

ARMY FINAL DELIBERATIONS

NUMBER	ARMY INSTALLATION	MOTION PASSED
ARM001	ATCOM, St. Louis, MO	
ARM002	Bayonne Military Ocean Terminal, NJ	
ARM003	Bellmore Logistics Activity, NY	
ARM004	Big Coppett Key, FL	
ARM005	Bonneville, Camp, WA	
ARM006	Buchanan, Fort, PR	
ARM007	Caven Point Army Reserve Center, NJ	
ARM008	Chaffee, Fort, AR	
ARM009	Concepts Analysis Agency, MD	
ARM010	Detroit Arsenal, MI	
ARM011	Dix, Fort, NJ	
ARM012	Dugway Proving Ground, UT	
ARM013	East Fort Baker, CA	
ARM014	Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, CO	
ARM015	Greely, Fort, AK	
ARM016	Hamilton, Fort, NY	
ARM017	Hingham Cohasset, MA	
ARM019	Hunter Liggett, Fort, CA	
ARM020	Indiantown Gap, Fort, PA	
ARM021	ISSC, VA	
ARM022	Kelly Support Center, PA	
ARM023	Kilmer, Camp, NJ	
ARM024	Lee, Fort, VA	
ARM025	Letterkenny Army Depot, PA	
ARM026	McClellan, Fort, AL	
ARM027	Meade, Fort, MD	
ARM028	Missoula, Fort, MT	
ARM029	Oakland Army Base, CA	
ARM030	Pedricktown, Camp, NJ	
ARM031	Pickett, Fort, VA	
ARM032	Price Support Center, IL	
ARM033	Public Distribution Center, Baltimore, MD	
ARM034	Recreation Center #2, NC	
ARM035	Red River Army Depot, TX	
ARM036	Rio Vista Army Reserve Center, CA	
ARM037	Ritchie, Fort, MD	
ARM038	Savanna Army Depot, IL	
ARM039	Selfridge Army Garrison, MI	
ARM040	Seneca Army Depot, NY	
ARM041	Sierra Army Depot, CA	
ARM042	Space Command (Leased), AL	
ARM043	Stratford Army Engineering Plant, CT	
ARM044	Sudbury Training Annex, MA	
ARM045	Totten, Fort, NY	
ARM047	US Disciplinary Barracks, Lompoc, CA	
ARM048	Valley Grove Maintenance Support, WV	
ARM049	Tobyhanna Army Depot, PA	

Document Separator

Ft. Dix, NJ

ARM011-A
06/20/95 3:25 PM
DRAFT

Fort Dix, New Jersey

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Fort Dix by replacing the Active Component garrison with a U.S. Army Reserve garrison. Retain minimum essential ranges, facilities, and training areas required for Reserve Component (RC) training as an enclave.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Dix, NJ

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Greely, AK

ARM015-A
06/20/95 2:17 PM
DRAFT

Fort Greely, Alaska

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska.

ARM015-B
06/20/95 10:58 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #1; relocate NWTC, retain CRTA)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) at Fort Greely and relocate the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-C
06/20/95 10:59 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #2; no movement)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) at Fort Greely, Alaska. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Greely, AK

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Hunter Liggett

ARM019-A
06/20/95 2:22 PM
DRAFT

Fort Hunter Liggett, California

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Fort Hunter Liggett by relocating the U.S. Army Test and Experimentation Center (TEC) missions and functions to Fort Bliss, Texas. Eliminate the Active Component mission. Retain minimum essential facilities and training area as an enclave to support the Reserve Components (RC).

ARM019-B
06/20/95 11:00 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Hunter Liggett, CA

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and the force structure plan and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Hunter Liggett and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain the U.S. Army Test and Experimentation Center (TEC) missions and functions, including the Active Component mission, and the facilities and training areas to support the Reserve Components (RC) at Fort Hunter Liggett. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Hunter-Liggett

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Pickett, VA

ARM031-A
06/21/95 8:50 AM
DRAFT

Fort Pickett, Virginia

(Accept SECDEF's Rec.)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Fort Pickett, except minimum essential training areas and facilities as an enclave for the Reserve Components. Relocate the Petroleum Training Facility to Fort Dix, NJ.

ARM031-B
06/21/95 8:50 AM
DRAFT

Fort Pickett, Virginia

(Realign; Petroleum Training Fac. to Fort Lee)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 2 and the force structure plan and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Pickett and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort Pickett, except minimum essential training areas and facilities as an enclave for the Reserve Components. Relocate the Petroleum Training Facility to Fort Lee, Virginia. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM031-C
06/21/95 8:50 AM
DRAFT

Fort Pickett, Virginia

(Realign; Retain Petroleum Training Fac. in enclave)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 2 and the force structure plan and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Pickett and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort Pickett, except minimum essential training areas and facilities as an enclave for the Reserve Components. Retain in an enclave the Petroleum Training Facility. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM031-D
06/21/95 8:50 AM
DRAFT

Fort Pickett, Virginia

(Reject; Keep Open)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 2 and the force structure plan and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Pickett, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Fort Pickett, including minimum essential training areas and facilities for the Reserve Components and the Petroleum Training Facility. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Pickett, VA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Indiantown Gap, PA

ARM020-A
06/20/95 1:55 PM
DRAFT

Fort Indiantown Gap, Pennsylvania

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Fort Indiantown Gap, except minimum essential facilities as a Reserve Component enclave.

ARM020-B
06/21/95 8:29 AM
DRAFT

Ft. Indiantown Gap, PA

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 4 , and the force structure plan and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Indiantown Gap and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Fort Indiantown Gap, including facilities for Reserve Components (RC). The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

Document Separator

Ft. Chaffee, AR

ARM008-C
06/21/95 8:30 AM
DRAFT

Fort Chaffee, Arkansas

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Chaffee and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Fort Chaffee, including the minimum essential buildings and ranges for Reserve Component (RC) training. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Chaffee, AR

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft McClellan, AZ

ARM026-A
06/21/95 8:31 AM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Accept #1)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave and minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot. Relocate the U.S. Army Chemical and Military Police Schools to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, upon receipt of the required permits. Relocate the Defense Polygraph Institute (DODPI) to Fort Jackson, South Carolina. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard.

ARM026-C
06/20/95 11:07 PM
DRAFT

Ft. McClellan, AL

(Realign; leave Chemical Defense Training Facility operational at McClellan until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). The CDTF will operate at Fort McClellan until such time as the capability to operate a replacement at Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri is achieved. Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. Relocate the Defense Polygraph Institute (DODPI) to Fort Jackson, South Carolina. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: FT. McClellan, AL

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Lee, VA

ARM024-A
06/20/95 4:40 PM
DRAFT

Fort Lee, Virginia

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Fort Lee by reducing Kenner Army Community Hospital to a clinic. Eliminate inpatient services.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Lee, VA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Meade, MD

ARM027-A
06/20/95 2:35 PM
DRAFT

Fort Meade, Maryland

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Fort Meade by reducing Kimbrough Army Community Hospital to a clinic. Eliminate inpatient services.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Meade, MD

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Ritchie, MD

ARM037-A
06/20/95 12:20 PM
DRAFT

Fort Ritchie, Maryland

(Reject- Realign)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Ritchie and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort Ritchie except for a National Guard enclave. Relocate the 1111th Signal Battalion and 1108th Signal Brigade to Fort Detrick, MD. Relocate Information Systems Engineering Command elements to Fort Huachuca, AZ. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Ritchie, MD

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Selfridge Army

Garrison, MI

ARM039-A
06/20/95 12:33 PM
DRAFT

Selfridge Army Garrison, Michigan

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close U.S. Army Garrison, Selfridge.

ARM039-B
06/20/95 11:08 PM
DRAFT

Selfridge Army Garrison, MI

(Reject- Retain)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1 and 4, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on U.S. Army Garrison, Selfridge, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain U.S. Army Garrison, Selfridge. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Selfridge Army Garrison, MI

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Price Support Center, IL

ARM032-B
06/20/95 11:09 PM
DRAFT

Price Support Center, IL

(Reject-Keep Open)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Price Support Center and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Charles Melvin Price Support Center including all activities and facilities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Price Support Center, IL

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Buchanan, PR

ARM006-A
06/20/95 4:26 PM
DRAFT

Fort Buchanan, Puerto Rico

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Fort Buchanan by reducing garrison management functions and disposing of family housing. Retain an enclave for the reserve components, Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES) and the Antilles Consolidated School.

ARM006-B
06/21/95 8:33 AM
DRAFT

Ft. Buchanan, PR

(Reject - Keep Mobilization Mission at Ft. Buchanan)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Buchanan, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Buchanan. Dispose of family housing. Retain garrison facilities as necessary to fulfill mobilization missions and requirements, and enclave support functions. Retain an enclave for the Reserve components, Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES) and the Antilles Consolidated School. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM006-C
06/20/95 11:10 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Buchanan, PR

(Reject - No Changes)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Buchanan, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Fort Buchanan including garrison management functions, family housing, the reserve components, Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES), and the Antilles Consolidated School. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Buchanan, PR

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Kelly Support Center, PA

ARM022-B
06/20/95 11:12 PM
DRAFT

Kelly Support Center, PA

(Reject -exclude Valley Grove from closure)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 2, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Kelly Support Center, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign the Kelly Support Center by consolidating Army Reserve units onto three of its five parcels. Dispose of the remaining two parcels. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Kelly Support Center, PA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Hamilton, NY

ARM016.A
06/20/95 11:44 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Hamilton, NY

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4, 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Hamilton, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Fort Hamilton including family housing, minimum essential land and facilities for existing Army units and activities. Retain Army reserve units at Caven Point, New Jersey. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Hamilton, NY

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Totten, NY

ARM045-A
06/20/95 6:07 PM
DRAFT

Fort Totten, New York

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Fort Totten, except an enclave for the U.S. Army Reserve. Dispose of family housing.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Totten, NY

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Detroit Arsenal, MI

ARM010-A
06/20/95 3:09 PM
DRAFT

Detroit Arsenal, Michigan

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Detroit Arsenal by closing and disposing of the Detroit Army Tank Plant.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Detroit Arsenal, MI

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Detrick, MD

ARM046-A
06/20/95 11:17 PM
DRAFT

Tri-Service Project Reliance at Ft. Detrick, MD

(Accept SECDEF's Rec.)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Change the recommendation of the 1991 Commission regarding Tri-Service Development Project Reliance. Upon disestablishment of the U.S. Army Biomedical Research Development Laboratory (USABRDL) at Fort Detrick, MD, do not collocate environmental and occupational toxicology research with the Armstrong Laboratory at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH. Instead relocate the health advisories environmental fate research and military criteria research functions of the Environmental Quality Research Branch to the U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (AEHA), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, and maintain the remaining functions of conducting non-mammalian toxicity assessment models and on-site biomonitoring research of the Research Methods Branch at Fort Detrick as part of Headquarters, U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command.

**1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS**

*I am aware of this - just concentrating on actual motions tonight
-CS*

MOTION ON: ~~Tri-Service Project Bellanca~~ Fort Detrick, MD

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Sierra Army Depot, CA

ARM041-B
06/20/95 11:23 PM
DRAFT

Sierra Army Depot, CA

(Realign in accordance with Secretary of Defense recommendation, with modification)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Sierra Army Depot, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Sierra Army Depot by reducing the conventional ammunition mission to the level necessary to support the conventional ammunition demilitarization mission. Retain a conventional ammunition demilitarization capability and an enclave for the Operational Project Stocks mission and the static storage of ores. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Sierra Army Depot, CA

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Seneca Army Depot, NY

ARM040-A
06/20/95 1:25 PM
DRAFT

Seneca Army Depot, New York

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Seneca Army Depot, except an enclave to store hazardous material and ores.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Seneca Army Depot, NY

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Savanna Army Depot, IL

ARM038-A
06/20/95 12:07 PM
DRAFT

Savanna Army Depot, Illinois

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Savanna Army Depot Activity (ADA). Relocate the United States Army Defense Ammunition Center and School (USADACS) to McAlester Army Ammunition Plant, Oklahoma.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Savanna Army Depot, IL

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Stratford Army Ing. Plant, CT

ARM043-A
06/20/95 11:44 PM
DRAFT

Stratford Army Engine Plant, Connecticut

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Stratford Army Engine Plant.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Stratford Army Engineer Plant, CT

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Bayonne Mot, NJ

ARM002-C
06/20/95 11:26 PM
DRAFT

Bayonne Military Ocean Terminal, NJ

(Close in accordance with Secretary of Defense letter)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 3, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Bayonne Military Ocean Terminal and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Bayonne Military Ocean Terminal. Relocate the Military Traffic Management Command (MTMC) Eastern Area Command Headquarters and the traffic management portion of the 1301st Major Port Command to a location to be determined. Move the Navy Military Sealift Command, Atlantic, and Navy Resale and Fashion Distribution Center to a location to be determined. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM002-D
06/20/95 11:26 PM
DRAFT

Bayonne Military Ocean Terminal, NJ

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 3, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Bayonne Military Ocean Terminal and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Bayonne Military Ocean Terminal including the Military Traffic Management Command (MTMC) Eastern Area Command Headquarters, the traffic management portion of the 1301st Major Port Command, the Navy Military Sealift Command, Atlantic, and Navy Resale and Fashion Distribution Center. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Bayonne Military Ocean Terminal, NJ

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Oakland Army Base, CA

ARM029-A
06/20/95 11:29 PM
DRAFT

Oakland Army Base, California

(Close as a Commission Add)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1 and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Close Oakland Army Base, California. Relocate Military Traffic Management Command - Western Area and 1302d Military Port Command to locations to be determined. Enclave Army Reserve elements. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Oakland Army Base, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Fitzsimons Army Med. Gr., CO

ARM014-C
06/21/95 8:35 AM
DRAFT

Fitsimons Army Medical Center, CO

(Accept closure with modification-no designated gaining location)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Fitsimons Army Medical Center (FAMC), except Edgar J. McWhethy Army Reserve Center. Relocate other tenants to other installations.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, CO

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

ATCOM, MO

ARM001-A
06/20/95 11:32 PM
DRAFT

Aviation-Troop Command, Missouri

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Disestablish Aviation-Troop Command (ATCOM), and close by relocating its mission/functions as follows: Relocate Aviation Research, Development & Engineering Center, Aviation Management, and Aviation Program Executive Offices to Redstone Arsenal, Huntsville, AL, to form the Aviation & Missile Command; Relocate functions related to soldier systems to Natick Research, Development, Engineering Center, MA, to align with the Soldier Systems Command; Relocate functions related to materiel management of communications-electronics to Fort Monmouth, NJ, to align with Communications-Electronics Command; Relocate automotive materiel management functions to Detroit Arsenal, MI, to align with Tank-Automotive and Armaments Command.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: ATCOM, MO

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Concepts Analysis Agency, MD

ARM009-A
06/20/95 5:12 PM
DRAFT

Concepts Analysis Agency, Maryland

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close by relocating Concepts Analysis Agency to Fort Belvoir, VA.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Concepts Analysis Agency, MD

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

ISSC, VA

ARM021-A
06/20/95 6:41 PM
DRAFT

Information Systems Software Command (ISSC), Virginia

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close by relocating Information Systems Software Command to Fort Meade, MD.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: ISSC, VA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Space Command (Leased) - AL

ARM042-A
06/20/95 6:54 PM
DRAFT

Space & Strategic Defense Command (Leased Facilities), Alabama

(Realign as a Commission Add)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1 and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Realign the Space & Strategic Defense Command by moving it from leased facilities to Redstone Arsenal, Alabama. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Space Command (Leased), AL

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Pub. Dist. Center Baltimore, MD

ARM033-A
06/20/95 5:40 PM
DRAFT

Publications Distribution Center Baltimore, Maryland

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close by relocating the U.S. Army Publications Distribution Center, Baltimore to the U.S. Army Publications Center St. Louis, Missouri.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Publications Distribution Center, Baltimore, MD

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Baltimore Logistics Activity, NY

ARM003-A
06/20/95 6:03 PM
DRAFT

Bellmore Logistics Activity, New York

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Bellmore Logistics Activity.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Bellmore Logistics Activity, NY

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Big Coppett Key, FL

ARM004-A
06/20/95 5:05 PM
DRAFT

Big Coppett Key, Florida

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Big Coppett Key.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Big Coppett Key, FL

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Camp Bonneville, WA

ARM005-A
06/20/95 6:16 PM
DRAFT

Camp Bonneville, Washington

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Camp Bonneville.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Camp Bonneville, WA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Camp Kilmer, NJ

ARM023-A
06/20/95 5:54 PM
DRAFT

Camp Kilmer, New Jersey

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Camp Kilmer, except an enclave for minimum necessary facilities to support the Reserve Components.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Camp Kilmer, NJ

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Camp Pedricktown, NJ

ARM030-A
06/20/95 6:01 PM
DRAFT

Camp Pedricktown, New Jersey

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Camp Pedricktown, except the Sievers-Sandberg Reserve Center.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Camp Pedricktown, NJ

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Caven Point Army Reserve Ctr, NJ

ARM007-A
06/20/95 11:34 PM
DRAFT

Caven Point Army Reserve Center, New Jersey

(Reject in accordance with Secretary of Defense letter)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 2, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Caven Point and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Caven Point U.S. Army Reserve Center. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Caven Point Army Reserve Center, NJ

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

East Fort Baker, CA

ARM013-A
06/20/95 4:50 PM
DRAFT

East Fort Baker, California

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close East Fort Baker. Relocate all tenants to other installations that meet mission requirements. Return all real property to the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: East Ft. Baker, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ft. Missoula, MT.

ARM028-A
06/20/95 5:51 PM
DRAFT

Fort Missoula, Montana

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Fort Missoula, except an enclave for minimum essential land and facilities to support the Reserve Component units.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ft. Missoula, MT

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Hingham, Cohasset MA

ARM017-A
06/20/95 5:42 PM
DRAFT

Hingham Cohasset, Massachusetts

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Hingham Cohasset.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Hingham Cohasset, MA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

Document Separator

Recreation Center # 2, NC

ARM034-A
06/20/95 6:10 PM
DRAFT

Recreation Center #2, North Carolina

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Recreation Center #2, Fayetteville, NC.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Recreation Center #2, NC

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Rio Vista Army Reserve Center, CA

ARM036-A
06/20/95 4:55 PM
DRAFT

Rio Vista Army Reserve Center, California

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Rio Vista Army Reserve Center.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Rio Vista Army Reserve Center, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Sudbury Training Annex, MA

ARM044-A
06/20/95 5:45 PM
DRAFT

Sudbury Training Annex, Massachusetts

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Sudbury Training Annex.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Sudbury Training Annex, MA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

US Disciplinary Barracks - Lompoc, CA

ARM047-A
06/20/95 4:48 PM
DRAFT

Branch U.S. Disciplinary Barracks, Lompoc, California

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Branch U.S. Disciplinary Barracks (USDB), Lompoc, CA.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: US Disciplinary Barracks, Lompoc. CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Valley Grove Maint. Support, WV

ARM048-B
06/20/95 11:36 PM
DRAFT

Valley Grove Area Maintenance Support Activity, WV

(Reject in accordance with Secretary of Defense letter)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 2, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Valley Grove Area Maintenance Support Activity (AMSA), and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Valley Grove Area Maintenance Support Activity (AMSA). The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Valley Grove Maintenance Support, WV

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM026-G
06/21/95 7:39 PM
DRAFT

Fort McClellan, Alabama

(Realign; leave McClellan open & operational until new Chemical Defense Training Facility is open & operational at Ft. Leonard Wood, MO.; list of permits included)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and 2 and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort McClellan, Alabama, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Fort McClellan, except minimum essential land and facilities for a Reserve Component enclave, minimum essential facilities, as necessary, to provide auxiliary support to the chemical demilitarization operation at Anniston Army Depot, and the Chemical Defense Training Facility (CDTF). Relocate the U.S. Army Military Police School and U.S. Army Chemical School to Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. License Pelham Range and current Guard facilities to the Alabama Army National Guard. Except for the relocation of the Defense Polygraph Institute to Fort Jackson, South Carolina, no physical move of personnel workload, functions, missions or activities shall be initiated unless and until all required permits (as determined by the State of Missouri, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) have been obtained, and all appeals, judicial and administrative have been exhausted. At such time as all appeals have been exhausted, the Army shall begin to implement relocation of the Chemical School and the Military Police School, and shall relocate all functions, missions and activities so as not to cause any diminution in the current scope or nature of such functions, missions, and activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. *The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001.* The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM015-D
06/21/95 5:34 PM
DRAFT

Ft. Greely, AK

(Reject #3; relocate NWTC and CRTA as late as possible)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fort Greely and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Fort Greely by relocating the Cold Region Test Activity (CRTA) and the Northern Warfare Training Center (NWTC) to Fort Wainwright, Alaska, but begin the move no earlier than July, 1997. The move should not be completed earlier than July, 2001. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

Document Separator

Grand Forks Air Force Base, ND

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Malmstrom Air Force Base, MT

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

MacDill Air Force Base, FL

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Reese Air Force Base, TX

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Columbus Air Force Base, MS

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Laughlin Air Force Base, TX

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Vance Air Force Base, OK

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Onizuka Air Station, CA

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Lowry Air Force Base, CO

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Bergstrom Air Reserve Base, TX

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Carswell Air Reserve Station, TX

AF004-A
06/20/95 12:28 PM
DRAFT

Carswell Air Reserve Base, Texas

(Close as Commission Add)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1 and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Close Carswell ARS, Texas. The 301st Fighter Wing (AFRES) will inactivate and its assigned aircraft will be redistributed or retired. All activities and facilities at Naval Air Station Fort Worth, Joint Reserve Base, Texas not related to the 301st Fighter Wing will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Carswell Air Reserve Station, OK

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Homestead Air Force Base, FL

AF043-A
06/20/95 10:23 PM
DRAFT

Homestead Air Reserve Base, Florida

(Close as Commission Add)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1 and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Close Homestead Air Reserve Base. The 482nd Fighter Wing (AFRES) will relocate or inactivate and its assigned aircraft will be redistributed or retired. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

AF013.A
06/20/95 10:25 PM
DRAFT

REDIRECT

Homestead Air Force Base, Florida [301 st Rescue Squadron (AFRES)]

(Accept 301st RS)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure plan, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission regarding Homestead AFB as follows: Redirect the 301st Rescue Squadron (AFRES) with its associated aircraft to relocate to Patrick AFB, Florida.

AF013.B
06/21/95 12:36 AM
DRAFT

Homestead Air Force Base, Florida [301 st Rescue Squadron (AFRES)]

(Reject 301st RS)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the 301st Rescue Squadron (AFRES) at Homestead, AFB, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain the recommendation of the 1993 Commission allowing the 301st Rescue Squadron to move back to Homestead AFB, Florida after completion of the reconstruction of its facilities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

AF013.C
06/20/95 10:25 PM
DRAFT

Homestead Air Force Base, Florida [726th Air Control Squadron]

(Accept 726th ACS)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure plan, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission regarding the relocation of the 726th Air Control Squadron (ACS) from Homestead AFB to Shaw AFB, South Carolina, as follows: Redirect the 726th ACS to Mountain Home AFB, Idaho.

AF013.D
06/21/95 12:37 AM
DRAFT

Homestead Air Force Base, Florida [726th Air Control Squadron]

(Reject 726th ACS)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the 726th Air Control Squadron at Homestead Air Force Base, Florida, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain the recommendation of the 1993 Commission allowing the 726th Air Control Squadron to remain at Shaw AFB, South Carolina. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Homestead Air Force Base, FL

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Pittsburgh IAP ARS, PA

AF029.AA
06/21/95 12:38 AM
DRAFT

Greater Pittsburgh IAP Air Reserve Station, Pennsylvania

(Reject - Retain Pitt.)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Greater Pittsburgh IAP Air Reserve Station, Pennsylvania, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Greater Pittsburgh IAP Air Reserve Station, Pennsylvania including the 911th Airlift Wing and its C-130 aircraft. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Pittsburgh IAP, ARS, PA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, IL

O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois

(Close as Commission Add; assets to Scott AFB; Chicago funds Army Reserve)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4, and 5, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Modify the closure of O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station as recommended by the 1993 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission by deactivating the 928th Airlift Wing (AFRES), rather than relocating the unit, and distribute its C-130 aircraft to Air Force Reserve C-130 units at Dobbins ARB, Georgia and Peterson AFB, Colorado, or as appropriate. Close O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois as proposed by the City of Chicago; relocate the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to Scott AFB, Illinois, and relocate the remaining assigned Air National Guard units to locations acceptable to the Secretary of the Air Force provided the City of Chicago can demonstrate that it has financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities (except for FAA grants for airport planning and development that would otherwise be eligible for federal financial assistance to serve the needs of civil aviation at the receiving location), environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards or a faster schedule than DoD would be obliged to meet if the base did not close, without any cost whatsoever to the federal government.

If the City of Chicago agrees to fund the full cost of relocating the Army Reserve activity, such activity shall also be relocated to a mutually acceptable site; otherwise it shall remain. Extend the commencement of the closure from the recommendation of the 1993 Commission to July, 1996 with a completion date no later than July, 1999. If these conditions are not met, the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain at O'Hare International Airport. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois

(Close as Commission Add; assets to Scott AFB only; Chicago funds Army Reserve)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4, and 5, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Modify the closure of O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station as recommended by the 1993 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission by deactivating the 928th Airlift Wing (AFRES), rather than relocating the unit, and distribute its C-130 aircraft to Air Force Reserve C-130 units at Dobbins ARB, Georgia and Peterson AFB, Colorado, or as appropriate. Close O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois as proposed by the City of Chicago; relocate the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to Scott AFB, Illinois, and relocate the remaining assigned Air National Guard units to locations acceptable to the Secretary of the Air Force provided the City of Chicago can demonstrate that it has financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities (except for FAA grants for airport planning and development that would otherwise be eligible for federal financial assistance to serve the needs of civil aviation at the receiving location), environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards or a faster schedule than DoD would be obliged to meet if the base did not close, without any cost whatsoever to the federal government. If the City of Chicago agrees to fund the full cost of relocating the Army Reserve activity, such activity shall also be relocated to a mutually acceptable site; otherwise it shall remain. Extend the commencement of the closure from the recommendation of the 1993 Commission to July, 1996 with a completion date no later than July, 1999. If these conditions are not met, the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain at O'Hare International Airport. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois

(Close as Commission Add)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4, and 5, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Modify the closure of O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station as recommended by the 1993 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission by deactivating the 928th Airlift Wing (AFRES), rather than relocating the unit, and distribute its C-130 aircraft to Air Force Reserve C-130 units at Dobbins ARB, Georgia and Peterson AFB, Colorado, or as appropriate. Close O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, Illinois as proposed by the City of Chicago; relocate the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) to Scott AFB, Illinois, and relocate the remaining assigned Air National Guard units to locations acceptable to the Secretary of the Air Force provided the City of Chicago can demonstrate that it has financing in place to cover the full cost of replacing facilities (except for FAA grants for airport planning and development that would otherwise be eligible for federal financial assistance to serve the needs of civil aviation at the receiving location), environmental impact analyses, moving, and any added costs of environmental cleanup resulting from higher standards or a faster schedule than DoD would be obliged to meet if the base did not close, without any cost whatsoever to the federal government. If the City of Chicago agrees to fund the full cost of relocating the Army Reserve activity, such activity shall also be relocated to a mutually acceptable site; otherwise it shall remain. Extend the commencement of the closure from the recommendation of the 1993 Commission to July 1, 1996 with a completion date no later than July 1, 1999. If these conditions are not met, the 126th Air Refueling Wing (ANG) will remain at O'Hare International Airport. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: O'Hare IAP Air Reserve Station, IL

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

General Mitchell IAP, WI

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: General Mitchell IAP, WI

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Minneapolis / St. Paul

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Minneapolis/ St. Paul

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Niagara IAP Air Reserve Station, NY

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Niagara IAP Air Reserve Station, NY

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Youngstown-Warren MPT, ARS, OH

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Youngstown-Warren MPT, ARS, OH

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

Document Separator

Moffett Federal Airfield, CA

AF023.A
06/21/95 12:45 AM
DRAFT

AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Moffett Federal Airfield Air Guard Station, California

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure plan, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Moffett Federal Airfield Air Guard Station. Relocate the 129th Rescue Group and associated aircraft to McClellan AFB, California.

AF023.B
06/21/95 12:45 AM
DRAFT

Moffett Federal Airfield Air Guard Station, California

(Reject - No Action) [McClellan AFB Closes]

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Moffett Federal Airfield Air Guard Station, California, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Moffett Federal Airfield Air Guard Station including the 129th Rescue Group and associated aircraft. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

AF023.C
06/21/95 12:45 AM
DRAFT

Moffett Federal Airfield Air Guard Station, California

(Reject - No Action) [McClellan AFB Stays Open]

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Moffett Federal Airfield Air Guard Station, California, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Moffett Federal Airfield Air Guard Station including the 129th Rescue Group and associated aircraft. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Moffett Federal Airfield, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

North Highlands Air Guard Station

AF025.A
06/20/95 10:42 PM
DRAFT

North Highlands Air Guard Station, California

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure plan, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close North Highlands Air Guard Station and relocate the 162nd Combat Communications Group (CCG) and the 149th Combat Communications Squadron (CCS) to McClellan AFB, California.

AF025.B
06/21/95 12:50 AM
DRAFT

North Highlands Air Guard Station, California

(Reject - No Action) [McClellan AFB Closes]

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 2, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on North Highlands Air Guard Station, California, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain North Highlands Air Guard Station including the 162nd Combat Communications Group (CCG) and the 149th Combat Communications Squadron (CCS) and associated aircraft. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: North Highland Air Guard Station

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Ontario JAP - Air Guard Station, CA

AF028.A
06/20/95 10:48 PM
DRAFT

Ontario International Airport Air Guard Station, California

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure plan, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Ontario International Airport Air Guard Station and relocate the 148th Combat Communications Squadron (CCS) and 210th Weather Flight to March ARB, California.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ontario IAP, Air Guard Station, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Roslyn Air Guard Station - NY

AF033.A
06/20/95 11:52 PM
DRAFT

Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure plan, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Roslyn Air Guard Station (AGS) and relocate the 213th Electronic Installation Squadron (ANG) and the 274th Combat Communications Group (ANG) to Stewart International Airport AGS, New York. The 722nd Aeromedical Staging Squadron (AFRES) will relocate to suitable leased space within the current recruiting area.

AF033.B
06/21/95 12:52 AM
DRAFT

Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York

(Reject #1- same as SECDEF Rec. w/ provision on sale of land)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Roslyn Air Guard Station (AGS) and relocate the 213th Electronic Installation Squadron (ANG) and the 274th Combat Communications Group (ANG) to Stewart International Airport AGS, New York provided proceeds from the sale of Roslyn Air Guard Station are realized. The 722nd Aeromedical Staging Squadron (AFRES) will relocate to suitable leased space within the current recruiting area. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

AF033.C
06/21/95 12:52 AM
DRAFT

Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York

(Reject #2 - No Action, Roslyn remains)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Roslyn Air Guard Station, New York, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Roslyn Air Guard Station (AGS) including the 213th Electronic Installation Squadron (ANG), the 274th Combat Communications Group (ANG), and the 722nd Aeromedical Staging Squadron (AFRES). The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Roslyn Air Guard Station, NY

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF AF033

Received from R&A 6/19

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Springfield Beck. Mun - A&S, OH

AF035.A
06/20/95 11:05 PM
DRAFT

Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, Ohio

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure plan, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station (AGS) and relocate the 178th Fighter Group (ANG), the 251st Combat Communications Group (ANG), and the 269th Combat Communications Squadron (ANG) to Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.

AF035.B
06/21/95 12:53 AM
DRAFT

Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station, Ohio

(Reject - No Action)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station (AGS), and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station (AGS) including the 178th Fighter Group (ANG), the 251st Combat Communications Group (ANG), and the 269th Combat Communications Squadron (ANG). The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Springfield Beck. Mun., AGS, OH

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Griffiss Air (10th Force Base) NY

AF009.A
06/20/95 11:32 PM
DRAFT

REDIRECT

Griffiss Air Force Base, New York

(Accept) [10th Mountain Airfield Support]

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission regarding support of the 10th Infantry Division (Light), Fort Drum, New York at Griffiss AFB as follows: Close the minimum essential airfield that was to be maintained by a contractor at Griffiss AFB and provide the mobility/contingency/training support to the 10th Infantry Division (Light) from the Fort Drum airfield. Mission essential equipment from the minimum essential airfield at Griffiss AFB will transfer to Fort Drum.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Griffiss Air (10th) Force Base, NY

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF AF009

Received from R&A 6/19

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Griffiss AFB 485th NY

AF010.A
06/21/95 12:55 AM
DRAFT

Griffiss Air Force Base, New York

(Reject) [485th Engineering Installation Group; Depot Closures]

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviate substantially from final criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Griffiss Air Force Base, New York, as it pertains to the 485th Engineering Installation Group, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission regarding the transfer of the 485th Engineering Installation Group (EIG) from Griffiss AFB, New York, to Hill AFB, Utah, as follows: Inactivate the 485th EIG. Transfer its engineering and installation functions as operational requirements dictate in accordance with Department of the Air Force policy. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

AF010.B
06/21/95 12:55 AM
DRAFT

Griffiss Air Force Base, New York

(Accept) [485th Engineering Installation Group; NO Depot Closures]

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission regarding the transfer of the 485th Engineering Installation Group (EIG) from Griffiss AFB, New York, to Hill AFB, Utah, as follows: Inactivate the 485th EIG. Transfer its engineering functions to the 38th EIG at Tinker AFB, Oklahoma. Transfer its installation functions to the 838th Electronic Installation Squadron (EIS) at Kelly AFB, Texas, and to the 938th EIS, McClellan AFB, California.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Griffiss Air Force Base (485th), NY

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF AF010

Received from R&A 6/19

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Minot AFB, ND

Document Separator

Rome Laboratory, NY

AF032-A
06/20/95 8:36 PM
DRAFT

Rome Laboratory, New York

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Rome Laboratory, Rome, New York. Rome Laboratory activities will relocate to Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, and Hanscom AFB, Massachusetts. Specifically, the Photonics, Electromagnetic & Reliability (except Test Site O&M operations), Computer Systems, Radio Communications and Communications Network activities, with their share of the Rome Lab staff activities, will relocate to Fort Monmouth. The Surveillance, Intelligence & Reconnaissance Software Technology, Advanced C2 Concepts, and Space Communications activities, with their share of Rome Laboratory staff activities, will relocate to Hanscom AFB. The Test Site (e.g., Stockbridge and Newport) O&M operations will remain at its present location but will report Hanscom AFB.

AF032-B
06/21/95 1:12 AM
DRAFT

Rome Laboratory, Rome, NY

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Rome Laboratory, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Rome Laboratory, Rome, New York, including all activities and facilities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Rome Laboratory, NY

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Kirtland Air Force Base, NM

AF015.A
06/20/95 11:34 AM
DRAFT

LAB AND PRODUCT CENTER BASES

Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico

(Reject - No Action)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and the force structure plan, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: ~~Retain Kirtland AFB~~ including all units, base activities and facilities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Kirtland Air Force Base, NM

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Brooks AFB, TX

Brooks Air Force Base, TX

(Reject #2; Enclave Missions per community cantonment proposal)

^{1, 4, 5}
I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and ~~X~~ and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the Brooks Air Force Base, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: ~~Close~~ Brooks Air Force Base. Retain as an enclave the Human Systems Center, including the School of Aerospace Medicine and Armstrong Laboratory, the 68th Intelligence Squadron, the Air Force Center for Environmental Excellence, the 710th Intelligence Flight (AFRES) and the hyperbaric chamber operation. All activities and facilities at the base, except for family housing, will close. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

RE-ALIGN

AF003-D
06/21/95 9:20 AM
DRAFT

Brooks Air Force Base, TX

(Reject; No Changes)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4, and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Brooks Air Force Base, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Brooks Air Force Base including all activities and facilities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Brooks Air Force Base, TX

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

ALC - McClellan AFB

AF042.A
06/20/95 4:05 PM
DRAFT

AIR LOGISTICS CENTERS & DEFENSE DEPOTS

(Accept DoD Recommendation)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign the Air Logistics Centers (ALC) at Hill AFB, Utah; Kelly AFB, Texas; McClellan AFB, California; Robins AFB, Georgia; and Tinker AFB, Oklahoma. Consolidate the workloads at the designated receiver locations contained in the Secretary of Defense's Report of March 1995 or as appropriate. Move the required equipment and any required personnel to the receiving locations. Minimal workload in each of the commodities may continue to be performed at the other ALCs as required.

AF020-BB
06/21/95 9:43 AM
DRAFT

McClellan AFB and Defense Distribution Depot (DDD) Sacramento

(Reject; Close McClellan AFB Including ALC + DDD Sacramento; move work to Toby. and keep neutron radiography & let Def. Depot Maint. Council distrib. assets)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and the force structure, and therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Air Logistics Centers at Hill AFB, Utah; Kelly AFB, Texas; McClellan AFB, California; Robins AFB, Georgia; and Tinker AFB, Oklahoma, Texas, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close McClellan AFB, California including the Air Logistic Center and the Defense Distribution Depot, Sacramento. Move the ground-communication electronics to Tobyhanna Army Depot, Pennsylvania. Retain the Nuclear radiation Center's reactor for dual-use and/or research or close as appropriate. Consolidate the remaining workloads to other DoD depots as determined by the Defense Depot Maintenance Council and/or to private sector commercial activities. Move the required equipment and any required personnel to the receiving locations. All other activities and facilities at the base will close. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

AF020-C
06/21/95 9:43 AM
DRAFT

(Close DDD Sacramento, a Commission Add)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and the force structure, and therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Close the Defense Distribution Depot, Sacramento. Consolidate the workloads to designated receiver locations as appropriate. Move the required equipment and any required personnel to appropriate receiving locations. All activities and facilities at the depot will close. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

ALC Kelly AFB

AF014-C
06/21/95 12:38 AM
DRAFT

Kelly AFB & Defense Distribution Depot (DDD) San Antonio
(Close DDD San Antonio, a Commission Add)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and the force structure, and therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Close the Defense Distribution Depot, San Antonio. Consolidate the workloads to designated receiver locations as appropriate. Move the required equipment and any required personnel to appropriate receiving locations. All activities and facilities at the depot will close. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

Document Separator

ALC - Robins AFB

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: ALC-Robins Air Force Base

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

Document Separator

ALC-Tinker AFB

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: ALC-Tinker Air Force Base

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

ALC - Hill AFB

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: ALC-Hill Air Force Base

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Red River Army Depot, TX

ARM035-A
06/20/95 5:37 PM
DRAFT

Red River Army Depot, Texas

(Accept SECDEF's Recommendation)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Close Red River Army Depot. Transfer the ammunition storage mission, intern training center, and civilian training education to Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant. Transfer the light combat vehicle maintenance mission to Anniston Army Depot. Transfer the Rubber Production Facility to Lone Star.

ARM035-C
06/21/95 12:39 AM
DRAFT

Red River Army Depot, TX

(Close; Bradley and other missions stay)

I move that the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1, and therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Red River Army Depot, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Red River Army Depot by moving all maintenance missions, except for that related to the Bradley Fighting Vehicle Series, to other depot maintenance activities, including the private sector. Retain conventional ammunition storage, intern training center, Rubber Production Facility, and civilian training education at Red River. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM035-D
06/21/95 12:39 AM
DRAFT

Red River Army Depot, TX

(Close; missions to “other depots”)

I move that the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1, and therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary’s recommendation on Red River Army Depot, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Red River Army Depot. Transfer the ammunition storage mission, intern training center, and civilian training education to Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant. Transfer light combat vehicle maintenance to other depot maintenance activities, including the private sector. Transfer the Rubber Production Facility to Lone Star. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM035-E
06/21/95 12:40 AM
DRAFT

Red River Army Depot, TX

(Close; Bradley to Anniston; M113 to Letterkenny)

I move that the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 1, and therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Red River Army Depot, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Close Red River Army Depot. Transfer the ammunition storage mission, intern training center, and civilian training education to Lone Star Army Ammunition Plant. Transfer Bradley Fighting Vehicle Series and related components to Anniston Army Depot, Alabama. Transfer the M-113 Series of vehicles to Letterkenny Army Depot, Pennsylvania. Transfer the Rubber Production Facility to Lone Star. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Red River Army Depot, TX

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

DDD- Red River, TX

DLA009.a
06/20/95 1:22 PM
DRAFT

DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT RED RIVER, TEXAS (DDRT)

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the Defense Distribution Depot Red River, Texas (DDRT). Material remaining at DDRT at the time of disestablishment will be relocated to the Defense Distribution Depot Anniston, Alabama (DDAA) and to optimum storage space within the Department of Defense Distribution System.

N

DLA009.b
06/20/95 6:35 PM
DRAFT

DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT RED RIVER, TEXAS (DDRT)

REJECT PREVIOUS CLOSURE BY COMMISSION

I move that the Commission set aside its previous recommendation to accept the Secretary of Defense's recommendation to close Defense Distribution Depot Red River, Texas; find that the Secretary deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Defense Distribution Depot Red River, Texas, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Defense Distribution Depot Red River, Texas, remains open and is not disestablished. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

W

DLA009.c
06/20/95 6:35 PM
DRAFT

DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT RED RIVER, TEXAS (DDRT)

REJECT SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Defense Distribution Depot Red River, Texas (DDRT) and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Defense Distribution Depot Red River, Texas (DDRT) remains open and is not disestablished. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria .

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Defense Distribution Depot, Red River, TX

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

✓ Community Concerns

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF DLA009

Received from R&A 6/19

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Letterkenny Army Depot, PA

ARM025-A
06/21/95 10:04 AM
DRAFT

Letterkenny Army Depot, Pennsylvania

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Letterkenny Army Depot by transferring the towed and self-propelled combat vehicle mission to Anniston Army Depot. Retain an enclave for conventional ammunition storage and tactical missile disassembly and storage. Change the 1993 Commission's decision regarding the consolidating of tactical missile maintenance at Letterkenny by transferring missile guidance system workload to Tobyhanna Army Depot.

ARM025-B
06/21/95 10:04 AM
DRAFT

Letterkenny Army Depot, Pennsylvania

(Reject; Same as SECDEF motion but add private sector use)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Letterkenny Army Depot, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Letterkenny Army Depot by transferring the towed and self-propelled combat vehicle mission to Anniston Army Depot. Retain an enclave for conventional ammunition storage and tactical missile disassembly and storage. Change the 1993 Commission's decision regarding the consolidating of tactical missile maintenance at Letterkenny by transferring missile guidance system workload to Tobyhanna Army Depot or private sector commercial activities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM025-C
06/21/95 10:04 AM
DRAFT

Letterkenny Army Depot, Pennsylvania

(Reject; No Realignment)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Letterkenny Army Depot, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain all current missions at Letterkenny including combat vehicle workload and the consolidation of tactical missile maintenance. Modify the 1993 Commission recommendation on tactical missile consolidation by allowing the work to transfer to private sector commercial activities as appropriate. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

ARM025-D
06/21/95 10:04 AM
DRAFT

(Reject; Realign, work to Toby. and Anniston)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criterion 4, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Letterkenny Army Depot, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Letterkenny Army Depot. Consolidate all missile maintenance, except that which is currently being done at Hill AFB, Utah, to Tobyhanna Army Depot, Pennsylvania. Modify the 1993 Commission recommendation on tactical missile consolidation by allowing the work to transfer to private sector commercial activities as appropriate. Transfer the towed and self-propelled combat vehicle mission to Anniston Army Depot and retain an enclave for conventional ammunition storage and tactical missile disassembly and storage. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

(Reject per R. Cox)

I move the Commission find the Secretary deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and, therefore the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Letterkenny Army Depot, and instead, adopt the following recommendation: Realign Letterkenny Army Depot and TFL. ~~Letterkenny Army Depot will become a depot activity under the command and control of Tobyhanna Army Depot. The tactical missile maintenance workload will consolidate under STST Tobyhanna's command and control.~~ Missile repair work may be assigned to either the private sector or the Letterkenny and Tobyhanna facilities as deemed appropriate. The Letterkenny facility will retain all currently programmed combat vehicle workload. Ground combat vehicle workload will move to Letterkenny as appropriate. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

to
these
with
blank

combined

one

as determined
by the Army

of the consolidated depot command.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Letterkenny Army Depot, PA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

DDD- Lutterkenny

DLA004.a
06/20/95 1:05 PM
DRAFT

DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT LETTERKENNY, PENNSYLVANIA (DDLDP)

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the Defense Distribution Depot Letterkenny, Pennsylvania (DDLDP). Material remaining at DDLDP at the time of disestablishment will be relocated to the Defense Distribution Depot Anniston, Alabama (DDAA) and to optimum storage space within the Department of Defense Distribution System.

W

DLA004.b
06/20/95 6:37 PM
DRAFT

DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT LETTERKENNY, PENNSYLVANIA (DDLDP)

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Defense Distribution Depot Letterkenny, Pennsylvania and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Defense Distribution Depot Letterkenny, Pennsylvania remains open and is not disestablished. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Defense Distribution Depot, Letterkeny, PA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF DLA004

Received from R&A 6/19

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Tobikhanna Army Depot

ARM049-A
06/20/95 11:14 AM
DRAFT

Tobyhanna Army Depot, Pennsylvania (From Adds Hearing)

X(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation: Close Tobyhanna Army Depot. Transfer all electronic work to Letterkenny Army Depot and other missions as appropriate. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NO ACTION REQUIRED TO LEAVE TOBYHANNA OPEN BECAUSE IT WAS AN ADD

O.K.
go

Document Separator

NAWC / Crane Div. Louisville, KY

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Surface Warfare Center, Crane Division Detach, Louisville, KY

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NAWC / Arctt, Div.

Indianapolis

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Indianapolis, IN

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NAWC /Aircraft Div Lakehurst, NJ

NAV029.a
06/20/95 5:10 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, AIRCRAFT DIVISION, LAKEHURST, NEW JERSEY

ACCEPT SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Lakehurst, New Jersey, except transfer in place certain facilities and equipment to the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Patuxent River, Maryland. Relocate other functions and associated personnel and equipment to the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Patuxent River, Maryland, and the Naval Aviation Depot, Jacksonville, Florida. Relocate the Naval Air Technical Training Center Detachment, Lakehurst, to Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida. Relocate Naval Mobile Construction Battalion 21, the U.S. Army CECOM Airborne Engineering Evaluation Support Activity, and the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office to other government-owned spaces.

O.K.
JD

NAV029.b
06/21/95 12:56 AM
DRAFT

**NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, AIRCRAFT DIVISION, LAKEHURST, NEW
JERSEY**

REJECT SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Lakehurst, New Jersey and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Lakehurst, New Jersey will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Lakehurst, NJ

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Eglin AFB, FL

AF006-A
06/21/95 10:08 AM
DRAFT

Eglin Air Force Base, Florida

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Eglin AFB, Florida. The Electromagnetic Test Environment (EMTE), consisting of eight Electronic Combat (EC) threat simulator systems and two EC pod systems will relocate to the Nellis AFB Complex, Nevada. Those emitter-only systems at the Air Force Development Test Center (AFDTC) at Eglin AFB necessary to support Air Force Special Operations Command (AFSOC), the USAF Air Warfare Center, and Air Force Materiel Command Armaments/Weapons Test and Evaluation activities will be retained. All other activities and facilities associated with Eglin will remain open.

AF006-B
06/21/95 12:51 AM
DRAFT

Eglin AFB, FL

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Eglin Air Force Base, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Eglin Air Force Base including all activities and facilities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Eglin Air Force Base, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Analyzer Process. Activity, Buffalo

AF001.A
06/21/95 10:10 AM
DRAFT

T&E

Real-Time Digitally Controlled Analyzer Processor Activity, Buffalo, New York

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Disestablish the Real-Time Digitally Controlled Analyzer Processor Activity (REDCAP) at Buffalo, New York. Required test activities and necessary support equipment will be relocated to the Air Force Flight Test Center (AFFTC) at Edwards AFB, California. Any remaining equipment will be disposed of.

AF001.B
06/21/95 12:53 AM
DRAFT

Real-Time Digitally Controlled Analyzer Processor Activity, Buffalo, New York

(Reject - No Action)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the Real-Time Digitally Controlled Analyzer Processor Activity (REDCAP) at Buffalo, New York, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain the Real-Time Digitally Controlled Analyzer Processor Activity (REDCAP) at Buffalo, New York. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Analyzer Process. Activity, Buffalo, NY

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Elec. Warfare Eval Sys.

Ft Worth, TX

AF040-A
06/21/95 10:13 AM
DRAFT

Air Force Electronic Warfare Evaluation Simulator Activity, Fort Worth, Texas

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Disestablish the Air Force Electronic Warfare Evaluation Simulator (AFEWES) activity in Fort Worth. Essential AFEWES capabilities and the required test activities will relocate to the Air Force Flight Test Center (AFFTC), Edwards AFB, California. Workload and selected equipment from AFEWES will be transferred to AFFTC. AFEWES will be disestablished and any remaining equipment will be disposed of.

AF040-B
06/21/95 10:13 AM
DRAFT

Air Force Electronic Warfare Evaluation Simulator Activity, Fort Worth, Texas

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4, and 5, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the Air Force Electronic Warfare Evaluation Simulator (AFEWES), and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain the Air Force Electronic Warfare Evaluation Simulator (AFEWES). The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

Document Separator

Hill Air Force Base, UT

Hill Air Force Base, Utah

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Realign Hill AFB, Utah. The permanent Air Force Materiel Command (AFMC) test range activity at Utah Test and Training Range (UTTR) will be disestablished. Management responsibility for operation of the UTTR will transfer from AFMC to Air Combat Command (ACC). Personnel, equipment and systems required for use by ACC to support the training range will be transferred to ACC. Additional AFMC manpower associated with operation will be eliminated. Some armament/weapons Test and Evaluation (T&E) workload will transfer to the Air Force Development Test Center (AFDTC), Eglin AFB, Florida, and the Air Force Flight Test Center (AFFTC), Edwards AFB, California.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Hill Air Force Base, UT

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Williams Air Force Base, AZ

AF038-A
06/21/95 10:17 AM
DRAFT

Williams Air Force Base, Arizona

(Accept)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the final criteria and force structure, and, therefore, the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: Change the recommendation of the 1991 Commission regarding the relocation of Williams AFB's Armstrong Laboratory Aircrew Training Research Facility to Orlando, Florida, as follows: The Armstrong Laboratory Aircrew Training Research Facility at Mesa, Arizona, will remain at its present location as a stand-alone activity.

AF038-B
06/21/95 10:17 AM
DRAFT

(Reject)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Williams Air Force Base, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain the recommendation of the 1991 Commission regarding the relocation of Williams Air Force Base's Armstrong Laboratory Aircrew Training Research Facility from Mesa, Arizona to Orlando, Florida. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Williams Air Force Base, AZ

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Point Mugu, CA

NAV049.a
06/20/95 8:30 PM
DRAFT

**NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, WEAPONS DIVISION, POINT MUGU,
CALIFORNIA**

REALIGNMENT SCENARIO NO. 1

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: realign Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Division, Point Mugu, California. Relocate test and evaluations missions and all in-service engineering functions to China Lake. Retain the Sea Test Range and airspace and island instrumentation. Retain in inactive status remaining facilities, runways and hangars. Remaining Point Mugu activities will be supported from Port Hueneme. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV049.b
06/20/95 8:30 PM
DRAFT

**NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, WEAPONS DIVISION, POINT MUGU,
CALIFORNIA**

REALIGNMENT SCENARIO NO. 2

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 4 and 5, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: realign Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Division, Point Mugu, California. Relocate environmental functions to NAWC Weapons Division, China Lake. Retain Sea Range. Relocate Sea Range operations, control and engineering function to China Lake. Retain remaining capability and engineering functions. Retain in inactive status remaining facilities, runways and hangars. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure-plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Point Mugu Pacific Missile Test Center, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NAWC/Aircraft Div. Warminster, PA

NAV062.a
06/20/95 4:10 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, AIRCRAFT DIVISION, WARMINSTER,
PENNSYLVANIA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Warminster, Pennsylvania. Relocate appropriate functions, personnel, equipment, and support to other technical activities, primarily the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Patuxent River, Maryland.

OK
JC

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Warminster, PA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NCCOSC, Warminster, PA

NAV062.a
06/20/95 4:19 AM
DRAFT

**NAVAL COMMAND, CONTROL AND OCEAN SURVEILLANCE CENTER, RDT&E
DIVISION DETACHMENT, WARMINSTER, PENNSYLVANIA**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, ~~RDT&E Division Detachment~~, Warminster, Pennsylvania. Relocate appropriate functions, personnel, equipment, and support to other technical activities, primarily the Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, RDT&E Division, San Diego, California; and the Naval Oceanographic Office, Bay St. Louis, Mississippi.

O.K.
JD

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, Warminster,
PA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NAWC / Aircraft Div. Open Water - Orlando

NAV043.a
06/20/95 4:21 AM
DRAFT

**NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER, AIRCRAFT DIVISION, OPEN WATER TEST
FACILITY, ORELAND, PENNSYLVANIA**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Open Water Test Facility, Oreland, Pennsylvania.

*0.5
20*

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

**MOTION ON: Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Open Water Test Facility,
Orlando**

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NVWC / Newport Div New London, CT

NAV037.a

06/20/95 4:16 AM

DRAFT

**NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER, NEWPORT DIVISION, NEW LONDON
DETACHMENT, NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division, New London Detachment, New London, Connecticut, and relocate necessary functions with associated personnel, equipment, and support to Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division, Newport, Rhode Island. Close the NUWC New London Facility, except retain Pier 7 which is transferred to the Navy Submarine Base New London. The site presently occupied by the U.S. Coast Guard Station, New London, will be transferred to the U.S. Coast Guard. The Navy Submarine Base, New London, Magnetic Silencing Facility will remain at its present location as a tenant of the U.S. Coast Guard. Naval reserve units will relocate to other naval activities, primarily NUWC Newport, Rhode Island, and Navy Submarine Base, New London, Connecticut.

J. T. J.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

**MOTION ON: Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division, New London
Detachment, CT**

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Biodyn : Lab New Orleans, LA

NAV038.a
06/20/95 4:44 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL BIODYNAMICS LABORATORY, NEW ORLEANS, LA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Biodynamics Laboratory, New Orleans, Louisiana, and relocate necessary personnel to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, Ohio, and Naval Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Pensacola, Florida.

OK
JD

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Biodynamics Laboratory, New Orleans, LA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

New Med. Res. Ins. Bethesda, MD

NAV011.a
06/20/95 4:48 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE, BETHESDA, MARYLAND

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Medical Research Institute (NMRI), Bethesda, Maryland. Consolidate the personnel of the Diving Medicine Program with the Experimental Diving Unit, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division, Coastal Systems Station, Panama City, Florida. Relocate the Infectious Diseases, Combat Casualty Care and Operational Medicine programs along with necessary personnel and equipment to the Walter Reed Army Institute for Research at Forest Glen, Maryland.



1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Medical Research Institute, Bethesda, MD

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

RS Lab Underwater Sound - Orlando, FL

NAV061.a
06/20/95 4:38 AM
DRAFT

**NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY, UNDERWATER SOUND REFERENCE
DETACHMENT, ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the Naval Research Laboratory, Underwater Sound Reference Detachment (NRL-UWSRD), Orlando, Florida. Relocate the calibration and standards function with associated personnel, equipment, and support to the Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Newport Division, Newport, Rhode Island, except for the Anechoic Tank Facility I, which will be excessed.

OK
dd

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

**MOTION ON: Naval Research Lab, Underwater Sound Ref. Detach.
Orlando, FL**

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NCCOSC Norfolk, VA

NAV040.a
06/20/95 4:58 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL COMMAND, CONTROL AND OCEAN SURVEILLANCE CENTER, IN-SERVICE ENGINEERING EAST COAST DETACHMENT, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the In-Service Engineering East Coast Detachment, St. Juliens Creek Annex, Norfolk, Virginia, of the Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, ~~except retain in place the transmit and receive equipment and antennas currently at the St. Juliens Creek Annex.~~ Relocate function, necessary personnel and equipment to Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Norfolk, Virginia.

OK J. d

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Command and Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, Norfolk,
VA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Dugway Proving Ground, VT

ARM012-B
06/21/95 10:21 AM
DRAFT

Dugway Proving Ground, Utah

(Reject in accordance with the Secretary of Defense's letter)

I move the Commission find the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 8, and, therefore, the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Dugway Proving Ground, and, instead, adopt the following recommendation: Retain Dugway Proving Ground including all activities and facilities. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Dugway proving Ground, UT

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

NUMBER	CROSS SERVICE INSTALLATION	MOTION PASSED
AF032	Rome Laboratory, NY	AF032 B
AF015	Kirtland AFB, NM	AF015 A
AF003	Brooks AFB, TX	AF003 D
AF012	Hill AFB-ALC	
AF014	Kelly AFB-ALC	
AF020	McClellan AFB-ALC	
AF031	Robins AFB-ALC	
AF036	Tinker AFB-ALC	
AF006	Eglin AFB, FL	
AF001	Analyzer Processing Activity-Buffalo	
AF040	Electronic Warfare Evaluation System-Ft. Worth, TX	
AF011	Hill AFB-Utah Test and Training Range	
AF038	Williams AFB, Mesa, AZ	
ARM035	Red River Army Depot, TX	
ARM025	Letterkenny Army Depot, PA	
ARM049	Tobyhanna Army Depot, PA	
ARM012	Dugway Proving Grounds, UT	
NAV033	Naval Surface Warfare Center, Louisville, KY	
NAV026	NAWC, Indianapolis, IN	
NAV029	NAWC, Lakehurst, NJ	
NAV049	Point Mugu, CA	
NAV063	NAWC, Warminster	
NAV062	Naval Comm. Control and Ocean Surv. Center, Warminster	
NAV043	NAWC-Oreland	
NAV037	NUWC, New London, CT	
NAV038	Naval Biodynamics Laboratory, New Orleans	
NAV011	Naval Medical Research Institute	
NAV061	Underwater Sound Reference Research Lab, Orlando, FL:	
NAV040	Naval Comm. Control and Ocean Surv. Center, Norfolk	

NUMBER	NAVY INSTALLATION	MOTION PASSED
NAV001	Adak, Naval Air Facility, AK	
NAV002	Agana, Guam, NAS	
NAV003	Alameda, NAS, CA	
NAV004	Annapolis, NSWC, Carderock Division, MD	
NAV005	Arlington, Information Systems Management, VA	
NAV006	Arlington, VA Office of Naval Research	
NAV007	Arlington, VA Sea Systems Command	
NAV008	Arlington, VA, SPAWAR	
NAV009	Atlanta, NAS	
NAV010	Barber's Point NAS, HI	
NAV011	Bethesda, Naval Medical Research Institute, MD	
NAV012	Cadillac, Reserve Center, MI	
NAV013	Cecil Field, NAS, FL	
NAV014	Charleston, FISC	
NAV012	Charleston, SC, Reserve Readiness Command	
NAV016	Chesapeake, Naval Management System Support, VA	
NAV017	Corona, NWAC	
NAV018	Corpus Christi, NAS, TX	
NAV019	Detroit, MI, Naval Air Facility	
NAV020	El Toro/Tustin, MCAS	
NAV021	Guam, FISC	
NAV022	Guam, Public Works Center	
NAV023	Guam, Ship Repair Facility	
NAV024	Guam-Naval Activities	
NAV012	Huntsville, Reserve Center, AL (ETC.)	
NAV026	Indianapolis, NAWC, Aircraft Division	
NAV027	Key West, NAS, FL	
NAV028	Keyport, NUWC, WA	
NAV029	Lakehurst, NAWC, Aircraft Division, NJ	
NAV012	Laredo, Reserve Center, TX	
NAV031	Long Beach, Naval Shipyard, CA	
NAV032	Long Beach, Supervisor of Shipyard, CA	
NAV033	Louisville, NAWC, Crane Division, KY	
NAV034	Meridian, NAS, MS	
NAV035	Meridian, Naval Technical Training, MS	
NAV036	Naval Training Centers	
NAV037	New London, CT, NUWC, Newport Division	
NAV038	New Orleans, Naval Biodynamics Lab, LA	
NAV012	New Orleans, Reserve Readiness Command, LA	
NAV040	Norfolk Naval Command Control and Ocean Surveillance Center	
NAV041	Oakland, CA, FISC	
NAV012	Olathe, KS, Air Reserve Center	
NAV043	Oreland, NAWC, Aircraft Division Open Water, PA	
NAV044	Orlando, Nuclear Power Propulsion Training Center, FL	
NAV045	Pensacola, Naval Aviation Depot, FL	
NAV046	Philadelphia, Air Technical Services Facility, PA	
NAV047	Philadelphia, Aviation Engineering Service Unit, PA	
NAV048	Philadelphia, Shipyard/Norfolk Detachment, PA	

NAV049	Point Mugu	
NAV012	Pomona, Reserve Center	
NAV051	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	
NAV052	San Diego, Naval Command Control and Ocean Surveillance Center	
NAV053	San Diego, Naval Recruiting District, CA	
NAV054	San Diego, Personnel, R&D Center, CA	
NAV055	San Francisco, Supervisor of Shipyard	
NAV012	Santa Anna/ Irvine, Reserve Center	
NAV012	Sheboygan, Reserve Center, WI	
NAV058	South Weymouth, NAS, MA	
NAV012	Staten Island, Reserve Center, NY	
NAV012	Stockton, Reserve Center	
NAV061	Underwater Sound Research Lab, Orlando, FL	
NAV062	Warminster, Naval Command Control and Ocean Surveillance Center	
NAV063	Warminster, NAWC, Aircraft Division, PA	
NAV064	Washington, DC, Recruiting Command	
NAV065	Washington, DC, Security Group Command, Potomac	
NAV066	White Oak, NSWC, Dahlgren Division, MD	
NAV067	San Diego, Naval Health Research Center	
NAV068	San Bruno, Engineering Field Activity West	
NAV069	Mare Island	

Document Separator

Naval Activities Guam

NAV024.c
06/21/95 3:41 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL ACTIVITIES, GUAM

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Activities, Guam, and instead adopt the following recommendation: realign Naval Activities Guam. Locate all Military Sealift Command assets and related personnel and support at available DoD activities or in rented facilities as required to support operational commitments. Disestablish the Naval Pacific Meteorology and Oceanographic Center-WESTPAC, except for the Joint Typhoon Warning Center, which relocates to the Naval Pacific Meteorology and Oceanographic Center, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. Disestablish the Afloat Training Group-WESTPAC. All other Department of Defense activities that are presently on Naval Activities, Guam may remain either as a tenant of Naval Activities, Guam or other appropriate naval activity. Retain waterfront assets for support, mobilization and contingencies to support the afloat tender. Dispose of all property owned by Naval Activities declared releasable under the 1994 Guam Land Use Plan with appropriate restrictions. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV024.a
06/19/95 5:58 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL ACTIVITIES, GUAM

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Activities, Guam, and instead adopt the following recommendation: realign Naval Activities Guam. Relocate all ammunition vessels and associated personnel and support to a location determined by operational requirements. Relocate all other combat logistics force ships and associated personnel and support to a location determined by operational requirements. Relocate Military Sealift Command personnel and Diego Garcia support functions to a location determined by operational requirements. Disestablish the Naval Pacific Meteorology and Oceanographic Center-WESTPAC, except for the Joint Typhoon Warning Center, which relocates to the Naval Pacific Meteorology and Oceanographic Center, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. Disestablish the Afloat Training Group-WESTPAC. All other Department of Defense activities that are presently on Guam may remain either as a tenant of Naval Activities, Guam, or other appropriate naval activity. Retain waterfront assets for support, mobilization and contingencies to support the afloat tender. Dispose of all property owned by Naval Activities declared releasable under the 1994 Guam Land Use Plan with appropriate use restrictions. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Activities, Guam

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Nuclear Power Propulsion Training Center, Orlando, FL

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station Agana Guam

NAV024.b
06/21/95 3:42 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, AGANA, GUAM

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Agana, Guam, and instead adopt the following recommendation: change the receiving sites specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-21) for "the aircraft, personnel, and associated equipment" from the closing Naval Air Station, Agana, Guam from "Anderson AFB, Guam" to "other naval or DoD air stations." The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force-structure plan and final criteria.

NAV024.b
06/20/95 10:32 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, AGANA, GUAM

ALTERNATE LANGUAGE 2

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Agana, Guam, and instead adopt the following recommendation: change the receiving sites specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-21) for "the aircraft, personnel, and associated equipment" from the closing Naval Air Station, Agana, Guam from "Anderson AFB, Guam" to "other naval or DoD air stations." The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

Document Separator

Ship Repair Facility Guam

NAV023.a
06/19/95 5:48 PM
DRAFT

SHIP REPAIR FACILITY, GUAM

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Ship Repair Facility (SRF), Guam, except transfer appropriate assets, including the piers, the floating drydock, its typhoon basin anchorage, the recompression chamber, and the floating crane, to Naval Activities, Guam.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Ship Repair Facility, Guam

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

FI SC, GUAM

NAV021.c
06/21/95 12:48 PM
DRAFT

FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, GUAM

ACCEPT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Guam.

NAV021.a
06/20/95 9:32 PM
DRAFT

FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, GUAM

SPECIFICALLY RETAIN FUEL FARM

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Guam, and instead adopt the following recommendation: disestablish the Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Guam. Retain the FISC fuel facilities, including piers D and E, tank farms, and associated pipelines and pumping systems, under DOD operational control to support military service fuel requirements. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV021.b
06/20/95 9:32 PM
DRAFT

FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, GUAM

RETAINS APPROPRIATE ASSETS WITHOUT NAMING FUEL FARM

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Guam, and instead adopt the following recommendation: disestablish the Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Guam. Retain assets as appropriate to support Department of Defense operational commitments. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Guam

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Public Works Center - Guam

NAV022.a
06/21/95 1:12 AM
DRAFT

PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, GUAM

HOUSING AND PWC DETACHMENT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5, and therefore, that the Commission recommend the following: realign Public Works Center Guam as a detachment of Public Works Center Pearl Harbor. Close the officer housing at the former Naval Air Station Agana, Guam. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV022.a
06/19/95 5:52 PM
DRAFT

PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, GUAM

HOUSING AND PWD *Detachment*

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5, and therefore, that the Commission recommend the following: realign Public Works Center Guam as a detachment of Public Works Center Pearl Harbor. Close the officer housing at the former Naval Air Station Agana, Guam. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV022.c
06/19/95 5:52 PM
DRAFT

PUBLIC WORKS CENTER, GUAM

HOUSING

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5, and therefore, that the Commission recommend the following: close the officer housing at the former Naval Air Station Agana, Guam. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Public Works Center, Guam

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station South Weymouth, MA

NAV058.a
06/19/95 7:33 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, SOUTH WEYMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close Naval Air Station, South Weymouth, Massachusetts. Relocate its aircraft and necessary personnel, equipment and support to Naval Air Station, Brunswick, ~~Maine~~. Relocate the Marine Corps Reserve support squadrons to another facility in the local area or to NAS Brunswick. Reestablish Naval Reserve Center, Quincy, Massachusetts, and change the receiving site specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-64) for consolidation of Navy and Marine Corps Reserve Center, Lawrence, Massachusetts; Naval Reserve Center, Chicopee, Massachusetts; and Naval Reserve Center, Quincy, Massachusetts, from NAS South Weymouth, Massachusetts" to "Naval Reserve Center, Quincy, Massachusetts."

NAV058.b
06/19/95 7:33 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, SOUTH WEYMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, South Weymouth, Massachusetts and instead adopt the following recommendation: Naval Air Station, South Weymouth, Massachusetts will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Station, South Weymouth, MA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station Atlanta, GA

NAV009.a
06/19/95 7:40 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, ATLANTA, GEORGIA

CLOSE

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: close Naval Air Station, Atlanta, Georgia. Relocate USMCR MAG-42A at NAS Cecil Field to NAS Fort Worth. Relocate VR-46 to Dobbins AFB. Relocate MAG-42 from NAS Atlanta to NAS Mayport. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Station, Atlanta, GA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Facility Detroit, MI

NAV019.a
06/19/95 7:35 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR FACILITY, DETROIT, MICHIGAN

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving site specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-25) for the Mt. Clemons, Michigan Marine Corps Reserve Center, including MWSG-47 and supporting units, from "Marine Corps Reserve Center, Twin Cities, Minnesota" to "Air National Guard Base, Selfridge, Michigan."

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Facility, Detroit, MI

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station Meridian, MS

NAV035.a
06/20/95 7:15 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, MERIDIAN, MS

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi, except retain the Regional Counterdrug Training Academy facilities which are transferred to the Academy. Relocate the undergraduate strike pilot training function and associated personnel, equipment, and support to Naval Air Station, Kingsville, Texas. Its major tenant, the Naval Technical Training Center, will close, and its training functions will be relocated to other training activities, primarily the Navy Supply Corps School, Athens, Georgia, and Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island.

NAV035.b
06/20/95 7:15 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, MERIDIAN, MS

OPEN BASE, CLOSE NTTC

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 3, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi and instead adopt the following recommendation: Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi will remain open. Its major tenant, the Naval Technical Training Center, will ~~close, and its training functions~~ will be relocated to other training activities, primarily the Navy Supply Corps School, Athens, Georgia, and Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV035.c
06/20/95 7:15 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, MERIDIAN, MS

BASE, NTTC OPEN

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 3, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi and instead adopt the following recommendation: Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi will remain open. Its major tenant, the Naval Technical Training Center, will also remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV035.d
06/21/95 12:46 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, MERIDIAN, MS

CLOSE BASE, REOPEN OLF GOLIAD

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 3, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi and instead adopt the following recommendation: close Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi, except retain the Regional Counterdrug Training Academy facilities which are transferred to the Academy. Relocate the undergraduate strike pilot training function and associated personnel, equipment, and support to Naval Air Station, Kingsville, Texas. Its major tenant, the Naval Technical Training Center, will close, and its training functions will be relocated to other training activities, primarily the Navy Supply Corps School, Athens, Georgia, and Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island. Change the recommendation of the 1991 Commission (1991 Commission Report, at page 5-21) from "the complete closure of Naval Air Station Chase Field, including the OLF" to "OLF Goliad may be opened if operational commitments at NAS Kingsville require use of OLF Goliad."

newer version

NAV035.d
06/20/95 7:15 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, MERIDIAN, MS

CLOSE BASE, REOPEN OLF GOLIAD

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 3, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi and instead adopt the following recommendation: close Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi, except retain the Regional Counterdrug Training Academy facilities which are transferred to the Academy. Relocate the undergraduate strike pilot training function and associated personnel, equipment, and support to Naval Air Station, Kingsville, Texas. ~~Its major tenant, the Naval Technical Training Center,~~ will close, and its training functions will be relocated to other training activities, primarily the Navy Supply Corps School, Athens, Georgia, and Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island. The Navy may open OLF Goliad if operational commitments at NAS Kingsville require use of OLF Goliad.

Get

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Station, Meridian, MS

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station Corpus Christi, TX

NAV018.a
06/20/95 5:38 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: realign Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas, as a Naval Air Facility, and relocate the undergraduate pilot training function and associated personnel, equipment and support to Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida, and Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Florida.

NAV018.b
06/20/95 5:38 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

NO NAF BUT SPECIFIC DESTINATIONS

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas and instead adopt the following recommendation: realign Naval Air Station, ~~Corpus Christi, Texas~~, and relocate the undergraduate pilot training function and associated personnel, equipment and support to Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida, and Naval Air Station, Whiting Field, Florida. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV018.c
06/20/95 5:38 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

NAF BUT VAGUE LOCATIONS

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas and instead adopt the following recommendation: realign Naval Air Station, ~~Corpus Christi, Texas~~, as a Naval Air Facility, and redistribute its assigned aircraft. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV018.d
06/20/95 5:38 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

NO NAF AND VAGUE LOCATIONS

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas and instead adopt the following recommendation: realign Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas, and redistribute its assigned aircraft. The Commission finds ~~this recommendation~~ is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV013.j
06/21/95 12:47 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 2 and 3, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas, remains open and will not realign. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, TX

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

MCAS El Toro MCAS Tustin, CA

NAV020.a
06/20/95 5:55 AM
DRAFT

**MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, EL TORO, CALIFORNIA, AND MARINE CORPS
AIR STATION, TUSTIN, CALIFORNIA**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving sites for "squadrons and related activities at NAS Miramar" specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-18) from "NAS Lemoore and NAS Fallon" to "other naval air stations, primarily NAS Oceana, Virginia, NAS North Island, California, and NAS Fallon, Nevada." Change the receiving sites for MCAS Tustin, California, specified by the 1993 Commission from "NAS North Island, NAS Miramar, or MCAS Camp Pendleton" to "other naval air stations, primarily MCAS New River, North Carolina; MCB Hawaii (MCAF Kaneohe Bay); MCAS Camp Pendleton, California; and NAS Miramar, California."

NAV020.b
06/21/95 1:32 PM
DRAFT

**MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, EL TORO, CALIFORNIA, AND MARINE CORPS
AIR STATION, TUSTIN, CALIFORNIA**

ACCEPT BUT ADDS MARCH AFB AS A RECEIVING SITE

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving sites for "squadrons and related activities at NAS Miramar" specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-18) from "NAS Lemoore and NAS Fallon" to "other naval air stations, primarily NAS Oceana, Virginia, NAS North Island, California, and NAS Fallon, Nevada." Change the receiving sites for MCAS Tustin, California, specified by the 1993 Commission from "NAS North Island, NAS Miramar, or MCAS Camp Pendleton" to "other air stations, including MCAS New River, North Carolina; MCB Hawaii (MCAF Kaneohe Bay); MCAS Camp Pendleton, California; NAS Miramar, California; and March AFB, California."

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro
Marine Corps Air Station, Tustin

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station Alameda, CA

NAV003.a
06/20/95 6:02 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving sites specified by the 1993 Commission for the closure of Naval Air Station, Alameda, California (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-35) for "aircraft along with the dedicated personnel, equipment and support" and "reserve aviation assets" from "NAS North Island" and "NASA Ames/Moffett Field" respectively, to "other naval air stations, primarily the Naval Air Facility, Corpus Christi, Texas, to support the Mine Warfare Center of Excellence, Naval Station, Ingleside, Texas."

NAV003.b
06/20/95 6:02 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, ALAMEDA, CALIFORNIA

ACCEPT, BUT WITH NAS CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Alameda, California and instead adopt the following recommendation: change the receiving sites specified by the 1993 Commission for the closure of Naval Air Station, Alameda, California (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-35) for "aircraft along with the dedicated personnel, equipment and support" and "reserve aviation assets" from "NAS North Island" and "NASA Ames/Moffett Field" respectively, to "other naval air stations, primarily the Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas, to support the Mine Warfare Center of Excellence, Naval Station, Ingleside, Texas."

C-h

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Station, Alameda, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station Cecil Field, FL

NAV013.a
06/20/95 5:49 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, CECIL FIELD, FLORIDA

ACCEPT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving sites specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-20) from "Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point, North Carolina; Naval Air Station, Oceana Virginia; and Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort, South Carolina" to "other naval air stations, primarily Naval Air Station, Oceana, Virginia; Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort, South Carolina; Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Florida; and Naval Air Station, Atlanta, Georgia; or other Navy or Marine Corps Air Stations with the necessary capacity and support infrastructure." In addition, add the following: "To support Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, retain OLF Whitehouse, the Pinecastle target complex, and the Yellow Water family housing area."

NAV013.b
06/20/95 5:49 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, CECIL FIELD, FLORIDA

REJECT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, Florida, and instead adopt the following recommendation: The recommendation of the 1993 Commission stands. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV013.c
06/20/95 5:49 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, CECIL FIELD, FLORIDA

REJECT RECEIVING SITES, KEEP FIELDS FOR JACKSONVILLE

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, Florida and instead adopt the following recommendation: the recommendation of the 1993 Commission stands. In addition, the following will be added: "To support Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, retain OLF Whitehouse, the Pinecastle target complex, and the Yellow Water family housing area." The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

*Need
cl*

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, FL

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Facility Adak, AK

NAV001.a
06/19/95 6:21 PM
DRAFT

ADAK, ALASKA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close Naval Air Facility, Adak, Alaska.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Facility, Adak, AK

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station Key West, FL

NAV027.a
06/19/95 6:54 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, KEY WEST, FLORIDA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: realign Naval Air Station, Key West, Florida, to a Naval Air Facility and dispose of certain portions of Truman Annex and Trumbo Point (including piers, wharfs and buildings).

NAV027.b
06/19/95 6:54 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR STATION, KEY WEST, FLORIDA

ALTERNATE WORDING

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Air Station, Key West, Florida and instead adopt the following recommendation: realign Naval Air Station, Key West, Florida, to a Naval Air Facility and dispose of all property not required to support the realigned Facility including certain portions of Truman Annex and Trumbo Point (including piers, wharfs and buildings). The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Station, Key West, FL

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Air Station Barbers Point, HI

NAV010.a
06/19/95 7:53 PM
DRAFT

NAAL AIR STATION, BARBERS POINT, HAWAII

ACCEPT

I hope that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission regarding items excepted from the closure of Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, Hawaii (1993 Commission, at page 1-19) from "Retain the family housing as needed for multi-service use" to "Retain the family housing as needed for multi-service use, including the following family housing support facilities: commissary facilities, Public Works Center compound with its sanitary landfill, and beach recreational areas, known as Nimitz Beach and White Plains Beach."

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Station, Barber's Point, HI

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NVC POW Prop Train Ctr - Orlando

NAV044.a
06/19/95 7:51 PM
DRAFT

**NAVY NUCLEAR POWER PROPULSION TRAINING CENTER, NAVAL TRAINING
CENTER, ORLANDO, FLORIDA**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving site specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-38) for the "Nuclear Power School" (or the Navy Nuclear Power Propulsion Training Center) from "the Submarine School at the Naval Submarine Base (NSB), New London" to "Naval Weapons Station, Charleston, South Carolina."

NAV044.b
06/19/95 7:51 PM
DRAFT

NAVY NUCLEAR POWER PROPULSION TRAINING CENTER, NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, ORLANDO, FLORIDA

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Navy Nuclear Power Propulsion Training Center, Orlando, Florida and instead adopt the following recommendation: the receiving site for the Navy Nuclear Power Propulsion Training Center will remain the Submarine School at the Naval Submarine Base (NSB), New London, Connecticut, as specified by the 1993 Commission. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Technical Training Center
Meridian, MS

NAV035.a
06/19/95 7:47 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL TECHNICAL TRAINING CENTER, MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Technical Training Center, Meridian, Mississippi, and relocate the training functions to other training activities, primarily the Navy Supply Corps School, Athens, Georgia, and Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island.

NAV035.b
06/21/95 1:09 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL TECHNICAL TRAINING CENTER, MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the Naval Technical Training Center, Meridian, Mississippi and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Technical Training Center, Meridian, Mississippi will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV035.b
06/19/95 7:47 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL TECHNICAL TRAINING CENTER, MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI

REJECT

5
I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the Naval Technical Training Center, Meridian, Mississippi and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Technical Training Center, Meridian, Mississippi will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Technical Training Center, Meridian, MS

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Training Centers

NAV036.a
06/19/95 7:12 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL TRAINING CENTERS

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-38) concerning the closure of Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida, by deleting all references to Service School Command from the list of major tenants. ~~Change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-39) concerning the closure of Naval Training Center, San Diego, California, by deleting all references to Service School Command, including Service School Command (Electronic Warfare) and Service School Command (Surface), from the list of major tenants.~~

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Training Centers

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Shipyard Long Beach, CA

NAV031.a
06/20/95 5:30 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL SHIPYARD, LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

ACCEPT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Shipyard Long Beach, California, except retain the sonar dome government-owned, contractor-operated facility and those family housing units needed to fulfill Department of the Navy requirements, particularly those at Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach, California. Relocate necessary personnel to other naval activities as appropriate, primarily Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach and naval activities in the San Diego, California area.

NAV031.b
06/21/95 12:47 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL SHIPYARD, LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

REJECT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California remains open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV031.b
06/20/95 5:30 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL SHIPYARD, LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

REJECT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California remains open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Shipyard, Long Beach

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

NAV051.a
06/20/95 5:29 PM
DRAFT

PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD, KITTERY, MAINE

CLOSE

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 5, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: close Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, Kittery, Maine. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Shipyards (Norfolk Detach. - Philadelphia

NAV048.a
06/18/95 10:25 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL SHIPYARD, NORFOLK DETACHMENT, PHILADELPHIA, PA

ACCEPT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the recommendation of the 1991 Commission relating to the closure of the Philadelphia Naval Shipyard (1991 Commission Report, at page 5-28) to delete "and preservation" (line 5) and "for emergent requirements" (line 6-7).

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Shipyard, Norfolk Detachment, Philadelphia, PA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NUWC Keyport, WA

NAV028.a
06/20/95 5:30 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE CENTER, KEYPORT, WASHINGTON

ACCEPT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: realign Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Keyport, Washington, by moving its ships' combat systems console refurbishment depot maintenance and general industrial workload to Naval Shipyard, Puget Sound, Bremerton, Washington.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Keyport, WA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Sup Ship Long Beach

NAV032.a
06/20/95 5:30 PM
DRAFT

**SUPERVISOR OF SHIPBUILDING, CONVERSION AND REPAIR, USN, LONG
BEACH, CALIFORNIA**

ACCEPT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the Supervisor of Shipbuilding, Conversion and Repair, USN, Long Beach, California. Relocate certain functions, personnel and equipment to Supervisor of Shipbuilding, Conversion and Repair, USN, San Diego, California.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

**MOTION ON: Supervisor of Shipbuilding, Conversion and Repair, USN
Long Beach , CA**

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Sup Ship San Francisco, CA

NAV055.a
06/20/95 5:33 PM
DRAFT

**SUPERVISOR OF SHIPBUILDING, CONVERSION AND REPAIR, USN, SAN
FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

CLOSE INSTALLATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 4, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: close Supervisor of Shipbuilding, Conversion and Repair, USN, San Francisco, California. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Supervisor of Shipbuilding, San Francisco, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

FJSC Oakland, CA

NAV041.a
06/20/95 8:58 PM
DRAFT

FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

CLOSE INSTALLATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5 and 6, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: close Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Oakland, California. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force-structure plan and final criteria.

NAV041.b
06/20/95 8:58 PM
DRAFT

FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

CLOSE INSTALLATION AND MOVE DFAS AND MSC

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5 and 6, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: close Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Oakland, California. Relocate Defense Finance and Accounting Service and Military Sealift Command to government-owned space. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force-structure plan and final criteria.

NAV041.c
06/20/95 8:58 PM
DRAFT
NAV041.b
06/20/95 8:58 PM
DRAFT

FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

REALIGN FISC OAKLAND TO CLOSE RICHMOND AND ALAMEDA AND KEEP
OAKLAND OPEN

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5 and 6, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: realign Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Oakland, California. Close Point Molate Naval Refueling Station, Richmond, California. Close Navy Supply Annex, Alameda, California. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force-structure plan and final criteria.

NAV041.d
06/20/95 8:58 PM
DRAFT

FLEET INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

REALIGN FISC OAKLAND TO CLOSE RICHMOND, ALAMEDA , OAKLAND EXCEPT
RETAIN LEASE LAND

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5 and 6, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: realign Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Oakland, California. Close Point Molate Naval Refueling Station, Richmond, California. Close Navy Supply Annex, Alameda, California. Close Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Oakland. Retain all land at Oakland site except land identified by Engineering Field Activity West as Parcels 5 and 5A comprising a total of 77.7 acres. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force-structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Oakland, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

FISC Charleston, SC

NAV041.a
06/19/95 9:22 PM
DRAFT

FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Charleston, South Carolina.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Charleston, SC

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Pers. R+D Ctr. San Diego, CA

NAV054.a
06/19/95 8:34 PM
DRAFT

**NAVAL PERSONNEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER, SAN DIEGO,
CALIFORNIA**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish Naval Personnel Research and Development Center, San Diego, California, and relocate its functions, and appropriate personnel, equipment, and support to the Bureau of Naval Personnel, Memphis, Tennessee, and Naval Air Warfare Center, Training System Division, Orlando, Florida.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Personnel R&D Center, San Diego, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Health Res. Ctr. San Diego, CA

NAV067.a
06/19/95 8:23 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC), San Diego, California, and relocate necessary functions, personnel and equipment to the Bureau of Naval Personnel (BUPERS) at Memphis, Tennessee.

NAV067.b
06/19/95 8:23 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CA

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Health Research Center (NHRC), San Diego, California, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC), San Diego, California will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV067.c
06/19/95 8:23 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER, SAN DIEGO, CA

COLLOCATE

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Health Research Center (NHRC), San Diego, California, and instead adopt the following recommendation: relocate the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC), San Diego, California to the Naval Support Activity, Memphis, Tennessee. NHRC will remain under the command of the Bureau of Medicine and Surgery. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Off. of Nav. Res. Arlington, VA

NAV006.a
06/19/95 8:47 PM
DRAFT

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH, ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at pages 1-59/60) by deleting the Office of Naval Research from the list of National Capital Region activities to relocate from leased space to Government-owned space within the NCR.

NAV006.b
06/21/95 12:50 AM
DRAFT

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH, ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the recommendation of the 1993 Commission stands. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV006.b
06/19/95 8:47 PM
DRAFT

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH, ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

REJECT

6
I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria ~~4~~, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Office of Naval Research, Arlington, Virginia, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the recommendation of the ~~1993~~ Commission stands. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Office of Naval Research, Arlington, VA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NWAC Corona, CA

NAV017.a
06/19/95 7:58 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL WARFARE ASSESSMENT DIVISION, CORONA, CA

CLOSURE

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5 and 6, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: close NWAD Corona, California. Relocate the Performance Assessment, Quality Assessment and Warfare Assessment Laboratory functions to Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California. Relocate the Measurement Science functions, except for the Test Set Certification, to Naval Surface Warfare Center, Crane Division, Crane, Indiana. Relocate the Systems Engineering and Test Set Certification functions to Naval Air Warfare Center, Weapons Division, China Lake, California.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Warfare Assessment Center, Corona, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NSWC / Dahlgren Div. White Oak, MD

NAV066.a
06/19/95 8:37 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, DAHLGREN DIVISION DETACHMENT,
WHITE OAK, MD

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division Detachment, White Oak, Maryland. Relocate the functions, personnel and equipment associated with Ship Magnetic Signature Control R&D Complex to the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock, Maryland, and the functions and personnel associated with reentry body dynamics research and development to the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren, Virginia.

NAV066.b
06/19/95 8:37 PM
DRAFT

**NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, DAHLGREN DIVISION DETACHMENT,
WHITE OAK, MD**

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division Detachment, White Oak, Maryland, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division Detachment, White Oak, Maryland will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

**MOTION ON: Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division Detach, White Oak,
MD**

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NSWC / Carderock Div
Annapolis, MD

NAV004.a
06/19/95 9:03 PM
DRAFT

**NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, CARDEROCK DIVISION DETACHMENT,
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Division Detachment, Annapolis, Maryland, including the NIKE Site, Bayhead Road, Annapolis, except ~~transfer the fuel storage/refueling sites~~ and the water treatment facilities to Naval Station, Annapolis to support the U.S. Naval Academy and Navy housing. Relocate appropriate functions, personnel, equipment and support to other technical activities, primarily Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Division Detachment, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Naval Surface Weapons Center, Carderock Division, Carderock, Maryland; and the Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. The Joint Spectrum Center, a DoD cross-service tenant, will be relocated with other components of the Center in the local area as appropriate.

NAV004.b
06/21/95 12:43 AM
DRAFT

**NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, CARDEROCK DIVISION DETACHMENT,
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND**

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 2 and 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Division Detachment, Annapolis, Maryland, will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV004.b
06/19/95 9:03 PM
DRAFT

**NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, CARDEROCK DIVISION DETACHMENT,
ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND**

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1, 4, and 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Division Detachment, ~~Annapolis, Maryland~~, will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Div. Detach, Annapolis, MD

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Air Tech Serv. Fac. Philadelphia PA

NAV046.a
06/19/95 9:10 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR TECHNICAL SERVICES FACILITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Air Technical Services Facility (NATSF), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and consolidate necessary functions, personnel, and equipment with the Naval Aviation Depot, North Island, California.

NAV046.b
06/21/95 12:44 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR TECHNICAL SERVICES FACILITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the Naval Air Technical Services Facility (NATSF), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Air Technical Services Facility (NATSF), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

NAV046.b
06/19/95 9:10 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AIR TECHNICAL SERVICES FACILITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA

REJECT

145
I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on the Naval Air Technical Services Facility (NATSF), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the Naval Air Technical Services Facility (NATSF), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, will remain open. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Technical Services Facility, Philadelphia, PA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Aviation Eng. Serv. Philadelphia PA

NAV047.a
06/19/95 9:14 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL AVIATION ENGINEERING SERVICE UNIT, PHILADELPHIA, PA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close the Naval Aviation Engineering Service Unit (NAESU), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and consolidate necessary functions, personnel, and equipment with the Naval Aviation Depot (NADEP), North Island, California.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Aviation Engineering Service Unit, Philadelphia, PA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Mgt. Sup. Support - Chesapeake

NAV016.a
06/19/95 9:01 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS SUPPORT OFFICE, CHESAPEAKE, VA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the Naval Management Systems Support Office (NAVMASSO), Chesapeake, Virginia, and relocate its functions and necessary personnel and equipment as a detachment of Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, San Diego, California, in government-owned spaces in Norfolk, Virginia.

NAV016.b
06/21/95 12:48 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS SUPPORT OFFICE, CHESAPEAKE, VA

ACCEPT SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION BUT EXPAND AREA OF RELOCATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 2, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Management Systems Support Office, Chesapeake, Virginia, and instead adopt the following recommendation: disestablish the Naval Management Systems Support Office (NAVMASSO), Chesapeake, Virginia, and relocate its functions and necessary personnel and equipment as a detachment of Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, San Diego, California, in government-owned spaces in the Tidewater, Virginia area.

NAV016.b
06/19/95 9:01 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS SUPPORT OFFICE, CHESAPEAKE, VA

CHANGE OF AREA 2

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 7, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Management Systems Support Office, Chesapeake, Virginia, and instead adopt the following recommendation: disestablish the Naval Management Systems Support Office (NAVMASSO), Chesapeake, Virginia, and relocate its functions and necessary personnel and equipment as a detachment of Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, San Diego, California, in government-owned spaces in ~~(Norfolk area,~~ Tidewater area) Virginia.

Tidewater, Virginia area.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Mangement Systems Support Office, Chesapeake, VA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

NCCOSC San Diego, CA

NAV052.a
06/19/95 9:12 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL COMMAND, CONTROL AND OCEAN SURVEILLANCE CENTER, IN-SERVICE ENGINEERING WEST COAST DIVISION, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: disestablish the In-Service Engineering West Coast Division (NISE West), San Diego, California; of the Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center (NCCOSC), including the Taylor Street Special Use Area; and consolidate necessary functions and personnel with the Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, RDT&E Division, either in the NCCOSC RDT&E Division spaces at Point Loma, California, or in current NISE West spaces in San Diego.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

**MOTION ON: Naval Command, Control, and Ocean Surveillance Center
San Diego, CA**

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Spawar Arlington VA

NAV008.a
06/19/95 9:20 PM
DRAFT

SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEMS COMMAND, ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the recommendation for the Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command, Arlington, Virginia, specified by the 1993 Commission (Commission Report, at page 1-59) from “[r]elocate...from leased space to Government-owned space within the NCR [~~National~~ Capital Region], to include the Navy Annex, Arlington, Virginia; Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C; 3801 Nebraska Avenue, Washington, D.C.; Marine Corps Combat Development Command, Quantico, Virginia; or the White Oak facility, Silver Spring, Maryland” to “Relocate...from leased space to Government-owned space in San Diego, California, to allow consolidation of the Naval Command, Control and Ocean Surveillance Center, with the Space and Naval Warfare Command headquarters. This relocation does not include SPAWAR Code 40, which is located at NRL [National Research Laboratory], or the Program Executive Officer for Space Communication Sensors and his immediate staff who will remain in Navy-owned space in the National Capital Region.”

NAV008.b
06/21/95 1:06 AM
DRAFT

SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEMS COMMAND, ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

REJECT THE SECRETARY'S RECOMMENDATION

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 1 and 2, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command, Arlington, Virginia, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the recommendation of the 1993 Commission stands. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command, Arlington, VA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Sea Systems Comm. Arlington, VA

NAV007.a
06/21/95 12:59 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND, ARLINGTON, VA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving sites specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-59) for the relocation of the Naval Sea Systems Command including the Nuclear Propulsion Directorate (SEA 08), the Human Resources Office supporting the Naval Sea Systems Command, and associated PEOs and DRPMs, from "the Navy Annex, Arlington, Virginia; Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C.; 3801 Nebraska Avenue, Washington, D.C.; Marine Corps Combat Development Command, Quantico, Virginia; or the White Oak facility, Silver Spring, Maryland" to "the Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C. or other government-owned property in the metropolitan Washington, D.C. area."

NAV007.a
06/19/95 8:42 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND, ARLINGTON, VA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving sites specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-59) for the relocation of the Naval Sea Systems Command including the Nuclear Propulsion Directorate (SEA 08), the Human Resources Office supporting the Naval Sea Systems Command, and associated PEOs and DRPMs, from "the Navy Annex, Arlington, Virginia; Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C.; 3801 Nebraska Avenue, Washington, D.C.; Marine Corps Combat Development Command, Quantico, Virginia; or the White Oak facility, Silver Spring, Maryland" to "the Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C. or other government-owned property in the metropolitan Washington, D.C. area."

*Need
reject 2x5-*

NAV007.b
06/21/95 12:59 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND, ARLINGTON, VA

REJECT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 2 and 5, and therefore, that the Commission reject the Secretary's recommendation on Naval Sea Systems Command, Arlington, Virginia, and instead adopt the following recommendation: the recommendation of the 1993 Commission stands. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Sea Systems Command, Arlington, VA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Info Sys Man. Arlington VA

NAV005.a
06/19/95 8:49 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT CENTER, ARLINGTON,
VIRGINIA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: relocate the Naval Information Systems Management Center from leased space in Arlington, Virginia, to the Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Information Systems Management Center, Arlington, VA

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Recruiting Command Washington, DC

NAV064.a
06/19/95 8:21 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL RECRUITING COMMAND, WASHINGTON, D.C.

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving site for the Naval Recruiting Command, Washington, D.C., specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-59) from "Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Illinois" to "Naval Support Activity, Memphis, Tennessee."

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Recruiting Command, Washington, D.C.

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Nav Recruit Dist San Diego, CA

NAV053.a
06/19/95 8:20 PM
DRAFT

NAVAL RECRUITING DISTRICT, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving site for the Naval Recruiting District, San Diego, California, specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-39) from "Naval Air Station North Island" to "other government-owned space in San Diego, California."

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Recruiting District, San Diego, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Sec. Group Comm. Potomac - Wash DC

NAV065.a
06/19/95 8:12 PM
DRAFT

**NAVAL SECURITY GROUP COMMAND DETACHMENT POTOMAC,
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the receiving site for the Naval Security Group Command Detachment Potomac, Washington, D.C., from "National Security Agency, Fort Meade, Maryland" specified by the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at page 1-59) to "Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C."

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

**MOTION ON: Naval Security Group, Command Detachment Potomac
Washington, D. C.**

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Reserve Center Huntsville, AL

NAV012.a
06/19/95 8:14 PM
DRAFT

RESERVE CENTERS/COMMANDS

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: close Naval Reserve Centers at Stockton, California; Pomona, California; Santa Ana, Irvine, California; Laredo, Texas; Sheboygan, Wisconsin; Cadillac, Michigan; Staten Island, New York; and Huntsville, Alabama. Close Naval Air Reserve Center, Olathe, Kansas. ~~Close Naval Reserve Readiness Command, Region Seven, Charleston, South Carolina. Close Naval Reserve Readiness Command, Region Ten, New Orleans, Louisiana.~~

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Center, Huntsville, AL

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Reserve Center Pomona, CA

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Center, Pomona, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Reserve Center Santa Anna Irvine

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Center, Santa Anna/Irvine, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Reserve Center Stockton, CA

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Center, Stockton, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Reserve Center Cadillac MI

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Center, Cadillac, MI

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Reserve Center Staten Island, NY

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Center, Staten Island, NY

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Reserve Center Carado TX

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Center, Laredo, TX

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

Document Separator

Reserve Center Sheboygan, WI

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Center, Sheboygan, WI

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Air Reserve Center Olathe, KS

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Air Reserve Center, Olathe, KS

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Re S Read. Command Charleston, SC

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Readiness Commands, Charleston, SC

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Res Read. Command New Orleans, LA

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Reserve Readiness Commands, New Orleans, LA

RESULT:

MOTION: SECOND: DATE: TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

San Bruno - Eng. Field Activity

NAV068.a
06/19/95 7:29 PM
DRAFT

ENGINEERING FIELD ACTIVITY WEST, SAN BRUNO, CALIFORNIA

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense deviated substantially from final criteria 5 and 6, and therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation: close Engineering Field Activity West, San Bruno, California. The Commission finds this recommendation is consistent with the force structure plan and final criteria.

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Engineering Field Activity, San Francisco, CA

RESULT:

MOTION: **SECOND:** **DATE:** **TIME:**

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

Document Separator

Naval Aviation Dep Pensacola, FL

NAV045.a
06/20/95 5:02 AM
DRAFT

NAVAL AVIATION DEPOT, PENSACOLA, FLORIDA

ACCEPT

I move that the Commission find that the Secretary of Defense did not deviate substantially from the force structure plan and final criteria and, therefore, that the Commission adopt the following recommendation of the Secretary of Defense: change the recommendation of the 1993 Commission (1993 Commission Report, at pages 1-42/43) by striking the following: "In addition, the Commission recommends that the whirl tower and dynamic component facility be moved to Cherry Point ~~Navy or Corpus Christi Army Depots~~ or the private sector, in lieu of the Navy's plan to retain these operations in a stand-alone facility at NADEP Pensacola."

1995 VOTING SHEET
FINAL DELIBERATIONS MOTIONS

MOTION ON: Naval Aviation Depot, Pensacola, FL

RESULT:

MOTION:

SECOND:

DATE:

TIME:

<i>COMMISSIONER</i>	<i>AYE</i>	<i>NAY</i>
DIXON		
CORNELLA		
COX		
DAVIS		
KLING		
MONTOYA		
ROBLES		
STEELE		
<i>TOTAL</i>		

LANGUAGE LOG-IN

CF _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

CR _____

Received from R&A _____

Given to R/L/M _____

Approved by R/L/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Given to W/D/M _____

Approved by W/D/M _____

Returned to R&A for changes _____

Changes made/returned from R&A _____

Final approval by Madelyn _____

Hard copy to Chuck _____

NO.	INSTALLATION	ANALYST	STAF	LEAD	MRC	YES	VARIATIONS
	Naval Air Facility, Adak, AK	Doyle					
	Naval Shipyard, Long Beach CA	Larry				X	
	Ship Repair Facility, Guam	Eric					
	Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Indianapolis, IN	Brian					
	Naval Surface Warfare Center, Crane Div Detach, Louisville, KY	Brian/Larry					
	Naval Surface Warfare Ctr, Dahlgren Div Detach, White Oak, MD	Jeff					
	Naval Air Station, South Weymouth, MA	Doyle					
	Naval Air Station, Meridian, MS	Jim					
	Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Lakehurst, NJ	Brian/Les					
	Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Warminster, PA	Les				X	
	Naval Air Station, Key West, FL	Doyle				X	
	Naval Activities, Guam	Eric					
	Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, TX	Jim					
	Naval Undersea Warfare Center, Keyport, WA	Larry				X	
	Naval Command, Ctr & Ocean Surveil Ctr, San Diego, CA						
	Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, CA	Jeff					
	Naval Personnel R & D Center, San Diego, CA	Jeff					
	Supvr of Shipbuildign, Conversion & Repair, USN, Long Beach, CA						
	Naval Undersea Warfare Ctr, Newport Div, New London Detach, C	Les					
	Naval Resrch Lab, Underwater Sound Ref Detach, Orlando, FL						
	Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Guam	Eric					
	Naval Biodynamics Laboratory, New Orleans, LA						
	Naval Medical Research Institute, Bethesda, MD						
	Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Div Detach, Annapolis, MD						
	Naval Technical Training Center, Meridian, MS	Eric					
	Naval Aviation Engineering Service Unit, Philadelphia, PA	David					
	Naval Air Technical Services Facility, Philadelphia, PA						
	Naval Air Warfare Center, Arcft Div, Open Water Test Fclty, Orelan	Les				X	
	Naval Command, Ctrl & Ocean Surveil Cntr, Warminster, PA	Les				X	
	Fleet & Industrial Supply Center, Charleston, SC						
	Naval Cmmd, Cntrl and Ocean Surveil Ctr, Norfolk, VA	Brian/Larry					
	Naval Information Systems Management Center, Arlington, VA	Jeff				X	
	Naval Managment Systems Support Office, Chesapeake, VA						

NO.	INSTALLATION	ANALYST	STAF	LEAD	MRC	YES	VARIATIONS
	Naval Reserve Center, Stockton, CA	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Center, Pomona, CA	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Center, Santa Ana, Irvine, CA	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Center, Laredo, TX	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Center, Sheboygan, WI	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Center, Cadillac, MI	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Center, Staten Island, NY	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Center, Huntsville, AL	Jeff				X	
	Naval Air Reserve Center, Olathe KS	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Readiness Commands, Charleston, SC	Jeff				X	
	Naval Reserve Readiness Commands, New Orleans, LA	Jeff				X	
	Marine Crps Air Statn, El Toro& Marine Crps Air Statn, Tustin, CA	Jim					
	Naval Air Station, Alameda, CA	Jim					
	Naval Recruiting District, San Diego, CA	Jeff				X	
	Naval Training Centers						
	Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, FL	Jim				X	
	Naval Aviation Depot, Pensacola, FL						
	Navy Nuc Pwr Propulsion Tra Cntr, Naval Tra Cntr, Orlando, FL						
	Naval Air Station, Agana, Guam	Eric					
	Naval Air Station, Barbers Point, HI						
	Naval Air Facility, Detroit, MI	Doyle				X	
	Naval Shipyard, Norfolk Detachment, Philadelphia, PA	Larry				X	
	Naval Sea Systems Command, Arlington, VA	Jeff					
	Office of Naval Research, Arlington, VA	Jeff					
	Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command, Arlington, VA						
	Naval Recruiting Command, Washington, D.C.	Jeff				X	
	Naval Security Group Cmmd Detach Potomac, Wash, D.C.	Jeff				X	
	Point Mugu Pacific Missile Test Center, CA	Les					
	Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	Larry					
	Naval Air Station, Atlanta, GA	Doyle					
	Fleet Industrial Supply Center, Oakland, CA						
	Naval Warfare Assessment Center, Corona, CA	Eric					
	Engineering Field Activity, San Fran, CA						
	Supervising of Shipbuilding, San Fran, CA						

NO.	INSTALLATION	ANALYST	STAF	LEAD	MRC	YES	VARIATIONS
	Public Works Center, Guam	Eric					

No.	INSTALLATION	MARILYN	BOB	MADELYN
MEMPHIS	Defense Distribution Depot, Memphis, TN			
Ogden	Defense Distribution Depot, Ogden, UT			
Mariet	Defense Contract Management District South, Marietta, GA			
Dayton	Defense Contract Managment Command Intl, Dayton, OH			
Columbus	Defense Distribution Depot, Columbus, OH			
Letter	Defense Distribution Depot Letterkenny, PA			
DISC	Defense Industrial Supply Center, Philadelphia, PA			
Redriver	Defense Distribution Depot Red River, TX			
Elsegund	Defnse Contract Management District West, El Segundo, CA			
	Investigations Control & Automation Directorate, Ft. Holabird, MD			