

DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT COMMISSION**SUMMARY SHEET****NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, PENSACOLA, FL****INSTALLATION MISSION**

After implementation of the BRAC 93 decision to close the Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP) Pensacola, the only aviation maintenance facilities remaining in Pensacola are the whirl tower and dynamic component testing facility. Their mission is to test and repair helicopter components, including rotor blades.

DOD RECOMMENDATION

- Delete the portion of the 1993 recommendation which specified that the whirl tower and dynamic components facility be moved to the aviation depots in Cherry Point or Corpus Christi or the private sector.

DOD JUSTIFICATION

- The entire future DOD requirement for the work that could be performed by these facilities can be accomplished by the Corpus Christi and Cherry Point facilities.
- The buildings that will be vacated can be used by the Naval Air Technical Training Center in Pensacola.

COST CONSIDERATIONS DEVELOPED BY DOD

- | | |
|--|----------------|
| • One-Time Cost: | \$1.5 million |
| • Net Costs and Savings During Implementation: | \$ 2.4 million |
| • Annual Recurring Savings: | \$ 0.2 million |
| • Break-Even Year: | Immediate |
| • Net Present Value Over 20 Years: | \$ 3.8 million |

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

- No significant environmental problems.

DRAFT

REPRESENTATION

Governor: Lawton Chiles
Senators: Bob Graham
 Connie Mack
Representative: Joe Scarborough

MILITARY ISSUES

- None yet identified. The functions will be performed at other DOD aviation depots.

COMMUNITY CONCERNS/ISSUES

- None at this time.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL EMPHASIS

- The 1993 Commission changed the Navy's recommendation by not allowing the Navy to retain the whirl tower and dynamic component facility in Pensacola. The Commission stated that the added cost of keeping the small function in Pensacola was significantly greater than the cost of moving or privatizing the functions.
- The wording of the 1993 recommendation, in the Navy's opinion, does not allow them to dispose of the facilities. This recommendation corrects the language of the 1993 recommendation and provides the Navy with the flexibility needed to fulfill their desire to dispose of the facilities.

Alex Yellin/Navy/09/26/95 12:23 PM

Document Separator

ATTACHMENT H

DESCRIPTION OF ANALYSIS OF NAVAL AVIATION DEPOTS

The mission of Naval Aviation Depots (NADEPs) is to perform depot maintenance and repair across all aviation component mission areas. These activities, located at major fleet and Fleet Marine Force (FMF) aviation concentrations, are:

Naval Aviation Depot, North Island, California
Naval Aviation Depot, Jacksonville, Florida
Naval Aviation Depot, Cherry Point, North Carolina

The three activities perform in each of the three principal missions (airframes, components, and engines) to varying degrees. Additionally, NADEP Cherry Point serves both Navy and Marine forces as the rotary wing/vertical short take off and landing (VSTOL) center of excellence.

Data Call Development

The capacity and military value data calls were developed using the BRAC-93 data calls as starting points. Sets of questions were then expanded or compressed based on lessons learned for BRAC-93 and consultations with technical experts. The capacity data call was designed to capture throughput, measured in units and in direct labor man hours (DLMHs), required for performance in seven mission areas, including aircraft service support, airframes, components, engines, manufacturing, modifications, and training. As in the BRAC-93 round, to be consistent with standard DoD depot maintenance reporting, a notional 1-8-5 work schedule was used, which is a normal work schedule of eight hours per day, five days per week. Additionally, the data call requested information on core workload, facility measurements, and the potential for involvement in the Regional Maintenance Concept. A headquarters section was added to the data call to facilitate aggregating the individual NADEP data and to portray workload by funding and major customer.

The military value data call placed primary emphasis on identifying DON and DoD unique facilities, equipment, and skills. Questions sought information about individual NADEP capabilities, production workload, and strategic importance. Standardized modules assessing facilities and quality of life concerns were used. New questions were added querying roles in the emerging Regional Maintenance Concept. The costs and investments section was revised and expanded, as were the questions on environmental concerns.

Capacity Analysis

Capacity analysis was conducted by comparing the maximum potential capacity of the NADEPs to the workload programmed to support the FY 2001 force structure. Maximum potential capacity was derived from the certified responses to the capacity data call and calculated for airframes, components, aircraft support services, modifications, manufacturing, training, and engines workload. Each activity provided a determination of its total maximum potential capability in each of these areas, as well as an aggregate of all work performed, assuming ability to optimize hiring, training, facilitation, and procurement. This aggregated optimum was the calculation utilized as the primary measure of subcategory maximum capacity. This maximum capacity was compared to required capacity, determined from the reported programmed workload through FY 2001.

While throughput was measured in both units (e.g., numbers of airframes) and direct labor expended, capacity was analyzed in DLMHs only. Though the unit data provided a realistic portrait of operations, useful in understanding production flow and interrelationships, numbers of engines completed could not be compared to numbers of airframes. Accordingly DLMHs were used as a common measure across all mission areas. Additionally, the same measure (converted to direct labor hours (DLHs)) is the DoD standard for depot capacity measurement and was used by the Joint Cross-Service Group on Depot Maintenance (JCSG-DM) for its analyses.

The capacity analysis showed maximum potential capacity exceeded future requirements by approximately 38 percent across all mission areas, with the majority of the excess concentrated in the components and engines mission areas. The airframe mission area showed an excess of between 14-25 percent. The BSEC concluded that sufficient excess capacity existed to warrant analysis of military value.

Military Value Analysis

The military value matrix was developed after review of the BRAC-93 matrix, with modifications based on lessons learned, technical expert perspectives, and matrices already approved by the BSEC. The military value questions were grouped into seven subject areas, covering production, equipment and facilities, costs and investments, environment, strategic concerns, customers, and quality of life. Standardized modules assessing facilities, costs and investments, environmental, and quality of life concerns were adjusted to reflect the predominantly civilian workforce and distinctly industrial production mission at the activities. Questions were also included which addressed capabilities in missions primary to other subcategories (e.g., the measurement of life cycle support in conjunction with the Technical Center and Laboratory group analyses).

The military value scores ranged from 61.1 to 67.5 (out of 87.5 possible points), a very tight grouping as expected from a small group of similar sized activities. The

primary discriminators between activities were the variety of workload programmed into the activity, reflected in the equipment and facilities supporting that workload.

Configuration Analysis

Configuration analysis was conducted using a linear programming model to develop solutions that minimized excess capacity in the NADEPs while meeting FY 2001 requirements and maintained an average military value. The capacity parameter provided that the requirement within each major mission area (airframes, components, and engines) had to be met. Secondary mission area requirements and capabilities (manufacturing, modifications and service support) were proportionally allocated across the primary mission areas and were not applied as a separate configuration parameter. Standard sensitivity analyses were conducted, adjusting the requirement up 10 percent, down 10 percent and down 20 percent. In all cases, the model was unable to identify a combination of activities which provided an acceptable closure solution within the critical mission areas.

Additional sensitivity analyses were conducted to determine what reduction in requirements was necessary before the model identified an activity closure. This analysis showed that if the requirement was reduced by 34 percent, a solution which closed NADEP North Island was possible. That reduced requirement scenario result, however, contradicts DON policy that requires robust industrial depot capacity and capability proximate to major fleet concentrations.

Scenario Development and Analysis

The results of the configuration analysis provided the BSEC with a starting point for deliberations leading to scenario development. The BSEC determined that insufficient excess capacity existed within this subcategory for the closure of a complete naval aviation depot activity. However, the BRAC-93 decision to close three NADEPs included the movement of the whirl tower and dynamic component facility of the former NADEP Pensacola to either NADEP Cherry Point, the Corpus Christi Army Depot (CCAD), or the private sector. Insufficient requirement exists within DON for retention of these facilities. Review by the JCSG-DM determined that the DoD requirement can be wholly met with the assets at CCAD and NADEP Cherry Point, and the private sector has shown no interest in acquiring the facility. Accordingly, the BSEC directed issuance of a COBRA scenario data call to determine if this excess capacity could be eliminated. Review of the data call response revealed that not only is such a closure cost-effective, but the buildings which currently house the dynamic component facility could then be rehabilitated for use by the Naval Air Technical Training Center which is moving to NAS Pensacola as the result of a BRAC-93 decision. That rehabilitation will eliminate the need for some new military construction at NAS Pensacola.

The BSEC also determined that the NADEPs were ideal receiving sites under other recommendations proposed, which will absorb some of the excess capacity at the NADEPs. Under the closure of Naval Air Warfare Center, Lakehurst, considerable component and manufacturing workload is moved to NADEP Jacksonville, to include the overhaul of launch valves, optical landing systems, and other aircraft and support equipment components. Additionally, NADEP Jacksonville will perform Lakehurst's prototype manufacturing requirements and the manufacture and major overhaul of jet blast deflectors (JBDs), barricades, and crossdeck pennants (arresting cables). NADEP North Island will absorb the Naval Aviation Engineering Support Unit (NAESU) and the Naval Air Technical Services Facility (NATSF), capitalizing on the commonality between their life cycle support functions and the NADEP aircraft service support missions.

NADEPs were also examined by the JCSG-DM, which assessed their workload along functional lines. The majority of work was categorized by the JCSG-DM within the Aircraft and Air Systems commodity groups and was unique to these activities. Alternatives issued by the JCSG-DM suggested realignment of segments of functional workload by commodities from each of the three Naval Aviation Depots to other DoD depot maintenance activities. The BSEC issued COBRA scenario data calls on four scenarios arising from the JCSG-DM, to include one which examined application of the developing Regional Maintenance Concept to the closure of NADEP Jacksonville. The results of the COBRA analysis demonstrated that none of the scenarios resulted in a consolidation or interservicing distribution of workload which was more cost effective than the current DON configuration, although the results of the scenario which contemplated creation of a Regional Maintenance Activity Southeast suggest that some operational and economic efficiencies could be achieved. The BSEC concluded that prudent military judgement dictated that the application of the Regional Maintenance Concept to NADEP Jacksonville, with its radical restructuring of the principal industrial activity in this area's fleet concentration, is premature at this time and so declined to recommend it.

Conclusion

Previous base closure decisions had closed half of the Navy's aviation depot sites. While overall excess capacity might indicate potential for further closure, an analysis of the distribution of the excess across mission areas shows no useful configuration among the remaining NADEPs that would allow the closure of a complete NADEP. However, this evaluation lead to a recognition that it would be to the benefit of DON to eliminate excess capacity where possible. As a result, recommendations are included which dispose of the remaining excess industrial capacity at NADEP Pensacola and which absorb excess NADEP capacity by removing depot workload from technical centers and placing it at two of the NADEPs. These actions also enable DON to close a number of technical centers, which eliminates significant excess infrastructure in that subcategory.

ATTACHMENT H-1

RECOMMENDATION FOR CLOSURE

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA REDIRECT

Preliminary Candidate: Change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at pages 1-42/43) by striking the following: "In addition, the Commission recommends that the whirl tower and dynamic components facility be moved to Cherry Point Navy or Corpus Christi Army Depots or the private sector, in lieu of the Navy's plan to retain these operations in a stand-alone facility at NADEP Pensacola."

Justification: Despite substantial reductions in depot maintenance capability accomplished in prior base closure evolutions, as force levels continue to decline, there is additional excess capacity that needs to be eliminated. Naval Aviation Depot, Pensacola was closed in BRAC-93, except for the whirl tower and dynamic components facility. Subsequent to that decision, no requirement for the facility has been identified within either the Army or the Navy, and insufficient private sector interest in that facility has been expressed. Additionally, the Depot Maintenance Joint Cross-Service Group (JCSG-DM) examined these functions in response to Congressional interest in reexamining the BRAC-93 action. The JCSG-DM determined that the Pensacola facilities could not independently fulfill the entire future DoD requirement, but that the Army facilities at Corpus Christi Army Depot, combined with the Navy facilities at NADEP Cherry Point, could. This recommendation will allow the disposal of the whirl tower and the rehabilitation of the dynamic components facility buildings for use by the Naval Air Technical Training Center.

Return on Investment: The total estimated one-time cost to implement this recommendation is \$1.5 million. The net of all costs and savings during the implementation period is a savings of \$2.4 million. Annual recurring savings after implementation are \$.2 million with an immediate return on investment expected. The net present value of the costs and savings over 20 years is a savings of \$3.8 million.

Impacts:

Economic Impact on Communities: This recommendation will not affect any jobs in the Pensacola, Florida MSA economic area.

Community Infrastructure Impact: There is no community infrastructure impact since there are no receiving installations for this recommendation.

Environmental Impact: There are no known environmental impacts attendant to the disposal of these assets in place required by this recommendation, including impacts on air quality, threatened/endangered species, sensitive habitats and wetlands, or cultural/historical resources.

DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT COMMISSION

SUMMARY SHEET

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, PENSACOLA, FL

INSTALLATION MISSION

After implementation of the BRAC 93 decision to close the Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP) Pensacola, the only aviation maintenance facilities remaining in Pensacola are the whirl tower and dynamic component testing facility. Their mission is to test and repair helicopter components, including rotor blades.

DOD RECOMMENDATION

- Delete the portion of the 1993 recommendation which specified that the whirl tower and dynamic components facility be moved to the aviation depots in Cherry Point or Corpus Christi or the private sector.

DOD JUSTIFICATION

- The entire future DOD requirement for the work that could be performed by these facilities can be accomplished by the Corpus Christi Army Depot and Naval Aviation Depot Cherry Point.
- The buildings that will be vacated can be used by the Naval Air Technical Training Center in Pensacola.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

1. In 1993 the Commission agreed with the Navy's recommendation to close NADEP Pensacola. However, because of increased projected savings the Commission changed the recommendation and did not allow the retention of the whirl tower and dynamic components facility at Pensacola. This redirect revises the wording of the Commission's 1993 recommendation to allow the Navy to dispose of the two facilities. The Community has not submitted any concerns about this recommendation.

- Staff Comment - the redirect does not change the 1993 Commission intent and allows the Navy increased flexibility to implement the NADEP closure.

R&A STAFF SUMMARY COMMENT

- Staff has identified no reason to disagree with the DoD recommendation.

Alex Yellin/Navy/09/26/95 12:24 PM

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N950B0F.SFF

Starting Year : 1996
 Final Year : 1996
 ROI Year : Immediate

NPV in 2015(\$K): -3,792
 1-Time Cost(\$K): 1,465

Net Costs (\$K)	Constant Dollars						Total	Beyond
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001		
MilCon	-2,200	0	0	0	0	0	-2,200	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-918	-153
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	750	0	0	0	0	0	750	0
TOTAL	-1,603	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-2,368	-153
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	
POSITIONS ELIMINATED								
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Civ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
POSITIONS REALIGNED								
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Civ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Summary:

DISASSEMBLE THE WHIRLTOWER AT NAS PENSACOLA AND RELOCATE TO NADEP CHERRY POINT.

SCENARIO 106

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

	Costs (\$K) Constant Dollars						Total	Beyond
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001		
MilCon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	0
TOTAL	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	0

	Savings (\$K) Constant Dollars						Total	Beyond
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001		
MilCon	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	153	153	153	153	153	153	918	153
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	715	0	0	0	0	0	715	0
TOTAL	3,068	153	153	153	153	153	3,833	153

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95D8OF.SFF

(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
-----	----	-----
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	1,465,000	
Total - Other		1,465,000
-----		-----
Total One-Time Costs		1,465,000
-----		-----
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	2,200,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
-----		-----
Total One-Time Savings		2,200,000
-----		-----
Total Net One-Time Costs		-735,000

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL
 (All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
-----	----	-----
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	1,465,000	
Total - Other		1,465,000

Total One-Time Costs		1,465,000

One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	2,200,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	

Total One-Time Savings		2,200,000

Total Net One-Time Costs		-735,000

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC
 (All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
-----	----	-----
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0

Total One-Time Costs		0

One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	

Total One-Time Savings		0

Total Net One-Time Costs		0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

All Costs in \$K

Base Name	Total MilCon	IMA Cost	Land Purch	Cost Avoid	Total Cost
NAS PENSACOLA	0	0	0	-2,200	-2,200
NADEP CHERRY POINT	0	0	0	0	0
Totals:	0	0	0	-2,200	-2,200

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

All Costs in \$K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Total Construction Cost:						0
+ Info Management Account:						0
+ Land Purchases:						0
- Construction Cost Avoid:						2,200
TOTAL:						-2,200

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 16:48 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):			
Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
----- 708	----- 1,627	----- 1,943	----- 2,052

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):			
Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
----- 708	----- 1,627	----- 1,943	----- 2,052

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):			
Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 3,711

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):			
Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
----- 0	----- 0	----- 0	----- 3,711

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

+ The Percentage of Civilians Not Willing to Move (Voluntary RIFs) varies from base to base.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95D80F.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME COSTS ----(\$K)----	1996 ----	1997 ----	1998 ----	1999 ----	2000 ----	2001 ----	Total ----
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 16:48 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

RECURRINGCOSTS	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
-----(\$K)-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COST	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	0
ONE-TIME SAVES	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	
-----(\$K)-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	
CONSTRUCTION								
MILCON	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M								
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OTHER								
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	
RECURRINGSAVES	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
-----(\$K)-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	-----
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	715	0	0	0	0	0	715	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	153	153	153	153	153	153	918	153
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	868	153	153	153	153	153	1,633	153
TOTAL SAVINGS	3,068	153	153	153	153	153	3,833	153

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 3/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 16:48 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME NET ----(\$K)----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	
CONSTRUCTION								
MILCON	-2,200	0	0	0	0	0	-2,200	
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M								
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OTHER								
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1-Time Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME	-735	0	0	0	0	0	-735	
RECURRING NET ----(\$K)----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	-715	0	0	0	0	0	-715	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-918	-153
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-868	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-1,633	-153
TOTAL NET COST	-1,603	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-2,368	-153

APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 4/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 16:48 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
ONE-TIME COSTS	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
-----(\$K)-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465

APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 5/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 16:48 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
RECURRINGCOSTS								
----(\$K)----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	0
ONE-TIME SAVES								
----(\$K)----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
CONSTRUCTION								
MILCON	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M								
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OTHER								
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	
RECURRINGSAVES								
----(\$K)----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	715	0	0	0	0	0	715	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	153	153	153	153	153	153	918	153
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	868	153	153	153	153	153	1,633	153
TOTAL SAVINGS	3,068	153	153	153	153	153	3,833	153

APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 6/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 16:48 02/16/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N950BOF.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

ONE-TIME NET	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	
-----(\$K)-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	
CONSTRUCTION								
MILCON	-2,200	0	0	0	0	0	-2,200	
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M								
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OTHER								
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1-Time Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME	-735	0	0	0	0	0	-735	
RECURRING NET								
-----(\$K)-----	----	----	----	----	----	----	-----	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	-715	0	0	0	0	0	-715	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-918	-153
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	562	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-203	-153
TOTAL NET COST	-1,603	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-2,368	-153

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
ONE-TIME COSTS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----(\$K)-----							
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN ONE - GENERAL SCENARIO INFORMATION

Model Year One : FY 1996

Model does Time-Phasing of Construction/Shutdown: Yes

Base Name	Strategy:
-----	-----
NAS PENSACOLA, FL	Realignment
NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC	Realignment

Summary:

 DISASSEMBLE THE WHIRLTOWER AT NAS PENSACOLA AND RELOCATE TO NADEP CHERRY POINT.

SCENARIO 106

INPUT SCREEN TWO - DISTANCE TABLE

From Base:	To Base:	Distance:
-----	-----	-----
NAS PENSACOLA, FL	NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC	821 mi

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

Total Officer Employees:	708	RPMA Non-Payroll (\$K/Year):	13,946
Total Enlisted Employees:	1,627	Communications (\$K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	1,943	BOS Non-Payroll (\$K/Year):	27,439
Total Civilian Employees:	2,052	BOS Payroll (\$K/Year):	36,326
Mil Families Living On Base:	31.0%	Family Housing (\$K/Year):	452
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.80
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat (\$/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat (\$/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	3,538	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA (\$/Month):	50	Activity Code:	00204
Enlisted VHA (\$/Month):	28		
Per Diem Rate (\$/Day):	87	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost (\$/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC

Total Officer Employees:	0	RPMA Non-Payroll (\$K/Year):	3,463
Total Enlisted Employees:	0	Communications (\$K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll (\$K/Year):	23,857
Total Civilian Employees:	3,711	BOS Payroll (\$K/Year):	27,862
Mil Families Living On Base:	0.0%	Family Housing (\$K/Year):	0
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.92
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat (\$/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat (\$/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	1,026	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA (\$/Month):	18	Activity Code:	65923
Enlisted VHA (\$/Month):	30		
Per Diem Rate (\$/Day):	75	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost (\$/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost (\$K):	1,465	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save(\$K):	153	153	153	153	153	153
Land (+Buy/-Sales) (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc(\$K):	2,200	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc(\$K):	715	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

Name: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN ONE - PERSONNEL

Percent Officers Married:	71.70%	Civ Early Retire Pay Factor:	9.00%
Percent Enlisted Married:	60.10%	Priority Placement Service:	60.00%
Enlisted Housing MilCon:	98.00%	PPS Actions Involving PCS:	50.00%
Officer Salary(\$/Year):	76,781.00	Civilian PCS Costs (\$):	28,800.00
Off BAQ with Dependents(\$):	7,925.00	Civilian New Hire Cost(\$):	0.00
Enlisted Salary(\$/Year):	33,178.00	Nat Median Home Price(\$):	114,600.00
Enl BAQ with Dependents(\$):	5,251.00	Home Sale Reimburse Rate:	10.00%
Avg Unemploy Cost(\$/Week):	174.00	Max Home Sale Reimburs(\$):	22,385.00
Unemployment Eligibility(Weeks):	18	Home Purch Reimburse Rate:	5.00%
Civilian Salary(\$/Year):	54,694.00	Max Home Purch Reimburs(\$):	11,191.00
Civilian Turnover Rate:	15.00%	Civilian Homeowning Rate:	64.00%
Civilian Early Retire Rate:	10.00%	HAP Home Value Reimburse Rate:	22.90%
Civilian Regular Retire Rate:	5.00%	HAP Homeowner Receiving Rate:	5.00%
Civilian RIF Pay Factor:	39.00%	RSE Home Value Reimburse Rate:	0.00%
SF File Desc:	NAVY DBOF BRAC95	RSE Homeowner Receiving Rate:	0.00%

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : P:\COBRA\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN TWO - FACILITIES

RPMA Building SF Cost Index: 0.93	Rehab vs. New MilCon Cost: 75.00%
BOS Index (RPMA vs population): 0.54	Info Management Account: 0.00%
(Indices are used as exponents)	MilCon Design Rate: 9.00%
Program Management Factor: 10.00%	MilCon SIOH Rate: 6.00%
Caretaker Admin(SF/Care): 162.00	MilCon Contingency Plan Rate: 5.00%
Mothball Cost (\$/SF): 1.25	MilCon Site Preparation Rate: 39.00%
Avg Bachelor Quarters(SF): 294.00	Discount Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI: 2.75%
Avg Family Quarters(SF): 1.00	Inflation Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI: 0.00%
APPDET.RPT Inflation Rates:	
1996: 0.00% 1997: 2.90% 1998: 3.00%	1999: 3.00% 2000: 3.00% 2001: 3.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN THREE - TRANSPORTATION

Material/Assigned Person(Lb): 710	Equip Pack & Crate(\$/Ton): 284.00
HHG Per Off Family (Lb): 14,500.00	Mil Light Vehicle(\$/Mile): 0.31
HHG Per Enl Family (Lb): 9,000.00	Heavy/Spec Vehicle(\$/Mile): 3.38
HHG Per Mil Single (Lb): 6,400.00	POV Reimbursement(\$/Mile): 0.18
HHG Per Civilian (Lb): 18,000.00	Avg Mil Tour Length (Years): 4.17
Total HHG Cost (\$/100Lb): 35.00	Routine PCS(\$/Pers/Tour): 3,763.00
Air Transport (\$/Pass Mile): 0.20	One-Time Off PCS Cost(\$): 4,527.00
Misc Exp (\$/Direct Employ): 700.00	One-Time Enl PCS Cost(\$): 1,403.00

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN FOUR - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Category	UM	\$/UM	Category	UM	\$/UM
Horizontal	(SY)	61	Optional Category A	()	0
Waterfront	(LF)	10,350	Optional Category B	()	0
Air Operations	(SF)	122	Optional Category C	()	0
Operational	(SF)	111	Optional Category D	()	0
Administrative	(SF)	123	Optional Category E	()	0
School Buildings	(SF)	108	Optional Category F	()	0
Maintenance Shops	(SF)	102	Optional Category G	()	0
Bachelor Quarters	(SF)	96	Optional Category H	()	0
Family Quarters	(EA)	78,750	Optional Category I	()	0
Covered Storage	(SF)	94	Optional Category J	()	0
Dining Facilities	(SF)	165	Optional Category K	()	0
Recreation Facilities	(SF)	120	Optional Category L	()	0
Communications Facil	(SF)	165	Optional Category M	()	0
Shipyards Maintenance	(SF)	129	Optional Category N	()	0
RDT & E Facilities	(SF)	160	Optional Category O	()	0
POL Storage	(BL)	12	Optional Category P	()	0
Ammunition Storage	(SF)	160	Optional Category Q	()	0
Medical Facilities	(SF)	168	Optional Category R	()	0
Environmental	()	0			

BRAC-95 Scenario Development Data Call Tasking

Scenario Number:	2-13-0228-106
Scenario Title:	NAS Pensacola

Due Date:	1700 EST, 4 December 1994
-----------	---------------------------

Description of Closure/Realignment Scenario

Realign NAS Pensacola to close and dispose of the Whirl Tower. In vacating associated facilities, identify all Construction cost avoidances that will result from being able to use these facilities for BRAC-93 relocations to NAS Pensacola (or BRAC-95 proposed relocations).

Ensure that this response is coordinated with COMNAVAIRSYSCOM.

Preparation of a Scenario Development Data Call response for the closure/realignment scenario described above is mandatory. The lead major claimant **may** submit a **separate, additional** Scenario Development Data Call response, which while not changing the base(s) identified as being closed/realigned, does identify alternative receiving sites. If an additional response is submitted, identify this response as Scenario Number 5-23-0369-104A.

BSAT Points of Contact

Any questions concerning this specific closure/realignment scenario should be addressed to CAPT Buzzell (regarding facility requirements and associated savings at NAS Pensacola) at (703) 681-0475; CAPT Moeller (regarding closing and disposing of the Whirl Tower, etc.) at (703) 681-0456. General questions regarding COBRA or other costing issues should be addressed to Mr. David Wennergren at (703) 681-0466.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA**

Activity: 00204 NAS PENSACOLA

VIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	OFF	BEGIN FY ENL	1996		STU	PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES		OFF	END FY ENL	2001		STU
					CIV	STU		CIV	STU			CIV	STU	
N 45593	S TRAWING SIX (AOB)	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 45593	STUDENTS (AOB)	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 47565	STUDENTS-SAUDI (AOB)	CNET	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
N 52902	TRARON EIGHT SIX	CNET	41	22	3	0	0	0	0	0	22	3	0	0
N 0395A	TRARON FOUR	CNET	26	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	2	0	0
N 41613	TRARON FOUR FMSTRNG	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 0614A	TRARON TEN	CNET	67	31	3	0	0	0	0	0	31	3	0	0
N 35686	USN STUDENTS (AOB)	CNET	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 68366	NAVLEGSVCOFF CENTRAL	CNO	12	7	8	0	0	0	0	-3	7	5	0	0
N 45986	PQMM SPEC PNCLA	CNO	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
M 00204	CNET NAS PENSACOLA FL	COMMARCOR	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M 00204	CO K MARSPBTN NAVSECGRP	COMMARCOR	1	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0
M 00204	DPHSCH NAS PENSACOLA FL	COMMARCOR	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
M 67389	MATSG PNCLA	COMMARCOR	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
M 00204	MATSG PNCLA AVN ORD OFF	COMMARCOR	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
M 00204	MATSG PNCLA HELO PILOT	COMMARCOR	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M 00204	MATSG PNCLA JET PILOT	COMMARCOR	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M 00204	MATSG PNCLA NFO PILOT	COMMARCOR	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M 00204	MATSG PNCLA OTHER PILOT	COMMARCOR	7	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0
M 00204	MATSG PNCLA PROP/MARITIME	COMMARCOR	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M 00204	MATSG PNCLA SPL TRNG	COMMARCOR	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
M 67389	MATSG STUDENTS (AOB) AWTG	COMMARCOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M 67420	MCSF CADRE CORRY STA FL	COMMARCOR	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
M 00204	MCSF CADRE NAS PENSACOLA	COMMARCOR	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
M 00204	NAVY AEROSPACE MED RL PEN	COMMARCOR	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M 00204	NAVY FLT DEMO TM	COMMARCOR	4	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
M 00204	USAF AIR/GND SCOL...AFB	COMMARCOR	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M 00000	USMC STAFF	COMMARCOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M G78	USMC STUDENTS (AOB)	COMMARCOR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68520	NADOC	COMNAVAIRSYSC	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0
N 46775	NAVAIRSYSCOM DET PMA PNCLA	COMNAVAIRSYSC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 45656	NAVAIRSYSCOM JNT OILANAL	COMNAVAIRSYSC	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
N 61339	NAVAIRWARCENTRASYSDDIVISEO	COMNAVAIRSYSC	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
N 65889	NAVAVNDPOT PNCLA	COMNAVAIRSYSC	13	22	55	0	0	0	0	-55	0	0	0	0

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA**

Activity: 00204 NAS PENSACOLA

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	BEGIN FY 1996				PLANNED FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES				END FY 2001			
			OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU	OFF	ENL	CIV	STU
65888	OTHER AVIATION	COMNAVAIRSYSC	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	0
N 44224	NAVFACENGCOMSODIV	COMNAVFAC	4	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
N 65114	PWC PNCLA	COMNAVFAC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 65779	NAVLANTMETOC DET PNCLA	COMNAVOCEANC	4	22	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	22	5	0
N 46829	NSGA PENSACOLA/CC	COMNAVSECGRP	5	61	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	61	3	0
N 68860	FISC PNCLA	COMNAVSUPSYS	6	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	10	0	0
N 68142	NAVCOMTELSTA PNCLA	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 47634	NCTS NON-NIF	COMNAVTELCOM	0	3	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	25	0
N 33281	NTTC PNCLA	COMNAVTELCOM	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	0	0
N 30843	NAVAUDOFF PNCLA	OUSN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 43548	USN STAFF	SECDEF	5	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	46	0	0
N 48766	NAVCOMTPMO PNCLA	SECNAV	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0
N 67556	NAVCRIMINVSERVRA	SECNAV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
N 32106	NMTR W SEC PNCLA	SECNAV	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
62761	SOUTHEAST REGION HQ	SECNAV	0	0	17	0	0	0	-8	0	0	0	9	0
N 49746	COMNAVSPECWARCOM DT	USCINCSOC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
N 30642	NAVAEROPMEDINST STUDENTS	BUMED	0	0	0	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95
N 41466	S NORU (AOB)	CHNAVPER	0	0	0	99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99
N 30500	S AVNSCHCONCLA (AOB)	CNET	0	0	0	1,360	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,360
N 42129	S NASCPNCL OP-05 (AOB)	CNET	0	0	0	382	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	382
N 45593	STUDENTS (AOB)	CNET	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
TOTALS:			708	1,627	2,010	1,943	-2	1	-30	0	706	1,628	1,980	1,943

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA**

PART 3: MANPOWER DATA - SPECIAL USE AREAS. This is a list of "special use areas" assigned to the activity being considered for closure or realignment. Please review this list and determine which, if any, of these special use areas will also be closed as a result of this action. If so, note this fact in the "Closed?" column, and then identify the fiscal year in which the area will be closed. For any special use areas which will be closed, corresponding numbers of billets/positions must be incorporated both into the "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and also the "Eliminated and Relocated Billets/Positions" data in your data call response. Manpower estimates shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001" data is used in your response; as well as ensuring that you do not double count any numbers already shown on Part 1 of this

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	CITY	STATE	OFF	ENL	CIV	Non-DOD	CLOSED?	FY
N 63082	NAVTECHTRACEN CORRY	CNET	PENSACOLA	FL	0	1	1	0		
TOTALS:					0	1	1	0		

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA**

PART 4: MANPOWER DATA - NON-DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (DON) TENANTS. This is a list of non-DON tenant activities located at the installation. If any of these tenants need to be relocated as a result of the closure/realignment action, then identify the number of billets/positions to be relocated, the fiscal year in which the relocation will take place, and the name/location of the receiving site. Manpower numbers associated with these relocations must then be incorporated into the total "End FY 2001 Activity Population" and the "Relocated Billets/Positions" data in the data call response. Manpower numbers shown below reflect Data Call 1 estimates. Please ensure that accurate "End of FY 2001"

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	OFF	ENL	CIV	Non-DOD	FY	RECEIVING BASE
D 49360	DDPF PNCLA	DCMAO	3	0	0	0		
D 49225	DECA COMSY PNCLA	DECA	0	12	0	0		
X Z41935	COGARD ANT PNCLA	unknown	0	10	0	0		
X 99-66217	COGARD LO PNCLA	unknown	1	1	0	0		
X Z36239	COGARD STA PNCLA	unknown	0	26	0	0		
D HQ0115	DAO-CL PNCLA DFAS	unknown	0	0	374	0		
D SB3515	DDPF PNCLA	unknown	0	0	122	0		
D DCSR19	DECA COMSY PNCLA	unknown	0	0	69	0		
D HS41PF	DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	unknown	0	0	4	0		
D HQ0046	DIRJOAP TSC PNCLA	unknown	1	7	20	0		
D SY2364	DRMO PNCLA	unknown	0	0	31	0		
X WP9222	NATINAL WEATHER SERVICE	unknown	0	0	8	0		
D W2MQ07	USA AEROMEDICAL CTR	unknown	1	12	0	0		
D 46L04	USA STAFF	unknown	0	14	0	0		
D 1E819	USA STUDENTS (AOB)	unknown	0	32	0	0		
D ED3VFLF6	USAF STAFF	unknown	0	16	0	0		
D EDOJFPM5	USAF STUDENTS (AOB)	unknown	0	30	0	0		
X Z13266	USCGC POINT LOBOS	unknown	1	5	0	0		
TOTALS:			7	165	628	0		

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA**

PART 5: TOTAL FACILITY SQUARE FEET. This is the total Class 2 facility square feet, excluding family housing, MWR and utilities, as reported in the Naval Facilities Assets Data Base (NFADB). This figure is used in determining the number of square feet which will be "shut down" as a result of the closure action.

Total Facility Square Feet (in thousands): 3,538

PART 6: BASE OPERATING SUPPORT (BOS) COST DATA. This is the total BOS costs reported for the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data and ensure that it is consistent with FY 1996 OSD Submit budget data. If BOS cost data needs to be revised, specific revisions should be noted on a revised copy of the appropriate Data Call 66 table(s), which should then be returned with this data call response.

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	***** O&M, etc. *****				***** DBOF *****				***** TOTAL *****			
			RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY	RPMA NONPAY	RPMA PAY	OBOS NONPAY	OBOS PAY
62651	DEFPRINTSVCOFF PNCLA	COMNAVSUPSYSCC	0	0	0	0	61	0	61	0	61	0	61	0
48922	DEFPRINTSVCSA	COMNAVSUPSYSCC	0	0	0	0	8	0	13	0	8	0	13	0
33281	NTTC PNCLA	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	0
43081	PERSUPP DET PNCLA	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	244	3519	0	0	0	0	0	0	244	3519
66452	NAVAEROMEDRSCHLAB	BUMED	44	463	454	69	0	0	0	0	44	463	454	69
68142	NAVCOMTELSTA Pensacola	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	0	0	268	0	366	0	268	0	366	0
32557	BRMEDCL PENSACOLA	BUMED	0	0	140	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	140	0
68366	NAVLEGSVCOFF CENTRAL	CNO	45	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	68	0
00062	CNET	CNET	0	0	497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	497	0
0715A	NAVAEROPMEDINST	BUMED	86	0	679	275	0	0	0	0	86	0	679	275
39088	NAVCRUITCOM ORIENT UNIT	CHNAVPER	0	0	377	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	377	0
00204	NAS PENSACOLA	CNET	13434	47	24437	31577	0	0	0	0	13434	47	24437	31577
68441	NAVDECEN PNCLA	BUMED	0	0	37	886	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	886
TOTALS:			13609	510	26999	36326	337	0	440	0	13946	510	27439	36326

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ATTACHMENT 1: BASE LOADING DATA**

PART 7: CONTRACT WORKYEAR DATA. This is the total contract workyear data reported by the host and tenant activities in Data Call 66. Please review this data, especially the columns regarding contract workyears which will either be eliminated or transferred as a result of the closure/realignment action. Sum of workyears transferred + eliminated + remaining at activity must equal Total Contract Workyears. Annotate corrections as necessary.

UIC	NAME	MAJOR CLAIMANT	TOTAL CONTRACT WORKYEARS	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE TRANSFERRED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS TO BE ELIMINATED	NO. OF WORK-YEARS REMAINING AT ACTIVITY
62651	DEFPRINTSVCOFF PNCLA	COMNAVSUPSYS	0	0	0	0
48922	DEFPRINTSVCSA	COMNAVSUPSYS	0	0	0	0
33281	NTTC PNCLA	COMNAVTELCOM	0	0	0	0
43081	PERSUPP DET PNCLA	CINCLANTFLT	0	0	0	0
66452	NAVAEROMEDRSCHLAB	BUMED	3	0	3	0
68142	NAVCOMTELSTA Pensacola	COMNAVTELCOM	26	0	26	0
32557	BRMEDCL PENSACOLA	BUMED	0	0	0	0
68366	NAVLEGSVCOFF CENTRAL	CNO	0	0	0	0
00062	CNET	CNET	6	6	0	0
0715A	NAVAEROPMEDINST	BUMED	14	14	0	0
39088	NAVCRUITCOM ORIENT UNIT PNCLA	CHNAVPER	0	0	0	0
00204	NAS PENSACOLA	CNET	442	390	52	0
68441	NAVDENCEN PNCLA	BUMED	2	2	0	0
		TOTALS:	493	412	81	0



**Department of the Navy
Base Structure Analysis Team**

**BRAC-95 Scenario Development Data Call Tasking
URGENT**

To: <i>LCDR Gerry Manley</i>		OFF - 904-452-4092
Organization : 904-452-4066 <i>CNET</i>		
Fax Number :	<i>904-452-4066</i>	Date : <i>12/2/94</i>
		Time : <i>1400</i>

Complete a BRAC-95 Scenario Development Data Call response for the closure/realignment scenario(s) outlined on the next page. A Base Loading Data Attachment (Attachment One to the Scenario Development Data Call) for each losing base involved in the scenario has been provided with this fax tasking. General guidance in preparing data call responses is provided below. Specific guidance on the closure/realignment scenario is provided on the next page.

In developing your Data Call response, every effort should be made to minimize the costs associated with the closure action and to ensure that completion of the action takes place as rapidly as possible. The BSEC tasking for this scenario may include specific directions on the relocation of functions/organizations. In the absence of specific direction from the BSEC, only essential functions, equipment, etc., should be relocated. All others should be eliminated/excessed. To this end, for any activity identified as being relocated in your data call response (with the exception of relocations specifically identified by the BSEC), you must provide a detailed narrative explanation on the specific operational requirement that supports movement to another location as opposed to elimination of the activity.

As the lead major claimant for this data call response, it is your responsibility to ensure that all necessary coordination with other major claimants and consolidation/summarization of responses is completed prior to submitting a data call response. Contact the BSAT if you need a POC list for other major claimants.

As detailed in the Scenario Development Data Call format, the following data submission and certification procedures will be followed. An advance copy of the completed data call response, along with a major claimant-level certification, will be either hand carried or faxed to the BSAT by the lead major claimant. The original copy of the data call response must be forwarded, via the chain of command, as soon as possible thereafter.

Due date for submission of the advance copy of the data call response, along with POCs on the BSAT for this scenario, are provided on the next page. Every effort must be made to ensure that data calls are submitted on time. Primary fax number for the BSAT for Scenario Development Data Call responses is (703) 756-2172. An alternate fax number is (703) 756-2174. Due to the size of some of these data call responses, major claimants in the Washington, DC area should try to hand deliver, rather than fax their responses.

******* 48 Hour Turnaround Required *******

Number of Pages, including cover page:	<i>12</i>
--	-----------

URGENT

BRAC-95 Scenario Development Data Call Tasking

Base Loading Data Attachment

A Base Loading Data Attachment (Attachment One to the Scenario Development Data Call) is provided, with this fax, for each base in the scenario which is being considered for closure/realignment. See pages 3 - 4 of the Introduction to the Scenario Development Data Call, and the text accompanying each part of this Attachment, for more information on the use of the Base Loading Data Attachment in responding to Scenario Development Data Call taskings. The Base Loading Data Attachment is composed of the following seven parts (note that parts 5 and 6 are shown on the same page):

Part 1: Manpower Data - Host and Tenants. Table is a listing of the host activity and all tenant activities at the base. Manpower numbers (end strength) are shown for the start of FY 1996 (End FY 1995) and the end of FY 2001 (the difference between these two columns being the planned force structure changes).

Part 2: Manpower Data - Detachments. Table is a listing of detachments of the activity being considered for closure/realignment.

Part 3: Manpower Data - Special Use Areas. Table is a listing of "special use areas" of the activity being considered for closure/realignment.

Part 4: Manpower Data - Non-Department of the Navy (DON) Tenants. Table is a listing of the Non-DON tenant activities at the base.

Part 5: Total Facility Square Feet. Total Class 2 facility square feet at the base, excluding family housing, MWR and utilities, as reported in the Naval Facilities Assets Data Base(NFADB).

Part 6: Base Operating Support (BOS) Cost Data. FY 1996 BOS Costs, regardless of appropriation, as reported in Data Call 66 response(s).

Part 7: Contract Workyear Data. Contract Workyear data, as reported in Data Call 66 response(s).

If a blank page is printed rather than one of the "Parts" of the Base Loading Data Attachment, then no records were found for this particular table (e.g., the activity had no detachments, etc.).

BRAC-95 Scenario Development Data Call Tasking

Additional Guidance For Scenarios Involving Other Military Departments/Defense Agencies

In preparing BRAC-95 Scenario Development Data Call responses, the following additional guidance must be followed.

For any data call response that involves the movement of personnel/functions to an Army, Air Force or Defense Agency installation, the Scenario Development Data Call response must merely identify facility requirements associated with this relocation, rather than actually estimating whether any MILCON will be required at the receiving site. Specifically, identify the number of officer, enlisted, military students and/or civilian positions required to be relocated, any estimates of equipment to be relocated (excluding administrative equipment) and a complete set of facility requirements associated with the transfer (i.e., by type of facility, the number of square feet, etc., required to accommodate the transferring functions). Do not attempt to get information from the receiving site; the translation of these facility requirements into MILCON estimates will be obtained from the appropriate DoD Component's base closure office.

The following guidance will be followed when dealing with any Army, Air Force or Defense Agency tenant activities which need to be relocated as a result of a closure/realignment alternative. Do not ask these tenants to identify a relocation site. Instead, identify the name of the tenant, the number of officer, enlisted, military students and/or civilian positions required to be relocated, any estimates of equipment to be relocated (excluding administrative equipment) and a complete set of facility requirements associated with the transfer (i.e., by type of facility, the number of square feet, etc., required to accommodate the transferring functions).



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
CHIEF OF NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING
250 DALLAS ST
PENSACOLA FLORIDA 32508-5220

11000
Ser 00R/026
26 JAN 1995

From: Chief of Naval Education and Training
To: Chief of Naval Operations (N44)

Subj: FY 1995 BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (BRAC) SCENARIO
DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL NUMBER 2-13-0228-106

Ref: (a) PHONCON CNET (N-4434) Ms. S. Hertel/CNO (N-441C) LCDR
M. O'Connor of 11 Jan 95

Encl: (1) BRAC-95 Scenario Development Data Call: NAS Pensacola
- Realign NAS Pensacola to close and dispose of the
Whirl Tower (hard copy submittal)

1. As requested by reference (a), enclosure (1) is provided.


C. R. GIMBEL
By direction

SCENARIO 2-13-0228-106, NAS PENSACOLA
CONSTRUCTION COST AVOIDANCES RESULTING FROM WHIRL TOWER CLOSURE

The closure of the Whirl Tower, as identified in Scenario 2-13-0228-106, would cause the cessation of operations in the associated Composite Blade Repair Facility and Dynamic Component Facilities. These buildings should then become available to support BRAC 95 migrations.

There would be no savings associated with BRAC 93 migrations as a result of this scenario. Redesign efforts and impacts to the BRAC 93 migration timelines for NATTC, Millington, and BUPERS would offset the minimal cost difference between major rehabilitation and new construction. NAVAIR has indicated that the Whirl Tower and Dynamic Component facilities would not be available until FY98.

Under BRAC 95, however, rehabilitation of building 3644, Composite Blade Repair Facility, would negate the requirement for new MILCON of over 92 ksf to support CNET training assets migrating from NAWC Lakehurst under Scenario 3-20-0162-029. The CNET revised response to Scenario 3-20-162-029 assumes building 3644 will be available for rehabilitation.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY**

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	2-12-0228-106
Scenario Title:	NAS PENSACOLA WHIRL TOWER
Date:	4 DECEMBER 1994

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	FRED TILLACK
Organization/Code:	NADOC - BRAC/BIT PROGRAM DEPUTY
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (301) 826-4635 DSN: 326-4635
Fax Number:	COMM: (301) 826-3632 DSN: 326-3632
Home Phone Number:	(301) 475-7852

Name:	G. R. MANLEY, LCDR USN
Organization/Code:	CHIEF OF NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING/N443
Office Phone Number:	COMM: (901) 452-4092 DSN: 922-4092
Fax Number:	COMM: (901) 452-4066 DSN: 922-4066
Home Phone Number:	(901) 456-1469

Enclosure (1)

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY**

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario. Complete the table on the next page to identify "bases" involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Note that the term "Losing Base" refers to host activities, independent activities or other activities specifically identified in the Scenario Development Data Call tasking which are being reduced in size, i.e., closing or being realigned. The term "Gaining Base" refers to host or independent activities which will be receiving sites for functions/personnel transferred from losing base(s). For example, a losing base is the activity referred to in the data call tasking, i.e., a Naval Station, Hospital, etc. **Individual tenants should not be separately listed on this table**, e.g., Branch Medical Clinic, Personnel Support Detachment, etc. Individual tenants will, however, be specifically identified in subsequent tables in the data call. The third column of the table should be used to identify relevant information regarding workload/missions to be transferred. For example, entries in this column should be short phrases such as, "missile workload", "ships", "F-14 squadrons", "tenants", etc., or to provide other clarifying information. This third column need only be completed to identify major components of the closure/realignment scenario, and should not be used to list all tenant names, etc.

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload/Missions Transferring
NAS PENSACOLA	NONE	WHIRL TOWER

Note: If an activity/function will be relocated into leased office space, please note this fact under the column, Gaining Base, e.g., "Washington, DC - Leased Space".

Enclosure (1)

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	NADEP PENSACOLA, FL
---------------------	---------------------

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions have been used in responding to this scenario:

1. BRAC-93 actions have been implemented at NADEP Pensacola. The NADEP is closed at the end of FY95 and the associated workload has been transitioned to its appropriate location. The Dynamic Component and Helo Blade repair workload is predicted to be transitioned during the third quarter FY97 with the associated facilities being turned over to NAS Pensacola by the first quarter FY98.
2. Relative to the Dynamic Component and Helo Blade repair facilities, it is assumed that the result of the Joint Cross Service Group (JSCG) study is:
 - a. not to privatize the workload effort
 - b. not to form a joint service facility, and
 - c. not to form a DoD Center of Excellences for Dynamic Components and Helo Blade repair.
3. The basic scenario is to remove and dispose of the Whirl Tower and associated control room building.
4. The Navy will not abandon the Whirl Tower in place, but it will be dismantled and removed.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA									
To Gaining Base: NONE									
UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be driven to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be shipped to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA							
To Gaining Base: NONE							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles

Rationale for Relocating

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include all potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: NADEP PENSACOLA									
UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

(see next page)

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	0	0	0	0	0
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	0	0	0	0	0
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	0	0	0	0	0
D. End FY 2001:	0	0	0	0	0
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. NOT APPLICABLE					
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	0	0	0	0	0
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	0	0	0		0
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	0	0	0	0	0
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	0	0	0	0	0

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. Double check your work. Line H (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) must equal Line D (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements (Mothball Scenarios Only). Complete the table below to identify any permanent caretaker requirements associated with a "mothball" (deactivation) scenario. Caretakers should only be identified if an activity will be mothballed as opposed to closed or realigned. Scenario data call taskings will identify if this is a "mothball" scenario. This area should not be used to identify temporary caretaker requirements associated with closure of the facility. If some or all of the activity will be mothballed, as opposed to closed or realigned, then identify the number of military and/or civilian caretakers that will be required to remain permanently at the activity. Enter the number of caretakers which will be added to the activity in each year. For example, if 100 caretakers will be required in 1996, and then this number will be increased to 150 in 1997 and out, then enter 1996 = 100, 1997 = 50, leave 1998 through 2001 blank, and enter 150 as the total.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: NADEP PENSACOLA - NOT APPLICABLE							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers							
Civilian Caretakers							

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in (\$000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	1,135K	96	Disassembly/tag/package Whirl Tower
2.	80K	96	Demolition of Whirl Tower Control Room Building
3.	250K	96	Modify NADEP Cherry Point Whirl Tower to test H-53E Blades

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE		

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Gaining Base</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE			

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in **total** costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must be consistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. **Net Mission Costs.** Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NONE						
Description:						
2.						
Description:						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

e. **Net Mission Savings.** Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NONE						
Description:						
2.						
Description:						
3.						
Description:						
4.						
Description:						
5.						
Description:						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA

	<u>Annual Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE		

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA

	<u>Annual Savings</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	153	96	Whirl Tower Maintenance and Calibration

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excess property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA

	<u>Revenues</u>	<u>No. of Acres</u>	<u>Rationale</u>
1.	NONE		

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>One-Time/Recurring</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
1.	34K	96	One-Time	Shipping Cost to Relocate Whirl Tower
2.	681K	96	One-Time	Equipment Installation/Reassemble/ Calibrate/Test
3.	2200K	96	One-Time	MILCON - Control Room & Tower Foundation

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA

Facility KSF Shutdown: NONE

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS**

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in (\$000).

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary

Losing Base: NADEP PENSACOLA								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c.	One-Time Move Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d.	Net Mission Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e.	Net Mission Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f.	Misc Recur Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g.	Misc Recur Savings	153	153	153	153	153	153	918
h.	Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid	2,915	0	0	0	0	0	2,915
j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)		0						

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS**

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NONE
----------------------	------

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in (\$000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NONE

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE			

Enclosure (3)

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS**

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NONE

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. **Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).**

Gaining Base: NONE

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE		

Enclosure (3)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NONE

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NONE

	<u>Annual Cost</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE		

Enclosure (3)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NONE

	<u>Annual Savings</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NONE

	<u>Cost</u>	<u>No. of Acres</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Description</u>
1.	NONE			

Enclosure (3)

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS**

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NONE - NOT APPLICABLE								
		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs *							
b.	One-Time Unique Savings							
c.	Environ. Mitigation							
d.	Misc. Recurring Costs							
e.	Misc. Recurring Savings							
f.	Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS**

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in (\$000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Enclosure (3)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Enclosure (3)

**BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS**

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NONE			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	0	0	
Berthing (FB)	0	0	
Air Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
Other Operations (SF)	0	0	
Administrative (SF)	0	0	
Training (SF)	0	0	
Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
Bachelor Quarters (SF)	0	0	
Supply/Storage (SF)	0	0	
Dining Facilities (SF)	0	0	
Personnel Support (SF)	0	0	
Communications (SF)	0	0	
Ship Maintenance (SF)	0	0	
RDT&E (SF)	0	0	
POL Storage (BL)	0	0	
Ammo Storage (SF)	0	0	
Medical Facilities (SF)	0	0	
Environmental	\$0	\$0	
Other:			
-	\$0	\$0	
-	\$	\$	
-		\$	

Enclosure (3)

Command: CNET

**FY95 BRAC Scenario Development Data Call Number 2-13-0228-106
(NAS Pensacola - Realign NAS Pensacola to close and dispose of the Whirl Tower)
(Hard Copy Submittal)**

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

T. W. WRIGHT
NAME

T. W. Wright
Signature

CNET
Title

1-26-95
Date

CNET
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

**DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)**

W. A. EARNER
NAME

W. A. Earner
Signature

Title

2/6/95
Date

Document Separator

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

*Naval Aviation Depot
 Pensacola, Fla*

Starting Year : 1996
 Final Year : 1996
 ROI Year : Immediate

NPV in 2015(\$K): -3,792
 1-Time Cost(\$K): 1,465

Net Costs (\$K)	Constant Dollars						Total	Beyond
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001		
MilCon	-2,200	0	0	0	0	0	-2,200	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-918	-153
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	750	0	0	0	0	0	750	0
TOTAL	-1,603	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-2,368	-153

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
POSITIONS ELIMINATED							
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POSITIONS REALIGNED							
Off	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Summary:

DISASSEMBLE THE WHIRLTOWER AT NAEP PENSACOLA AND RELOCATE TO NAEP CHERRY POINT.

SCENARIO 106

*Alex
~~Ann, Glenn,~~*

I've taken a quick look at this and identified two numbers that should be looked at further, (Highlighted at the tabs)

Bob

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Costs (\$K) Constant Dollars								
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
MilCon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	0
TOTAL	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	0

Savings (\$K) Constant Dollars								
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
MilCon	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	0
Person	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overhd	153	153	153	153	153	153	918	153
Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missio	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	715	0	0	0	0	0	715	0
TOTAL	3,068	153	153	153	153	153	3,833	153

NET PRESENT VALUES REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Year	Cost(\$)	Adjusted Cost(\$)	NPV(\$)
----	-----	-----	-----
1996	-1,603,000	-1,581,403	-1,581,403
1997	-153,000	-146,899	-1,728,302
1998	-153,000	-142,967	-1,871,269
1999	-153,000	-139,141	-2,010,410
2000	-153,000	-135,417	-2,145,827
2001	-153,000	-131,793	-2,277,620
2002	-153,000	-128,265	-2,405,885
2003	-153,000	-124,832	-2,530,718
2004	-153,000	-121,491	-2,652,209
2005	-153,000	-118,240	-2,770,449
2006	-153,000	-115,075	-2,885,525
2007	-153,000	-111,995	-2,997,520
2008	-153,000	-108,998	-3,106,518
2009	-153,000	-106,081	-3,212,599
2010	-153,000	-103,242	-3,315,841
2011	-153,000	-100,478	-3,416,319
2012	-153,000	-97,789	-3,514,108
2013	-153,000	-95,172	-3,609,280
2014	-153,000	-92,625	-3,701,905
2015	-153,000	-90,146	-3,792,051

TOTAL ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/3
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
-----	----	-----
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	1,465,000	
Total - Other		1,465,000

Total One-Time Costs		1,465,000

One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	2,200,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	

Total One-Time Savings		2,200,000

Total Net One-Time Costs		-735,000

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL
 (All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
-----	----	-----
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	1,465,000	
Total - Other		1,465,000
-----		-----
Total One-Time Costs		1,465,000
-----		-----
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	2,200,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
-----		-----
Total One-Time Savings		2,200,000
-----		-----
Total Net One-Time Costs		-735,000

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC
 (All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
-----	----	-----
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0

Total One-Time Costs		0

One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	

Total One-Time Savings		0

Total Net One-Time Costs		0

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

All Costs in \$K

Base Name	Total MilCon	IMA Cost	Land Purch	Cost Avoid	Total Cost
NAS PENSACOLA	0	0	0	-2,200	-2,200
NADEP CHERRY POINT	0	0	0	0	0
Totals:	0	0	0	-2,200	-2,200

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

All Costs in \$K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Total Construction Cost:						0
+ Info Management Account:						0
+ Land Purchases:						0
- Construction Cost Avoid:						2,200
TOTAL:						-2,200

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

*Should check
to ensure this
is valid.*

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
708	1,627	1,943	2,052

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
708	1,627	1,943	2,052

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
0	0	0	3,711

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
0	0	0	3,711

TOTAL PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/3
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

+ The Percentage of Civilians Not Willing to Move (Voluntary RIFs) varies from base to base.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%.

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME COSTS -----(\$K)-----	1996 ----	1997 ----	1998 ----	1999 ----	2000 ----	2001 ----	Total -----
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim POS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

RECURRINGCOSTS	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
----(\$K)----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COST	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	0
ONE-TIME SAVES	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	
----(\$K)----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	
CONSTRUCTION								
MILCON	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M								
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OTHER								
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	
RECURRINGSAVES	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
----(\$K)----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	715	0	0	0	0	0	715	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	153	153	153	153	153	153	918	153
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	868	153	153	153	153	153	1,633	153
TOTAL SAVINGS	3,068	153	153	153	153	153	3,833	153

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 3/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N950BOF.SFF

ONE-TIME NET -----(\$K)-----	1996 ----	1997 ----	1998 ----	1999 ----	2000 ----	2001 ----	Total -----	
CONSTRUCTION								
MILCON	-2,200	0	0	0	0	0	-2,200	
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M								
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OTHER								
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1-Time Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME	-735	0	0	0	0	0	-735	
RECURRING NET -----(\$K)-----	1996 ----	1997 ----	1998 ----	1999 ----	2000 ----	2001 ----	Total -----	Beyond -----
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	-715	0	0	0	0	0	-715	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-918	-153
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR.	-868	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-1,653	-153
TOTAL NET COST	-1,603	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-2,368	-153

APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 4/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95D80F.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
ONE-TIME COSTS	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----(\$K)-----							
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim. POC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465

APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 5/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:50 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
RECURRING COSTS								
----(\$K)----								
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL COSTS	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	0
ONE-TIME SAVES								
----(\$K)----								
CONSTRUCTION								
MILCON	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M								
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OTHER								
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME	2,200	0	0	0	0	0	2,200	
RECURRING SAVES								
----(\$K)----								
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	715	0	0	0	0	0	715	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	153	153	153	153	153	153	918	153
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	868	153	153	153	153	153	1,633	153
TOTAL SAVINGS	3,068	153	153	153	153	153	3,833	153

APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 6/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:50 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

ONE-TIME NET -----(\$K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	
CONSTRUCTION								
MILCON	-2,200	0	0	0	0	0	-2,200	
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O&M								
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OTHER								
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1-Time Other	1,465	0	0	0	0	0	1,465	
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL ONE-TIME	-735	0	0	0	0	0	-735	
RECURRING NET -----(\$K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	-715	0	0	0	0	0	-715	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-918	-153
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	562	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-203	-153
TOTAL NET COST	-1,603	-153	-153	-153	-153	-153	-2,368	-153

APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 7/9
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:50 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC

ONE-TIME COSTS -----(\$K)-----	1996 ----	1997 ----	1998 ----	1999 ----	2000 ----	2001 ----	Total -----
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim. POS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PERSONNEL, SF, RPMA, AND BOS DELTAS (COBRA v5.08)
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

Base	Personnel		SF		
	Change	%Change	Change	%Change	Chg/Per
NAS PENSACOLA	0	0%	0	0%	0
NADEP CHERRY POINT	0	0%	0	0%	0

Base	RPMA(\$)			BOS(\$)		
	Change	%Change	Chg/Per	Change	%Change	Chg/Per
NAS PENSACOLA	0	0%	0	0	0%	0
NADEP CHERRY POINT	0	0%	0	0	0%	0

Base	RPMABOS(\$)		
	Change	%Change	Chg/Per
NAS PENSACOLA	0	0%	0
NADEP CHERRY POINT	0	0%	0

INPUT DATA REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
 Data As Of 07:23 12/06/1994, Report Created 19:49 03/08/1995

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN ONE - GENERAL SCENARIO INFORMATION

Model Year One : FY 1996

Model does Time-Phasing of Construction/Shutdown: Yes

Base Name	Strategy:
-----	-----
NAS PENSACOLA, FL	Realignment
NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC	Realignment

Summary:

DISASSEMBLE THE WHIRLTOWER AT NAS PENSACOLA AND RELOCATE TO NADEP CHERRY POINT.

SCENARIO 106

INPUT SCREEN TWO - DISTANCE TABLE

From Base:	To Base:	Distance:
-----	-----	-----
NAS PENSACOLA, FL	NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC	821 mi

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

Total Officer Employees:	708	RPMA Non-Payroll (\$K/Year):	13,946
Total Enlisted Employees:	1,627	Communications (\$K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	1,943	BOS Non-Payroll (\$K/Year):	27,439
Total Civilian Employees:	2,052	BOS Payroll (\$K/Year):	36,326
Mil Families Living On Base:	31.0%	Family Housing (\$K/Year):	452
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	6.80
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat (\$/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat (\$/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	3,538	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA (\$/Month):	50	Activity Code:	00204
Enlisted VHA (\$/Month):	28		
Per Diem Rate (\$/Day):	87	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost (\$/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC

Total Officer Employees:	0	RPMA Non-Payroll (\$K/Year):	3,463
Total Enlisted Employees:	0	Communications (\$K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll (\$K/Year):	23,857
Total Civilian Employees:	3,711	BOS Payroll (\$K/Year):	27,862
Mil Families Living On Base:	0.0%	Family Housing (\$K/Year):	0
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	0.92
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat (\$/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat (\$/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	1,026	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA (\$/Month):	18	Activity Code:	65923
Enlisted VHA (\$/Month):	30		
Per Diem Rate (\$/Day):	75	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost (\$/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS PENSACOLA, FL

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost (\$K):	1,465	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save(\$K):	153	153	153	153	153	153
Land (+Buy/-Sales) (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc(\$K):	2,200	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc(\$K):	715	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

Name: NADEP CHERRY POINT, NC

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) (\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc(\$K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN ONE - PERSONNEL

Percent Officers Married:	71.70%	Civ Early Retire Pay Factor:	9.00%
Percent Enlisted Married:	60.10%	Priority Placement Service:	60.00%
Enlisted Housing MilCon:	98.00%	PPS Actions Involving PCS:	50.00%
Officer Salary(\$/Year):	76,781.00	Civilian PCS Costs (\$):	28,800.00
Off BAQ with Dependents(\$):	7,925.00	Civilian New Hire Cost(\$):	0.00
Enlisted Salary(\$/Year):	33,178.00	Nat Median Home Price(\$):	114,600.00
Enl BAQ with Dependents(\$):	5,251.00	Home Sale Reimburse Rate:	10.00%
Avg Unemploy Cost(\$/Week):	174.00	Max Home Sale Reimburs(\$):	22,385.00
Unemployment Eligibility(Weeks):	18	Home Purch Reimburse Rate:	5.00%
Civilian Salary(\$/Year):	54,694.00	Max Home Purch Reimburs(\$):	11,191.00
Civilian Turnover Rate:	15.00%	Civilian Homeowning Rate:	64.00%
Civilian Early Retire Rate:	10.00%	HAP Home Value Reimburse Rate:	22.90%
Civilian Regular Retire Rate:	5.00%	HAP Homeowner Receiving Rate:	5.00%
Civilian RIF Pay Factor:	39.00%	RSE Home Value Reimburse Rate:	0.00%
SF File Desc:	NAVY DBOF BRAC95	RSE Homeowner Receiving Rate:	0.00%

Department : NAVY
 Option Package : WHIRLTOWER PENSACOLA
 Scenario File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\DONE\WHRLTWR1.CBR
 Std Fctrs File : C:\COBRA95\NAVY\N95DBOF.SFF

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN TWO - FACILITIES

RPMA Building SF Cost Index:	0.93	Rehab vs. New MilCon Cost:	75.00%
BOS Index (RPMA vs population):	0.54	Info Management Account:	0.00%
(Indices are used as exponents)			
Program Management Factor:	10.00%	MilCon Design Rate:	9.00%
Caretaker Admin(SF/Care):	162.00	MilCon SIOH Rate:	6.00%
Mothball Cost (\$/SF):	1.25	MilCon Contingency Plan Rate:	5.00%
Avg Bachelor Quarters(SF):	294.00	MilCon Site Preparation Rate:	39.00%
Avg Family Quarters(SF):	1.00	Discount Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	2.75%
APPDET.RPT Inflation Rates:		Inflation Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	0.00%
1996: 0.00%	1997: 2.90%	1998: 3.00%	1999: 3.00%
		2000: 3.00%	2001: 3.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN THREE - TRANSPORTATION

Material/Assigned Person(Lb):	710	Equip Pack & Crate(\$/Ton):	284.00
HHG Per Off Family (Lb):	14,500.00	Mil Light Vehicle(\$/Mile):	0.31
HHG Per Enl Family (Lb):	9,000.00	Heavy/Spec Vehicle(\$/Mile):	3.38
HHG Per Mil Single (Lb):	6,400.00	POV Reimbursement(\$/Mile):	0.18
HHG Per Civilian (Lb):	18,000.00	Avg Mil Tour Length (Years):	4.17
Total HHG Cost (\$/100Lb):	35.00	Routine PCS(\$/Pers/Tour):	3,763.00
Air Transport (\$/Pass Mile):	0.20	One-Time Off PCS Cost(\$):	4,527.00
Misc Exp (\$/Direct Employ):	700.00	One-Time Enl PCS Cost(\$):	1,403.00

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN FOUR - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Category	UM	\$/UM	Category	UM	\$/UM
-----	--	----	-----	--	----
Horizontal	(SY)	61	Optional Category A	()	0
Waterfront	(LF)	10,350	Optional Category B	()	0
Air Operations	(SF)	122	Optional Category C	()	0
Operational	(SF)	111	Optional Category D	()	0
Administrative	(SF)	123	Optional Category E	()	0
School Buildings	(SF)	108	Optional Category F	()	0
Maintenance Shops	(SF)	102	Optional Category G	()	0
Bachelor Quarters	(SF)	96	Optional Category H	()	0
Family Quarters	(EA)	78,750	Optional Category I	()	0
Covered Storage	(SF)	94	Optional Category J	()	0
Dining Facilities	(SF)	165	Optional Category K	()	0
Recreation Facilities	(SF)	120	Optional Category L	()	0
Communications Facil	(SF)	165	Optional Category M	()	0
Shipyards Maintenance	(SF)	129	Optional Category N	()	0
RDT & E Facilities	(SF)	160	Optional Category O	()	0
POL Storage	(BL)	12	Optional Category P	()	0
Ammunition Storage	(SF)	160	Optional Category Q	()	0
Medical Facilities	(SF)	168	Optional Category R	()	0
Environmental	()	0			

BASE ANALYSIS

Naval Aviation Depot Pensacola, FL

DOD RECOMMENDATION: Change the 1993 recommendation which closed the Naval Aviation Depot Pensacola, by deleting the requirement to move the whirl tower and dynamic components facility .

CRITERIA	DOD RECOMMENDATION
MILITARY VALUE	Not Available
FORCE STRUCTURE	No Impact
ONE-TIME COSTS (\$ M)	1.5
ANNUAL SAVINGS (\$ M)	.2
RETURN ON INVESTMENT	1996 (Immediate)
NET PRESENT VALUE (\$M)	3.8
BASE OPERATING BUDGET (\$ M)	Closing Base
PERSONNEL ELIMINATED (MIL / CIV)	0/0
PERSONNEL REALIGNED (MIL / CIV)	0/0
ECONOMIC IMPACT (BRAC 95 / CUM)	0.0 %/+4.4%
ENVIRONMENTAL	No Impact

Naval Aviation Depot Pensacola, FL

DOD RECOMMENDATION: Change the 1993 recommendation which closed the Naval Aviation Depot Pensacola, by deleting the requirement to move the whirl tower and dynamic components facility .

CRITERIA	DOD RECOMMENDATION
MILITARY VALUE	Not Available
FORCE STRUCTURE	No Impact
ONE-TIME COSTS (\$ M)	1.5
ANNUAL SAVINGS (\$ M)	.2
RETURN ON INVESTMENT	1996 (Immediate)
NET PRESENT VALUE (\$M)	3.8
BASE OPERATING BUDGET (\$ M)	Closing Base
PERSONNEL ELIMINATED (MIL / CIV)	0/0
PERSONNEL REALIGNED (MIL / CIV)	0/0
ECONOMIC IMPACT (BRAC 95 / CUM)	0.0 %/+4.4%
ENVIRONMENTAL	No Impact

NADEP PENSACOLA, FL REDIRECT

- 1993 RECOMMENDATION CLOSED NADEP AND REQUIRED MOVEMENT OF WHIRL TOWER AND DYNAMIC COMPONENT FACILITY
- NO CONTINUING NEED FOR THE FACILITIES
- NAVY NOW WANTS TO CLOSE THE FACILITIES