have to incur all maintenance and calibration cost for test stations.

The calculation for this cost is based on 10% of the annual total Depot workload.
FY 98 = 1.1K FY 99 = 308.7K FY 00 = 518.4K FY 01 = 532.4K

Electronic Module Test & Repair Technical Capability R

Equipment Maintenance & Calibration

This equipment is currently used 60% by the Engineering Agent and 40% by the depot. In this scenario, the Engineering Agent function will have to incur all maintenance and calibration cost for test stations which is a 40% increase.

FY 99 = 82K FY 00 = 82K FY 01 = 82K

e. Net Mission Savings. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NSWC CRANE						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1.NSYD NORFOLK	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Description:						
2.						
Description:						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

1.	Annual Cost	FY	Description
	None		

Note 9: Concur, there is no difference. This cost has been moved to Table 2Fd on page 2-20R and the explanation has been added to page 2-22R. R

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None		

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained. R

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
1. None		

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
1. None			

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Facility KSF Shutdown: 563.4

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	0	12.5	159.4R	1093.9R	1276.0R	0	2541.8R	R
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c.	One-Time Move Costs	10.0	603.0R	1047.0R	9777.7R	4120.4R	0	14511.1 R	R
d.	Net Mission Costs	0	0	11.1	673.7R	893.4R	917.4R	2495.6R	R
e.	Net Mission Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
f.	Misc Recur Costs	0	0	0	0R	0	0	0R	R
g.	Misc Recur Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
h.	Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)	563.4

Note 10: The facility shutdown square footage of 563.4 KSF reflect 534.2 KSF of Category Code 441-10, for storage of depot material, and 29.2 KSF of operational space, Category Code 217-10, dedicated to depot functions.

In a conversation between the Crane BRAC coordinator (R. Matthews) and the NNSY BRAC coordinator (B. Trueblood) on 11/28/94, it was stated that NNSY has no desire to answer and/or certify a response to questions asked of Crane. The BRAC coordinator requested that NNSY command certification not be attempted. R

Note 11: Extensive discussions were held with technical personnel at the Norfolk Naval Shipyard (NNSY) on 11/26/94. We reached agreement on all procurement and installation costs.

Table 2.B (tons of mission equipment) includes both Depot Material (72,516.1 tons) and Depot Equipment (83 tons) to be transferred from Crane to NNSY. This does not address the significant amount of Depot equipment that must be procured and installed by NNSY as addressed in Table 3.A.a. (as unique one time costs). In order for NNSY to fully support Depot Maintenance, it will be necessary for NNSY to obtain equipment/hardware costing in excess of $101.1 million dollars. Installation costs have been estimated at ten (10) percent of the equipment/hardware cost to be installed.

Note 12: Extensive discussion were held with technical personnel at the Norfolk Naval Shipyard (NNSY) on 11/26/94. We reached agreement on all maintenance, training and calibration costs.

The recurring costs that are summarized in enclosure (3) as maintenance, training and calibration are described as follows:

Equipment Calibration

This cost includes specialized labor and material associated with recurring electronic module test-set and portable equipment calibration. Conservative estimate is based on 1% of test equipment cost, and NSWC/CD's recent experience with calibration cost of these test equipments. Additional cost is incurred only on the newly procured equipment which is a duplication of the existing capability at Crane.

Depot Engineering Support

This cost is the additional cost incurred to NNSY due to travel between NSWC/CD and NNSY to resolve technical/engineering problems in the electronic module depot.

Obsolete Parts Support

This cost is the additional cost incurred to NNSY due to travel between NSWC/CD and NNSY to resolve obsolete part problems in the electronic module depot.

ATE Maintenance Support

NSWC/CD relies upon in-house expertise, developed over many years and spread over a large number of ATE used in ISEA/Engineering functions, to support depot ATE maintenance. NNSY does not have this in-house expertise and will contract for services at the listed higher cost. Additional cost is also incurred due to the duplication of the existing capability at NSWC/CD.

Equipment Maintenance

This cost includes specialized labor and material associated with recurring microwave test-set and portable equipment maintenance. Conservative estimate is based on 1% of test equipment cost, and NSWC/CD's recent experience with maintenance cost of these test equipments.

Refresher Training

This cost includes specialized labor associated with recurring microwave training. After being taught the baseline material, depot engineers and technicians are required to take refresher courses on a yearly basis. Historically refresher training in microwave components has average $2000 per person/per year at NSWC/CD.

Note 13: R

In the original response to scenario 2-14-0117-013 and 2-14-0114-012, Crane cited some of the costs to the gaining base in Enclosure (2). In conversations with Norfolk Naval Shipyard, these costs are now transferred to Enclosure (3) and certified by Norfolk.

One time unique costs to the gaining base for procurement and installation of equipment are now shown in Table 3.A.

Recurring costs to the gaining base for maintenance, calibration, and training are now shown in Table 3.A.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	None			No impact on local community.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard **R**

Cost	FY	Description	
$5,154.7	96	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$15,677.3	97	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$16,178.2	98	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$2,401.9	99	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$2,512.6	00	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	**R**
$21,400	96	Microwave Components Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	**R**
$400	99	Microwave Components Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	**R**
$400	00	Microwave Components Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	**R**
$18,791	96	Electronic Warfare Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$2,373	99	Electronic Warfare Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	**R**

Cost	FY	Description	
$959	00	Electronic Warfare Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	R
$13,042.9	96	Acoustic Sensors Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$800	99	Acoustic Sensors Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$1,152.9	00	Acoustic Sensors Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$10,114	96	Electronic Module Test and Repair Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$2,205	99	Electronic Module Test and Repair Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	
$600	00	Electronic Module Test and Repair Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs	

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Cost	FY	Description
1.			None identified.

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Cost	FY	Description
1. None identified.		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard **R**

Annual Cost	FY	Description
$18.7	98	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment maintenance, calibration, refresher training
$2.4	99	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment maintenance, calibration, refresher training
$726.6	00	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment maintenance, calibration, refresher training
$465	99	Microwave Components Technical Capability: Equipment maintenace, calibration, recurring training
$946	00	Electronic Warfare Technical Capability: Calibration, maintenance, production control cost
$850	99	Electronic Module Test and Repair Technical Capability: Equipment calibration, depot engineering suppport, obsolete parts support, ATE maintenance support

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None identified.		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	None required.			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: Norfolk Naval Shipyard								R
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	$68,502.6	$15,677.3	$16,178.2	$7,151.9	$6,323.9	0	$113,833.9 R
b.	One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	$18.7	$1,317.4	$1,672.6	0	$3,008.7
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.

- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.

- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.

- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories)**:**

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: Norfolk Naval Shipyard			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)			
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)		200,000	Special requirements include: clean room, top secret work space, anechoic chamber
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

Activity: 00164 NSWC DIV CRANE

PART I: MANPOWER DATA - HOST AND TENANTS. This data is provided to assist you in identifying military billets and civilian positions which will either be relocated or eliminated as a result of closure or realignment. Officer (OFF), Enlisted (ENL) and Civilian (CIV) numbers reflect end strength, not on-board counts. The "Planned Force Structure Reduction" column represents the difference between projected "Beginning of FY 1996" and projected "End of FY 2001" end strength. The source of this data is the BUPERS/NAVCOMPT/CMC data bases in support of the FY 1996/1997 OSD Submit. Review this list and make any necessary annotations, including the addition or deletion of lines of data to accurately reflect the host and tenant population. Note that Military Students (STU) must be shown as an Average On-Board (AOB) count. If a significant student population is located at the activity, then all students need to be identified in this table. Student data need only be provided for the "End of FY 2001" column of the table. If any numbers are changed, please provide a revised set of totals at the end of the listing.

	UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	BEGIN FY 1996 OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	END FY 2001 OFF	ENL	CIV	STU
	N 00164	NSWC DIV CRANE	COMNAVSEASYS	7	~~16~~ 15	0	0	~~8~~ -2	0	0	0	~~7~~ 5	~~16~~ 15	0	0
	N 43050	CUSTOMER SERVICE BRANCH	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	~~8~~ 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~~8~~ 2	0
	N 30702	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL	CINCLANTFLT	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0
DELETE	~~N 00164~~	~~ARMY AMMO ACTY CRANE IND~~	~~COMMARCOR~~	0	~~4~~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~~4~~	0	0
DELETE	~~N 00164~~	~~LNO NAVAMPROENGCEN CRANE~~	~~COMMARCOR~~	~~2~~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~~2~~	0	0	0
	N 47611	NAVWPNSUPPCEN CRANE IN	COMNAVSEASYS	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0
	N 45689	NAVWPNSUPPCEN SMCA DET CRA	COMNAVSEASYS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	00164	NSWC CRANE	COMNAVSEASYS	0	0	3,252	0	0	0	-491	0	0	0	2,761	0
	00164	NSWC CRANE SULLIVAN IN DET	COMNAVSEASYS	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	0
	N 63904	NAVAL SECURITY GROUP	COMNAVSECGRP	2	52	0	0	0	-15	0	0	2	37	0	0
	N 60660	NAVY EXCHANGE DETACHMENT	COMNAVSUPSYS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	N 63285	NAVAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE	SECNAV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DELETE	~~N 45771~~	~~NCISRA CRANE~~	~~SECNAV~~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				12	81	3,258						10	66	2,769	
			TOTALS:	~~14~~	~~80~~	~~3,256~~	0	0	-15	-489	0	~~14~~	~~80~~	~~2,767~~	0
	82330	US MARINE CORPS	UNKNOWN	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
	30845	NAVTECHREP SPAUL NSWC CRANE	COMNAVSEASYS	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
			TOTALS	16	82	3,258	0	-3	-15	-489	0	13	67	2,769	0

CHANGED TO RECONCILE WITH CP7, BASE STRUCTURE ANNEX REPORT, BUPERS, AND NAVCOMPT

Crane 1 of 5

11/18/94

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 3: MANPOWER DATA - SPECIAL USE AREAS. This is a list of "special use areas" assigned to the activity being considered for closure or realignment. Please review this list and determine which, if any, of these special use areas will also be closed as a result of this action. If so, note this fact in the "Closed?" column, and then identify the fiscal year in which the area will be closed. For any special use areas which will be closed, corresponding numbers of billets/positions must be incorporated both into the "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and also the "Eliminated and Relocated Billets/Positions" data in your data call response. Manpower estimates shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001" data is used in your response; as well as ensuring that you do not double count any numbers already shown on Part 1 of this

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	CITY	STATE	OFF	ENL	CIV	Non-DOD	CLOSED?	FY
N00164	HYDROACOUSTIC TEST AREA	COMNAVSEASYS	SULLIVAN	IN	0	0	0	0	YES	1998
				TOTALS:	0	0	0	0		

Numbers for Hydroacoustic Test Area are included in Part 1.

Crane 2 of 5

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 4: MANPOWER DATA - NON-DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (DON) TENANTS. This is a list of non-DON tenant activities located at the installation. If any of these tenants need to be relocated as a result of the closure/realignment action, then identify the number of billets/positions to be relocated, the fiscal year in which the relocation will take place, and the name/location of the receiving site. Manpower numbers associated with these relocations must then be incorporated into the total "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and the "Relocated Billets/Positions" data in the data call response. Manpower numbers shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001"

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	OFF	ENL	CIV	Non-DOD	FY	RECEIVING BASE
D 44204	ENGINEERING FIELD ACTIVITY	COMNAVFAC	2	0	16	0	2001	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
* D 49109	DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY	DECA	0	3 ~~6~~	0	0	1996	SEE NOTE
** D 39EAA	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY	unknown	3	1	630	0	1996	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
D HQ0103	DEFENSE FINANCE & ACCOUNTING	unknown	0	0	28	0	2001	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
D GX1395	DEFENSE REUTILIZATION ^	unknown	0	0	22 ~~20~~	0	2001	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
D 70098	US COAST GUARD SMALL ARMS	unknown		3	0	0	1996	NSWC LOUISVILLE
		TOTALS:	6	7 ~~10~~	696 ~~694~~	0		
BX3VFFPF	US AIR FORCE	unknown	1	0	0	0	1996	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
		TOTALS	7	7	696	0		

* MILITARY BILLETS ELIMINATED FY 96

PART 4:
CHANGED TO RECONCILE WITH CP7, BASE STRUCTURE ANNEX REPORT, BUPERS, AND NAVCOMPT

** PER DIRECTION OF BSAT REPRESENTATIVE GERRY SCHIEFFER ON 18 NOV, CONSIDER THAT CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY WILL REMAIN IN PLACE.

Crane 3 of 5

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

NOV 15 '94 04:02PM NSWC

PART 5: TOTAL FACILITY SQUARE FEET. This is the total Class 2 facility square feet, excluding family housing, MWR and utilities, as reported in the Naval Facilities Assets Data Base (NFADB). This figure is used in determining the number of square feet which will be "shut down" as a result of the closure action.

Total Facility Square Feet (in thousands): ~~5,390~~ 10,451

PART 6: BASE OPERATING SUPPORT (BOS) COST DATA. This is the total BOS costs reported for the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data and ensure that it is consistent with FY 1996 OSD Submit budget data. If BOS cost data needs to be revised, specific revisions should be noted on a revised copy of the appropriate Data Call 66 table(s), which should then be returned with this data call response.

			***** O&M, etc. *****				***** DBOF *****				***** TOTAL *****			
UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY
00164	NSWC DIV CRANE	COMNAVSEASYSCO	50	98	481	763	4848	2637	9625	37469	4898	2735	10106	38232
00164	HYDROACOUSTIC TEST AREA	COMNAVSEASYSCO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		TOTALS:	50	98	481	763	4848	2637	9625	37469	4898	2735	10106	38232

PART 5:
CHANGED TO RECONCILE WITH NFADB.

PART 6:
CHANGED TO RECONCILE WITH FY96 OSD SUBMIT.

crane 4 of 5

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 7: CONTRACT WORKYEAR DATA. This is the total contract workyear data reported by the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data, especially the columns regarding contract workyears which will either be eliminated or transferred as a result of the closure/realignment action. Sum of workyears transferred + eliminated + remaining at activity must equal Total Contract Workyears. Annotate corrections as necessary.

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	TOTAL CONTRACT WORKYEARS	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE TRANSFERRED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE ELIMINATED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS REMAINING AT ACTIVITY
00164	NSWC DIV CRANE	COMNAVSEASYS	851.0 ~~0~~	499 ~~0~~	165.5 ~~0~~	186.5 ~~0~~
00164	HYDROACOUSTIC TEST AREA	COMNAVSEASYS	0	0	0	0
		TOTALS:	851.0 ~~0~~	499 ~~0~~	165.5 ~~0~~	186.5 ~~0~~

Numbers for Hydroacoustic Test Area are included in Part 1.

Crane 5 of 5

SCENARIO NUMBER 2-14-0114-012, ALT 2 - Shipyards

REMOVE SHIP/SEA SYSTEMS WORK FROM NSWC CRANE; REALIGN WORK TO REMAINING NAVAL SHIPYARDS

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

CAPT G. B. BLANTON
NAME (Please type or print)

Gerald B Blanton
Signature

ACTING SHIPYARD COMMANDER
Title

11/17/94
Date

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
Activity

SCENARIO NUMBER 2-14-0114-012, ALT 2 - Shipyards, Revision One

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
REMOVE SHIP/SEA SYSTEMS WORK FROM NSWC CRANE; REALIGN THIS WORK TO NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD.

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD COMMENT ON THIS SCENARIO

The response to this scenario is based on the assumption that the R&D and Engineering for ship systems work remains at NSWC, Crane. NSWC, Crane is maintaining approximately 90 percent of their equipment and facilities. Therefore, Norfolk Naval shipyard must purchase new equipment to duplicate equipment at NSWC Crane. This greatly increases the cost of this scenario.

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

CAPT W. R. KLEMM
NAME (Please type or print)

W R Klemm
Signature

SHIPYARD COMMANDER
Title

11/25/94
Date

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
Activity

SCENARIO NUMBER 2-14-0114-012, ALT 2 - Shipyards, Revision Two
(Resulting from Additional Review of Microwave Components Technical Capability and Electronic Warfare Technical Capability by NSWC Crane, December 4, 1994)

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
REMOVE SHIP/SEA SYSTEMS WORK FROM NSWC CRANE; REALIGN THIS WORK TO NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD.

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD COMMENT ON THIS SCENARIO

Revision to Note UnderlinedThe response to this scenario is based on the assumption that the R&D and Engineering for ship systems work remains at NSWC, Crane. NSWC, Crane is maintaining approximately 85 percent of their equipment and facilities. Therefore, Norfolk Naval shipyard must purchase new equipment to duplicate equipment at NSWC Crane. This greatly increases the cost of this scenario.

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

CAPT W. R. KLEMM
NAME (Please type or print)

W R Klemm
Signature

SHIPYARD COMMANDER
Title

12/5/94
Date

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
Activity

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER
CRANE DIVISION
SCENARIO NUMBER 2-14-0114-012

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purpose of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to the package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

I. M. CARNEY
NAME (Please type or print)

JM Carney
Signature

COMMANDER
Title

2/21/95
Date

CRANE DIVISION, NSWC
Activity

Page 2-4R - This page is revised to indicate the correct tons of mission equipment.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

Dr. Ira M. Blatstein

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Technical Director

Title

2/21/95

Date

Naval Surface Warfare Center

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

G. R. STERNER

Title Commander

Naval Sea Systems Command

2/21/95

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

2/22/95

Date

Crane Division Scenario Number 2-14-0114-012

SCENARIO 2-14-0114-012

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAV NOTE 11000 dtd 8 Dec 93

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

J. M. CARNEY
NAME (Please type of print)

J M Carney
Signature

COMMANDER
Title

1/27/95
Date

CRANE DIVISION, NSWC
Activity

SCENARIO 2-14-0114-012

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

RADM D. P. SARGENT, JR.

NAME (Please type of print

Signature

COMMANDER

Title

1/27/95

Date

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER

Activity

In certify that the information herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print

Signature

G. R. STERNER

Commander

Title

Naval Sea Systems Command

28 Jan 95

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type of print

Signature

Title

2/17/95

Date

COBRA REALIGNMENT SUMMARY (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/2
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std. Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Starting Year : 1996
Final Year : 2000
ROI Year : 100+ Years

NPV in 2015($K): 123,341
1-Time Cost($K): 138,351

Net Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	2,403	1,352	11,749	11,999	0	0	27,504	0
Person	0	0	5	-52	-519	-875	-1,441	-875
Overhd	207	155	175	698	695	158	2,089	158
Moving	10	0	914	4,199	1,939	1,216	8,279	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	69,088	15,000	14,951	283	0	0	99,322	0
TOTAL	71,708	16,507	27,795	17,127	2,116	498	135,753	-717

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
POSITIONS ELIMINATED							
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	0	0	0	8	8	0	16
TOT	0	0	0	8	8	0	16
POSITIONS REALIGNED							
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	0	0	8	79	71	0	158
TOT	0	0	8	79	71	0	158

Summary:

REALIGN NSWC CRANE; TRANSFER DEPOT WORKLOAD TO NORFOLK NSYD
SCENARIOs 012 and 013
INCL PERS ELIMS

COBRA REALIGNMENT SUMMARY (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/2
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	2,403	1,352	13,351	11,999	0	0	29,106	0
Person	0	0	5	167	137	0	309	0
Overhd	207	155	181	792	1,025	640	3,000	640
Moving	10	0	914	4,199	1,939	1,216	8,279	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	69,088	15,000	14,951	283	0	0	99,322	0
TOTAL	71,708	16,507	29,403	17,440	3,101	1,856	140,016	640

Savings ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	0	0	1,602	0	0	0	1,602	0
Person	0	0	0	219	656	875	1,750	875
Overhd	0	0	6	94	329	482	911	482
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	0	0	1,608	313	985	1,357	4,263	1,357

TOTAL ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	29,106,270	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		29,106,270
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	191,976	
Civilian Early Retirement	88,604	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	28,188	
Total - Personnel		308,768
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	631,076	
Mothball / Shutdown	703,750	
Total - Overhead		1,334,826
Moving		
Civilian Moving	2,983,039	
Civilian PPS	172,800	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	2,968,565	
One-Time Moving Costs	2,155,000	
Total - Moving		8,279,404
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	99,322,000	
Total - Other		99,322,000
Total One-Time Costs		138,351,268
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	1,602,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		1,602,000
Total Net One-Time Costs		136,749,268

ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSWC CRANE, IN
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	191,976	
Civilian Early Retirement	88,604	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	28,188	
Total - Personnel		308,768
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	631,076	
Mothball / Shutdown	703,750	
Total - Overhead		1,334,826
Moving		
Civilian Moving	2,983,039	
Civilian PPS	172,800	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	2,968,565	
One-Time Moving Costs	2,155,000	
Total - Moving		8,279,404
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	283,000	
Total - Other		283,000
Total One-Time Costs		10,205,998
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	1,602,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		1,602,000
Total Net One-Time Costs		8,603,998

ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 3/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSYD NORFOLK, VA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	29,106,270	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		29,106,270
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	99,039,000	
Total - Other		99,039,000
Total One-Time Costs		128,145,270
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		128,145,270

TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION ASSETS (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

All Costs in $K

Base Name	Total MilCon	IMA Cost	Land Purch	Cost Avoid	Total Cost
NSWC CRANE	0	0	0	-1,602	-1,602
NSYD NORFOLK	29,106	0	0	0	29,106
Totals:	29,106	0	0	-1,602	27,504

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NSWC CRANE, IN

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*

Total Construction Cost:	0
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	1,602
TOTAL:	-1,602

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
SHOP WORK AREA CLEAN ROOM, ANECHOIC CHAMBER	SHPYD	200,000	29,106	0	0	29,106

Total Construction Cost:	29,106
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	29,106

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NSWC CRANE, IN

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
23	89	0	3,954

FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	-3	0	0	0	0	0	-3
Enlisted	-15	0	0	0	0	0	-15
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	-489	0	0	0	0	0	-489
TOTAL	-507	0	0	0	0	0	-507

BASE POPULATION (Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
20	74	0	3,465

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
To Base: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	8	79	71	0	158
TOTAL	0	0	8	79	71	0	158

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Out of NSWC CRANE, IN):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	8	79	71	0	158
TOTAL	0	0	8	79	71	0	158

SCENARIO POSITION CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	-8	-8	0	-16
TOTAL	0	0	0	-8	-8	0	-16

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
20	74	0	3,291

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
75	106	0	8,952

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NSWC CRANE, IN

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	8	79	71	0	158
TOTAL	0	0	8	79	71	0	158

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NSYD NORFOLK, VA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	8	79	71	0	158
TOTAL	0	0	8	79	71	0	158

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
75	106	0	9,110

TOTAL PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	8	79	71	0	158
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	1	8	7	0	16
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	4	4	0	8
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	1	12	11	0	24
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	5	4	0	9
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	6	50	45	0	101
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	2	29	26	0	57
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	8	8	0	16
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	5	5	0	10
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	8	79	71	0	158
Civilians Moving		0	0	6	51	46	0	103
New Civilians Hired		0	0	2	28	25	0	55
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	1	9	8	0	18
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	5	4	0	9
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	5	5	0	10
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	2	28	25	0	55

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

+ The Percentage of Civilians Not Willing to Move (Voluntary RIFs) varies from base to base.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSWC CRANE, IN	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	8	79	71	0	158
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	1	8	7	0	16
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	4	4	0	8
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	1	12	11	0	24
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	5	4	0	9
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	6	50	45	0	101
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	2	29	26	0	57
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	8	8	0	16
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	5	5	0	10
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	1	9	8	0	18
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	5	4	0	9
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	5	5	0	10
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 3/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSYD NORFOLK, VA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	8	79	71	0	158
Civilians Moving		0	0	6	51	46	0	103
New Civilians Hired		0	0	2	28	25	0	55
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	2	28	25	0	55

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	2,403	1,352	13,351	11,999	0	0	29,106
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIF	0	0	0	107	85	0	192
Civ Retire	0	0	5	44	39	0	89
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	20	170	153	0	343
POV Miles	0	0	1	7	6	0	13
Home Purch	0	0	65	550	496	0	1,110
HHG	0	0	40	344	311	0	696
Misc	0	0	4	36	32	0	72
House Hunt	0	0	14	122	110	0	247
PPS	0	0	0	86	86	0	173
RITA	0	0	29	248	223	0	500
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	1	13	11	0	25
Freight	0	0	739	2,201	2	0	2,943
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	16	12	0	28
OTHER							
Program Plan	207	155	116	87	65	0	631
Shutdown	0	0	32	352	319	0	704
New Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	10	0	0	422	507	1,216	2,155
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	69,088	15,000	14,951	283	0	0	99,322
TOTAL ONE-TIME	71,708	16,507	29,370	17,087	2,462	1,216	138,351

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0
BOS	0	0	32	353	640	640	1,665	640
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-0	-0	32	353	640	640	1,665	640
TOTAL COST	71,708	16,507	29,403	17,440	3,101	1,856	140,016	640

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	1,602	0	0	0	1,602
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	1,602	0	0	0	1,602

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	6	73	190	246	514	246
BOS	0	0	0	21	139	236	397	236
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	219	656	875	1,750	875
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	6	313	985	1,357	2,661	1,357
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	1,608	313	985	1,357	4,263	1,357

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	2,403	1,352	11,749	11,999	0	0	27,504
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	5	151	125	0	280
Civ Moving	0	0	914	3,777	1,432	0	6,124
Other	217	155	149	877	904	1,216	3,518
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	69,088	15,000	14,951	283	0	0	99,322
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	71,708	16,507	27,768	17,087	2,462	1,216	136,749

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	-0	-0	-6	-73	-190	-246	-514	-246
BOS	0	0	32	331	500	403	1,268	403
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	-219	-656	-875	-1,750	-875
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-0	-0	27	40	-346	-717	-996	-717
TOTAL NET COST	71,708	16,507	27,795	17,127	2,116	498	135,753	-717

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSWC CRANE, IN

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	107	85	0	192
Civ Retire	0	0	5	44	39	0	89
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	20	170	153	0	343
POV Miles	0	0	1	7	6	0	13
Home Purch	0	0	65	550	496	0	1,110
HHG	0	0	40	344	311	0	696
Misc	0	0	4	36	32	0	72
House Hunt	0	0	14	122	110	0	247
PPS	0	0	0	86	86	0	173
RITA	0	0	29	248	223	0	500
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	1	13	11	0	25
Freight	0	0	739	2,201	2	0	2,943
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	16	12	0	28
OTHER							
Program Plan	207	155	116	87	65	0	631
Shutdown	0	0	32	352	319	0	704
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	10	0	0	422	507	1,216	2,155
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	283	0	0	283
TOTAL ONE-TIME	217	155	1,068	5,088	2,462	1,216	10,206

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSWC CRANE, IN

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	217	155	1,068	5,088	2,462	1,216	10,206	0

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	1,602	0	0	0	1,602
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	1,602	0	0	0	1,602

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	6	73	190	246	514	246
BOS	0	0	0	21	139	236	397	236
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	219	656	875	1,750	875
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	6	313	985	1,357	2,661	1,357
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	1,608	313	985	1,357	4,263	1,357

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSWC CRANE, IN

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	-1,602	0	0	0	-1,602
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	5	151	125	0	280
Civ Moving	0	0	914	3,777	1,432	0	6,124
Other	217	155	149	877	904	1,216	3,518
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	283	0	0	283
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	217	155	-534	5,088	2,462	1,216	8,604

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	-6	-73	-190	-246	-514	-246
BOS	0	0	0	-21	-139	-236	-397	-236
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	-219	-656	-875	-1,750	-875
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	-6	-313	-985	-1,357	-2,661	-1,357
TOTAL NET COST	217	155	-540	4,775	1,476	-141	5,943	-1,357

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	2,403	1,352	13,351	11,999	0	0	29,106
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	69,088	15,000	14,951	0	0	0	99,039
TOTAL ONE-TIME	71,491	16,352	28,302	11,999	0	0	128,145

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0
BOS	0	0	32	353	640	640	1,665	640
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-0	-0	32	353	640	640	1,665	640
TOTAL COSTS	71,491	16,352	28,335	12,352	640	640	129,810	640

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	2,403	1,352	13,351	11,999	0	0	29,106
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	69,088	15,000	14,951	0	0	0	99,039
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	71,491	16,352	28,302	11,999	0	0	128,145

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0
BOS	0	0	32	353	640	640	1,665	640
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-0	-0	32	353	640	640	1,665	640
TOTAL NET COST	71,491	16,352	28,335	12,352	640	640	129,810	640

INPUT DATA REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN ONE - GENERAL SCENARIO INFORMATION

Model Year One : FY 1996

Model does Time-Phasing of Construction/Shutdown: Yes

Base Name	Strategy:
NSWC CRANE, IN	Realignment
NSYD NORFOLK, VA	Realignment

Summary:

REALIGN NSWC CRANE; TRANSFER DEPOT WORKLOAD TO NORFOLK NSYD
SCENARIOs 012 and 013
INCL PERS ELIMS

INPUT SCREEN TWO - DISTANCE TABLE

From Base:	To Base:	Distance:
NSWC CRANE, IN	NSYD NORFOLK, VA	725 mi

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NSWC CRANE, IN to NSYD NORFOLK, VA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	8	79	71	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	1	2,207	6,571	3	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	2	2	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NSWC CRANE, IN

Total Officer Employees:	23	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	4,898
Total Enlisted Employees:	89	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	10,106
Total Civilian Employees:	3,954	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	38,232
Mil Families Living On Base:	34.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	83
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.01
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	10,451	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	57	Activity Code:	00164
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	42		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	82	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

Total Officer Employees:	75	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	24,057
Total Enlisted Employees:	106	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	68,753
Total Civilian Employees:	8,952	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	62,001
Mil Families Living On Base:	10.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	71
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.92
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	7,761	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	228	Activity Code:	00181
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	139		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	104	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NSWC CRANE, IN

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	283	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	10	0	0	422	507	1,216
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	1,602	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 563 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	69,088	15,000	14,951	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

(See final page for Explanatory Notes)

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN SIX - BASE PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Name: NSWC CRANE, IN

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Off Force Struc Change:	-3	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Force Struc Change:	-15	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Force Struc Change:	-489	0	0	0	0	0
Stu Force Struc Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Off Scenario Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Scenario Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Scenario Change:	0	0	0	-8	-8	0
Off Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Military:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Civilian:	0	0	0	0	0	0

INPUT SCREEN SEVEN - BASE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Name: NSYD NORFOLK, VA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
SHOP WORK AREA	SHPYD	0	200,000	0
CLEAN ROOM, ANECHOIC CHAMBER				

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN ONE - PERSONNEL

Percent Officers Married:	71.70%	Civ Early Retire Pay Factor:	9.00%
Percent Enlisted Married:	60.10%	Priority Placement Service:	60.00%
Enlisted Housing MilCon:	98.00%	PPS Actions Involving PCS:	50.00%
Officer Salary($/Year):	76,781.00	Civilian PCS Costs ($):	28,800.00
Off BAQ with Dependents($):	7,925.00	Civilian New Hire Cost($):	0.00
Enlisted Salary($/Year):	33,178.00	Nat Median Home Price($):	114,600.00
Enl BAQ with Dependents($):	5,251.00	Home Sale Reimburse Rate:	10.00%
Avg Unemploy Cost($/Week):	174.00	Max Home Sale Reimburs($):	22,385.00
Unemployment Eligibility(Weeks):	18	Home Purch Reimburse Rate:	5.00%
Civilian Salary($/Year):	54,694.00	Max Home Purch Reimburs($):	11,191.00
Civilian Turnover Rate:	15.00%	Civilian Homeowning Rate:	64.00%
Civilian Early Retire Rate:	10.00%	HAP Home Value Reimburse Rate:	22.90%
Civilian Regular Retire Rate:	5.00%	HAP Homeowner Receiving Rate:	5.00%
Civilian RIF Pay Factor:	39.00%	RSE Home Value Reimburse Rate:	0.00%
SF File Desc:	NAVY DBOF BRAC95	RSE Homeowner Receiving Rate:	0.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN TWO - FACILITIES

RPMA Building SF Cost Index:	0.93	Rehab vs. New MilCon Cost:	75.00%
BOS Index (RPMA vs population):	0.54	Info Management Account:	0.00%
(Indices are used as exponents)		MilCon Design Rate:	9.00%
Program Management Factor:	10.00%	MilCon SIOH Rate:	6.00%
Caretaker Admin(SF/Care):	162.00	MilCon Contingency Plan Rate:	5.00%
Mothball Cost ($/SF):	1.25	MilCon Site Preparation Rate:	39.00%
Avg Bachelor Quarters(SF):	294.00	Discount Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	2.75%
Avg Family Quarters(SF):	1.00	Inflation Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	0.00%

APPDET.RPT Inflation Rates:
1996: 0.00% 1997: 2.90% 1998: 3.00% 1999: 3.00% 2000: 3.00% 2001: 3.00%

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 16:34 02/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : CRANE / DEPOT 012
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM2\CRANE012.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN THREE - TRANSPORTATION

Material/Assigned Person(Lb):	710	Equip Pack & Crate($/Ton):	284.00
HHG Per Off Family (Lb):	14,500.00	Mil Light Vehicle($/Mile):	0.31
HHG Per Enl Family (Lb):	9,000.00	Heavy/Spec Vehicle($/Mile):	3.38
HHG Per Mil Single (Lb):	6,400.00	POV Reimbursement($/Mile):	0.18
HHG Per Civilian (Lb):	18,000.00	Avg Mil Tour Length (Years):	4.17
Total HHG Cost ($/100Lb):	35.00	Routine PCS($/Pers/Tour):	3,763.00
Air Transport ($/Pass Mile):	0.20	One-Time Off PCS Cost($):	4,527.00
Misc Exp ($/Direct Employ):	700.00	One-Time Enl PCS Cost($):	1,403.00

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN FOUR - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Category	UM	$/UM	Category	UM	$/UM
Horizontal	(SY)	61	Optional Category A	( )	0
Waterfront	(LF)	10,350	Optional Category B	( )	0
Air Operations	(SF)	122	Optional Category C	( )	0
Operational	(SF)	111	Optional Category D	( )	0
Administrative	(SF)	123	Optional Category E	( )	0
School Buildings	(SF)	108	Optional Category F	( )	0
Maintenance Shops	(SF)	102	Optional Category G	( )	0
Bachelor Quarters	(SF)	96	Optional Category H	( )	0
Family Quarters	(EA)	78,750	Optional Category I	( )	0
Covered Storage	(SF)	94	Optional Category J	( )	0
Dining Facilities	(SF)	165	Optional Category K	( )	0
Recreation Facilities	(SF)	120	Optional Category L	( )	0
Communications Facil	(SF)	165	Optional Category M	( )	0
Shipyard Maintenance	(SF)	129	Optional Category N	( )	0
RDT & E Facilities	(SF)	160	Optional Category O	( )	0
POL Storage	(BL)	12	Optional Category P	( )	0
Ammunition Storage	(SF)	160	Optional Category Q	( )	0
Medical Facilities	(SF)	168	Optional Category R	( )	0
Environmental	( )	0			

SCENARIO NO. 2-14-0114-012A
CRANE

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

Dr. Ira M. Blatstein
NAME (Please type or print)

Ira M Blatstein
Signature

Technical Director
Title

2/27/95
Date

Naval Surface Warfare Center
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)

G. R. Sterner
Signature

G. R. STERNER
Title

2/27/95
Date

Commander
Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

C. Geiger
NAME (Please type or print)

C. Geiger
Signature

Acting
Title

3/3/95
Date

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER
CRANE DIVISION
SCENARIO NUMBER 2-14-0114-012A
REVISED (2/24/95)
BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purpose of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to the package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

J. M. CARNEY	J M Carney
NAME (Please type or print)	Signature
COMMANDER	2/24/95
Title	Date
CRANE DIVISION, NSWC	
Activity	

SCENARIO 2-14-0114-012A

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

RADM D. P. SARGENT, JR.

NAME (Please type of print

Signature

COMMANDER

Title

1/27/95

Date

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER

Activity

In certify that the information herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print

G. R. STERNER

Signature

Commander

Title Naval Sea Systems Command

28 Jan 95

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type of print

Signature

Title

2/17/95

Date

SCENARIO 2-14-0114-012A

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAV NOTE 11000 dtd 8 Dec 93

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

J. M. CARNEY
NAME (Please type of print)

JMCarney
Signature

COMMANDER
Title

1/27/95
Date

CRANE DIVISION, NSWC
Activity

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	2-14-0114-012A
Scenario Title:	ALT 2 - SHIPYARDS
Date:	COB 9 DECEMBER 1994

This scenario originally moved Crane's depot workload for ship systems to Norfolk Naval Shipyard. The workload fell in two primary categories:

1. Repair of sophisticated microwave systems and components including: the major shipboard electronic warfare system SLQ-32 & WLR-1; Radar systems SPS 40,48,49, 67; and microwave power tubes from AEGIS SPY radar & fire control, MK-86 fire control, MK 92 fire control, and various radars. The depot workload for this category is 82 workyears.

2. Repair of electronic circuit cards of widely varying complexity from many different systems. The workload for this category is 77 workyears.

The BSAT question of 8 December 94 asked us to redo the scenario to include moving associated Acquisition and In Service engineering with the depot. This impacts primarily the microwave systems category of workload and adds 182 workyears of microwave engineering to the transfer. Therefore, the transfer of a small amount of complex microwave system repair pulls a large engineering capability from Crane and the NSWC.

This alternative scenario imposes both technical and programmatic risk. The engineering capability at Crane provides common support to the commodity group 11B systems and several others. It also represents the Navy's interests in the fragile national microwave industry. Transition of this engineering responsibility to a Naval Shipyard requires establishing a completely new area specialty at the shipyard and thus is technically risky. As well, the predictable, temporary reduction in microwave engineering support at the receiving site creates programmatic risk to existing systems in the fleet and to new procurement such as the AEGIS SPY radar.

Additionally, the transition of the engineering support for the commodity group 11B systems to Norfolk will result in the duplication of those capabilities used to support other systems. The microwave work involved in this scenario is very complex and depends on other very specialized microelectronic circuit and materials test and analysis, and computer programming capabilities at Crane. In fact 40 workyears of specialized support are obtained from collocated capabilities at Crane. Movement to Norfolk would disrupt this very important capability tie.

Another point is that NSWC has total life cycle capability and accountability for microwave engineering. Between Dahlgren, Crane, and Pt Hueneme, research and development through maintenance and in-service engineering is covered. The integration of these capabilities, through the formation of NSWC in BRAC 91, for the first time centralized knowledge, capability, and execution under one command. Significant strides are being made in increasing capability and efficiency, resulting in improved systems and fleet support. This revised scenario threatens that progress.

Finally, the Electronic Warfare work, both depot and engineering, is presently centralized at Crane. Crane is the depot and engineering activity for the major airborne EW system, ALQ-99 and also is the central microwave tube depot and engineering activity for airborne as well as surface microwave tubes. Microwave engineers and technicians are a scarce resource. Decentralizing this very specialized capability will cause inefficiency and loss of capability/knowledge.

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	MR. BOB MATTHEWS
Organization/Code:	CODE 05M, NSWC CRANE
Office Phone Number:	(812) 854-1534 DSN 482-1534
Fax Number:	(812) 854-2649
Home Phone Number:	(812) 295-2798

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario. Complete the table on the next page to identify "bases" involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Note that the term **"Losing Base"** refers to host activities, independent activities or other activities specifically identified in the Scenario Development Data Call tasking which are being reduced in size, i.e., closing or being realigned. The term **"Gaining Base"** refers to host or independent activities which will be receiving sites for functions/personnel transferred from losing base(s). For example, a losing base is the activity referred to in the data call tasking, i.e., a Naval Station, Hospital, etc. **Individual tenants should not be separately listed on this table**, e.g., Branch Medical Clinic, Personnel Support Detachment, etc. Individual tenants will, however, be specifically identified in subsequent tables in the data call. The third column of the table should be used to identify relevant information regarding workload/mission to be transferred. For example, entries in this column should be short phrases such as, "missile workload", "ships", "F-14 squadrons", "tenants", etc., or to provide other clarifying information. This third column need only be completed to identify major components of the closure/realignment scenario, and should not be used to list all tenant names, etc.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	NSWC CRANE

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload/Missions Transferring
NSWC CRANE	NSYD NORFOLK	SHIP/SEA SYSTEMS
NSWC CRANE	NSYD NORFOLK	ISE/ASSOCIATED WITH DEPOT

Note: If an activity/function will be relocated into leased office space, please note this fact under the column, Gaining Base, e.g., "Washington, DC - Leased Space".

Enclosure (1)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

To Gaining Base: NSYD NORFOLK

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
00164	NSWC CRANE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	246	125	124	0	495 R
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	246	125	124	0	495 R
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

R(2/24/95)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

To Gaining Base: NSYD NORFOLK

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
00164	NSWC CRANE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	236	115	114	0	465
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	236	115	114	0	465
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Enclosure (2)

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

To Gaining Base: NSYD NORFOLK

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions	0	0	246	125	124	0	495 R
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	6304.4	3725.7	313.4	0	10343.5
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	3.5	0	0	0	3.5
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Technical Billets

Supporting technical billets are identified for personnel who provide testing and materials analysis of microwave tubes and components.

R(2/24/95)

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

To Gaining Base: NSYD NORFOLK

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions	0	0	236	115	114	0	465
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	6304.4	3725.7	313.4	0	10343.5
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	3.5	0	0	0	3.5
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Technical Billets

Supporting technical billets are identified for personnel who provide testing and materials analysis of microwave tubes and components.

ISE SUPPORT FOR DEPOT WORKLOAD

Acoustic Sensors TC

Series	Grade	Description	Qty	D/I
0855	12	Electronics Engr	5	D
0856	12	Electronics Tech	1	D
0856	11	Electronics Tech	2	D
			8	

Electronic Module Test & Repair TC

0855	12	Electronics Engr	49	D
0830	12	Mechanical Engr	4	D
0856	11	Electronics Tech	17	D
0855	13	Supv Electronics Engr	4	I
0896	12	Industrial Engr	1	I
0344	06	Program Assistant	1	I
0326	04	Office Automation Clerk	1	I
			77	

Microwave Components TC

0855	14	Supv Electronics Engr	1	I
0855	13	Supv Electronics Engr	4	D
0855	13	Electronics Engr	1	D
0855	12	Electronics Engr	11	D
0830	12	Mechanical Engr	3	D
0856	12	Electronics Tech	6	D
0856	11	Electronics Tech	12	D
0856	09	Electronics Tech	1	D
0856	07	Electronics Tech	1	D
0343	12	Supv Program Analyst	1	I
0343	12	Program Analyst	3	D
0343	11	Program Analyst	4	D
0334	12	Computer Specialist	2	D
1106	07	Procurement Tech	1	D
0344	07	Program Assistant	1	D
0303	07	Logistics Mgmt Asst	1	D
0318	06	Secretary (QA)	1	I
1910	12	QA Specialist	3	D
0346	12	Logistics Mgmt Spec	1	D
0301	11	Configuration Mgmt Spec	2	D
			60	

Radar Engineering & Industrial Support TC

Series	Grade	Description	Qty	D/I
0855	14	Supvy Elect Engr	1	D
0318	06	Secretary	1	I
0343	12	Supvy Program Analyst	1	I
0855	12	Electronics Engr	14	D
0802	11	Engrg Tech	4	D
0802	11	Engrg Tech	1	I
1670	09	Equip Spec	1	D
0343	09	Program Analyst	1	D
0303	07	Configuration Mgmt Tech	1	D
0561	06	Budget Assistant	1	D
0334	09	Computer Spec	1	I
0099	07	Computer Spec	1	D
0399	04	Secretary	1	I
0399	03	Secretary	1	I
0855	13	Supvy Electronics Engr	2	D
0856	12	Electronics Tech	2	D
0856	11	Electronics Tech	3	D
0830	12	Mechanical Engr	1	D
0802	10	Mech Engrg Tech	2	D
0856	09	Electronics Tech	1	D
0802	09	Engrg Tech	2	D
0802	07	Engrg Tech	3	D
0802	08	Engrg Tech	1	D
0856	13	Supvy Elec Tech	1	D
0346	12	Logistics Mgmt Spec	2	D
0343	12	Mgmt Analyst	1	D
2010	12	Inventory Mgmt Spec	1	D
0346	11	Logistics Mgmt Spec	2	D
0343	11	Program Analyst	2	D
0334	11	Computer Spec	1	D
0343	09	Program Analyst	1	D
0335	07	Computer Assistant	1	D
0303	07	Configuration Mgmt Tech	1	D
0344	07	Program Asst	1	D
0856	12	Supvy Electronics Tech	1	D
			62	

Electronic Warfare TC

Series	Grade	Description	Qty	D/I
0855	14	Supvy Electronics Engr	1	I
0896	13	Industrial Engr	1	D
0855	13	Electronics Engr	1	D
0855	13	Supvy Electronics Engr	2	D
0346	13	Supvy Logistics Mgmt Spec	1	D
0855	12	Electronics Engr	31	D
0856	12	Electronics Tech	4	D
0343	12	Supvy Program Analyst	1	I
0896	12	Industrial Engr	1	I
0343	12	Program Analyst	2	D
0830	12	Mechanical Engr	1	D
0856	12	Supvy Electronics Tech	1	D
0802	12	Engrg Tech	1	D
0346	12	Logistics Mgmt Spec	5	D
0856	11	Electronics Tech	3	D
0343	11	Program Analyst	1	I
0855	11	Electronics Engr	1	D
0802	11	Mech Engrg Tech	1	D
0802	11	Electrical Engrg Tech	1	D
0346	11	Logistics Mgmt Spec	8	D
0334	11	Computer Specialist	2	D
0301	11	Configuration Mgmt Spec	1	D
0830	11	Mech Engr	1	D
0802	11	Engineering Tech	1	D
1670	09	Equip Spec (Gen)	2	D
0802	09	Engrg Tech	2	D
0855	09	Electronics Engr	1	D
0802	09	Engrg Tech (Draft)	1	D
0802	09	Mech Engrg Tech	1	D
0346	09	Logistics Mgmt Spec	2	D
0561	08	Budget Asst	1	D
0344	07	Program Asst	1	I
0334	07	Computer Spec	2	D
0855	07	Electronics Engr	3	D
0802	07	Engrg Tech	2	D
0303	07	Logistics Mgmt Asst	2	D
0561	06	Budget Asst	2	D
0344	06	Program Asst	1	I
0344	06	Program Asst	1	D
0802	06	Engrg Tech (Draft)	1	D
0802	04	Engrg Tech (Draft)	1	D
0318	04	Secretary (OA)	1	D
			100	

DEPOT BILLETS TRANSFERRED

GS-0856-12	ELECTRONICS TECH	6
GS-0856-11	ELECTRONICS TECH	86
GS-0856-10	ELECTRONICS TECH	4
GS-0856-09	ELECTRONICS TECH	12
GS-0856-08	ELECTRONICS TECH	10
GS-0855-12	ENGINEER	4
GS-0802-11	ENGINEERING TECH	5
GS-0802-09	ENGINEERING TECH	7
GS-0802-10	ENGINEERING TECH	1
GS-1152-10	PRODUCTION CONTROLLER	6
GS-1152-09	PRODUCTION CONTROLLER	2
GS-1670-09	EQUIPMENT SPECIALIST	2
GS-0318-05	SECRETARY	1
WG-4840-08	TOOL ROOM MECHANIC	1
WG-2604-08	EQUIPMENT WORKER	4
WG-3806-10	SHEET METAL - MECH	1
WG-6910-07	MATERIAL EXPEDITER	1
WG-4102-08	PAINTER	5
TOTAL:		158

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
MICROWAVE COMPONENTS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY	
Crossed Field Amplifier Test Sets CWI Test Sets Switch Tube Test Sets Traveling Wave Tube Test Sets Magnetron Test Sets High Voltage Power Supply/Modulators Transmitter Testbeds including Major RF Assemblies Microwave RF Support Test Equipment (See attached list for specific examples of the above equipment)	The equipment is required by the gaining activity to perform continued depot support. This type of equipment is either latest state-of-the-art high technology equipment and/or is highly specialized type of equipment not normally utilized by other activities in their operations.
DLR Material (3026 tons)	Microwave Components Technical Capability provides engineering and depot support for microwave tubes for the Electronic Counter Measures and Radar Systems.
RADAR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY	
AN/SPS-40 Manufacturing, Repair and Test Equipment AN/SPS-48 Antenna Overhaul and and Test Equipment AN/SPS-49 ESe Overhaul and Test Equipment Commercial Test Equipment Commercial Test Sets Specialized Jigs/Fixtures Customer-owned Material DLR Material (2509 tons)	This equipment will be required to maintain the multiple-discipline capabilities of the current Radar Technical Capability. The equipment will be required to perform depot functions and to maintain engineering expertise. The equipment is either latest state-of-the-art high technology equipment and/or is highly specialized equipment required to perform engineering evaluations in support of the Navy's Radar smart buyer ability.

EQUIPMENT TO BE MOVED - MICROWAVE COMPONENTS TC

TYPE	SPECIFIC EXAMPLES	MAJOR ASSEMBLIES TO BE MOVED	EACH TONS	TOTAL TONS
Crossed Field Amplifier TEST SETS includes		7	3.75	26.25
	D/DP modulator w/o controller			
	CFA modulator w/ controller			
	Analtek digitizer			
	other RF measurement and controlling equipment/software			
Continous Wave Illuminator TEST SET includes		3	6.25	18.75
	CWI modulator w/ controller			
	RF spectrum analyzer			
	HP 83623A RF signal generator			
	other RF measurement and controlling equipment/software			
40 WATT TEST SET includes		2	0.50	1.00
	controller			
	HP 8350 /83540B signal generator			
	other RF measurement and controlling equipment/software			
10 KW TEST SET includes		8	4.50	36.00
	D/PD modulator w/o controller			
	Raytheon phase bridge			
	HP 83623A signal generator			
	other RF measurement and controlling equipment/software			
Switch Tube TEST SET includes		8	1.80	14.40
	17 KV HIGH VOLTAGE EQUIPMENT			
	other RF measurement and controlling equipment/software			
Simplified Driver (SDR) TWT test set		4	2.00	8.00
	High Voltage modulator w/ controller			
	RF spectrum analyzer			
	HP RF signal generator			
	other RF measurement and controlling equipment/software			
MK-99 Fire Control Transmitter Testbeds		2	2.50	5.00
	CWI modulator w/ controller			
	RF spectrum analyzer			
	HP 83623A RF signal generator			
	other RF measurement and controlling equipment/software			
Moving Target Indicator TEST SET		1	3.50	3.50
	D/DP modulator w/o controller			
	CFA modulator w/ controller			
	Analtek digitizer			
	other RF measurement and controlling equipment/software			
High Voltage Power supply/modulators				
	AN/SPY-1D	2	1.50	3.00
	Driver/Pre-Driver	1	1.50	1.50
	Final Power Amplifier	1	1.00	1.00
Transmitter Testbeds including major RF assemblies				
	RADAR TEST EQ SPS48	15	0.60	9.00
	RADAR TEST EQ SPS40	15	0.60	9.00
	RADAR TEST EQ SPS49	10	0.40	4.00

Note: None eliminated / None excessed / None transferred in place

EQUIPMENT TO BE MOVED - MICROWAVE COMPONENTS TC

TYPE	SPECIFIC EXAMPLES	MAJOR ASSEMBLIES TO BE MOVED	EACH TONS	TOTAL TONS
MICROWAVE RF SUPPORT TEST EQUIPMENT:		x	x	16.00
the following is a characteristic sample of the RF equipment.				
	HP8510 NETWORK ANALYZER ($210k)			
	HP85108 NETWORK ANALYZER ($300k)			
	HP83624 SYNTHESIZED SWEEPER ($45k)			
	DSA602 DIGITIZING OSCILLOSCOPE ($48K)			
	ETM-DL-100,000 DYNAMIC CATHODE LOAD ($75 k)			
	HP71210C MMS SPECTRUM ANALYZER ($ 90 k)			
	HP8566B SPECTRUM ANALYZER ($45k)			
	SR620 TIME INTERVAL COUNTER ($ 5.5 k)			
	DG535 PULSE GENERATOR ($ 5.5 k)			
	DIGITAL RF POWER METERS			
MICROWAVE INTEGRATED DATABASE APPLICATIONS SYSTEM (MIDAS)				
	Applications system network for data collection (120 PCs/Monitors/Printers)	120	0.10	12.00
	Prime Mainframe computer (4 cabinets with tape backup cabinets)	1	6.00	6.00
		200 MAJOR ASSEMBLIES		174.4 TOTAL TONS

Note: None eliminated / None excessed / None transferred in place

ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

NSWC Crane-Developed Testers System Test Labs (3) Plastic Media Blaster Commercial Test Equipment (See attached list for specific examples) DLR Material (2530 tons)	This equipment will be required to maintain a baseline of operations and perform continued depot and program support. This type of equipment is either latest state-of-the-art high technology equipment and/or is highly specialized types of equipment not normally utilized by other activities in their operations.

ACOUSTIC SENSORS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Basic General Purpose Test Equipment, Basic Bench Test Fixtures, Benches, Storage Cabinets, Miscellaneous Hardware DLR Material (572 tons)	Equipment will aid in establishment of basic depot area outfitting. Test fixtures will be required to perform selected repair processes with established procedures.

ELECTRONIC MODULE TEST & REPAIR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

87 Dodge D50/Topper 87 Dodge 1/2 ton 86 Dodge D50	Pick-up/delivery of modules, piece parts and equipment
General Purpose Test Equipment Rotating Component Test Equipment ATE/SPTE	Required by ISEA for Depot Repair
MSRA Equipment 2M-ATE DLR Material (373 tons)	Required by Engineering for MSRA

ELECTRONIC WARFARE TC

BRAC DATA DETAILS	**All Figures ($000)**	
9 DEC 94	**backup for table 2.B**	
Depot Tester Items		**TOTAL**
	Note	**VALUE**
Hughes Testers:		
H-A03 Low Voltage Power Supply Test Station	2	$127
H-A05 Microwave VSWR test station	2	$1,343
H-A13 RF Module TestStation Number 1	2	$1,308
H-A13 RF Module TestStation Number 2	2	$2,170
H-A15 STE/XP PS Test Station	2	$82
H-U02 Digital Tast Station	2	$47
H-U03 Encoder Sequencer Test Station	2	$264
H--U04 Transmitter Driver Test Station	2	$849
H-U05 RF/Digital Test Station	2	$1,894
H-U06 DFR Microwave Test Station	2	$859
H-U10 IFM Test Station	2	$859
H-U14 System Concentrator Test Station	2	$161
SRU Burn-In Test Station	2	$37
		$10,000
IMA Testers:		
AN/USM-465	3	$30
TAT	3	$200
RF Test Station	1	$500
HVDU Test Station	1	$160
HVPS Test Station	1	$150
LVPS Test Station	1	$125
Total		$1,165
Crane Developed Testers:		
TWT Test Set	1	$250
Pump Tester	1	$8
Heat Exchanger Test Set	5	$75
Pedestal Test Set	5	$225
MOOG Valve Test Set	4	$10
Pedestal Control Box	1	$20
RF Cable Fabrication	1	$25
Tape Drive Test Set	4	$20
8510 Test Set	6	$390
Total		$1,023
System Test Labs:		
Cables (6 sets)	1	$600
Antenna Handling Device (2)	1	$50
Chill Water System (2)	7	$32
Power Generating System (2)	7	$500
Test Equipment (6 sets)	6	$480
Total		$1,662
Anechoic Chambers:		
30' X 30' X 100' Chamber	5	$2,000
20' X 20' X 65' Chamber	5	$1,000
Total		$3,000
Plastic Media Blaster:	6	$150
Commercial Test Equipment:	6	$7,000
Estimated based on:		
Total Equipment - Equip. in test stations		
GRAND TOTAL		$24,000

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include **all** potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: NSWC CRANE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
00164	NSWC CRANE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	8	8	0	16
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	8	8	0	16

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

(see next page)

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total	
A. Begin FY 1996:	23	89	3954	0	4066	
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	-3	-15	-489	0	-507	
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	0	0	0	0	0	
D. End FY 2001:	20	74	3465	0	3559	
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):						
1. NSYD NORFOLK	0	0	495	0	495	R
2.						
3.						
4.						
5.						
6.						
7.						
8.						
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	0	0	495	0	495	R
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	0	0	16		16	
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	20	74	2954	0	3048	
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	20	74	3465	0	3559	

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

R(2/24/95)

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	23	89	3954	0	4066
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	-3	-15	-489	0	-507
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	0	0	0	0	0
D. End FY 2001:	20	74	3465	0	3559
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. NSYD NORFOLK	0	0	465	0	465
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	0	0	465	0	465
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	0	0	16		16
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	20	74	2984	0	3078
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	20	74	3465	0	3559

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements (Mothball Scenarios Only). Complete the table below to identify any permanent caretaker requirements associated with a "mothball" (deactivation) scenario. **Caretakers should only be identified if an activity will be mothballed as opposed to closed or realigned.** Scenario data call taskings will identify if this is a "mothball" scenario. This area should not be used to identify temporary caretaker requirements associated with closure of the facility. If some or all of the activity will be mothballed, as opposed to closed or realigned, then identify the number of military and/or civilian caretakers that will be required to remain permanently at the activity. Enter the number of caretakers which will be added to the activity in each year. For example, if 100 caretakers will be required in 1996, and then this number will be increased to 150 in 1997 and out, then enter 1996 = 100, 1997 = 50, leave 1998 through 2001 blank, and enter 150 as the total.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: NSWC CRANE							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers	NONE						
Civilian Caretakers	NONE						

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

MICROWAVE COMPONENTS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Description
$4,427.9K	96	Additional Microwave Tube Costs
$4,427.9K	97	Additional Microwave Tube Costs
$9,400.0K	98	Disassembly, Catalog, Pack, Reassemble
$3,750.0K	98	Validation/Certification of Facilities & Equip
$1,000.0K	98	Vendor Oversight During Transition

Additional Microwave Tube Cost

NSWC/CD is tasked with identifying and resolving AEGIS microwave tube production problems. NSWC/CD uses in-house engineering and technical resources in conjunction with state-of-the-art test and evaluation facilities to support this tasking. During the one year transition the movement of personnel and equipment will severely reduce NSWC/CD's ability to identify and resolve production problems.

Any serious microwave tube production problem will severely impact the AEGIS shipbuild schedule and the quality of the assets which support fleet requirements. Historically, the recovery period for any serious microwave tube production problem has been a minimum of six months and as long as two years. To limit risk to the shipbuild schedule and fleet readiness, procurement of a six month inventory safety margin is required.

Test Equipment Disassembly and Reassembly

This cost includes utilization of specialized microwave technical expertise to disassemble, catalog, and package rf assemblies, components, and high voltage equipment. Included also is the unpacking, reassembly, and calibration of the state-of-the-art high technology microwave test equipment.

A typical microwave test-set includes precisely tuned and matched rf waveguides, coax, couplers, terminations, and attenuators integrated with high power modulators, high voltage power supplies, amplifiers, and sophisticated rf characterization equipment. The disassembly of these test-sets requires the tedious and methodical cataloging and marking of each assembly by highly skilled microwave engineers and technicians to retain the precise rf characteristics needed to ensure correlation of test data upon reassembly.

The reassembly and calibration of these sophisticated test sets is a systematic and very time consuming process requiring highly skilled microwave engineers and technicians. Since these test sets and associated equipment were designed and installed in place at NSWC Crane, they cannot be easily relocated. (Commodity 11b cost based on a 94 Workyear effort)

Validation/Certification

This cost will be incurred by NSWC/CD as the in-service Engineering Agent for AEGIS microwave tubes. It includes engineer and technician labor, travel, and perdiem associated with facility/equipment certification.

Vendor Oversight During Transition

Microwave tube manufacturing is highly dependant on continuous consistent processes utilizing skilled craftsman. Historically, any disruptions in manufacturing has resulted in defected products. To ensure the microwave tube production lines remain stable, it is imperative that production continue at normal rates. Early detection of production problems is imperative to minimizing microwave tube quality problems. NSWC/CD uses in-house engineering and technical resources in conjunction with state-of-the-art test and evaluation facilities to quickly identify and resolve microwave tube production problems. During the one year transition this capability will be lost. In lieu of this loss, a microwave tube engineer and technician will be stationed at each microwave tube manufacturer's plant. These personnel will observe vendor acceptance testing and attempt to provide identification and resolution of production problems.

RADAR TC

COST	FY	DESCRIPTION
10,038K	**97**	**Accelerated Depot Workload**
13.5K	98	Disassemble 47 workbenches(2538 cu. ft.)
12.3K	98	Prep/Inventory 30 storage lockers(912 cu. ft.)
32.6K	98	Prep/Inventory 83 parts cabinets (100 cu. ft.)
13.9K	98	Disassemble/Inventory support equipment (175 cu. ft.)
7.8K	98	Disassemble/Inventory hi-power microwave splitter test station (10 cu. ft.)
10.0K	98	Disassemble/Inventory Microwave hi-Power Amplifier Test Station (35 cu. ft.)
10.0K	98	Disassemble/Inventory UHF band medium power Amplifier Test Set (23 cu. ft.)
13.5K	98	Disassemble/Inventory Power microwave phase shifter Test Set (35 cu. ft.)
7.9K	98	Disassemble/Inventory wideband microwave switch 2 X 32 Test station (156 cu. ft.)
2.6K	98	Disassemble/Inventory Support offices (567 cu. ft.)
50K	98	Disassemble 60x20x20 Chamber
7K	98	Disassemble NearField Equipment
12K	98	Disassemble High Power Equipment
8K	98	Disassemble Mechanical Testing
3K	98	Spare Parts Inventory for AN/SPS-40E Depot Components
8K	98	Disassembly of SPQ-12 Depot
15K	98	Inventory of SPQ-12 Depot
3K	98	Disassembly of 49 Depot
10K	98	Inventory 49 Depot
33K	98	Disassembly of 49(V)5 Test Bed
10K	98	Inventory of 49(V)5 Test Bed
23K	98	Disassembly of 48C Test Bed
10K	98	Inventory of 48C Test Bed
5K	98	Disassembly of SPA-25F Depot
15K	98	Inventory of SPA-25F Depot
5K	98	Disassembly of SPS-67
1K	98	Inventory of SPS-67
5K	98	Disassembly of AN/SPS-64
5K	98	Inventory of AN/SPS-64
5K	98	Disassembly of 2m Station

RADAR TC

COST	FY	DESCRIPTION
2.5K	98	Inventory of 2m Station
5.4K	98	Disassemble AN/SPS-40 Land Base Test Site
2.5K	98	Disassemble Spare Parts Inventory for AN/SPS-40E LBTS
6.5K	98	Disassemble AN/SPS-40B/C/D Test Bed
2.2K	98	Spare Parts Inventory for AN/SPS-40B/C/D Test Bed
4.5K	98	Disassemble AN/SPS-40E Depot Components (40V P/S, Multi-Volts P/S, (8)CCA
111K	98	Inventory of Unclassified Documentation
14K	98	Package/Label/List Unclassified Documentation
5K	98	Inventory Classified Documentation
3K	98	Identify/package Classified Documentation
12K	98	Disassemble Spacesavers

Accelerated Depot Workload

To facilitate the shutdown of the Radar depot programs during the transition period, programmed workload (included in planned maintenance cycle), identified in DC #14 must be accomplished prior to the transition period. Historically, annual unforecasted workload has averaged 5% of programmed workload. This requires an increase in the number of repairs/overhauls for FY 97. Depot workload will be transitioned with a 18-month (FY 98 to Mid-FY 99) shutdown of the repair lines. Downtime of the test stations will occur during the teardown, shipping, reassembly, and certification/validation at the receiving site.

To facilitate the advanced overhaul requirments, additional long lead material will be required to support this workload. The additional procurement of this material has not been planned or programmed.

The phased approach assumes the following:

- No personnel hire; workload will be handled via overtime
- Long lead materials will be available at the start of FY 97
- Depot repairable carcasses are available

Test Equipment Disassembly

This cost includes specialized microwave engineering and technician expertise to disassemble, preserve rf characteristics and catalog all items for reassembly.

Parts Inventory

This cost includes specialized microwave engineering and technician expertise needed to perform an analysis on existing in-shop depot parts inventory and catalog them.

ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Description
1,200.0K	96	Depot Transition Cost
1,335.0K	97	Depot Transition Cost
1,606.0K	98	Depot Transition Cost
98.0K	99	Disassembly
370.0K	99	Audit Inventory
500.0K	99	ISEA Certification
407.0K	99	Transition Team
243.0K	00	ISEA Certification
371.0K	00	Transition Team

Disassembly, Audit, Inventory

Utilization of specialized microwave technical expertise to dismantle, catalog, and package rf assemblies, components, and high voltage equipment. Included also is the unpacking and reassembly of the state-of-the-art high technology microwave test equipment.

A typical microwave test-set includes precisely tuned and matched rf waveguides, coax, couplers, terminations, and attenuators integrated with high power modulators, high voltage power supplies, amplifiers, and sophisticated rf characterization equipment. The disassembly of these test-sets requires the tedious and methodical cataloging and marking of each assembly by highly skilled microwave engineers and technicians to retain the precise rf characteristics needed to ensure correlation of test data upon reassembly.

ISEA Certification

Engineer and technician travel and per diem associated with validating equipment, facility, personnel and processes. Procedures, documentation and training are validated for all functions such as soldering and ESD capability. the equipment includes analog, digital rf, microwave, computers and microelectronics. Complex technical documentation such as system technical manuals, schematics, and manufacturers specifications must be validated to meet or exceed the OEM desing characteristics. All of the above will ensure that the initial turn-on/validation on highly comples ECM support equipment performs properly.

Transition Team

Personnel required to plan and implement movement and establishment of the new depot to ensure engieering, logistis and other Fleet support functions are not degraded. Representatives from each technology area and a team leader will travel to Norfolk to ensure each technology area is properly equippped and configured to support depot and ISEA workload within the required turn around times. Reporting procedures will be developed for both technical and programmatic issues and an MOU between NSWC Crane NSYD Norfolk will be developed to ensure the smooth transition of depot and ISEA workload.

Depot Transition Costs

EW depot workload includes system remanufacture and Comprehensive Repair, Align and Calibrate (CRAC) operations for both the USN and FMS, as well as repairables for SPCC. There is also a task to manufacture the Decoy Deception Integration (DDI) field change kits. The projected remanufacturing efort entails refurbishing decomissioned assets to meet projected SCN requirements. This cost includes SRAs and SRUs to support Fleet operations while there is not an established depot.

ACOUSTIC SENSORS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Description
30.6K	99	WQM-8 Management/Coordination Team
35.8K	99	Towed Array Handler Mgmt/Coordination Team
25.9K	99	Acoustic Systems Depot Certification
123.1K	99	Acoustic Systems Advance Workload
518.4K	99	Towed Array Advance Workload
31.9K	00	Towed Array Handler Mgmt/Coordination Team
63.0K	00	Acoustic Systems Mgmt/Coordination Team
36.8K	00	WQM-6 Management/Coordination Team
120.8K	00	Towed Array Handler Depot Certification
159.7K	00	Acoustic Systems Depot Certification
103.7K	00	WQM-8 Advance Workload
213.6K	00	WQM-6 Advance Workload
166.8K	00	Acoustic Systems Advance Workload

Advance Workload Performance

The work effort must not be disrupted to ensure continuous Fleet Support is maintained. It is estimated that WQM-6/8 and Acoustic Systems functions can be transferred in approximately a three month period. Therefore, a 3 months worth of workload must be executed in advance of the beginning (disassembly operations at NSWCC) of the transition process.

Acoustic Systems FY/99

4.75 wk-yr x 3 mo x 1yr/12mo x $103.670/wk-yr = $123,108

WQM-8 FY/99

4.00 wk-yr x 3 mo x 1yr/12mo x $103,670/wk-yr = $103,670

WQM-6 FY/00

8.00 wk/yr x 3 mo x 1yr/12mo x $106,777/wk-yr = $213,554

Acoustic Systems FY-00

6.25 wk/yr x 3 mo x 1yr/12mo x $106,777/wk-yr = $166,839

Towed array handler transfer will be a much more complex operation due to the complexity and volume of equipment and material. This includes sensitive hydraulic and eletro-mechanical assemblies. It is estimated that this process will take at least 12 months to complete.

FY-99

5.00 wk/yr x 12 mo x 1yr/12mo x $103,670/wk-yr = $518,350

ELECTRONIC MODULE TEST & REPAIR CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Description
3234.0K	97	Fill Supply Pipeline
95.0K	98	Parts Inventory
30.0K	98	Documentation Requirements

Parts Inventory

This cost includes specialized electronic module engineering and technician expertise needed to perform analysis and cataloging of existing in-shop depot parts inventory. This estimate is based on the cost incurred during loading of Automated Storage and Retrieval System installed in new Maintenance MIL-CON in FY1994. This system contains 10,000 bins of in-shop depot parts. Experience shows that it takes an average of 10 minutes/bin to analyze and catalog the parts.

(#Bins) X (Time/Bin in hours) X (labor rate) = Cost

(10,000) X (10/60) X ($57.17) = $95,283

Documentation Requirements

TC04 is depot for approximately 1600 unique modules. Cost will be incurred for assembly and distribution of needed test set procedures and documentation. NSWC/CD maintains 1 master copy of each procedure. Cost is primarily for inventory of documentation to insure the correct revision of each drawing and procedure is shipped. Estimated time per document package is 20 minutes.

(# Packages) X (Time/Document in hours) X (Labor Rate) = Cost of Inventory

(1600 Packages) X (20/60 hours) X ($57.17) = $30,490

Fill Supply Pipeline

The time from start of disassembly of depot test equipment to a fully fuctional certified depot is estimated to be approximately 6 months. The supply pipeline of electronic modules must be sufficiently full to meet fleet requirements including CASREPS and other unforcasted demands during the 6 months of down time. The plan is for depot personnel to work overtime 20 hours per week for 1 year to build up the supply inventory of electronic modules. Working 20 hours per week for 1 year is the equivalent of working 40 hours per week for 6 months. Piece part cost is historically 15% of labor cost for electronic modules.

(# Personnel) X (Time on Job/Year) X (Labor Rate) = Labor Cost of Test/Repair

(56 Personnel) X (1757/2 Hours/Year) X ($57.17) = $2,812,535 Labor

(Labor) + (Piece Part Cost) = Total Cost to fill pipeline

($2,812,535) + (0.15 X $2,812,535) = $3,234,415

Microwave Engineer Development

This cost is associated with a real life concern that our microwave engineers will not relocate to Norfolk. We base this concern on real life examples, particularly the BRAC directed move of engineering from Warminster, PA to Pax River, MD in which exactly 50% of the engineers refused relocation. In our case this would be **50% of 70 = 35.**

We estimate the cost to redevelop this critical skill at
$8,902.

Rationale:

Crane is recognized as the DOD microwave tube expert and is the only DOD activity with a complete range of microwave tube test, evaluation, and repair facilities integrated with experienced microwave tube engineers.

Universities do not graduate microwave engineers; they have to be developed. Crane's experience over the last 25 years is that it takes five years for an engineer just out of college to reach full performance level as a microwave engineer.

Crane's experience in development costs to reach this level is $254,332. This estimate is based on site availability of equipment and facilities. The focus of formal training in microwave specialty of microwave tubes, EW and radar begins in year 2/3.

Calculations:

Given: Percent spent on the job training		Hourly Rate
FY96	90%	$70.67
FY97	70%	$60.85
FY98	40%	$62.68
FY99	10%	$64.56
FY00	0%	$66.49

Example:

Development costs = (Yearly labor costs x time on the job training) + ($3500 yearly formal school)

If hired FY96: Development costs = ($123,319 X 90%) + 3500 = 110,987 +3500 = 114,487

FY97: $77,828
FY98: $47,251
FY99: $14,766
Total costs over five year period is **$254,332**.

Enclosure (2)

b. **Other One-Time Unique Savings.** Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Cost		FY	Description
$6,300	99	MILCON P-268	

Of the MILCON funds programmed, $6,300,00 would be avoided from transferring depot functions. Military Construction projects identified in this program support non-depot operations.

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

MICROWAVE COMPONENTS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
$5,353.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	DLR Material Packing

DLR Material Packing and Preservation Costs

This labor cost will be incurred by NSWC/CD for packing and preservation of all DLR materials (cost based on 190 tons of DLR materials).

RADAR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
$3,763.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Packing, Preservation and Handling of Crane Site Technical Capability Customer-owned Material
60.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Ship and Pack 3 Trailers Airshock
1.2K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Ship Classified Documentation and Test Equipment and Privacy Act Material
0.1K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Soft Packaging of Classified Test Equipment
3.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Soft Packaging/Label Properly Anti-Magnetic-Software & Video Cart

Crane is the **Designated Stock Point** for all equipment and materials which it performs repairs on. Additionally, Crane performs the **Controlled Environment Long Term** storage of systems which are designated for retention/reuse by the Navy and which Crane has Engineering agent responsibilities. This cost is the relocation of all systems, major equipments, depot level repairable, WRAs, SRAs, etc, which are currently in the inventory (244,790 cubic feet or 2,207 tons). This tonnage will be included in table 2-B. The costs were to A) package all the equipment/material IAW commercial rates for minimum protection requirements to ensure safe passage and B) equipment/material handling labor to stage and load commercial trucks.

A) Packaging and preservation. Costs were calculated using commercial standardized rate tables at the rate of $15.00 per cubic foot of material to be prepared for shipping via commercial conveyance. This rate provides average costs which meets minimum protection requirements to ensure safe passage via truck within CONUS.

244,790 cu. ft. X $15.00 per cu. ft. = $3,671,850

B) Equipment/material handling. Costs were calculated by anticipating the use of commercial truck transportation with van type trailers measuring 40 feet in length with a usable width of 8 feet. The total cubic feet of material that will be loaded per truck was

projected to be 1,280 cu.ft., a measure which will, on average, allow for both a legal shipment based on weight and ensure the prevention of damage to the material.

244,790 cu.ft. / 1,280 cu.ft. per truck = 191 trucks

Equipment/material handling labor will be required to pull the material/equipment from the warehouses, update inventory records and schedule the trucking actions. This is estimated at 10.5 hrs per truck.

191 tks X 10.5 hrs X $45.25 (stabilized rate) = $90,772

Total One-Time Moving cost:	
Packing and preservation:	$ 3,671,850
Material handling labor:	$ 90,772
TOTAL	$ 3,762,622

Brief description of equipment/material in storage:
AN/SPS-48E SYSTEMS, DLRs, Major Equipments (Antenna)
AN/SPS-49(V)5 SYSTEMS, DLRs, Major Equipments (transmitters)
AN/SPS-40E SYSTEMS, DLRs, Major Equipments (transmitters)
AN/SPS-67 SYSTEMS, DLRs, Major equipments (transmitters)

This is not a complete list; also, it should be understood that this description attempts to capture the flavor of the types of things in storage (i.e. multiple systems, multiple transmitters, multiple antennae). All of the equipment/material which is being transferred has been identified for reuse by NAVSEA.

Air Ride Trucking for Specialized Test Set Equipment

Specialized microwave test sets require special considerations for shipping by truck. The added cost includes special air-ride transportation and packaging requirements needed to protect this state-of-the-art high technology test equipment.

ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
$3,384.0K	99	Material Transfer	

Material Transfer

Packaging, preservation and defense reutilization marketing of all electronic equipment. Ready-for-issue and repairable items are properly stored with adequate protection to prevent damage with sufficient identification placed on containers. Preservation and packing shall be accomplished in accordance with MIL-STD-726-PRC (Packing Requirements Code) and supplemental packing instruction provided by the cognizant ICP.

In addition, packaging and preservation must be sufficient to meet commercial shipping requirements for domestic shipment. Special design methods of packaging and special container requirements apply when specified by the commodity specification or drwing. Marking of individual unit, intermediate pack and multi-pack shipping containers must be in accordance with MIL-STD-129 in addition to special markings required by packaging specifications/standards, commodity drawings or specifications.

ACOUSTIC SENSORS TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
277.0K	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Towed Array Handler Disassembly
107.2K	99	NSYD NORFOLK	WQM-6 Packaging/Shipment
78.3K	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Acoustic Systems Packaging/ Shipment
113.1K	99	NSYD NORFOLK	Towed Array Handler Packaging/Shipment
113.1K	00	NSYD NORFOLK	Towed Array Handler Packaging/Shipment
392.3K	00	NSYD NORFOLK	Acoustic Systems Packaging/ Shipment
119.2K	00	NSYD NORFOLK	WQM-6 Packaging/Shipment

ELECTRONIC MODULE TEST & REPAIR TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
10.0K	96	NSYD NORFOLK	Transfer of Equipment/ Material Data Base
141.0K	97	NSYD NORFOLK	Coordination of Move
547.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Coordination of Move
291.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Special Packaging and Handling of Specialized Test Set Equipment
475.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Material Pack and Preserve
12.0K	98	NSYD NORFOLK	Supply Warehouse Labor

Coordination of Move

1.25 work years plus travel is identified in FY 97 to plan the technical aspects of the move from NSWC/CD to NNSY. Six work years plus travel is identified in FY 98, for NSWC/CD to coordinate the technical aspects of the move to NNSY. Technical coordination ensures the Fleet will continue to be supported during the transition period.

Experience gained during move into new MIL-CON facility in FY 94 indicates the planning and coordinating of technical aspects of move to NNSY, without impacting the Fleet, will be a massive effort above and beyond the normal cost of doing business. The estimate is conservative based on figures from the industrial engineer who planed/coordinated the technical aspects of move to new MIL-CON.

Planning/coordinating the technical aspects of the move will be a 5 member team consisting of an industrial engineer, a test engineer, a repair technician, a logistics specialist, and the project manager. Approximately 3 months will be spent by the team in doing the preliminary planning during FY 97. Intense coordination will be required during the move proposed in FY 98 and FY 99. This effort will take 100% of the team's time during FY 98.

(# Personnel) X (Time on Job/Year) X (Labor Rate) = Labor Cost of Coordination/Year
(5 Personnel) X (440 Hours/Year) X ($57.17) = $125,774 in FY 97

(# Personnel) X (# Trips) X (Cost/Trip) = Travel Cost
(5 Personnel) X (3 Trips) X (1000/Trip) = $15,000 in FY 97

Total Cost = $125,774 Labor + $15,000 Travel = $140,774 in FY 97

(5 Personnel) X (1757 Hours/Year) X ($57.17) = $502,238 in FY 98
(5 Personnel) X (9 Trips) X (1000/Trip) = $45,000 in FY 98

Total Cost = $502,238 Labor + $45,000 Travel = $547,238 in FY 98

Transfer of Equipment/Material Data Base

$10K is identified in FY 96 as a cost to NSWC/CD to transfer equipment/material data base from NSWC/CD to NNSY. Data base transfer will aid in smooth transition of equipment and material in FY1999 and FY2000. This cost includes travel to NNSY by NSWC/CD personnel to set up the data base and train NNSY personnel in it's use.

Special Packaging and Handling of specialized Test Set Equipment

Specialized electronic test sets require special considerations for shipping by truck. The added cost includes special air-ride transportation and packaging requirements needed to protect 18,493 cubic feet of sensitive test equipment. Cost is based on $15.00 per cubic foot of equipment and $931 per truckload (1280 cubic feet). This equipment is not included in Table 2-B tonnage.

(18493 X ($15)) + (18493/1280 X ($931)) = $290,845

Test Equipment Disassembly

This cost includes specialized electronic module engineering and technician expertise to disassemble and catalog, test and repair equipment in preparation for the P&P/shipping process. Three work years are identified in FY1998. This effort consists of two electrical engineers, one mechanical engineer and three engineering/electronics technicians spending 50% of their time during the transition period.

(# Personnel) X (Time on Job/Year) X (Labor Rate) = Cost of Disassembly/Year
(6 Personnel) X (1757/2 Hours/Year) X ($57.17) = $301,343

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must beconsistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NSYD NORFOLK	0	0	0	2521.0	539.8	566.4
Description: Loss of Surface/Airborne EW TC Co-location, Loss of EW/Microeletronics TC Co-location, Cost increase of unique engineering capability						
2.						
Description:						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNICAL CAPABILITY

Loss of Suface/Airborne EW Technical Capability Co-location

In addition, increased costs due to inefficiencies resulting from the splintering of the EW TC as it is now established at NSWC Crane will be realized. Currently the EW TC is a singularly unique co-location of specialized personnel and state-of-the-art facilities which provides technical synergism and efficiency unmatched in DOD for both Shipboard and Airborne systems.

NSWC Crane currently has over 3200 workyears of corporate EW experience and a multitude of facilities dedicated to EW mission support. The TC works as a combined unit to expand its knowledge base and to meet changing threats, environments and mission scenarios. Examples are:

1) sharing technical expertise on hardware, software and corrosion/physical repair problems,
2) sharing knowledgeable EW personnel as engineering and depot workload fluctuates,
3) development and use of common software facilities, databases and information services,
4) coordinating EW and microwave training classes, and
5) holding concurrent meetings with contractors, etc.

The loss of efficiencies would result in yearly increases in cost.

1. Sharing Technical Expertise:
   10 people x 6 trips each x 1.1K per trip = $66.0K (starting in FY 00)

2. Sharing EW Personnel:
   2 people x 1760 hours x $57.12/hr = $201K/year (starting in FY 99)

3. Common Software Facilities:
   1 person x 1760 hours x $57.12/hr = $100K/year (starting in FY 99)

4/5. Training/Meetings:
   1 person x 1760 hours x $57.12/hr = $100K/year (starting in FY 99)

TOTAL COSTS

FY 99	401.0K
FY 00	487.0K
BEYOND FY 00	511.0K

Enclosure (2)

Loss of EW/Miocroelectronic Technical Capability Co-location

The Shipboard Electronic Warfare Department currently utilizes the Microelectronics Technical Capability for several critical functions and tasks. the use of these state-of-the-art facilities and expertise will be required regardless of the locations of Shipboard functions.

These tasks currently include component obsolescence studies, new technology electgronic manufacturing and protytyping, detailed material and electronic failure analysis of components and Application Specific Integrated Circuit development. The continued use of these capabilities will result in additional recurring travel costs to maintain the coordination and communication necessary to ensure effective and efficient performance of depot and ISEA tasks.

Per Diem - 8 people x 6 trips each x $1.1K per trip = $52.8K (starting in FY 00)

Cost Increase of Unique Engineering Capabilities

Starting in FY99 and recurring is a cost of $2,120,000

Rationale:

Presently co-located at the Crane site with the ISE/Depot function is 40 workyears of unique engineering capabilities associated with microelectronic technology. This will not be available at the Norfolk site and must be obtained, most likely by contract

Calculation:

Historical experience of Engineering Contract Workyear	=	$150,000
Crane site planned workyear in FY99	=	$ 97,000
	Difference	$53,000

40 x $53,000 = $2,120,000 each year

e. Net Mission Savings. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NSWC CRANE						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1.NSYD NORFOLK	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE
Description:						
2.						
Description:						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None		

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
1. None		

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
1. None			

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE

Facility KSF Shutdown: 805.6

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary

Losing Base: NSWC CRANE								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	5628.0	19112.8	17579.0	1513.3	1026.2	0	44,859.3
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs	0	0	0	6,300.0	0	0	6,300
c.	One-Time Move Costs	10.0	141.0	10806.3	3959.6	624.6	0	15,541.5
d.	Net Mission Costs	0	0	10806.3	2521.0	539.8	566.4	3,627.2
e.	Net Mission Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Misc Recur Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g.	Misc Recur Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
h.	Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)	805.6

NOTE: 253,229 KSF of operations
552,409 KSF of storage

SCENARIO NUMBER 2-14-0117-013A, ALT 3 - Shipyards
(Resulting from Additional In-Service Engineering by NSWC Crane, December 8, 1994)

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
REMOVE SHIP/SEA SYSTEMS WORK FROM NSWC CRANE; REALIGN THIS WORK TO NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD.

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD COMMENT ON THIS SCENARIO

The response to this scenario is based on the assumption that the R&D Engineering remains at NSWC, Crane.

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

CAPT W. R. KLEMM
NAME (Please type or print)

W R Klemm
Signature

SHIPYARD COMMANDER
Title

12/9/94
Date

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
Activity

SCENARIO NUMBER 2-14-0114-012A, ALT 2 - Shipyards
(Resulting from Additional In-Service Engineering by NSWC Crane, December 8, 1994)

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
REMOVE SHIP/SEA SYSTEMS WORK FROM NSWC CRANE; REALIGN THIS WORK TO NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD.

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD COMMENT ON THIS SCENARIO

The response to this scenario is based on the assumption that the R&D Engineering remains at NSWC, Crane.

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

CAPT W. R. KLEMM
NAME (Please type or print)

W R Klemm
Signature

SHIPYARD COMMANDER
Title

12/9/94
Date

NORFOLK NAVAL SHIPYARD
Activity

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	2-14-0114-012A
Scenario Title:	ALT 2 - Shipyards
Date:	9 December 1994

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	W. A. " Buddy" Trueblood, Jr.
Organization/Code:	Norfolk Naval Shipyard Code 1220 Portsmouth, VA 23709-5000
Office Phone Number:	(804) 396-8114 (DSN) 961-8114
Fax Number:	(804) 396-2626
Home Phone Number	(804) 482-1849

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario. Complete the table on the next page to identify "bases" involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Note that the term **"Losing Base"** refers to host activities, independent activities or other activities specifically identified in the Scenario Development Data Call tasking which are being reduced in size, i.e., closing or being realigned. The term **"Gaining Base"** refers to host or independent activities which will be receiving sites for functions/personnel transferred from losing base(s). For example, a losing base is the activity referred to in the data call tasking, i.e., a Naval Station, Hospital, etc. **Individual tenants should not be separately listed on this table,** e.g., Branch Medical Clinic, Personnel Support Detachment, etc. Individual tenants will, however, be specifically identified in subsequent tables in the data call. The third column of the table

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	2-14-0117-013A
Scenario Title:	ALT 3 - Shipyards
Date:	9 December 1994

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	W. A. " Buddy" Trueblood, Jr.
Organization/Code:	Norfolk Naval Shipyard Code 1220 Portsmouth, VA 23709-5000
Office Phone Number:	(804) 396-8114 (DSN) 961-8114
Fax Number:	(804) 396-2626
Home Phone Number	(804) 482-1849

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario. Complete the table on the next page to identify "bases" involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Note that the term **"Losing Base"** refers to host activities, independent activities or other activities specifically identified in the Scenario Development Data Call tasking which are being reduced in size, i.e., closing or being realigned. The term **"Gaining Base"** refers to host or independent activities which will be receiving sites for functions/personnel transferred from losing base(s). For example, a losing base is the activity referred to in the data call tasking, i.e., a Naval Station, Hospital, etc. **Individual tenants should not be separately listed on this table**, e.g., Branch Medical Clinic, Personnel Support Detachment, etc. Individual tenants will, however, be specifically identified in subsequent tables in the data call. The third column of the table

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

should be used to identify relevant information regarding workload/missions to be transferred. For example, entries in this column should be short phrases such as, "missile workload", "ships", "F-14 squadrons", "tenants", etc., or to provide other clarifying information. This third column need only be completed to identify major components of the closure/realignment scenario, and should not be used to list all tenant names, etc.

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload /Missions Transferring
NSWC Crane	Norfolk Naval Shipyard	Ship/Sea Systems work

Note: If an activity/function will be relocated into leased office space, please note this fact under the column, Gaining Base, e.g., "Washington, DC - Leased Space".

Enclosure (1)

December 9, 1994 **Norfolk Naval Shipyard, N00181**

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	None			No impact on local community.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Cost	FY	Description
$4,170.0	97	Radar Technical Capability Equipment purchase to replace that maintained for R&D in Crane and installation costs
$3,312.8	99	Radar Technical Capability: Equipment installation costs
$21,503	97	Microwave Components Technical Capability: Equipment purchase to replace that maintained for R&D in Crane and installation costs
$5,646.9	97	Electronic Warfare Technical Capability: Equipment purchase to replace that maintained for R&D in Crane and installation costs. Includes physical repair/corrosion control, anechoic chamber, production control network, and software support.
$1,558	99	Electronic Warfare Technical Capability: Equipment installation costs
$3,014.3	96	Acoustic Sensors Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs
$783.0	99	Acoustic Sensors Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs
$1,136.3	00	Acoustic Sensors Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs

Cost	FY	Description
$1,291.9	99	Electronic Module Test and Repair Technical Capability: Equipment installation costs
$2,362	97	Electronic Module Test and Repair Technical Capability: Equipment purchase and installation costs

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Cost	FY	Description
1.			None identified.

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None identified.		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

Annual Cost	FY	Description
$0		None identified.

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None identified.		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: Norfolk Naval Shipyard

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	None required.			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: Norfolk Naval Shipyard								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	$3,014.3	$33,681.9	0	$6,945.7	$1,136.3	0	$44,778.2
b.	One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

<u>Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements</u>. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.

- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.

- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.

- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

December 9, 1994 **Norfolk Naval Shipyard, N00181**

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: Norfolk Naval Shipyard			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)			
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)		200,000	Special requirements include: clean room, top secret work space, anechoic chamber
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	

December 9, 1994 Norfolk Naval Shipyard, N00181

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Other: - - -	37,000 sf		Electronic Labs and Test Labs--Special Requirements: Paint booths, bridge crane
		25,000 sf	25,000 sf @ $40 /sf: Reconfigure office/lab space for shore communications at St. Juliens Creek Annex to electronic warfare
			Note 1 Note 2
	$ $ $	$ $ $	

Note:

1 Norfolk NSYD will locate the Electronic Warfare process at St. Juliens Creek Annex. The total requirement is 82,000 square feet. The downsizing of NISE East will return two buildings to the Norfolk NSYD for 45,000 sf. One building of 25,000 sf will require renovation/reconfiguration. The remaining 20,000 sf building requires no renovation.

2 If the electronics warfare work were to be integrated with NISE East work, the entire 82,000 sf could be absorbed by NISE East with no new construction.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

Activity: 00164 NSWC DIV CRANE

PART I: MANPOWER DATA - HOST AND TENANTS. This data is provided to assist you in identifying military billets and civilian positions which will either be relocated or eliminated as a result of closure or realignment. Officer (OFF), Enlisted (ENL) and Civilian (CIV) numbers reflect end strength, not on-board counts. The "Planned Force Structure Reduction" column represents the difference between projected "Beginning of FY 1996" and projected "End of FY 2001" end strength. The source of this data is the BUPERS/NAVCOMPT/CMC data bases in support of the FY 1996/1997 OSD Submit. Review this list and make any necessary annotations, including the addition or deletion of lines of data to accurately reflect the host and tenant population. Note that Military Students (STU) must be shown as an Average On-Board (AOB) count. If a significant student population is located at the activity, then all students need to be identified in this table. Student data need only be provided for the "End of FY 2001" column of the table. If any numbers are changed, please provide a revised set of totals at the end of the listing.

	UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	BEGIN FY 1996 OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	END FY 2001 OFF	ENL	CIV	STU
	U 00164	NSWC DIV CRANE	COMNAVSEASYS	7	~~16~~ 15	0	0	~~8~~ -2	0	0	0	~~7~~ 5	~~16~~ 15	0	0
	N 43050	CUSTOMER SERVICE BRANCH	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	~~8~~ 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~~8~~ 2	0
	N 30702	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL	CINCLANTFLT	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0
DELETE	~~N 00164~~	~~ARMY AMMO ACTY CRANE IND~~	~~COMMARCOR~~	0	~~4~~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~~4~~	0	0
DELETE	~~N 00164~~	~~LNO NAVAMPROENGCEN CRANE~~	~~COMMARCOR~~	~~2~~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~~2~~	0	0	0
	N 47611	NAVWPNSUPPCEN CRANE IN	COMNAVSEASYS	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0
	N 45689	NAVWPNSUPPCEN SMCA DET CRA	COMNAVSEASYS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	00164	NSWC CRANE	COMNAVSEASYS	0	0	3,252	0	0	0	-491	0	0	0	2,761	0
	00164	NSWC CRANE SULLIVAN IN DET	COMNAVSEASYS	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	0
	N 63904	NAVAL SECURITY GROUP	COMNAVSECGRP	2	52	0	0	0	-15	0	0	2	37	0	0
	N 60660	NAVY EXCHANGE DETACHMENT	COMNAVSUPSYS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	N 63285	NAVAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE	SECNAV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DELETE	~~N 45771~~	~~NCISRA CRANE~~	SECNAV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
				12	81	3,258						10	66	2,769	
			TOTALS:	~~14~~	~~88~~	~~3,256~~	0	0	-15	-489	0	~~14~~	~~88~~	~~2,767~~	0
	82330	US MARINE CORPS	UNKNOWN	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
	30845	NAVTECHREP SPAUL NSWC CRANE	COMNAVSEASYS	2	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
			TOTALS	16	82	3,258	0	-3	-15	-489	0	13	67	2,769	0

CHANGED TO RECONCILE WITH CP7, BASE STRUCTURE ANNEX REPORT, BUPERS, AND NAVCOMPT

Crane 1 of 5

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 3: MANPOWER DATA - SPECIAL USE AREAS. This is a list of "special use areas" assigned to the activity being considered for closure or realignment. Please review this list and determine which, if any, of these special use areas will also be closed as a result of this action. If so, note this fact in the "Closed?" column, and then identify the fiscal year in which the area will be closed. For any special use areas which will be closed, corresponding numbers of billets/positions must be incorporated both into the "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and also the "Eliminated and Relocated Billets/Positions" data in your data call response. Manpower estimates shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001" data is used in your response; as well as ensuring that you do not double count any numbers already shown on Part 1 of this

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	CITY	STATE	OFF	ENL	CIV	Non-DOD	CLOSED?	FY
N00164	HYDROACOUSTIC TEST AREA	COMNAVSEASYS	SULLIVAN	IN	0	0	0	0	YES	1998
				TOTALS:	0	0	0	0		

Numbers for Hydroacoustic Test Area are included in Part 1.

Crane 2 of 5

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

NOV 18 '94 04:01PM NSWC

PART 4: MANPOWER DATA - NON-DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (DON) TENANTS. This is a list of non-DON tenant activities located at the installation. If any of these tenants need to be relocated as a result of the closure/realignment action, then identify the number of billets/positions to be relocated, the fiscal year in which the relocation will take place, and the name/location of the receiving site. Manpower numbers associated with these relocations must then be incorporated into the total "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and the "Relocated Billets/Positions" data in the data call response. Manpower numbers shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001"

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	OFF	ENL	CIV	Non-DOD	FY	RECEIVING BASE
D 44204	ENGINEERING FIELD ACTIVITY	COMNAVFAC	2	0	16	0	2001	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
* D 49109	DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY	DECA	0	3 ~~6~~	0	0	1996	SEE NOTE
** D 39EAA	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY	unknown	3	1	630	0	1996	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
D NQB103	DEFENSE FINANCE & ACCOUNTING	unknown	0	0	28	0	2001	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
D 0X1395	DEFENSE REUTILIZATION ^	unknown	0	0	22 ~~20~~	0	2001	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
D 70098	US COAST GUARD SMALL ARMS	unknown	·	3	0	0	1996	NSWC LOUISVILLE
		TOTALS:	6	7 ~~10~~	696 ~~694~~	0		
BX3VFFPF	US AIR FORCE	unknown	1	0	0	0	1996	CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY
		TOTALS	7	7	696	0		

* MILITARY BILLETS ELIMINATED FY 96

PART 4:
CHANGED TO RECONCILE WITH CP7, BASE STRUCTURE ANNEX REPORT, BUPERS, AND NAVCOMPT

** PER DIRECTION OF BSAT REPRESENTATIVE GERRY SCHIEFFER ON 18 NOV, CONSIDER THAT CRANE ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITY WILL REMAIN IN PLACE.

crane 3 of 5

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

NOV 15 '94 04:02PM NSWC

PART 5: TOTAL FACILITY SQUARE FEET. This is the total Class 2 facility square feet, excluding family housing, MWR and utilities, as reported in the Naval Facilities Assets Data Base (NFADB). This figure is used in determining the number of square feet which will be "shut down" as a result of the closure action.

Total Facility Square Feet (in thousands): ~~5,390~~ 10,451

PART 6: BASE OPERATING SUPPORT (BOS) COST DATA. This is the total BOS costs reported for the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data and ensure that it is consistent with FY 1996 OSD Submit budget data. If BOS cost data needs to be revised, specific revisions should be noted on a revised copy of the appropriate Data Call 66 table(s), which should then be returned with this data call response.

			***** O&M, etc. *****				***** DBOF *****				***** TOTAL *****			
UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY
00164	NSWC DIV CRANE	COMNAVSEASYSCO	~~50~~	~~98~~	~~481~~	~~763~~	~~4848~~	~~2637~~	~~9625~~	~~37469~~	~~4898~~	~~2735~~	~~10106~~	~~38232~~
00164	HYDROACOUSTIC TEST AREA	COMNAVSEASYSCO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		TOTALS:	~~50~~	~~98~~	~~481~~	~~763~~	~~4848~~	~~2637~~	~~9625~~	~~37469~~	~~4898~~	~~2735~~	~~10106~~	~~38232~~

PART 5:
CHANGED TO RECONCILE WITH NFADB.

PART 6:
CHANGED TO RECONCILE WITH FY96 OSD SUBMIT.

Crane 4 of 5

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL REVISED AS OF 11-20-94
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 7: CONTRACT WORKYEAR DATA. This is the total contract workyear data reported by the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data, especially the columns regarding contract workyears which will either be eliminated or transferred as a result of the closure/realignment action. Sum of workyears transferred + eliminated + remaining at activity must equal Total Contract Workyears. Annotate corrections as necessary.

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	TOTAL CONTRACT WORKYEARS	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE TRANSFERRED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE ELIMINATED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS REMAINING AT ACTIVITY
00164	NSWC DIV CRANE	COMNAVSEASYS	851.0 ~~0~~	499 ~~0~~	165.5 ~~0~~	186.5 ~~0~~
00164	HYDROACOUSTIC TEST AREA	COMNAVSEASYS	0	0	0	0
		TOTALS:	851.0 ~~0~~	499 ~~0~~	165.5 ~~0~~	186.5 ~~0~~

Numbers for Hydroacoustic Test Area are included in Part 1.

Crane 5 of 5

COBRA REALIGNMENT SUMMARY (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/2
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Starting Year : 1996
Final Year : 1997
ROI Year : 1998 (1 Year)

NPV in 2015($K): -29,654
1-Time Cost($K): 2,058

Net Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	0	0	0	-3,000	0	0	-3,000	0
Person	-271	-1,032	-1,531	-1,531	-1,531	-1,531	-7,429	-1,531
Overhd	124	43	-199	-199	-339	-584	-1,155	-584
Moving	133	446	0	0	0	0	579	0
Missio	0	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
Other	1,208	0	0	0	0	0	1,208	0
TOTAL	1,193	-541	-1,729	-4,729	-1,869	-2,114	-9,787	-2,114

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
POSITIONS ELIMINATED							
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	11	17	0	0	0	0	28
TOT	11	17	0	0	0	0	28
POSITIONS REALIGNED							
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	29	58	0	0	0	0	87
TOT	29	58	0	0	0	0	87

Summary:

REALIGN NUWC KEYPORT; TRANSFER DEPOT WORKLOAD TO PSNSY
SCENARIO 012

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OBOF.SFF

Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Person	29	34	0	0	0	0	64	0
Overhd	153	192	103	103	103	103	755	103
Moving	133	446	0	0	0	0	579	0
Missio	0	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
Other	1,208	0	0	0	0	0	1,208	0
TOTAL	1,523	674	105	105	105	105	2,616	105

Savings ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	0	0	0	3,000	0	0	3,000	0
Person	301	1,066	1,531	1,531	1,531	1,531	7,493	1,531
Overhd	28	149	302	302	442	687	1,910	687
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	329	1,215	1,833	4,833	1,973	2,218	12,403	2,218

TOTAL ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	42,661	
Civilian Early Retirement	14,767	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	6,264	
Total - Personnel		63,693
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	207,455	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		207,455
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	230,400	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	23,639	
One-Time Moving Costs	325,000	
Total - Moving		579,039
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	1,208,000	
Total - Other		1,208,000
Total One-Time Costs		2,058,187
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	3,000,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		3,000,000
Total Net One-Time Costs		-941,812

ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NUWC KEYPORT, WA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	42,661	
Civilian Early Retirement	14,767	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	6,264	
Total - Personnel		63,693
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	207,455	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		207,455
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	230,400	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	23,639	
One-Time Moving Costs	325,000	
Total - Moving		579,039
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	138,000	
Total - Other		138,000
Total One-Time Costs		988,187
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	3,000,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		3,000,000
Total Net One-Time Costs		-2,011,812

TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION ASSETS (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

All Costs in $K

Base Name	Total MilCon	IMA Cost	Land Purch	Cost Avoid	Total Cost
NUWC KEYPORT	0	0	0	-3,000	-3,000
NSY PUGET SOUND	0	0	0	0	0
Totals:	0	0	0	-3,000	-3,000

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Total Construction Cost:						0
+ Info Management Account:						0
+ Land Purchases:						0
- Construction Cost Avoid:						3,000
TOTAL:						-3,000

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	1,070,000	
Total - Other		1,070,000
Total One-Time Costs		1,070,000
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		1,070,000

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
16	132	0	2,573

FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	-7	0	0	0	0	0	-7
Enlisted	-107	0	0	0	0	0	-107
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	-561	0	0	0	0	0	-561
TOTAL	-675	0	0	0	0	0	-675

BASE POPULATION (Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
9	25	0	2,012

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
To Base: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	29	58	0	0	0	0	87
TOTAL	29	58	0	0	0	0	87

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Out of NUWC KEYPORT, WA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	29	58	0	0	0	0	87
TOTAL	29	58	0	0	0	0	87

SCENARIO POSITION CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	-11	-17	0	0	0	0	-28
TOTAL	-11	-17	0	0	0	0	-28

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
9	25	0	1,897

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
619	9,053	0	9,634

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	29	58	0	0	0	0	87
TOTAL	29	58	0	0	0	0	87

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NSY PUGET SOUND, WA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	29	58	0	0	0	0	87
TOTAL	29	58	0	0	0	0	87

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
619	9,053	0	9,721

TOTAL PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/3
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		29	58	0	0	0	0	87
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		29	58	0	0	0	0	87
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		11	17	0	0	0	0	28
Early Retirement	10.00%	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Regular Retirement	5.00%	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Priority Placement#	60.00%	6	10	0	0	0	0	16
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		29	58	0	0	0	0	87
Civilians Moving		29	58	0	0	0	0	87
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		1	2	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		1	1	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		6	10	0	0	0	0	16
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

+ The Percentage of Civilians Not Willing to Move (Voluntary RIFs) varies from base to base.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		29	58	0	0	0	0	87
Civilians Moving		29	58	0	0	0	0	87
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NUWC KEYPORT, WA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		29	58	0	0	0	0	87
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		29	58	0	0	0	0	87
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		11	17	0	0	0	0	28
Early Retirement	10.00%	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Regular Retirement	5.00%	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	2	3	0	0	0	0	5
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Priority Placement#	60.00%	6	10	0	0	0	0	16
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		1	2	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		1	1	0	0	0	0	2
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		6	10	0	0	0	0	16
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIF	21	21	0	0	0	0	43
Civ Retire	5	10	0	0	0	0	15
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	86	144	0	0	0	0	230
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	7	14	0	0	0	0	22
Freight	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
OTHER							
Program Plan	118	89	0	0	0	0	207
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	37	288	0	0	0	0	325
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1,208	0	0	0	0	0	1,208
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,488	570	0	0	0	0	2,058

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	34	103	103	103	103	103	548	103
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	34	105	105	105	105	105	558	105
TOTAL COST	1,523	674	105	105	105	105	2,616	105

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	3,000	0	0	3,000
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	3,000	0	0	3,000

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	28	149	302	302	302	302	1,385	302
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	301	1,066	1,531	1,531	1,531	1,531	7,493	1,531
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	140	385	525	385
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	329	1,215	1,833	1,833	1,973	2,218	9,403	2,218
TOTAL SAVINGS	329	1,215	1,833	4,833	1,973	2,218	12,403	2,218

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	-3,000	0	0	-3,000
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	26	31	0	0	0	0	57
Civ Moving	96	158	0	0	0	0	254
Other	159	380	0	0	0	0	539
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1,208	0	0	0	0	0	1,208
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,488	570	0	-3,000	0	0	-942

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	6	-46	-199	-199	-199	-199	-837	-199
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	-301	-1,066	-1,531	-1,531	-1,531	-1,531	-7,493	-1,531
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	-140	-385	-525	-385
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-295	-1,110	-1,729	-1,729	-1,869	-2,114	-8,845	-2,114
TOTAL NET COST	1,193	-541	-1,729	-4,729	-1,869	-2,114	-9,787	-2,114

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	21	21	0	0	0	0	43
Civ Retire	5	10	0	0	0	0	15
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	86	144	0	0	0	0	230
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	7	14	0	0	0	0	22
Freight	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	3	3	0	0	0	0	6
OTHER							
Program Plan	118	89	0	0	0	0	207
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	37	288	0	0	0	0	325
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	138	0	0	0	0	0	138
TOTAL ONE-TIME	418	570	0	0	0	0	988

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
TOTAL COSTS	418	572	2	2	2	2	998	2

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	3,000	0	0	3,000
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	3,000	0	0	3,000

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	28	149	302	302	302	302	1,385	302
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	301	1,066	1,531	1,531	1,531	1,531	7,493	1,531
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	140	385	525	385
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	329	1,215	1,833	1,833	1,973	2,218	9,403	2,218
TOTAL SAVINGS	329	1,215	1,833	4,833	1,973	2,218	12,403	2,218

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	-3,000	0	0	-3,000
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	26	31	0	0	0	0	57
Civ Moving	96	158	0	0	0	0	254
Other	159	380	0	0	0	0	539
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	138	0	0	0	0	0	138
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	418	570	0	-3,000	0	0	-2,012

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	-28	-149	-302	-302	-302	-302	-1,385	-302
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	-301	-1,066	-1,531	-1,531	-1,531	-1,531	-7,493	-1,531
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	-140	-385	-525	-385
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-329	-1,213	-1,831	-1,831	-1,971	-2,216	-9,393	-2,216
TOTAL NET COST	89	-643	-1,831	-4,831	-1,971	-2,216	-11,405	-2,216

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1,070	0	0	0	0	0	1,070
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,070	0	0	0	0	0	1,070

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	34	103	103	103	103	103	548	103
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	34	103	103	103	103	103	548	103
TOTAL COSTS	1,104	103	103	103	103	103	1,618	103

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1,070	0	0	0	0	0	1,070
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,070	0	0	0	0	0	1,070

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	34	103	103	103	103	103	548	103
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	34	103	103	103	103	103	548	103
TOTAL NET COST	1,104	103	103	103	103	103	1,618	103

INPUT DATA REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN ONE - GENERAL SCENARIO INFORMATION

Model Year One : FY 1996

Model does Time-Phasing of Construction/Shutdown: Yes

Base Name	Strategy:
NUWC KEYPORT, WA	Realignment
NSY PUGET SOUND, WA	Realignment

Summary:

REALIGN NUWC KEYPORT; TRANSFER DEPOT WORKLOAD TO PSNSY
SCENARIO 012

INPUT SCREEN TWO - DISTANCE TABLE

From Base:	To Base:	Distance:
NUWC KEYPORT, WA	NSY PUGET SOUND, WA	12 mi

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NUWC KEYPORT, WA to NSY PUGET SOUND, WA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	29	58	0	0	0	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	7	0	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

Total Officer Employees:	16	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	7,420
Total Enlisted Employees:	132	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	13,059
Total Civilian Employees:	2,573	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	14,990
Mil Families Living On Base:	20.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	9
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.17
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	1,887	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	192	Activity Code:	00253
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	161		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	73	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA

Total Officer Employees:	619	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	13,338
Total Enlisted Employees:	9,053	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	42,276
Total Civilian Employees:	9,634	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	69,069
Mil Families Living On Base:	20.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	103
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.17
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	4,635	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	192	Activity Code:	00251
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	161		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	73	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	138	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	37	288	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	2	2	2	2	2
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	140	385
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	3,000	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

Name: NSY PUGET SOUND, WA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	1,070	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

Data As Of 11:05 11/29/1994, Report Created 07:57 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN SIX - BASE PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Name: NUWC KEYPORT, WA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Off Force Struc Change:	-7	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Force Struc Change:	-107	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Force Struc Change:	-561	0	0	0	0	0
Stu Force Struc Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Off Scenario Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Scenario Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Scenario Change:	-11	-17	0	0	0	0
Off Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Military:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Civilian:	0	0	0	0	0	0

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN ONE - PERSONNEL

Percent Officers Married:	71.70%	Civ Early Retire Pay Factor:	9.00%
Percent Enlisted Married:	60.10%	Priority Placement Service:	60.00%
Enlisted Housing MilCon:	98.00%	PPS Actions Involving PCS:	50.00%
Officer Salary($/Year):	76,781.00	Civilian PCS Costs ($):	28,800.00
Off BAQ with Dependents($):	7,925.00	Civilian New Hire Cost($):	0.00
Enlisted Salary($/Year):	33,178.00	Nat Median Home Price($):	114,600.00
Enl BAQ with Dependents($):	5,251.00	Home Sale Reimburse Rate:	10.00%
Avg Unemploy Cost($/Week):	174.00	Max Home Sale Reimburs($):	22,385.00
Unemployment Eligibility(Weeks):	18	Home Purch Reimburse Rate:	5.00%
Civilian Salary($/Year):	54,694.00	Max Home Purch Reimburs($):	11,191.00
Civilian Turnover Rate:	15.00%	Civilian Homeowning Rate:	64.00%
Civilian Early Retire Rate:	10.00%	HAP Home Value Reimburse Rate:	22.90%
Civilian Regular Retire Rate:	5.00%	HAP Homeowner Receiving Rate:	5.00%
Civilian RIF Pay Factor:	39.00%	RSE Home Value Reimburse Rate:	0.00%
SF File Desc:	NAVY DBOF BRAC95	RSE Homeowner Receiving Rate:	0.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN TWO - FACILITIES

RPMA Building SF Cost Index:	0.93	Rehab vs. New MilCon Cost:	75.00%
BOS Index (RPMA vs population):	0.54	Info Management Account:	0.00%
(Indices are used as exponents)		MilCon Design Rate:	9.00%
Program Management Factor:	10.00%	MilCon SIOH Rate:	6.00%
Caretaker Admin(SF/Care):	162.00	MilCon Contingency Plan Rate:	5.00%
Mothball Cost ($/SF):	1.25	MilCon Site Preparation Rate:	39.00%
Avg Bachelor Quarters(SF):	294.00	Discount Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	2.75%
Avg Family Quarters(SF):	1.00	Inflation Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	0.00%

APPDET.RPT Inflation Rates:
1996: 0.00% 1997: 2.90% 1998: 3.00% 1999: 3.00% 2000: 3.00% 2001: 3.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN THREE - TRANSPORTATION

Material/Assigned Person(Lb):	710	Equip Pack & Crate($/Ton):	284.00
HHG Per Off Family (Lb):	14,500.00	Mil Light Vehicle($/Mile):	0.31
HHG Per Enl Family (Lb):	9,000.00	Heavy/Spec Vehicle($/Mile):	3.38
HHG Per Mil Single (Lb):	6,400.00	POV Reimbursement($/Mile):	0.18
HHG Per Civilian (Lb):	18,000.00	Avg Mil Tour Length (Years):	4.17
Total HHG Cost ($/100Lb):	35.00	Routine PCS($/Pers/Tour):	3,763.00
Air Transport ($/Pass Mile):	0.20	One-Time Off PCS Cost($):	4,527.00
Misc Exp ($/Direct Employ):	700.00	One-Time Enl PCS Cost($):	1,403.00

Department : NAVY
Option Package : KEYPT.DEPOT/PSNSY013
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\KEY13.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN FOUR - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Category	UM	$/UM	Category	UM	$/UM
Horizontal	(SY)	61	Optional Category A	( )	0
Waterfront	(LF)	10,350	Optional Category B	( )	0
Air Operations	(SF)	122	Optional Category C	( )	0
Operational	(SF)	111	Optional Category D	( )	0
Administrative	(SF)	123	Optional Category E	( )	0
School Buildings	(SF)	108	Optional Category F	( )	0
Maintenance Shops	(SF)	102	Optional Category G	( )	0
Bachelor Quarters	(SF)	96	Optional Category H	( )	0
Family Quarters	(EA)	78,750	Optional Category I	( )	0
Covered Storage	(SF)	94	Optional Category J	( )	0
Dining Facilities	(SF)	165	Optional Category K	( )	0
Recreation Facilities	(SF)	120	Optional Category L	( )	0
Communications Facil	(SF)	165	Optional Category M	( )	0
Shipyard Maintenance	(SF)	129	Optional Category N	( )	0
RDT & E Facilities	(SF)	160	Optional Category O	( )	0
POL Storage	(BL)	12	Optional Category P	( )	0
Ammunition Storage	(SF)	160	Optional Category Q	( )	0
Medical Facilities	(SF)	168	Optional Category R	( )	0
Environmental	( )	0			

EXPLANATORY NOTES (INPUT SCREEN NINE)

CONSTRUCTION COST AVOIDANCE IS P373 (UPGRADE TO INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT

PLANT) FOR FY 1999.

NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER DIVISION, KEYPORT

NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER

NUWC

DIVISION KEYPORT, WA

National Quality Improvement Prototype Award

1994 Winner

BRAC 95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL 2-14-0114-012

ALT 2 - SHIPYARDS (REVISED SUBMIT)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Scenario No.:	2-14-0114-012
Scenario Title:	ALT 2 - Shipyards (Revised)
Date:	1300 EST 1 December 1994

NUWC Division Keyport, winner of the 1994 Quality Improvement Prototype Award, submits this REVISED scenario response as a "best value" approach to the BSAT provided scenario.

This response is submitted as a revision and replacement of the two (identical) responses 2-14-0114-012 and 2-14-0117-013, which were previously forwarded. This scenario also supersedes and cancels the two alternative scenarios (2-14-0114-012A and 2-14-0117-013A) previously submitted by NUWC Division Keyport. This submittal addresses clarification requested by BSAT fax dated 28 November 1994.

The original scenario constrained the response to depot maintenance workload (excluding the weapon, ordnance, and propellant) reported in Data Call #14 for Sea Systems (Ships & Weapons) commodities. This REVISED scenario response expands the scope based on NUWC Division, Keyport's working knowledge of existing capabilities and excess capacities at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard (PSNS). This is a mutual understanding, gained through recent cooperative industrial planning for workload consolidation, redistribution, and outsourcing decisions that led to more than $7 million in scope reduction savings for the Regional Plating MILCONs and through ongoing mutual PACNORWEST Regional Maintenance Center initiatives. This revision proposes the transfer to PSNS of duplicative industrial workload and similar industrial functions which, although not all a part of NUWC Division, Keyport's BRAC Data Call #14 (weapons and combat systems) depot workload, are a close fit with present capabilities and excess capacities at PSNS.

This REVISED scenario involves a complete vertical elimination and transfer of both industrial combat systems console depot refurbishment capability (from Data Call #14), as well as general manufacturing, fabrication, and preparation of parts and components for undersea warfare systems. These manufacturing functions constitute an industrial capability which has been employed to produce items for prototype, vendor "bail-out" and "last source" manufacture requirements. PSNS has similar capabilities which, together with minimal equipment moves and limited personnel transfers, can satisfy these requirements. Specific work proposed for transfer includes machining, welding, painting, sheet metal fabrication, and heat treating of metals, as well as shipboard combat systems console depot refurbishment. This proposal represents elimination or transfer of 82 percent (115 workyears) of NUWC Division, Keyport's planned industrial workload capability in FY 2001. The remaining 25 workyears are required to support core torpedo depot maintenance, range, test and evaluation functions.

1994 Winner

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This revision offers best value to the Navy based on the following points:

- This REVISED scenario eliminates duplicate Warfare Center industrial capabilities and functions which are clearly consistent with the excess capacities that exist in the shipyard community.
- This REVISED scenario involves a reduction and consolidation of personnel and facilities, rather than a replication of capabilities.
- This REVISED scenario is consistent with maintenance workload distribution initiatives of the Pacific Northwest Regional Maintenance Center (e.g., heavy industrial work is fully expected to be concentrated at PSNS, and computer automated electronics test and repair work is fully expected to be concentrated at NUWC Division, Keyport). This categorization of functional assignments precludes having to replicate $14 million in automated electronic test and repair equipment which is presently shared from the torpedo depot (as compared to the original scenario).
- This REVISED scenario capitalizes upon the proximity of the losing base (Keyport) and the gaining base (PSNS) by sharing expensive combat systems laboratories at Keyport, avoiding $19 million in replication costs (as compared to the original scenario).
- This REVISED scenario does not require major investments in facilities nor MILCON to accommodate the functions being transferred, nor does it require extensive relocation of equipment. Only limited, unique items would be moved. It allows $3M MILCON avoidance in FY 99.
- This REVISED scenario generates recurring missions savings for NUWC Division Keyport by making it possible to vacate space, in turn using it for in-process storage of USW weapons material that is presently being accommodated in leased space.
- This REVISED scenario achieves significant manpower eliminations. Savings that were not attainable in the original scenario.

While the facts certified herein support a decision to implement this alternative, it's important to note that this proposal represents a complete vertical elimination of NUWC Division Keyport's industrial, fabrication and manufacturing capabilities, as well as combat systems console depot refurbishment, not just a horizontal capacity reduction. This manufacturing capability has, for years, provided compelling efficiency and productivity gains through vendor "bail-out" and "last-source" manufacturing, as well as prototyping support to the Warfare Center's research and development mission

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	2-14-0114-012
Scenario Title:	ALT 2 - Shipyards (Revised)
Date:	1300 EST 1 December 1994

This scenario is submitted as a revision and replacement of the two (identical) responses 2-14-0114-012 and 2-14-0117-013, which were previously forwarded. This scenario also supersedes and cancels the two alternative scenarios (2-14-0114-012A and 2-14-0117-013A) previously submitted by NUWC Division Keyport. This scenario is based upon discussions with Captain Moeller of BSAT, and responds to the series of questions posed in BSAT Memorandum dated 28 November 1994. During the period of time since the provided scenario was originally answered, NUWC Division Keyport and Puget Sound Naval Shipyard have worked diligently to resolve issues about equipment and personnel transfers, about facility requirements and about planning timelines. This resulting scenario represents the most economical, low cost option that is attainable to consolidate combat systems console refurbishment depot and general industrial workload away from NUWC Division, Keyport and is consistent with the work function distribution initiatives of the PACNORWEST Regional Maintenance Center.

This scenario capitalizes upon the proximity of the losing base (NUWC Division Keyport) and gaining base (PSNS). It requires PSNS sharing combat systems engineering laboratories at NUWC Division Keyport for post-repair testing, checkout and certification, thereby obviating the need for expensive replication of these systems laboratories. This sharing concept of post-repair effort is feasible due to the close proximity of PSNS to NUWC Division Keyport and would not impair ship schedules nor have high cost impacts. Conversely, if a distant site were assumed as the gaining base, this approach would make it extremely impractical to meet ship schedules and would heavily impact repair costs without the expensive ($19 million) replication of laboratory systems to effect on-site post-repair testing and certification at the distant gaining base..

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	Mr. Ron Krell
Organization/Code:	NUWC Division, Keyport Code EDD9
Office Phone Number:	Comm: (206) 396-2981 DSN: 744-2981
Fax Number:	(206) 396-7800
Home Phone Number:	(206) 779-3620

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario. Complete the table on the next page to identify "bases" involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Note that the term **"Losing Base"** refers to host activities, independent activities or other activities specifically identified in the Scenario Development Data Call tasking which are being reduced in size, i.e., closing or being realigned. The term **"Gaining Base"** refers to host or independent activities which will be receiving sites for functions/personnel transferred from losing base(s). For example, a losing base is the activity referred to in the data call tasking, i.e., a Naval Station, Hospital, etc. **Individual tenants should not be separately listed on this table**, e.g., Branch Medical Clinic, Personnel Support Detachment, etc. Individual tenants will, however, be specifically identified in subsequent tables in the data call.

The third column of the table should be used to identify relevant information regarding workload/missions to be transferred. For example, entries in this column should be short phrases such as, "missile workload", "ships", "F-14 squadrons", "tenants", etc., or to provide other clarifying information. This third column need only be completed to identify major components of the closure/realignment scenario, and should not be used to list all tenant names, etc.

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload/Missions Transferring
NUWC Division, Keyport	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard	Industrial Workload

Note: If an activity/function will be relocated into leased office space, please note this fact under the column, Gaining Base, e.g., "Washington, DC - Leased Space".

As the Navy's Finalist and Winner of the Federal Quality Institute's prestigious 1994 National Quality Improvement Prototype Award, NUWC Division, Keyport is widely recognized as an organization that is among the very best in government.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

To Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
N00253	NUWC DIV KEYPORT, WA	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N32586	BRMEDCL DET KEYPORT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N32586	NAVAL REGIONAL MEDICAL	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
M00000	MCSF CADRE NUWES KEYPORT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N47619	NAVUSEAWAR CENDIV KPT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N48519	NAVUSEAWAR ENGSTA FMS TRG	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N40856	NUWC DIV DET YTT 10	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N40867	NUWC DIV DET YTT 11	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N20501	NUWC DIV DET YTT 12	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N40829	NUWC DIV DET YTT 9	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
00253	NUWC KEYPORT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian	29	58					87
		Mil Stu							
N30041	NUWES SERCRAFT RANGE KYPT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data (Continued)

From Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

To Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
66001	NRAD	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
45507	NANOOSE RANGE	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
52861	K/B DOCKS	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N68951	NAVUNSEAWAR CEN DET ARCTIC	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N35266	NAVUNSEAWAR CEN DET HAWAII	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N41869	NAVUNSEAWAR CEN DET	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N42039	NAVUNSEAWAR CEN DET SAN	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N68951	CAPE PRINCE OF WALES FIELD	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
N00253	NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
D HQ 00103	DEFENSE FINANCIAL AND	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian	29	58					87
		Mil Stu							

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport							
To **Gaining** Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0					0
Enlisted Billets	0	0					0
Civilian Positions	29	58					87
Military Students	0	0					0
Tons of Mission Equipment	29	255					284
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0					0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0					0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0					0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Supporting Data for Table 2-B

Tonnage of mission equipment includes specialized industrial equipment, fixtures, and toolings as described below.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Electron Beam Welder (22 tons)	Required to sustain NUWC Division, Keyport's current capability for low distortion, thick section weldment of USW components. PSNS currently does not have similar capabilities.
Water Jet Cutter (7 tons)	Required to sustain NUWC Division, Keyport's current capability for high precision cutting of numerous metal and non-metal substrates. The unit will replace an aged existing unit at PSNS which requires excessive maintenance and cannot meet projected USW workload.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Heat Treat Furnaces (46 tons)	Required to sustain NUWC Division, Keyport's capability to perform a necessary spectrum of heat treating processes on aluminum and special alloy USW components. PSNS currently does not have the equipment required to perform these processes.
Unique Combat Systems test equipment (8 tons) and repair spares material (201 tons)	Minimal test equipment required to perform unit and assembly level testing. Repair spares material required to be on hand to accomplish refurbishment within scheduled turn-around times. The value of this material will be capitalized to the gaining activity. - 5851 Line items at 2.7 cubic feet per line item divided by 78.4 cubic feet per measurement ton yields 201 tons

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include **all** potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
N00253	NUWC DIV KEYPORT, WA	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N32586	BRMEDCL DET KEYPORT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N32586	NAVAL REGIONAL MEDICAL	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N00000	MCSF CADRE NUWES KEYPORT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N47619	NAVUSEAWAR CENDIV KPT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N48519	NAVUSEAWAR ENGSTA FMS TRG	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N40856	NUWC DIV DET YTT 10	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N40867	NUWC DIV DET YTT 11	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N20501	NUWC DIV DET YTT 12	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N40829	NUWC DIV DET YTT 9	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
00253	NUWC KEYPORT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian	11	17	0	0	0	0	28
N30041	NUWES SERCRAFT RANGE KYPT	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
66001	NRAD	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
45507	NANOOSE RANGE	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
52861	K/B DOCKS	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N68951	NAVUNSEAWAR CEN DET ARCTIC	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions (Continued)

Losing Base Name: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
N35266	NAVUNSEAWAR CEN DET HAWAII	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N41869	NAVUNSEAWAR CEN DET	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N42039	NAVUNSEAWAR CEN DET SAN	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N68951	CAPE PRINCE OF WALES FIELD	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
N00253	NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
D HQ 00103	DEFENSE FINANCIAL AND	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
	TOTAL	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian	11	17	0	0	0	0	28

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

(see next page)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	20	133	2,573	0	2,726
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	-9	-108	-561	0	-678
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	-	-	-	-	-
D. End FY 2001:	11	25	2,012	0	2,048
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA	0	0	87	0	87
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	0	0	87	0	87
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	0	0	28		28
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	11	25	1,897	0	1,933
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	11	25	2,012	0	2,048

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements (Mothball Scenarios Only). Complete the table below to identify any permanent caretaker requirements associated with a "mothball" (deactivation) scenario. **Caretakers should only be identified if an activity will be mothballed as opposed to closed or realigned.** Scenario data call taskings will identify if this is a "mothball" scenario. This area should not be used to identify temporary caretaker requirements associated with closure of the facility. If some or all of the activity will be mothballed, as opposed to closed or realigned, then identify the number of military and/or civilian caretakers that will be required to remain permanently at the activity. Enter the number of caretakers which will be added to the activity in each year. For example, if 100 caretakers will be required in 1996, and then this number will be increased to 150 in 1997 and out, then enter 1996 = 100, 1997 = 50, leave 1998 through 2001 blank, and enter 150 as the total.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers							N/A
Civilian Caretakers							N/A

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

	Cost ($K)	FY	Description
1.	$ 238 $ 319	96 97	Employee Transition Center. Provides staff and facilities to assist affected employees with the transitions which would result from this scenario. The costs include salary for a center coordinator and clerical support, employees' transfer allowances, job counseling, office equipment and supplies.
2.	$ 118 $ 116	96 97	BRAC Transition Office. Provides staff and facilities to achieve centralized effective coordination of the transition of the functions, workload, and material which would result from this scenario. The costs include salary for one staff coordinator, clerical support, office equipment and supplies.
3.	$ 100 $ 400	96 97	Removal of Excessed Equipment. Covers costs to properly remove approximately 120 pieces of equipment made excess by this scenario for dispensation to the gaining activity or excessed. Costs include disconnection of utilities, disassembly of major components, and extraction from current facilities.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

	Cost ($K)	FY	Description
4.	$ 25	96	Facility Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Compliance.
	$ 75	97	Localized testing and clean-up of facilities with discontinued or changed operations as a result of this scenario. Provides compliance with RCRA requirements for areas in which operations involve hazardous waste generation, accumulation, and storage.
5.	$ 138	96	Duplicate engineering drawings for combat systems equipment (920,000 aperture cards at $.15/card)

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

Cost ($K)	FY	Description
$ 3,000	96	Reutilization and sale of excess industrial equipment
$ 6,000	97	Reutilization and sale of excess industrial equipment

Approximately 120 industrial plant property (class 3 & 4) items, with an estimated replacement value of $15,130K, will be excessed as a result of this scenario. If this scenario is enacted in reality, then the equipment would become available for transfer within DoD or excessed. The undepreciated value of equipment must be capitalized to the gaining activity or funded by BRAC funding should it be excessed. Whatever the disposition of this equipment is determined to be, its net value can not be charged to NUWC Division, Keyport's DBOF account without incurring substantial losses ($9 million). This scenario assumes that the equipment can be reassigned to other activities, thereby allowing them to avoid capital purchase costs and resulting in a savings of about $9 million.

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

	Cost ($K)	FY	Gaining Base	Description
1.	$ 40	96	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard	Unique removal and installation requirements for specialized industrial equipment.
2.	$ 100	97	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard	Unique removal and installation requirements for specialized industrial equipment.
3.	$ 188	97	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard	Combat Systems Console Refurbishment Depot equipment removal ($50K) packaging and unpacking ($32K), installation ($34K), equipment restoration, grooming, and calibration ($65K), certification ($7K).

The cost reported in Table 2-F(c) are the costs which will be incurred for teardown, extraction, and start-up of the equipment which must be relocated to PSNS (see supporting data for Table 2-B). The costs reported as one-time Unique Moving Costs are those which are over and above the costs associated with moving common mission/support equipment or bulk material. This revised submit assumes that the value of any plant property, equipment, material, systems and/or inventory relocated to a gaining activity or excessed will be capitalized to the gaining activity, or funded externally and will not result in financial losses to NUWC Division, Keyport's DBOF corpus.

Specific elements of unique costs in lines 1. and 2. above are developed in the following table.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

UNIQUE ONE TIME MOVING COSTS (Lines 1 and 2)

TASK	ESTIMATED COST ($K)
Drain and dispose of water jet cutting residue (approximately 200 gallons at $14.81/gallon for handling/disposal)	3
Disassembly of major machine components, includes in house labor (435 hours at $66/hr)	29
Remove equipment from existing locations (i.e., relocation of secondary equipment, special fixturing and rigging to extract equipment from sub-floor foundations, etc.) Removal of doors/walls where required (total effort is 350 hours at $66/hr).	23
Rigging to move equipment to final location within PSNS (470 hours at $66/hr assuming space, special foundations and access to final location is available).	31
Assembly of equipment and connection of required utilities (510 hours at $66/hr assuming utilities are available).	34
Startup of equipment (i.e., calibration, alignment, test, etc.) (300 hours at $66/hr assuming personnel are knowledgeable of equipment operation/maintenance).	20
TOTAL:	140

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/ positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (include non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must be consistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. Puget Sound Naval Shipyard	$617K	$1,850K	$1,665K	$1,499K	$1,349K	$1,214K
Description: Economy of scale and loss of synergy costs at NUWC Division, Keyport						
2. Puget Sound Naval Shipyard	$21K	$65K	$65K	$65K	$65K	$65K
Description: Engineering costs of USW manufacturing at gaining site.						
3. Puget Sound Naval Shipyard		$2K	$2K	$2K	$2K	$2K
Description: In-system test conducted at NUWC Division, Keyport						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

1. Cost increases are expected to materialize as a result of reduced economy of scale and inelastic operating costs. For this Data Call, the methodology utilized for estimating this increase in cost was predicated on correlation of cost per workyear to workload. Five year history data were adjusted for inflation to make them comparable. Modeling of this correlation demonstrates the trend of cost performance as workload (in terms of workyears) varies.

In this situation, cost performance decreases as workload is reduced at the losing activity. While some costs tend to vary with workload, per unit fixed cost increases. The assessment tool

involved demonstrating Y (cost per workyear) as a function of X (workload expressed in workyears). The slope of this function was demonstrated to be negative 8.48384. This was determined to be a representative rate of change along a range of workload. A 115 workyear change results in an increased cost of about $975 per workyear (8.48384 x 115 = $975), in this case about a one percent increase in workyear costs. Applying this increased cost per workyear to the 1,897 billets remaining at NUWC Division, Keyport yields an annual recurring cost of approximately $1,850K. Management action and productivity improvements are expected to yield savings which will reduce these costs by approximately 10% annually in subsequent years. The resulting net cost is depicted in the table above.

2. The engineering costs associated with item #2 in Table 2-F(d) are only those which would be different from the engineering costs related to performing the manufacturing at NUWC Division, Keyport. Those engineering costs which are common to the work being performed at either site are not shown.

The rationale for these recurring mission costs is provided below. It is based on an estimate of 77 manufacturing projects per year for undersea warfare (USW) items. Annual recurring costs are $65K with a phase-in as shown in Table 2-F(d).

a) Pre-Award/Post-Award Surveys (conducted by NUWC Division, Keyport at PSNS):

Of the 77 projects, approximately 20% are expected to require a pre-award and post award survey to have the project manufactured at another site. Any other site would not have the experience and familiarity with the requirements and processes related to USW hardware. The pre- and post-award surveys ensure that the producing activity fully understands the requirements of the project, and that the procuring activity is confident in capabilities of the processes of the producer. These surveys already are performed with outside sources (including PSNS).

The recurring cost is based on a team of three people being involved in the survey for one day for 20% of the projects. The associated cost is approximately $23K/yr (15 projects x 24 hours x $66/hr = $23K)

b) In-Process Technical Support

Experience with USW manufacturing projects indicates that virtually all projects require some level of engineering assistance. This may be because the documentation is insufficiently specific, or because the items is a prototype, or because the shop has a suggestion for an alternative process or material. Some of this can be resolved by phone/FAX. However, 50% of projects are expected to have at least one situation which requires on-site support to achieve resolution. These are situations which, due to lack of experience and familiarity at PSNS with the requirements and processes related to USW hardware, would not have required this level of support if accomplished at NUWC Division, Keyport.

The recurring cost for this is based on 8 hours (including travel time) of engineering per project per year for 38 projects. The associated cost is approximately $20K/yr (38 projects x 8 hours x $66/hr = $20K)

c) Receipt Inspection Discrepancy Resolution

Experience with USW manufacturing at outside sources indicates that approximately 10% of projects have significant defects which are detected at receipt inspection. There is an additional cost associated with detecting them at completion versus having corrected them in process, before final assembly, as would normally be the case for in-house manufacture. Components of this cost are additional documentation related to the discrepancy, travel from PSNS to NUWC Division, Keyport, and technical review and resolution of the discrepancy.

The recurring cost for this is based on 4 hours per project for eight projects per year. The associated cost is approximately $2K/yr (8 projects x 4 hours x $66/hr = $2K).

d) Processing of Project Orders

Tasking manufacturing projects to another site such as PSNS requires preparation, monitoring and closure of funding documents. This activity is not required for in-house manufacture at NUWC Division, Keyport.

The recurring cost for this is based on four hours per project for the projected 77 projects per year. The associated cost is approximately $20K/yr (77 projects x 4 hours x $66/hr = $20K).

3. Combat Systems equipment refurbished at PSNS will be shipped to NUWC Division, Keyport for in-system testing. Cost includes only scenario driven costs of packaging and shipping between PSNS and NUWC Division, Keyport for an estimated one shipset per fiscal year ($2K annually).

e. Net Mission Savings. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. Puget Sound NSY					$140K	$385K
Description: Elimination of lease cost for storage facilities.						
2. Puget Sound NSY		$85K	$85K	$85K	$85K	$85K
Description: Economies of scale.						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

1. This scenario achieves net mission savings by freeing up sufficient facility space to permit termination of use of leased storage facilities for material which is required for continuing operations at NUWC Division, Keyport. The material to be stored is program support material for in-service support of undersea warfare programs. For each program, the material is made up of major end items, subassemblies and components. The present inventory of this material is distributed among the programs approximately as follows:

Program	# of Line Items
MK 46 & MK 50 Torpedo	7,300
MK 48 & ADCAP Torpedo	7,400
USW Combat Systems	11,000
USW Targets	1,000
Submarine Launched Mobile Mine	300

The net savings take into account one-time costs of converting vacated industrial space to suitable storage use and relocation of the stored material. The cross over point (cost to savings) occurs in FY 2000 as shown in the table above. Detailed description of the costs, savings, and net savings are provided in the narrative and table below.

Facility Conversion Costs: Labor and material to prepare in-house facilities at NUWC Division, Keyport for high density material storage. Tasks include leveling of floors (i.e., fill sumps, remove steps and platforms), remove internal walls, enlarge access doors, and other facility modifications. Distribution of costs in FY 96-97 correspond with planned workload transfer to PSNS.

Material Movement: Contractor labor to relocate 27,000 line items of sponsor owned program material from the leased storage facility to in-house facilities at NUWC Division, Keyport. Distribution of costs in FY 97-98 corresponds with completion of in-house facility conversion at NUWC Division, Keyport.

Lease Savings: Savings accrued by the elimination of the leased storage facilities. The total leased facilities consist of four individual warehouses which will be released individually when available. Planned elimination of leased warehouses is one in FY 96, one in FY 97 and two in FY 98.

RELOCATION OF STORAGE: COST SAVINGS ANALYSIS

	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	
Facility Conversion Cost	<100>	<250>					
Material Movement		<250>	<360>				
Lease Savings		55	275	385	385	385	385→
Net	<100>	<445>	<85>	385	385	385	385→
Cumulative Net	<100>	<545>	<630>	<245>	140	525	

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None		

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

	Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
1.	None	0	

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

	Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
1.	None			

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport

Facility KSF Shutdown: 0 (All space made available will be reused to allow divestiture of presently leased facilities.)

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary

Losing Base: Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	619	910					1,529
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs	3,000	6,000					9,000
c.	One-Time Move Costs	40	288					328
d.	Net Mission Costs	638	1,917	1,732	1,566	1,416	1,281	8,550
e.	Net Mission Savings	0	85	85	85	225	470	950
f.	Misc Recur Costs	0	0					0
g.	Misc Recur Savings	0	0					0
h.	Land Sales	0	0					0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid	0	0					0
j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)		0						

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	$ 0		None

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

	Cost ($K)	FY	Description
1.	$ 50	96	Site Preparation for Welding Equipment
2.	$ 400	96	Site Preparation for Heat Treat Equipment
3.	$ 20	96	Site Preparation for Combat Systems Spares Storage
4.	$ 600	96	Site Preparation for Combat Systems Refurbishment Work Areas

The costs identified above were certified by Puget Sound Naval Shipyard. They are for site preparation (removal/relocation of some items of existing equipment and operations, and installation of work cells, special utilities and minor foundations which are required for the equipment) at PSNS.

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	$ 0		None

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	$ 0		None

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	$ 0		None

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	$ 0		None

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	$ 0			None

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	$1,070K						$1,070K
b.	One-Time Unique Savings							$0
c.	Environ. Mitigation							$0
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs							$0
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings							$0
f.	Land Purchases							$0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.

- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.

- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.

- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton, WA			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)			
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - USW Combat Systems Depot	$		

NONE REQUIRED

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

ACTIVITY: 00253 NUWC DIV KEYPORT, WA
ANNOTATIONS TO PART 1 : MANPOWER DATA - HOST AND TENANTS

			BEGIN FY 1996				PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES				END FY 2001			
UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU
N00253	NUWC DIV KEYPORT, WA	COMNAVSEA SYS	8	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	4	0	0	0
N32586	BRMEDCL DET KEYPORT	BUMED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N32586	NAVAL REGIONAL MEDICAL	BUMED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M00000	MCSF CADRE NUWES KEYPORT	COMNAVSEASYS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
N47619	NAVUSEAWARCENDIVKPT	COMNAVSEASYS	4	22	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	4	0	0
N48519	NAVUSEAWARENGSTA FMS TRG	COMNAVSEASYS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
N40856	NUWC DIV DET YTT 10	COMNAVSEASYS	1	25	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
N40867	NUWC DIV DET YTT 11	COMNAVSEASYS	1	25	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
N20501	NUWC DIV DET YTT 12	COMNAVSEASYS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N40829	NUWC DIV DET YTT 9	COMNAVSEASYS	1	26	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
00253	NUWC KEYPORT	COMNAVSEASYS	0	0	2,573	0	0	0	561	0	0	0	2,012	0
N30041	NUWES SERCRAFT RANGE KYPT	COMNAVSEASYS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
66001	NRAD	COMSPANAVWAR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45507	NANOOSE RANGE	COMNAVSEASYS	1	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
52861	K/B DOCKS	COMNAVSEASYS	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0
		TOTALS:	16	132	2,573	0	7	107	561	0	9	25	2,012	0

Denotes revised data

REVISED SUBMIT
1 December 1994

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

ANNOTATIONS TO PART 2 : MANPOWER DATA - DETACHMENTS

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	CITY	STATE	OFF	ENL	CIV	NON-DOD	CLOSED?	FY
N 68951	NAVUNSEAWARCEN DET ARCTIC	COMNAVSEASYS	SAN DIEGO	CA	1	0	0	0	N	
N 35266	NAVUNSEAWARCEN DET HAWAII	COMNAVSEASYS	LUALUALEI	HI	1	0	0	0	N	
N 41869	NAVUNSEAWARCEN DET	COMNAVSEASYS	HAWTHORNE	NV	0	0	0	0	N	
N 42039	NAVUNSEAWARCEN DET SAN	COMNAVSEASYS	SAN DIEGO	CA	0	0	0	0	N	
			TOTALS:		2	0	0	0		

Denotes revised data

REVISED SUBMIT
1 December 1994

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

ANNOTATIONS TO PART 3 : MANPOWER DATA - SPECIAL USE AREAS

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	CITY	STATE	OFF	ENL	CIV	NON-DOD	CLOSED?	FY
N 68951	CAPE PRINCE OF WALES FIELD	COMNAVSEASYS	CAPE PRINCE OF WALES	AK	0	0	0	0	N	
N 00253	NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE	COMNAVSEASYS	SILVERDALE	WA	0	0	0	0	N	
			TOTALS:		0	0	0	0		

Denotes revised data

REVISED SUBMIT
1 December 1994

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

ANNOTATIONS TO PART 4: MANPOWER DATA - NON-DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (DON) TENANTS

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	OFF	ENL	CIV	NON-DOD	FY	RECEIVING BASE
D HQ00103	DEFENSE FINANCIAL AND	UNKNOWN	0	0	8	0		N/A
		TOTALS:	0	0	8	0		

Denotes revised data

REVISED SUBMIT
1 December 1994

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

ANNOTATIONS TO PART 5: TOTAL FACILITY SQUARE FEET

Total Facility Square Feet (in thousands) (Washington State only): 1,887
(Including detachments): 2,524

ANNOTATIONS TO PART 6: BASE OPERATING SUPPORT (BOS) COST DATA

			****O&M, etc.****				*****DBOF*****				*****TOTAL*****			
UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY
32586	BRMEDCL DET KEYPORT	BUMED	2	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	10	0
00253	NUWC DIV KEYPORT, WA	COMNAVSEASYSCO	343	11	2871	1123	**7420**	383	**13059**	14990	**7763**	394	**15930**	16113
		TOTALS:	345	11	2881	1123	**7420**	383	**13059**	14990	**7765**	394	**15940**	16113

Denotes revised data

REVISED SUBMIT
1 December 1994

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead)[1]			
Activity Name: NUWC Division, Keyport		UIC: N00253	
	FY 1996 Net Cost from UC/FUND-4 ($000)		
Category	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Real Property Maintenance (>$25K)	*648	0[2]	*648
1b. Real Property Maintenance (<$25K)	*2,326	350	*2,676
1c. Minor Construction (Expensed)	166	33	199
1d. Minor Construction (Capital Budget)	*4,280	0[2]	*4,280
1e. Sub-total 1a. through 1d.	***7,420**	**383**	***7,803**
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Command Office	902	570	1,472
2b. ADP Support	653	40	693
2c. Equipment Maintenance	*397	30	*427
2d. Civilian Personnel Services	*341	1,127	*1,468
2e. Accounting/Finance (DFAS)	0	0[3]	0
2f. Utilities	*684	40	*724
2g. Environmental Compliance[4]	3,735	278	4,013
2h. Police and Fire	544	1,505	2,049
2i. Safety	540	504	1,044
2j. Supply and Storage Operations	*2,481	2,090	*4,571
2k. Major Range Test Facility Base Costs[5]	N/A	N/A	N/A
2l. Other (Specify):	***2,782**	**8,806**	***11,588**
- Administration	860	8,378	9,238
- Military Labor	0	388	388
- Other Engineering Support	1,247	40	1,287
- Base Communications	*675	0[3]	*675
2m. Sub-total 2a. through 2l:	***13,059**	**14,990**	***28,049**
3. Depreciation	**361**	**0**	**361**
4. Grand Total (sum of 1e. 2m, and 3.):	***20,840**	**15,373**	***36,213**

* Changed from previous submission to be consistent with FY 1996 OSD Submit budget data.

National Quality Improvement Prototype Award 1994 Winner

ADMINISTRATIVE SENSITIVE

DATA CALL #66
1-5R (17 November 1994)
UIC N00253
NUWC DIV KEYPORT

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

Notes:

1 Costs identified herein are based on the DBOF UC/Fund 4 and O&MN BS-1 Budget Exhibits, but, in consonance with the intent of BRAC Data Call #66, exclude those costs reported on the budget exhibits which are not directly related to operational support for the Division. Examples include FECA costs and Separation Incentive Pay.

2 Effort is contracted.

3 Accounting/Finance (DFAS) and Base Communications (COMNAVTELCOM) labor services will be provided without reimbursement.

4 Environmental compliance costs represent only the FY96 budgeted cost of specific projects and management of environmental programs. NUWC Division, Keyport has six designated National Priority List sites. Base closure would incur the cost of full remediation (as agreed with the State of Washington) which is estimated at over $200 million. Additionally, removal and disposal of asbestos containing materials would cost in excess of $9 million.

5 The Northwest, SOCAL, and MIDPAC Range Systems are not part of the DOD Major Range and Test Facility Base (MRTFB). These ranges are totally customer funded under DBOF.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

ANNOTATIONS TO PART 7: CONTRACT WORKYEAR DATA

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	TOTAL CONTRACT WORKYEARS	NUMBER OF WORKYEARS TO BE TRANSFERRED	NUMBER OF WORKYEARS TO BE ELIMINATED	NUMBER OF WORKYEARS REMAINING AT ACTIVITY
32586	BRMEDCL DET KEYPORT	BUMED	1	0	0	1
00253	NUWC DIV KEYPORT, WA	COMNAVSEASYS	655	**0**	**0**	**655**
		TOTALS:	656	**0**	**0**	**656**

Denotes revised data

REVISED SUBMIT
1 December 1994

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION
SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Scenario Number: 2-14-0114-012 (Revised)
Scenario Title: ALT 2 - Shipyards

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

Dennis K. Gibbs	Dennis K. Gibbs
NAME (Please type or print)	Signature
Commander	1 DEC 94
Title	Date
NUWC DIVISION, KEYPORT	
Activity	

This submittal addresses clarification requested by BSAT FAX dated 28 November 1994. It is the only response to 2-14-0114-012.

SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL SCENARIO NUMBER: 2-14-0114-012
SCENARIO TITLE: ALT 2 - SHIPYARDS
Response to clarification questions posed in BSAT Facsimile dated 28 Nov 1994
This revised submittal supersedes the previous submittal to 2-14-0114-012
This response cancels 2-14-0114-012A

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

EARLE L. MESSERE
NAME (Please type or print)

Earle L. Messere
Signature

TECHNICAL DIRECTOR
Title

1 DECEMBER 1994
Date

NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)
G. R. STERNER

Signature
G R Sterner

Title
Commander
Naval Sea Systems Command

Date
12-28-94

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

W Earner
Signature

Title

1/19/95
Date

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
CHIEF OF NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING
250 DALLAS ST
PENSACOLA FLORIDA 32508-5220

11000
Ser 00R/011
12 JAN 1995

From: Chief of Naval Education and Training
To: Chief of Naval Operations (N44)

Subj: FY 1995 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (BRAC) SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL NUMBER 4-21-0225-015D

Ref: (a) PHONCON CNET (N-4434) Ms. S. Hertel/CNO (N-441C) LCDR M. O'Connor of 11 Jan 95

Encl: (1) BRAC-95 Scenario Development Data Call: ALT2 - Training NAS - Close NAS Meridian. Close NAS Corpus Christi (hard copy submittal)

1. As requested by reference (a), enclosure (1) is provided.

C. R. GIMBEL
By direction

Command: **CNET**

FY95 BRAC Scenario Development Data Call Number 4-21-0225-015D (Additional Response)
(ALT2 - Training NAS - Close NAS Meridian. Close NAS Corpus Christi) (Hard Copy Submittal)

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

T. W. WRIGHT
NAME

Signature

CNET
Title

1-12-95
Date

CNET
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

2/3/95
Date

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	4-21-0226-015D
Scenario Title:	ALT 2 - TRAINING NAS
Date:	17 NOV 94

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	G. R. MANLEY, LCDR USN
Organization/Code:	CHIEF OF NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING/N443
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (904) 452-4092 DSN: 922-4092
Fax Number:	COMM: (904) 452-4066 DSN: 922-4066
Home Phone Number:	(904) 456-1469

Name:	J. E. EALY, JR., CAPT USN
Organization/Code:	CHIEF OF NAVAL AIR TRAINING/N44
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (512) 939-2121 DSN: 861-2121
Fax Number:	COMM: (512) 939-3109 DSN: 861-3109
Home Phone Number:	(512) 850-9437

Name:	SUE VAN COURT, CIV
Organization/Code:	NAS MERIDIAN/BRAC COORDINATOR, CODE 18100
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (601) 679-2113 DSN: 637-2113
Fax Number:	COMM: (601) 679-2157 DSN: 637-2157
Home Phone Number:	(601) 681-6461

Name:	JIM GALLAGHER
Organization/Code:	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (512) 939-3941 DSN: 861-3941
Fax Number:	COMM: (512) 939-4806 DSN: 861-4806
Home Phone Number:	(512) 993-6019

Name:	LCDR A. S. GRIFFITH
Organization/Code:	NAS KINGSVILLE/1800
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (512) 595-6464 DSN: 861-6464
Fax Number:	COMM: (512) 595-6950 DSN: 861-6950
Home Phone Number:	(512) 853-4196

Name:	WALTER R. "MARTY" MARTIN
Organization/Code:	NAS WHITING FIELD, MILTON FL CODE 30D
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (904) 623-7196 DSN: 868-7196
Fax Number:	COMM: (904) 623-7623 DSN: 868-7623
Home Phone Number:	(904) 623-6100

Name:	J. REYNOLDS, LCDR USN
Organization/Code:	NAS PENSACOLA/ADMIN OFF
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (904) 452-2616 DSN: 922-2616
Fax Number:	COMM: (904) 452-3699 DSN: 922-3699
Home Phone Number:	(904) 492-9896

Name:	RUSSELL G. ROE, LCDR SC USN
Organization/Code:	NAVY SUPPLY CORPS SCHOOL, ATHENS/03
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (706) 345-7203 DSN: 588-7203
Fax Number:	COMM: (706) 354-7348 DSN: 588-7348
Home Phone Number:	(404) 725-5887

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario. Complete the table on the next page to identify "bases" involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Note that the term **"Losing Base"** refers to host activities, independent activities or other activities specifically identified in the Scenario Development Data Call tasking which are being reduced in size, i.e., closing or being realigned. The term **"Gaining Base"** refers to host or independent activities which will be receiving sites for functions/personnel transferred from losing base(s). For example, a losing base is the activity referred to in the data call tasking, i.e., a Naval Station, Hospital, etc. **Individual tenants should not be separately listed on this table**, e.g., Branch Medical Clinic, Personnel Support Detachment, etc. Individual tenants will, however, be specifically identified in subsequent tables in the data call. The third column of the table should be used to identify relevant information regarding workload/missions to be transferred. For example, entries in this column should be short phrases such as, "missile workload", "ships", "F-14 squadrons", "tenants", etc., or to provide other clarifying information. This third column need only be completed to identify major components of the closure/realignment scenario, and should not be used to list all tenant names, etc.

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload/Missions Transferring
NAS, CORPUS CHRISTI	NAS PENSACOLA	T-44 UPT/HRO
	NAS WHITING FIELD	T-34 UPT
	NS INGLESIDE	MINE WARFARE
	NAS KINGSVILLE	ADP/NAVTRAMETOC
	UNNAMED HOST	BOS/AIRFIELD
	NTC GREAT LAKES	ROICC
	NAS NORFOLK	HM-14 SQUADRON
NAS MERIDIAN	NAS KINGSVILLE	COMTRAWING ONE: T-2 SQUADRONS, VT-19, VT-23, HRO
	NAS PENSACOLA	NAVY & MARINE ADMIN "A" & "C" TRAINING
	NAVSCSCOL ATHENS	SUPPLY "A" & "C" SCHOOLS

NOTE: If an activity/function will be relocated into leased office space, please note this fact under the column, Gaining Base, e.g., "Washington, DC - Leased Space".

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	NAS MERIDIAN MS

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining Base:** NAS KINGSVILLE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63043	NAS MERIDIAN	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	11	0	0	11
		Civilian	0	0	0	25	0	0	25
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41785	BRDENCL	Officer	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39167	BRMEDCL	Officer	0	6	2	0	0	0	8
		Enlisted	0	18	11	0	0	0	29
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43324	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47733	CTW-1 ITU	Officer	5	7	5	0	0	0	17
		Enlisted	2	4	2	0	0	0	8
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PAGE TOTAL	**Officer**	5	13	9	0	0	0	27
		Enlisted	2	22	33	0	0	0	57
		Civilian	0	0	28	0	0	0	28
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining Base:** NAS KINGSVILLE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
49153	NATMSACT	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
		Civilian	0	2	2	0	0	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09177	VT-19	Officer	0	0	31	0	0	0	31
		Enlisted	0	0	11	0	0	0	11
		Civilian	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0398A	VT-7	Officer	0	1	23	0	0	0	24
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0402A	VT-23	Officer	31	0	0	0	0	0	31
		Enlisted	13	0	0	0	0	0	13
		Civilian	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PAGE TOTAL	Officer	31	1	54	0	0	0	86
		Enlisted	13	0	14	0	0	0	27
		Civilian	3	2	5	0	0	0	10
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

To Gaining Base:NAS KINGSVILLE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
65777	NTMOD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35627	NIS RESIDENT AGENT	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30458	CTW-1 STUDENTS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	75	0	75	0	0	0	150
68322	NETPMSA NAVY CAMPUS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41273	HRO	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PAGE TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
		Civilian	1	0	1	2	0	0	4
		Mil Stu	75	0	75	0	0	0	150

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining Base**: NAS KINGSVILLE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
67389	MATSG	Officer	9	0	16	0	0	0	25
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	45	14	79	0	0	0	138
		Enlisted	15	22	50	0	0	0	87
		Civilian	4	2	36	0	0	0	42
		Mil Stu	75	0	75	0	0	0	150

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining Base**: SOUTHDIV CONT OF ATLANTA, GA (TO SUPPORT ATHENS)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
62647	ROICC	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(PER NAVFAC DIRECTION)

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

To Gaining Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI (TO SUPPORT KINGSVILLE)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
62647	ROICC	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(PER NAVFAC DIRECTION)

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining Base**: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
32739	NTTC	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45036	NTTC FMS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42141	NTTC GST	Officer	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	40	0	0	40
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30128	NTTC STUDENTS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	282	0	0	282
63043 68605	MATSG	Officer	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	35	0	0	35
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PAGE TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
		Enlisted	0	0	0	77	0	0	77
		Civilian	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	282	0	0	282

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining Base**: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63043	NAS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	8	10	0	0	18
		Civilian	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41785	BRANCH DENTAL CLINIC	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43324	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
		Civilian	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39167	BRANCH MEDICAL CLINIC	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
		Enlisted	0	0	8	95	0	0	103
		Civilian	0	0	0	12	0	0	12
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	282	0	0	282

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

From **Losing Base**: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining Base**: PENSACOLA AREA COMPLEX

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
32739	NTTC	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
		Civilian	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42141	NTTC GST	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	40	0	0	40
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30128	NTTC STUDENTS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	434	0	0	434
63043 68605	MATSG	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	11	0	0	11
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63043	NAS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PAGE **TOTAL**	**Officer**	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	1	57	0	0	58
		Civilian	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	434	0	0	434

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

From **Losing Base**: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining Base**: PENSACOLA AREA COMPLEX

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
44219 62467	ROICC	Officer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39167	BRANCH MEDICAL CLINIC	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	8	0	0	8
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41785	BRANCH DENTAL CLINIC	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43324	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	1	0	4	0	0	5
		Enlisted	0	0	1	73	0	0	74
		Civilian	0	1	5	5	0	0	11
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	434	0	0	434

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary: Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining** Base: SOUTHDIV, ATLANTA, GA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles Rationale for Relocating

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining** Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI (SOUTHDIV)

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles Rationale for Relocating

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining** Base: NAS KINGSVILLE

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	45	14	79	0	0	0	138
Enlisted Billets	15	22	50	0	0	0	87
Civilian Positions	4	2	6	30	0	0	42
Military Students	75	0	75	0	0	0	150
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	17	20.5	0	0	0	37.5
Tons of Support Equipment	0	70	45	0	0	0	115
Number of Light Vehicles	1	13	13	0	0	0	27
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	10	10	0	0	0	20

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Fire Trucks, Aircraft Maintenance Support Equipment, passenger/working vehicles, ground support equipment, IMRL, ground school training aids, and squadron operational equipment	To support T-2 Strike Training
Passenger/working Vehicle	To support investigative mission
Navy unique/classified computer hardware/software	To support NTMOD mission

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining** Base: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
Enlisted Billets	0	0	8	95	0	0	103
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	12	0	0	12
Military Students	0	0	0	282	0	0	282
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	49	0	0	0	49
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Computer Equipment, SNAP I, II, III, NALCOMIS Phase III, Microcomputers, Computer Workstations, Lab Equipment (Laundry, Ship's Store, Vending Machines, Automatic Teller Machines Reprographics/Print shop equip)	Required in Support of Training Mission Replacement Cost Expensive

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS MERIDIAN

To **Gaining** Base: NAS PENSACOLA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	1	0	4	0	0	5
Enlisted Billets	0	0	1	73	0	0	74
Civilian Positions	0	1	5	5	0	0	11
Military Students	0	0	0	434	0	0	434
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	34	0	0	0	34
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Computer Equipment mission	Required in support of training
Microcomputers, Nalcomis Phase II & III Computer work stations	Replacement cost expensive.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include **all** potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: NAS MERIDIAN

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63043	NAS MERIDIAN	Officer	0	0	5	4	0	0	9
		Enlisted	0	0	0	45	0	0	45
		Civilian	0	0	56	117	0	0	173
43324	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09251	CTW-1	Officer	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
		Enlisted	0	0	14	0	0	0	14
		Civilian	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
63599	NAS CAA CTR	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48642	NAS FSC	Officer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	1	3	1	0	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41202	NATU COLUMBUS AFB	Officer	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49153	NATMSACT	Officer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
		Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
42105	UPT	Officer	0	4	9	0	0	0	13
		Enlisted	0	57	135	0	0	0	192
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PAGE TOTAL	**Officer**	0	4	28	6	0	0	38
		Enlisted	0	58	156	53	0	0	267
		Civilian	0	0	65	117	0	0	182

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: NAS MERIDIAN

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
0398A	VT-7	Officer	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
		Enlisted	0	6	6	0	0	0	12
		Civilian	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
65777	NAVOCEANO	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
		Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
63352	NEX	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33280	NAVAL COMPUTER & TELECOM	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
32739	NTTC	Officer	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	7	0	0	7
		Civilian	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
41273	HRO	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
39167	BUMED	Officer	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	11	0	0	11
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35627	NIS RESIDENT AGENT	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	7	5	0	0	12
		Enlisted	0	6	13	18	0	0	37
		Civilian	1	0	5	8	0	0	14

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: NAS MERIDIAN

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63043	MATSG	Officer	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	4	35	12	0	0	51
		Enlisted	0	64	169	71	0	0	304
		Civilian	1	0	70	125	0	0	196

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

(see next page)

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	208	687	331	1179	2405
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	-8	-119	-66	-313	-506
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	0	0	0	0	0
D. End FY 2001:	200	568	265	866	1899
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. NAS KINGSVILLE	138	87	42	150	417
2. NTC GREAT LAKES	5	74	11	434	524
3. NAS CORPUS	6	103	12	282	403
4. SOUTHDIV ATLANTA			1		1
5. SOUTHDIV CORPUS			3		3
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	149	264	69	866	1348
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	51	304	196		551
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	0	0	0	0	0
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	200	568	265	866	1899

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements (Mothball Scenarios Only). Complete the table below to identify any permanent caretaker requirements associated with a "mothball" (deactivation) scenario. **Caretakers should only be identified if an activity will be mothballed as opposed to closed or realigned.** Scenario data call taskings will identify if this is a "mothball" scenario. This area should not be used to identify temporary caretaker requirements associated with closure of the facility. If some or all of the activity will be mothballed, as opposed to closed or realigned, then identify the number of military and/or civilian caretakers that will be required to remain permanently at the activity. Enter the number of caretakers which will be added to the activity in each year. For example, if 100 caretakers will be required in 1996, and then this number will be increased to 150 in 1997 and out, then enter 1996 = 100, 1997 = 50, leave 1998 through 2001 blank, and enter 150 as the total.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: NAS MERIDIAN							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Caretakers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary cffice space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

Cost	FY	Description
1. 0		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	65,194	90-01	Costs associated with reduction of T-45 simulator buy (2 OFT's & 1 IFT) due to consolidation of Pilot Trng at Kingsville

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

	Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
1.	50	97		Air Traffic Control Equip Removal & Planning
2.	347	98		Air Traffic Control Equip Removal & Shipping
3.	50	96	NAS KINGSVILLE	Two T-2 Simulators
4.	50	97	NAS KINGSVILLE	Two T-2 Simulators
5.	13	98	NAS KINGSVILLE	One T-2 Simulator

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must be consistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NAS KINGSVILLE TX	0	0	0	0	0	0
Description:						
2. NAVSCSCOL ATHENS	0	0	0	0	0	0
Description:						
3. PENSACOLA AREA	0	0	0	0	0	0
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

e. Net Mission Savings. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NAS KINGSVILLE TX	0	0	0	0	0	0
Description:						
2. NAVSCSCOL ATHENS	0	0	0	0	0	0
Description:						
3. PENSACOLA AREA	0	0	0	0	0	0
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	450	97	T-45 simulator maintenance costs associated with reduction of simulator buy due to consolidation of pilot training at NAS Kingsville

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
1. 0		

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
1. 0			

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN

Facility KSF Shutdown: ALL (1,289 KSF)

NOTE:

THE COUNTERDRUG TRAINING ACADEMY WILL REMAIN AT NAS MERIDIAN.

FAA REGIONAL AIR CONTROL CENTER LOCATED AT NAS MERIDIAN WILL BE DISSOLVED AND RADAR LOCATED AT NAS MERIDIAN WILL BE REMOTELY CONTROLLED BY MEMPHIS.

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary

Losing Base: NAS MERIDIAN								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs	15,934	3,380	3,380	17,500	17,500	7,500	65,194
c.	One-Time Move Costs	50	100	360	0	0	0	510
d.	Net Mission Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Net Mission Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Misc Recur Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g.	Misc Recur Savings	0	450	450	450	450	450	2,250
h.	Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)	ALL - 1,289 KSF

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base:** NAS PENSACOLA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
52812	TRAWING FOUR	Officer	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0410A	VT-31	Officer	13	13	13	0	0	0	39
		Enlisted	7	8	0	0	0	0	15
		Civilian	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55514	TRARON 31 FMS TRNG PROGRAM	Officer	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63110	CNATRA	Officer	17	0	0	0	0	0	17
		Enlisted	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
		Civilian	44	0	0	0	0	0	44
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68929	NATMSACT	Officer	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
		Enlisted	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
		Civilian	23	0	0	0	0	0	23
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	36	15	15	0	0	0	66
		Enlisted	18	8	0	0	0	0	26
		Civilian	67	1	6	0	0	0	74
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base:** NAS PENSACOLA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
67441	MATSG	Officer	6	3	3	0	0	0	12
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
00216	NAS CORPC NAS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
		Civilian	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43100	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	7	0	0	0	7
		Civilian	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68368	NLSO	Officer	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42094	NASCORPC UPT	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	6	5	3	0	0	0	14
		Enlisted	0	14	7	0	0	0	21
		Civilian	0	1	8	0	0	0	9
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base:** NAS PENSACOLA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
49151	NATMSACT CAU	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Civilian	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68322	NETPMSA	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62467	ROICC	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68113	CAAC	Officer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48636	FSC	Officer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	2	4	0	0	0	6
		Civilian	4	8	0	0	0	0	12
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**:NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base**:NAS PENSACOLA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
00285	NAVHOSP CORPUS	Officer	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	10	0	0	0	0	10
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41788	BRANCH DENTAL CLINIC CORPUS	Officer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41273	HRO	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30515	STUDENT TRAWING FOUR	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	61	62	62	0	0	0	185
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	GRAND **TOTAL**	**Officer**	420	23	20	0	0	0	85
		Enlisted	18	38	11	0	0	0	67
		Civilian	71	10	19	0	0	0	100
		Mil Stu	61	62	62	0	0	0	185

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base:** NAS WHITING FIELD

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
0406A	VT-27	Officer	32	0	0	0	0	0	32
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0407A	VT-28	Officer	0	32	0	0	0	0	32
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42332	TRARON 28 FMS TRNG	Officer	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52812	TRAWING FOUR	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30515	STUDENT TRAWING FOUR	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	115	116	0	0	0	0	231
	TOTAL	**Officer**	32	34	0	0	0	0	66
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	115	116	0	0	0	0	231

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**:NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base**:NAS WHITING FIELD

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
49151	NATMSAC CAU	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Civilian	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
00285	NAVAL HOSPITAL CORPUS	Officer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41788	BRANCH DENTAL CLINIC CORPUS	Officer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67441	MATSG	Officer	10	9	0	0	0	0	19
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42936	NIS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	42	45	0	0	0	0	87
		Enlisted	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
		Civilian	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
		Mil Stu	115	116	0	0	0	0	231

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base:** NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
57011	HQ MINE WARFARE	Officer	0	0	23	0	0	0	23
		Enlisted	0	0	26	0	0	0	26
		Civilian	0	0	15	0	0	0	15
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39055	MINE WARFARE INSPECTION GROUP	Officer	0	0	8	0	0	0	8
		Enlisted	0	0	18	0	0	0	18
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30196	COMOMAG SHORDU	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	23	0	0	0	23
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55535	COMOMAG	Officer	0	0	11	0	0	0	11
		Enlisted	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
		Civilian	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43100	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	42	0	0	0	42
		Enlisted	0	0	98	0	0	0	98
		Civilian	0	0	19	0	0	0	19
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**:NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base**:NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
68368	NLSO	Officer	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
		Enlisted	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
		Civilian	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42936	NIS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	GRAND TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	46	0	0	0	46
		Enlisted	0	0	102	0	0	0	102
		Civilian	0	6	24	0	0	0	30
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base:** NAS KINGSVILLE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
68322	NETPMSA NAVY CAMPUS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65769	NAVTRAMETOC	Officer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66956	DEFENSE PRINTING	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67441	MATSG	Officer	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
		Enlisted	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68322	NETPMSA ADP	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	3	1	0	0	0	4
		Enlisted	0	9	9	0	0	0	18
		Civilian	0	0	14	0	0	0	14
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**:NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base**:NAS KINGSVILLE

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
42094	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI UPT	Officer	14	0	0	0	0	0	14
		Enlisted	60	26	81	0	0	0	167
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
00216	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI	Officer	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	GRAND **TOTAL**	**Officer**	16	3	1	0	0	0	20
		Enlisted	60	35	90	0	0	0	185
		Civilian	0	0	14	0	0	0	14
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining Base:** NAS NORFOLK

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
N53827	HM-14	Officer	0	28	0	0	0	0	28
		Enlisted	0	395	0	0	0	0	395
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	28	0	0	0	0	28
		Enlisted	0	395	0	0	0	0	395
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base:NAS CORPUS CHRISTI							
To **Gaining** Base:NAS NORFOLK							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	28	0	0	0	0	28
Enlisted Billets	0	395	0	0	0	0	395
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles — Rationale for Relocating

NONE

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining** Base: NAS PENSACOLA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	42	23	20	0	0	0	85
Enlisted Billets	18	38	11	0	0	0	67
Civilian Positions	71	10	19	0	0	0	100
Military Students	61	62	62	0	0	0	185
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	18	0	0	0	18
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
T-44 INTERACTIVE COURSEWARE	NEEDED TO TEACH T-44 GROUNDSCHOOL (1 TON)
GOV'T OWNED PRINTING EQUIPEMENT	COULD BE USED AT ANOTHER SITE (17 TONS)

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining** Base: NAS WHITING FIELD

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	42	45	0	0	0	0	87
Enlisted Billets	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
Civilian Positions	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Military Students	115	116	0	0	0	0	231
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
T-34 INTERACTIVE COURSEWARE	STORED AT NAS WHITING

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining** Base: NAS KINGSVILLE

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	16	3	1	0	0	0	20
Enlisted Billets	60	35	90	0	0	0	185
Civilian Positions	0	0	14	0	0	0	14
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	17	2.5	0	0	0	0	19.5
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
PRINTING EQUIPMENT WEATHER EQUIPMENT	SUPPORT INCREASE OPS AT NAS KINGSVILLE

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

To **Gaining** Base: NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	46	0	0	0	46
Enlisted Billets	0	0	102	0	0	0	102
Civilian Positions	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles Rationale for Relocating

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include **all** potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
52812	TRAWING FOUR	Officer	0	2	5	0	0	0	7
		Enlisted	0	9	6	0	0	0	15
		Civilian	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
00216	NAS CORPUS	Officer	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
		Enlisted	0	0	46	0	0	0	46
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65769	NAVTRAMETOC	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
		Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
0406A	VT-27	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	16	0	0	0	0	0	16
		Civilian	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0407A	VT-28	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	16	0	0	0	0	16
		Civilian	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
43100	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
		Civilian	0	0	10	0	0	0	10
42094	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI UPT	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49151	NATMSACT CAU	Officer	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	3	10	0	0	0	13
		Enlisted	16	25	73	0	0	0	114
		Civilian	1	1	21	0	0	0	23

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
68113	NAS CAA CTR	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68217	CNATRA PAO	Officer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39223	NEX	Officer	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41273	HRO	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	10	0	0	0	10
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	3	12	0	0	0	15
		Enlisted	16	25	76	0	0	0	117
		Civilian	1	1	31	0	0	0	33

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

(see next page)

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	342	860	931	416	2549
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	+19	+329	-170	0	178
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	0	0	0	0	0
D. End FY 2001:	361	1189	761	416	2727
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. NAS NORFOLK	28	395	0	0	423
2. NAS PENSACOLA	85	67	100	185	437
3. NAS WHITING FIELD	87	9	8	231	335
4. NAS KINGSVILLE	20	185	14	0	219
5. NAVSTA INGLESIDE	46	102	30	0	178
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
E. Total Billets/ Positions Moving:	266	758	152	416	1592
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	15	117	33		165
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	*80*	*314*	**576*	*0*	*970*
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	361	1189	761	416	2727

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

* The civilian manpower authorization of 408 (UIC 00216) for FY2001 is inadequate to operate the station and airfield within a realistic scope of operation.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements (Mothball Scenarios Only). Complete the table below to identify any permanent caretaker requirements associated with a "mothball" (deactivation) scenario. **Caretakers should only be identified if an activity will be mothballed as opposed to closed or realigned.** Scenario data call taskings will identify if this is a "mothball" scenario. This area should not be used to identify temporary caretaker requirements associated with closure of the facility. If some or all of the activity will be mothballed, as opposed to closed or realigned, then identify the number of military and/or civilian caretakers that will be required to remain permanently at the activity. Enter the number of caretakers which will be added to the activity in each year. For example, if 100 caretakers will be required in 1996, and then this number will be increased to 150 in 1997 and out, then enter 1996 = 100, 1997 = 50, leave 1998 through 2001 blank, and enter 150 as the total.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers							0
Civilian Caretakers							0

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	11	96	Fly 36 T-34s to NAS Whiting
2.	11	97	Fly 35 T-34s to NAS Whiting
3.	1	96	Fly 3 T-44s to NAS Pensacola
4.	10	97	Fly 27 T-44s to NAS Pensacola
5.	10	98	Fly 27 T-44s to NAS Pensacola

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

	Cost	FY	Description	Squadron Supported
1.	8,750	99	APPLIED INST/SIMULATOR FAC. (P-411)	14 &15
2.	10,000	UP	AMCM MK-105 SLED RAMP (P-421)	14 & 15
3.	500	2000	HOT REFUELING FACILITY (P-421)	14 & 15
4.	4,800	UP	JET ENGINE TEST CELL (P-322)	14 & 15
5.	5,000	UP	BEQ CORPUS CHRISTI (P-405)	15
6.	6,000	UP	FACILITY FOR RELO OF COAST GUARD	15
7.	3,000	UP	RELOCATION OF SIMULATORS (O&M,N)	14 & 15
8.	300	UP	REPLACE HANGAR 42 ADDITION (O&M,N)	14
9.	1,210	UP	REPAIR HANGAR 42 (R13-94)	14
10.	340	UP	REPAIR GALLEY (RC23-94)	14 & 15
11.	440	UP	REPAIR HANGARS 55-58 (RC22-94)	14 & 15
12.	825	UP	REPAIR HANGAR 51 (AIMD) (R12-94	14 & 15
13.	581	UP	REPAIR/UPGRADE MOTOR (RC19-94)	14 & 15
14.	1,210	UP	REPAIR HANGAR 41 (R14-94)	15
15.	180	UP	MILVAN STORAGE	14 & 15
16.	7,500	99	LOGISTICS SUPPORT FAC (P-443)	14 & 15

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI

	Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
1.	131*	96	NAS PENSACOLA	1 2F129 T-44 SIM
2.	262*	97	NAS PENSACOLA	2 2F129 T-44 SIM
3.	262*	98	NAS PENSACOLA	2 2F129 T-44 SIM
4.	161*	96	NAS PENSACOLA	1 2B37 (1 2C42 IN STORAGE)
5.	292*	97	NAS PENSACOLA	3 2B37 (1 IN STORAGE)
6.	60*	98	NAS PENSACOLA	2 2B37 IN STORAGE

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must be consistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet

Losing Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NAS NORFOLK	0	160	160	160	160	160
Description: TRANSIT FLIGHT HOURS TO/FROM NORVA & GULF OF MEXICO						
2. NAS NORFOLK	0	160	160	160	160	160
Description: TEMADD COSTS (BERTHING & MESSING)						
3. NAS NORFOLK	0	336	336	336	336	336
Description: EQUIPMENT TRANSPORTATION COSTS TO/FROM CORPUS CHRISTI						
4. UNNAMED HOST CMD	2895	2895	2895	2895	2895	2895
Description: 78 MAN SHORTFALL FOR BOS/AIRFIELD OPS. COST/MANYEAR IS $37,124						
5.						
Description:						

d.(1) Net Mission Costs Methodology.

a. **Item 1.** Annual cost of flight hours to fly a four helo det to/from NAS NORVA to Gulf of Mexico to participated in integrated MCM exercises. ROM cost based on 80 total flight hours required for these helos.

b. **Item 2.** TEMADD costs (messing/berthing) of squadron personnel needed to support a four helo Detachment, once per quarter. Item 2 costs figured on berthing available, messing available.

c. **Item 4.** Transportation costs associated with contracting trucks to transport mission equipment associated with four helo detachment deployed from NORVA to Gulf of Mexico once per quarter to participate in AMCM exercises.

e. Net Mission Savings. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NAS NORFOLK	0	400	400	400	400	400
Description: TEMADD DECREASES USING LOCAL SCHOOLS						
2. NAS NORFOLK	0	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500
Description: PCS COSTS TO/FROM CORPUS TO OTHER AREAS						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

e.(1) Net Mission Costs (Cost Decreases) Methodology.

a. Item 1. TEMADD costs savings associated with squadrons' ability to send personnel "No Cost" to local (NORVA area) technical training schools not available at NAS C.C./NAS Kingsville. ROM costs based on squadron current confirmed quotas for schools (approx. 200 quotas per year) times average TEMADD cost of 1K (transportation/per diem) per person/quota.

b. Item 2. Annual PCS dollar costs associated with transfer of enlisted personnel to/from squadron. Calculation used as follows: 3100X 473 = 1.5M dollars per year. Calculation of this figure based on following:

1. Average CONUS enlisted PCS (per move) cost - $3100.00

2. Squadron turnover approximates 1/3 of enlisted population per year.

3. Total enlisted manning (both squadrons) 790. Applying 1/3 turnover factor equals 263. Because personnel will rotate both in and out of squadron this number has multiplied by 2 or 526 per shifts each year.

4. A ROM figure of 53 (10 percent times 526) was subtracted from 526. Figure accounted for estimated portion of enlisted "PCS" population able to rotate "No Cost" to/from squadron and surrounding bases at NAS CC and NAS Kingsville.

NOTE: Officer PCS costs (savings and costs) were considered off-setting and not factored into either table.

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	36	96	LEASE 3000 SQ FT FOR ROICC, UIC 44215

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	235K	96	PCS REDUCTION DUE TO CONSOLIDATION OF PRIMARY/INTERMEDIATE HELO FLIGHT TRAINING IN THE PENSACOLA/WHITING AREA
2.	520k	97	"
3.	945K	1998-2001	"
4.	28K	1996-2001	ARANSAS - ROCKPORT COUNTY AIRPORT LEASE TERMINATION

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI

Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
1. NONE	WALDRON AND CABANISS WILL BE CLOSED AND TRANSFERRED TO GSA FOR DISPOSAL.	

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI

Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
1. 0			

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI

Facility KSF Shutdown: 1463

BRAC-95 SECNARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary

Losing Base: NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	1	21	21	0	0	0	43
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	*0
c.	One-Time Move Costs	292	554	322	0	0	0	1168
d.	Net Mission Costs	2895	3551	3551	3551	3551	3551	20650
e.	Net Mission Savings	0	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	9500
f.	Misc Recur Costs	36	36	36	36	36	36	216
g.	Misc Recur Savings	263	548	973	973	973	973	4679
h.	Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0

j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)	1463

* DOES NOT INCLUDE $46,961K FOR ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY UNFUNDED OR UNPROGRAMMED.

** DOES NOT INCLUDE $3,825K IN UNPROGRAMMED PROCUREMENT COST AVOIDANCES FOR ESSENTIAL REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE CURRENTLY UNFUNDED OR UNPROGRAMMED.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAS NORFOLK

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.NONE -			

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

Cost	FY	Description
1. NONE		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS NORFOLK

Cost	FY	Description
1.NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAS NORFOLK

Cost	FY	Description
1. NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS NORFOLK

Annual Cost	FY	Description
1. NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS NORFOLK

Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAS NORFOLK

Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAS NORFOLK								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	00	000	00	00	00	00	00
b.	One-Time Unique Savings	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
c.	Environ. Mitigation	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
f.	Land Purchases	00	00	00	00	00	00	00

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:
SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAS NORFOLK

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	105,220		Replacement of 2 inadequate Seaplane hangars. Replacement was a cost avoidance in planned move to Corpus.
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - AIRFIELD LTG - ARRESTING GEAR - LTG VAULT - NAVAIDS	 $ $ $ $	 $ $ $ $	

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAVAL AIR STATION, WHITING FIELD

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS WHITING FIELD

Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.$000	N/A		

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS WHITING FIELD

Cost	FY	Description
1.$000	N/A	

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS WHITING FIELD

Cost	FY	Description
1.$000	N/A	

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAS WHITING FIELD

Cost	FY	Description
1.$000	96	

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS WHITING FIELD

Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.$000	N/A	

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS WHITING FIELD

Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.$000	N/A	

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAS WHITING FIELD

Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.$000	NONE		

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAS WHITING FIELD

		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b.	One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:
SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAS WHITING FIELD

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	NONE	NONE	
Berthing (FB)	NONE	NONE	
Air Maintenance (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Other Operations (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Administrative (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Training (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Maintenance (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Bachelor Quarters (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Supply/Storage (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Dining Facilities (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Personnel Support (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Communications (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Ship Maintenance (SF)	NONE	NONE	
RDT&E (SF)	NONE	NONE	
POL Storage (BL)	NONE	NONE	
Ammo Storage (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Medical Facilities (SF)	NONE	NONE	
Environmental	$000	$0	
Other: - - -	 $000 $000 $000	 $000 $000 $000	

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: N68891 NAVSTA INGLESIDE

Cost	FY	Location	Description
1. NONE			

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	*81	96	LEASE 5400 SQ FT INTERIM SPACE TO SUPPORT N30196, COMOMAG
2.	163	98	LEASE 10350 SQ FT INTERIM SPACE TO SUPPORT N57011, COMINEWARCOM
3.	59	98	LEASE 3900 SQ FT INTERIM SPACE TO SUPPORT N39055, COMINEWARINSPGRU
4.	15	98	LEASE 1000 SQ FT INTERIM SPACE TO SUPPORT NAVY CAMPUS

* PENDING CONSTRUCTION OF PERMANENT FACILITY. ANNUAL COST COMPUTED AT $15 PER SQ FT (CURRENT COST OF FACILITY LEASES IN THIS AREA)

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE

Cost	FY	Description
1. NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	300	96	INCREMENTAL CHANGE IN SCOPE FOR CURRENT SOUTH TEXAS COASTAL BEND MINE WARFARE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY. (NEW CONSTRUCTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE, MEDICAL, OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT, TRAINING SPACE, AND EOD EXPLOSIVE DEMOLITION TRAINING AREA.

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	12	98	ADDITIONAL GALLEY MESSING CONTRACT COST DUE TO EXCEEDING CONTRACT THRESHOLDS.

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: N68891 NAVSTA INGLESIDE

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	41	98	REDUCED CONTRACTED SHUTTLE BUS SERVICE BETWEEN NAVSTA INGLESIDE AND NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: N68891 NAVSTA INGLESIDE

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	7,500	150	97	ACREAGE IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO CURRENT WEST BOUNDARY FOR EOD NEW CONSTRUCTION AND EXPLOSIVE TRAINING AREA.

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE

		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	81	81	318	0	0	0	480
b.	One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Environ. Mitigation	300	0	0	0	0	0	300
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	12	12	12	12	48
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	41	41	41	41	164
f.	Land Purchases	0	7,500	0	0	0	0	7,500

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:
SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	0	0	
Berthing (FB)	0	0	
Air Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
Other Operations (SF)	79860	0	EOD
Administrative (SF)	24564	0	COMINEWARCOM, COMOMAG, EOD, COMINEWARINSPGRU
Training (SF)	1000	0	NAVY CAMPUS
Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
Bachelor Quarters (SF)	0	0	
Supply/Storage (SF)	0	0	
Dining Facilities (SF)	0	0	
Personnel Support (SF)	13125	0	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER (350 KIDS)
Communications (SF)	0	0	
Ship Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
RDT&E (SF)	0	0	
POL Storage (BL)	0	0	
Ammo Storage (SF)	1100	0	EOD MAGAZINE
Medical Facilities (SF)	1700	0	DENTAL CLINIC EXPANSION
Environmental	$300	$0	EIS/EA

Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAS KINGSVILLE

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS KINGSVILLE

Cost	FY	Location	Description
1. NONE			

NO COST IMPACTS ON COMMUNITY. PRESENT INFRASTRUCTURE HAS MORE THAN SUFFICIENT CAPACITY TO ACCOMMODATE INCREASED POPULATION. ONLY EXCEPTION IS QUANTITY OF HOUSING AVAILABLE, HOWEVER, PLANS ARE IN WORK TO BUILD AMPLE SINGLE FAMILY HOMES AND APARTMENTS ON ALREADY FULLY UTILITIZED PROPERTIES.

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS KINGSVILLE TX

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	16	96	NIS SECURITY ALARM SYSTEM
2.	3.4	98	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE CONTRACT
3.	1.15	98	PER DIEM (MILPERS)
4.	3.4	99	AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE CONTRACT
5.	1.15	99	PER DIEM (MILPERS)
6.	821	98	AVIATION FUEL PROCUREMENT AT CIVILIAN FIELD
7.	30	98	FRESNEL LENS MAINTENANCE (3 LOCATIONS)
8.	821	99	AVIATION FUEL PROCUREMENT AT CIVILIAN FIELDS
9.	30	99	FRESNEL LENS MAINTENANCE (3 LOCATIONS)

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS KINGSVILLE

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAS KINGSVILLE

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	275	96	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT PROCESS NAS KINGSVILLE
2.	275	96	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT PROCESS NEW OLF
3.	825	96	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT PROCESS 33 COMMERCIAL FIELDS

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS KINGSVILLE

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	200	00	FACILITY MAINTENANCE
2.	30	00	PURCHASED UTILITIES
3.	129	00	AIRCRAFT REFUELER CONTRACT
4.	35	00	CESE MAINTENANCE
5.	15	00	ARRESTING GEAR MAINTENANCE
6.	8	00	ELECTRONIC PARTS

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS KINGSVILLE

Annual Savings	FY	Description
1. NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAS KINGSVILLE

Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1. 2600	1300	96	OLF LAND ACQUISITION

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAS KINGSVILLE								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	16	855.55	855.55	0	0	0	1727.10
b.	One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Environ. Mitigation	1375	0	0	0	0	0	1375
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	417	417	834
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Land Purchases	2600	0	0	0	0	0	2600

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:
SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAS KINGSVILLE

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	577000		ADDITIONAL PARKING APRONS NALF ORANGE GROVE (17,500 SY); NEW OLF REQUIREMENTS: 356,000 SY RUNWAY; 134,000 SY TAXIWAY; 27,500 SY APRON; 42,000 SY BLAST PAVEMENT
Berthing (FB)	0	0	
Air Maintenance (SF)		87,800	HANGAR & OTHER FACILITIES (NAS KINGS)
Other Operations (SF)	4,000	0	OPS BLDG/CRASH CREW
Administrative (SF)	0	25,900	TRAWING 2 HQ (NASKINGS)
Training (SF)	0	4,000	CLASSROOMS & OPS TRAILERS (8) (NASKINGS)
Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
Bachelor Quarters (SF)	0	0	
Supply/Storage (SF)	20,400	0	WAREHOUSING FOR REQUIREMENT FOR T45 AIRCRAFT PARTS (NASKINGS)
Dining Facilities (SF)	0	0	
Personnel Support (SF)	0	0	
Communications (SF)	2,500	0	CONTROL TOWER
Ship Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
RDT&E (SF)	0	0	
POL Storage (BL)	1,400	0	FUEL STORAGE
Ammo Storage (SF)	0	0	
Medical Facilities (SF)	0	0	

Medical Facilities (SF)	0	0	
Environmental	$0	$0	
Other:			
- R/W LTG	$ 2,100	$0	
- R/W CENTERLINE LTG	$ 850	$0	
- T/W LTG	$ 540	$0	
- WAVE-OFF/WHEELS UP LTG	$ 500	$0	
- SIMULATED CARRIER DECK LTG	$ 500	$0	
- AIRFIELD LTG VAULT	$ 500	$0	
- UTILITIES	$ 1,500	$0	
- ROADS	$ 1,500	$0	
- PERIMETER FENCE	$ 500	$0	
- NAVAIDS (LENSES, MIRRORS, ELECTRICAL)	$ 300	$0	
- ARRESTING GEAR	$ 200	$0	
- ILS/PAR SITE PREP	$ 600	$0	
- CARRIER DECK LTG		$500	1 ADD'L SYSTEM (NASKINGS)

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

ALT 1 & 2 - BUILD NEW OLF

- RUNWAYS

  2 RW @ 8,000' x 200' WIDE = 356,00 SY
  356,000 @ $51.11/SY = $18.2 MIL

- TAXIWAYS

  8,000' x 2 x75' WIDE = 134,000 SY
  134,000 SY x $48.03/SY = $6.4 MIL

- APRONS FOR 22 AIRCRAFT

  22 AIRCRAFT x 1250 SY PER AIRCRAFT = 27,500 SY
  27,500 SY x $69.10/SY = $1.9 MIL

- BLAST PAVEMENT

  2500LF X 150' WIDE = 42,000 SY
  42,000 SY @ $25.70/SY = 1.1 MIL

- OPS BLDG/CRASH CREW

  27 PERSONNEL x 150 SF/PERSON = 4,000 SF
  4000 SF X $115/SF = $460,000

- CONTROL TOWER BLDG

  (2488 SF X $200/SF) + $600,000 FOR EQUIP TOTAL = $1.1 MIL

- FUEL STORAGE - 75,000 GAL CAPACITY

  3 - 25,000 GAL TANK = 75,000 GAL CAPACITY
  3 EACH @ $133,000 = $400,000

- R/W LTG

  8,000 LF X 2 RW X 2 SIDES = 32,000 LG
  32,000 LF X $66/LF = $2.1 MIL

- R/W CENTERLINE LIGHTING

  8,000 LF X 2 RW = 16,000 LF
  16,000 LF @ $53.25/LF = $850,000

- T/W LIGHTING

  4,350 LF X 2 TW X 2 SIDES = 17,400 LF
  17,400 LF X $31.25/LF = $540,000

ALT 1 & 2 - BUILD NEW OLF (CONT)

- WAVE OFF/WHEELS UP LIGHTING

  2 EACH FOR EACH R/W = 4 EACH
  4 EACH * $125,000 = $500,000

- SIMULATED CARRIER DECK LIGHTING

  1 EACH FOR 1 R/W @ $500,000

- AIRFIELD LIGHTING VAULT

  1 EACH @ $500,000

- UTILITIES - WATER, SEWER, ELEC

  WATER - $400,000
  SEWER - $300,000
  ELEC - $800,000
  $ 1.5 MIL TOTAL

- ROADS

  5 MILS (EST)
  (5,280 LF)(5)(20' WIDE) = 58,700 SY
  58,700 SY @ $25.55/SY = $1.5 MIL

- PERIMETER FENCE

  (9.5 MILES)(5,280 LF)($10/LF) = $500,000

- NAVAIDS - LENSES, MIRRORS, ELECTRICAL

  $150,000 PER R/W X 2 = $300,000

- ARRESTING GEAR

  (2 EA PER R/W)(2R/W) = 4 EACH TOTAL
  4 EACH X $50,000/EACH = $200,000

- ILS/PAR SITE PREP

  ($300,000 EACH SYSTEM)(2) = $600,000

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

NAS KINGSVILLE AND NALF OG ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

ADDITIONAL PARKING APRON AT NALF ORANGE GO.

157,500 SF FOR 14 ADDITIONAL AIRCRAFT @ $10.16/SF = $1.6 MIL

WAREHOUSE REQUIREMENT FOR T-2 AIRCRAFT

PARTS - T2: 14,400 SF
PERSONAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT: 6,000 SF

TOTAL 20,400 SF @ $68.63/SF = $1.4 MIL

AIR MAINTENANCE

1. RPR HANGAR 3741 -
OH SPACE: 36,892 SF @ $15/SF = $.55 MIL
O1 SHOPSPACE: 10728 SF @ $20/SF = $.22 MIL
O2 SQD SPACE: 13,274 SF @ $40/SF = $.53 MIL
BLDG 785: 11,030 SF @ $20/SF = $.22 MIL

72,000 SF $1.52 MIL

2. CONSTRUCT A/C LINE OPS FACILITY
480 SF @ $104/SF = $50,000

3. RPR ENGINE SHOP - BLDG 2713
10,000 SF @ $25/SF = $250,000

4. RPR AVIONICS SHOPS - BLDG 2713
5300 SF @ $26/SF = 137,000

TRAINING

1. RPR ACADEMIC INST BLDG 1781
4,000 SF @ $15/SF = $60,000

2. RELOCATE OPS TRNR TRAILERS
8 EA @ $15,000/EA = $120,000

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

SPACE JUSTIFICATION FOR TRAWING 2 HEADQUARTERS RELOCATION

SCENARIO TO MOVE STRIKE TRAINING TO NAS KINGSVILLE WILL REQUIRE THE USE OF 25,920 GSF OF TYPE 1 HANGAR "02" ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE. HANGAR 3741 IS PRESENTLY AVAILABLE AND CAN PROVIDE ONLY 13,724 GSF OF ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE. THE REMAINDER OF THE BASIC FACILITY REQUIREMENT CAN BE ACCOMMODATED BY USING BUILDING 785 WHICH IS LOCATED IN THE PROXIMITY OF THE FLIGHT LINE AND HAS APPROXIMATELY 11,030 GSF AVAILABLE. BOTH OF THESE FACILITIES COULD BE EASILY CONVERTED TO SQUADRON SUPPORT SPACE; HOWEVER, THIS WILL REQUIRE THE RELOCATION OF THE COMMANDER, TRAINING AIR WING TOW HEADQUARTERS TO BUILDING 2741 WHICH IS PRESENTLY AN ABANDONED, EXCESSED FACILITY. ADDITIONAL SPACE DUE TO THE TOTAL T45 INVENTORY BEING RELOCATED TO THIS ACTIVITY. STRIKE SITS ITU WILL RELOCATED WITH THE COMTRAWING TWO MOVE AND BUILDING 3743 WILL BECOME AVAILABLE FOR T45 CONTRACTOR REQUIREMENTS.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

COMTRAWING II - RELOCATION INTO 2741
"PARTIAL RENOVATION"

WING 3FR CALCULATIONS BASED ON NAVFAC P-80 CRITERIA:

BLDG 2741 IS AN ABANDONED EXCESS BUILDING.

PERSONNEL MOVEMENT: FIGURES BASED ON BRAC 95 SCENARIO FAXED TO NASKINGS 23 NOV 94

	EXISTING ALLOWANCE				A BRACUIC	OFF	ENL	
	UIC	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
WING	09239	14	22	14	0	0	2	52
ITU	47734	15	15	0	17	8	0	55
NEPMSA	CNATRA	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
NATMSCT	49149	1	8	9	0	3	4	25
TOTALS		30	45	23	17	17	6	138

GROSS FLOOR AREA COMPUTATIONS PER NAVFAC P-80 (CCN 610-10)

NET FLOOR AREA = 130 NSF/BUILDING OCCUPANT 130X138 = 17,940 NSF

+ SPECIAL PURPOSE ROOMS:
AUDITORIUM (100 SEATS X 10 NSF/SEAT) + 150 = 1150 NSF
ELEVATOR ADA RQMNT'S
ELEVATOR SHAFT (8X12) = 96
ELEVATOR EQUIP ROOM (16X8) = 128
ELEVATOR LOBBY (26X12) = 312
TOTAL ELEVATOR X 3 FLOORS (536X3) = 1608 NSF

SUBTOTAL NET SQUARE FEET (NSF) = 20,698 NSF

X 1.25 GROSS SQ FT CONVERSION FACTOR X 1.25%

FOR MECH. ROOMS/RR/CIRCULATION INTO 2747 25,873 GSF

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAS PENSACOLA, UIC 00204

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS PENSACOLA

Cost	FY	Location	Description
1. NO IMPACT TO COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE.			

NOTE: THIS DATA DOES NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY JOINT TRAINING INITIATIVES CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS PENSACOLA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	80	96	INSTALLATION OF AN ADDITIONAL HYDRAULIC PUMP IN THE SIMULATOR BUILDING FOR T44 SIMULATOR
2.	60	96	REPOSITION PENSACOLA SIMULATORS TO ACCOMMODATE ARRIVING T44 SIMULATORS
3.	60	96	INSTALLATION OF ONE T44 SIMULATOR
4.	120	97	INSTALLATION OF TWO T44 SIMULATORS
5.	120	98	INSTALLATION OF TWO T44 SIMULATORS
6.	544	96	FURNITURE FOR BOQ (FOR 65 ROOMS) (PRORATED REDUCTION
7.	783	96	PERSONAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (FURNITURE FOR 592 ROOMS)
8.	53	97	TRAINING EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION, BLDG 604

NOTE: THIS DATA DOES NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY JOINT TRAINING INITIATIVES CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS PENSACOLA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NAS PENSACOLA WILL NOT EXPERIENCE ANY ONE-TIME UNIQUE SAVINGS.		

NOTE: THIS DATA DOES NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY JOINT TRAINING INITIATIVES CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAS PENSACOLA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	150	96	ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
2.	380	96	* BUILDING 604 ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP COST.

NOTE: THIS DATA DOES NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY JOINT TRAINING INITIATIVES CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

* THIS DOES NOT COVER CLEANUP COSTS FOR THE ELECTRICAL PLATING SHOP.

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS PENSACOLA

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NAS PENSACOLA WILL NOT EXPERIENCE ANY MISCELLANEOUS RECURRING COSTS.		

NOTE: THIS DATA DOES NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY JOINT TRAINING INITIATIVES CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS PENSACOLA

Annual Savings	FY	Description
1. NAS PENSACOLA WILL NOT EXPERIENCE MISCELLANEOUS RECURRING SAVINGS.		

NOTE: THIS DATA DOES NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY JOINT TRAINING INITIATIVES CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAS PENSACOLA

Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1. NAS PENSACOLA WILL NOT PURCHASE ANY LAND TO SUPPORT THIS MOVE.			

NOTE: THIS DATA DOES NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY JOINT TRAINING INITIATIVES CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAS PENSACOLA

		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	1,527	173	120	0	0	0	1.7MIL
b.	One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Environ. Mitigation	530	0	0	0	0	0	530
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

NOTE: THIS DATA DOES NOT TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ANY JOINT TRAINING INITIATIVES CURRENTLY UNDER CONSIDERATION.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:
SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name:NAS PENSACOLA, UIC 00204/ PENSACOLA AREA COMPLEX

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	0	0	
Berthing (FB)	0	0	
Air Maintenance (SF)	16,380	0	CONSTRUCTION OF 2 WASH RACKS TO SUPPORT ADDITIONAL AIRCRAFT.
Other Operations (SF)	0	0	
Administrative (SF)	14,100	15,750	CNATRA STAFF BLDG 3221 SPACE FOR HRO PERSONNEL FM MERIDIAN
Training (SF) **(NOTE 1)**	0	52100 SF	BLDG 3813/BLDG 604
Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
Bachelor Quarters (SF) **(NOTE 2)**	66,300 BOQ 171,680 BEQ		COMPLETE BY FY97 NEW BOQ/BEQ TO SUPPORT ADDITIONAL STUDENTS *
Supply/Storage (SF)	0	0	
Dining Facilities (SF)	0	0	
Personnel Support (SF)	0	0	
Communications (SF)	0	750	BLDG 604 TO INCLUDE TRNG COMPUTER ROOM
Ship Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
RDT&E (SF)	0	0	
POL Storage (BL)	0	0	
Ammo Storage (SF)	0	0	
Medical Facilities (SF)	380SF		ADD TO CURRENT BRACON P-654T

Environmental	$0	$0	
Other: - - -	 $0 $0 $0	 $0 $0 $0	

* BOQ SQUARE FOOTAGE IS AT 780 GROSS SF PER OFFICER.

NOTE 1 - 6,100 SF FOR UPT RELOCATION (BLDG3813) AND 46,000SF FOR NTTC MERIDIAN (BLDG 604)

NOTE 2 - BOQ TO SUPPORT 3 -8 UPT RELOCATION FROM NAS CORPUS. BEQ TO SUPPORT NTTC MERIDIAN RELOCATION (592 E-1 TO E-4 (AOB + 20%); 65 OFFICERS))

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAVSCSCOL ATHENS, GA

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

Cost	FY	Location	Description
1. NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	127	97	UPGRADE OF COMPUTER HARDWARE AND COMPUTER FURNITURE WHICH WILL ALLOW CONSOLIDATION OF AUTOMATED LAB INSTRUCTION. THIS WILL MAKE SIX 30-PERSON CLASSROOMS AVAILABLE FOR THE INCREASED STUDENT LOAD.

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

Cost	FY	Description
1. NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

Annual Cost	FY	Description
1. NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

Annual Savings	FY	Description
1. NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1. NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name:NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *	0	127	0	0	0	0	127
b.	One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:
SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAVSCSCOL ATHENS

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	7,920		240 AUTOS @ 33SY
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)			
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)	1,137	8,000	1,137 FOR LAUNDRY LAB, ESTIMATE $150 MRP. 8,000 OF EXISTING FACILITY TO BE RECONFIGURED FOR CLASSROOMS, EST. $250 MRP
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)	79,377		STANDARD FOR 282 ENLISTED
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)	3,900	5,000	
Personnel Support (SF)	2,800	4,000	NEW CONSTRUCTION - CDC REHABILITATION - EM CLUB, EST. $250 MRP
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

Activity 63043 NAS MERIDIAN

PART 1: MANPOWER DATA - HOST AND TENANTS. This data is provided to assist you in identifying military billets and civilian positions which will either be relocated or eliminated as a result of closure or realignment. Officer (OFF), Enlisted (ENL) and Civilian (CIV) numbers reflect end strength, not on-board counts. The "Planned Force Structure Reduction" column represents the difference between projected "Beginning of FY 1996" and projected "End of FY 2001" end strength. The source of this data is the BUPERS/NAVCOMPT/CMC data bases in support of the FY 1996/1997 OSD Submit. Review this list and make any necessary annotations, including the addition or deletion of lines of data to accurately reflect the host and tenant population. Note that Military Students (STU) must be shown as an Average On-Board (AOB) count. If a significant student population is located at the activity, then all students need to be identified in this table. Student data need only be provided for the "End of FY 2001" column of the table. If any numbers are changed, please provide a revised set of totals at the end of the listing.

			BEGIN FY 1996				PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES				END FY 2001			
UIC	NAME	Major Claimant	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU
N63043	NAS MERIDIAN	CNET	18	205	263	0	-9	-126	-63	0	9	79	200	0
N41785	BRDENCL MERIDIAN	BUMED	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	10	0	0
N39167	BRMEDCL NAS MERIDIAN	BUMED	11	49	0	0	1	0	0	0	12	49	0	0
N32739	NAVTECHTRACEN MERIDIAN	CNET	3	10	14	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	14	0
N43324	PERSUPP DET	CINCLANTFLT	1	18	13	0	0	1	-1	0	1	19	12	0
N09251	CTW-1	CNET	8	13	7	0	0	1	0	0	8	14	7	0
N47733	CTW-1 ITU	CNET	17	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	8	0	0
N68599	NAS MERIDIAN CAA CTR	CNET	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
N40642	NAS MERIDIAN FSC	CNET	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0
N41202	NATU COLUMBUS AFB	CNET	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
N49153	NAVAITRG MGT SPT ACT	CNET	1	7	7	0	0	0	-1	0	1	7	6	0
N43880	NTTC MERIDIAN	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N43879	NTTC MERIDIAN AIR	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N43878	NTTC MERIDIAN LOG	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N45036	NTTC FMS TRNG	CNET	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
N42141	NTTC GENERAL SKILL TRNG	CNET	3	75	0	0	0	5	0	0	3	80	0	0
N43882	NTTC GST AIR	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N46741	NTTC GST ELECTRONIC	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N43881	NTTC GST LOGISTICS	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N42105	UNDERGRAD PILOT TRNG	CNET	13	192	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	192	0	0
N09177	VT-19	CNET	31	11	3	0	0	0	0	0	31	11	3	0
N0398A	VT-7	CNET	31	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	31	12	3	0
N0399A	VT-9(INACTIVE)	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N68605	MARINE AV TRNG SPT	COMMARCOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

Activity 62043 NAS MERIDIAN

UIC	NAME	Major Claimant	BEGIN FY 1996 OFF	BEGIN FY 1996 ENL	BEGIN FY 1996 CIV	BEGIN FY 1996 STU	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES OFF	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES ENL	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES CIV	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES STU	END FY 2001 OFF	END FY 2001 ENL	END FY 2001 CIV	END FY 2001 STU
M63043	MATSS-983 MATSG90	COMMARCOR	3	43	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	43	0	0
M63043	MCSF CADRE NAS MER	COMMARCOR	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
M30128	NTTC MARINE STUDENTS	COMMARCOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N30128	NTTC NAVY STUDENTS	CNET	0	0	0	944	0	0	0	-258	0	0	0	716
M63043	SUADPS OPS CTR NTTC	COMMARCOR	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
N44219	ROICC	COMNAVFAC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
N65777	NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHY	COMNAVOCEANC	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	2	0
N88242	CTW-1 RESERVE DET 182	COMNAVRESFOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N63352	NAVY EXCHANGE DET	COMNAVSUPSYS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
N33288	NAVAL COMP & TELECOMM	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
N35627	NIS RESIDENT AGENCY	SECNAV	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
N47232	CONTRACT SERV AIRCRF	UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N0402A	VT-23	CNET	31	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	31	13	3	0
N30458	CTW-1 STUDENTS	CNET	0	0	0	205	0	0	0	-55	0	0	0	150
N68322	NETPMSA NAVY CAMPUS	CNET	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
N62467	ROICC	COMNAVFAC	0	0	6	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	5	0
M67389	MATSG	COMMARCOR	25	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	25	0	0	0
HRO DET (FROM DETACHMENT PAGE)													5	
		TOTAL:	208	687	326	1179	-8	-119	-65	-313	200	568	265	866

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 2: MANPOWER DATA - DETACHMENTS. This is a list of detachments belonging to the activity being considered for closure or realignment. Please review this list and determine which, if any, of these detachments will also be closed as a result of this action. If so, note this fact in the "Closed?" column, and then identify the fiscal year in which the detachment will be closed. For any detachments which will be closed, corresponding numbers of billets/positions must be incorporated both into the "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and also the "Eliminated and Relocated Billets/Positions" data in your data call response. Manpower numbers shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001" data is used in your response, as well as ensuring that you do not double count any numbers already shown on Part 1 of this attachment.

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	CITY	STATE	OFF	NON-ENL	CIV	DOD	CLOSED?	FY
N41273	HRO DET	CNET	MERIDIAN	MS	0	0	5	0	YES	1999
				TOTALS:	0	0	5	0		

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 4: MANPOWER DATA - NON-DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (DON) TENANTS. This is a list of non-DON tenant activities located at the installation. If any of these tenants need to be relocated as a result of the closure/realignment action, then identify the number of billets/positions to be relocated, the fiscal year in which the relocation will take place, and the name/location of the receiving site. Manpower numbers associated with these relocations must then be incorporated into the total "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and the "Relocated Billets/Positions" data in the data call response. Manpower numbers shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001"

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	OFF	ENL	CIV	DOD	FY	RECEIVING BASE
D49221	DECA DET	DECA	0	5	22	0		
X47232	CONTRACT SERV FOR AIRCRF	UNKNOWN	0	0	0	0		
		TOTALS:	0	5	22	0		

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 5: TOTAL FACILITY SQUARE FEET. This is the total Class 2 facility square feet, excluding family housing, MWR and utilities, as reported in the Naval Facilities Assets Data Base (NAFDB). This figure is used in determining the number of square feet which will be "shut down" as a result of the closure action.

Total Facility Square Feet (in thousands): 1,289

PART 6: BASE OPERATING SUPPORT (BOS) COST DATA. This is the total BOS costs reported for the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data and ensure that it is consistent with FY 1996 OSD Submit budget data. If BOS cost data needs to be revised, specific revisions should be noted on a revised copy of the appropriated Data Call 66 table(s), which should then be returned with this data call response.

			***** O&M, etc. ****				**** DBOF ****				**** TOTAL ****			
UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY
43324	PERSUPP DET	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	59	1037	0	0	0	0	0	0	59	1037
33280	NAVAL COMPUTER &	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39167	BRMEDCL NAS MERIDIAN	BUMED	0	0	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	0
41785	BRDENCL MERIDIAN	BUMED	7	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	17	0
63043	NAS MERIDIAN	CNET	3229	2405	4990	16461	0	0	0	0	3229	2405	4990	16461
32739	NAVTECHTRACEN MERIDIAN	CNET	24	0	20	942	0	0	0	0	24	0	20	942
		TOTALS:	3260	2405	5143	18440	0	0	0	0	3260	2405	5143	18440

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 7: CONTRACT WORKYEAR DATA. This is the total contract workyear data reported by the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data, especially the columns regarding contract workyears which will either be eliminated to transferred as a result of the closure/realignment action. Sum of workyears transferred + eliminated + remaining at activity must equal Total Contract Workyears.

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	TOTAL CONTRACT WORKYEARS	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE TRANSFERRED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE ELIMINATED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS REMAINING AT ACTIVITY
43324	PERSUPP DET	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	0	0
33280	NAVAL COMPUTER & TELECOMMSAT	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	0	0
39167	BRMEDCL NAS MERIDIAN	BUMED	0	0	0	0
41785	BRDENCL MERIDIAN	BUMED	1	1	0	0
63043	NAS MERIDIAN	CNET	660	549	111	0
32739	NAVTECHTRACEN MERIDIAN	CNET	21	21	0	0
		TOTALS:	682	571	111	0

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

Activity 00216 NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

PART 1: MANPOWER DATA - HOST AND TENANTS. This data is provided to assist you in identifying military billets and civilian positions which will either be relocated or eliminated as a result of closure or realignment. Officer (OFF), Enlisted (ENL) and Civilian (CIV) numbers reflect end strength, not on-board counts. The "Planned Force Structure Reduction" column represents the difference between projected "Beginning of FY 1996" and projected "End of FY 2001" end strength. The source of this data is the BUPERS/NAVCOMPT/CMC data bases in support of the FY 1996/1997 OSD Submit. Review this list and make any necessary annotations, including the addition or deletion of lines of data to accurately reflect the host and tenant population. Note that Military Students (STU) must be shown as an Average On-Board (AOB) count. If a significant student population is located at the activity, then all students need to be identified in this table. Student data need only be provided for the "End of FY 2001" column of the table. If any numbers are changed, please provide a revised set of totals at the end of the listing.

		Major	BEGIN FY 1996				PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES				END FY 2001			
UIC	NAME	Claimant	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU
N00205	NAVHOSP CORPUS CHRISTI	BUMED	73	261	138	0	0	0	-14	0	73	261	124	0
N00216	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI	CNET	16	120	547	0	-9	-68	-139	0	7	52	408	0
N48636	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI FSC	CNET	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
N41788	BRDENCL CORPUS CHRISTI	BUMED	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	0	0
N61798	NAVRESCEN CORPUS CHRISTI	COMNAVRESFOR	1	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0
N47537	DEPMED FH15 NH CORPUS	BUMED	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0
N46890	DEPMEDS NAVHOSP CORPUS	BUMED	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0
N31030	INSERVTRNG NAVHOSP CORP	BUMED	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
N00285	NAVAL HOSPITAL	BUMED	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N45894	NAVHOSP CORPUS NEU	BUMED	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
N57011	MINE WARFARE COMMAND	CINCLANTFLT	23	28	18	0	0	-2	-3	0	23	26	15	0
N39055	MINE WARFARE INSP GRP	CINCLANTFLT	8	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	18	0	0
N43100	PSD	CINCLANTFLT	1	13	22	0	0	1	-2	0	1	14	20	0
N66629	CBU407	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N63110	CNATRA	CNET	16	6	44	0	1	0	0	0	17	6	44	0
N60217	CNATRA/PAO	CNET	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
N68322	NETPMSA ADP SUPPORT	CNET	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0
N30037	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI BRIG	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N68113	NAS CORPUS CHRSTI CAA CTR	CNET	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0
N42094	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI UPT	CNET	14	176	0	0	0	6	0	0	14	182	0	0
N49151	NATMSACT CAU CORPUS	CNET	1	3	8	0	0	0	-1	0	1	3	7	0
N68929	NAVAL AIR TRNG MGMT	CNET(NOTE 1)	5	5	23	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	23	0
N68322	NAVY CAMPUS NETPMSA	CNET	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
N42332	TRARON 28 FMS TRNG PROG	CNET	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
N55514	TRARON 31 FMS TRNG PROG	CNET	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
N52812	TRAINING AIRWING FOUR	CNET	10	13	17	0	0	2	0	0	10	15	17	0
N53827	HM 14	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	0	0	28	395	0	0	28	395	0	0

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

ACTIVITY: 00216 NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

							PLANNED FORCE							
		Major	BEGIN FY 1996				STRUCTURE CHANGES				END FY 2001			
UIC	NAME	Claimant	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU
N55535	COMOMAG	CLF	11	31	1	0	0	-1	+3	0	11	30	4	0
N0406A	VT-27	CNET	32	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	16	0	0
0606A	VT-27	CNET	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
N0407A	VT-28	CNET	32	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	16	0	0
0407A	VT-28	CNET	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
N0410A	VT-31	CNET	39	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	15	0	0
0410A	VT-31	CNET	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
N68376	NAV DATA AUTOMATION FAC	CNO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N68368	NAVAL LEGAL SVC OFF	CNO	6	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	6	6	5	0
N00216	CCAD CORPUS CHRISTI	COMMARCOR	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0
N68479	CO C 1ST BN 23D MAR	COMMARCOR	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
N67708	I&I STF CORPUS CHRISTI	COMMARCOR	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
N00216	I-I CORPUS CCO 1/23	COMMARCOR	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0
N14115	INSP/INSTR CO "C"	COMMARCOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N67441	MATSG	COMMARCOR(NOTE)	34	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	9	0	0
N61339	NAV TRNG SYS CNTR FIELD	COMNAVAIRSYSC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62467	OICC/ROICC	COMNAVFAC	0	0	23	0	0	0	-11	0	0	0	12	0
N44215	ROICC	COMNAVFAC	3	1	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	2	0	0	0
N65769	NAVAL TRAINING	COMNAVOCEANC	1	18	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	2	0
N81998	MIUWU 108	COMNAVRESFOR	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0
N66956	DEFENSE PRINTING SER	COMNAVSUPSYS	0	0	9	0	0	0	-3	0	0	0	6	0
N39223	NAVY EXCHANGE	COMNAVSUPSYS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
68567	NAVDAF CORPUS CHRISTI	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0
N33276	NTCC CORPUS CHRISTI	COMNAVTELCOM	1	8	13	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	13	0
N48875	DECA CORPUS CHRISTI	DECA	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
N00012	ASST UNDER SEC OF THE	SECNAV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N42936	NIS	SECNAV	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
N30196	COMOMAG SHORDU	CLF	0	26	0	0	0	-3	0	0	0	23	0	0
N30515	STU TRAWING 4 CORPUS	CNET	0	0	0	416	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	416

NOTE: OF 70 OFFICERS & 10 ENLISTED, ONLY 34 OFF/9ENL ARE PHYSICALLY LOCATED AT CORPUS. 36 OFF/1 ENL ARE PHYSICALLY LOCATED AT KINGSVILLE.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

ACTIVITY: 00216 NAS CORPUS CHRISTI

UIC	NAME	Major Claimant	BEGIN FY 1996 OFF	BEGIN FY 1996 ENL	BEGIN FY 1996 CIV	BEGIN FY 1996 STU	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES OFF	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES ENL	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES CIV	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES STU	END FY 2001 OFF	END FY 2001 ENL	END FY 2001 CIV	END FY 2001 STU
41273	HRO DET		0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0
		TOTALS:	342	860	931	416	+19	+329	-170	0	361	1189	761	416

NOTE 1:

UIC 68929 CONTAINS ALL CIVPERS BILLETS FOR THAT COMMAND AND ITS DETACHMENTS. THE BILLETS ARE ATTACHED TO THAT UIC FOR RECORDKEEPING/BUDGETARY PURPOSES ONLY. THE ACTUAL BILLETS ARE SPREAD BETWEEN THE PARENT UIC AND THE DETACHMENT LOCATED AT THE FIVE TRAINING AIR STATIONS. UIC 68729 HAS ONLY 23 BILLETS PHYSICALLY LOCATED AT THAT UIC (BOTH FY96/2001), UIC 49151 (DET) HAS 8 (FY96)/7(FY01), AND UIC 49513 (MERIDIAN DET) HAS 7 (FY96)/6(FY01). THE BALANCE ARE AT THE OTHER NAS'S (25-FY96/24-FY01).

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL

ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 4: MANPOWER DATA - NON-DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (DON) TENANTS. This is a list of non-DON tenant activities located at the installation. If any of these tenants need to be relocated as a result of the closure/realignment action, then identify the number of billets/positions to be relocated, the fiscal year in which the relocation will take place, and the name/location of the receiving site. Manpower numbers associated with these relocations must then be incorporated into the total "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and the "Relocated Billets/Positions" data in the data call response. Manpower numbers shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001"

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	OFF	ENL	CIV	DOD	FY	RECEIVING BASE
W45NFM	302ND ENGINEERING BATTALION	UNKNOWN	0	5	1	0		
W45CA5	AREA MAINTENANCE SUPP ACT	UNKNOWN	0	0	15	0		
WOD319	ARMY VETERNARIAN	UNKNOWN	1	7	0	0		
Z20245	COAST GUARD GROUP	UNKNOWN	36	154	0	0		
WOMUAA	CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT	UNKNOWN	12	5	3029	0		
HQCMCY	DECA	UNKNOWN	0	0	34	0		
HQ0115	DFAS	UNKNOWN	0	0	8	0		
W45HO8	DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT	UNKNOWN	1	1	212	0		
SI2637	DEFENSE REUTILIZATION AND MKT	UNKNOWN	0	0	16	0		
6974M1	FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY	UNKNOWN	0	0	1	0		
WOMCAA	RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT	UNKNOWN	0	0	2	0		
N47111	US CUSTOMS OFFICE	UNKNOWN	0	0	106	0		
		TOTALS:	50	172	3421	0		

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 5: TOTAL FACILITY SQUARE FEET. This is the total Class 2 facility square feet, excluding family housing, MWR and utilities, as reported in the Naval Facilities Assets Data Base (NAFDB). This figure is used in determining the number of square feet which will be "shut down" as a result of the closure action.

Total Facility Square Feet (in thousands): 1,958

PART 6: BASE OPERATING SUPPORT (BOS) COST DATA. This is the total BOS costs reported for the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data and ensure that it is consistent with FY 1996 OSD Submit budget data. If BOS cost data needs to be revised, specific revisions should be noted on a revised copy of the appropriated Data Call 66 table(s), which should then be returned with this data call response.

			***** O&M, etc. ****				**** DBOF ****				**** TOTAL ****			
UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY
43100	PSD	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	98	1150	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	1150
66956	DEFENSE PRT SVC BRCH	COMNAVSUPSUSCO	0	0	0	0	4	0	23	0	4	0	23	0
33276	NTTC CORPUS CHRISTI	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
41788	BRANCH DENTAL CLINIC	BUMED	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0
68576	NAVDAF CORPUS CHRISTI	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	0	0	44	0	18	0	44	0	18	0
00285	NAVAL HOSPITAL	BUMED	755	75	1108	3449	0	0	0	0	755	75	1108	3449
00216	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI	CNET	8956	4000	4450	19005	0	0	0	0	8956	4000	4450	19005
68929	NAVAL AIR TRNG MGMT	CNET	0	0	0	411	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	411
68368	NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE	CNO	0	0	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	0
63110	CNATRA	CNET	0	0	174	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	174	0
61978	NAVRESCEN CORPUS	COMNAVRESFOR	29	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	42	0
		TOTALS:	9740	4075	5926	24015	48	0	41	0	9788	4075	5967	24015

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA

PART 7: CONTRACT WORKYEAR DATA. This is the total contract workyear data reported by the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data, especially the columns regarding contract workyears which will either be eliminated to transferred as a result of the closure/realignment action. Sum of workyears transferred + eliminated + remaining at activity must equal Total Contract Workyears.

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	TOTAL CONTRACT WORKYEARS	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE TRANSFERRED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE ELIMINATED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS REMAINING AT ACTIVITY
43100	PSD	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	0	0
66956	DEFENSE PRINTING SERVICE	COMNAVSUPSYS	0	0	0	0
33276	NTTC CORPUS CHRISTI	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	0	0
41788	BRANCH DENTAL CLINIC	BUMED	0	0	0	0
68576	NAVDAF CORPUS CHRISTI	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	0	0
00285	NAVAL HOSPITAL	BUMED	37	25	12	0
00216	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI	CNET	308	201	107	0
68929	NAVAL AIR TRAINING MGMT SPT	CNET	0	0	0	0
68368	NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE OFFICE	CNO	0	0	0	0
63110	CNATRA	CNET	68	68	0	0
61978	NAVRESCEN CORPUS CHRISTI	COMNAVRESFOR	4	4	0	0
68322	NETPMSA	CNET	9	9	0	0
		TOTALS:	426	307	119	0

BRAC-95 Scenario Family Housing Data

Scenario No.:	4-21-0225-015D
Losing Base:	NAS CORPUS CHRISTI
Date:	12/13/94

1. Percentage of Family Housing which can be shut down at the Losing Base:

0 %

2. Family Housing Construction Requirements at Gaining Bases:

Gaining Base Name	No. of New Units	No. of Existing Units to be Rehab.
NAS NORFOLK	0	
NAS PENSACOLA	0	
NAS WHITING FIELD	0	
NAS KINGSVILLE	0	
NS INGLESIDE	0	

3. Purchase of Land at Gaining Bases for Family Housing Construction:

Gaining Base Name	No. of Acres	Cost ($000)

4. Additional Comments:

Losing Base: No impact on family housing large residual requirement at Corpus.

Gaining Base: No impact on family housing large residual requirement at Corpus.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. E. BUFFINGTON, RADM, CEC, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

COMMANDER
Title

1/30/95
Date

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

2/14/95
Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

CAPTAIN DON G. MORRIS
NAME (Please type or print)

Donald G. Morris
Signature

DIRECTOR OF HOUSING
Title

27 January 1995
Date

NAVFACENGCOM
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Joseph C. Ward
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Housing Management Specialist
Title

1/26/95
Date

Resource
Division

Family Housing
Department

NAVFACENGCOM
Activity

Enclosure (1)

BRAC-95 Scenario Family Housing Data

Scenario No.:	4-21-0225-015D
Losing Base:	NAS MERIDIAN
Date:	12/13/94

1. Percentage of Family Housing which can be shut down at the Losing Base:

100 %

2. Family Housing Construction Requirements at Gaining Bases:

Gaining Base Name	No. of New Units	No. of Existing Units to be Rehab.
NAS KINGSVILLE	0	
NAS PENSACOLA	0	
NAVSCSCOL ATHENS	0	

3. Purchase of Land at Gaining Bases for Family Housing Construction:

Gaining Base Name	No. of Acres	Cost ($000)

4. Additional Comments:

Losing Base: -132 Units. Inactivation cost are $38K and $56K for caretaker cost.

Gaining Base: Not enough personnel to require housing.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. E. BUFFINGTON, RADM, CEC, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

COMMANDER
Title

1/30/95
Date

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

2/14/95
Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

CAPTAIN DON G. MORRIS
NAME (Please type or print)

Donald G. Morris
Signature

DIRECTOR OF HOUSING
Title

27 January 1995
Date

NAVFACENGCOM
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Joseph C. Ward
NAME (Please type or print)

Housing Management Specialist
Title

Resource
Division

Family Housing
Department

NAVFACENGCOM
Activity

J C Ward
Signature

1/26/95
Date

Enclosure (1)

BRAC-95 Scenario Family Housing Data

Scenario No.:	4-21-0225-015D
Losing Base:	NAS MERIDIAN
Date:	12/13/94

1. Percentage of Family Housing which can be shut down at the Losing Base:

100 %

2. Family Housing Construction Requirements at Gaining Bases:

Gaining Base Name	No. of New Units	No. of Existing Units to be Rehab.
NAS KINGSVILLE	0	
NAS PENSACOLA	0	
NAVSCSCOL ATHENS	0	

3. Purchase of Land at Gaining Bases for Family Housing Construction:

Gaining Base Name	No. of Acres	Cost ($000)

4. Additional Comments:

Losing Base: -132 Units. Inactivation cost are $38K and $56K for caretaker cost.

Gaining Base: Not enough personnel to require housing.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. E. BUFFINGTON, RADM, CEC, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

COMMANDER
Title

1/30/95
Date

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

2/14/95
Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

CAPTAIN DON G. MORRIS
NAME (Please type or print)

Donald G. Morris
Signature

DIRECTOR OF HOUSING
Title

27 January 1995
Date

NAVFACENGCOM
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Joseph C. Ward
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Housing Management Specialist
Title

1/26/95
Date

Resource
Division

Family Housing
Department

NAVFACENGCOM
Activity

Enclosure (1)

COBRA REALIGNMENT SUMMARY (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/2
Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Starting Year : 1996
Final Year : 1999
ROI Year : 2000 (1 Year)

NPV in 2015($K): -499,428
1-Time Cost($K): 124,587

Net Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	37,181	26,147	23,288	-16,175	-20,450	-3,900	46,091	0
Person	-798	-3,235	-12,479	-25,719	-31,133	-31,133	-104,498	-31,133
Overhd	4,224	560	-1,356	-5,479	-10,775	-10,775	-23,602	-10,775
Moving	2,744	1,840	3,982	2,210	0	0	10,777	0
Missio	2,895	1,651	1,651	1,651	1,651	1,651	11,150	1,651
Other	-13,275	-2,203	-2,383	-17,406	-17,500	-7,500	-60,267	0
TOTAL	32,970	24,760	12,704	-60,918	-78,208	-51,658	-120,349	-40,258

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
POSITIONS ELIMINATED							
Off	0	7	47	13	0	0	67
Enl	16	89	245	71	0	0	421
Civ	2	1	101	130	0	0	234
TOT	18	97	393	214	0	0	722
POSITIONS REALIGNED							
Off	145	85	146	10	0	0	386
Enl	93	104	262	168	0	0	627
Stu	251	178	137	716	0	0	1,282
Civ	75	20	104	17	0	0	216
TOT	564	387	649	911	0	0	2,511

Summary:

Close NAS Meridian
Consolidate Strike Training at NAS Kingsville
Relocate NTTC to NAS Pensacola and NAVSSCSCOL Athens
Close NAS Corpus Christi
Relocate Undergraduate Pilot Training to NAS Pensacola and NAS Whiting Field
Non-Department of the Navy Tenants and Naval Hospital remain on base at Corpus
Scenario 015 D

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	37,260	32,947	24,098	0	0	0	94,305	0
Person	1,337	2,233	4,040	4,216	3,782	3,782	19,390	3,782
Overhd	5,777	6,064	8,694	8,980	7,657	7,657	44,829	7,657
Moving	2,958	2,011	4,217	2,371	0	0	11,557	0
Missio	2,895	3,551	3,551	3,551	3,551	3,551	20,650	3,551
Other	2,659	1,177	997	94	0	0	4,927	0
TOTAL	52,887	47,982	45,597	19,212	14,990	14,990	195,659	14,990

Savings ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	79	6,800	810	16,175	20,450	3,900	48,214	0
Person	2,136	5,468	16,519	29,935	34,915	34,915	123,888	34,915
Overhd	1,553	5,503	10,050	14,460	18,432	18,432	68,431	18,432
Moving	215	170	235	161	0	0	780	0
Missio	0	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	9,500	1,900
Other	15,934	3,380	3,380	17,500	17,500	7,500	65,194	0
TOTAL	19,916	23,222	32,893	80,130	93,198	66,648	316,008	55,248

TOTAL ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	91,705,311	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	2,600,000	
Total - Construction		94,305,311
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	475,741	
Civilian Early Retirement	192,126	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	893,972	
Unemployment	75,168	
Total - Personnel		1,637,007
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	8,720,259	
Mothball / Shutdown	3,440,000	
Total - Overhead		12,160,259
Moving		
Civilian Moving	3,191,549	
Civilian PPS	2,102,400	
Military Moving	3,850,475	
Freight	784,987	
One-Time Moving Costs	1,628,000	
Total - Moving		11,557,411
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	1,675,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	3,252,000	
Total - Other		4,927,000
Total One-Time Costs		124,586,988
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	48,214,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	780,574	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	65,194,000	
Total One-Time Savings		114,188,574
Total Net One-Time Costs		10,398,414

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	178,403	
Civilian Early Retirement	68,616	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	232,056	
Unemployment	28,188	
Total - Personnel		507,263
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	3,628,510	
Mothball / Shutdown	1,828,750	
Total - Overhead		5,457,260
Moving		
Civilian Moving	2,012,250	
Civilian PPS	345,600	
Military Moving	2,025,858	
Freight	305,758	
One-Time Moving Costs	1,168,000	
Total - Moving		5,857,467
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	43,000	
Total - Other		43,000
Total One-Time Costs		11,864,990
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	32,735,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	408,786	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		33,143,786
Total Net One-Time Costs		-21,278,796

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	297,338	
Civilian Early Retirement	123,510	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	661,916	
Unemployment	46,980	
Total - Personnel		1,129,743
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	5,091,749	
Mothball / Shutdown	1,611,250	
Total - Overhead		6,702,999
Moving		
Civilian Moving	1,179,299	
Civilian PPS	1,756,800	
Military Moving	1,824,617	
Freight	479,229	
One-Time Moving Costs	460,000	
Total - Moving		5,699,945
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	94,000	
Total - Other		94,000
Total One-Time Costs		13,626,687
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	15,479,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	371,788	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	65,194,000	
Total One-Time Savings		81,044,788
Total Net One-Time Costs		-67,418,100

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	46,712,000	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	2,600,000	
Total - Construction		49,312,000
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	1,375,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	1,712,000	
Total - Other		3,087,000
Total One-Time Costs		52,399,000
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		52,399,000

ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 5/9
Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS NORFOLK, VA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0
Total One-Time Costs		0
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		0

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	24,058,727	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		24,058,727
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	150,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	1,276,000	
Total - Other		1,426,000
Total One-Time Costs		25,484,727
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		25,484,727

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	7,342,744	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		7,342,744
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	150,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		150,000
Total One-Time Costs		7,492,744
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		7,492,744

ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 8/9
Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0
Total One-Time Costs		0
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		0

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	13,591,840	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		13,591,840
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	127,000	
Total - Other		127,000
Total One-Time Costs		13,718,840
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		13,718,840

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

All Costs in $K

Base Name	Total MilCon	IMA Cost	Land Purch	Cost Avoid	Total Cost
NAS CORPUS CHRISTI	0	0	0	-32,735	-32,735
NAS MERIDIAN	0	0	0	-15,479	-15,479
NAS KINGSVILLE	46,712	0	2,600	0	49,312
NAS NORFOLK	0	0	0	0	0
NAS PENSACOLA	24,059	0	0	0	24,059
NAVSTA INGLESIDE	7,343	0	0	0	7,343
NAS WHITING FIELD	0	0	0	0	0
NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA	13,592	0	0	0	13,592
Totals:	91,705	0	2,600	-48,214	46,091

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
			Total Construction Cost:			0
			+ Info Management Account:			0
			+ Land Purchases:			0
			- Construction Cost Avoid:			32,735
					TOTAL:	-32,735

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*

Total Construction Cost:	0
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	15,479
TOTAL:	-15,479

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Apron Parking	HORIZ	0	n/a	577,000	n/a	29,200
NALF Orange Grove						
Hangar, other	AIROP	87,800	n/a	0	n/a	1,957
Maintenance Facility						
Administrative	ADMIN	25,900	n/a	0	n/a	1,925
TRAWING 2 HQ						
Supply/storage	STORA	0	n/a	20,400	n/a	1,400
Warehousing Req for T2 parts						
Other Ops	OPERA	0	n/a	4,000	n/a	460
OPS bldg/crash crew						
Training	SCHLB	4,000	n/a	0	n/a	180
Classrooms and Operational Trainers						
Communications	COMFC	0	n/a	2,500	n/a	1,100
New control Tower						
Carrier landing ligh	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	500
Purchase 1 system and install						
NAVAIDS	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	300
Purchase and install						
AirField Lighting	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	3,990
Purchase for New OLF						
Arresting Gear	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	1,300
Purchase for New OLF						
LTG Vault	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	500
Repair vault						
POL Storage	POLST	0	n/a	1,400	n/a	400
Fuel Storage						
Utilities/Roads/Fenc	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	3,500
Utilities, Roads and Perimeter Fence for new OLF						

Total Construction Cost:	46,712
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	2,600
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	49,312

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Administrative	ADMIN	15,750	n/a	14,100	n/a	3,192
Office space required for NAS Corpus B 3221						
Air Maintenance	AIROP	0	n/a	16,380	n/a	400
Construction of two washracks to support additional aircraft						
Training	SCHLB	6,100	n/a	0	n/a	335
B3831 for upt						
BEQ	BACHQ	0	0	119,881	15,053	15,053
BEQ for NTTC						
Training	SCHLB	46,000	4,874	0	0	4,874
B 604 for NTTC						
Communications	COMFC	750	121	0	0	121
B 604 to include trng computer room						
Medical Facilities	MEDFC	0	0	380	83	83
Add to current BRACON						

Total Construction Cost:	24,059
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	24,059

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Administrative COMMINWARCOM, etc	ADMIN	0	0	24,564	4,446	4,446
Training Navy Campus	SCHLB	0	0	1,000	159	159
Personnel Support Child Dev Center	RECFC	0	0	13,125	2,318	2,318
Medical Facilities Dental Clinic Expansion	MEDFC	0	0	1,700	420	420

Total Construction Cost:	7,343
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	7,343

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Parking Lot	HORIZ	0	n/a	4,125	n/a	144
Parking Lot for 250 additional cars						
Training	SCHLB	9,137	n/a	0	n/a	400
Rehab old classrooms and includes 1137 SF for operational laundry lab						
BEQ	BACHQ	0	0	79,373	10,590	10,590
BEQ for NTTC						
Dining Facilities	DINFC	5,000	860	3,900	894	1,754
EDF for NTTC						
Personnel Support	RECFC	4,000	n/a	2,800	n/a	704
New CDC and Rehab existing space and convert to EM club						

Total Construction Cost:	13,592
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	13,592

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

TOTAL PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		75	20	104	17	0	0	216
Early Retirement*	10.00%	7	2	8	2	0	0	19
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	4	1	4	1	0	0	10
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	12	3	11	3	0	0	29
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		4	1	4	1	0	0	10
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		48	13	77	10	0	0	148
Civilian Positions Available		27	7	27	7	0	0	68
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		2	1	101	130	0	0	234
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	10	13	0	0	23
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	6	7	0	0	13
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	16	20	0	0	36
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	6	8	0	0	14
Priority Placement#	60.00%	2	1	61	78	0	0	142
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	2	4	0	0	6
Civilians Moving		0	0	2	4	0	0	6
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		75	20	104	17	0	0	216
Civilians Moving		48	13	79	14	0	0	154
New Civilians Hired		27	7	25	3	0	0	62
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		7	2	18	15	0	0	42
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		4	1	10	9	0	0	24
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		2	1	61	78	0	0	142
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		27	7	25	3	0	0	62

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

+ The Percentage of Civilians Not Willing to Move (Voluntary RIFs) varies from base to base.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		71	18	63	0	0	0	152
Early Retirement*	10.00%	7	2	3	0	0	0	12
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	4	1	2	0	0	0	7
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	11	3	5	0	0	0	19
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	4	1	2	0	0	0	7
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		45	11	51	0	0	0	107
Civilian Positions Available		26	7	12	0	0	0	45
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		1	1	31	0	0	0	33
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Priority Placement#	60.00%	1	1	19	0	0	0	21
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		7	2	6	0	0	0	15
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		4	1	4	0	0	0	9
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		1	1	19	0	0	0	21
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		4	2	41	17	0	0	64
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	5	2	0	0	7
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	1	0	6	3	0	0	10
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		3	2	26	10	0	0	41
Civilian Positions Available		1	0	15	7	0	0	23
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		1	0	70	130	0	0	201
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	7	13	0	0	20
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	4	7	0	0	11
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	11	20	0	0	31
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	4	8	0	0	12
Priority Placement#	60.00%	1	0	42	78	0	0	121
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	2	4	0	0	6
Civilians Moving		0	0	2	4	0	0	6
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	12	15	0	0	27
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	6	9	0	0	15
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		1	0	42	78	0	0	121
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		4	2	50	0	0	0	56
Civilians Moving		3	2	32	0	0	0	37
New Civilians Hired		1	0	18	0	0	0	19
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		1	0	18	0	0	0	19

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS NORFOLK, VA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		71	10	24	5	0	0	110
Civilians Moving		45	5	17	5	0	0	72
New Civilians Hired		26	5	7	0	0	0	38
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		26	5	7	0	0	0	38

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	30	0	0	0	30
Civilians Moving		0	0	30	0	0	0	30
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	8	0	0	0	0	8
Civilians Moving		0	6	0	0	0	0	6
New Civilians Hired		0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	2	0	0	0	0	2

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	12	0	0	12
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	9	0	0	9
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	3	0	0	3
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	3	0	0	3

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
342	860	416	931

FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	19	0	0	0	0	0	19
Enlisted	329	0	0	0	0	0	329
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	-170	0	0	0	0	0	-170
TOTAL	178	0	0	0	0	0	178

BASE POPULATION (Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
361	1,189	416	761

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
To Base: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	16	3	1	0	0	0	20
Enlisted	60	35	90	0	0	0	185
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	14	0	0	0	14
TOTAL	76	38	105	0	0	0	219

To Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	42	23	20	0	0	0	85
Enlisted	18	38	11	0	0	0	67
Students	61	62	62	0	0	0	185
Civilians	71	10	19	0	0	0	100
TOTAL	192	133	112	0	0	0	437

To Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	46	0	0	0	46
Enlisted	0	0	102	0	0	0	102
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
TOTAL	0	0	178	0	0	0	178

To Base: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	42	45	0	0	0	0	87
Enlisted	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
Students	115	116	0	0	0	0	231
Civilians	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
TOTAL	157	178	0	0	0	0	335

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Out of NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	100	71	67	0	0	0	238
Enlisted	78	82	203	0	0	0	363
Students	176	178	62	0	0	0	416
Civilians	71	18	63	0	0	0	152
TOTAL	425	349	395	0	0	0	1,169

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2
Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

SCENARIO POSITION CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	-3	-12	0	0	0	-15
Enlisted	-16	-25	-76	0	0	0	-117
Civilians	-1	-1	-31	0	0	0	-33
TOTAL	-17	-29	-119	0	0	0	-165

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
108	709	0	576

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
208	687	1,179	331

FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	-8	0	0	0	0	0	-8
Enlisted	-119	0	0	0	0	0	-119
Students	-313	0	0	0	0	0	-313
Civilians	-66	0	0	0	0	0	-66
TOTAL	-506	0	0	0	0	0	-506

BASE POPULATION (Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
200	568	866	265

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:

To Base: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	45	14	79	0	0	0	138
Enlisted	15	22	50	0	0	0	87
Students	75	0	75	0	0	0	150
Civilians	4	2	36	0	0	0	42
TOTAL	139	38	240	0	0	0	417

To Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
Enlisted	0	0	1	73	0	0	74
Students	0	0	0	434	0	0	434
Civilians	0	0	5	5	0	0	10
TOTAL	0	0	6	516	0	0	522

To Base: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
Enlisted	0	0	8	95	0	0	103
Students	0	0	0	282	0	0	282
Civilians	0	0	0	12	0	0	12
TOTAL	0	0	8	395	0	0	403

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Out of NAS MERIDIAN, MS):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	45	14	79	10	0	0	148
Enlisted	15	22	59	168	0	0	264
Students	75	0	75	716	0	0	866
Civilians	4	2	41	17	0	0	64
TOTAL	139	38	254	911	0	0	1,342

SCENARIO POSITION CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	-4	-35	-13	0	0	-52
Enlisted	0	-64	-169	-71	0	0	-304
Civilians	-1	0	-70	-130	0	0	-201
TOTAL	-1	-68	-274	-214	0	0	-557

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
0	0	0	0

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
151	511	317	329

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	16	3	1	0	0	0	20
Enlisted	60	35	90	0	0	0	185
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	14	0	0	0	14
TOTAL	76	38	105	0	0	0	219

From Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	45	14	79	0	0	0	138
Enlisted	15	22	50	0	0	0	87
Students	75	0	75	0	0	0	150
Civilians	4	2	36	0	0	0	42
TOTAL	139	38	240	0	0	0	417

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAS KINGSVILLE, TX):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	61	17	80	0	0	0	158
Enlisted	75	57	140	0	0	0	272
Students	75	0	75	0	0	0	150
Civilians	4	2	50	0	0	0	56
TOTAL	215	76	345	0	0	0	636

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
309	783	467	385

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS NORFOLK, VA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
1,083	5,816	183	3,592

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
1,083	5,816	183	3,592

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
708	1,627	1,943	2,052

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	42	23	20	0	0	0	85
Enlisted	18	38	11	0	0	0	67
Students	61	62	62	0	0	0	185
Civilians	71	10	19	0	0	0	100
TOTAL	192	133	112	0	0	0	437

From Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
Enlisted	0	0	1	73	0	0	74
Students	0	0	0	434	0	0	434
Civilians	0	0	5	5	0	0	10
TOTAL	0	0	6	516	0	0	522

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAS PENSACOLA, FL):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	42	23	20	4	0	0	89
Enlisted	18	38	12	73	0	0	141
Students	61	62	62	434	0	0	619
Civilians	71	10	24	5	0	0	110
TOTAL	192	133	118	516	0	0	959

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
797	1,768	2,562	2,162

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
173	1,723	0	156

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	46	0	0	0	46
Enlisted	0	0	102	0	0	0	102
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
TOTAL	0	0	178	0	0	0	178

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	46	0	0	0	46
Enlisted	0	0	102	0	0	0	102
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
TOTAL	0	0	178	0	0	0	178

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
219	1,825	0	186

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
262	673	123	214

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	42	45	0	0	0	0	87
Enlisted	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
Students	115	116	0	0	0	0	231
Civilians	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
TOTAL	157	178	0	0	0	0	335

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAS WHITING FIELD, FL):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	42	45	0	0	0	0	87
Enlisted	0	9	0	0	0	0	9
Students	115	116	0	0	0	0	231
Civilians	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
TOTAL	157	178	0	0	0	0	335

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
349	682	354	222

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
53	66	208	63

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
Enlisted	0	0	8	95	0	0	103
Students	0	0	0	282	0	0	282
Civilians	0	0	0	12	0	0	12
TOTAL	0	0	8	395	0	0	403

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	6	0	0	6
Enlisted	0	0	8	95	0	0	103
Students	0	0	0	282	0	0	282
Civilians	0	0	0	12	0	0	12
TOTAL	0	0	8	395	0	0	403

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
59	169	490	75

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	34,660	32,947	24,098	0	0	0	91,705
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	2,600	0	0	0	0	0	2,600
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIF	79	20	198	178	0	0	476
Civ Retire	32	9	82	69	0	0	192
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	132	35	115	33	0	0	315
POV Miles	7	2	5	1	0	0	14
Home Purch	458	124	476	131	0	0	1,190
HHG	325	88	327	91	0	0	831
Misc	34	9	34	10	0	0	87
House Hunt	101	27	86	22	0	0	237
PPS	58	29	893	1,123	0	0	2,102
RITA	205	55	201	55	0	0	516
FREIGHT							
Packing	133	94	155	226	0	0	608
Freight	14	37	60	6	0	0	118
Vehicles	0	26	26	0	0	0	52
Driving	0	3	3	0	0	0	6
Unemployment	12	3	31	28	0	0	75
OTHER							
Program Plan	3,431	2,573	1,930	785	0	0	8,720
Shutdown	725	608	1,153	954	0	0	3,440
New Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	342	604	682	0	0	0	1,628
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	29	27	26	12	0	0	95
POV Miles	23	21	24	10	0	0	78
HHG	929	695	921	527	0	0	3,072
Misc	166	132	182	124	0	0	605
OTHER							
Elim PCS	22	156	556	158	0	0	894
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,675	0	0	0	0	0	1,675
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	984	1,177	997	94	0	0	3,252
TOTAL ONE-TIME	47,180	39,505	33,263	4,639	0	0	124,587

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,470	5,882	1,470
BOS	1,585	2,846	4,093	5,722	5,722	5,722	25,689	5,722
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	1,191	2,044	3,171	3,782	3,782	3,782	17,753	3,782
OTHER								
Mission	2,895	3,551	3,551	3,551	3,551	3,551	20,650	3,551
Misc Recur	36	36	48	48	465	465	1,098	465
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	5,707	8,477	12,334	14,573	14,990	14,990	71,072	14,990
TOTAL COST	52,887	47,982	45,597	19,212	14,990	14,990	195,659	14,990

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	79	6,800	810	16,175	20,450	3,900	48,214
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	215	170	235	161	0	0	780
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	15,934	3,380	3,380	17,500	17,500	7,500	65,194
TOTAL ONE-TIME	16,228	10,350	4,425	33,836	37,950	11,400	114,188

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	24	65	172	452	642	642	1,997	642
O&M								
RPMA	1,244	3,572	6,468	9,272	10,323	10,323	41,203	10,323
BOS	23	868	1,945	3,272	6,003	6,003	18,114	6,003
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	51	127	2,719	8,590	11,893	11,893	35,274	11,893
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	269	2,342	4,645	5,144	5,144	17,544	5,144
Enl Salary	265	2,007	7,548	12,790	13,968	13,968	50,547	13,968
House Allow	1,819	3,065	3,910	3,910	3,910	3,910	20,523	3,910
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	9,500	1,900
Misc Recur	263	998	1,464	1,464	1,464	1,464	7,117	1,464
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	3,689	12,871	28,468	46,294	55,248	55,248	201,819	55,248
TOTAL SAVINGS	19,916	23,222	32,893	80,130	93,198	66,648	316,008	55,248

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	34,581	26,147	23,288	-16,175	-20,450	-3,900	43,491
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	111	29	280	247	0	0	668
Civ Moving	1,468	531	2,382	1,698	0	0	6,079
Other	4,510	3,789	3,796	1,768	0	0	13,863
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	956	862	1,475	671	0	0	3,964
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,675	0	0	0	0	0	1,675
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	-14,950	-2,203	-2,383	-17,406	-17,500	-7,500	-61,942
Land	2,600	0	0	0	0	0	2,600
TOTAL ONE-TIME	46,886	32,534	32,219	-11,697	-20,450	-3,900	75,592

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	-24	-65	-172	-452	-642	-642	-1,997	-642
O&M								
RPMA	-1,244	-3,572	-4,997	-7,801	-8,853	-8,853	-35,321	-8,853
BOS	1,562	1,978	2,147	2,450	-281	-281	7,575	-281
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	-51	-127	-2,719	-8,590	-11,893	-11,893	-35,274	-11,893
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	-265	-2,276	-9,890	-17,435	-19,112	-19,112	-68,091	-19,112
House Allow	-628	-1,020	-738	-128	-128	-128	-2,770	-128
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	2,895	1,651	1,651	1,651	1,651	1,651	11,150	1,651
Misc Recur	-227	-962	-1,416	-1,416	-999	-999	-6,019	-999
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	2,018	-4,394	-16,135	-31,721	-40,258	-40,258	-130,747	-40,258
TOTAL NET COST	32,970	24,760	12,704	-60,918	-78,208	-51,658	-120,349	-40,258

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	79	20	79	0	0	0	178
Civ Retire	32	9	27	0	0	0	69
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	126	31	52	0	0	0	209
POV Miles	6	1	2	0	0	0	9
Home Purch	429	105	205	0	0	0	739
HHG	305	75	138	0	0	0	518
Misc	31	8	15	0	0	0	54
House Hunt	96	23	36	0	0	0	156
PPS	29	29	288	0	0	0	345
RITA	193	47	87	0	0	0	327
FREIGHT							
Packing	99	85	95	0	0	0	279
Freight	11	7	8	0	0	0	26
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	12	3	12	0	0	0	28
OTHER							
Program Plan	1,569	1,177	883	0	0	0	3,628
Shutdown	606	518	705	0	0	0	1,829
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	292	554	322	0	0	0	1,168
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	20	22	7	0	0	0	49
POV Miles	15	16	5	0	0	0	36
HHG	677	565	381	0	0	0	1,623
Misc	124	107	85	0	0	0	317
OTHER							
Elim PCS	22	49	161	0	0	0	232
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1	21	21	0	0	0	43
TOTAL ONE-TIME	4,777	3,472	3,616	0	0	0	11,865

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	2,895	3,551	3,551	3,551	3,551	3,551	20,650	3,551
Misc Recur	36	36	36	36	36	36	216	36
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	2,931	3,587	3,587	3,587	3,587	3,587	20,866	3,587
TOTAL COSTS	7,708	7,059	7,203	3,587	3,587	3,587	32,731	3,587

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	6,800	810	16,175	8,950	0	32,735
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	161	138	110	0	0	0	409
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	161	6,938	920	16,175	8,950	0	33,144

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	1,132	3,263	5,645	7,063	7,063	7,063	31,230	7,063
BOS	21	621	1,301	1,942	1,942	1,942	7,770	1,942
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	25	76	889	1,677	1,677	1,677	6,023	1,677
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	115	691	1,152	1,152	1,152	4,261	1,152
Enl Salary	265	945	2,621	3,882	3,882	3,882	15,477	3,882
House Allow	1,487	2,732	3,577	3,577	3,577	3,577	18,529	3,577
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	9,500	1,900
Misc Recur	263	548	973	973	973	973	4,703	973
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	3,194	10,202	17,598	22,167	22,167	22,167	97,495	22,167
TOTAL SAVINGS	3,355	17,140	18,518	38,342	31,117	22,167	130,639	22,167

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	-6,800	-810	-16,175	-8,950	0	-32,735
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	111	29	107	0	0	0	247
Civ Moving	1,326	411	926	0	0	0	2,664
Other	2,479	2,252	1,922	0	0	0	6,653
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	698	621	530	0	0	0	1,849
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1	21	21	0	0	0	43
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	4,616	-3,466	2,696	-16,175	-8,950	0	-21,279

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	-1,132	-3,263	-5,645	-7,063	-7,063	-7,063	-31,230	-7,063
BOS	-21	-621	-1,301	-1,942	-1,942	-1,942	-7,770	-1,942
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	-25	-76	-889	-1,677	-1,677	-1,677	-6,023	-1,677
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	-265	-1,061	-3,312	-5,033	-5,033	-5,033	-19,739	-5,033
House Allow	-1,487	-2,732	-3,577	-3,577	-3,577	-3,577	-18,529	-3,577
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	2,895	1,651	1,651	1,651	1,651	1,651	11,150	1,651
Misc Recur	-227	-512	-937	-937	-937	-937	-4,487	-937
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-263	-6,615	-14,011	-18,580	-18,580	-18,580	-76,629	-18,580
TOTAL NET COST	4,353	-10,081	-11,315	-34,755	-27,530	-18,580	-97,908	-18,580

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	119	178	0	0	297
Civ Retire	0	0	55	69	0	0	123
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	6	4	63	33	0	0	107
POV Miles	0	0	3	1	0	0	5
Home Purch	29	19	271	131	0	0	451
HHG	20	13	188	91	0	0	313
Misc	2	1	19	10	0	0	33
House Hunt	5	3	50	22	0	0	81
PPS	29	0	605	1,123	0	0	1,757
RITA	12	8	114	55	0	0	189
FREIGHT							
Packing	34	9	60	226	0	0	329
Freight	3	30	52	6	0	0	91
Vehicles	0	26	26	0	0	0	52
Driving	0	3	3	0	0	0	6
Unemployment	0	0	19	28	0	0	47
OTHER							
Program Plan	1,862	1,396	1,047	785	0	0	5,092
Shutdown	119	90	448	954	0	0	1,611
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	50	50	360	0	0	0	460
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	9	5	20	12	0	0	46
POV Miles	8	5	18	10	0	0	42
HHG	252	130	540	527	0	0	1,449
Misc	42	25	96	124	0	0	288
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	108	395	158	0	0	662
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	94	0	0	94
TOTAL ONE-TIME	2,484	1,930	4,573	4,639	0	0	13,627

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	2,484	1,930	4,573	4,639	0	0	13,627	0

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	79	0	0	0	11,500	3,900	15,479
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	54	32	124	161	0	0	372
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	15,934	3,380	3,380	17,500	17,500	7,500	65,194
TOTAL ONE-TIME	16,067	3,412	3,504	17,661	29,000	11,400	81,045

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	24	65	172	452	642	642	1,997	642
O&M								
RPMA	112	309	823	2,208	3,260	3,260	9,972	3,260
BOS	1	246	644	1,330	4,061	4,061	10,344	4,061
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	25	51	1,830	6,912	10,216	10,216	29,251	10,216
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	153	1,651	3,493	3,993	3,993	13,283	3,993
Enl Salary	0	1,062	4,927	8,908	10,086	10,086	35,069	10,086
House Allow	332	332	332	332	332	332	1,994	332
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	450	450	450	450	450	2,250	450
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	494	2,669	10,829	24,087	33,040	33,040	104,161	33,040
TOTAL SAVINGS	16,562	6,082	14,334	41,747	62,040	44,440	185,205	33,040

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	-79	0	0	0	-11,500	-3,900	-15,479
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	174	247	0	0	421
Civ Moving	142	120	1,455	1,698	0	0	3,415
Other	2,031	1,536	1,874	1,768	0	0	7,210
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	257	241	945	671	0	0	2,115
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	-15,934	-3,380	-3,380	-17,406	-17,500	-7,500	-65,100
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	-13,583	-1,482	1,069	-13,022	-29,000	-11,400	-67,418

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	-24	-65	-172	-452	-642	-642	-1,997	-642
O&M								
RPMA	-112	-309	-823	-2,208	-3,260	-3,260	-9,972	-3,260
BOS	-1	-246	-644	-1,330	-4,061	-4,061	-10,344	-4,061
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	-25	-51	-1,830	-6,912	-10,216	-10,216	-29,251	-10,216
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	-1,215	-6,578	-12,402	-14,079	-14,079	-48,352	-14,079
House Allow	-332	-332	-332	-332	-332	-332	-1,994	-332
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	-450	-450	-450	-450	-450	-2,250	-450
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-494	-2,669	-10,829	-24,087	-33,040	-33,040	-104,161	-33,040
TOTAL NET COST	-14,077	-4,152	-9,761	-37,108	-62,040	-44,440	-171,579	-33,040

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	23,465	23,247	0	0	0	0	46,712
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	2,600	0	0	0	0	0	2,600
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,375	0	0	0	0	0	1,375
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	856	856	0	0	0	1,712
TOTAL ONE-TIME	27,440	24,103	856	0	0	0	52,399

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	207	207	207	207	828	207
BOS	363	486	1,013	1,013	1,013	1,013	4,901	1,013
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	618	906	1,847	1,847	1,847	1,847	8,914	1,847
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	417	417	834	417
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	363	486	1,220	1,220	1,637	1,637	15,477	3,484
TOTAL COSTS	28,422	25,495	3,923	3,067	3,484	3,484	67,876	3,484

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	23,465	23,247	0	0	0	0	46,712
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	1,375	0	0	0	0	0	1,375
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	856	856	0	0	0	1,712
Land	2,600	0	0	0	0	0	2,600
TOTAL ONE-TIME	27,440	24,103	856	0	0	0	52,399

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	207	207	207	207	828	207
BOS	363	486	1,013	1,013	1,013	1,013	4,901	1,013
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	618	906	1,847	1,847	1,847	1,847	8,914	1,847
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	417	417	834	417
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	981	1,392	3,067	3,067	3,484	3,484	15,477	3,484
TOTAL NET COST	28,422	25,495	3,923	3,067	3,484	3,484	67,876	3,484

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS NORFOLK, VA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS NORFOLK, VA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS NORFOLK, VA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL NET COST	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N950M.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	9,467	2,716	11,876	0	0	0	24,059
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	150	0	0	0	0	0	150
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	983	173	120	0	0	0	1,276
TOTAL ONE-TIME	10,600	2,889	11,996	0	0	0	25,485

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	552	552	552	552	2,207	552
BOS	446	752	1,021	2,172	2,172	2,172	8,735	2,172
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	317	582	740	1,012	1,012	1,012	4,676	1,012
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	446	752	1,572	2,724	2,724	2,724	15,618	3,736
TOTAL COSTS	11,363	4,223	14,309	3,736	3,736	3,736	41,102	3,736

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	9,467	2,716	11,876	0	0	0	24,059
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	150	0	0	0	0	0	150
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	983	173	120	0	0	0	1,276
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	10,600	2,889	11,996	0	0	0	25,485

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	552	552	552	552	2,207	552
BOS	446	752	1,021	2,172	2,172	2,172	8,735	2,172
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	317	582	740	1,012	1,012	1,012	4,676	1,012
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	763	1,334	2,313	3,736	3,736	3,736	15,618	3,736
TOTAL NET COST	11,363	4,223	14,309	3,736	3,736	3,736	41,102	3,736

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N950M.SFF

Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	606	6,736	0	0	0	0	7,343
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	150	0	0	0	0	0	150
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	756	6,736	0	0	0	0	7,493

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	267	267	267	267	1,068	267
BOS	0	0	440	440	440	440	1,759	440
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	12	12	12	12	48	12
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	719	719	719	719	2,876	719
TOTAL COSTS	756	6,736	719	719	719	719	10,368	719

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	41	41	41	41	164	41
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	41	41	41	41	164	41
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	41	41	41	41	164	41

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	606	6,736	0	0	0	0	7,343
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	150	0	0	0	0	0	150
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	756	6,736	0	0	0	0	7,493

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	267	267	267	267	1,068	267
BOS	0	0	440	440	440	440	1,759	440
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	-29	-29	-29	-29	-116	-29
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	678	678	678	678	2,712	678
TOTAL NET COST	756	6,736	678	678	678	678	10,204	678

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	775	1,608	1,608	1,608	1,608	1,608	8,814	1,608
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	256	556	556	556	556	556	3,038	556
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	775	1,608	1,608	1,608	1,608	1,608	11,852	2,164
TOTAL COSTS	1,031	2,164	2,164	2,164	2,164	2,164	11,852	2,164

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Cption Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	775	1,608	1,608	1,608	1,608	1,608	8,814	1,608
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	256	556	556	556	556	556	3,038	556
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	1,031	2,164	2,164	2,164	2,164	2,164	11,852	2,164
TOTAL NET COST	1,031	2,164	2,164	2,164	2,164	2,164	11,852	2,164

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	1,122	247	12,222	0	0	0	13,592
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	127	0	0	0	0	127
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,122	374	12,222	0	0	0	13,719

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	444	444	444	444	1,778	444
BOS	0	0	11	489	489	489	1,480	489
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	27	366	366	366	1,125	366
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	456	934	934	934	4,383	1,300
TOTAL COSTS	1,122	374	12,705	1,300	1,300	1,300	18,102	1,300

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

Base: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	1,122	247	12,222	0	0	0	13,592
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	127	0	0	0	0	127
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,122	374	12,222	0	0	0	13,719

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	444	444	444	444	1,778	444
BOS	0	0	11	489	489	489	1,480	489
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	27	366	366	366	1,125	366
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	483	1,300	1,300	1,300	4,383	1,300
TOTAL NET COST	1,122	374	12,705	1,300	1,300	1,300	18,102	1,300

INPUT DATA REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN ONE - GENERAL SCENARIO INFORMATION

Model Year One : FY 1996

Model does Time-Phasing of Construction/Shutdown: Yes

Base Name	Strategy:
NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	Realignment
NAS MERIDIAN, MS	Closes in FY 1999
NAS KINGSVILLE, TX	Realignment
NAS NORFOLK, VA	Realignment
NAS PENSACOLA, FL	Realignment
NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX	Realignment
NAS WHITING FIELD, FL	Realignment
NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA	Realignment

Summary:

Close NAS Meridian
Consolidate Strike Training at NAS Kingsville
Relocate NTTC to NAS Pensacola and NAVSSCSCOL Athens
Close NAS Corpus Christi
Relocate Undergraduate Pilot Training to NAS Pensacola and NAS Whiting Field
Non-Department of the Navy Tenants and Naval Hospital remain on base at Corpus
Scenario 015

INPUT SCREEN TWO - DISTANCE TABLE

From Base:	To Base:	Distance:
NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	NAS KINGSVILLE, TX	52 mi
NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	NAS NORFOLK, VA	1,602 mi
NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	NAS PENSACOLA, FL	766 mi
NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX	15 mi
NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX	NAS WHITING FIELD, FL	766 mi
NAS MERIDIAN, MS	NAS KINGSVILLE, TX	771 mi
NAS MERIDIAN, MS	NAS PENSACOLA, FL	220 mi
NAS MERIDIAN, MS	NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA	375 mi

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX to NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	16	3	1	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	60	35	90	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	14	0	0	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	17	3	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N950M.SFF

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX to NAS PENSACOLA, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	42	23	20	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	18	38	11	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	71	10	19	0	0	0
Student Positions:	61	62	62	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	18	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX to NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	46	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	102	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	30	0	0	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX to NAS WHITING FIELD, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	42	45	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	9	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	0	8	0	0	0	0
Student Positions:	115	116	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	1	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAS MERIDIAN, MS to NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	45	14	79	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	15	22	50	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	4	2	36	0	0	0
Student Positions:	75	0	75	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	17	21	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	70	45	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	1	13	13	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	10	10	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NAS MERIDIAN, MS to NAS PENSACOLA, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	4	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	1	73	0	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	5	5	0	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	434	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	34	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAS MERIDIAN, MS to NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	6	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	8	95	0	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	12	0	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	282	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	49	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

Total Officer Employees:	342	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	9,788
Total Enlisted Employees:	860	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	416	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	5,967
Total Civilian Employees:	931	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	24,015
Mil Families Living On Base:	20.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	506
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.90
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	1,958	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	151	Activity Code:	00216
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	92		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	94	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

Total Officer Employees:	208	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	3,260
Total Enlisted Employees:	687	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	1,179	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	5,143
Total Civilian Employees:	331	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	18,440
Mil Families Living On Base:	78.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	642
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.86
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	1,289	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	0	Activity Code:	63043
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	0		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	82	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

Total Officer Employees:	151	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	8,539
Total Enlisted Employees:	511	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	317	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	4,245
Total Civilian Employees:	329	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	14,778
Mil Families Living On Base:	41.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	363
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.95
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	1,031	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	42	Activity Code:	60241
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	20		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	67	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAS NORFOLK, VA

Total Officer Employees:	1,083	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	9,184
Total Enlisted Employees:	5,816	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	183	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	53,067
Total Civilian Employees:	3,592	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	29,624
Mil Families Living On Base:	10.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	421
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.92
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	4,017	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	222	Activity Code:	00188
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	138		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	104	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

Total Officer Employees:	708	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	13,946
Total Enlisted Employees:	1,627	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	1,943	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	27,439
Total Civilian Employees:	2,052	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	36,326
Mil Families Living On Base:	31.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	452
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.80
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	3,538	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	50	Activity Code:	00204
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	28		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	87	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

Total Officer Employees:	173	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	2,029
Total Enlisted Employees:	1,723	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	9,573
Total Civilian Employees:	156	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	7,956
Mil Families Living On Base:	20.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	119
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.90
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	284	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	151	Activity Code:	68891
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	92		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	94	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL

Total Officer Employees:	262	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	4,827
Total Enlisted Employees:	673	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	123	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	11,949
Total Civilian Employees:	214	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	15,791
Mil Families Living On Base:	34.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	282
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.80
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	1,116	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	50	Activity Code:	60508
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	28		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	87	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

Total Officer Employees:	53	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	1,437
Total Enlisted Employees:	66	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	208	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	1,048
Total Civilian Employees:	63	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	2,636
Mil Families Living On Base:	35.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	102
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.85
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	256	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	31	Activity Code:	62741
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	10		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	70	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	1	21	21	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	292	554	322	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	2,895	3,551	3,551	3,551	3,551	3,551
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	36	36	36	36	36	36
Misc Recurring Save($K):	263	548	973	973	973	973
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	6,800	810	16,175	8,950	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	1,463	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	94	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	15,934	3,380	3,380	17,500	17,500	7,500
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	50	50	360	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	450	450	450	450	450
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	79	0	0	0	11,500	3,900
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	1,289	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				100.0%

Name: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	856	856	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	1,375	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	417	417
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	2,600	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

Name: NAS NORFOLK, VA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	983	173	120	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	150	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	150	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	12	12	12	12
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	41	41	41	41
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: NAS WHITING FIELD, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	127	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

INPUT SCREEN SIX - BASE PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Name: NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Off Force Struc Change:	19	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Force Struc Change:	329	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Force Struc Change:	-170	0	0	0	0	0
Stu Force Struc Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Off Scenario Change:	0	-3	-12	0	0	0
Enl Scenario Change:	-16	-25	-76	0	0	0
Civ Scenario Change:	-1	-1	-31	0	0	0
Off Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Military:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Civilian:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Name: NAS MERIDIAN, MS

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Off Force Struc Change:	-8	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Force Struc Change:	-119	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Force Struc Change:	-66	0	0	0	0	0
Stu Force Struc Change:	-313	0	0	0	0	0
Off Scenario Change:	0	-4	-35	-13	0	0
Enl Scenario Change:	0	-64	-169	-71	0	0
Civ Scenario Change:	-1	0	-70	-130	0	0
Off Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Military:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Civilian:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN SEVEN - BASE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Name: NAS KINGSVILLE, TX

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
Apron Parking	HORIZ	577,000	0	29,200
NALF Orange Grove				
Hangar, other	AIROP	0	87,800	1,957
Maintenance Facility				
Administrative	ADMIN	0	25,900	1,925
TRAWING 2 HQ				
Supply/storage	STORA	20,400	0	1,400
Warehousing Req for T2 parts				
Other Ops	OPERA	4,000	0	460
OPS bldg/crash crew				
Training	SCHLB	0	4,000	180
Classrooms and Operational Trainers				
Communications	COMFC	2,500	0	1,100
New control Tower				
Carrier landing ligh	OTHER	0	0	500
Purchase 1 system and install				
NAVAIDS	OTHER	0	0	300
Purchase and install				
AirField Lighting	OTHER	0	0	3,990
Purchase for New OLF				
Arresting Gear	OTHER	0	0	1,300
Purchase for New OLF				
LTG Vault	OTHER	0	0	500
Repair vault				
POL Storage	POLST	1,400	0	400
Fuel Storage				
Utilities/Roads/Fenc	OTHER	0	0	3,500
Utilities, Roads and Perimeter Fence for new OLF				

Name: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
Administrative	ADMIN	14,100	15,750	3,192
Office space required for NAS Corpus B 3221				
Air Maintenance	AIROP	16,380	0	400
Construction of two washracks to support additional aircraft				
Training	SCHLB	0	6,100	335
B3831 for upt				
BEQ	BACHQ	119,881	0	0
BEQ for NTTC				
Training	SCHLB	0	46,000	0
B 604 for NTTC				
Communications	COMFC	0	750	0
B 604 to include trng computer room				
Medical Facilities	MEDFC	380	0	0
Add to current BRACON				

Name: NAVSTA INGLESIDE, TX

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
Administrative	ADMIN	24,564	0	0
COMMINWARCOM, etc				
Training	SCHLB	1,000	0	0
Navy Campus				
Personnel Support	RECFC	13,125	0	0
Child Dev Center				
Medical Facilities	MEDFC	1,700	0	0
Dental Clinic Expansion				

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

INPUT SCREEN SEVEN - BASE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Name: NAVSSCSCOL ATHENS GA, GA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
Parking Lot	HORIZ	4,125	0	144
Parking Lot for 250 additional cars				
Training	SCHLB	0	9,137	400
Rehab old classrooms and includes 1137 SF for operational laundry lab				
BEQ	BACHQ	79,373	0	0
BEQ for NTTC				
Dining Facilities	DINFC	3,900	5,000	0
EDF for NTTC				
Personnel Support	RECFC	2,800	4,000	704
New CDC and Rehab existing space and convert to EM club				

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN ONE - PERSONNEL

Percent Officers Married:	71.70%	Civ Early Retire Pay Factor:	9.00%
Percent Enlisted Married:	60.10%	Priority Placement Service:	60.00%
Enlisted Housing MilCon:	98.00%	PPS Actions Involving PCS:	50.00%
Officer Salary($/Year):	76,781.00	Civilian PCS Costs ($):	28,800.00
Off BAQ with Dependents($):	7,925.00	Civilian New Hire Cost($):	0.00
Enlisted Salary($/Year):	33,178.00	Nat Median Home Price($):	114,600.00
Enl BAQ with Dependents($):	5,251.00	Home Sale Reimburse Rate:	10.00%
Avg Unemploy Cost($/Week):	174.00	Max Home Sale Reimburs($):	22,385.00
Unemployment Eligibility(Weeks):	18	Home Purch Reimburse Rate:	5.00%
Civilian Salary($/Year):	50,827.00	Max Home Purch Reimburs($):	11,191.00
Civilian Turnover Rate:	15.00%	Civilian Homeowning Rate:	64.00%
Civilian Early Retire Rate:	10.00%	HAP Home Value Reimburse Rate:	22.90%
Civilian Regular Retire Rate:	5.00%	HAP Homeowner Receiving Rate:	5.00%
Civilian RIF Pay Factor:	39.00%	RSE Home Value Reimburse Rate:	0.00%
SF File Desc:	NAVY O&M,N BRAC95	RSE Homeowner Receiving Rate:	0.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN TWO - FACILITIES

RPMA Building SF Cost Index:	0.93	Rehab vs. New MilCon Cost:	75.00%
BOS Index (RPMA vs population):	0.54	Info Management Account:	0.00%
(Indices are used as exponents)		MilCon Design Rate:	9.00%
Program Management Factor:	10.00%	MilCon SIOH Rate:	6.00%
Caretaker Admin(SF/Care):	162.00	MilCon Contingency Plan Rate:	5.00%
Mothball Cost ($/SF):	1.25	MilCon Site Preparation Rate:	39.00%
Avg Bachelor Quarters(SF):	294.00	Discount Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	2.75%
Avg Family Quarters(SF):	1.00	Inflation Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	0.00%

APPDET.RPT Inflation Rates:
1996: 0.00% 1997: 2.90% 1998: 3.00% 1999: 3.00% 2000: 3.00% 2001: 3.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN THREE - TRANSPORTATION

Material/Assigned Person(Lb):	710	Equip Pack & Crate($/Ton):	284.00
HHG Per Off Family (Lb):	14,500.00	Mil Light Vehicle($/Mile):	0.31
HHG Per Enl Family (Lb):	9,000.00	Heavy/Spec Vehicle($/Mile):	3.38
HHG Per Mil Single (Lb):	6,400.00	POV Reimbursement($/Mile):	0.18
HHG Per Civilian (Lb):	18,000.00	Avg Mil Tour Length (Years):	4.17
Total HHG Cost ($/100Lb):	35.00	Routine PCS($/Pers/Tour):	3,763.00
Air Transport ($/Pass Mile):	0.20	One-Time Off PCS Cost($):	4,527.00
Misc Exp ($/Direct Employ):	700.00	One-Time Enl PCS Cost($):	1,403.00

Data As Of 12:06 11/19/1994, Report Created 15:45 02/12/1995

Department : Navy
Option Package : ALT 2-Training NAS
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\PRELIM\PRELIM4\TNASA2D.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95OM.SFF

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN FOUR - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Category	UM	$/UM	Category	UM	$/UM
Horizontal	(SY)	61	Optional Category A	( )	0
Waterfront	(LF)	10,350	Optional Category B	( )	0
Air Operations	(SF)	122	Optional Category C	( )	0
Operational	(SF)	111	Optional Category D	( )	0
Administrative	(SF)	123	Optional Category E	( )	0
School Buildings	(SF)	108	Optional Category F	( )	0
Maintenance Shops	(SF)	102	Optional Category G	( )	0
Bachelor Quarters	(SF)	96	Optional Category H	( )	0
Family Quarters	(EA)	78,750	Optional Category I	( )	0
Covered Storage	(SF)	94	Optional Category J	( )	0
Dining Facilities	(SF)	165	Optional Category K	( )	0
Recreation Facilities	(SF)	120	Optional Category L	( )	0
Communications Facil	(SF)	165	Optional Category M	( )	0
Shipyard Maintenance	(SF)	129	Optional Category N	( )	0
RDT & E Facilities	(SF)	160	Optional Category O	( )	0
POL Storage	(BL)	12	Optional Category P	( )	0
Ammunition Storage	(SF)	160	Optional Category Q	( )	0
Medical Facilities	(SF)	168	Optional Category R	( )	0
Environmental	( )	0			