

CAPACITY ANALYSIS

DATA CALL WORK SHEET

FOR NAVY BASE:NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, LITTLE CREEK

BASE PRIMARY UIC: 61414

(Insert this UIC in "Header A" on every page)

Category.....Operational Support

Sub-category.....Naval Bases

*******If any responses are classified, attach separate classified annex.*******

Naval Station Listing

Type	Title	Location
SUBMARINE BASE	NAVSUBBASE NEW LONDON	GROTON CT
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION ANNAPOLIS	ANNAPOLIS MD
AMPHIBIOUS BASE	NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK	NORFOLK VA
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION NORFOLK	NORFOLK VA
SUBMARINE BASE	NAVSUBBASE KINGS BAY	KINGS BAY GA
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION MAYPORT	MAYPORT FL
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION PASCAGOULA	PASCAGOULA MS
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION INGLESIDE	INGLESIDE TX
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION ROOSEVELT ROADS	ROOSEVELT ROADS PR
SUBMARINE BASE	SUBMARINE BASE BANGOR	SILVERDALE WA
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION EVERETT	EVERETT WA
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO CA
NAVPHIBASE	CORONADO CA	SAN DIEGO CA
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION PEARL HARBOR	PEARL HARBOR HI
SUBMARINE BASE	SUBMARINE BASE SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO CA
SUBMARINE BASE	SUBMARINE BASE PEARL HARBOR	PEARL HARBOR HI
NAVAL STATION	NAVAL STATION GUAM	GUAM

**Data for Capacity Analysis
61414**

Table of Contents

Ship Operational Information	2
Force Structure	2
Active carriers and warships	2
Reserve carriers and warships	3
Amphibious and mine warfare ships	3
Submarines	4
Logistics, auxiliary, and sealift ships	4
Other ships	5
Operational Units	6
Reserve Units and Demographics	10
Cold iron berthing capacity	16
Pier capacity	16
Pier support characteristics	22
Cold iron berthing loading	27
Pier Planned Improvements in Ship Berthing Capacity	32
Other pier loading information	33
Ship Support capacity	36
IMA Maintenance Loading	36
IMA Maintenance Support Capacity	38
Drydock data	42
Crane data	44
Tug data	47
Ship camel data	50
Personnel Support Capacity	51
Training Facilities	51
Berthing and Messing	65
Base Infrastructure	74
Base Infrastructure	74
Facilities Maintenance Requirements	75
Real Estate	87
Weapons Stowage	94
Aircraft Basing	101

1. Provide six copies of the **pilotage chart** that includes the waterfront at your facility. Indicate on the chart what Notice to Mariners it is corrected to.

See Attachment (1)

2. List the following:

a. **Length of main channel from base to the open sea:**

21 Nautical Miles

b. **Minimum Channel width between base and open sea:**

130 Yards

c. **Minimum center channel depth (MLLW) between base and open sea:**

21 Feet

d. **Minimum height of overhead obstructions of the channel from base to the open sea:**

NONE

FORCE STRUCTURE

3. List the **active surface warships and carriers** by class that will be homeported at your base at the end of the indicated fiscal years. For each class provide the listed mooring requirements.

Table 3.1

Ship Class	# of Ships FY 1994	# of Ships FY 1995	# of Ships FY 1997	# of Ships FY 1999	# of Ships FY 2001	Mooring LOA (ft)	Max Beam (ft)	Max Draft (ft)	Shore Pwr Amps
PC	4	9	9	9	9	170.6	24.9	7.2	400

4. List the reserve ships by class that will be homeported at your base at the end of the indicated fiscal years. For each class provide the listed mooring requirements.

Table 4.1

Ship Class	# of Ships FY 1994	# of Ships FY 1995	# of ships FY 1997	# of Ships FY 1999	# of Ships FY 2001	Mooring LOA (ft)	Max Beam (ft)	Max Draft (ft)	Shore Pwr Amps
NONE									

5. List the amphibious and mine warfare ships by class that were homeported at your base at the end of the indicated fiscal years. For each class provide the listed mooring requirements.

Table 5.1

Ship Class	# of Ships FY 1994	# of Ships FY 1995	# of Ships FY 1997	# of Ships FY 1999	# of Ships FY 2001	Mooring LOA (ft)	Max Beam (ft)	Max Draft (ft)	Shore Pwr Amps
LST	2	0	0	0	0	522.3	69.5	17.5	1600
LSD36	2	2	2	2	2	553.3	84	20	1600
LSD41	4	4	4	4	4	609	84	20.5	2400
LSD49	0	1	2	2	2	609	84	20.5	2400

6. List the **submarines** by class that were homeported at your base at the end of the indicated fiscal years. For each class provide the listed mooring requirements.

Table 6.1

Ship Class	# of Ships FY 1994	# of Ships FY 1995	# of Ships FY 1997	# of Ships FY 1999	# of Ships FY 2001	Mooring LOA (ft)	Max Beam (ft)	Max Draft (ft)	Shore Pwr Amps
NONE									

7. List the **logistics, sealift, and auxiliary ships** (including MSC) by class that were homeported at your base at the end of the indicated fiscal years. Include in this table all DON ships not covered previously in tables 4 through 7. For each class provide the listed mooring requirements.

Table 7.1

Ship Class	# of Ships FY 1994	# of Ships FY 1995	# of Ships FY 1997	# of Ships FY 1999	# of Ships FY 2001	Mooring LOA (ft)	Max Beam (ft)	Max Draft (ft)	Shore Pwr Amps
ARS50	2	2	2	2	2	225	51	17	800
ARS38	2	0	0	0	0	214	44	13	400
ATS	1	1	0	0	0	283	50	16	800
T-ATF	3	3	3	3	3	241	42	15	400
T-AGOS	7	7	7	7	7	224	43	15	800
LCU-1660	17	17	17	17	17	135	30	7	100
LCM8	20	20	20	20	20	74	21	6	NA
LCM6	8	8	8	8	8	57	14	4	NA

R
R
R
R
R
R
R
R

6. List the **submarines** by class that were homeported at your base at the end of the indicated fiscal years. For each class provide the listed mooring requirements.

Table 6.1

Ship Class	# of Ships FY 1994	# of Ships FY 1995	# of Ships FY 1997	# of Ships FY 1999	# of Ships FY 2001	Mooring LOA (ft)	Max Beam (ft)	Max Draft (ft)	Shore Pwr Amps
NONE									

7. List the **logistics, sealift, and auxiliary ships** (including MSC) by class that were homeported at your base at the end of the indicated fiscal years. Include in this table all DON ships not covered previously in tables 4 through 7. For each class provide the listed mooring requirements.

Table 7.1

Ship Class	# of Ships FY 1994	# of Ships FY 1995	# of Ships FY 1997	# of Ships FY 1999	# of Ships FY 2001	Mooring LOA (ft)	Max Beam (ft)	Max Draft (ft)	Shore Pwr Amps
ARS50	2	2	2	2	2	225	51	17	400
ARS38	2	0	0	0	0	213.5	44	13	400
ATS	1	1	0	0	0	282.6	50	15.1	400
T-ATF	3	3	3	3	3	240.2	42	15	400
T-AGOS	7	7	7	7	7	224	43	14.9	800
LCU-1660	17	17	17	17	17	135	29.7	6.8	100
LCM8	20	20	20	20	20	73.6	21	5.2	NA
LCM6	8	8	8	8	8	56	14.3	4.2	NA

9. List all operating forces and operational staffs (CARGRU, DESRON, SUBRON, etc.) not listed in questions 3 through 8 above that will be home based at your base at the end of the indicated fiscal years. For each unit provide the listed support requirements.

Table 9.1¹

Unit ID	Onboard FY 1994 (PN)	Onboard FY 1995 (PN)	Onboard FY 1997 (PN)	Onboard FY 1999 (PN)	Onboard FY 2001 (PN)	Indoor SF reqd	Outdoor SF reqd	Special Facilities required
00066	²					17,100	NA	NA
0031A	141	141	141	141	141	164,140	NA	³
0245A	50	50	48	48	48	8,130	NA	NA
0379A	8	8	8	8	8	4,460	NA	NA
08842	207	207	207	247	247	84,765	NA	NA
08943	229	229	229	229	229	54,300	NA	NA
09807	58	66	108	108	108	6,340	NA	NA
09812	57	65	112	112	112	7,150	NA	NA
14806	24	24	24	24	24	8,680	NA	⁴
30121	47	56	56	56	56	31,800	NA	NA
32019	47	47	47	47	47	15,400	NA	NA
32732	372	372	360	268	268	178,000	NA	⁵
41616	8	8	8	8	8	19,600	NA	NA
41649	91	91	91	91	91	57,800	NA	NA
43504	162	151	126	126	126	68,500	NA	NA
43594	33	33	33	33	33	19,900	NA	NA
44392	117	123	141	166	166	62,684	NA	NA
45472	389	405	405	405	405	263,572	NA	⁶
45897	3	3	3	3	3	2,360	NA	NA
46985	229	229	229	229	229	53,300	NA	NA
46990	25	25	25	25	25	9,325	NA	NA
46991	33	33	33	33	33	8,630	NA	NA
47705	56	56	43	43	43	6,450	NA	NA

Table 9.1¹ continued

Unit ID	Onboard FY 1994 (PN)	Onboard FY 1995 (PN)	Onboard FY 1997 (PN)	Onboard FY 1999 (PN)	Onboard FY 2001 (PN)	Indoor SF reqd	Outdoor SF reqd	Special Facilities required
49081	13	13	15	15	15	9,354	NA	NA
49083	13	13	15	15	15	9,354	NA	NA
52738	82	82	83	83	83	81,100	NA	⁷
53210	196	196	196	196	196	70,400	NA	NA
53211	127	113	110	110	110	36,700	NA	NA
53825	12	12	12	12	12	6,450	NA	NA
53863	87	87	77	77	77	27,800	NA	NA
55105	176	176	175	175	175	336,000	⁸	⁹
55322	43	43	39	39	39	10,600	NA	NA
55333	58	57	57	57	57	30,900	NA	NA
55335	¹⁰					5,620	NA	NA
55337	¹⁰					4,900	NA	NA
55421	19	15	0	0	0	16,400	NA	NA
55496	86	78	78	78	78	56,200	NA	¹¹
55778	229	229	229	229	229	54,200	NA	NA
57034	56	51	51	51	51	16,770	NA	NA
57067	25	25	25	25	25	7,410	NA	NA
00187	252	252	252	252	252	43,255	NA	NA
00189	9	9	9	9	9	30,422	NA	NA
32529	184	184	184	184	184	125,500	NA	NA
35044	35	35	35	35	35	37,225	NA	NA
35392	8	8	8	8	8	3,050	NA	NA
41515	14	8	4	4	4	3,640	NA	NA

Table 9.1¹ continued

Unit ID	Onboard FY 1994 (PN)	Onboard FY 1995 (PN)	Onboard FY 1997 (PN)	Onboard FY 1999 (PN)	Onboard FY 2001 (PN)	Indoor SF reqd	Outdoor SF reqd	Special Facilities required
42112	29	23	20	21	21	96,800	NA	NA
42575	90	90	90	90	90	19,700	NA	NA
45188	8	8	8	8	8	2,275	NA	NA
45810	17	16	15	15	15	4,060	NA	NA
46063	4	4	4	4	4	147,000	NA	NA
48802	130	128	122	122	122	32,350	NA	NA
49027	97	97	96	96	96	96,000	NA	NA
49093	26	26	25	25	25	16,300	NA	NA
61339	3	3	3	3	3	382	NA	NA
62152	7	7	7	7	7	244,370	NA	NA
62575	2	2	2	2	2	2,051	NA	NA
62678	6	6	6	6	6	1,630	NA	NA
63021	151	151	151	151	151	139,240	NA	NA
63055	10	10	10	10	10	11,480	NA	NA
65540	3	3	3	3	3	6,110	NA	NA
67355	147	147	147	147	147	86,200	NA	²
68652	37	37	37	37	37	3	5,462	NA

¹Square Footages (SF) was obtained from activities Basic Facility Requirements (BFR) prepared in FY90/91. SF requirements are formulated based on activities personnel loadings and NAVFAC's P-80, Facility Planning Criteria.

²Annual Training Exercise, no permanent billets.

³Special Facilities:

179-55, Combat Trainer...1 EA

⁴Special Facilities:

155-20, Small Craft Berthing..382 FB

⁵Special Facilities:

155-20, Small Craft Berthing..340 FB

213-20, Marine Railway.....1 EA

⁶Special Facilities:

122-10, Marine Fueling Facility...500 GM

124-40, Sm/Cr Ready Fuel Storage...500,000 GA

213-73, Landing Craft Wash Rack....1 EA

⁷Special Facilities:

155-20, Small Craft Berthing.....3,760 FB

⁸Outdoor Reqd.

451-10, Open Storage Area.....291 SY

⁹Special Facilities:

123-10, Filling Station.....3 OL

155-20, Small Craft Berthing.....4,000 FB

¹⁰Operational staff officially homeported at Naval Station, Norfolk, VA.

¹¹Special Facilities:

151-20, Gen Purpose Berthing Pier..1,020 FB

¹²Special Facilities:

155-20, Small Craft Berthing....78 FB

10. Reserve Support Capacities

10.a. List all reserve units (USNR, USMCR, USAFR, ANG, USAR, ARNG) that train at this installation.

Table 10.1¹

Reserve Unit	Training Function / Facilities Used
Company A 4th Amphibious Assault Battalion	Amphibious Tracked Vehicle Company, Beach training areas, ships, galleys, BQs, Medical & Dental clinics, NEX, commissaries, MWR facilities/events
VOLTRAUNIT 0607	Provide ready reservists in NP billets with training to keep abreast of rating requirements, etc. BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley and training areas
USS BOULDER (LST-1190)	Augment crews of amphibious ships BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas and piers
VOLTRAUNIT Dental 106	Provide ready reservists in NP billets with training to keep abreast of rating requirements, etc., and to augment staffs during emergency conditions Dental & Medical Clinics, BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
NR SPECBOATRON 2	Augment special boat operations during weekends, special operations and emergencies BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
COMINEDIV 123	Augment mine warfare activities/operations BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
PERSMOBTM 31067	Will act as mobilization team for reserve units in event of large scale mobilization/emergency BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
MIUWU 206	Augment mine inshore undersea warfare operations BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
SURFWARDEVGRU 106	Augment planning and development group tasking BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
PHIBCB 2 DET 206	Augment amphibious construction operations BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
FF1072 BLAKELY 7206	Augment FF crews BQ, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley and training areas
NR Security Group Det Norf 906	Augment physical security/law enforcement during weekends, emergencies and mobilization BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas

Table 10.1 continued

Reserve Unit	Training Function / Facilities Used
2nd NCB HQ Det	Augment construction battalions, regiments and/or Dets BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
NMCB 23 Det 0123	Augment construction units BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
NR Seal Team 4	Augment Seal Teams BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
MDSU 2 Det 606	Augment MDSU 2 BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
CINCLANT Rel 0623A	Augment CINCLANTFLT chaplains BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
NR FLETACREADGRU	Augment FLETACREADGRU BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
NR SPECWARGRU 2 Det	Augment special warfare operations BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
NAVMEDCEN Ports 106	Augment Naval Hospital Portsmouth during emergency conditions BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
FFG 14th Dental Det	Augment dental/medical commands in the area BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
NCDL NORVA 106	Augment dental/medical commands in the area BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
PSD LCREEK 106	Augment Personnel Support Activities BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas
SIMA LC COORD 106	Augment SIMA LCREEK BQs, PSD, NEX, Commissary, MWR, Galley, training areas

This does not include units that train in other locations nor does it include Selected Reservists from other Reserve Centers that train or would mobilize at NAVPHIBASE LCREEK.

10.b. For each USNR and USMCR ship homeported or unit that trains at your facility, provide the number of **authorized billets** and **number of personnel actually assigned** to the unit for the past three fiscal years. Include both Selected Reserves (SELRES) and Training and Administration of Reserves (TAR) Navy / Full Time Support (FTS) Marine Corps reservists. Explain differences between authorized and actual manning.

Table 10.2

	FY 1991				FY 1992				FY 1993			
	Auth		Actual		Auth		Actual		Auth		Actual	
	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS
Unit: FLTDECGRULANT SEA DUTY												
Enlisted	24	0	0	0	24	0	23	0	0	0	0	0
Officer	19	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	9	0	11	0
Unit: NAVSPECWARFAREGRU 2												
Enlisted	34	0	0	0	36	0	31	0	31	0	30	0
Officer	15	0	0	0	16	0	16	0	15	0	16	0
Unit: NAVMEDCEN PORTSMOUTH VA												
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	0	39	0
Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	23	0
Unit: 4TH FSSG 14TH DENTCO 4THDE												
Enlisted	10	0	0	0	11	0	10	0	10	0	10	0
Officer	4	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	4	0	4	0
Unit: NAVDENCEN NORFOLK VA												
Enlisted	24	0	0	0	41	0	39	0	24	0	23	0
Officer	16	0	0	0	9	0	9	0	10	0	10	0
Unit: PERSUPPDET LANTFLT NORFOLK VA												
Enlisted	6	0	0	0	7	0	6	0	5	0	7	0
Officer	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	0
Unit: SIMA LC COORD 106												
Enlisted	51	0	0	0	51	0	49	0	51	0	51	0
Officer	3	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0

Table 10.2 continued

	FY 1991				FY 1992				FY 1993			
	Auth		Actual		Auth		Actual		Auth		Actual	
	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS
Unit: PHIB CB 2 SEADU COMP												
Enlisted	29	0	0	0	29	0	30	0	29	0	23	0
Officer	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	25	0
Unit: FF 1072 BLAKELY												
Enlisted	55	0	0	0	55	0	48	0	55	0	48	0
Officer	10	0	0	0	10	0	10	0	10	0	10	0
Unit: NSGA NORFOLK DET 906												
Enlisted	56	0	0	0	34	0	29	0	26	0	26	0
Officer	3	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	9	0	9	0
Unit: 2ND NCB HQ DET												
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Unit: 4TH MARDIV H&S CO 4TH AA B												
Enlisted	123	0	141	0	127	0	145	0	123	0	155	0
Officer	3	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	5	0
Unit: COMINEDIV 123												
Enlisted	3	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0
Officer	3	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	4	0
Unit: PERSMOBTM 3106												
Enlisted	18	0	0	0	18	0	14	0	18	0	17	0
Officer	9	0	0	0	9	0	10	0	9	0	9	0
Unit: MIUWU 206												
Enlisted	52	0	0	0	52	0	47	0	51	0	47	0
Officer	12	0	0	0	12	0	11	0	13	0	14	0

Table 10.2 continued

	FY 1991				FY 1992				FY 1993			
	Auth		Actual		Auth		Actual		Auth		Actual	
	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS
Unit: COMSURFWARDEVGRU												
Enlisted	10	0	0	0	5	0	7	0	10	0	7	0
Officer	5	0	0	0	7	0	9	0	5	0	7	0
Unit: NMCB TWO THREE FT BELVOIR												
Enlisted	68	0	0	0	1	0	65	0	1	0	65	0
Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
Unit: SEAL TEAM 4												
Enlisted	39	0	0	0	22	0	25	0	22	0	25	0
Officer	5	0	0	0	5	0	4	0	5	0	4	0
Unit: MOBDIVSALVU TWO SEA DUTY C												
Enlisted	28	0	0	0	28	0	31	0	28	0	31	0
Officer	6	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	0
Unit: CINCLANT REL 0623A												
Enlisted	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Officer	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
Unit: VTU 607												
Enlisted	24	0	24	0	0	0	14	0	24	0	24	0
Officer	46	0	46	0	0	0	55	0	34	0	34	0
Unit: USS BOULDER												
Enlisted	73	0	0	0	65	0	53	0	73	0	64	0
Officer	5	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	4	0
Unit: VTU DENTAL												
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Officer	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

Table 10.2 continued

	FY 1991				FY 1992				FY 1993			
	Auth		Actual		Auth		Actual		Auth		Actual	
	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS	SELRES	TAR/FTS
Unit: NR SBR 2												
Enlisted	9	0	0	0	10	0	11	0	15	0	11	0
Officer	7	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	7	0	7	0

R

NAVPHIBASE Little Creek Data Call 6

SHIP SUPPORT CAPACITY

18. List the government drydocks (floating or graving) owned by the base or tenant activities. For each drydock indicate its maximum lift, ship classes for which NAVSEA has certified the dock, and number of days in use in FY 1991, 1992, 1993. Indicate the number of days climate prevented painting and preservation of docked ship external hull in FY 1993.

Table 18.1

Drydock	Maximum Capacity	Ship Classes that can be Docked ¹	Days in use			Climate Limited days		
			FY1991	FY1992	FY1993	FY1991	FY1992	FY1993
RESOLUTE AFDM-10	10000 TONS	SSN 688,637 SSBN 640	311	305	295	0	0	0
SUSTAIN AFDM-7	13,500 LONG TONS	CG,FFG,ARS,LST, DDG,FFT,DD,CGN ,ASR, & LCU	32	*35	*26	0	0	0

¹ NAVSEA certification for docking.

² Sustain not in use from Apr 92 to Jun 93 for overhaul. Fiscal year 94 to date - 91 days in use.

19. Provide the same data for commercial drydocks in the harbor complex.

Table 19.1 R

Drydock	Maximum Capacity	Ship Classes that can be Docked ¹	Days in use ²			Climate Limited days		
			FY1991	FY1992	FY1993	FY1991	FY1992	FY1993
COLONNAS	14,500LT	See below	150	0	0	12	0	0
METRO	14,500LT	See below	150	180	126	12	14	10
NORSHIP Co. #1	52,534LT	See below	150	60	0	12	5	0
NORSHIP Co. #2	16,500LT	See below	210	90	90	17	7	7
NEWPORT NEWS SHIP BLDG. DRY DOCK	40,000LT	See below						
DOCK I	31,400LT	AD,AS,LST,SSN,D DG,FFG	263	197	189 R	NO RECORD		

16.a. For ship classes currently homeported at your base and serviced by an associated Intermediate Maintenance Activity, list the following historical data:

IMA/UIC:

Table 16.1

Ship Class	Avg. man-hrs expended per ship per year			Avg # of days in dock/yr for class operating cycle	Fleet reqd wks/year in availability per ship ¹		
	FY1991	FY1992	FY1993		FY1991	FY1992	FY1993
ARS 38	15.87	9.73	3.97	N/A	1	1	1.3
ARS 50	1.65	3.3	6.35	N/A	2	1	2
LSD 36	4.55	9.9	6.35	N/A	0	1.5	2
LSD 41	1.1	4.4	8.1	N/A	.3	1.5	2.25
LST 1179	4.58	5.39	8.49	N/A	1.7	2.4	1.9

R
R
R
R
R
R
R
R

¹There is no standard Fleet required weeks/year in availability per ship. Data provided is actual number of IMAVs accomplished per ship. The number and length of IMAVs vary even among ships within the same class depending upon the circumstances (i.e., deployer, INSURV, etc.).

16.b. List the projected work load at the same IMA for each class of ship.

Table 16.2

Ship class	Projected man hours (x1000) per ship per fiscal year													
	FY1995		FY1996		FY1997		FY1998		FY1999		FY2000		FY2001	
	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# ships	Man-hr
LSD 36	2	14.4	2	15	2	15	2	15	2	15	2	15	2	15
LSD 41	4	32.5	4	32.5	4	32.5	4	32.5	4	32.5	4	32.5	4	32.5
LSD 49	1	1	2	3	2	15	2	30	2	30	2	30	2	30
ATS	1	4.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PC	9	3	9	5	9	6	9	6	9	8	9	8	9	9
ARS	2	15	2	15	2	15	2	15	2	15	2	15	2	15
LST 1179	0	14.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER NORFOLK	N/A	183.9	N/A	198.1	N/A	185.1	N/A	170.1	N/A	169.1	N/A	169.1	N/A	168.1

R
R
R
R
R
R
R
R
R

Data as reflected in SIMA Little Creek Data Call #18.

R

			FY1991	FY1992	FY1993	FY1991	FY1992	FY1993
DOCK 2	30,200LT	AD,AS,CG,CGN,L ST,LSD,SSN,DDG FFG	61	86	192			
DOCK 3	7,350LT	SSN	13	18	184			
DOCK 4	7,500LT	SSN	112	103	190			
DOCK 10	50,000LT	AD,AS,CG,CGN,D D,DDG,LHA,LHD, LPH,SSN	351	344	363			
DOCK 11	90,000LT	All	198	344	363			
DOCK 12	85,000LT	All	202	347	408			
FD	40,000LT	SSN,SSBN,CG,CG N,FFG,LST,LSD,D D,DDG	4	28	140			

¹NAVSEA certification for docking.

²Days in use supporting DOD ships.

COLONNAS - AFDB/ CG47/ DD963/ DDG993/ FFG7/ MCM1/ ARS50
 METRO - AFDB/ CG47/ DD963/ DD993/ FFG7/ MCM1/ ARS50/ LSD36
 NORSHIP CO. #1 - AFDB/ ARDM/ AGF3/ AGF11/ CG47/ DD963/ DDG993/ FFG7/ LHA1/
 LHD1/ CGN38/ MCM1/ LSD41/ LSD36/ LPD4/ LCC20/ AS36/ AS39/ ARS50/ AOE1/ AD41.
 NORSHIP CO. #2 - AFDB/ XG47/ DD963/ DDG993/ FFG7/ CGN38/ MCM1/ LSD41/ LSD36/
 BS50.

16.a. For ship classes currently homeported at your base and serviced by an associated Intermediate Maintenance Activity, list the following historical data:

IMA/UIC:

Table 16.1

Ship Class	Avg. man-hrs expended per ship per year			Avg # of days in dock/yr for class operating cycle	Fleet reqd wks/year in availability per ship		
	FY1991	FY1992	FY1993		FY1991	FY1992	FY1993

Data will be provided by SIMA Little Creek in Data Call #18.

16.b. List the projected work load at the same IMA for each class of ship.

Table 16.2

Ship class	Projected man hours (x1000) per ship per fiscal year													
	FY1995		FY1996		FY1997		FY1998		Fy1999		FY2000		FY2001	
	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# Ships	Man-hrs	# ships	Man-hr

Data will be provided by SIMA Little Creek in Data Call #18.

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK DATA CALL 6 REVISION
 Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

Revised pg
 UIC: 61414

16.c. If some IMA level work is contracted to civilian (non-DON) activities, provide the navy contract manager and estimate the equivalent man-hours of service provided by those contractors in the listed fiscal years. List projected contractor IMA workload for the fiscal years 1995 through 2001.^{1,2}

SHIP CLASS	MAN HOURS FY 1991	MAN HOURS FY 1992	MAN HOURS FY 1993
AR-5	1.045K	0	0
ARS-38	1.363K	2.380	1.921
ARS-50	1.007K	.282	.348
ATS-1	.202K	1.328	2.698
LSD-28	1.423K	2.672	3.252
LSD-41	5.733K	.535	3.219
LST-1179	28.392K	13.852	21.368
MSO-421	1.072K	.224	0
MSO-509	0	.106	0
FY94: .873	R		
FY95: 14.5	R		
FY96: 16.0	R		
FY97: 15.3	R		
FY98: 13.1	R		
FY99: 12.7	R		
FY00: 11.8	R		
FY01: 11.4	R		

¹RSG Norfolk is the Navy contract manager for the Commercial Industrial Services contracts. SUPSHIP Portsmouth is responsible for the overall management of the contracts.
²PC MAINTENANCE - PC maintenance is currently performed by the manufacturer under warranty. A PC Maintenance Facility is being constructed under FY 94 MILCON P-419 with B.O.D. of June 1996.

16.c. If some IMA level work is contracted to civilian (non-DON) activities, provide the navy contract manager and estimate the equivalent man-hours of service provided by those contractors in the listed fiscal years. List projected contractor IMA workload for the fiscal years 1995 through 2001.^{1,2}

SHIP CLASS	MAN HOURS FY 1991	MAN HOURS FY 1992	MAN HOURS FY 1993
AR-5	1.045K	0	0
ARS-38	1.363K	2.380	1.921
ARS-50	1.007K	.282	.348
ATS-1	.202K	1.328	2.698
LSD-28	1.423K	2.672	3.252
LSD-41	5.733K	.535	3.219
LST-1179	28.392K	13.852	21.368
MSO-421	1.072K	.224	0
MSO-509	0	.106	0

¹RSG Norfolk is the Navy contract manager for the Commercial Industrial Services contracts. SUPSHIP Portsmouth is responsible for the overall management of the contracts.
²PC MAINTENANCE - PC maintenance is currently performed by the manufacturer under warranty. A PC Maintenance Facility is being constructed under FY 94 MILCON P-419 with B.O.D. of June 1996.

MAINTENANCE SUPPORT CAPACITY

17. For any Shore Based Intermediate Maintenance Facility, list the following:

17.a. List the size and the condition of the intermediate maintenance facility located at the installation. CCN refers to the five digit category code number from NAVFAC P-80.

Table 17.1

Facility Name/ Function	CCN	Adequate (sq ft)	Substandard (sq ft)	Inadequate (sq ft)
1131 Shore Inter Maint	213-30	31,108	-----	-----
1255 Shore Inter Maint	213-30	-----	-----	9,360
1265 Shore Inter Maint	213-30	75,859	-----	-----
1267 Shore Inter Maint	213-30	-----	-----	3,760
1269 Shore Inter Maint	213-30	1,035	-----	-----
1603 Shore Inter Maint	213-30	-----	-----	18,828

In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified describe why the facility is inadequate; indicate how the facility is being used and list other possible uses; and specify the costs to remove the deficiencies that make it inadequate. Indicate if current budget program includes any of the required funds.

17a. FOOTNOTES - INADEQUATE FACILITIES:

BUILDING 1255:

- A. Why facility is inadequate: Building is a WWII building which is not functionally designed to support administrative spaces and supply storage.
- B. Current Use: Administrative offices and storage.
- C. Other possible uses: Warehouse
- D. Cost to correct deficiencies: \$82,690 to demolish Bldg.
- E. Identified in current budget for funding: No. SIMAs unprogrammed MILCON identifies a new facility to house the SIMA administrative and storage functions and demolishes building 1255.

BUILDING 1267:

- A. Why facility is inadequate: Building is not functionally designed to support storage. Building sides are open to the elements.
- B. Current Use: Open Storage.
- C. Other possible uses: Warehouse
- D. Cost to correct deficiencies: \$19,500 to demolish Bldg.
- E. Identified in current budget for funding: No. SIMAs unprogrammed MILCON project P-400, SIMA Expansion, at \$9.69 million identifies a new facility to house the SIMA administrative and storage functions and demolishes building 1267.

BUILDING 1603

- A. Why facility is inadequate: Building, originally constructed for an enlisted mess, is not functionally designed to support SIMAs maintenance shops and administrative spaces. Deficiencies include various roof leaks, insufficient power, environmental controls and fire protection systems.
- B. Current Use: Maintenance Shops and Administrative offices.
- C. Other possible uses: Warehouse
- D. Cost to correct deficiencies: \$1.5 million

E. Identified in current budget for funding: FY95 NAVPHIBASE Norfolk's FY95 Special project R13-82, Repairs to BLDG 1603, renovates building 1603 to support SIMA's maintenance shops and administrative offices.

17.b. Assuming that the shore intermediate maintenance facilities can be fully staffed with appropriately skilled workers and procurement clerks and that sufficient funding is available for all parts support, what would be the maximum ship intermediate maintenance capability of this installation. For this question, assume that all currently programmed improvements are executed and assume that all current depot work remains at the depot level.

Note: Data will be provided by SIMA Little Creek in Data Call #18.

17.c. What plant modifications/facility improvements are budgeted in Presidential Budget 1995 through FY 1997 (including all BRACON) that would improve the production work capability at the ashore intermediate maintenance facility? Provide a description, cost, and additional capacity (in man-hours) that could be realized.

Note: Data will be provided by SIMA Little Creek in Data Call #18.

17.d. Given unconstrained funding and manning levels, what Industrial Plant Equipment (IPE) would you change (add, delete, or modify) to increase the shore IMA production work capacity? Provide a description, cost estimates, and additional capacity (in man-hours per year) that could be realized.

Note: Data will be provided by SIMA Little Creek in Data Call #18.

17.e. Are there any environmental, legal or other factors that inhibit further increase in productive work capacity at the shore IMA (e.g. encroachments, pollutant discharge, etc.)? Provide details and possible solutions.

Note: Data will be provided by SIMA Little Creek in Data Call #18.

17.f. State the percent of the maintenance work day lost to military duties (GMT, Training, etc.) during the normal day shift.

UIC 32732 - 11% for standard deduction on training for FY93.

UIC 47106 - 35% for standard deduction on training for FY93.

UIC 42056 - 10% for standard deduction on training for FY93.

R

Activity: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
2 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] using schedule commitments to your customers.
[REDACTED] measured in DLMHs per [REDACTED]

7.6. Table [REDACTED] Maximum Potential Functional Workload

Functional Area	Workload (K DLMHs)						
	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
Electronic Repair & Calibration	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3	23.3
Mechanical Calibration	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	12.5
Electroplating							
Conventional Valve and Pump Repair							
Other Machining & Manufacturing	12.6	12.6	12.6	12.6	12.6	12.6	12.6
Motor Rewind & Recondition							
Nuclear Repair							
RADCON							
Submarine QC & NDT							
Other QC & NDT	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
Flex Hose Repair & Test	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3

R

Activity: [REDACTED]

(Cont. of)

Functional Area	Workload (K DLMHs)						
	FY 1995	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001
Other IMA Work	327	327	327	327	328	328	328
Total	392.7	392.7	392.7	392.7	393.7	393.7	393.7

[REDACTED]
NAISTA Little Creek, Question 17

R

61414

Activity:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] the additional capability (in DLMH's) that potentially will be realized.

None

[REDACTED]

SIMA Little Creek has all the equipment that current space will allow. The following IPE would assist in operations, but not necessarily increase DLMH's:
Sandblast Cabinet: Unfunded item FSR 51A #1
Shredder for classified material: Unfunded item FSR Admin #2

[REDACTED] Information referenced in Data-Point 6-NAKST4 Little Creek, Question 174
[REDACTED] NAKST4 Little Creek, Question 174

R

Activity: [REDACTED] 61414

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

R

17.e.

No. Any expansion of work capacity at SIMA Little Creek could be managed under currently established Environmental Programs. There is a minimal chance that current environmental permit would require modification if there was a large increase in capacity. Therefore, proper lead time should be given to plan for any modifications (if required) to these permits.

[REDACTED]

17.g. Provide the **man-hours expended by shore based intermediate maintenance activities** for the listed years in the following categories:

Table 17.2

IMA:	FY 1990 (K man-hr)	FY 1991 (k man-hr)	FY 1992 (k man-hr)	FY 1993 (k man-hr)	FY 1994 ¹ (k man-hr)
Ship Modernization (non-nuclear)					
Ship Modernization (nuclear)					
Ship Repair (non-nuclear)					
Ship Repair (nuclear)					
Aircraft Maintenance					
Facility/IPE Maintenance					
Other Maintenance ²					

*Data will be provided by SIMA Little Creek Data Call #18.

R

Activity: [REDACTED]

Table [REDACTED] Historic and Predicted Maintenance Workload

[REDACTED]	Workload (K DLMHs)					
	FY 1990	FY 1991	FY 1992	FY 1993	FY 1994	FY 1995
Modernization (Conventional)'						
Modernization (Nuclear)						
Ship Maintenance (Conventional)'	307.4	330.3	291.7	264.6	270.8	268.6
Ship Maintenance (Nuclear)						
Aircraft Maintenance						
Facility / IPE Maintenance	12.4	12.3	27.3	40.3	36.0	38.2
Other Maintenance						
TOTAL:	319.8	342.6	319	304.9	306.8	306.8

NOTE - Ship Maintenance (Conventional) includes estimate of work to support Norfolk Based ships.

SHIP SUPPORT CAPACITY

18. List the **government drydocks** (floating or graving) owned by the base or tenant activities. For each drydock indicate its maximum lift, ship classes for which NAVSEA has certified the dock, and number of days in use in FY 1991, 1992, 1993. Indicate the number of days climate prevented painting and preservation of docked ship external hull in FY 1993.

Table 18.1

Drydock	Maximum Capacity	Ship Classes that can be Docked ¹	Days in use			Climate Limited days		
			FY1991	FY1992	FY1993	FY1991	FY1992	FY1993
DYNAMI C AFDL-6	1,000 Ton	YTBs/LCUs,SES, ARMY LCUs, MSOs, YFNs, PCs	289	292	365	0	0	0

¹NAVSEA certification for docking.

19: Provide the same data for commercial drydocks in the harbor complex.

Table 19.1

Drydock	Maximum Capacity	Ship Classes that can be Docked ¹	Days in use ²			Climate Limited days		
			FY1991	FY1992	FY1993	FY1991	FY1992	FY1993
COLONN AS ³	14,500LT	See below	150	0	0	12	0	0
METRO ⁴	14,500LT	See below	150	180	126	12	14	10
NORSHIP CO #1 ⁵	52,534LT	See below	150	60	0	12	5	0
NORSHIP CO #2 ⁶	16,500LT	See below	210	90	90	17	7	7
NEWPORT NEWS								
DOCK #1	31,400LT	AD, AS, LST, SSN, DDG, FFG	263	197	184	7	7	7
DOCK #2	30,200LT	AD, AS, CG, CGN, LST, LSD, SSN, DDG, FFG	61	86	192	7	7	7
DOCK #3	7,350LT	SSN	13	18	184	7	7	7
DOCK #4	7,500LT	SSN	112	103	190	7	7	7
DOCK #10	50,000LT	AD, AS, CG, CGN, DD, DDG, LHA, LSD, LHD, LPH, SSN	351	344	363	7	7	7
DOCK #11	90,000LT	ALL	198	344	363	7	7	7
DOCK #12	85,000LT	ALL	202	347	408	7	7	7

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

Drydock	Maximum Capacity	Ship Classes that can be Docked ¹	Days in use ²			Climate Limited days		
			FY1991	FY1992	FY1993	FY1991	FY1992	FY1993
FD	40,000LT	SSN, SSBN, CG, CGN, FFG, LST, LSD, DD, DDG	4	28	140	⁷	⁷	⁷

¹NAVSEA certification for docking.

²Days in use supporting DOD ships.

³COLANNAS - AFDE/CG47/DD963/DDG993/FFG7/MCM1/ARS50

⁴METRO - AFDE/CG47/DD963/DDG993/FFG7/MCM1/ARS50/LSD36

⁵NORSHIP CO #1 - AFDE/ARDM/AGF3/AGF11/CG47/DD963/DDG993/FFG7/LHA1/LHD1/CGN38/MCM1/LSD41/LSD36/LPD4/LCC20/AS36/AS39/ARS50/AOE1/AD41

⁶NORSHIP CO #2 - AFDB/XG47/DD963/DDG993/FFG7/CGN38/MCM1/LSD41/LSD36/ABS50

⁷No records kept on climate limited days

20. Provide data for waterfront cranes at your installation. List all permanent, mobile and floating cranes, owned or long term leased: **Four tables are provided, each with a different UIC.**

UIC 32732

Table 20.1

Type / ID	Typical Use	Nuclear / Ordnance Certified	Max Capacity (tons)	Pier limits for use	Owned / Leased
000276	¹	No	100	SIMA Finger Pier	Owned

¹The crane is a Marine Travel Lift used to hoist small craft in and out of the harbor. The crane was inspected and certified by SHUR-MAR Equipment Inc. in Sept 93 and will be due for recertification in Sept 94.

UIC 47106

Table 20.1

Type / ID	Typical Use	Nuclear / Ordnance Certified	Max Capacity (tons)	Pier limits for use	Owned / Leased
STRADD LCRANE	Mobile move LCAC	N/A	125	N/A	Owned
STRADD LCRANE	Mobile move LCAC	N/A	150	N/A	Owned
GROVE CRANE	Mobile MHE	N/A	8.5	N/A	Leased
GROVE CRANE	Mobile MHE	N/A	8.5	N/A	Leased

UIC 42056

Table 20.1

Type / ID	Typical Use	Nuclear / Ordnance Certified	Max Capacity (tons)	Pier limits for use	Owned / Leased
RT Mobile	Waterfront Support Pulling Engines	No	15	None	Owned

21. List all government owned or leased tugs and pusher boats, and provide a description of each with their capabilities.

TUGS/BTS

ADDITIONAL SERVICE CRAFT/BOATS

YTB-816	1 DIP	YSR #39
YTB-824	BOSTON WHALER #	1YC #1641
PUSHER BOAT #1	BOSTON WHALER #2	YOGN #9
PUSHER BOAT #2	BOSTON WHALER #3	YON #260
PUSHER BOAT #3	3 UTILITY BOATS	YON #295
PUSHER BOAT #5	SWOB #16	6 PAINT FLTS
PUSHER BOAT #7	SWOB #35	1 PONTOON BOAT
PUSHER BOAT #9	SWOB #55	

CAPABILITIES/CHARACTERISTICS

A. YTB

Length - 108'
 Beam - 29'
 Draft - 13'7"
 Displacement - 344 Tons
 Horsepower - 2,000
 Max speed - 11 knots

Description

Harbor Tug, moves Naval shipping, barges, personnel transfer, Fire Boat for harbor area.

B. PUSHER BOAT

Length - 50'
 Beam - 14'9"
 Draft - 3'6"
 Displacement - 95,100 IDS
 Horsepower (ea Shaft) - 200 @ 2100 RPM

Description

Twin engine/Twin screw Workboat. Assists YTB in harbor ship moves, floating cranes, barge moves, personnel transfers. paint float and deployment of oil spill boom.

C. OIL SKIMMER

Length - 26'
Beam - 10'2"
Height - 15'11"
Draft - Empty 35"
Loaded 43"

Displacement - Empty 14,000 lbs
Loaded 21,600 lbs
Propulsion - 2 screws 16" X 8"
Max Speed (no load/full load) - 22 RPM
Engine - 1 Detroit 353
Tanks - 2
Capacity - 500 Gals each
Transmission - Hydraulic

Description

Used to collect oil and store oil from spills.

D. BOSTON WHALERS

Length (3 boats total) - 18 foot each
Engines (1 for each boat) - V4 115 HP Evenrude

Description

Use for oil spill recovery and debris.

E. SWOBs

Length - 107'9"
Width - 27'8"
Displacement Full Load - 394.4 Long Tons
Empty Load - 105.3 Long Tons
Cargo Tanks - 4
Capacity total - 77,000 Gals.
Diesel - 1
Oil Pump - 2
440 Volt A/C Motors - 2

Description

Receipt and transfer of ship and service craft oily waste.

F. PONTOON BOAT

Length - 31'
Beam - 11'11"
Draft to Keel - 8"
Draft to Keel (full load 6000 lbs.) - 14"
Displacement - 5000 lbs.
Displacement (full load) - 11,000 lbs.
(hoisting) - 6,000 lbs.
Hoisted By - 4 Leg Sling - 16' legs min.
Propulsion - Twin Outboard Motors 115 HP
Crew - 2 persons
Load Capacity (including crew) - 6,000 lbs.
Construction Hull and Deck - Fiberglass reinforced plastic.

Description

Used to deploy booms for oil spills.

G. YSR

Length - 110'
Width - 34'
Voids - 4
Chainlocker - 2
Slug Tanks below Decks - 6
Capacity (4) - 14,100 Gals.
Capacity (2) - 10,820 Gals.
Feed Tanks - 4
Capacity - 11,050 Gals.
Fuel Tanks - 2
Capacity - 10,820 Gals.
Boilers - 2
Generators - 2

Description

Receipt and transfer of ship and service craft oily waste.

H. YON AND YOGN

Tanks - 8
Total capacity - 360,000 Gals.
Diesel - 1
Oil Pump - 1

Description

Receipt and transfer of ship and service craft oily waste.

I. PAINT FLOATS

PF.1 - Double Tier
PF.2 - Single Tier
PF.3 - Single Tier
PF.4 - Double Tier
PF.5 - Double Tier
PF.6 - Triple Tier

Description

Used for ship to paint the sides of ships, each is made of galvanized pipe and pontoons.

22. State the number of ship sets of CV or CVN mooring camels at your facility. State the number of ship sets of SSN or SSBN camels at your facility.

NONE

PERSONNEL SUPPORT

23. Training Facilities

23.a. By Category Code Number (CCN), complete the following student throughput capacity table for all training facilities (adequate, substandard and inadequate) aboard the installation including tenant activities. Include all 171-xx, 179-xx CCN's and any other applicable CCN. Following the table, describe how the Student Hours/Yr capacity is derived.

For example: in the category 171-10, a type of training facility is academic instruction classroom. If you have 10 classrooms with a capacity of 25 students per room, the design capacity would be 250. If these classrooms are available 8 hours a day for 300 days a year, the capacity in student hours per year would be 600,000.

Table 23.1¹⁰

Parent UIC	CCN	Type Training Facility	Total #	Capacity (PN) ¹	Capacity (Student HRS/YR)
0022A	171-20	Classroom	1	30	60K ²
0022A	171-20	Classroom	1	12	24K ²
08442	143-41	Classroom	9	190	456K ³
08943	143-41	Classroom	1	15	30K ²
08943	143-41	Language Lab	1	20	40K ²
30636	171-20	Academic	1	25	7.6K ³
30636	171-20	Academic	4	100	240K ³
30636	171-20	Academic	1	25	60K ³
30636	171-20	Academic	1	25	60K ³
30636	171-20	Academic	1	30	72K ³
30636	171-20	Academic	1	30	72K ³
41469	171-10	Classroom	2	30	60K ²
46063	217-10	Classrooms	11	165	330K ²

Table 23.1

Parent UIC	CCN	Type Training Facility	Total #	Capacity (PN) ¹	Capacity (Student HRS/YR)
46063	217-10	Labs	5	50	12K ²
46985	143-25	Classroom	2	80	240K ⁵
49093	171-10	Academic	3	40	80K ²
55778	143-25	Classroom	1	30	11.5K ⁶
55778	143-25	Classroom	1	16	6.4K ⁷
63021	171-20	General Classroom	1	120	240K ⁸
63021	171-20	Modified Classroom	36	688	1,376K ⁸
63021	171-20	Trainer spaces	17	210	420K ⁸
63021	171-20	Laboratory	2	28	56K ⁸
63021	171-20	LCAC Full Motion Trainer	1	3	6K ⁸
63825	171-10	Academic	2	50	97.2K ⁹
67355	171-20	Academic/ Classroom	13	1001	2002.4K ²

¹Personnel Capacity is the total number of seats available for students in spaces used instruction based on the current configuration and use of the facilities.

²PN X 8 HOURS X 250 DAYS

³PN X 8 HOURS X 38 DAYS

⁴PN X 8 HOURS X 30 DAYS

⁵PN X 12 HOURS X 250 DAYS

⁶PN X 8 HOURS X 48 DAYS

⁷PN X 8 HOURS X 50 DAYS

⁸PN X 8 HOURS X 250 DAYS

⁹PN X 8 HOURS X 243 DAYS

¹⁰Other NAVPHIBASE LCREEK owned/managed training assets:

A. Six beach training areas: Used for SPECWAR assaults, amphibious assaults, blank weapon fire, smoke grenades, etc.

B. Three amphibious anchorage areas.

C. Four sand dune areas for amphibious and land navigations, etc.

D. One training tower.

E. Three beach training areas at Camp Pendleton in Virginia Beach.

R

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

23.b. By facility Category Code Number (CCN), provide the number of hours per year of classroom time required for each course of instruction taught at formal schools on your installation. Include all applicable 171-XX and 179-xx CCN's. R

A = Students per year

B = Number of hours each student spends in this training facility for each course

C = A X B = Number of hours of instruction

CCN: 171-10

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 41469 Classroom 171-10	TACREADGRU	C2W Security Engagement Weps	130	80	10400	200	80	16000
UIC 41469 Classroom 171-10	TACREADGRU	OPDEC Planners	20	40	800	50	40	2000
UIC 41469 Classroom 171-10	TACREADGRU	JMCIS OATS	0	0	0	30	40	1200
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCENTER DET	SEAL-Diver Supv	80	80	6400	100	80	8000
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCENTER DET	SEAL-Maritime Ops	40	120	4800	80	120	9600
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCENTER DET	SEAL-Dive Maintenance	40	40	1600	40	80	3200
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCENTER DET	Static Line Jumpmaster	60	120	7200	60	120	7200
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCENTER DET	Laser Systems Safety Officer	40	80	3200	40	80	3200
UIC 63825 Academic 171-10	NCIS	Security Manager	600	40	24000	660	44	29040
UIC 63825 Academic 171-10	NCIS	Physical Security and Law Enforcement	192	40	7680	221	44	9724
UIC 63825 Academic 171-10	NCIS	Shipboard Security Enhancement Tactics	192	40	7680	221	44	9784

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

23.b. By facility Category Code Number (CCN), provide the number of hours per year of classroom time required for each course of instruction taught at formal schools on your installation. Include all applicable 171-XX and 179-xx CCN's.

A = Students per year

B = Number of hours each student spends in this training facility for each course

C = A X B = Number of hours of instruction

CCN: 171-10

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 41469 Classroom 171-10	TACREADG RU	C2W Security Engagement Weps	130	80	10400	200	80	16000
UIC 41469 Classroom 171-10	TACREADG RU	OPDEC Planners	20	40	800	50	40	2000
UIC 41469 Classroom 171-10	TACREADG RU	JMCIS OATS	0	0	0	30	40	1200
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCE NTER DET	SEAL-Diver Supv	80	80	6400	100	80	8000
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCE NTER DET	SEAL-Maritime Ops	40	120	4800	80	120	9600
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCE NTER DET	SEAL-Dive Maintenance	40	40	1600	40	80	3200
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCE NTER DET	Static Line Jumpmaster	60	120	7200	60	120	7200
UIC 49093 Classroom 171-10	SPECWARCE NTER DET	Laser Systems Safety Officer	40	80	3200	40	80	3200
UIC 63825 Academic 171-10	NCIS	Security Manager	600	40	24000	660	44	29040
UIC 63825 Academic 171-10	NCIS	Physical Security and Law Enforcement	192	40	7680	221	44	9724
UIC 63825 Academic 171-10	NCIS	Shipboard Security Enhancement Tactics	192	40	7680	221	44	9784

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

CCN: 171-20

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 0022A Classroom 171-20	SSEW	Shipboard Security Engagement Weps	200	40	8000	150	40	6000
UIC 0022A Classroom 171-20	SSET	SSE Tactics	410	40	16400	300	40	12000
UIC 0022A Classroom 171-20	SPSP	Shipboard Physical Security Planning	80	40	3200	80	40	3200
UIC 0022A Classroom 171-20	Small Arms	Small Arms ¹	144	80	11520	144	80	11520
UIC 0022A Classroom 171-20	Small Arms	Small Arms ¹	60	40	2400	40	40	1600
UIC 30636 Academic 171-20	Music	Prep	50	80	4K	50	80	4K
UIC 30636 Academic 171-20	Music	Basic	355	1689	600K	355	1689	600K
UIC 30636 Academic 171-20	Music	Section Ldr	25	1400	35K	25	1400	35K
UIC 30636 Academic 171-20	Music	Enl Band Ldr	17	1468	25K	17	1468	25K
UIC 30636 Academic 171-20	Music	Leader ²	105	260	27K	105	260	27K
UIC 30636 Academic 171-20	Music	Leader ²	29	270	8K	29	270	8K
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Logistics	870	176	153120	870	176	153120
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Ops/Logs/Tac	17048	9	153432	17048	9	153432

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414 **R**

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Operations	850	55	46750	850	55	46750
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Tactical	900	128	115200	900	128	115200
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Tactical	440	80	35200	440	80	35200
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Logistics	400	77	30800	400	77	30800
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib Indoc	216	40	8640	240	40	9600 R
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib Plan	216	34	7344	240	34	8160
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib Plan	216	6	1296	240	6	1440
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Helo Direct	60	30	1800	60	30	1800
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Helo Direct	60	2	120	60	2	120
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib CIC	210	8	1680	210	8	1680
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib CIC	210	32 R	6720 R	210	32 R	6720 R
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Boat Grp Off	40	20	800	40	20	800

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

OCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Operations	850	55	46750	850	55	46750
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Tactical	900	128	115200	900	128	115200
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Tactical	440	80	35200	440	80	35200
UIC 67355 Classroom 171-20	LFTC	Logistics	400	77	30800	400	77	30800
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib Indoc	216	40	8640	240	40	96000
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib Plan	216	34	7344	240	34	8160
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib Plan	216	6	1296	240	6	1440
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Helo Direct	60	30	1800	60	30	1800
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Helo Direct	60	2	120	60	2	120
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib CIC	210	8	1680	210	8	1680
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Amphib CIC	210	8	1680	210	8	1680
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Boat Grp Off	40	20	800	40	20	800

R

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Boat Grp Off	40	4	160	40	4	160
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-86	254	20	5080	374	20	7480
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-86	254	20	5080	374	20	7480
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	68-16/19 DU	48	20	960	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	68-16/19 DU	48	20	960	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	Supp Arm Cen	48	24	1152	48	24	1152
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	Supp Arm Cen	48	8	384	48	8	384
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-34	36	20	720	120 R	20 R	2400 R
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-34	36	20	720	120 R	20 R	2400 R
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-68	180	20	3600	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-68	180	20	3600	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	TQL	Fundamentals	432	72	31104	432	72	31104

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	NAMS Warfare	Boat Grp Off	40	4	160	40	4	160
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-86	254	20	5080	374	20	7480
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-86	254	20	5080	374	20	7480
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	68-16/19 DU	48	20	960	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	68-16/19 DU	48	20	960	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	Supp Arm Cen	48	24	1152	48	24	1152
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	Supp Arm Cen	48	8	384	48	8	384
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-34	36	20	720	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-34	36	20	720	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-68	180	20	3600	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Naval Gunfire	MK-68	180	20	3600	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	TQL	Fundamentals	432	72	31104	432	72	31104

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	TQL	Implementation	168	72	120960	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	TQL	SAPI	140	80	112000	140	80	11200
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	TQL	Tools	546	32	174725	546	32	17472
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	TQL	Team Skills	192	72	13824	192	72	13824
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	TQL	Management	168	72	12096	168	72	12096
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Leadership	DIVOFF Basic	288	40	11520	242	40	9680
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Leadership	DIVOFF Adv	128	40	5120	108	40	4320
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Leadership	CPO	2688	40	107520	2258	40	90320
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Leadership	LPO	6720	40	268800	5644	40	225760

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK REVISED DATA CALL 6

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

R

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Leadership	Instructor	96	240	23040	80	40	19200
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Fuel Testing	280	22	6160	280	22	6160
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Fuel Testing	280	2	560	280	2	560
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Nav Petroleum	108	14	1512	108	14	1512
R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Nav Petroleum	108	2	216	108	2	216
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Aux Boiler	108	56	6048	108	56	6048
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	Aux Boiler	108	16	1728	108	16	1728
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Boil/Feed Water	144	26	3744	144	26	3744
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Boil/Feed Water	144	6	864	144	6	864

R
R
R
R

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

R

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Leadership	Instructor	96	240	23040	80	40	19200
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Fuel Testing	280	22	6160	280	22	6160
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Fuel Testing	280	2	560	280	2	560
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Nav Petroleum	108	14	1512	108	14	1512
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Nav Petroleum	108	2	2	108	2	216
R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Aux Boiler	108	56	6048	108	56	6048
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	Aux Boiler	108	16	1728	108	16	1728
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Boil/Feed Water	144	26	3744	144	26	3744
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Boil/Feed Water	144	6	864	144	6	864

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Naval Leadership	Instructor	96	240	23040	80	40	19200
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Fuel Testing	280	22	6160	280	22	6160
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Fuel Testing	280	2	560	280	2	560
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Nav Petroleum	108	14	1512	108	14	1512
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Nav Petroleum	108	2	2	108	2	216
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Nav Petroleum	108	2	216	108	2	216
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Aux Boiler	108	56	6048	108	56	6048
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	Aux Boiler	108	16	1728	108	16	1728
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Boil/Feed Water	144	26	3744	144	26	3744
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Boil/Feed Water	144	6	864	144	6	864

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Small Boat Eng	144	64	9216	144	64	9216
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	Small Boat Eng	144	16	2304	144	16	2304
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Ellison Doors	180	11	1980	180	11	1980
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	Ellison Doors	180	5	900	180	5	900
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	LST Bowramp	36	38	1368	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	LST Bowramp	36	2	72	0	0	0
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Distill Plant	144	22	3168	144	22	3168
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	Distill Plant	144	2	288	144	2	288
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	JP-5	384	23	8832	384	23	8832
UIC 63021 Laboratory 171-20	Engineering	JP-5	384	1	384	384	1	384

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Ovhl CUMMINS Diesel	65	30	1950	65	30	1950
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	Ovhl CUMMINS Diesel	65	90	5850	65	90	5850
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Engineering	Ovhl DETROIT Diesel	75	30	2250	75	30	2250
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Engineering	Ovhl DETROIT Diesel	75	90	6750	75	90	6750
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Seamanship	Rib Boat Coxn	144	20	2880	144	20	2880
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Seamanship	Rib Boat Coxn	144	20	2880	144	20	2880
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Seamanship	NAVSTAR	18	18	324	18	18	324
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Seamanship	NAVSTAR	18	6	108	18	6	108
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Seamanship	Combined Coxn	288	32	9216	288	32	9216
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Seamanship	Combined Coxn	288	48	13824	288	48	13824

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Seamanship	BM Tra Adv	216	24	5184	216	24	5184
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Seamanship	BM Tra Adv	216	16	3456	216	16	3456
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Seamanship	BM Tra Basic	336	48	16128	336	48	16128
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Seamanship	BM Tra Basic	336	24	8064	336	24	8064
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	Seamanship	Aslt Boat Coxn	96	40	3840	96	40	3840
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	Seamanship	Aslt Boat Cox	96	72	6912	96	72	6912
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	LCAC ³	Operator	8	160	1280	8	160	1280
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	LCAC ³	Operator	8	160	1280	8	160	1280
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	LCAC ³	Engineer	8	160	1280	8	160	1280
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	LCAC ³	Engineer	8	160	1280	8	160	1280

CCN: 171-20 continued

Type of Training Facility	School	Type of Training	FY 1993 Requirements			FY 2001 Requirements		
			A	B	C	A	B	C
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	LCAC ³	Navigator	8	160	1280	8	160	1280
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	LCAC ³	Navigator	8	160	1280	8	160	1280
UIC 63021 Classroom 171-20	LCAC ³	Off in Charge	4	100	400	4	100	400
UIC 63021 Trainer 171-20	LCAC ³	Off in Charge	4	20	80	4	20	80

¹FY 2001 requirements for Naval Gunfire courses based on known construction and decommissionings.

²FY 2001 requirements for Naval Leadership courses based on naval personnel reduction from 500,000 to 420,000 being proportional in impact.

³FY 1994 projected student load used where FY 1993 not known or for courses such as LCAC training which was not fully functional.

23.b. Assuming that the training facility is **not constrained by operational funding** (personnel support, increased overhead costs, etc.), with the present equipment, physical plant, etc., what **additional capacity** (in student hours) could be gained? Provide details and assumptions for all calculations.

UIC 63021 - NAVPHIBSCOL LCREEK

The current at use seating/training station configuration at NAMS LCREEK is 1049. By filling all modified classrooms to maximum seating and doubling up where possible at trainers and lab stations the capacity would expand to 1830. This uses the NAVFAC P-80 factor of 20 square feet per student as a minimum for a moderate sized modified classroom. Additional capacity would be gained by expanding operating hours. There is no limit to the availability of the classrooms, labs or indoor training devices. The few seamanship and amphibious warfare classes which use small boats would be constrained by darkness and the LCAC full motion trainer usage is constrained by a required 8 hours of maintenance in every 24 hour utilization period.

Current Capacity:

$$1049 \times 50 \times 5 \times 8 = 2,098,000 \text{ annual capacity}$$

(seats) (weeks) (days) (hours)

Maximum Capacity:

$$1830 \times 50 \times 6 \times 24 = 13,176,000$$

UIC 46063 - IUSS OPS SUPPORT CTR

Adding - 5 additional students per classroom:

$$5 \times 11 \text{ classrooms} = 55 \times 8\text{hr/day} = 440 \times 300 \text{ days} = 132,000 \text{ hrs.}$$

Adding - 3 additional students per lab:

$$3 \times 5 = 15 \times 8 - 120 \times 300 = 36,000 \text{ hrs.}$$

UIC 46985 - SEAL TEAM 8

None without scheduling after hours time on shooting range.

23.c. Assume all **planned MILCON** in Presidential Budget 1995 through FY 1997 and **BRACON** is completed as scheduled. What **additional training capacity** (in student hours per year) will be gained? Provide budgeted cost and details of all additional capacity calculations.

UIC 63021 - NAVPHIBSCOL LCREEK

BRACON P-390T: At Sea Transfer Training Facility. Scheduled construction FY 1996, operational FY 1997. \$4.8M budgeted for facility construction and \$1.4M estimated for equipment and personnel relocation from NTTC Treasure Island, CA.

CCN 171-35

	#	PN
Modified Classroom	2	40
Laboratory	2	20
Trainers	6	60

Projected Annual Student Load:

STREAM Oper	385	80
UNREP Mech	150	280
UNREP Winch	96	200
Fuel Probe	72	24

23.d. What additional unfunded MILCON requirements could be added to increase training capacity? Provide the estimated cost and capacity gained and the basis of the values.

NONE REQUIRED

23.e. List and explain the **limiting factors** that further funding for personnel, equipment, facilities, etc. cannot overcome.

NONE

24.a. Provide data on the BOQs and BEQs assigned to your current plant account. The desired unit of measure for this capacity is people housed. Use CCN to differentiate between pay grades, i.e., E1-E4, E5-E6, E7-E9, CWO-O2, O3 and above.

Table 24.1

Facility Type, Bldg. # & CCN	Total No. of Beds	Total No. of Rooms	Adequate		Substandard		Inadequate	
			Beds	Sq Ft	Beds	Sq Ft	Beds	Sq Ft
BEQ/3601/ 721-11	408	136	408	98,822	0	0	0	0
BEQ/3603/ 721-11	248	124	248	63,670	0	0	0	0
BEQ/3604/ 721-11	378	124	378	63,635	0	0	0	0
BEQ/3606/ 721-11	424	212	424	88,545	0	0	0	0
BEQ/3601/ 721-12	232	116	232	39,928	0	0	0	0
BEQ/3605/ 721-12	176	84	176	38,779	0	0	0	0
BEQ/3606/ 721-12	28	28	28	11,695	0	0	0	0
BEQ/3601/ 721-13	76	76	76	26,452	0	0	0	0
BEQ/3605/ 721-13	176	84	176	38,778	0	0	0	0
BOQ/3408/ 724-11&12 ¹	259	259	259	137,525	0	0	0	0
BOQ/3186/ 724-12 ²	4	4	4	5,955	0	0	0	0

¹Bldg. 3408, houses 01-10 in same type facilities.

²Bldg. 3186 houses 06 and above.

24.b. In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified provide the following information: N/A

- A. Facility type/code:
- B. What makes it inadequate?
- C. What use is being made of the facility?
- D. What is the cost to upgrade the facility to substandard?
- E. What other use could be made of the facility and at what cost?
- F. Current improvement plans and programmed funding:
- G. Has this facility condition resulted in C3 or C4 designation on your BASEREP?

24.c. Provide data on the BOQs and BEQs projected to be assigned to your plant account in FY 1997. The desired unit of measure for this capacity is people housed. Use CCN to differentiate between pay grades, i.e., E1-E4, E5-E6, E7-E9, CWO-O2, O3 and above.

Table 24.2

Facility Type, Bldg. # & CCN	Total No. of Beds	Total No. of Rooms	Adequate		Substandard		Inadequate	
			Beds	Sq Ft	Beds	Sq Ft	Beds	Sq Ft
BOQ-3408 P/P-724-11	25	25	25	7,775				
BOQ-3408 T/R-724-11	41	41	41	14,022				
BOQ-3408 T/R-724-12	196	196	196	60,956				
BOQ-3408 P/P-724-12	15	15					15	5,130
BOQ 3182 724-12	4	4	4	5,955				
BEQ 3603 721-11	248	124					248	37,200
BEQ 3604 721-11	248	124					248	37,200
BEQ 3601 T/R 721-11	130	65	130	15,275				
BEQ 3601 T/R 721-13	2	2	2	1,224				
BEQ 3601 P/P 721-12	39	39	39	9,165				
BEQ 3001 T/R 721-11	164	164	164	40,742				
BEQ 3605 721-12	168	168	168	42,840				
BEQ 3606 721-11	240	240	240	50,880				

Facility Type, Bldg. # & CCN	Total No. of Beds	Total No. of Rooms	Adequate		Substandard		Inadequate	
			Beds	Sq Ft	Beds	Sq Ft	Beds	Sq Ft
BEQ 3609 721-11	240	240	240	50,880				
BEQ 3601 P/P 721-11	130	65	130	15,275				

24.d. In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified provide the following information: N/A

A. Facility Type/Code: BOQ/724-12

B. What makes it inadequate? Permanent Party personnel in pay grade of O3-O10 are entitled to 400 square feet of living area - living room, bedroom and private bath, as well as an access to a kitchen facility. This is not available. Quarters are considered inadequate.

C. What use is being made of the facility? BOQ-Permanent party

D. What is the cost to upgrade the facility to substandard? An estimated cost to convert rooms to suites, and provide a kitchen facility would be \$350,000. This would decrease our transient rooms from 196 to 181.

E. What other use could be made of the facility and at what cost? None considered

F. Current improvement plans and programmed funding: Unfunded - Special Project RC-18-92

G. Has this facility condition resulted in C3 or C4 designation on your BASEREP? Yes

A. Facility Type/Code: BEQ 3603,3604/721-11

B. What makes it inadequate? Central Bath

C. What use is being made of the facility? Occupied by school of music students

D. What is the cost to upgrade the facility to substandard? \$1.5M Each

E. What other use could be made of the facility and at what cost? None

F. Current improvement plans and programmed funding: Design plans to have been submitted which would eliminate to central bath and upgrade all rooms to private rooms with private bathrooms, plus other upgrades. Awaiting funding from CINCLANTFLT to start renovating.

G. Has this facility condition resulted in C3 or C4 designation on your BASEREP? No

25.a. MESSING. Provide data on the **messing facilities** assigned to your current plant account.

Table 25.1

Facility Type, CCN and Bldg. #	Total Sq. Ft.	Adequate		Substandard		Inadequate		Avg # Noon Meals Served
		Seats	Sq Ft	Seats	Sq Ft	Seats	Sq Ft	
722-10/3607	8244	500	8244	0	0	0	0	650

1. Projected "Feeding Capacity" is 2,000 patrons in a two hour period.

Feeding Capacity is a function of # of seats x Turn Over Rate (TOR). TOR is determined on the amount of time given for a patron to: enter the facility, get their food, eat, and leave the facility. This time is estimated at 30 minutes on average and the meal period, currently at 2 hours. $TOR = 2 \text{ hours} \div 30 \text{ minutes} = 4$. $(500 \text{ seats}) \times (TOR \text{ of } 4) = 2,000 \text{ Feeding Capacity}$.

2. With the addition of 30 units of outside dining furniture "Feeding Capacity" will increase to 2,300 patrons in two hour period based on the following formula:

$$(30 \text{ units}) \times (6 \text{ seats each}) \times (TOR \text{ of } 4) \times (EA \text{ of } 42\%) = 300 \text{ Feeding Capacity}$$

EA is the Estimated Availability of use for outside dining furniture which is totally dependent on weather. Estimate that the patrons will be able to use the outside dining furniture 42% of the time.

The source of formulas is the MIL-Handbook - 1036/4 "Military Handbook for Enlisted Dining Facilities" dated 15 AUG 1990.

25.b. In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified provide the following information:

- A. Facility type/code:
- B. What makes it inadequate?
- C. What use is being made of the facility?
- D. What is the cost to upgrade the facility to substandard?
- E. What other use could be made of the facility and at what cost?
- F. Current improvement plans and programmed funding:
- G. Has this facility condition resulted in C3 or C4 designation on your BASEREP?

There are no inadequate facilities.

25.c. Provide data on the messing facilities projected to be assigned to your plant account in FY 1997.

Table 25.2

Facility Type, CCN and Bldg. #	Total Sq. Ft.	Adequate		Substandard		Inadequate		Avg # Noon Meals Served
		Seats	Sq Ft	Seats	Sq Ft	Seats	Sq Ft	
722-10/3607	8244	500	8244	0	0	0	0	650

1. Projected "Feeding Capacity" is 2,000 patrons in a two hour period.

Feeding Capacity is a function of # of seats x Turn Over Rate (TOR). TOR is determined on the amount of time given for a patron to: enter the facility, get their food, eat, and leave the facility this time is estimated at 30 minutes on average and the meal period, currently at 2 hours. $TOR = 2 \text{ hours} \div 30 \text{ minutes} = 4$. $(500 \text{ seats}) \times (TOR \text{ of } 4) = 2,000 \text{ Feeding Capacity}$.

2. With the addition of 30 units of outside dining furniture "Feeding Capacity" will increase to 2,300 patrons in two hour period based on the following formula:

$$(30 \text{ units}) \times (6 \text{ seats each}) \times (TOR \text{ of } 4) \times (EA \text{ of } 42\%) = 300 \text{ Feeding Capacity}$$

EA is the Estimated Availability of use for outside dining furniture which is totally dependent on weather. Estimate that the patrons will be able to use the outside dining furniture 42% of the time.

The source of formulas is the MIL-Handbook - 1036/4 "Military Handbook for Enlisted Dining Facilities" dated 15 AUG 1990.

25.d. In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified provide the following information:

- A. Facility type/code:
- B. What makes it inadequate?
- C. What use is being made of the facility?
- D. What is the cost to upgrade the facility to substandard?
- E. What other use could be made of the facility and at what cost?
- F. Current improvement plans and programmed funding:
- G. Has this facility condition resulted in C3 or C4 designation on your BASEREP?

There are no inadequate facilities.

26. For military married family housing assigned to your plant account provide the following information:

Table 26.1

Type of Quarters	Number of Bedrooms	Total number of units	Number Adequate	Number Substandard	Number Inadequate
Officer	4+	31	31	0	0
Officer	3	58	58	0	0
Officer	1 or 2	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	4+	238	238	0	0
Enlisted	3	467	455	12	0
Enlisted	1 or 2	202 196*	126	70	0
Mobile Homes	N/A				
Mobile Home lots	N/A				

In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified describe why the housing is inadequate; indicate how the housing is being used and list other possible uses; and specify the costs to remove the deficiencies that make it inadequate. Indicate current plans to remove these deficiencies and the amount of any programmed funds.

82 Wellings Court units are substandard because the quarters contain less than the minimum net floor area allowed for adequate quarters. The units are utilized for family housing assignment and are needed for this use due to the deficit of Family Housing in the Norfolk Naval Complex. A \$6.9m Revitalization Project is programmed for FY97/98 to bring the neighborhood up to current standards.

Each two existing 768 GSF units will be combined into single 1536 GSF three-bedroom units. Work includes reducing unit density, providing adequate storm drainage, rebuilding the roadway and parking areas, upgrading electrical service and feeding the buildings underground, providing privacy fencing, patios and storage sheds for each unit, landscaping and total interior renovation including new electric, mechanical and wall and floor surfaces. Project replaces windows, siding and roofs and constructs new entrance porches on all units.

27. For personnel assigned to your base and tenant activities who live in government quarters other than yours, indicate the plant account holder UIC for their quarters.

PWC NORFOLK UIC: N00187
 NAS OCEANA UIC: N60191
 FCTC DAM NECK UIC: N00281

* OPNAV (N441)
 FLS 6/2/94

28. Provide the following information on base infrastructure capacity and load.

Table 28.1⁴

	On Base Capacity	Off base long term contract	Normal Steady State Load	Peak Demand
Electrical Supply (KWH)	40 MVA	YES	14,929 KW	25,676KW
Natural Gas (CFH)	20,000 CFH	YES	8,150 CFH	15,245 CFH
Sewage (GPD) ¹	13.5 NGPD	YES	1,136,841 GPD	1,890,000 GPD
Potable Water (GPD)	8.6 NGPD	YES	1,340,104 GPD	2,018,800 GPD
Steam (PSI & lbm/Hr)	120 PSI 270 KLBS/HR.	--	100 PSI 85 KLBS/HR.	183 KLB/HR.
Long Term Parking ²	476 SPACES	NONE	476 SPACES	476 SPACES
Short Term Parking ³	11,687 SPACES	NONE	11,687 SPACES	11,687 SPACES

SEWAGE CALCULATIONS:

NAB 751 3,300 GPM
 3879 4,300 GPM
 2115 600 GPM
 1518 1,150 GPM
 9,350 GPM = 13.5 MGPD

²Since NAVPHIBASE LCREEK does not have a parking survey, parking requirements were obtained by utilizing NAVFAC's P-80 criteria, and projected population counts.

³Since no base parking survey exists, parking calculations were determined from Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek General Development Map (Scale: 1" = 500') dated Sept 1991. Square footages were derived by utilizing the planimeter method.

⁴The "On Base Capacity" numbers for electricity, natural gas, sewage, and potable water indicate the capability of the base to handle these utilities, however all are generated or processed off base.

29. Provide the maintenance, repair, and equipment expenditure data indicated in the following table for FYs 1985 - 1997. One table is provided for each of twelve UICs.

Table 29.1

Activity: COMNAVSPECWARGRU TWO
UIC: 0031A

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1986	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1987	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1988	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1989	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1990	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1991	N/A	N/A	216K
FY1992	N/A	N/A	422K
FY1993	N/A	N/A	263K
FY1994	N/A	N/A	148K
FY1995	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1996	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1997	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹ **MRP: Maintenance of Real Property Dollars** is a budgetary term used to gather the expenses or budget requirements for facility work including recurring maintenance, major repairs, and minor construction (non-MILCON). It is the amount of funds spent on or budgeted for maintenance and repair of real property assets to maintain the facility in satisfactory operating condition.

² **CPV: Current Plant Value of Class 2 Real Property** is the hypothetical dollar amount to replace a Class 2 facility in kind with today's dollars. Example: the cost today to replace a wood frame barracks with a wood frame barracks.

³ **ACE: Acquisition Cost of Equipment** is the total cumulative acquisition cost of all "personal Property" equipment which includes the cost of installed equipment directly related to mission execution, such as lab test equipment. Class 2 installed capital equipment that is an integral part of the facility will not be reported as ACE.

Table 29.1

Activity: COMEODGRU TWO

UIC: 55322

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1986	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1987	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1988	N/A	N/A	45,000.00
FY1989	N/A	N/A	25,000.00
FY1990	N/A	N/A	25,000.00
FY1991	N/A	N/A	15,000.00
FY1992	N/A	N/A	25,000.00
FY1993	N/A	N/A	35,000.00
FY1994	N/A	N/A	20,000.00
FY1995	N/A	N/A	10,000.00
FY1996	N/A	N/A	10,000.00
FY1997	N/A	N/A	10,000.00

Table 29.1

Activity: PWC LCREEK SITE
00187

UIC:

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1986	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1987	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1988	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1989	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1990	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1991	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1992	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1993	1,733,805	27,700,773	0 *10 MONTHS
FY1994	3,488,600	28,254,788	33,000
FY1995	4,187,600	29,045,922	0
FY1996	2,712,000	29,888,254	0
FY1997	2,793,000	30,787,890	0

Table 29.1

Activity: SEAL DELIVERY VEHICLE TEAM TWO
 UIC: 08842

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1986	N/A	N/A	220K
FY1987	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1988	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1989	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1990	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1991	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1992	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1993	N/A	N/A	250,000
FY1994	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1995	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1996	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1997	N/A	N/A	N/A

Acquisition cost of equipment (ACE)

HP + LP AIR SYSTEMS

BLDG. 3806	ORIG. INSTALL PRIOR TO 1985	U/K
	NEW COMPRESSORS 1993	100K
BLDG. 3814	ORIG. INSTALL 1986	100K
	OS2 CLEAN ROOM 1986	100K

SECURITY SYSTEM INSTALL PRIOR TO 1985 15K

Table 29.1

Activity: BRMEDCLINIC LCREEK

UIC: 32529

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	1,215,385.00
FY1986	N/A	N/A	1,294,306.00
FY1987	N/A	N/A	1,341,659.00
FY1988	N/A	N/A	1,389,011.00
FY1989	N/A	N/A	1,420,580.00
FY1990	N/A	N/A	1,452,148.00
FY1991	N/A	N/A	1,483,717.00
FY1992	N/A	N/A	1,515,285.00
FY1993	N/A	N/A	1,531,069.00
FY1994	N/A	N/A	1,578,422.00
FY1995	N/A	N/A	1,609,990.00
FY1996	N/A	N/A	1,657,343.00
FY1997	N/A	N/A	1,688,911.00

Table 29.1

Activity: IUSS OPS SUPPORT CENTER

UIC: 46063

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	0.75M
FY1986	N/A	N/A	0.8M
FY1987	N/A	N/A	0.85M
FY1988	N/A	N/A	0.89M
FY1989	N/A	N/A	0.94M
FY1990	N/A	N/A	0.98M
FY1991	N/A	N/A	1.1M
FY1992	N/A	N/A	1.25M
FY1993	25K	N/A	3.375M
FY1994	25K	N/A	3.5M
FY1995	25K	N/A	4.0M
FY1996	25K	N/A	4.25M
FY1997	50K	N/A	4.5M

Table 29.1

Activity: TAGOS SUPPORT UNIT

UIC: 46077

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	0
FY1986	N/A	N/A	25,000
FY1987	N/A	N/A	100,000
FY1988	N/A	N/A	150,000
FY1989	N/A	N/A	200,000
FY1990	N/A	N/A	250,000
FY1991	N/A	N/A	300,000
FY1992	N/A	N/A	350,000
FY1993	N/A	N/A	400,000
FY1994	N/A	N/A	500,000
FY1995	N/A	N/A	500,000
FY1996	N/A	N/A	500,000
FY1997	N/A	N/A	500,000

Table 29.1

Activity: SEAL TEAM EIGHT

UIC: 46985

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1986	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1987	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1988	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1989	N/A	N/A	200,000
FY1990	N/A	N/A	211,000
FY1991	N/A	N/A	330,000
FY1992	N/A	N/A	165,000
FY1993	931.75	N/A	1,196,000
FY1994	9,000.00	N/A	112,000
FY1995	9,000.00	N/A	116,000
FY1996	9,000.00	N/A	116,000
FY1997	9,000.00	N/A	116,000

Table 29.1

Activity: ACU FOUR

UIC: 47106

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1986	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1987	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1988	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1989	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1990	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1991	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1992	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1993	38,982.00	N/A	45,556.00
FY1994	25,000.00	N/A	9,531.00
FY1995	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1996	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1997	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 29.1

Activity: FLETACREADGRU

UIC: 55722

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1986	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1987	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1988	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1989	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1990	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1991	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1992	N/A	N/A	65,943
FY1993	N/A	N/A	48,924
FY1994	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1995	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1996	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1997	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 29.1

Activity: NAVPHIBASE LCREEK

UIC: 61414

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	10,155,285	514,536,817	N/A
FY1986	8,941,403	539,272,744	N/A
FY1987	9,211,544	542,244,085	N/A
FY1988	8,525,932	589,492,830	N/A
FY1989	10,428,569	616,686,120	67,304
FY1990	9,740,187	639,501,596	208,443
FY1991	11,553,413	656,739,966	24,500
FY1992	10,372,742	671,364,205	55,263
FY1993	9,489,628	596,500,696	18,000
FY1994	8,057,708	611,055,313	157,000
FY1995	6,046,000	628,164,862	248,465
FY1996	6,040,000	646,381,643	362,722
FY1997	6,034,000	665,837,730	271,328

Table 29.1

Activity: SEAL TEAM TWO

UIC: 08943

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1986	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1987	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1988	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1989	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1990	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1991	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1992	N/A	N/A	N/A
FY1993	35,612	N/A	N/A
FY1994	85,746	N/A	555,000
FY1995	55,000	N/A	575,000
FY1996	60,000	N/A	605,000
FY1997	63,000	N/A	635,000

R

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

Table 29.1 R

Activity: ACU-2

UIC: 53210/42056

Fiscal Year	MRP (\$) ¹	CPV (\$) ²	ACE (\$) ³
FY1985	N/A	N/A	148,410
FY1986	N/A	N/A	195,364
FY1987	N/A	N/A	242,318
FY1988	N/A	N/A	298,272
FY1989	N/A	N/A	336,227
FY1990	N/A	N/A	383,181
FY1991	N/A	N/A	430,135
FY1992	N/A	N/A	380,575
FY1993	N/A	N/A	524,044
FY1994	N/A	N/A	570,998
FY1995	N/A	N/A	617,952
FY1996	N/A	N/A	664,907
FY1997	N/A	N/A	711,861

30. **Real Estate Resources.** Identify in the table below the real estate resources which have the potential to facilitate future development and for which you are the plant account holder or into which, though a tenant, your activity could reasonably expect to expand. Complete a separate table for each individual site, i.e., main base, outlying airfields, special off-site areas, etc. The unit of measure is acres. Developed area is defined as land currently with buildings, roads, and utilities where further development is not possible without demolition of existing improvements. Include in "Restricted" areas that are restricted for future development due to environmental constraints (e.g. wetlands, landfills, archaeological sites), operational restrictions (e.g. ESQD arcs, HERO, HERP, HERF, AICUZ, ranges) or cultural resources restrictions. Identify the reason for the restriction when providing the acreage in the table. Specify any entry in "Other" (e.g. submerged lands). **One table for each of NAVPHIBASE Little Creek's four areas is provided.**

Table 30.1: Real Estate Resources

Site Location: NAVPHIBASE LCREEK

Land Use	Total Acres	Developed Acreage	Available for Development	
			Restricted	Unrestricted
Maintenance	42.99	29.22	----	13.77
Operational	520.55	397.16	83.22 ¹	40.17
Training	338.90	20.21	20.21 ^{2,3}	----
R & D	----	----	----	----
Supply & Storage	10.90	10.90	----	----
Admin	103.02	35.87	----	67.15
Housing	201.16	201.16	----	----
Recreational	252.53	244.36	8.17 ³	----
Navy Forestry Program	----	----	----	----
Navy Agricultural Outlease Program	----	----	----	----
Hunting/Fishing Programs	⁴	----	----	----
PERSONNEL SUPPORT ⁵	206.04	177.06	3.16 Ac	25.82 Ac
WETLANDS ⁶	163.50	----	163.50 Ac	----

Land Use	Total Acres	Developed Acreage	Available for Development	
			Restricted	Unrestricted
LANDFILL ³	30.00	----	30.00 Ac	----
ESQD ⁷	30.99	----	30.99 Ac	----
MISCELLANEO US AREAS ^{5,8,9}	313.82	----	----	----
Total:	2,214.40	1,115.94	339.25	146.91

¹Approximately 24.11 acres, which includes road, buildings and piers 1 through 8, are constrained by Norfolk International Runway R/W No. 23 Type III approach zone. As a result, planning initiatives are underway to eliminate existing habitual buildings within this zone. Ships berthed at piers 1-8 are constrained by a mast height restriction ranging from 110 at pier 1 East to 90' at pier 8 West. Normally only barges and other low craft are berthed at these piers. Long range plans are to develop this land for long term parking.

Approximately 59.11 acres are constrained by a 1250' Explosive Safety Quantity Distance (ESQD) Arc. CNO Waiver No. W1D/75, expiration date 30 Sep 1995, is currently in effect to handle Class I ammunition at piers 11-21, piers 56-59 and the quaywall. Land encumbered by an ESQD Arc is not suitable for habitual buildings.

²Approximately 12.91 acres of beach training area is constrained by NAVPHIBASE's existing Rifle/Pistol range. FY91 Military Construction (MILCON) indoor range at another location on base, and is expected to be operational by Jun 1994. Once the new indoor range is operational, the existing ranges will be in an inactive status until an approved closure plan can be developed by Navy. In addition, approximately 11.48 acres of available land for training development will be constrained by a 1250' Explosive Safety Quantity Distance (ESQD) Arc.

³Installation Restoration (IR) Site No. 7 (30 Acres) consists of a former landfill in use up to 1979. IR Site No. 8 (2 Acres) is located in a wetland area and was formerly used for deposition of inert building demolition debris. IR Site No. 9 (6 Acres) is currently used as a Golf Driving Range. IR Site No. 10 currently encompasses 2 softball fields (3.21 Acres) and a restricted training area at 7.30 Acres. All sites are currently active in the IR Program and are currently being studied. Final decision concerning remediation requirements have not been made. Therefore, remediation cost at this time cannot be estimated. These former landfill must be considered restricted. Future usage of these sites may be considered in the future based on continuing studies and may require additional investigations based on the desired future use.

⁴Includes Lake Bradford, Chubb Lake, Lake Varian, and Golf Course lakes 1, 2, 3 & 4 (111.62 acres). These areas, included in wetland acreage, are the only authorized fishing areas at NAVPHIBASE LCREEK. Source of authorized fishing areas is NAVPHIBASELCREEKINST 10570.1G of 14 Jan 94. There is no hunting authorized at NAVPHIBASE LCREEK.

⁵Approximately 3.16 acres of beach personnel support is constrained by NAVPHIBASE's existing Rifle/Pistol Range. Once the new indoor range is constructed under MILCON Project P-618, the existing ranges will be in an inactive status until an approved closure plan can be developed by the Navy.

⁶The total wetlands at NAVPHIBASE LCREEK (approximately 632.5 acres) consists of Little Creek Harbor, Little Creek Cove and Desert Cove (469 acres covers one body of water), and 163.50 acres dispersed throughout the base at various locations. Further development of these wetlands will be dependent upon the ability to receive permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission for planned development activities. Source of information was obtained from a 1993 Wetland Study by Atlantic Division of Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

⁷Approximately 30.99 acres are constrained by a 1250' Explosive Safety Quantity Distance (ESQD) Arc generated by NAVPHIBASE's Ordnance Storage Facilities. Land encumbered by an ESQD Arc is not suitable for habitual buildings.

⁸Approximately 313.82 acres encompasses Little Creek Cove, Desert Cove and the Little Creek Channel and is not developable.

⁹Medical, Dental, & Chapel facilities are included in the "other" category.

Table 30.1: Real Estate Resources

Site Location: (EA) CAMP PENDLETON, VA.BEACH

Land Use	Total Acres	Developed Acreage	Available for Development	
			Restricted	Unrestricted
Maintenance	----	----	----	----
Operational	----	----	----	----
Training	358.32	----	196.08 Ac ^{1,2}	----
R & D	----	----	----	----
Supply & Storage	----	----	----	----
Admin	----	----	----	----
Housing	----	----	----	----
Recreational	----	----	---- ³	----
Navy Forestry Program	----	----	----	----
Navy Agricultural Outlease Program	----	----	----	----
Hunting/Fishing Programs	200 ³	----	----	----
Other Wetlands ⁴	248.15	-----	248.15 Ac	----
Total:	358.32	----	198.06 Ac	----

¹Within A Range: Approximately 2.20 acres are constrained by the existing Virginia National Guard Small Arms Range.

²Within An Herp Arc: There is a potential for approximately 193.88 acres to be constrained by a Hazard Electromagnetic Radiation to Personnel (HERP) arc. The MACS-24 trains Marine Corps reserve personnel for tactical air detection, surveillance, and control of aircraft and surface-to-air missiles. The MACS-24 radar normally operates in a sweepmode and does not create a HERP; however, mechanical failure or human error could create an instantaneous hazard of 1,640 feet (1,880' for cardiac pacemakers).

³This total is an approximation of the amount of acreage utilized at Camp Pendleton for hunting on a limited basis. The amount of acreage varies dependent upon particular training exercises and development at the site. There is no fishing authorized at Camp Pendleton.

⁴The wetlands at the site are currently used for training by various commands at NAVPHIBASE LCREEK. Further development of these wetlands will be dependent upon the ability to receive permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission for planned development activities. Source of information was obtained from a 1993 Wetland Study by Atlantic, Division of Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

Table 30.1: Real Estate Resources

Site Location: (AD) BLOODSWORTH ISLAND

Land Use	Total Acres	Developed Acreage	Available for Development	
			Restricted	Unrestricted
Maintenance	----	----	----	----
Operational	6013.02	----	6013.02 ¹	----
Training	----	----	----	----
R & D	----	----	----	----
Supply & Storage	----	----	----	----
Admin	----	----	----	----
Housing	----	----	----	----
Recreational	----	----	----	----
Navy Forestry Program	----	----	----	----
Navy Agricultural Outlease Program	----	----	----	----
Hunting/Fishing Programs	----	----	----	----
TOTAL:	6013.02	----	6013.02	----

¹The wetlands at the Bloodsworth Island (approximately 6,013.02 acres) are currently utilized as a bombing range for training by various Department of Defense (DOD) commands. Any development of these wetlands will be dependent upon the ability to receive permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission for planned development activities. Source of information was obtained from a 1993 Wetland Study by Atlantic, Division of Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

Table 30.1: Real Estate Resources

Site Location: (AE) RADIO ISLAND

Land Use	Total Acres	Developed Acreage	Available for Development	
			Restricted	Unrestricted
Maintenance	----	----	----	----
Operational	21.18	21.18	----	----
Training	----	----	----	----
R & D	----	----	----	----
Supply & Storage	----	----	----	----
Admin	----	----	----	----
Housing	----	----	----	----
Recreational	----	----	----	----
Navy Forestry Program	----	----	----	----
Navy Agricultural Outlease Program	----	----	----	----
Hunting/Fishing Programs	----	----	----	----
Total:	21.18	21.18	----	----

Weapons and Munitions Capacity

31. Does your activity performs any stowage or maintenance on any of the following ordnance commodities types: (Y/N) **YES**

(If YES, answer the question 31.a through 31.d; if NO skip to question 32)

ORDNANCE COMMODITY TYPES		
Mines	Expendables	LOE: Rockets
Torpedoes	INERT	LOE: Bombs
Air Launched Threat	CADS/PADS	LOE: Gun Ammo (20mm-16"
Surface Launched Threat	Strategic Nuclear	LOE: Small Arms (up to 50 cal)
Other Threat	Tactical Nuclear	LOE: Pyro/Demo
		Grenades/Mortars/Projectiles

31. Ordnance Stowage and Support

31.a Provide present and predicted inventories (coordinate with inventory control manager) and maximum rated capability of all stowage facilities at each weapons storage location controlled by this activity. In predicting the out year facility utilization, distribute overall ordnance compliment to the most likely configuration. The maximum rated capability is also an out year projection taking into account any known or programmed upgrades that may increase current stowage capacity. When listing stowage facilities, group by location, i.e. main base, outlying field, special area.

Table 31.1: Total Facility Ordnance Stowage Summary

Facility Number	PRESENT INVENTORY		PREDICTED INVENTORY FY 2001		MAXIMUM RATED CAPABILITY	
	TONS	SQ FT	TONS	SQ FT	TONS	SQ FT
MAG1	44.5	1000	50	1000	500	1000
MAG2	.125	48	.125	48	.5	48
MAG3	.25	48	.5	48	.5	48
MAG4	.25	48	.5	48	.5	48
MAG5	20	1000	23	1000	15	1000
MAG6	19	1000	23	1000	15	1000
MAG7	4	1000	20	1000	15	1000
MAG8	34	1000	45	1000	500	1000
MAG9	.5	48	1	48	.5	48
MAG10	.5	48	1	48	.5	48
MAG11	.25	48	.5	48	.5	48
MAG12	24	1000	30	1000	500	1000
MAG13	49	1000	55	1000	250	1000
MAG14	22	1000	50	1000	250	1000
MAG15	.06	179	.125	179	.143	175
MAG18	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY
TOTAL	218.435	8467	299.75	8467	2048.14	8467

31.b For each Stowage facility identified in question 31.a above, identify the type of facility (specify if "igloo", "box", etc.). Identify the type of ordnance commodity (from the list above) which are currently stowed in that facility and all other ordnance types which, given existing restrictions, could be physically accommodated in that stowage facility. Specify below if such additional accommodation would require a modification of the facility (e.g. enhanced environmental controls, ESQD waiver).

Identify the reason(s) for which this ordnance is stored at your facility from the following list: own activity use (training); own activity use (operational stock); Receipt/Segregation/Stowage/Issue (RSSI); transshipment/awaiting issue; deep stow (war reserve); deep stow (awaiting Demil); other. Explain each "other" entry in the space provided, including ordnance stowed which is not a DON asset.

Table 31.2: Total Facility Ordnance Stowage Summary

Facility Number/Type	Currently Stowed Commodity Type(s)	Reason for Stowage at your Activity	Commodity Type(s) Which Can Be Stowed
MAG1 IGLOO	1.4GS GUN AMMO/PYRO	RSSI	1.4GS PYRO GUN AMMO
MAG2 IGLOO	1.3C GUN AMMO	RSSI	1.3CS GUN AMMO
MAG3 IGLOO	1.1F GRENADE	RSSI	1.1FS GRENADES GUN AMMO
MAG4 IGLOO	GRENADE	RSSI	1.1FS GRENADES GUN AMMO
MAG5 IGLOO	1.1CDE DEMO/GUN AMMO	RSSI	1.1CDES DEMO GUN AMMO
MAG6 IGLOO	1.1CDE DEMO/GUN AMMO	RSSI	1.1CDES DEMO GUN AMMO
MAG7 IGLOO	1.1CDE DEMO/GUN AMMO	RSSI	1.1CDES DEMO GUN AMMO
MAG8 IGLOO	1.4CS GUN AMMO	RSSI	1.4CS GUN AMMO
MAG9 IGLOO	GRENADES	RSSI	1.2GS GRENADES GUN AMMO
MAG10 IGLOO	PYRO	RSSI	1.2BS PYRO GUN AMMO
MAG11 IGLOO	GUN AMMO	RSSI	1.2GS GUN AMMO
MAG12 IGLOO	DCMO GUN AMMO 1.2C.,SDE	RSSI	DEMO GUN AMMO 1.2 CDES

Naval Station Capacity Analysis Data Call

UIC: 61414

MAG13 IGLOO	DEMO GUN AMMO 1.2C.,SDE	RSSI	DEMO GUN AMMO 1.2 CDES
MAG14 IGLOO	PYRO/GUN AMMO 1.2G	RSSI	PYRO/GUN AMMO 1.2GS
MAG15 BOX	DEMO/GUN AMMO 1.1B	RSSI	DEMO/GUN AMMO 1.1BS
MAG18 BOX	EMPTY	EMPTY	EMPTY

Additional comments:

31.c Identify the rated category, rated NEW and status of ESQD arc for each stowage facility listed above.

Table 31.3: Facility Rated Status

Facility Number / Type	Hazard Rating (1.1-1.4)	Rated NEW	ESQD Arc		
			Established (Y / N)	Waiver (Y / N)	Waiver Expiration Date
MAG1	1.4	1,000,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG2	1.3	1,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG3	1.1	1,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG4	1.1	1,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG5	1.1	30,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG6	1.1	30,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG7	1.1	30,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG8	1.4	1,000,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG9	1.2	1,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG10	1.2	1,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG11	1.2	1,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG12	1.3	1,000,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG13	1.2	500,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG14	1.2	500,000	Y	N	N/A
MAG15	1.1	300	Y	N	N/A
MAG18	NOT USED				

31.d Identify any restrictions which prevent maximum utilization of your facilities. If restrictions are based on facility conditions, specify reason, the cost to correct the deficiency, and identify any programmed projects that will correct the deficiency and/or increase your capability.

None, unless the number of homeported ships at NAVPHIBASE LCREEK is increased. However, additional magazines cannot be added to the magazine compound due to E.S.Q.D. requirements.

31.e Identify if your activity performs any of the following functions on any of the ordnance commodities previously listed. Technical support includes planning, financial, administrative, process engineering and SOP support. Within each related function identify each ordnance commodity type for which you provide these services and the total Direct Labor Man Hours (DLMHs) expended (FY 1994); identify only those DLMHs expended by personnel under your command.

Table 31.5: Related Ordnance Support

Related Functions	Performed? (Y / N)	Type of Commodity	DLMHs
Maintenance (specify level)	N	-----	-----
Testing	N	-----	-----
Manufacturing	N	-----	-----
Outload	Yes	Gun Ammunition Small Arms Pyro Demo Grenades Mortars	1,160
Technical Support	N	-----	-----

32. Do you have the ability to operate and maintain naval aircraft? (Y/N) Yes--Landing Zone Green, Landing/Takeoff Only. Temporary PAD.

(If YES, answer questions 33 through 48: if NO data call is complete.)

33a. For the main airfield and each auxiliary airfield, answer the following questions:

Airfield Name _____

For each runway, give its designation, length, width, load capacity, lighting configurations, and arresting gear types. For each runway list any approach obstructions or any restrictions on flight patterns.

Table 33.1

Runway	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Max load	Lighting				Arresting Gear Type(s)
				F	P	C	N	
Helipad	96	96			X ¹			

F -- Full lighting (runway edge, center, and threshold)

P -- Partial lighting (less than full)

C -- Carrier deck lighting simulated

N -- No lighting

¹Aircraft warning lights on 3 telephone poles along adjacent roadway

33.b. Provide the composition (concrete, asphalt) and load bearing capacity of your aprons, ramps and taxiway.

Table 33.2

Apron/ramp/taxiway Location - ID	SF	Comp.	Load Bearing Capacity	Comments
Helipad	9216	Concrete	4000 PSI	

Note 1: Type AM-2 matting VTOL site 2-4

33.c. Do you have high speed taxiways? Discuss number and impact on airfield operations.
No

33.d. Are all runways with approved instrument approaches served by hi-speed taxiways?
No runways

33.e. List any restrictions to runways with approach obstructions or any restrictions on flight patterns. Explain No runways

33.f. For the main airfield and each auxiliary and outlying field, discuss any runway design features that are specific to particular types of aircraft (i.e., are the airfield facilities designated primarily fixed wing jet, prop, or helo aircraft?) No runways

34.a. List the number of flight operations (take-off, landing, or approach without landing) that the main airfield and all auxiliary fields can support on an hourly basis in both VMC and IMC. Comment on the factors at each field that limit this capacity (e.g., taxiway/runway limitations, airspace, ATC restrictions, environmental restrictions).

Table 34.1

Airfield	# Flight Ops/Hr		Comments on Limiting Factors
	IMC	VMC	
Main	None		
Auxiliary			
Auxiliary			
Auxiliary			

34.b. Provide the average number of (historical) flight operations per month conducted at this station and the total number of days during which these operations were conducted. If data is not normally recorded, include estimates (and how derived). A flight operation is defined as a take-off, landing, or approach without a landing.

Table 34.2

FY	Main Airfield		Auxiliary Field		Auxiliary Field		Auxiliary Field	
	# Ops	# Days	# Ops	# Days	# Ops.	# Days	# Ops.	# Days
1991	None							
1992								
1993								

34.c.What percent of your flight operations are **Fleet Carrier Landing Practices (FCLPs)**?

None

34.d.Are you designated as an **authorized divert field** for any non-DoD aircraft? **Explain.**

None

34.e.Is your airfield designated as a **joint use airfield** (i.e. civilian/military)? **Explain.**

No

34.f.What percentage of total operations are civilian?

None

34.g.Describe the major **civilian air traffic structures** (routes, terminal control areas, approaches, etc.) discuss the present and likely future impact of each on air station operations. Not applicable, no structures

34.h.Are there any **air traffic control constraints/procedures** that currently, or may in the future, **limit air station operations**? If yes, **fully explain impact.**

None

35. List all NAVAIDS with published approaches that support the main airfield and/or your auxiliary airfields. Note any additions/upgrades to be added between now and FY1997.

Table 35.1

NAVAID	DESCRIPTION/LOCATION
None	

36.a. List all active duty Navy/USMC squadrons/detachments and the number of aircraft by type, model, and series (T/M/S), that will be permanently stationed/are scheduled to be stationed at this air station at the end of the indicated fiscal years.

Table 36.1

Squadron/Det	# of Aircraft (PAA)	Aircraft (T/M/S)	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1997	FY 1999	FY 2001
None							

36.b. Summarize average visiting squadron/det loading on air station operations (i.e. airwing/wing weapons deployment).

Table 36.2

Squadron/Det Size (#A/C)	Apron Space Used	Hangar Space Assigned	Maintenance Support	Ave length of stay
None				

36.c. If a major percent of flight operations at your air station is from other than permanently stationed squadron/detachments, provide explanation. None

37.a. List all reserve Navy/USMC squadrons/detachments and the number of aircraft by type, model, and series (T/M/S), which will be stationed/are scheduled to be stationed at this air station at the end of the indicated fiscal years.

Table 37.1

Squadron/Det	# of Aircraft (PAA)	Aircraft (T/M/S)	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1997	FY 1999	FY 2001
None							

38. List all **Station aircraft** by number, type, model, and series (T/M/S), which will be parked or stationed/are scheduled to be stationed at this air station at the **end** of the indicated fiscal years.

Table 38.1

Squadron/ Custodian	# of Aircraft (PAA)	Aircraft (T/M/S)	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1997	FY 1999	FY 2001
None							

39. List all **DoD and non-DoD aircraft** not previously listed, by custodian, including number, type, model, and series (T/M/S) of aircraft, which will be parked or stationed/are scheduled to be stationed at this air station at the **end** of the indicated fiscal years.

Table 39.1

Service/ Agency/ Custodian	# of Aircraft (PAA)	Aircraft (T/M/S)	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1997	FY 1999	FY 2001
None							

40.a. List other operational command or support units (i.e., air wing staffs, MWSG, MWSS, MACG, MASS, etc.) stationed at this installation. For each Unit, give the unit identification number/UIC, mission, and facilities required (currently being used) to support the unit (i.e. equipment parking - 2500 SF; maintenance shop-200 SF; etc.).

Table 40.1

Support Unit Identification/ UIC	Mission	Facilities Required	Equipment Laydown Requirement (covered/ uncovered in SF)
None			

40.b. Due to BRAC or other realignments, what increases/decreases in operational command or support units will occur at your installation. Provide expected gains/losses by year through 2001.

No increases or decreases are expected to affect helicopter operations.

41.a.List all other USN/USNR, USMC/USMCR, and other DoD or non-DoD active and SELRES units not listed previously, that are scheduled to be stationed at this air station at the end of the indicated fiscal years.

Table 41.1

Unit	Active or Reserve	FY 1994	FY 1995	FY 1997	FY 1999	FY 2001
None						

42.b. For each **Special Use Airspace (SUA)** or airspace-for-special use routinely used by squadrons/units assigned to your installation (regardless of location¹), indicate how many hours per year are **required** for each user to maintain required readiness. Special Use Airspace includes alert areas, military operating areas (MOA), restricted areas, and warning areas which are used for air-to-air, air-to-ground, electronic (EW, ECM), low level training routes (MTRs), and other training.

¹ include RON/domestic deployment training

Table 42.1

SUA	Location/ Distance	Types/Uses	Scheduling Authority (UIC)	Squadron/Unit	Training Requirement (types of training)	Yearly Usage Rate (Hrs)
None						

Remarks:

42c. For each **Special Use Airspace (SUA)** or **airspace-for-special-use** complete the following table:

Table 42.2

SUA	Location/ Distance	Types/Uses	Scheduling Authority (UIC)	Fiscal Year	Scheduled	Utilized ¹	Operating Limitations ²
					# Hours	# Hours	
None				1991			
				1992			
				1993			
				1991			
				1992			
				1993			
				1991			
				1992			
				1993			

¹ For the "Utilized" values, provide reasons for hours scheduled, but not utilized (e.g. 40% cancelled due to weather; 10% cancelled for unscheduled range maintenance, etc.).

² Provide any comments on operating limitations.

42d. Assuming that the flight training facility is **not constrained by operational funding** (personnel support, increased overhead costs, etc.), with the present equipment, physical plant, etc. , what **additional use of airspace assets** could be realized? Provide details and assumptions for all calculations. No airspace assets.

42h. In the event that it became necessary to increase base loading at your installation, does the **airspace** overlying and adjacent to your installation have the **capacity** to assume an additional workload? Estimate the percentage of the possible increase. Provide the basis/calculations for these estimates. No airspace assets.

43.a. Using the types (and mix) of aircraft currently stationed at your installation, project the additional number of these aircraft (maintain approximate current mix/ratio of A/C) that could be based and parked on your **current parking aprons**.

Provide two estimates:

1. Using NAVFAC P-80 standard measures
2. Using real world planning factors to accommodate a surge demand for space (maintaining safe operating procedures).

Table 43.1

Aircraft Type	Current # of Aircraft Parked/Stationed	Maximum Additional Capacity (# of Aircraft)		Total	
		NAVFAC	Surge	NAVFAC	Surge
None					

Provide the **details of your calculations**, including your assumptions on the minimum separation between aircraft, parking angle, folding of aircraft wings and any obstructions that may limit the placement of aircraft on the parking apron spaces. Indicate if taxiway aprons are used in the projection.

44.a. List the hangars at the air station. Identify by (P-80) type, year built, dimensions.

Table 44.1

Hangar ID/#	Type I, II or (O)ther	Year Built	Hangar Deck Dimensions	Limiting Height	Current Usage	In SF			
						Adequate	Substandard	Inadequate	Total
None									

In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified describe why the facility is inadequate; indicate how it is being used and list other possible uses; and specify the costs to remove the deficiencies that make it inadequate. Indicate current plans to remove these deficiencies and the amount of any programmed funds. Discuss any material conditions of substandard facilities which have resulted in a C3 or C4 designation on your Baserep.

44.b. For each hangar provide space allocation information listed in table below. Indicate if OPS/ADMIN space is in a non-contiguous building. Provide subtotal for each hangar.

Table 44.2

Hangar #/ID/Type	SQD/Mod# Assignment ¹	Ops + Admin Spaces SF/ Module	Maint Shops SF/ Module (O Level)	Hangar Deck SF/Module	A/C Line parking spaces ^{2,3}		
					#/ Module	SF	Elec. Pwr.
None							
TOTAL							

¹Provide which SQD/Det was assigned to the specific module at receipt of this Data Call. (i.e., VFA-15, Hgr 1, Mod C)

²Dedicated aircraft parking spaces per Module and total square feet (SF) of A/C line parking spaces

³ Are there A/C line parking spaces supported by permanently installed electric power? (Y/N)

45.a. List all **squadrons/detachments** normally homeported at this air station that were deployed and **not assigned** hangar/maintenance spaces at receipt of this data call.

Table 45.1

Squadron/Detachment	#/Type Aircraft	Deployed Location
None		

45.b. List all **squadrons/detachments** normally homeported at this air station that were deployed and **were assigned** hangar/maintenance spaces at receipt of this data call.

Table 45.2

Squadron/Detachment	#/Type Aircraft	Hanger Module Assignment
None		

46.a. Using the types (and mix) of aircraft currently stationed at your installation, project the maximum additional number of these aircraft (maintain approximate current mix/ratio of A/C) that could be housed and maintained in your current hangars. Provide two estimates:

1. Using NAVFAC P-80 standard measures
2. Using real world planning factors to accommodate a surge demand for space (maintaining safe operating procedures).

Table 46.1

Aircraft Type	Current # of Aircraft Parked/Stationed	Maximum Additional Capacity (# of Aircraft)		Total (Current + Additional)	
		NAVFAC	Surge	NAVFAC	Surge
None					

Provide the details of your calculations, including your assumptions on the minimum separation between aircraft, folding of aircraft wings and any obstructions that may limit the placement of aircraft in the hangars.

47. Do you have any of the following special use facilities at the Air Station? NO

Table 47.1

CCN	Type of Facility	In SF				# of Units	Year Built
		Adequate	Substandard	Inadequate	Total		
211-01	Aircraft Acoustical Enclosure						
211-02	Nose Hangar						
211-03	Corrosion Control Hangar						
211-75	Parachute/Survival Equipment Shop						
211-81	Engine Test Cell						
211-88	Power Check Pad with Sound Suppression						
211-89	Power Check Pad without Sound Suppression						
211-96	Maintenance, Aircraft Spares Storage						
116-10	Airfield Washrack Pavement						
116-15	Aircraft Rinse Facility						
214-30	Refueling Vehicle Shop						
218-60	Aircraft Ground Support Equipment						
	Other						

In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified describe why the facility is inadequate; indicate how it is being used and list other possible uses; and specify the costs to remove the deficiencies that make it inadequate. Indicate current plans to remove these deficiencies and the amount of any programmed funds. Discuss any material conditions of substandard facilities which have resulted in a C3 or C4 designation on your Baserep.

48.a. For the following aircraft support facility category codes, provide the amount of adequate substandard, and inadequate facilities.

Table 48.1

CCN	Facility Type	Unit of Measure	Adequate	Substandard	Inadequate	Total	Number of Units
111-20	Landing Pads	SF	9216			1	1
121-10	Direct Fueling	OL/GM					
124-30	Fuel Storage	GA					
421-xx	Ammunition Storage	CF/TONS					
425-xx	Open Ammunition Storage	SF					
113-20	Parking Aprons	SF					
113-40	Access Aprons	SF					
116-56	Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Area	SF					
	Other						

In accordance with NAVFACINST 11010.44E, an inadequate facility cannot be made adequate for its present use through "economically justifiable means". For all the categories above where inadequate facilities are identified describe why the facility is inadequate; indicate how it is being used and list other possible uses; and specify the costs to remove the deficiencies that make it inadequate. Indicate current plans to remove these deficiencies and the amount of any programmed funds. Discuss any material conditions of substandard facilities which have resulted in a C3 or C4 designation on your Baserep.

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC N61414
DATA CALL SIX

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title


Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

CAPT R. P. CONRAD
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Acting

5-27-94
Date


Title Commander
Naval Shore Activities
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

H. H. MAUZ, JR.
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Admiral

5/27/94
Date


Title Commander in Chief
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

J. B. Greene, Jr
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Acting
Title

2 Jun 1994
Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."


The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

JOHN E. O'NEIL, CAPTAIN, USN
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Commanding Officer
Title

4 MAY 1994
Date

Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek
Activity

NAVPHIBASE Little Creek N61414
Data Call 6, Revised pages 36,37

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

J. W. CRAINE, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

J. W. Craine Jr

Signature

Captain

Title Commander

8/31/94

Date

Naval Shore Activities
U. S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

H. H. MAUZ, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

H. H. Mauz Jr

Signature

Admiral

Title Commander in Chief
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

9/1/94

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

**DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)**

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type or print)

W. A. Earner

Signature

Title

7/12/94

Date

35

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC N61414
DATA CALL SIX REVISED PGS 2,3,4, ~~COPIES OF REFERENCED MATERIALS~~

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

J. W. CRAINE, JR.
NAME (Please type or print)

J. W. Craine Jr.
Signature

Captain
Title Commander

8/15/94
Date

Naval Shore Activities
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

RADM H. W. GEHMAN, JR.
NAME (Please type or print)

H. W. Gehman Jr.
Signature

Acting
Title Commander in Chief

18 AUG 1994
Date

U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

J. B. GREENE, JR.
NAME (Please type or print)

J. B. Greene Jr.
Signature

ACTING

18 AUG 1994
Date

Title

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK
DATA CALL SIX REVISED PAGES 35A, 36A, 44, 45, 53, 55, 56, 58, 86

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

_____ NAME (Please type or print)	_____ Signature
_____ Title	_____ Date
_____ Activity	


I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

_____ CDR R.C. PARSONS NAME (Please type or print)	_____  Signature
_____ Acting Title Commander Naval Shore Activities U.S. Atlantic Fleet	_____ 9/25/94 Date
_____ Activity	


I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

_____ H. H. MAUZ, JR. NAME (Please type or print)	_____  Signature
_____ Admiral Title Commander in Chief U.S. Atlantic Fleet	_____ 9/27/94 Date
_____ Activity	

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

_____ P.W. DRENDON NAME (Please type or print)	_____  Signature
_____ Acting Title	_____ 12 OCT 1994 Date

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC N61414
DATA CALL SIX REVISIONS

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

W. J. FLANAGAN, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)



Signature

Admiral

01 NOV 1994

Title Commander in Chief
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

**DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)**

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type or print)



Signature

Title

11/21/94

Date

25

**ENVIRONMENTAL DATA CALL:
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, LITTLE CREEK**

18 MAY 1994

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC: N61414

**BRAC 1995 ENVIRONMENTAL DATA CALL:
Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek**

INDEX

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS	2
ENDANGERED/THREATENED SPECIES AND BIOLOGICAL HABITAT	5
WETLANDS	7
CULTURAL RESOURCES	8
ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES	9
AIR POLLUTION	14
ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE	21
INSTALLATION RESTORATION	25
LAND/AIR/WATER USE	29
WRAP-UP	40

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA CALL

Responses to the following questions provide data that will allow an assessment of the potential environmental impact associated with the closure or realignment of a Navy shore activity. This criterion consists of:

- Endangered/Threatened Species and Biological Habitat
- Wetlands
- Cultural Resources
- Environmental Facilities
- Air Pollution
- Environmental Compliance
- Installation Restoration
- Land/Air/Water Use

As part of the answers to these questions, a *source citation* (e.g., 1993 base loading, 1993 base-wide Endangered Species Survey, 1993 letter from USFWS, 1993 Base Master Plan, 1993 Permit Application, 1993 PA/SI, etc.) must be included. It is probable that, at some point in the future, you will be asked to provide additional information detailing specifics of individual characteristics. In anticipation of this request, supporting documentation (e.g., maps, reports, letters, etc.) regarding answers to these questions should be retained. Information needed to answer these questions is available from the cognizant EFD Planning and Real Estate Divisions, and Environment, Safety, and Health Divisions; and from the activity Public Works Department, and activity Health Monitoring and Safety Offices.

For purposes of the questions associated with land use at your base is *defined as land* (acreage owned, withdrawn, leased, and controlled through easements); *air* (space controlled through agreements with the FAA, e.g., MOAs); and *water* (navigation channels and waters along a base shoreline) *under the control of the Navy*.

Provide a list of the tenant activities with UICs that are covered in this response.

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC: N61414

THE FOLLOWING TENANT ACTIVITIES ARE INCLUDED IN RESPONSE TO ALL QUESTIONS IN THIS DATA CALL EXCEPT QUESTION 6A. AND 6C.2:

UIC	TENANT
N00281	FCTCLANT VIRGINIA BEACH VA
N46094	NAVSECOORDTEAM WASHINGTON DC
M55209	4TH MARINE AMPHIBIOUS BRDG FMF
NXX911	SUPSHIPSDET LITTLE CREEK VA
NX1056	LCAC SQD, LITTLE CREEK VA
NX1286	PSAT (PHYSICAL SEC ACT TEAM)
N0022A	AFLOAT TRA GROUP ATLANTIC
N0031A	NAVSPECWARGRU 2 NORFOLK VA
N0245A	COM PHIBRON TEN
N0379A	TACGRU 2
N0618A	SCOLMUSIC LITTLE CREEK VA
N08842 ¹	SDVTEAM - TWO
N08943	SEAL TEAM-4
N09807	TACRON 21
N09812	TACRON 22
N14806	AFDL 6
N30121	UCT 1 LITTLE CREEK VA
N32732	SIMA LITTLE CREEK VA
N35044	NAVBRDENCL PHIBASE LCREEK
N35392	NAVY BAND FLTSUPPU NORFOLK VA
N41940	NAVSURFLNATREDSUPP NEUD COMP
N42055	BMU 2 SHORE COMP
N42056	ACU SHORE DUTY COMP
N43504	EODMOBUNIT2 VIRGINIA BEACH VA
N46063	SURTASS SPPT CEN LANT
N46094	NAVSECOORDTEAM WASHINGTON DC
N46985	SEAL TEAM 8 LITTLE CREEK VA
N47106	ASSAULT CRAFT UNIT 4 SHORE DET
N47649	READSUPPGRU NORVA TECH SUPUNT
N52738	COMSPECBOATRON TWO
N53863	COMSURFWARDEVGRU
N55105	PHIBCB 2 LITTLE CREEK VA
N55333	COM PHIB GR 2
N55421	COMSERV RON 8
N55496	MOBDIVSALU TWO
N55722	FLTACTREADSUPGRU

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC: N61414

N55778	SEAL TEAM 2
N57034	COM SECOND NCB LITTLE CREEK VA
N56067	COMNAV BEACH GRU 2
N62152	NEX LITTLE CREEK VA
N62896	INSURVLANT NORFOLK VA
N63021	NAVPHIBSCOL LITTLE CREEK VA
N63367 ¹	COMSYSTOREG NORFOLK VA
N67355	LNDG FORCE TRNG COMND ATL
N00187	PWC NORFOLK VA
N09806	VC 6 SHORE DUTY COMP
N43594	NAVSURFLANTTTDSMTT NORFOLK VA
N55322	EODGRU TWO
N62470	LANTNAVFACENGCOM NORFOLK VA
N62753	NAVDENCLINIC NORFOLK VA
N67558	NAVALREHCEN NORFOLK VA
N68547	PERSUPPACT NORFOLK VA
N68573	NEXCEN NORFOLK VA
N68722	NAVMEDCLINIC NORFOLK VA
N70272	NCTAMS LANT NORFOLK VA
N43504	EODMUBUNIT

¹Identifies disestablished activities

²Response to all questions except 6a. and 6c. fully account for the above listed tenants. Since tenants may have recurring and/or non-recurring environmental costs, and since these expenditures are not managed by NAVPHIBASE LCreek, these costs were not included. Question 6a. and 6c. includes all costs managed by the base civil engineering department, environmental quality division.

1. ENDANGERED/THREATENED SPECIES AND BIOLOGICAL HABITAT

1a. For federal or state listed endangered, threatened, or category 1 plant and/or animal species on your base, complete the following table. Critical/sensitive habitats for these species are designated by the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). A species is present on your base if some part of its life-cycle occurs on Navy controlled property (e.g., nesting, feeding, loafing). Important Habitat refers to that number of acres of habitat that is important to some life cycle stage of the threatened/endangered species that is not formally designated.

SPECIES (plant or animal)	Designation (Threatened/ Endangered)	Federal/ State	Critical / Designated Habitat (Acres)	Important Habitat (acres)
NONE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Source Citation: SEP 1990, Inventory of the rare, threatened and endangered species of Camp Pendleton, Division of Natural Heritage, VA.

SEP 1990, Inventory of the rare, threatened and endangered species of the Little Creek Naval Amphibious Base, Virginia Beach, VA, Division of Natural Heritage, VA.

1b.

Have your base operations or development plans been constrained due to: - USFWS or National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)? - State required modifications or constraints? If so, identify below the impact of the constraints including any restrictions on land use.	YES
Are there any requirements resulting from species not residing on base, but which migrate or are present nearby? If so, summarize the impact of such constraints.	YES

USFWS - A nesting colony of least terns, protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty act (enforced by the USFWS) and designated "recommended threatened" by the Commonwealth of VA, was found on the ACU FOUR training beaches in July 1990. It was recommended that training activity be halted during the 4-6 weeks when birds were fledglings and that access be limited. The birds left a few weeks later and training was resumed. Since that time, training has not been impacted.

Due to the proximity to the Chesapeake Bay, NAB Little Creek has the potential to have migratory turtles and sea mammals off shore. Semi-annual detonation testing occurring off Normandy Beach requires that the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) be consulted prior to this activity. A protection plan was developed in cooperation with nmfs to minimize impact of detonations on marine mammals, benthic organisms and schools of fish. As of this date, no training mission has ever been impacted by migratory turtles of sea mammals.

1c. If the area of the habitat and the associated species have not been identified on base maps provided in Data Call 1, submit this information on an updated version of Data Call 1 map.

N/A

1d.

Have any efforts been made to relocate any species and/or conduct any mitigation with regards to critical habitats or endangered/threatened species? Explain what has been done and why.	N/A
--	-----

1e.

Will any state or local laws and/or regulations applying to endangered/threatened species which have been enacted or promulgated but not yet effected, constrain base operations or development plans beyond those already identified? Explain.	NO
---	----

2. WETLANDS

Note: Jurisdictional wetlands are those areas that meet the wetland definitional criteria detailed in the Corps of Engineers (COE) Wetland Delineation Manual, 1987, Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterway Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS or officially adapted state definitions.

2a.

Does your base possess federal jurisdictional wetlands?	YES
Has a wetlands survey in accordance with established standards been conducted for your base?	YES
When was the survey conducted or when will it be conducted?	11 MAR 1991
What percent of the base has been surveyed?	100%
What is the total acreage of jurisdictional wetlands present on your base?	Little Creek - 163.5 acres Camp Pendleton - 248 acres Bloodworth Island - 6,013 acres

Source Citation: **GEONEX Wetlands Mapping 11 MAR 1991.**

2b. If the area of the wetlands has not been identified on base maps provided in Data Call 1, submit this on an updated version of Data Call 1 map.

N/A

2c. Has the EPA, COE, or a state wetland regulatory agency required you to modify or constrain base operations or development plans in any way in order to accommodate a jurisdictional wetland? YES If YES, summarize the results of such modifications or constraints.

P-991, Vehicle Repair Shop and Reserve Training Center for Fourth Marine Airwing at Camp Pendleton will impact 0.82 acres of non-tidal freshwater wetlands (as delineated by LANTDIV personnel).

P335, Proposed Training Facility for Naval Special Warfare Development Group will result in a loss of 2.4 acres of palusterine, forested and emergent herbaceous wetlands.

These impacts will be mitigated by restoring natural drainage through Lovett's Marsh and the restoration of a logging road and fill site to their original grades.

3. CULTURAL RESOURCES

3a.

<p>Has a survey been conducted to determine historic sites, structures, districts or archaeological resources which are listed, or determined eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places? If so, list the sites below.</p>	<p>YES</p>
---	-------------------

A survey has been conducted (Harp Plan, dtd Aug 1991), but no historic sites, structures, districts or archaeological resources eligible or listed were present on Little Creek. Additionally, no temporary WWII buildings erected between 1939 and 1945 need to be evaluated for the National Register or included in any further surveys of WWII structures. Further surveys are needed to evaluate all permanent, semi-permanent, and pre-1939 architectural resources which may be potentially eligible for listing on the National Register. Based on past discussion with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), however, there appears to be little historical value in the "generic" building constructed here during WWII.

3b.

<p>Has the President's Advisory Council on Historic Preservation or the cognizant State Historic Preservation Officer required you to mitigate or constrain base operations or development plans in any way in order to accommodate a National Register cultural resource? If YES, list the results of such modifications or constraints below.</p>	<p>NO</p>
---	------------------

3c.

<p>Are there any on base areas identified as sacred areas or burial sites by Native Americans or others? List below.</p>	<p>NO</p>
--	------------------

4. ENVIRONMENTAL FACILITIES

Notes: If your facility is permitted for less than maximum capacity, state the maximum capacity and explain below the associated table why it is not permitted for maximum capacity. Under "Permit Status" state when the permit expires, and whether the facility is operating under a waiver. For permit violations, limit the list to the last 5 years.

4a.

Does your base have an operating landfill?					NO
ID/Location of Landfill	Permitted Capacity (CYD)		Maximum Capacity (CYD)	Contents ¹	Permit Status
	TOTAL	Remaining			
N/A					

¹ Contents (e.g. building demolition, asbestos, sanitary debris, etc)

Are there any current or programmed projects to correct deficiencies or improve the facility.
N/A

4b. If there are any non-Navy users of the landfill, describe the user and conditions/agreements.

N/A

uv.

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC: N61414

Data Call 33

4c.

Does your base have any disposal, recycling, or incineration facilities for solid waste?					YES
Facility/Type of Operation	Permitted Capacity	Ave Daily Throughput	Maximum Capacity	Permit Status	Comments
Transfer Station	None	26 Tons	72 Tons daily	Part B Permit	This facility acts as a collection and transfer central point. All solid waste is transported from this station off-site.
Recycling Collection Center	N/A	5.8 Tons	N/A	No Permit	This facility only acts as a drop off/collection center and no recycling of solid waste occurs. All recyclable materials are sent off-site.

R

List any permit violations and projects to correct deficiencies or improve the facility.

None

4d.

Does your base own/operate a Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) ?					NO
ID/Location of WWTP	Permitted Capacity	Ave Daily Discharge Rate	Maximum Capacity	Permit Status	Level of Treatment/Year Built
N/A					

List permit violations and discuss any projects to correct deficiencies.

N/A

4c.

Does your base have any disposal, recycling, or incineration facilities for solid waste?					YES
Facility/Type of Operation	Permitted Capacity	Ave Daily Throughput	Maximum Capacity	Permit Status	Comments
Transfer Station	None	26 Tons	72 Tons daily	Part B Permit	This facility acts as a collection and transfer central point. All solid waste is transported from this station off-site.
Recycling Collection Center	N/A	4.8 Tons	N/A	No Permit	This facility only acts as a drop off/collection center and no recycling of solid waste occurs. All recyclable materials are sent off-site.

List any permit violations and projects to correct deficiencies or improve the facility.

None

4d.

Does your base own/operate a Domestic Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) ?					NO
ID/Location of WWTP	Permitted Capacity	Ave Daily Discharge Rate	Maximum Capacity	Permit Status	Level of Treatment/Year Built
N/A					

List permit violations and discuss any projects to correct deficiencies.

N/A

4e. If you do not have a domestic WWTP, describe the average discharge rate of your base to the local sanitary sewer authority, discharge limits set by the sanitary sewer authority (flow and pollutants) and whether the base is in compliance with their permit. Discuss recurring discharge violations.

NAB Little Creek has 5 pump stations (Buildings 5000, 2115, 3879, 1518, and 751) which discharge directly to the Chesapeake - Elizabeth Sewage Treatment Plant immediately adjacent to the Base. Pump Station 5000, which discharges between 150 to 200 KGPD, serves Base housing and is not regulated by Hampton Roads Sanitation District (HRSD).

The other four pump stations are regulated under HRSD Industrial Wastewater Permit Number 0102.

Parameter	751 Monthly Avg / Daily Max	3879 Monthly Avg / Daily Max	1518 Monthly Avg / Daily Max	2115 Month Avg / Daily max
Flow (GPD)	60,000	750,000	120,000	3,000
pH	> = 5	> = 5	> = 5	> = 5
Oil and Grease (mg/L) ¹	100 / 100	50 / 50	100 / 100 ¹	500 / 500
Copper (mg/L) ¹	2.00 / 5.00	0.80 / 1.60	2.00 / 5.00 ¹	10.0 / 25.0
Zinc (mg/L) ¹	N/A	0.85 / 1.69	2.00 / 5.00 ¹	10.0 / 25.0
Arsenic (mg/L)	0.100 / 0.100	N/A	N/A	N/A

¹Discharge Limits at Lift Station 1518 vary with flow. The limits 50/50 O & G, 1.00/2.5 copper, 1.00/2.5 zinc are our lowest possible limits. These limits take effect when the daily flow at 1518 is between 200,000 and 400,000 gallons. The limits indicated in the table are in effect for the given flow of 120,000 GPD.

NAB Little Creek is currently in compliance with permit 0102 at each pump station except Pump Station 1518. Pump Station 1518 serves the piers and pumps shipboard waste almost exclusively. This shipboard discharge has been the source of two recurring problems with discharge; copper violations and oil violations. A recently completed NAB Little Creek study of shipboard discharges found that corrosion of ship's piping is the most probable cause of discharge violations for copper.

NAB Little Creek has new permit limits at Pump Station 1518. When the daily flow is less than 200,000 gallons, the discharge limits increase to 2 mg/L Monthly Average and 5 mg/L Daily Maximum. In most cases these lower limits will be applied, because the average daily flow at 1518 is less than 200,000 gallons per day. Since copper levels at 1518 have not exceeded 4 mg/L at 1518 in the past 18 months, we expect these new permit limits to bring the pump station into compliance for copper.

Most of the oil and grease violations have been minor but a few large discharges of oil have occurred. The CHT piping of LSTs runs through fuel oil tanks on the ship. Two major oil discharge violations occurred due to failures of the CHT piping. Normal shipboard operations may account for the times when limit is only slightly exceeded. These minor violations may be curtailed under the new permit.

4f.

Does your base operate an Industrial Waste Treatment Plant (IWTP)?					NO
ID/Location of IWTP	Type of Treatment	Permitted Capacity	Ave Daily Discharge Rate	Maximum Capacity	Permit Status
N/A					

List any permit violations and projects to correct deficiencies or improve the facility.

N/A

4g. Are there other waste treatment flows not accounted for in the previous tables? Estimate capacity and describe the system.

NO

4h.

Does your base operate drinking Water Treatment Plants (WTP)?				NO	
ID/Location of WTP	Operating (GPD)		Method of Treatment	Maximum Capacity	Permit Status
	Permitted Capacity	Daily Rate			
N/A					

List permit violations and projects/actions to correct deficiencies or improve the facility.

N/A

4i. If you do not operate a WTP, what is the source of the base potable water supply. State terms and limits on capacity in the agreement/contract, if applicable.

SOURCE: City of Norfolk

LIMITS ON CAPACITY: None. City of Norfolk currently allows NAB Little Creek to purchase as much water as is needed. City is interested in getting NAB Little Creek on a retail rate contract, which could limit capacity in the future for all DON activities purchasing water from City of Norfolk.

Rev.

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC: N61414

Data Call 33

4j.

Does the presence of contaminants or lack of supply of water constrain base operations. Explain.	NO
--	----

4k.

Other than those described above does your base hold any NPDES or stormwater permits? If YES, describe permit conditions.	YES
If NO, why not and provide explanation of plan to achieve permitted status.	N/A

VPDES PERMIT CONDITIONS:

- Stormwater effluent monitoring
- Demineralizer effluent monitoring
- Brine discharge monitoring
- Bioassay and chemical monitoring
- Stormwater pollution prevention plan

R

4l.

YES/NO

Does your base have bilge water discharge problem?	NO
Do you have a bilge water treatment facility?	NO

Explain: All bilge water is collected via SWOB, along with waste oil from throughout NAB Little Creek, and transported via YON to Craney Island for recycling.

4m.

Will any state or local laws and/or regulations applying to Environmental Facilities, which have been enacted or promulgated but not yet effected, constrain base operations or development plans beyond those already identified? Explain.	NO
---	----

4n. What expansion capacity is possible with these Environmental Facilities? Will any expansions/upgrades as a result of BRACON or projects programmed through the Presidents budget through FY1997 result in additional capacity? Explain.

Drinking water system expansion is possible. However, no plans currently exist to upgrade or expand existing facilities.

4j.

Does the presence of contaminants or lack of supply of water constrain base operations. Explain.	NO
--	----

4k.

Other than those described above does your base hold any NPDES or stormwater permits? If YES, describe permit conditions.	YES
If NO, why not and provide explanation of plan to achieve permitted status.	N/A

VPDES PERMIT CONDITIONS:

- Stormwater effluent monitoring
- Demineralizer effluent monitoring
- Brine discharge monitoring
- Toxicity testing; bioassay and chemical monitoring
- Stormwater pollution prevention plan

4l.

YES/NO

Does your base have bilge water discharge problem?	NO
Do you have a bilge water treatment facility?	NO

Explain: All bilge water is collected via SWOB, along with waste oil from throughout NAB Little Creek, and transported via YON to Craney Island for recycling.

4m.

Will any state or local laws and/or regulations applying to Environmental Facilities, which have been enacted or promulgated but not yet effected, constrain base operations or development plans beyond those already identified? Explain.	NO
---	----

4n. What expansion capacity is possible with these Environmental Facilities? Will any expansions/upgrades as a result of BRACON or projects programmed through the Presidents budget through FY1997 result in additional capacity? Explain.

Drinking water system expansion is possible. However, no plans currently exist to upgrade or expand existing facilities.

40. Do capacity limitations on any of the facilities discussed in question 4 pose a present or future limitation on base operations? Explain.

DRINKING WATER CAPACITY IS CURRENTLY NOT RESTRICTED.

5. AIR POLLUTION

5a.

What is the name of the Air Quality Control Areas (AQCA) in which the base is located? REGION 6. TIDEWATER REGION
Is the installation or any of its OLFs or non-contiguous base properties located in different AQCA's? <u>YES</u> . List site, location and name of AQCA. BLOODSWORTH ISLAND - AREA 5, ST. MARY'S COUNTY, MARYLAND RADIO ISLAND - WILMINGTON, NORTH CAROLINA

R

5b. For each parcel in a separate AQCA fill in the following table. Identify with and "X" whether the status of each regulated pollutant is: attainment/nonattainment/maintenance. For those areas which are in non-attainment, state whether they are: Marginal, Moderate, Serious, Severe, or Extreme. State target attainment year.

Site: BLOODSWORTH ISLAND AQCA: AREA 5, ST MARY'S COUNTY, MARYLAND

Pollutant	Attainment	Non-Attainment	Maintenance	Target Attainment Year ¹	Comments ²
CO	X				
Ozone	X				
PM-10	X				
SO ₂	X				
NO ₂	X				
Pb	X				

¹Based on national standard for Non-Attainment areas or SIP for Maintenance areas.

²Indicate if attainment is dependent upon BRACON, MILCON or Special Projects. Also indicate if the project is currently programmed within the Presidents FY1997 budget.

40. Do capacity limitations on any of the facilities discussed in question 4 pose a present or future limitation on base operations? Explain.

DRINKING WATER CAPACITY IS CURRENTLY NOT RESTRICTED.

5. AIR POLLUTION

5a.

<p>What is the name of the Air Quality Control Areas (AQCA) in which the base is located? REGION 6. HAMPTON ROADS REGION</p>
<p>Is the installation or any of its OLFs or non-contiguous base properties located in different AQCA? <u>YES</u>. List site, location and name of AQCA. BLOODSWORTH ISLAND - AREA 5, ST. MARY'S COUNTY, MARYLAND RADIO ISLAND - WILMINGTON, NORTH CAROLINA</p>

5b. For each parcel in a separate AQCA fill in the following table. Identify with and "X" whether the status of each regulated pollutant is: attainment/nonattainment/maintenance. For those areas which are in non-attainment, state whether they are: Marginal, Moderate, Serious, Severe, or Extreme. State target attainment year.

Site: **BLOODSWORTH ISLAND** AQCA: **AREA 5, ST MARY'S COUNTY, MARYLAND**

Pollutant	Attainment	Non-Attainment	Maintenance	Target Attainment Year ¹	Comments ²
CO	X				
Ozone	X				
PM-10	X				
SO ₂	X				
NO ₂	X				
Pb	X				

¹Based on national standard for Non-Attainment areas or SIP for Maintenance areas.

²Indicate if attainment is dependent upon BRACON, MILCON or Special Projects. Also indicate if the project is currently programmed within the Presidents FY1997 budget.

Site: RADIO ISLAND AQCA: WILMINGTON, NORTH CAROLINA

Pollutant	Attainment	Non-Attainment	Maintenance	Target Attainment Year ¹	Comments ²
CO	X				
Ozone	X				
PM-10	X				
SO ₂	X				
NO ₂	X				
Pb	X				

¹Based on national standard for Non-Attainment areas or SIP for Maintenance areas.

²Indicate if attainment is dependent upon BRACON, MILCON or Special Projects. Also indicate if the project is currently programmed within the Presidents FY1997 budget.

5c. For your base, identify the baseline level of emissions, established in accordance with the Clean Air Act. Baseline information is assumed to be 1990 data or other year as specified. Determine the total level of emissions (tons/yr) for CO, NO_x, VOC, PM10 for the general sources listed. For all data provide a list of the sources and show your calculations. Use known emissions data, or emissions derived from use of state methodologies, or identify other sources used. "Other Mobile" sources include such items as ground support equipment.

Emission Sources (Tons/Year)					
Pollutant	Permitted Stationary	Personal Automobiles ¹	Aircraft Emissions	Other Mobile	Total
CO	0	318.59	N/A	N/A	318.59
NO _x	0	39.17	N/A	N/A	39.17
VOC	7.65	28.68	N/A	N/A	36.33
PM10	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0

Source Document: 1990 AIR REGISTRATION UPDATE, AP-42

¹Government vehicles which should be under other Mobile is included under personal automobiles.

List of Sources and Calculations 1990

Permitted Stationary Sources:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Source Name</u>	<u>Annual Process Rate</u>
1	SIMA Diesel Generators	0 Gal burned
2	SIMA Paint Booth	0 Tons coating
3	SIMA Varnish Dip Tank	1 Ton coating
4	SIMA Vapor Degreaser(111TCA)	4 Tons solvent used
5	SIMA Paint Bay	3 Tons coating
6	SIMA Varnish Solvent	3 Tons coating
7	SIMA Mineral Spirit Solvent	2 Tons solvent used

Stationary Source Emission Calculations:

CO, NOx, PM10 emissions were 0 tons/yr for these sources.

VOC emissions were as follows:

Source #3 - 1 ton varnish @ 55% VOC = 0.55 tons/yr
 Source #4 - 111TCA is not a VOC
 Source #5 - 3 tons x200#/ton / 8#/gal x 5.6#voc/gal / 2000#/ton
 = 2.1 tons/yr
 Source #6 - 3 tons solvent @ 100% VOC = 3 tons/yr
 Source #7 - 2 tons solvent @ 100% VOC = 2 tons/yr

 1990 TOTAL VOC EMISSIONS = 7.65 TONS/YR

Source: 1990 VaDEQ Source Registration Update

Personal Automobile Emission Calculations:

average cars age: 4 yrs
 average miles driven: 20 miles
 average odometer reading: 50,000 miles
 average # work days: 240 days

<u>1990 Emission Factors</u>	<u>1993 Emission Factors</u>
HC: 0.659 g/mi	HC: 0.653 g/mi.
CO: 7.321	CO: 7.310
NOx: 0.900	NOx: 0.895
PM10: N/A	PM10: N/A

(from Table 1.1.1b of AP42)

5c. continued:

Base population = 10,290
 Carpool factor = 20%
 # vehicles on base/day = 8,232

$$\text{HC (VOC)} = (8232) \left(\frac{20 \text{ mi}}{\text{day}} \right) \left(\frac{240 \text{ day}}{\text{yr}} \right) (0.659 \text{ g}) \left(\frac{1 \text{ ton}}{2000 \text{ lb}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ lb}}{454 \text{ g}} \right) = 28.68 \text{ ton/yr}$$

$$\text{CO} = (8232) \left(\frac{20 \text{ mi}}{\text{day}} \right) \left(\frac{240 \text{ day}}{\text{yr}} \right) (7.321 \text{ g}) \left(\frac{1 \text{ ton}}{2000 \text{ lb}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ lb}}{454 \text{ g}} \right) = 318.59 \text{ ton/yr}$$

$$\text{NOx} = (8232) \left(\frac{20 \text{ mi}}{\text{day}} \right) \left(\frac{240 \text{ day}}{\text{yr}} \right) (0.900 \text{ g}) \left(\frac{1 \text{ ton}}{2000 \text{ lb}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ lb}}{454 \text{ g}} \right) = 39.17 \text{ ton/yr}$$

5d. For your base, determine the total FY1993 level of emissions (tons/yr) for CO, NOx, VOC, PM10 for the general sources listed. For all data provide a list of the sources and show your calculations. Use known emissions data, or emissions derived from use of state methodologies, or identify other sources used. "Other Mobile" sources include such items as ground support equipment.

Emissions Sources (Tons/Year)					
Pollutant	Permitted Stationary	Personal Automobiles ¹	Aircraft Emissions	Other Mobile	Total
CO	0	416.49	N/A	N/A	416.49
NOx	0	50.99	N/A	N/A	50.99
VOC	1.4	37.21	N/A	N/A	38.61
PM10	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0

Source Document: 1993 AIR REGISTRATION UPDATE, AP-42

¹Government automobiles are included in Personal Automobiles.

List of Sources and Calculations 1993

Permitted Stationary Sources:

<u>No.</u>	<u>Source Name</u>	<u>Annual VOC Emission</u>
1	SIMA Diesel Generators	0
2	SIMA Varnish Dip Tank	0.05
3	SIMA Paint Bay	0.429 Tons VOC
4	SIMA Varnish Solvent	0.6 tons
5	SIMA Mineral Spirit Solvent	0.31 Tons VOC

1993 TOTAL VOC EMISSIONS = 1.4 TONS/YR

Source: 1993 VaDEQ Source Registration Update

Base population = 13,473

Carpool factor = 20%

vehicles on base/day = 10,778

$$\text{HC (VOC)} = (10778) \left(\frac{20 \text{ mi}}{\text{day}} \right) \left(\frac{240 \text{ day}}{\text{yr}} \right) \left(\frac{0.653 \text{ g}}{\text{mi}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ ton}}{2000 \text{ lb}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ lb}}{454 \text{ g}} \right) = 37.21 \text{ ton/yr}$$

$$\text{CO} = (10778) \left(\frac{20 \text{ mi}}{\text{day}} \right) \left(\frac{240 \text{ day}}{\text{yr}} \right) \left(\frac{7310 \text{ g}}{\text{mi}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ ton}}{2000 \text{ lb}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ lb}}{454 \text{ g}} \right) = 416.49 \text{ ton/yr}$$

$$\text{NO}_x = (10778) \left(\frac{20 \text{ mi}}{\text{day}} \right) \left(\frac{240 \text{ day}}{\text{yr}} \right) \left(\frac{0.895 \text{ g}}{\text{mi}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ ton}}{2000 \text{ lb}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ lb}}{454 \text{ g}} \right) = 50.99 \text{ ton/yr}$$

5e. Provide estimated increases/decreases in air emissions (Tons/Year of CO, NO_x, VOC, PM10) expected within the next six years (1995-2001). Either from previous BRAC realignments and/or previously planned downsizing shown in the Presidents FY1997 budget. Explain.

There are no expected changes in air emissions due to BRAC realignments and/or previously planned downsizing.

There are planned facility changes that will decrease NAB Little Creek air emissions. They are as follows:

1. MILCON # P-356 will construct a new paint and abrasive blast facility for elevated causeway sections. This facility will provide state of the art pollution controls which will decrease particulate air emissions from these operations by 99.9%.

**Uncontrolled emissions are estimated at 300 ton/yr/blast bay.
Controlled emissions are estimated at 0.3 Ton/yr/blast bay.**

**The new facility will have two (2) blast bays and is scheduled for 1995 completion.
Source - permit application.**

2. Public Works Center Norfolk is planning to convert the NAB Little Creek 757 steam plant from coal to natural gas operation. Based on 1993 coal consumption, the emissions will be as follows:

NAB 757 YEARLY EMISSIONS

	<u>COAL</u>	<u>NATURAL GAS</u>
TSP	784.0 TONS/YR	1.0 TON/YR
SO ₂	362.0 TONS/YR	0.2 TONS/YR
NO _x	183.0 TONS/YR	178.0 TONS/YR
VOC	0.9 TONS/YR	0.5 TONS/YR
CO	65.0 TONS/YR	12.9 TONS/YR

This change is expected to occur in the next five years.

SOURCE: 1993 Source registration update & VA Dept of Environmental Quality

5f. Are there any critical air quality regions (i.e. non-attainment areas, national parks, etc.) within 100 miles of the base?

Richmond, VA (Region 5) is in moderate non-attainment for ozone.

5g. Have any base operations/mission/functions (i.e.: training, R&D, ship movement, aircraft movement, military operations, support functions, vehicle trips per day, etc.) been restricted or delayed due to air quality considerations. Explain the reason for the restriction and the "fix" implemented or planned to correct.

NO

5h. Does your base have Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) or is it subject to any emission offset requirements? If yes, provide details of the sources affected and conditions of the ERCs and offsets. Is there any potential for getting ERCs?

NO

6. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

6a. Identify compliance costs, currently known or estimated that are required for permits or other actions required to bring existing practices into compliance with appropriate regulations. Do not include Installation Restoration costs that are covered in Section 7 or recurring costs included in question 6c. For the last two columns provide the combined total for those two FY's.

NOTE: BLANKS INDICATE NO COSTS

Program	Survey Completed?	Costs in \$K to correct deficiencies					
		FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98-99	FY00-01
Air	YES	225.0	869.0	1019.0	1019.0		50.0
Hazardous Waste	YES	35.0	50.0				
Safe Drinking Water Act	YES	N/A-PWC COST					
PCBs	YES	N/A-PCB-FREE					
Other (non-PCB) Toxic Substance Control Act	YES	N/A					
Lead Based Paint	NO	N/A					
Radon	YES	N/A					
Clean Water Act	YES	280.0	1015.0	796.0	796.0	725.0	
Solid Waste	YES	N/A-PWC COST					
Oil Pollution Act	YES						
USTs	YES	603.	940.	670.	350.0	45.0	35.0
Total		1143.0	2874.0	2485.0	2165.0	770.0	85.0

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC: N61414

Provide a separate list of compliance projects in progress or required, with associated cost and estimated start/completion date. **NOTE: BLANKS INDICATE NO COSTS.**

PROGRAM: PROJECT	FY 94	FY 95	FY 96	FY 97	FY98 -99	FY00- 01
HAZARDOUS WASTE:						
EPCRA/TRI	35.0	50.0				
USTs:						
UST REMEDIATION:						
SITE 3508	279.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
SITE 3879	60.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		
SITE 304	60.0					
SITE 1265	80.0	300.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
SITE 1618	30.0	300.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
SITE 1516	6.0	30.0	300.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
SITE 3502	30.0	300.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
SITE 3615	35.0		300.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
SITE 3530	20.0		30.0	300.0	5.0	5.0
UST REMOVAL & MGT:						
SITE 3510 REMOVAL	3.0					
AIR:						
CFC Eqpt-Replace		581.0	581.0	581.0		
Phase I Vapor Recovery		68.0	68.0	68.0		
VOC Controls-Painting Ops			50.0	50.0		50.0
Alt. Cooling Tower Trmt		50.0	150.0	150.0		
AFDL6 Blast Recyc. (Design)		10.0	10.0	10.0		
AFDL6 Blast Recyc. (Constr)		100.0	100.0	100.0		
AFDL6 Wet Blast System		60.0	60.0	60.0		

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC: N61414

Air Emissions Inventory	225.0					
CLEAN WATER ACT:						
Stormwater (SW) P.P.		52.0	50.0	50.0		
SW B.M.P.s (Constr)		200.0	200.0	200.0		
Stm. Cond. Mod.		50.0	50.0	50.0		
SW P.P.P.		76.0	76.0	76.0		
VPDES H2O/Sediment Study		60.0	60.0	60.0		
L.C. Harbor Study		60.0	75.0	75.0	75.0	
TRE Implementation		100.0	100.0	100.0		
TR Study	200.0					
Ship SW Study		32.0	30.0	30.0		
SW Discharge Study	80.0					
Modify HRSD Sampling		80.0	80.0	80.0		
Portable Grit		75.0	75.0	75.0		
Pre-Treatment - 1518					650.	
School of Music-Acid		30.0				
Sorbent Monitoring		200.0				
TOTAL	1.143	2.874	2.485	2.165	770	85

6b. Does your base have structures containing asbestos? YES What % of your base has been surveyed for asbestos? 100% Are additional surveys planned? NO What is the estimated cost to remediate asbestos (\$K) 5,805. Are asbestos survey costs based on encapsulation, removal or a combination of both? REMOVAL OF FRIABLE ASBESTOS. Claimant policy is to consider funding only remediation of asbestos that is friable, damaged and accessible and to remediate by management in place rather than by removal.

Source Citation: Harmon Engineering Associates Survey.

6c. Provide detailed cost of recurring operational (environmental) compliance costs, with funding source.

Funding Source ³	FY92	FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98-99	FY00-01
O&MN	2828 ¹	2393 ¹	2737	780	780	780	1560	1560
HA								
PA/NECA ²								
NAVOSH ²								
TOTAL	2828	2393	2737	780	780	780	1560	1560

¹FY 92 AND FY 93 data represents recurring costs, including HW disposal

²PA/NECA and NAVOSH funds were/are expended, however these funds are not utilized for recurring operational compliance costs

³Figures above in Table 6c. exclude salary

6d. Are there any compliance issues/requirements that have impacted operations and/or development plans at your base.

NO

7. INSTALLATION RESTORATION

7a.

Does your base have any sites that are contaminated with hazardous substances or petroleum products?	YES
Is your base an NPL site or proposed NPL site?	NO

7b. Provide the following information about your Installation Restoration (IR) program. Project list may be provided in separate table format. Note: List only projects eligible for funding under the Defense Environmental Restoration Account (DERA). Do not include UST compliance projects properly listed in section VI.

Site # or name	Type site ¹	Groundwater Contaminated?	Extends off base?	Drinking Water Source?	Cost to Complete (\$M)/Est. Compl. Date	Status ² /Comments
NUMBER 5	CERCLA	YES	NO	NO	125,000 1997	SI
NUMBER 7	CERCLA	YES	NO	NO	2,750,000 2006	RI/FS
NUMBER 9	CERCLA	YES	NO	NO	90,000 2004	RI/FS
NUMBER 10	CERCLA	YES	NO	NO	110,000 2004	RI/FS
NUMBER 11	CERCLA	YES	NO	NO	1,100,000 1998	RI/FS
NUMBER 12	CERCLA	YES	NO	NO	1,200,000 1998	RI/FS
NUMBER 13	CERCLA	YES	NO	NO	1,900,000 1998	RI/FS
NUMBER 16	CERCLA	NO	NO	NO	0	RA
40 SWMUs	RCRA CA	YES	NO	NO	10,000,000 2004	Verification ³ Investigation

¹Type site: CERCLA, RCRA corrective action (CA), UST or other (explain)

²Status = PA, SI, RI, RD, RA, long term monitoring, etc.

³HSWA CA Permit currently being drafted by GPA Region III.

7c. Have any contamination sites been identified for which there is no recognized/accepted remediation process available? List.

NO

7d.

Is there a groundwater treatment system in place?	NO
Is there a groundwater treatment system planned?	NO

State scope and expected length of pump and treat operation.

A groundwater treatment system is not planned for any of the IR sites. However, a system is planned for piers 11-19 remediation project which is covered by the UST program.

7e.

Has a RCRA Facilities Assessment been performed for your base?	YES
--	-----

7f. Does your base operate any conforming storage facilities for handling hazardous materials? If YES, describe facility, capacity, restrictions, and permit conditions.

NO. However, a Hazardous Materials Minimization Center is currently under design, with anticipated construction in first quarter FY 95. This facility will centrally manage all hazardous material, issuing a daily amount, thereby eliminating the storage and disposal of excess hazardous material.

7g. Does your base operate any conforming storage facilities for handling hazardous waste? If YES, describe facility, capacity, restrictions, and permit conditions.

YES. The greater than 90 day Hazardous Waste Storage Facility is located at Building 3091, which is 38' by 98'. The outside storage area is surrounded by a 6' chain link fence 46' by 98'. The maximum storage capacity is 296 drums or 16,280 gallons, based on single stacking, four drums per pallet and 5' wide aisles. The maximum outdoor storage is 180 drums or 9,900 gallons based on single stacking, four drums per pallet and 5' wide aisles.

The part a permit will only allow storage of HW up to one year unless a waiver is granted by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) or the EPA for a specific waste stream. Additionally, only HW that are listed on the permit application may be stored at Building 3091.

7h. Is your base responsible for any non-appropriated fund facilities (exchange, gas station) that require cleanup? If so, describe facility/location and cleanup required/status.

YES. NAB Little Creek is responsible for the following facilities:

EAST SIDE SERVICE STATION-BUILDING 3615: Formerly operated as a Navy Exchange Gas Station until system began leaking in Feb 1990, now operates as a Navy Exchange Service Station only. Five 10,000 gallon gasoline tanks and one 4,000 gallon diesel tank were removed in 1990-1991 and one 500 gallon waste oil tank was removed in 1989. The site characterization for this site was submitted in Nov 1992 to DEQ and is currently awaiting comments. Three monitoring wells are monitored bi-weekly for free product. No product is currently being recovered, however a sheen is present in all three wells. A corrective action plan (CAP) will most likely be required.

WEST SIDE GAS STATION-BUILDING 1610 & 1612: Three 10,000 gallon tanks were removed in December 1993 and replaced with three new double-walled fiberglass tanks with fiberglass piping and interstitial monitoring. Due to contamination at the site, a site characterization, followed by a corrective action plan was submitted for deq approval. Upon approval, NAB Little Creek completed remediation at the site via thermal treatment of the soil. Post remediation samples will be sent to DEQ.

MARINA - BUILDING 1516: Two 4,000 gallon tanks at the MWR marina were closed in Dec 1993 after the tanks failed a tightness test. An initial site check/abatement report was submitted to DEQ and a site characterization is currently in process. Two new 6,000 gallon tanks, double walled fiberglass with interstitial monitoring, will be installed in July 1994. No free product was discovered at this site.

7i.

Do the results of any radiological surveys conducted indicate limitations on future land use? Explain below.	N/A
--	-----

SURVEYS NOT CONDUCTED

7j. Have any base operations or development plans been restricted due to Installation Restoration considerations?

No current and projected base operations and development plans are fully supported by non-restricted, available property onboard the base.

7k. List any other hazardous waste treatment or disposal facilities not included in question 7b. above. Include capacity, restrictions, and permit conditions?

NONE

Net.

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC: N61414

Data Call 33

8. LAND / AIR / WATER USE

8a. List the acreage of each real estate component controlled or managed by your base (e.g., Main Base - 1,200 acres, Outlying Field - 200 acres, Remote Range - 1,000 acres, remote antenna site - 5 acres, Off-Base Housing Area - 25 acres).

Parcel Descriptor	Acres	Location
MAIN BASE, LITTLE CREEK	2,123.27	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA
GREAT COVE ISLAND	0.18	MARYLAND
BLOODSWORTH ISLAND	6,013.02	MARYLAND
RADIO ISLAND	21.19	NORTH CAROLINA
BAYSIDE BOROUGH	.89	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA
CAMP PENDLETON	358.32	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA
LITTLE CREEK APARTMENTS	47.04	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA
HOUSING AREA	42.95	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA

TOTAL 8,606.86

R

R

8. LAND / AIR / WATER USE

8a. List the acreage of each real estate component controlled or managed by your base (e.g., Main Base - 1,200 acres, Outlying Field - 200 acres, Remote Range - 1,000 acres, remote antenna site - 5 acres, Off-Base Housing Area - 25 acres).

Parcel Descriptor	Acres	Location
MAIN BASE, LITTLE CREEK	2,123.27	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA
GREAT COVE ISLAND	0.18	MARYLAND
BLOODSWORTH ISLAND	6,013.02	MARYLAND
RADIO ISLAND	21.19	NORTH CAROLINA
GATES COUNTY	3,200.00	NORTH CAROLINA
BAYSIDE BOROUGH	.89	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA
CAMP PENDLETON	358.32	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA
LITTLE CREEK APARTMENTS	47.04	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA
HOUSING AREA	42.95	VIRGINIA BEACH, VA

TOTAL 11,806.86

8b. Provide the acreage of the land use categories listed in the table below:

LAND USE CATEGORY		ACRES
Total Developed: (administration, operational, housing, recreational, training, etc.)		NAVPHIBASE LCREEK 1,115.94 ¹
Total Undeveloped (areas that are left in their natural state but are under specific environmental development constraints, i.e.: wetlands, endangered species, etc.)		Wetlands: 163.50 ²
		All Others: NONE
Total Undeveloped land considered to be without development constraints, but which may have operational/man caused constraints (i.e.: HERO, HERF, HERP, ESQD, AICUZ, etc.) TOTAL		175.75
Total Undeveloped land considered to be without development constraints		146.91
Total Off-base lands held for easements/lease for specific purposes		NONE
Breakout of undeveloped, restricted areas. Some restricted areas may overlap:	ESQD	90.11 ³
	HERF	NONE
	HERP	NONE
	HERO	175.75
	AICUZ	NONE
	Airfield Safety Criteria	24.11 ⁴
	Other: IR SITES SMALL ARMS RANGE	61.53

NOTE: See Footnotes/Additional areas on next page

A. Site Location: NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK

<u>Land Use</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>Available for Development</u>		<u>NOTES</u>
	<u>Total Ac</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Restricted</u>	
Developed Areas:				
Admin/Oper/House/				
Rec/Training	2123.27	1115.94	175.75 Ac	146.91 (1)
Wetlands		--	163.50 Ac	-- (2)
IR Sites		--	45.46 Ac	-- (3)
Airfield Safety Criteria		--	24.11 Ac	(6)

NOTES:

¹Acreage obtained from FY95 Data Call No. Six Question No. 30.

²WETLANDS: Approximately 632.5 acres. NAVPHIBASE LCREEK wetlands consists of Little Creek Harbor, Little Creek Cove and Desert Cove (469 acres covers one body of water), and 163.50 acres dispersed throughout the base at various locations. Further development of these wetlands will be dependent upon the ability to receive permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission for planned development activities. Source of information was obtained from a 1993 Wetland Study by Atlantic, Division of Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

³ESQD ARC: Approximately 90.11 acres are constrained by a 1250' Explosive Safety Quantity Distance (ESQD) Arc. CNO Waiver No. W1D/75, expiration date 30 Sept. 1995, is currently in effect to handle Class I ammunition at piers 11-21, piers 56-59 and the quaywall.

⁴AIRFIELD SAFETY CRITERIA: Approximately 24.11 acres are constrained by Norfolk International Runway R/W No. 23 Type III approach zone. Ships berthed at piers 1-8 are constrained by a mast height restriction ranging from 110 at pier 1 East to 90' at pier 8 West. Normally only barges and other low craft are berthed at these piers.

8b. Provide the acreage of the land use categories listed in the table below:

LAND USE CATEGORY		ACRES ⁵
Total Developed: (administration, operational, housing, recreational, training, etc.)		CAMP PENDLETON 5.97
Total Undeveloped (areas that are left in their natural state but are under specific environmental development constraints, i.e.: wetlands, endangered species, etc.)		Wetlands: 248.15 ^{1,2}
		All Others:
Total Undeveloped land considered to be without development constraints, but which may have operational/man caused constraints (i.e.: HERO, HERF, HERP, ESQD, AICUZ, etc.) TOTAL		104.2
Total Undeveloped land considered to be without development constraints		NONE
Total Off-base lands held for easements/lease for specific purposes		NONE
Breakout of undeveloped, restricted areas. Some restricted areas may overlap:	ESQD	NONE
	HERF	NONE
	HERP	193.88 ³
	HERO	NONE
	AICUZ	NONE
	Airfield Safety Criteria	NONE
	Other: SMALL ARMS RANGE	2.20 ⁴

NOTE: See footnotes on next page.

B. Site Location: (EA) Camp Pendleton, Va. Beach

<u>Land Use</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>Available for Development</u>		<u>NOTES</u>	
	<u>Total Ac</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Restricted</u>		<u>Unrestricted</u>
Developed Areas:	358.32	--	110.17 Ac	--	(1)
Wetlands	--	--	248.15 Ac	--	(1, 2)

¹Acreage obtained from FY95 Data Call No. Six Question No. 30.

²WETLANDS: Approximately 248.15 Ac. The wetlands at Camp Pendleton (See Attachment 1) are currently used for training by various commands at NAVPHIBASE LCREEK. Further development of these wetlands will be dependent upon the ability to receive permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission for planned development activities. Source of information was obtained from a 1993 Wetland Study by Atlantic, Division of Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

³HERP ARC: Approximately 193.88 Ac. There is a potential for approximately 193.88 acres to be constrained by a Hazard Electromagnetic Radiation to Personnel (HERP) arc. The MACS-24 trains Marine Corps reserve personnel for tactical air detection, surveillance, and control of aircraft and surface-to-air missiles. The MACS-24 radar normally operates in a sweepmode and does not create a HERP; however, mechanical failure or human error could create an instantaneous hazard of 1,640 feet (1,880' for cardiac pacemakers).

⁴RANGE FOOTPRINT: Approximately 2.20 acres are constrained by the existing Virginia National Guard Small Arms Range.

⁵AREA DETERMINATIONS: Camp Pendleton, Va. Beach planning constraint areas were obtained from Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek Master Plan dated August 1993. Scale: 1" = 800'.

(A) WITHIN A RANGE FOOTPRINT: (2.20 Ac.)

1. Total Acres:

Planimeter readings = 00.15 Sq. Inches
 $00.15 (640,000) = 96,000 \text{ SF} / 43,560 = 2.20 \text{ Ac.}$

2. Developed Acres: None

(B) WITHIN A HERP ARC: (193. 88 Ac.)

1. Total Acres:

A = 3.14(r)
 A = 3.14(1,640')
 $A = 8,445,344 \text{ SF} / 43,560 = 193.88 \text{ Ac}$

8b. Provide the acreage of the land use categories listed in the table below:

LAND USE CATEGORY		ACRES
Total Developed: (administration, operational, housing, recreational, training, etc.)		BLOODSWORTH ISLAND BOMBING RANGE (0.0)
Total Undeveloped (areas that are left in their natural state but are under specific environmental development constraints, i.e.: wetlands, endangered species, etc.)		Wetlands: 6,013.02 ^{1,2}
		All Others:
Total Undeveloped land considered to be without development constraints, but which may have operational/man caused constraints (i.e.: HERO, HERF, HERP, ESQD, AICUZ, etc.) TOTAL		0.0
Total Undeveloped land considered to be without development constraints		NONE
Total Off-base lands held for easements/lease for specific purposes		NONE
Breakout of undeveloped, restricted areas. Some restricted areas may overlap:	ESQD	NONE
	HERF	NONE
	HERP	NONE
	HERO	NONE
	AICUZ	NONE
	Airfield Safety Criteria	NONE
	Other:	NONE

C. Site Location: (EA) Bloodsworth Island

<u>Land Use</u>	<u>Developed</u>	<u>Available for Development</u>		<u>NOTES</u>	
	<u>Total Ac</u>	<u>Acreage</u>	<u>Restricted</u>		<u>Unrestricted</u>
Developed Areas	6,013.02	--	--	--	(1, 2)
Wetlands	--	--	6,013.01 Ac	--	(1, 2)

NOTES:

¹Acreage obtained from FY95 Data Call No. Six Question No. 30.

²The wetlands at the Bloodsworth Island (approximately 6,013.02 acres) are currently utilized as a bombing range for training by various Department of Defense (DOD) commands. Any development of these wetlands will be dependent upon the ability to receive permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission for planned development activities. Source of information was obtained from a 1993 Wetland Study by Atlantic, Division of Naval Facilities Engineering Command.

8b. Provide the acreage of the land use categories listed in the table below:

LAND USE CATEGORY		ACRES
Total Developed: (administration, operational, housing, recreational, training, etc.)		RADIO ISLAND 21.18 ¹
Total Undeveloped (areas that are left in their natural state but are under specific environmental development constraints, i.e.: wetlands, endangered species, etc.)		Wetlands: NONE
		All Others: NONE
Total Undeveloped land considered to be without development constraints, but which may have operational/man caused constraints (i.e.: HERO, HERF, HERP, ESQD, AICUZ, etc.) TOTAL		0.0
Total Undeveloped land considered to be without development constraints		0.0
Total Off-base lands held for easements/lease for specific purposes		NONE
Breakout of undeveloped, restricted areas. Some restricted areas may overlap:	ESQD	NONE
	HERF	NONE
	HERP	NONE
	HERO	NONE
	AICUZ	NONE
	Airfield Safety Criteria	NONE
	Other:	NONE

¹Acreage obtained from NAVPHIBASE LCREEK FY93 Data Call No. 6 questions #30.

8c. How many acres on your base (includes off base sites) are dedicated for training purposes (e.g., vehicular, earth moving, mobilization)? This does not include buildings or interior small arms ranges used for training purposes.

6,654.28

TRAINING SUPPORTING DATA

The following areas were obtained from Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek General Development Map (Scale: 1" = 500') dated Sept. 1991 utilizing the planimeter method. Dedicated training areas (less buildings) were derived from NAVPHIBASE LCREEK Data Call No., 6 Question No. 6 and are identified as follows:

1. **NAVPHIBASE LCREEK:**

(A) **TRAINING AREA: (282.94 Ac.)**

- Area 1 = 20.60 (IR No.10) $7.95(40,000)/43560 = 7.30$ Ac
- Area 2 = 08.25
- Area 3 = 05.15 (Within Range) $2.25(250,000)/43560 = 12.91$ Ac
- Area 4 = 04.35
- Area 7 = 01.55
- Area 9 = 02.35
- Area 10 = 01.05
- Area 11 = 00.90
- Area 12 = 02.00
- Area 13 = 01.15
- Area 14 = 01.95

Total = $49.30 (250,000)/43560 = 282.94$ Ac.

2. **ADDITIONAL TRAINING AREAS:**

- Camp Pendleton, Va Beach, Va. .. 358.32 Ac.
- Bloodsworth Island, Maryland
- Bombing Range 6013.02 Ac.

Total Dedicated Training Areas = 6654.28 Ac

8d. What is the date of your last AICUZ update? N/A Are any waivers of airfield safety criteria in effect on your base? NO Summarize the conditions of the waivers below. (Not applicable)

8e. List the off-base land use *types* (e.g, residential, industrial, agricultural) and *acreage* within Noise Zones 2 & 3 generated by your flight operations and whether it is compatible/incompatible with AICUZ guidelines on land use.

Acreage/Location/ID	Zones 2 or 3	Land Use	Compatible/ Incompatible
NONE			

8f. List the navigational channels and berthing areas controlled by your base which require maintenance dredging? Include the frequency, volume, current project depth, and costs of the maintenance requirement.

Navigational Channels/ Berthing Areas	Location / Description	Maintenance Dredging Requirement			
		Frequency	Volume (MCY)	Current Project Depth (FT)	Cost (\$M)
LITTLE CREEK CHANNEL	CHESAPEAKE BAY TO LITTLE CREEK HARBOR	8 YEARS	0.14	22'	.89
QUAY WALL, PIERS 56-59	BERTHING PIERS	9-10 YEARS	0.62 (0.20 + 0.42)	22'	.38
PIERS 11-19	BERTHING PIERS	8-10 YEARS	0.12	22'	0.75
AFDL-6	FLOATING DRYDOCK & PIER 10 AREA	16 YEARS	0.014	31'	0.09
PIERS 20-34	FISHERMAN'S COVE- BERTHING PIERS	5-16 YEARS	0.013	10'	0.08

8g. Summarize planned projects through FY 1997 requiring new channel or berthing area dredged depths, include location, volume and depth.

NONE

8h.

<p>Are there available designated dredge disposal areas for maintenance dredging material? List location, remaining capacity, and future limitations.</p>	<p>CRANEY ISLAND UNKNOWN REMAINING CAPACITY AND UNKNOWN FUTURE LIMITATIONS</p>
<p>Are there available designated dredge disposal areas for new dredge material? List location, remaining capacity, and future limitations.</p>	<p>CRANEY ISLAND (SAME AS ABOVE) NORFOLK OCEAN DISPOSAL SITES 250 M CU YDS FUTURE LIMITATIONS UNKNOWN</p>
<p>Are the dredged materials considered contaminated? List known contaminants.</p>	<p>YES. TPH AND HEAVY METALS.</p>

8.i. List any requirements or constraints resulting from consistency with **State Coastal Zone Management Plans**.

NAB Little Creek is required to be consistent with state and local permit requirements to maintain consistency with the State's Coastal Zone Management Program. NAVPHIBASE LCREEK currently obtains these permits when necessary and activities are consistent with regulation requirements. These requirements have not put constraints on operations or activities occurring at Little Creek.

8j. Describe any **non-point source pollution problems** affecting water quality ,e.g.: coastal erosion.

N/A. At present, VA DEQ has not issued formal policy on non-point source pollutions

8k:

If the base has a cooperative agreement with the US Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the State Fish and Game Department for conducting a hunting and fishing program, does the agreement or these resources constrain either current or future operations or activities? Explain the nature and extent of restrictions.	N/A
---	-----

8l. List any other areas on your base which are indicated as protected or preserved habitat other than threatened/endangered species that have been listed in Section 1. List the species, whether or not treated, and the acres protected/preserved.

N/A. There are no protected or preserved areas at NAB Little Creek managed sites.

9. WRAPUP

9a. Are there **existing or potential environmental showstoppers** that have affected or will affect the accomplishment of the installation mission that have not been covered in the previous 8 questions?

NO. NAB Little Creek is able to perform mission in accordance with all environmental regulations.

9b. Are there any **other environmental permits** required for base operations, include any relating to industrial operations.

**Part A permit
Permit by rule for medical waste
Solid waste transfer station permit**

**Used oil management standards may be adopted by VA DEQ in July 1994.
Permit may be required at that time.**

9c. Describe any **other environmental or encroachment restrictions** on base property not covered in the previous 8 sections.

NONE

9d. List any **future/proposed laws/regulations or any proposed laws/regulations** which will constrain base operations or development plans in any way. Explain.

NONE

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC N61414
DATA CALL THIRTY-THREE

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

K. F. DELANEY

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Rear Admiral

Title Commander

Naval Shore Activities

U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

Date

K. F. Delaney
29 JUN 1994

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

RADM ARCHIE CLEMINS

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Acting

Title Commander in Chief

U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

Date

Archie Clemins
29 June 1994

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

J. B. GREENE, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

ACTING

Title

Date

J. B. Greene, Jr.
06 JUL 1994

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.


Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

JOHN E. O'NEIL JR., CAPTAIN, USN

NAME (Please type or print)



Signature

Commanding Officer

Title

19 MAY 1994

Date

Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek

Activity

25

NAVPHIBASE Little Creek UIC N61414
Data Call 33, Revised pages 10, 13, 14, 29

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

J. W. CRAINE, JR.
NAME (Please type or print)

J. W. Craine, Jr.
Signature

Captain
Title Commander

8/31/94
Date

Naval Shore Activities
U. S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

H. H. MAUZ, JR.
NAME (Please type or print)

H. H. Mauz, Jr.
Signature

Admiral
Title Commander in Chief
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

9/1/93
Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

W. A. Earner
Signature

Title

9/12/94
Date

DATA CALL 33

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."


The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

Captain John E. O'Neil Jr.
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Commanding Officer
Title

24 August 1994
Date

Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek
Activity

DATA CALL 64

CONSTRUCTION COST AVOIDANCES

Table 1: Military Construction (MILCON) Projects (Excluding Family Housing Construction Projects)

Installation Name:		LITTLE CREEK VA NAVPHIBSE		
Unit Identification Code (UIC):		N61414	25	
Major Claimant:		LANTFLT		
Project FY	Project No.	Description	Appn	Project Cost Avoid (\$000)
1996	390T	STREAM/UNREP SCHOOL	BRAC	594
		Sub-Total - 1996		594
1998	339	LCAC COMPLEX (INCR IV)	MCON	8,750
1998	442	FIRE STATION	MCON	450
		Sub-Total - 1998		9,200
1999	136	BLAST/PAINT FAC	MCON	4,000
1999	421	OPERATIONAL SPT FAC ALTERS	MCON	3,940
1999	426	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CTR ADDN	MCON	2,290
		Sub-Total - 1999		10,230
2000	205	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FAC	MCON	1,600
2000	288	BOAT SHOP	MCON	1,400
2000	371	WATERFRONT OPS BLDG	MCON	10,200
		Sub-Total - 2000		13,200
2001	376	PERIMETER SECURITY	MCON	2,000
2001	432	BACHELOR ENLISTED QTRS	MCON	6,200
		Sub-Total - 2001		8,200

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. E. BUFFINGTON, RADM, CEC, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

COMMANDER
Title

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity


Signature
7/13/94
Date


I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type or print)

Title


Signature
2/18/94
Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MARK E. DONALDSON
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

CDR, CEC, USN
Title

12 July 1994
Date

MILCON PROGRAMMING DIVISION
Division

FACILITIES PROGRAMMING AND CONSTRUCTION DIRECTORATE
Department

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity

Enclosure (1)

BRAC DATA CALL NUMBER 64
CONSTRUCTION COST AVOIDANCE

Information on cost avoidance which could be realized as the result of cancellation of on-going or programmed construction projects is provided in Tables 1 (MILCON) and 2 (FAMILY HOUSING). These tables list MILCON/FAMILY HOUSING projects which fall within the following categories:

1. all programmed construction projects included in the FY1996 - 2001 MILCON/FAMILY HOUSING Project List,
2. all programmed projects from FY1995 or earlier for which cost avoidance could still be obtained if the project were to be canceled by 1 OCT 1995, and,
3. all programmed BRAC MILCON/FAMILY HOUSING projects for which cost avoidance could still be obtained if the project were to be canceled by 1 OCT 1995.

Projects listed in Tables 1 and 2 with potential cost avoidance were determined as meeting any one of the following criteria:

Projects with projected Work in Place (WIP) less than 75% of the Current Working Estimate (CWE) as of 1 OCT 1995 .

Projects with projected completion dates or Beneficial Occupancy Dates subsequent to 31 March 1996.

Projects with projected CWE amount greater than \$15M.

The estimated cost avoidance for projects terminated after construction award would be approximately one-half of the CWE for the remaining work. Close-out, claims and other termination costs can consume the other half.

R

**DATA CALL 64
CONSTRUCTION COST AVOIDANCES**

Table 1: Military Construction (MILCON) Projects (Excluding Family Housing Construction Projects)

Installation Name:		LITTLE CREEK VA NAVPHIBSE		
Unit Identification Code (UIC):		N61414		
Major Claimant:		LANTFLT		
Project FY	Project No.	Description	Appn	Project Cost Avoid (\$000)
1995	442	FIRE STATION	MCON	5,000
		Sub-Total - 1995		5,000
1996	390T	UNDERWAY REPLENISH OPERATOR TRAIN FAC	BRAC	4,300
		Sub-Total - 1996		4,300
1997	426	CHILD DEVELOPMENT CTR ADDN	MCON	2,290
		Sub-Total - 1997		2,290
1998	339	LCAC COMPLEX (INCR IV)	MCON	8,750
		Sub-Total - 1998		8,750
1999	136	BLAST/PAINT FAC	MCON	4,000
1999	421	OPERATIONAL SPT FAC ALTERS	MCON	3,940
		Sub-Total - 1999		7,940
2000	205	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT FAC	MCON	1,600
2000	288	BOAT SHOP	MCON	1,400
		Sub-Total - 2000		3,000

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MICHAEL D. THORNTON
NAME (Please type or print)

CDR, CEC, USN
Title


Signature

9 Dec 94
Date

MILCON PROGRAMMING DIVISION
Division

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. E. BUFFINGTON, RADM, CEC, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

COMMANDER
Title

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity


Signature
12/9/94
Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type or print)

Title


Signature
12/11/94
Date

25

ANA
HEARD
ONET
N-4432
7/27/94

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

Activity Information:

Activity Name:	SCHOOL OF MUSIC
UIC:	0618A
Host Activity Name (if response is for a tenant activity):	NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, LITTLE CREEK
Host Activity UIC:	61414

General Instructions/Background. A separate response to this data call must be completed for each Department of the Navy (DON) host, independent and tenant activity which separately budgets BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, is located in the United States, its territories or possessions.

1. Base Operating Support (BOS) Cost Data. Data is required which captures the total annual cost of operating and maintaining Department of the Navy (DON) shore installations. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Two tables are provided. Table 1A identifies "Other than DBOF Overhead" BOS costs and Table 1B identifies "DBOF Overhead" BOS costs. These tables must be completed, as appropriate, for all DON host, independent or tenant activities which separately budget BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, are located in the United States, its territories or possessions. Responses for DBOF activities may need to include both Table 1A and 1B to ensure that all BOS costs, including those incurred by the activity in support of tenants, are identified. If both table 1A and 1B are submitted for a single DON activity, please ensure that no data is double counted (that is, included on both Table 1A and 1B). The following tables are designed to collect all BOS costs currently budgeted, regardless of appropriation, e.g., Operations and Maintenance, Research and Development, Military Personnel, etc. Data must reflect FY 1996 and should be reported in thousands of dollars.

a. Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead). This Table should be completed to identify "Other Than DBOF Overhead" Costs. Display, in the format shown on the table, the O&M, R&D and MPN resources currently budgeted for BOS services. O&M cost data must be consistent with data provided on the BS-1 exhibit. Report only direct funding for the activity. Host activities should not include reimbursable support provided to tenants, since tenants will be separately reporting these costs. Military personnel costs should be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Add

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

*to A
HEARD
CNET
N-4432
7/27/64

additional lines to the table (following line 2j., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown).
Leave shaded areas of table blank.

See page 2a.

Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name:		UIC:	
Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Maintenance and Repair			
1b. Minor Construction			
1c. Sub-total 1a. and 1b.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Utilities			
2b. Transportation			
2c. Environmental			
2d. Facility Leases			
2e. Morale, Welfare & Recreation			
2f. Bachelor Quarters			
2g. Child Care Centers			
2h. Family Service Centers			
2i. Administration			
2j. Other (Specify)			
2k. Sub-total 2a. through 2j:			
3. Grand Total (sum of 1c. and 2k.):			

McD
DONALDSON
N812
7-26-94
CNET

Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead)
Claimant :CNET

Activity Name: SCHOOL OF MUSIC NORFOLK VA

UID: 0618A

Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE COSTS:			
1a. Maintenance and Repair	0	0	0
1b. Minor Construction	0	0	0
1c. Sub-total 1a. and 1b.	0	0	0
2. OTHER BASE OPERATING COSTS:			
2a. Utilities	79	0	79
2b. Transportation	9	0	9
2c. Environmental	0	0	0
2d. Facility Leases	0	0	0
2e. Morale, Welfare & Recreation	0	0	0
2f. Bachelor Quarters	0	0	0
2g. Child Care Centers	0	0	0
2h. Family Service Centers	0	0	0
2i. Administration	9	0	9
2j. Other	71	37	108
2k. Sub-total 2a. through 2j.	168	37	205
3. GRAND TOTAL (sum of 1c. and 2k.)	168	37	205

b. Funding Source

Appropriation:	
D&M,N	205
MPN	0

TABLE 2 - SERVICES/SUPPLIES COST DATA

ACTIVITY NAME: SCHOOL OF MUSIC

UIC: 0618A

COST CATEGORY FY 1996
PROJECTED COSTS
(\$000)

1K A7 (K2) MISSION \$125

\$ 15	Travel
94	Material and Supplies (including equipment)
0	Industrial Fund Purchases (other DBOF purchases)
0	Transportation
<u>16</u>	Other Purchases (Contract support, etc.)
TOTAL	\$125

3B 5K (F3) BOS \$137

\$ 0	Travel
11	Material and Supplies (including equipment)
0	Industrial Fund Purchases (other DBOF purchases)
12	Transportation
<u>114</u>	Other Purchases (Contract support, etc.)
TOTAL	\$137

Enclosure (1)

TABLE 3 - CONTRACT WORKYEARS

ACTIVITY NAME: SCHOOL OF MUSIC

UIC: 0618A

TABLE DOES NOT APPLY.

SCHOOL OF MUSIC HAS NO CONTRACT/CONTRACT WORKYEARS.

Command: SCHOOL OF MUSIC

Data Call Number Sixty-Six

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

T. J. BARRY
NAME

T J Barry
Signature

Acting
Title

28 July 94
Date

CNET
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

J. B. GREENE, JR.
NAME

J B Greene Jr.
Signature

ACTING
Title

15 AUG 1994
Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

RAYMOND A. ASCIONE, CDR, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

Raymond A. Ascione
Signature

COMMANDING OFFICER
Title

7/15/94
Date

SCHOOL OF MUSIC
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

N61414

DATA CALL 1: GENERAL INSTALLATION INFORMATION

1. **ACTIVITY:** Follow example as provided in the table below (*delete the examples when providing your input*). If any of the questions have multiple responses, please provide all. If any of the information requested is subject to change between now and the end of Fiscal Year (FY) 1995 due to known redesignations, realignments/closures or other action, provide current and projected data and so annotate.

- Name

Official name	<i>Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek, Norfolk VA</i>
Acronym(s) used in correspondence	<i>NAVPHIBASE Little Creek</i>
Commonly accepted short title(s)	<i>NAB LCREEK, PHIBASE LCREEK</i>

- Complete Mailing Address

Commanding Officer
Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek
2600 Tarawa Court Suite 100
Norfolk, Virginia 23521-3229

- PLAD

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK VA

- **PRIMARY UIC:** N61414 (Plant Account UIC for Plant Account Holders)
Enter this number as the Activity identifier at the top of each Data Call response page.
- **ALL OTHER UIC(s):** N30288 **PURPOSE:** Naval Port Control

Office,
Morehead City, NC

N47163

Security Department

N48669

Family Services
Center

2. **PLANT ACCOUNT HOLDER:**

• Yes X No _____ (check one)

N61414

3. **ACTIVITY TYPE:** Choose most appropriate type that describes your activity and completely answer all questions.

• **HOST COMMAND:** A host command is an activity that provides facilities for its own functions and the functions of other (tenant) activities. A host has accountability for Class 1 (land), and/or Class 2 (buildings, structures, and utilities) property, regardless of occupancy. It can also be a tenant at other host activities.

• Yes X No (check one)

• **TENANT COMMAND:** A tenant command is an activity or unit that occupies facilities for which another activity (i.e., the host) has accountability. A tenant may have several hosts, although one is usually designated its primary host. If answer is "Yes," provide best known information for your primary host only.

• Yes No x (check one)

• Primary Host (current) UIC:

• Primary Host (as of 01 Oct 1995) UIC:

• Primary Host (as of 01 Oct 2001) UIC:

• **INDEPENDENT ACTIVITY:** For the purposes of this Data Call, this is the "catch-all" designator, and is defined as any activity not previously identified as a host or a tenant. The activity may occupy owned or leased space. Government Owned/Contractor Operated facilities should be included in this designation if not covered elsewhere.

• Yes No x (check one)

N61414

4. **SPECIAL AREAS:** List all Special Areas. Special Areas are defined as Class 1/Class 2 property for which your command has responsibility that is not located on or contiguous to main complex.

Name	Location	UIC
Great Cove Island	Dorchester, MD	N61414
Bloodsworth Island	Dorchester, MD	N61414
Radio Island	Carteret, NC	N61414
Bayside Borough (railroad spur)	Norfolk, VA	N61414
Morehead City, NC	Carteret, NC	N61414
South Virginia Beach	Virginia Beach, VA	N61414
Little Creek Apartments	Norfolk, VA	N61414
Housing Area	Norfolk, VA	N61414

5. **DETACHMENTS:** If your activity has detachments at other locations, please list them in the table below.

Name	UIC	Location	Host name	Host UIC
Naval Port Control Office, Morehead City	N30288	Carteret, NC	North Carolina State Port Terminal	NA (is not a DOD activity)

6. **BRAC IMPACT:** Were you affected by previous Base Closure and Realignment decisions (BRAC-88, -91, and/or -93)? If so, please provide a brief narrative.

As a result of BRAC-93, one activity from NAVSTA New York and Surface UNREP Training from Navy Technical Training Center, NAVSTA Treasure Island will be moved to NAVPHIBASE Little Creek:

- Construction Battalion Unit (CBU) 423:
 - 57 permanent military billets

- UNREP School to NAVPHIBSCOL Little Creek:
 - 13 permanent military billets
 - 880 students per year/51 on board per month

N61414

7. MISSION: Do not simply report the standard mission statement. Instead, describe important functions in a bulletized format. Include anticipated mission changes and brief narrative explanation of change; also indicate if any current/projected mission changes are a result of previous BRAC-88, -91,-93 action(s).

Current Missions

- Coordinate the control and operations within the Little Creek harbor
 - Provide for fleet service and service craft operations, management and control
 - Provide harbor pilots
 - Operate harbor tugs
 - Operate and/or maintain non-self propelled fuel/water/cargo/garbage/sludge removal barges
 - Provide and/or operate self-propelled boats
 - Provide corrective maintenance and supply for service craft

- Provide waterborne oil spill control/hazardous waste recovery

- Train Navy harbor pilots and provide advisory services to NMPC on Navy pilot assignments

- Provide and monitor training areas: beaches (Little Creek/Camp Pendleton); Landing Zone Green (Helicopter Landing Pad); and, Bloodsworth Island (Helicopter Landing Pad)

- Supervise and coordinate military use of port facilities in North Carolina at Morehead City, Wilmington and Radio Island including use as a port of Marine embarkation/debarkation
 - Maintain a ship-to-shore harbor communications network
 - Arrange for: tugs, pilot services, berth, custom and agriculture inspections, stevedoring service, mail service, emergency loadouts, and limited public logistic support for Navy, MSC and MSC-chartered ships

- Provide ammunition support and weapons storage for the Base and designated tenant commands

- Provide small arms training to tenant commands and the fleet
- Provide qualified range master personnel to ensure safety and proper training in weapons qualifications

Current Missions
(CONTINUED)

- Provide delivery of bulk fuels to homeported ships and small craft and to visiting vessels of the Coast Guard, Army and other countries
- Provide procurement, receipt, storage, shipment and issue of material/equipment for the Base, tenant commands and ships
- Manage and administer complete and comprehensive environmental and hazardous waste programs
- Manage and administer an energy conservation program
- Manage and administer an energy monitoring and control system
- Manage and administer a comprehensive occupational safety and health program
- Manage and administer a comprehensive recreation, athletics and home safety program
- Manage and administer the operation and maintenance of family public quarters (housing)
- Manage and berth transient personnel in route to NAVSURFLANT units
- Manage and operate a full service Navy Family Services Center (NFSC) in support of Navy and Marine Corps personnel and their families
 - Information and referral services
 - Transition Assistance Management Program (TAMP)
 - Relocation Assistant Program (RAP)
 - Spouse Employment Assistance Program (SEAP)
 - Deployment Support
 - Indoctrination Support
 - Financial Management and Family Education Programs
 - Family Advocacy Program
 - Counseling (individual, marital, family, group)

- Volunteer Programs
- Community Resource, Referral and Liaison Services

N61414

Current Missions
(CONTINUED)

- Manage and operate a Counseling and Assistance Center (CAAC)
 - Provide screening, referral and counseling service for active duty military with primary emphasis in drug, alcohol and compulsive over-eating programs

- Manage and operate a full service Child Care Development Center
 - Provide hourly, daily and weekly care for dependent children
 - Coordinate, manage and administer a Family Home Care Program and "Before and After School" programs

- Provide a Morale, Welfare and Recreation (MWR) program for military personnel and their families including: athletic programs for physical well-being; individual and family recreational programs including leisure time social events and recreational facilities

- Administer and operate a General Mess

- Provide field messing support for units in training

- Manage and operate five Bachelor Quarters (BQs) and one Distinguished Visitors' Quarters for military personnel assigned to the Base, tenant commands, ships, TAD and special event personnel. (A new BQ is scheduled for opening in the FY95 timeframe)

- Provide physical security; law enforcement including the deterrence of drug utilization through the Military Working Dog Team; fire protection; and, fire fighting for the Base, housing areas, tenant commands and ships

- Administer and/or provide: financial and personnel resources management services; equal opportunity programs; legal services; administrative support services; public affairs and Base newspaper services; command evaluation functions; and, religious programs for the Base, tenant

commands and/or ships

- The OICs of the Branch Medical and Branch Dental Clinics located at Little Creek are assigned additional duty to the Base Commanding Officer to ensure quality of medical and dental services to military members and their families

Projected Missions for FY 2001

- Operate, control and provide training services for an indoor Small Arms Firing Range
- Provide sexual assault prevention training and coordinate volunteers in the Victims Assistance Program

8. **UNIQUE MISSIONS:** Describe any missions which are unique or relatively unique to the activity. Include information on projected changes. Indicate if your command has any National Command Authority or classified mission responsibilities.

NOTE: NAVPHIBASE Little Creek does not have National Command Authority or classified mission responsibilities. However, several tenants/homeported units do have these responsibilities.

Current Unique Missions

- Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek is one of only two amphibious training bases in the Navy and is the only one on the east coast.
- The Base's combination of operation support and training facilities geared to predominantly amphibious and Special Operations Command (SOC) support operations, makes the Base unique among bases of the United States and Allied Navies. The Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek is the largest base of its kind in the world.
- The Base is the east coast headquarters for Landing Craft Air Cushion (LCAC) operations. (\$28M invested Phases 1-3; final Phase 4 estimated at \$8.2M, FY96/97 time frame)
- The Base is the East Coast Headquarters for Patrol Craft (PC)
- The east coast headquarters for Special Warfare Commands is Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek which includes:
 - Combat Swimmer Trainer Facility
 - Free Ascent Tank
 - Submarine Escape Lock-out, Lock-in Chamber
 - Seal Delivery Vehicle (SDV) Dry Deck Shelter
- Naval Port Control Office (NPCO), Morehead City is the only

east coast site for embarkation/debarkation of expeditionary units/amphibious groups

- Explosives training and safety functions are relatively unique as all bases do not have magazines, ranges or armories

N61414

Current Unique Missions
(CONTINUED)

- The IUSS operates a support center located on the Base which provides unique and critical support to SURTASS ships and other IUSS units/activities.
- Providing field messing support for deploying tenant units and fleet units temporarily without food service facilities, is a unique mission capability
- CAAC Little Creek offers the only outpatient (Level II) treatment program for overeater/obese active duty Navy and Marine Corps personnel in the Hampton Roads area
- The Base has an immense amount of wild life and relatively unique mission requirements to provide comprehensive animal control services, wild life protection and, fish and game repositories
- With weekly attendance of **2,500** in Worship Services, the Base Chapel has the unique mission of providing religious programs and chaplain services for the largest assembly of worshippers in the Navy

Projected Unique Missions for FY 2001

- At this time, the unique missions are anticipated to remain similar to those listed above

9. IMMEDIATE SUPERIOR IN COMMAND (ISIC): Identify your ISIC. If your ISIC is not your funding source, please identify that source in addition to the operational ISIC.

- | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| • Operational name | UIC | |
| COMNAVSUBFORLANT | 68981 | CRB/N4421A1/2-14-94. |
| Commander in Chief, U.S. Atlantic Fleet | V00060 | |
| • Funding Source | UIC | |
| <u>Commander in Chief, U.S. Atlantic Fleet</u> | <u>V00060</u> | |

Intentionally blank.

R

N61414

10. PERSONNEL NUMBERS: Host activities are responsible for totalling the personnel numbers for all of their tenant commands, even if the tenant command has been asked to separately report the data. The tenant totals here should match the total tally for the tenant listing provided subsequently in this Data Call (see Tenant Activity list). (Civilian count shall include Appropriated Fund personnel only.)

On Board Count as of 01 January 1994

(Does not include students)

	Officers	Enlisted	C i v i l i a n
(Appropriated)			
• Reporting Command	33	503	232
• Tenants (total)	1,078	9,139	910
• Reserve Units (total)	187	581	0

Authorized Positions as of 30 September 1994

	Officers	Enlisted	C i v i l i a n
(Appropriated)			
• Reporting Command	23	284	234
• Tenants (total)	940	7,922	921
• Reserve Units (total)	216	802	0

11. KEY POINTS OF CONTACT (POC): Provide the work, FAX, and home telephone numbers for the Commanding Officer or OIC, and the Duty Officer. Include area code(s). You may provide other key POCs if so desired in addition to those above.

<u>Title/Name</u>	<u>Office</u>	<u>Fax</u>	<u>Home</u>
• CO/OIC			
<u>John E. O'Neil, Captain, USN</u> Commanding Officer	(804) 464-7231	(804) 464-7300	(804) 460-0199
• Duty Officer	(804) 464-7385	(804) 464-7300	[N/A]
• <u>H. M. Quattlebaum</u> Resources Management Officer	(804) 464-8426	(804) 464-7300	(804) 488-8611

N61414

10. **PERSONNEL NUMBERS:** Host activities are responsible for totalling the personnel numbers for all of their tenant commands, even if the tenant command has been asked to separately report the data. The tenant totals here should match the total tally for the tenant listing provided subsequently in this Data Call (see Tenant Activity list). (Civilian count shall include Appropriated Fund personnel only.)

On Board Count as of 01 January 1994
(Does not include students)

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilian (Appropriated)
• Reporting Command	<u>33</u>	<u>503</u>	<u>232</u>
• Tenants (total)	<u>1,078</u>	<u>9,139</u>	<u>910</u>
• Reserve Units (total)	<u>187</u>	<u>581</u>	<u>0</u>

Authorized Positions as of 30 September 1994

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilian (Appropriated)
• Reporting Command	<u>23</u>	<u>284</u>	<u>234</u>
• Tenants (total)	<u>948</u>	<u>8,083</u>	<u>921</u>
• Reserve Units (total)	<u>216</u>	<u>802</u>	<u>0</u>

11. **KEY POINTS OF CONTACT (POC):** Provide the work, FAX, and home telephone numbers for the Commanding Officer or OIC, and the Duty Officer. Include area code(s). You may provide other key POCs if so desired in addition to those above.

<u>Title/Name</u>	<u>Office</u>	<u>Fax</u>	<u>Home</u>
• CO/OIC			
<u>John E. O'Neil, Captain, USN</u> Commanding Officer	(804) 464-7231	(804) 464-7300	(804) 460-0199
• Duty Officer	(804) 464-7385	(804) 464-7300	[N/A]
• <u>H. M. Quattlebaum</u> Resources Management Officer	(804) 464-8426	(804) 464-7300	(804) 488-8611

N61414

12. TENANT ACTIVITY LIST: This list must be all-inclusive. Tenant activities are to ensure that their host is aware of their existence and any "subleasing" of space. This list should include the name and UIC(s) of all organizations, shore commands and homeported units, active or reserve, DOD or non-DOD (include commercial entities). The tenant listing should be reported in the format provide below, listed in numerical order by UIC, separated into the categories listed below. Host activities are responsible for including authorized personnel numbers, on board as of **30 September 1994**, for all tenants, even if those tenants have also been asked to provide this information on a separate Data Call. (Civilian count shall include Appropriated Fund personnel only.)

- Tenants residing on main complex (shore commands)

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian
PWC SITE LCREEK SITE	N00187	2	0	250
FISC DET LCREEK	N00189	0	1	8
CG LIFEBOAT STATION	Z30277	0	23	0
FLETRAGRU DET SEC/WEPS	N31506	1	8	2
BRMEDCLINIC LCREEK	N32529	18	100	66
BRDENCLINIC LCREEK	N35044	9	20	6
UCT ONE (SHORE)	N35232	0	11	0
NAVY BAND FLTSUPPUNIT	N35392	1	7	0
NTCC LCREEK	N41515	0	11	3
PHIBCB TWO (SHORE)	N42043	8	161	0
BMU TWO (SHORE)	N42055	2	29	0
ACU TWO (SHORE)	N42056	2	54	0
SCHOOL OF MUSIC	N42112	3	21	3
SPECBOATU 20 (SHORE)	N42223	18	53	0
PERSUPPDET LCREEK	N42575	1	75	31
NCIS RES AGENCY LCRK	N42927	0	0	6
NCIS LEPS AST TEAM	N45188	1	4	3
ROICC LCREEK	N45810	4	1	12
IUSS OPS SUPP CTR (MIL)	V46063	2	2	0
ACU FOUR (SHORE)	N47106	13	178	0

N61414

• Tenants residing on main complex (shore commands) **(CONTINUED)**

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian
DECA CENTRAL REGION	N48802	1	5	122
NAVPHIBSCOL LCRK TQL	N48901	4	12	19
DECA COMMISSARY STORE	N49027	0	7	90
NAVSPECWAR CTR DET	N49093	2	27	1
COMPHIBRON TEN NEUDU	N49128	0	11	0
IUSS OPS SUPP CTR (CIV)	V49351	0	0	14
FLETRAGRU DET/SMALL ARMS	N53929	0	8	0
FLETACREADGRU (SHORE)	N55722	21	34	11
MARINE ELM SCOL OF MUSIC	N56011	1	14	0
NAVAIRWARCEN ISEO LCREEK	N61339	0	0	3
DPPSO DET LCREEK	N62575	0	0	2
SUPSHIPS PTSMH DET LCRK	N62678	0	0	6
INSURVLANT	N62896	0	1	1
NAVPHIBSCOL LCREEK	N63021	24	109	19
PRESINSURV	N63023	3	8	9
NCIS MTT LANT	N63055	0	0	10
NAVMARCORESCEN	N63438	4	37	3
NAVSURFWARCEN	N65540	0	0	3
NAVY EXCHANGE LCREEK	N66263	1	5	0
NETPMSA DET (NAVY CAMPUS)	N68322	0	0	3
EODMU (SHORE)	N68769	5	49	0
HRO NORFOLK, LCRK SVC OFF	N68845	0	0	6
US POST OFFICE BRANCH	N73085	0	0	5
ARMY ELM SCOL OF MUSIC	IMUAA	6	67	20
DIS LCREEK OFFICE	HS1500	0	0	2

N61414

- Tenants residing on main complex (homeported units).

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian
COMNAVSPECWARGRU 2	V0031A	35	84	29
COMPHIBRON 10	V0245A	25	25	0
COMTACGRU 2	V0379A	3	5	0
USS HOIST (ARS-40)	V02535	0	0	0
USS RECOVERY (ARS-43)	V02538	6	104	0
SDV TEAM 2	V08842	25	182	0
SEAL TEAM 4	V08943	30	199	0
TACRON 21	V09807	10	48	0
TACRON 22	V09812	10	47	0
USCGC POINT ARENA	Z13246	1	9	0
USCGC POINT HERON	Z13257	1	9	0
DYNAMIC (AFDL-6)	V14806	1	23	0
USS PORTLAND (LSD-37)	V20012	18	304	0
USS PENSACOLA (LSD-38)	V20013	18	304	0
USS SAGINAW (LST-1188)	V20027	0	0	0
USS BOULDER (LST-1190)	V20029	0	0	0
USS SPARTANBURG COUNTY (LST-1191)	V20031	0	0	0
USS FAIRFAX COUNTY (LST-1193)	V20032	0	0	0
USS LAMOURE COUNTY (LST 1194)	V20033	15	249	0
USS EDENTON (ATS-1)	V20151	7	110	0
USS APACHE (TATF-172)	V20191	0	4	16
USS HARLAN COUNTY (LST-1196)	V20222	15	248	0
USS BARNSTABLE COUNTY (LST-1197)	V20223	0	0	0

NOTE: Three PCs and two LSDs are scheduled to be homeported at NAVPHIBASE Little Creek beginning in FY95, with approximate total billet authorizations of 54 officers and 718 enlisted.

R

N61414

• Tenants residing on main complex (homeported units) (CONTINUED)

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian
USNS POWHATAN (TATF-166)	V21010	0	4	16
USNS MOHAWK (TATF-170)	V21051	0	4	16
USS WHIDBEY ISLAND (LSD-41)	V21218	21	302	0
USNS PERSISTENT (TAGOS-6)	V21283	0	0	0
USNS PREVAIL (TAGOS-8)	V21284	0	0	0
USNS INVINCIBLE (TAGOS-10)	V21306	0	0	0
USS GUNSTON HALL (LSD-44)	V21422	21	296	0
USS GRAPPLE (ARS-53)	V21441	6	104	0
USS GRASP (ARS-51)	V21467	6	104	0
USNS BOLD (TAGOS-12)	V21472	0	0	0
USS ASHLAND (LSD-48)	V21531	21	299	0
USS TORTUGA (LSD-46)	V21562	21	296	0
USS TYPHOON (PC-5)	V21926	4	24	0
USS SIROCCO (PC-6)	V21927	4	24	0
USS CYCLONE (PC-1)	V21930	4	24	0
USS TEMPEST (PC-2)	V21931	4	24	0
UCT ONE (SEA DUTY)	V30121	3	44	0
FLECOMPRON 6 DET LCRK	V32019	5	42	0
SIMA LITTLE CREEK	V32732	10	352	10
ENGTRAGRU DET LCREEK	V41616	2	6	0
FLETACREADGRU	V41649	14	77	0
USNS STALWART (TAGOS-1)	V42428	0	9	0
USNS INDOMITABLE (TAGOS-7)	V42488	0	9	0
MOBDIVSALV TWO (SEA)	V42838	0	57	0
EODMU TWO (SEA)	V43504	26	136	0
PCU USS CARTER HALL (LSD-50)	21880	21	316	0
PCU LSD 9101	L9220	21	322	0

N61414

• Tenants residing on main complex (homeported units) (CONTINUED)

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian
USNS POWHATAN (TATF-166)	V21010	0	4	16
USNS MOHAWK (TATF-170)	V21051	0	4	16
USS WHIDBEY ISLAND (LSD-41)	V21218	21	302	0
USNS PERSISTENT (TAGOS-6)				
USNS PREVAIL (TAGOS-8)	V21283	0	0	0
USNS INVINCIBLE (TAGOS-10)	V21284	0	0	0
USS GUNSTON HALL (LSD-44)	V21306	0	0	0
USS GRAPPLE (ARS-53)				
USS GRASP (ARS-51)	V21422	21	296	0
USNS BOLD (TAGOS-12)	V21441	6	104	0
USS ASHLAND (LSD-48)	V21467	6	104	0
USS TORTUGA (LSD-46)	V21472	0	0	0
USS TYPHOON (PC-5)	V21531	21	299	0
USS SIROCCO (PC-6)	V21562	21	296	0
USS CYCLONE (PC-1)	V21926	4	24	0
USS TEMPEST (PC-2)	V21927	4	24	0
UCT ONE (SEA DUTY)	V21930	4	24	0
FLECOMPRON 6 DET LCRK	V21931	4	24	0
SIMA LITTLE CREEK	V30121	3	44	0
ENGTRAGRU DET LCREEK	V32019	5	42	0
FLETACREADGRU	V32732	10	352	10
USNS STALWART (TAGOS-1)	V41616	2	6	0
USNS INDOMITABLE (TAGOS-7)	V41649	14	77	0
MOBDIVSALV TWO (SEA)	V42428	0	9	0
EODMU TWO (SEA)	V42488	0	9	0
PCU USS CARTER HALL (LSD-50)	V42838	0	57	0
PCU LSD 9101	V43504	26	136	0
PHIBCB2 (SEA DUTY)	21880	21	316	0
	L9220	21	322	0
	42043	8	161	0

N61414

• Tenants residing on main complex (homeported units) (CONTINUED)

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian
FCDIT	V43594	4	31	0
SPECBOATU 20 (SEA)	V44392	4	112	0
ACU FOUR (SEA)	V45472	11	378	0
FLTIMAGCENLANT OCEANA DET LCREEK	V45897	0	3	0
TAGOS SUPPU LANT LCREEK	V46077	1	2	12
SEAL TEAM 8	V46985	29	198	0
PRESINSURV (SEA)	V46990	25	0	0
INSURVLANT (SEA)	V46991	29	3	1
FLETRAGRU DET	V47705	6	50	0
PCMSUPPTM 2	V49081	1	12	0
PCMSUPPTM 4	V49083	1	12	0
USNS CAPABLE (TAGOS-16)	V49889	0	9	0
COMSPECBOATRON TWO	V52738	22	65	5
COMNAVSPECWARGRU SEA	V52839	0	55	0
ACU TWO (SEA)	V53210	9	187	0
BMU TWO (SEA)	V53211	13	114	0
NSL OHSAT/PSAT/RSGTSU	V53825	5	0	7
COMSURFWARDEVGRU	V53863	31	40	16
PHIBCB TWO (SEA)	V55105	8	169	0
COMEODGRU TWO	V55322	11	29	0
COMPHIBGRU TWO	V55333	19	38	1
COMSUPPRON EIGHT	V55421	9	10	0
MOBDIVSALU TWO	V55496	9	77	0
SEAL TEAM TWO	V55778	29	197	0
LFTCLANT	V56011	42	90	0
COM SECOND NCB	V57034	16	19	21
COM 22 NCR	V55614	1	0	0

N61414

- Tenants residing on main complex (homeported units) **(CONTINUED)**

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Officer	Enlisted	Civilian
COMNAVBEACHGRU TWO	V57067	10	13	3
LFTCLANT (CIV ONLY)	V67355	0	0	15
MAPRAGLANT	V68652	4	19	14
MIUW 206	V81991	0	8	0

N61414

- Tenants **(Naval Reserve Units Drilling on board NAVPHIBASE LCREEK)**

Tenant Command Name	RUIC	Location	Officer	Enl	Civilian
---------------------	------	----------	---------	-----	----------

VTU 0607	0607G	NAB LCREEK	46	24	0
USS BOULDER (LST-1190)	20029	NAB LCREEK	5	73	0
VTU DENTAL 106	2016R	NAB LCREEK	1	0	0
SPECBOATRON 2	52738	NAB LCREEK	7	9	0
COMINEDIV 123	52749	NAB LCREEK	3	3	0
PERSMOBTM 3106	81372	NAB LCREEK	9	18	0
MIUWU 206	81991	NAB LCREEK	12	52	0
SURFWARDEVGRU 106	82641	NAB LCREEK	5	10	0
PHIBCB 2 DET 206	82685	NAB LCREEK	2	29	0
FF1072 BLAKELY 7206	83267	NAB LCREEK	10	55	0
NAB LCREEK SEC	83384	NAB LCREEK	3	56	0
2ND NCB HQ DET	83387	NAB LCREEK	17	56	0
USS CLARK FFG-11	83431	NAB LCREEK	4	47	0
USS ESTOCIN FFG-15	83434	NAB LCREEK	4	47	0
NMCB 23 DET 0123	85276	NAB LCREEK	0	68	0
SEAL TEAM 4	85490	NAB LCREEK	5	39	0
MDSU 2 DET 606	85606	NAB LCREEK	6	28	0
CINCLANT REL 0623A	86231	NAB LCREEK	1	1	0
FLETACREADGRU	87484	NAB LCREEK	9	24	0
SPECWARGRU 2 DET	88031	NAB LCREEK	15	34	0
NAVHOSP PORTS 106	88300	NAB LCREEK	27	38	0
FSSG 14TH DNTL DET	88782	NAB LCREEK	4	10	0
NDCL NORVA 106	89093	NAB LCREEK	16	24	0
PSD LCREEK 106	89308	NAB LCREEK	2	6	0
SIMA LC COORD 106	89891	NAB LCREEK	3	51	0

N61414

- Tenants residing in Special Areas (Special Areas are defined as real estate owned by host command not contiguous with main complex; e.g. outlying fields).

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Location	Officer	Enl	Civilian
NONE					

- Tenants (Other than those identified previously)

o **THE FOLLOWING IS A LIST OF COMMERCIAL AND VOLUNTEER ENTITIES**

Tenant Command Name	UIC	Location	Officer	Enl	Civilian
---------------------	-----	----------	---------	-----	----------

Amphibious Base Federal Credit Union	NA (Not DOD act- ivities)	All reside on the Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek Main Complex	0	0	0
Nations Bank			0	0	0
Old Dominion University Branch			0	0	0
St. Leo's College Branch			0	0	0
Tidewater Community College Branch			0	0	0
Troy State University Branch			0	0	0
Navy/Marine Corps Relief Society			0	0	0
American Red Cross			0	0	0
SATO Travel LCREEK			0	0	0
McDonalds Little Creek			0	0	0

N61414

13. REGIONAL SUPPORT: Identify your relationship with other activities, not reported as a host/tenant, for which you provide support. Again, this list should be all-inclusive. The intent of this question is capture the full breadth of the mission of your command and your customer/supplier relationships. Include in your answer any Government Owned/Contractor Operated facilities for which you provide administrative oversight and control.

Activity name	Location	Support function (include mechanism such as ISSA, MOU, etc.)
<i>CINCLANT (Solid Shield Exercise annually)</i>	<i>Norfolk VA</i>	<i>Legal; guard mail services; purchasing & contracting; fire protection; police services; housing & lodging; food services; maintenance of real property (MRP); public affairs; chapel/chaplain services; morale & fitness support; environmental compliance; supply & storage operations - ISSA.</i>
<i>EODTEU 2</i>	<i>Virginia Beach VA</i>	<i>Legal; purchasing & contracting; housing & lodging; safety; morale & fitness support; weapons range facilities - ISSA.</i>
<i>INSP-INSTR CO "A" 4TH Assault Amphibian Battalion (-), 4TH Marine Div, FMF, USMCR</i>	<i>Norfolk VA</i>	<i>Guard mail; fire protection; police services; housing & lodging; food services; safety; Family Services Center (FSC); morale & fitness support; weapons range facilities; supply & storage operations - ISSA.</i>
<i>NAVAUDSVCSE</i>	<i>Virginia Beach VA</i>	<i>Guard mail; purchasing & contracting; safety; supply & storage operations - ISSA.</i>

N61414

13. REGIONAL SUPPORT: (CONTINUED)

Activity name	Location	Support function (include mechanism such as ISSA, MOU, etc.)
<i>NAVRES, EODMU TEN</i>	<i>Virginia Beach VA</i>	<i>Legal; purchasing & contracting; housing & lodging; safety; morale & fitness support; weapons range facilities - ISSA.</i>
<i>NAVBCSTSVC FSD NORVA</i>	<i>Chesapeake VA</i>	<i>Guard mail; police services; safety; morale & fitness support; disaster preparedness - ISSA.</i>
<i>NAVFACENGSVCTR EC DET, OCSA</i>	<i>Portsmouth VA</i>	<i>Purchasing & contracting; supply and storage operations - ISSA.</i>
<i>US ARMY - FORT STORY</i>	<i>Virginia Beach VA</i>	<i>Fire protection - ISSA.</i>

N61614

14. **FACILITY MAPS:** This is a primary responsibility of the plant account holders/host commands. Tenant activities are not required to comply with submission if it is known that your host activity has complied with the request. Maps and photos should not be dated earlier than 01 January 1991, unless annotated that no changes have taken place. Any recent changes should be annotated on the appropriate map or photo. Date and label all copies.

- **Local Area Map.** This map should encompass, at a minimum, a 50 mile radius of your activity. Indicate the name and location of all DoD activities within this area, whether or not you support that activity. Map should also provide the geographical relationship to the major civilian communities within this radius. (Provide 12 copies.)

- **See Attachment #1, Local Area Map (Regional Map) (Twelve 8 1/2" x 11")**

- **Installation Map / Activity Map / Base Map / General Development Map / Site Map.** Provide the most current map of your activity, clearly showing all the land under ownership/control of your activity, whether owned or leased. Include all outlying areas, special areas, and housing. Indicate date of last update. Map should show all structures (numbered with a legend, if available) and all significant restrictive use areas/zones that encumber further development such as HERO, HERP, HERF, ESQD arcs, agricultural/forestry programs, environmental restrictions (e.g., endangered species). (Provide in two sizes: 36"x 42" (2 copies, if available); and 11"x 17" (12 copies).)

- **See Attachment #2, Base Map (Two 36" x 42"; Twelve 11" x 17")**

- **See Attachment #3, Special Area Location Map (Twelve 8 1/2" x 11")**

- **Aerial photo(s).** Aerial shots should show all base use areas (both land and water) as well as any local encroachment sites/issues. You should ensure that these photos provide a good look at the areas identified on your Base Map as areas of concern/interest - remember, a picture tells a thousand words. Again, date and label all copies. (Provide 12 copies of each, 8½"x 11".)

- **See Attachment #4, Aerial photo (Twelve 8 1/2" x 10")**

- (Color photos are not available)

- **Air Installations Compatible Use Zones (AICUZ) Map.** (Provide 12 copies.)

- **NA (Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek is not an Air Installation)**

UUC 61414

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

J. D. AISHMAN, CDR, USN
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Commanding Officer (Acting)
Title

3 February 1994
Date

Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek
Activity

NAB LITTLE CREEK UIC 61414

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

K. F. DELANEY

NAME (Please type or print)

K. F. Delaney

Signature

REAR ADMIRAL, U.S. NAVY

Title

3/31/94

Date

Commander
Naval Shore Activities
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

H. H. MAUZ, JR.

NAME

H. H. Mauz, Jr.

Signature

ADMIRAL, U.S. NAVY

Title

2/15/94

Date

Commander In Chief
U.S. Atlantic Fleet
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Through administrative error, COMNAVSHORLANT certification obtained after CINCLANTFLT certification.

NAB LITTLE CREEK UIC No 1414

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title


Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

H. H. MAUZ, JR.

NAME



Signature

ADMIRAL, U.S. NAVY

Title

Date


Commander In Chief
U.S. Atlantic Fleet
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

S. F. Loftus
Vice Admiral, U.S. Navy

NAME (Please type or print)
Deputy Chief of Naval
Operations (Logistics)



Signature

17 FEB 1994

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK (61414) DATA CALL ONE, PGS 14 and 18

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

K. F. DELANEY

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

REAR ADMIRAL, U.S. NAVY

Title Commander

Date

Naval Shore Activities

U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

H. H. MAUZ, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

ADMIRAL, U.S. NAVY

Title

Date

Commander in Chief

U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)

DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

J. B. Greene, Jr.

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Acting

Title

11 APR 1994

Date

25

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

Activity Identification: Please complete the following table, identifying the activity for which this response is being submitted.

Activity Name:	Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek
UIC:	N61414
Major Claimant:	CINCLANTFLT

General Instructions/Background:

Information requested in this data call is required for use by the Base Structure Evaluation Committee (BSEC), in concert with information from other data calls, to analyze both the impact that potential closure or realignment actions would have on a local community and the impact that relocations of personnel would have on communities surrounding receiving activities. In addition to Cost of Base Realignment Actions (COBRA) analyses which incorporate standard Department of the Navy (DON) average cost factors, the BSEC will also be conducting more sophisticated economic and community infrastructure analyses requiring more precise, activity-specific data. For example, activity-specific salary rates are required to reflect differences in salary costs for activities with large concentrations of scientists and engineers and to address geographic differences in wage grade salary rates. Questions relating to "Community Infrastructure" are required to assist the BSEC in evaluating the ability of a community to absorb additional employees and functions as the result of relocation from a closing or realigning DON activity.

Due to the varied nature of potential sources which could be used to respond to the questions contained in this data call, a block appears after each question, requesting the identification of the source of data used to respond to the question. To complete this block, identify the source of the data provided, including the appropriate references for source documents, names and organizational titles of individuals providing information, etc. Completion of this "Source of Data" block is critical since some of the information requested may be available from a non-DoD source such as a published document from the local chamber of commerce, school board, etc. Certification of data obtained from a non-DoD source is then limited to certifying that the information contained in the data call response is an accurate and complete representation of the information obtained from the source. Records must be retained by the certifying official to clearly document

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

the source of any non-DoD information submitted for this data call. General Instructions/Background (Continued):

The following notes are provided to further define terms and methodologies used in this data call. Please ensure that responses consistently follow this guidance:

Note 1: Throughout this data call, the term "activity" is used to refer to the DON installation that is the addressee for the data call.

Note 2: Periodically throughout this data call, questions will include the statement that the response should refer to the "area defined in response to question 1.b., (page 3)". Recognizing that in some large metropolitan areas employee residences may be scattered among many counties or states, the scope of the "area defined" may be limited to the sum of:

- those counties that contain government (DoD) housing units (as identified in 1.b.2)), and,
- those counties closest to the activity which, in the aggregate, include the residences of 80% or more of the activity's employees.

Note 3: Responses to questions referring to "civilians" in this data call should reflect federal civil service appropriated fund employees.

1. Workforce Data

a. **Average Federal Civilian Salary Rate.** Provide the projected FY 1996 average gross annual appropriated fund civil service salary rate for the activity identified as the addressee in this data call. This rate should include all cash payments to employees, and exclude non-cash personnel benefits such as employer retirement contributions, payments to former employees, etc.

Average Appropriated Fund Civilian Salary Rate:	\$ 37,716
---	-----------

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

Source of Data (1.a. Salary Rate): Tenant Commands and NAVPHIBASE Little Creek
 FY 96/97 Budget Submission

b. Location of Residence. Complete the following table to identify where employees live. Data should reflect current workforce.

1) Residency Table. Identify residency data, by county, for both military and civilian (civil service) employees working at the installation (including, for example, operational units that are homeported or stationed at the installation). For each county listed, also provide the estimated average distance from the activity, in miles, of employee residences and the estimated average length of time to commute one-way to work. For the purposes of displaying data in the table, any county(s) in which 1% or fewer of the activity's employees reside may be consolidated as a single line entry in the table, titled "Other".

County of Residence	State	No. of Employees Residing in County		Percentage of Total Employees	Average Distance From Base (Miles)	Average Duration of Commute (Minutes)
		Military	Civilian			
Virginia Beach	VA	4,003	539	45%	8	22.7
Norfolk	VA	3,543	158	36%	10	25.0
Chesapeake	VA	647	145	8%	20	32.5
Portsmouth	VA	166	34	2%	17	31.8
Hampton	VA	179	26	2%	15	36.4
Newport News	VA	167	10	2%	17	50.1
Other		380	145	5% ¹	unknown	unknown

= 100%

As discussed in Note 2 on Page 2, subsequent questions in the data call refer to the "area defined in response to question 1.b., (page 3)". In responding to these questions, the scope of the "area defined" may be limited to the sum of: a) those counties that contain government (DoD) housing units (as identified below), and, b) those counties closest to the activity which, in the aggregate, include the residences of 80% or more of the activity's employees.

¹Other is comprised of several locations, none of which exceed 1% of employee population.

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."


The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

JOHN E. O'NEIL JR., CAPTAIN, USN
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Commanding Officer
Title

11 July 1994
Date

Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek
Activity

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

2) Location of Government (DoD) Housing. If some employees of the base live in government housing, identify the county(s) where government housing is located:

Norfolk, VA (Plant Account Holder-PWC Norfolk, UIC); Virginia Beach, VA (Plant Account Holders-NAS Oceana and FCTCLANT Dam Neck)

Source of Data (1.b. 1) & 2) Residence Data):

1.b. 1) Sources:

1. Military residency: PERSUPPDET LCREEK (Navy Military Personnel Source Data System) and in-house estimates. The table reflects 99% of the base military personnel population.
2. Civilian residency: HRO Norfolk (Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS)); NAVPHIBASE Little Creek, PWC Norfolk Little Creek site; and in-house estimates. The table reflects 92% of the base civilian personnel population.
3. Average Distances: In-house estimate.
4. Average Duration of Commute: Hampton Roads Planning District Commission. Figures used are from central intersections located in Virginia Beach, Norfolk, Chesapeake and Portsmouth. Figures used for Hampton and Newport News are the distances to Naval Station, Norfolk plus 15 minutes.

1.b. 2) Source

Base Civil Engineering (BCE) Department, NAVPHIBASE Little Creek

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

c. **Nearest Metropolitan Area(s).** Identify all major metropolitan area(s) (i.e., population concentrations of 100,000 or more people) which are within 50 miles of the installation. If no major metropolitan area is within 50 miles of the base, then identify the nearest major metropolitan area(s) (100,000 or more people) and its distance(s) from the base.

City	County	Distance from base (miles)
Virginia Beach	NA	Adjoins the base
Norfolk	NA	Adjoins the base
Chesapeake	NA	10-15 miles
Portsmouth	NA	15-20 miles
Hampton	NA	15-20 miles
Newport News	NA	17-22 miles

<p>Source of Data (i.c. Metro Areas): Forward Hampton Roads, Hampton Roads Chamber of Commerce</p>
--

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

d. **Age of Civilian Workforce.** Complete the following table, identifying the age of the activity's civil service workforce.

Age Category	Number of Employees	Percentage of Employees
16 - 19 Years	0	0%
20 - 24 Years	11	1%
25 - 34 Years	163	15%
35 - 44 Years	328	31%
45 - 54 Years	365	35%
55 - 64 Years	178	17%
65 or Older	12	1%
TOTAL	1057 ¹	100%

¹ Data is based on onboard civilian personnel.

Source of Data (1.d.) Age Data):

1. HRO Norfolk Defense Civilian Personnel System (DCPDS) for 17 tenant commands and NAVPHIBASE Little Creek.
2. Questionnaire responses from 11 tenant commands.
3. In-house estimate for 8 tenant commands.

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

e. Education Level of Civilian Workforce

1) **Education Level Table.** Complete the following table, identifying the education level of the activity's civil service workforce.

Last School Year Completed	Number of Employees	Percentage of Employees
8th Grade or less	4	0%
9th through 11th Grade	22	2%
12th Grade or High School Equivalency	718	68%
1-3 Years of College	123	12%
4 Years of College (Bachelors Degree)	137	13%
5 or More Years of College (Graduate Work)	53	5%
TOTAL	1057 ¹	100%

¹ Data is based on onboard civilian personnel.

2) **Degrees Achieved.** Complete the following table for the activity's civil service workforce. Identify the number of employees with each of the following degrees, etc. To

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

avoid double counting, only identify the highest degree obtained by a worker (e.g., if an employee has both a Master's Degree and a Doctorate, only include the employee under the category "Doctorate").

Degree	Number of Civilian Employees ¹
Terminal Occupation Program - Certificate of Completion, Diploma or Equivalent (for areas such as technicians, craftsmen, artisans, skilled operators, etc.)	96
Associate Degree	41
Bachelor Degree	127
Masters Degree	29
Doctorate	1

¹ Data is based on onboard civilian personnel.

Source of Data (1.e.1) and 2) Education Level Data):

1. HRO Norfolk Defense Civilian Personnel System (DCPDS) for 17 tenant commands and NAVPHIBASE Little Creek.
2. Questionnaire responses from 11 tenant commands.
3. In-house estimate for 8 tenant commands.

f. **Civilian Employment By Industry.** Complete the following table to identify by "industry" the type of work performed by civil service employees at the activity. The intent

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

of this table is to attempt to stratify the activity civilian workforce using the same categories of industries used to identify private sector employment. Employees should be categorized based on their primary duties. Additional information on categorization of private sector employment by industry can be found in the Office of Management and Budget Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Manual. However, you do not need to obtain a copy of this publication to provide the data requested in this table.

Note the following specific guidance regarding the "Industry Type" codes in the first column of the table: Even though categories listed may not perfectly match the type of work performed by civilian employees, please attempt to assign each civilian employee to one of the "Industry Types" identified in the table. However, only use the Category 6, "Public Administration" sub-categories when none of the other categories apply. Retain supporting data used to construct this table at the activity-level, in case questions arise or additional information is required at some future time. Leave shaded areas blank.

Industry	SIC Codes	No. of Civilians	% of Civilians
1. Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	01-09	NA	NA
2. Construction (includes facility maintenance and repair)	15-17	55	5.2%
3. Manufacturing (includes Intermediate and Depot level maintenance)	20-39		
3a. Fabricated Metal Products (include ordnance, ammo, etc.)	34	NA	NA
3b. Aircraft (includes engines and missiles)	3721 et al	NA	NA
3c. Ships	3731	19	1.8%
3d. Other Transportation (includes ground vehicles)	various	5	0.47%
3e. Other Manufacturing not included in 3a. through 3d.	various	0	0
Sub-Total 3a. through 3e.	20-39	24	2.27%
4. Transportation/Communications/Utilities	40-49		
4a. Railroad Transportation	40	NA	NA

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

Industry	SIC Codes	No. of Civilians	% of Civilians
4b. Motor Freight Transportation & Warehousing (includes supply services)	42	87	8.23%
4c. Water Transportation (includes organizational level maintenance)	44	NA	NA
4d. Air Transportation (includes organizational level maintenance)	45	NA	NA
4e. Other Transportation Services (includes organizational level maintenance)	47	NA	NA
4f. Communications	48	3	0.28%
4g. Utilities	49	86	8.14%
Sub-Total 4a. through 4g.	40-49	176	16.65%
5. Services	70-89		
5a. Lodging Services	70	2	0.19%
5b. Personal Services (includes laundry and funeral services)	72	NA	NA
5c. Business Services (includes mail, security guards, pest control, photography, janitorial and ADP services)	73	164	15.52%
5d. Automotive Repair and Services	75	17	1.61%
5e. Other Misc. Repair Services	76	0	0
5f. Motion Pictures	78	NA	NA
5g. Amusement and Recreation Services	79	16	1.51%
5h. Health Services	80	68	6.43%
5i. Legal Services	81	2	0.19%
5j. Educational Services	82	38	3.60%

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

Industry	SIC Codes	No. of Civilians	% of Civilians
5k. Social Services	83	2	0.19%
5l. Museums	84	NA	NA
5m. Engineering, Accounting, Research & Related Services (includes RDT&E, ISE, etc.)	87	71	6.72%
5n. Other Misc. Services	89	51	4.82%
Sub-Total 5a. through 5n.:	70-89	431	40.78%
6. Public Administration	91-97		
6a. Executive and General Government, Except Finance	91	230	21.76%
6b. Justice, Public Order & Safety (includes police, firefighting and emergency management)	92	78	7.38%
6c. Public Finance	93	39	3.69%
6d. Environmental Quality and Housing Programs	95	24	2.27%
Sub-Total 6a. through 6d.		371	35.1%
TOTAL		1,057	100%

Source of Data (1.f.) Classification By Industry Data):

1. HRO Norfolk Defense Civilian Personnel System (DCPDS) for 17 tenant commands and NAVPHIBASE Little Creek.
2. Questionnaire responses from 11 tenant commands.
3. In-house estimate for 8 tenant commands.

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

g. Civilian Employment by Occupation. Complete the following table to identify the types of "occupations" performed by civil service employees at the activity. Employees should be categorized based on their primary duties. Additional information on categorization of employment by occupation can be found in the Department of Labor Occupational Outlook Handbook. However, you do not need to obtain a copy of this publication to provide the data requested in this table.

Note the following specific guidance regarding the "Occupation Type" codes in the first column of the table: Even though categories listed may not perfectly match the type of work performed by civilian employees, please attempt to assign each civilian employee to one of the "Occupation Types" identified in the table. Refer to the descriptions immediately following this table for more information on the various occupational categories. Retain supporting data used to construct this table at the activity-level, in case questions arise or additional information is required at some future time. Leave shaded areas blank.

Occupation	Number of Civilian Employees	Percent of Civilian Employees
1. Executive, Administrative and Management	220	20.81%
2. Professional Specialty		
2a. Engineers	23	2.17%
2b. Architects and Surveyors	1	0.09%
2c. Computer, Mathematical & Operations Research	4	.38%
2d. Life Scientists	NA	NA
2e. Physical Scientists	NA	NA
2f. Lawyers and Judges	NA	NA
2g. Social Scientists & Urban Planners	2	0.19%
2h. Social & Recreation Workers	0	0
2i. Religious Workers	NA	NA
2j. Teachers, Librarians & Counselors	10	.95%
2k. Health Diagnosing Practitioners (Doctors)	8	.76%

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

Occupation	Number of Civilian Employees	Percent of Civilian Employees
2l. Health Assessment & Treating(Nurses, Therapists, Pharmacists, Nutritionists, etc.)	28	2.65%
2m. Communications	0	0
2n. Visual Arts	3	0.28%
Sub-Total 2a. through 2n.:	79	7.47%
3. Technicians and Related Support		
3a. Health Technologists and Technicians	9	0.85%
3b. Other Technologists	46	4.35%
Sub-Total 3a. and 3b.:	55	5.2%
4. Administrative Support & Clerical	298	28.19%
5. Services		
5a. Protective Services (includes guards, firefighters, police)	67	6.34%
5b. Food Preparation & Service	2	0.19%
5c. Dental/Medical Assistants/Aides	6	0.57%
5d. Personal Service & Building & Grounds Services (includes janitorial, grounds maintenance, child care workers)	55	5.2%
Sub-Total 5a. through 5d.	130	12.3%
6. Agricultural, Forestry & Fishing	NA	NA
7. Mechanics, Installers and Repairers	76	7.2%
8. Construction Trades	60	5.68%
9. Production Occupations	34	3.21%
10. Transportation & Material Moving	47	4.45%

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

Occupation	Number of Civilian Employees	Percent of Civilian Employees
11. Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers (not included elsewhere)	58	5.49%
TOTAL	1,057	100%

Source of Data (1.g.) Classification By Occupation Data):

1. HRO Norfolk Defense Civilian Personnel System (DCPDS) for 17 tenant commands and NAVPHIBASE Little Creek.
2. Questionnaire responses from 11 tenant commands.
3. In-house estimate for 8 tenant commands.

Description of Occupational Categories used in Table 1.g. The following list identifies public and private sector occupations included in each of the major occupational categories used in the table. Refer to these examples as a guide in determining where to allocate **appropriated fund civil service jobs** at the activity.

1. **Executive, Administrative and Management.** Accountants and auditors; administrative services managers; budget analysts; construction and building inspectors; construction contractors and managers; cost estimators; education administrators; employment interviewers; engineering, science and data processing managers; financial managers; general managers and top executives; chief executives and legislators; health services managers; hotel managers and assistants; industrial production managers; inspectors and compliance officers, except construction; management analysts and consultants; marketing, advertising and public relations managers; personnel, training and labor relations specialists and managers; property and real estate managers; purchasing agents and managers; restaurant and food service managers; underwriters; wholesale and retail buyers and merchandise managers.
2. **Professional Specialty.** Use sub-headings provided.
3. **Technicians and Related Support.** Health Technologists and Technicians sub-category - self-explanatory. Other Technologists sub-category includes aircraft pilots; air traffic controllers; broadcast technicians; computer programmers; drafters; engineering technicians; library technicians; paralegals; science technicians; numerical control tool programmers.
4. **Administrative Support & Clerical.** Adjusters, investigators and collectors; bank tellers; clerical supervisors and managers; computer and peripheral equipment operators; credit clerks and authorizers; general office clerks; information clerks; mail clerks and messengers; material recording, scheduling, dispatching and distributing; postal clerks and mail carriers; records clerks; secretaries; stenographers and court reporters; teacher aides; telephone, telegraph and teletype operators; typists, word processors and data entry keyers.
5. **Services.** Use sub-headings provided.
6. **Agricultural, Forestry & Fishing.** Self explanatory.

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

7. **Mechanics, Installers and Repairers.** Aircraft mechanics and engine specialists; automotive body repairers; automotive mechanics; diesel mechanics; electronic equipment repairers; elevator installers and repairers; farm equipment mechanics; general maintenance mechanics; heating, air conditioning and refrigeration technicians; home appliance and power tool repairers, industrial machinery repairers; line installers and cable splicers; millwrights; mobile heavy equipment mechanics; motorcycle, boat and small engine mechanics; musical instrument repairers and tuners; vending machine servicers and repairers.
8. **Construction Trades.** Bricklayers and stonemasons; carpenters; carpet installers; concrete masons and terrazzo workers; drywall workers and lathers; electricians; glaziers; highway maintenance; insulation workers; painters and paperhangers; plasterers; plumbers and pipefitters; roofers; sheet metal workers; structural and reinforcing ironworkers; tilesetters.
9. **Production Occupations.** Assemblers; food processing occupations; inspectors, testers and graders; metalworking and plastics-working occupations; plant and systems operators, printing occupations; textile, apparel and furnishings occupations; woodworking occupations; miscellaneous production operations.
10. **Transportation & Material Moving.** Bus drivers; material moving equipment operators; rail transportation occupations; truck drivers; water transportation occupations.
11. **Handlers, Equipment Cleaners, Helpers and Laborers** (not included elsewhere). Entry level jobs not requiring significant training.

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

h. Employment of Military Spouses. Complete the following table to provide estimated information concerning military spouses who are also employed in the area defined in response to question 1.b., above. **Do not fill in shaded area.**

1. Percentage of Military Employees Who Are Married:	70% (4,897)
2. Percentage of Military Spouses Who Work Outside of the Home:	65% (3,183)
3. Break out of Spouses' Location of Employment (Total of rows 3a. through 3d. should equal 100% and reflect the number of spouses used in the calculation of the "Percentage of Spouses Who Work Outside of the Home".	
3a. Employed "On-Base" - Appropriated Fund:	11% (355) ¹
3b. Employed "On-Base" - Non-Appropriated Fund:	3% (100)
3c. Employed "Off-Base" - Federal Employment:	10% (313)
3d. Employed "Off-Base" - Other Than Federal Employment	76% (2,416)

¹ 169 are military active duty

Source of Data (1.h.) Spouse Employment Data): Tenant Command surveys and in-house estimate
--

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

2. Infrastructure Data. For each element of community infrastructure identified in the two tables below, rate the community's ability to accommodate the relocation of additional functions and personnel to your activity. Please complete each of the three columns listed in the table, reflecting the impact of various levels of increase (20%, 50% and 100%) in the number of personnel working at the activity (and their associated families). In ranking each category, use one of the following three ratings:

- A - Growth can be accommodated with little or no adverse impact to existing community infrastructure and at little or no additional expense.
- B - Growth can be accommodated, but will require some investment to improve and/or expand existing community infrastructure.
- C - Growth either cannot be accommodated due to physical/environmental limitations or would require substantial investment in community infrastructure improvements.

Table 2.a., "Local Communities": This first table refers to the local community (i.e., the community in which the base is located) and its ability to meet the increased requirements of the installation.

Table 2.b., "Economic Region": This second table asks for an assessment of the infrastructure of the economic region (those counties identified in response to question 1.b., (page 3) - taken in the aggregate) and its ability to meet the needs of additional employees and their families moving into the area.

For both tables, annotate with an asterisk (*) any categories which are wholly supported on-base, i.e., are not provided by the local community. These categories should also receive an A-B-C rating. Answers for these "wholly supported on-base" categories should refer to base infrastructure rather than community infrastructure.

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

a. Table A: Ability of the local community to meet the expanded needs of the base.

1) Using the A - B - C rating system described above, complete the table below.

Category	20% Increase	50% Increase	100% Increase
Off-Base Housing	A	A	A
Schools - Public	A	A	B
Schools - Private	A	A	A
Public Transportation - Roadways	A	A	B
Public Transportation - Buses/Subways	A	A	A
Public Transportation - Rail ¹	NA	NA	NA
Fire Protection	A	A	B
Police	A	A	B
Health Care Facilities	A	A	B
Utilities:			
Water Supply	A	A	B
Water Distribution	A	A	B
Energy Supply	A	A	A
Energy Distribution	A	A	A
Wastewater Collection	A	A	B
Wastewater Treatment	A	A	A
Storm Water Collection	A	A	B
Solid Waste Collection and Disposal	A	A	B
Hazardous/Toxic Waste Disposal	A	A	B
Recreational Activities	A	A	A

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

¹ "NA" was assigned to the Public Transportation - Rail category because the region does not have a commuter rail system.

Remember to mark with an asterisk any categories which are wholly supported on-base.

* Categories wholly supported on base

2) For each rating of "C" identified in the table on the preceding page, attach a brief narrative explanation of the types and magnitude of improvements required and/or the nature of any barriers that preclude expansion.

In Table A there were no "C" ratings assigned to any of the categories.

Source of Data (2.a. 1) & 2) - Local Community Table): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission and Base Civil Engineering (BCE) Department, NAVPHIBASE Little Creek (for categories wholly supported on base)

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

b. **Table B: Ability of the region described in the response to question 1.b. (page 3) (taken in the aggregate) to meet the needs of additional employees and their families relocating into the area.**

1) Using the A - B - C rating system described above, complete the table below.

Category	20% Increase	50% Increase	100% Increase
Off-Base Housing	A	A	A
Schools - Public	A	A	B
Schools - Private	A	A	A
Public Transportation - Roadways	A	A	B
Public Transportation - Buses/Subways	A	A	A
Public Transportation - Rail ¹	NA	NA	NA
Fire Protection	A	A	B
Police	A	A	A
Health Care Facilities	A	A	A
Utilities:			
Water Supply	A	A	B
Water Distribution	A	A	A
Energy Supply	A	A	A
Energy Distribution	A	A	A
Wastewater Collection	A	A	B
Wastewater Treatment	A	A	A
Storm Water Collection	A	A	B
Solid Waste Collection and Disposal	A	B	B
Hazardous/Toxic Waste Disposal	A	A	B
Recreation Facilities	A	A	A

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

¹ "NA" was assigned to the Public Transportation - Rail category because the region does not have a commuter rail system.

Remember to mark with an asterisk any categories which are wholly supported on-base.

(There are no asterisks because this table pertains to the needs of those relocating to the area, not necessarily to on base housing.)

2) For each rating of "C" identified in the table on the preceding page, attach a brief narrative explanation of the types and magnitude of improvements required and/or the nature of any barriers that preclude expansion.

In Table B there were no "C" ratings assigned to any of the categories.

**Source of Data (2.b. 1) & 2) - Regional Table): Hampton Roads Planning District
Commission**

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

3. Public Facilities Data:

- a. **Off-Base Housing Availability.** For the counties identified in the response to question 1.b. (page 3), in the aggregate, estimate the current average vacancy rate for community housing. Use current data or information identified on the latest family housing market analysis. For each of the categories listed (rental units and units for sale), combine single family homes, condominiums, townhouses, mobile homes, etc., into a single rate:

Rental Units: 6.75% vacancy rate

Units for Sale: 4.5% vacancy rate

Source of Data (3.a. Off-Base Housing): For rental units: PWC Norfolk; For units for sale: Hampton Roads Planning District Commission from 1993-94 HUD Housing Survey

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

b. Education.

1) Information is required on the current capacity and enrollment levels of school systems serving employees of the activity. Information should be keyed to the counties identified in the response to question 1.b. (page 3).

School District	County	Number of Schools			Enrollment		Pupil-to-Teacher Ratio		Does School District Serve Gov't Housing Units? *
		Elementary	Middle	High	Current	Max. Capacity	Current	Max. Ratio	
Virginia Beach	NA	53	11	10	74,880	¹	20.0	25	Yes
Norfolk	NA	37	8	5	36,450	¹	20.7	25	Yes
Chesapeake	NA	26	7	5	33,182	¹	21.0	25	No
Portsmouth	NA	16	4	4	17,921	¹	23.0	25	Yes
Hampton	NA	24	5	4	22,991	¹	19.6	25	Yes
Newport News	NA	25	7	4	31,894	¹	19.1	25	Yes
Suffolk	NA	10	3	2	9,443	¹	21.2	25	No
Poquoson	NA	2	1	1	2,403	¹	20.0	25	No
Williamsburg/James City County	James City	6	3	1	6,637	¹	17.7	25	Yes
York County	York County	10	3	3	10,619	¹	20.4	25	Yes
Gloucester County	Gloucester County	5	2	1	6,235	¹	17.4	25	No

* Answer "Yes" in this column if the school district in question enrolls students who reside in government housing.

- ¹ This figure is not available because the capacity fluctuates due to the following reasons:
- mobile trailers can be used for classrooms if a school needs additional capacity.
 - some schools are currently being renovated or additions are under construction.
 - reconfiguration, rescheduling and redistricting are all possible solutions for school systems if additional space is needed.

Source of Data (3.b.1) Education Table): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

2) Are there any on-base "Section 6" Schools? If so, identify number of schools and current enrollment.

There are no on-base Section 6 schools.

**Source of Data (3.b.2) On-Base Schools): Hampton Roads Planning District
Commission**

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

3) For the counties identified in the response to question 1.b. (page 3), in the aggregate, list the names of undergraduate and graduate colleges and universities which offer certificates, Associate, Bachelor or Graduate degrees :

College of William and Mary
Virginia Wesleyan College
Regent University
Christopher Newport University
Old Dominion University
Norfolk State University
Hampton University
Tidewater Community College
Thomas Nelson Community College
Commonwealth College
Eastern Virginia Medical School

Source of Data (3.b.3) Colleges): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission and C&P Telephone Yellow Pages

4) For the counties identified in the response to question 1.b. (page 3), in the aggregate, list the names and major curriculums of vocational/technical training schools:

Vocational/Technical
Training Schools

Major Curriculums

Automotive Training Institute
Career Development Institute

Auto Repair
Medical Services,
Computer Repair,
Computer Administration
Business Etiquette,
Makeup Artistry,
Fashion, Modeling
Computer Technology
Computer Technology
Aircraft Maintenance
Technician

Charm Associates, Inc.

CompTrain
Computer Dynamics, Inc.
Dalfort Aircraft Tech

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

Vocational/Technical

Training Schools

Electronic Computer Programming
Institute

Gibson World Travel School
ITT Employment & Training
Systems

Jenkins Barber College
Johnson and Wales College
Kee Business College

Paralegal Institute of America
Norfolk School of Boat Building
Rice Aviation Aircraft
Reporting Academy of Va., LTD
Tidewater Maritime Training
Institute

Tidewater School of Navigation
Tidewater Tech
Tri-State Semi-Driver Training,
Inc.
Virginia Beach Beauty Academy

Virginia School of Polygraph
Wards Corner Beauty Academy

Major Curriculums

Computer Programming,
Computer Electronics,
Computer Specialist
Accounting, Word
Processing, Medical
Travel Agent
Electronics Engineering,
Computer Aid Drafting Tech,
Occupational Science
Barber Training
Culinary Arts
Accounting Specialist,
Business Administration,
Secretarial, Computer
Administration, Word Processing
Paralegal Training
Boat Building
Aircraft Technician
Court Reporting
Radar Courses,
Master/Mate Courses,
Chief/Assistant Engineer,
Tankerman
Navigator Training
Electronics, Computers, Nursing
Truck Driving

Beautician, Cosmetologist,
Nail Technician
Polygraph Operation and Testing
Beautician, Cosmetologist,
Nail Technician

(All vocational/technical training schools listed by the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission are not shown above because major curriculums were not provided.)

Source of Data (3.b.4) Vo-tech Training): Hampton Roads Planning District
Commission and C&P Telephone Yellow Pages

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

c. Transportation.

1) Is the activity served by public transportation?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Bus:	<u> X </u>	<u> — </u>
Rail:	<u> — </u>	<u> X </u>
Subway:	<u> — </u>	<u> X </u>
Ferry:	<u> — </u>	<u> X </u>

Source of Data (3.c.1) Transportation): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

2) Identify the location of the nearest passenger railroad station (long distance rail service, not commuter service within a city) and the distance from the activity to the station.

AMTRAK - 9304 Warwick Blvd., Newport News, VA - 17 miles

Source of Data (3.c.2) Transportation): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

3) Identify the name and location of the nearest commercial airport (with public carriers, e.g., USAIR, United, etc.) and the distance from the activity to the airport.

Norfolk International Airport - 5 miles

Source of Data (3.c.3) Transportation): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

4) How many carriers are available at this airport?

Eight carriers (American Airlines, Continental Airlines, Delta Airlines, Northwest Airlines, TransWorld Airlines, US Air, United Airlines and Southeast Airlines).

Source of Data (3.c.4) Transportation): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

5) What is the Interstate route number and distance, in miles, from the activity to the nearest Interstate highway?

Interstate 64 - 5 miles

Source of Data (3.c.5) Transportation): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

6) Access to Base:

a) Describe the quality and capacity of the road systems providing access to the base, specifically during peak periods. (Include both information on the area surrounding the base and information on access to the base, e.g., numbers of gates, congestion problems, etc.)

Excellent access via I-64 and Northampton Blvd., Little Creek Road, Independence Blvd. and Shore Drive

<u>Road</u>	<u>Design Capacity</u>	<u>Average Daily Volume</u>	<u>Quality</u>
Shore Drive	32,500 Veh/day	Unknown	Good
Little Creek Road (Gate 1)	28,000 Veh/day	7,300 Vehicles	Good
Diamond Springs Road (Gate 3)	28,000 Veh/day	1,860 Vehicles	Good
Nider Blvd. (Gate 4)	Unknown	8,840 Vehicles	Good
Independence Blvd. (Gate 5)	28,000 Veh/day	18,150 Vehicles	Good

b) Do access roads transit residential neighborhoods?

No

c) Are there any easements that preclude expansion of the access road system?

No

d) Are there any man-made barriers that inhibit traffic flow (e.g., draw bridges, etc.)?

No

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

Source of Data (3.c.6) Transportation): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission and NAVPHIBASE Little Creek, BCE Dept, Planning Division (N45), NAVPHIBASE Little Creek (Cities of Virginia Beach and Norfolk; Military Traffic Command Study (1986))

- d. **Fire Protection/Hazardous Materials Incidents.** Does the activity have an agreement with the local community for fire protection or hazardous materials incidents? Explain the nature of the agreement and identify the provider of the service.

Yes. NAVPHIBASE Little Creek has written mutual air agreements with the cities of Virginia Beach, Norfolk and Chesapeake. The agreements state that we will assist one another as needed with fire protection and hazardous material incidents if manpower and equipment from the requested party is available and not previously committed on other emergencies at their locality. Federal Fire Departments automatically assist each other as needed and required, unless committed on emergency operations, or unless manpower requirements are below standards.

Source of Data (3.d. Fire/Hazmat): Mutual Aid Firefighting Assistance Agreements with the cities of Virginia Beach, Norfolk and Chesapeake, VA. (POC: NAVPHIBASE Little Creek Fire Chief (N34)).

- e. **Police Protection.**

- 1) What is the level of legislative jurisdiction held by the installation?

This installation is a concurrent federal/state jurisdiction with authority to enforce both federal and state law.

- 2) If there is more than one level of legislative jurisdiction for installation property, provide a brief narrative description of the areas covered by each level of legislative jurisdiction and whether there are separate agreements for local law enforcement protection.

The entire base, with the exception of the magazines, is held in concurrent federal/state jurisdiction. The magazines are held as exclusive federal jurisdiction. The Virginia Beach police will respond to any call from base housing located outside the gate. They do not routinely patrol these areas. By verbal understanding and long standing practice, the local police will not enter the base without prior coordination with base authorities.

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

3) Does the activity have a specific written agreement with local law enforcement concerning the provision of local police protection?

No.

4) If agreements exist with more than one local law enforcement entity, provide a brief narrative description of whom the agreement is with and what services are covered.

The base does not have written agreements with local police.

5) If military law enforcement officials are routinely augmented by officials of other federal agencies (BLM, Forest Service, etc.), identify any written agreements covering such services and briefly describe the level of support received.

NAVPHIBASE Little Creek military law enforcement officials are not augmented by officials of other agencies.

Source of Data (3.e. 1) - 5) - Police): Staff Judge Advocate (N005) and Security Officer (N2)

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

f. Utilities.

- 1) Does the activity have an agreement with the local community for water, refuse disposal, power or any other utility requirements? Explain the nature of the agreement and identify the provider of the service.

Water is purchased from the City of Norfolk. Sanitary sewage is treated by the Hampton Roads Sanitation District (HRSD). Electricity is purchased from Virginia Power. Refuse is disposed of through the Southeastern Public Service Authority (SPSA). All services are provided to NAVPHIBASE Little Creek via PWC Norfolk who purchases these services at prevailing commercial rates.¹

While monitored as an individual account, this activity is supplied with water by the City of Norfolk under a general contract between the Navy and the City of Norfolk. Water is distributed to the activity directly from the Norfolk Treatment plant through an activity owned distribution. The current contract, which is being renegotiated, includes a reserve capacity of an undisclosed amount to accommodate increased demand across all activities serviced under the Navy contract.²

Wastewater is treated under permit with HRSD with flows going to the Chesapeake Bay/Elizabeth River treatment plant. The plant has excess capacity to handle increased flows from the activity.²

The activity has a NPDES/VPDES discharge permit. Virginia Beach plans to bill the activity for stormwater collection pending authorization from the City Manager.²

The activity has a refuse disposal agreement with SPSA. The activity has its own collection and delivery system.²

The activity receives electricity under a blanket contract negotiated with GSA.²

The activity purchases natural gas from Virginia Natural Gas under a 1940's Navy purchase agreement which is based on a general rate structure and not minimum/maximum quantities.²

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

2) Has the activity been subject to water rationing or interruption of delivery during the last five years? If so, identify time period during which rationing existed and the restrictions imposed. Were activity operations affected by these situations? If so, explain extent of impact.

Water conservation restrictions were imposed by the City of Norfolk in June 1993 through March 1994. The restrictions prohibited the use of hoses for landscape watering or vehicle washing. These restrictions did not impact operations at NAVPHIBASE Little Creek.¹

The City of Norfolk has never required this activity to implement water conservation restrictions, however, the Navy has self-imposed restrictions to curtail unnecessary water use during drought periods. There has been no interruption in service delivery except for routine system maintenance and repair.²

3) Has the activity been subject to any other significant disruptions in utility service, e.g., electrical "brown outs", "rolling black outs", etc., during the last five years? If so, identify time period(s) covered and extent/nature of restrictions/disruption. Were activity operations affected by these situations? If so, explain extent of impact.

Virginia Power was forced to impose rolling black outs in January 1994 due to severe cold weather. Impact at NAVPHIBASE Little Creek was limited to ships which were disconnected from Shore Power for the duration of the period.¹

Source of Data (3.f. 1) - 3) Utilities): ¹ PWC Norfolk Little Creek Site, ² Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

4. **Business Profile.** List the top ten employers in the geographic area defined by your response to question 1.b. (page 3), taken in the aggregate, (include your activity, if appropriate):

Employer	Product/Service	No. of Employees
1. Naval Station Norfolk	National Defense	60,000
2. Newport News Shipbuilding and Drydock Co.	Shipbuilding/Repair	20,750
3. Fort Eustis	National Defense	14,583
4. NASA Langley Research Center	Aviation/Space	11,600
5. Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek	National Defense	11,029
6. Naval Air Station Oceana	National Defense	10,200
7. Sentara Health Systems	Health Care	9,258
8. Virginia Beach Public Schools	Education	8,200
9. Farm Fresh, Inc.	Grocery Chain	8,000
10. Norfolk Naval Shipyard	Ship Repair	7,706

Source of Data (4. Business Profile): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission and Resources Management Department (N6), Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek

DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA

5. **Other Socio-Economic Impacts.** For each of the following areas, describe other recent (past 5 years), on-going or projected economic impacts (both positive and negative) on the geographic region defined by your response to question 1.b. (page 3), in the aggregate:

a. Loss of Major Employers:

The region has lost few major employers within the past five years. However, the Jonathan Corporation has been forced into foreclosure by a fall off in its defense business. Defense cuts have significantly impacted the area and caused defense contractors to cut back on the number of their workers. The Newport News Shipbuilding and Drydock Company has reduced its workforce from over thirty thousand a few years ago to just over twenty thousand today with a target employment level of fifteen thousand by 1996. Some four thousand jobs have been lost at the Norfolk Naval Shipyard. Small contractors and subcontractors have also reduced their employment levels.

b. Introduction of New Businesses/Technologies:

CIGNA and USAA have recently located service centers within the area as have QVC and Lillian Vernon. CEBAF, an electronic beam accelerator, has been under construction for the past several years and will begin operations in 1994. Canon USA has also opened a facility for producing copiers.

c. Natural Disasters:

In the past five years there have not been any natural disasters in the Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport News area which have negatively impacted the regional economy.

d. Overall Economic Trends:

Defense cuts continue to hamper the regional economy. Employment growth rates were in the 4-7 percent per year range in the mid-1980s and are today in the 0.5-1.5 percent range. Further defense downsizing will continue to hold down growth rates and elevate the unemployment rate. The region's population continues to expand along with the associated residential construction. The regional tax base has expanded accordingly with higher levels of retail sales, personal property and real estate taxes collected. Finally, the region is growing short of water, and this has forced growth to shift to the west into Chesapeake and Suffolk and out of Virginia Beach in recent years. This growth shift is anticipated to continue. Should the region be delayed in acquiring new water supply sources, regional growth rates will deteriorate from current levels.

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 65
ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE DATA**

Source of Data (5. Other Socio/Econ): Hampton Roads Planning District Commission

6. Other. Identify any contributions of your activity to the local community not discussed elsewhere in this response.

None.

Source of Data (6. Other): Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek Department Heads

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK UIC N61414
DATA CALL SIXTY-FIVE

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

J. W. CRAINE, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

J. W. Craine Jr.
Signature

Captain

Title Commander

8/11/94
Date

Naval Shore Activities

U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

H. W. GEHMAN, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

H. W. Gehman Jr.
Signature

Rear Admiral, Acting

Title Commander in Chief

15 AUG 1994
Date

U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type or print)

W. A. Earner
Signature

Title

8/24/94
Date

23

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Activity Information:

Activity Name:	PRESINSURV
UIC:	63023
Host Activity Name (if response is for a tenant activity):	Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek
Host Activity UIC:	61414

General Instructions/Background. A separate response to this data call must be completed for each Department of the Navy (DON) host, independent and tenant activity which separately budgets BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, is located in the United States, its territories or possessions.

1. Base Operating Support (BOS) Cost Data. Data is required which captures the total annual cost of operating and maintaining Department of the Navy (DON) shore installations. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Two tables are provided. Table 1A identifies "Other than DBOF Overhead" BOS costs and Table 1B identifies "DBOF Overhead" BOS costs. These tables must be completed, as appropriate, for all DON host, independent or tenant activities which separately budget BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, are located in the United States, its territories or possessions. Responses for DBOF activities may need to include both Table 1A and 1B to ensure that all BOS costs, including those incurred by the activity in support of tenants, are identified. If both table 1A and 1B are submitted for a single DON activity, please ensure that no data is double counted (that is, included on both Table 1A and 1B). The following tables are designed to collect all BOS costs currently budgeted, regardless of appropriation, e.g., Operations and Maintenance, Research and Development, Military Personnel, etc. Data must reflect FY 1996 and should be reported in thousands of dollars.

a. Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead). This Table should be completed to identify "Other Than DBOF Overhead" Costs. Display, in the format shown on the table, the O&M, R&D and MPN resources currently budgeted for BOS services. O&M cost data must be consistent with data provided on the BS-1 exhibit. Report only direct funding for the activity. Host activities should not include reimbursable support provided to tenants, since tenants will be separately reporting these costs. Military personnel costs should be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Add additional lines to the table (following line 2j., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). Leave shaded areas of table blank.

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: PRESINSURV		UIC: 63023	
Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Maintenance and Repair			
1b. Minor Construction			
1c. Sub-total 1a. and 1b.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Utilities	44		44
2b. Transportation			
2c. Environmental			
2d. Facility Leases			
2e. Morale, Welfare & Recreation			
2f. Bachelor Quarters			
2g. Child Care Centers			
2h. Family Service Centers			
2i. Administration			
2j. Other (Specify) PWC	113		113
2k. Sub-total 2a. through 2j:	157		157
3. Grand Total (sum of 1c. and 2k.):	157		157

REVISED BY LT BenPino
FIELD SUPPORT ACTIVITY
1/26/94 - TRANSFERRED FROM
TABLE 1B. BenPino

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

b. Funding Source. If data shown on Table 1A reflects more than one appropriation, then please provide a break out of the total shown for the "3. Grand-Total" line, by appropriation:

<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>	
O+M,N	157	Bon Pin CT, CCL USN 7/9/94 FLDSUPACT

c. Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead). This Table should be submitted for all current DBOF activities. Costs reported should reflect BOS costs supporting the DBOF activity itself (usually included in the G&A cost of the activity). For DBOF activities which are tenants on another installation, total cost of BOS incurred by the tenant activity for itself should be shown on this table. It is recognized that differences exist among DBOF activity groups regarding the costing of base operating support: some groups reflect all such costs only in general and administrative (G&A), while others spread them between G&A and production overhead. Regardless of the costing process, all such costs should be included on Table 1B. The Minor Construction portion of the FY 1996 capital budget should be included on the appropriate line. Military personnel costs (at civilian equivalency rates) should also be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Also ensure that there is no duplication between data provided on Table 1A. and 1B. These two tables must be mutually exclusive, since in those cases where both tables are submitted for an activity, the two tables will be added together to estimate total BOS costs at the activity. Add additional lines to the table (following line 21., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). Leave shaded areas of table blank.

Other Notes: All costs of operating the five Major Range Test Facility Bases at DBOF activities (even if direct RDT&E funded) should be included on Table 1B. Weapon Stations should include underutilized plant capacity costs as a DBOF overhead "BOS expense" on Table 1B..

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: PRESINSURV		UIC: 63023	
Category	FY 1996 Net Cost From UC/FUND-4 (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Real Property Maintenance (>\$15K)			
1b. Real Property Maintenance (<\$15K)		0 23.0	0 23.0
1c. Minor Construction (Expensed)			
1d. Minor Construction (Capital Budget)			
1c. Sub-total 1a. through 1d.		0 23.0	0 23.0
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Command Office			
2b. ADP Support			
2c. Equipment Maintenance			
2d. Civilian Personnel Services			
2e. Accounting/Finance			
2f. Utilities	* 44 42.0	* 44 42.0	
2g. Environmental Compliance			
2h. Police and Fire			
2i. Safety			
2j. Supply and Storage Operations			
2k. Major Range Test Facility Base Costs			
2l. Other (Specify) PWC	* 113		113 0
2m. Sub-total 2a. through 2l:	* 157 42.0		* 157 42.0 0
3. Depreciation			
4. Grand Total (sum of 1c., 2m., and 3.):	* 157 42.0 0	0 23.0	* 157 65.0

4 Revised 7/20/94
by Phila Schmitt
Budget Analyst
Field Support Activity
Philipp Schmitt

* REFERRED TO
TABLE 1A.
NON DBOF.
LT Ben Pina
FIELD SUPPORT
7/26/94

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

2. Services/Supplies Cost Data. The purpose of Table 2 is to provide information about projected FY 1996 costs for the purchase of services and supplies by the activity. (Note: Unlike Question 1 and Tables 1A and 1B, above, this question is not limited to overhead costs.) The source for this information, where possible, should be either the NAVCOMPT OP-32 Budget Exhibit for O&M activities or the NAVCOMPT UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit for DBOF activities. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Break out cost data by the major sub-headings identified on the OP-32 or UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit, disregarding the sub-headings on the exhibit which apply to civilian and military salary costs and depreciation. Please note that while the OP-32 exhibit aggregates information by budget activity, this data call requests OP-32 data for the activity responding to the data call. Refer to NAVCOMPTINST 7102.2B of 23 April 1990, Subj: Guidance for the Preparation, Submission and Review of the Department of the Navy (DON) Budget Estimates (DON Budget Guidance Manual) with Changes 1 and 2 for more information on categories of costs identified. Any rows that do not apply to your activity may be left blank. However, totals reported should reflect all costs, exclusive of salary and depreciation.

Table 2 - Services/Supplies Cost Data	
Activity Name: PRESINSURV	UIC: 63023
Cost Category	FY 1996 Projected Costs (\$000)
Travel:	1,265.0 839
Material and Supplies (including equipment):	45.0 307
Industrial Fund Purchases (other DBOF purchases):	15.0 76
Transportation:	
Other Purchases (Contract support, etc.):	827.0 2,104
Total:	2,152.0 3,326

*Revised 7/21/94
by Philip Schmitt
Budget Analyst
Field Support Activity
Philip Schmitt*

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

3. Contractor Workyears.

a. **On-Base Contract Workyear Table.** Provide a projected estimate of the number of contract workyears expected to be performed "on base" in support of the installation during FY 1996. Information should represent an annual estimate on a full-time equivalency basis. Several categories of contract support have been identified in the table below. While some of the categories are self-explanatory, please note that the category "mission support" entails management support, labor service and other mission support contracting efforts, e.g., aircraft maintenance, RDT&E support, technical services in support of aircraft and ships, etc.

Table 3 - Contract Workyears	
Activity Name: PRESINSURV	UIC: 63023
Contract Type	FY 1996 Estimated Number of Workyears On-Base
Construction:	
Facilities Support:	
Mission Support:	
Procurement:	
Other:*	
Total Workyears:	N/A

* Note: Provide a brief narrative description of the type(s) of contracts, if any, included under the "Other" category.

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

b. Potential Disposition of On-Base Contract Workyears. If the mission/functions of your activity were relocated to another site, what would be the anticipated disposition of the on-base contract workyears identified in Table 3.?

1) Estimated number of contract workyears which would be transferred to the receiving site (This number should reflect the number of jobs which would in the future be contracted for at the receiving site, not an estimate of the number of people who would move or an indication that work would necessarily be done by the same contractor(s)):

N/A

2) Estimated number of workyears which would be eliminated:

N/A

3) Estimated number of contract workyears which would remain in place (i.e., contract would remain in place in current location even if activity were relocated outside of the local area):

N/A

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

c. **"Off-Base" Contract Workyear Data.** Are there any contract workyears located in the local community, but not on-base, which would either be eliminated or relocated if your activity were to be closed or relocated? If so, then provide the following information (ensure that numbers reported below do not double count numbers included in 3.a. and 3.b., above):

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Eliminated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
N/A	

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Relocated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
N/A	

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAV NOTE 11000 dtd 8 Dec 93

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

J. C. SCALZO, CAPT, USN, Acting President

NAME (Please type of print)

Deputy
Title

PRESINSURV
Activity


Signature

18 Jul 1994
Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

Mr. Robert W. Thornett
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Director
Title


7/26/94
Date

Field Support Activity
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)
J. B. GREENE, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)
ACTING


Signature

Title

15 AUG 1994
Date

25

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 42575

Activity Information:

Activity Name:	PSD LITTLE CREEK
UIC:	42575
Host Activity Name (if response is for a tenant activity):	NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK
Host Activity UIC:	61414

General Instructions/Background. A separate response to this data call must be completed for each Department of the Navy (DON) host, independent and tenant activity which separately budgets BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, is located in the United States, its territories or possessions.

1. Base Operating Support (BOS) Cost Data. Data is required which captures the total annual cost of operating and maintaining Department of the Navy (DON) shore installations. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Two tables are provided. Table 1A identifies "Other than DBOF Overhead" BOS costs and Table 1B identifies "DBOF Overhead" BOS costs. These tables must be completed, as appropriate, for all DON host, independent or tenant activities which separately budget BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, are located in the United States, its territories or possessions. Responses for DBOF activities may need to include both Table 1A and 1B to ensure that all BOS costs, including those incurred by the activity in support of tenants, are identified. If both table 1A and 1B are submitted for a single DON activity, please ensure that no data is double counted (that is, included on both Table 1A and 1B). The following tables are designed to collect all BOS costs currently budgeted, regardless of appropriation, e.g., Operations and Maintenance, Research and Development, Military Personnel, etc. Data must reflect FY 1996 and should be reported in thousands of dollars.

a. Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead).

This Table should be completed to identify "Other Than DBOF Overhead" Costs. Display, in the format shown on the table, the O&M, R&D and MPN resources currently budgeted for BOS services. O&M cost data must be consistent with data provided on the BS-1 exhibit. Report only direct funding for the activity. Host activities should not include reimbursable support provided to tenants, since tenants will be separately reporting these costs. Military personnel costs should be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Add additional

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 42575

lines to the table (following line 2j., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). Leave shaded areas of table blank.

Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: PSD LITTLE CREEK			UIC: 42575
Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Maintenance and Repair			
1b. Minor Construction			
1c. Sub-total 1a. and 1b.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Utilities	3		3
2b. Transportation			
2c. Environmental			
2d. Facility Leases			
2e. Morale, Welfare & Recreation			
2f. Bachelor Quarters			
2g. Child Care Centers			
2h. Family Service Centers			
2i. Administration	129	3324	3453
2j. Other (Specify)			
2k. Sub-total 2a. through 2j:	132	3324	3456
3. Grand Total (sum of 1c. and 2k.):	132	3324	3456

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 42575

b. Funding Source. If data shown on Table 1A reflects more than one appropriation, then please provide a break out of the total shown for the "3. Grand-Total" line, by appropriation:

<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
MPN	1915
O&MN	1002
RPN	539

c. Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead). This Table should be submitted for all current DBOF activities. Costs reported should reflect BOS costs supporting the DBOF activity itself (usually included in the G&A cost of the activity). For DBOF activities which are tenants on another installation, total cost of BOS incurred by the tenant activity for itself should be shown on this table. It is recognized that differences exist among DBOF activity groups regarding the costing of base operating support: some groups reflect all such costs only in general and administrative (G&A), while others spread them between G&A and production overhead. Regardless of the costing process, all such costs should be included on Table 1B. The Minor Construction portion of the FY 1996 capital budget should be included on the appropriate line. Military personnel costs (at civilian equivalency rates) should also be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Also ensure that there is no duplication between data provided on Table 1A. and 1B. These two tables must be mutually exclusive, since in those cases where both tables are submitted for an activity, the two tables will be added together to estimate total BOS costs at the activity. Add additional lines to the table (following line 21., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). **Leave shaded areas of table blank.**

Other Notes: All costs of operating the five Major Range Test Facility Bases at DBOF activities (even if direct RDT&E funded) should be included on Table 1B. Weapon Stations should include underutilized plant capacity costs as a DBOF overhead "BOS expense" on Table 1B..

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 42575

Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: N/A; not a DBOF Activity		UIC: 42575	
Category	FY 1996 Net Cost From UC/FUND-4 (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Real Property Maintenance (> \$15K)			
1b. Real Property Maintenance (< \$15K)			
1c. Minor Construction (Expensed)			
1d. Minor Construction (Capital Budget)			
1c. Sub-total 1a. through 1d.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Command Office			
2b. ADP Support			
2c. Equipment Maintenance			
2d. Civilian Personnel Services			
2e. Accounting/Finance			
2f. Utilities			
2g. Environmental Compliance			
2h. Police and Fire			
2i. Safety			
2j. Supply and Storage Operations			
2k. Major Range Test Facility Base Costs			
2l. Other (Specify)			
2m. Sub-total 2a. through 2l:			
3. Depreciation			

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 42575

4. Grand Total (sum of 1c., 2m., and 3.) :			
---	--	--	--

2. Services/Supplies Cost Data. The purpose of Table 2 is to provide information about projected FY 1996 costs for the purchase of services and supplies by the activity. (Note: Unlike Question 1 and Tables 1A and 1B, above, this question is not limited to overhead costs.) The source for this information, where possible, should be either the NAVCOMPT OP-32 Budget Exhibit for O&M activities or the NAVCOMPT UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit for DBOF activities. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Break out cost data by the major sub-headings identified on the OP-32 or UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit, disregarding the sub-headings on the exhibit which apply to civilian and military salary costs and depreciation. Please note that while the OP-32 exhibit aggregates information by budget activity, this data call requests OP-32 data for the activity responding to the data call. Refer to NAVCOMPTINST 7102.2B of 23 April 1990, Subj: Guidance for the Preparation, Submission and Review of the Department of the Navy (DON) Budget Estimates (DON Budget Guidance Manual) with Changes 1 and 2 for more information on categories of costs identified. Any rows that do not apply to your activity may be left blank. However, totals reported should reflect all costs, exclusive of salary and depreciation.

Table 2 - Services/Supplies Cost Data	
Activity Name: PSD LITTLE CREEK	UIC: 42575
Cost Category	FY 1996 Projected Costs (\$000)
Travel:	0
Material and Supplies (including equipment):	36
Industrial Fund Purchases (other DBOF purchases):	67
Transportation:	0
Other Purchases (Contract support, etc.):	29
Total:	132

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 42575

3. Contractor Workyears.

a. On-Base Contract Workyear Table. Provide a projected estimate of the number of contract workyears expected to be performed "on base" in support of the installation during FY 1996. Information should represent an annual estimate on a full-time equivalency basis. Several categories of contract support have been identified in the table below. While some of the categories are self-explanatory, please note that the category "mission support" entails management support, labor service and other mission support contracting efforts, e.g., aircraft maintenance, RDT&E support, technical services in support of aircraft and ships, etc.

Table 3 - Contract Workyears	
Activity Name: PSD LITTLE CREEK	UIC: 42575
Contract Type	FY 1996 Estimated Number of Workyears On-Base
Construction:	
Facilities Support:	
Mission Support:	
Procurement:	
Other:*	
Total Workyears:	0

* **Note:** Provide a brief narrative description of the type(s) of contracts, if any, included under the "Other" category.

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 42575

b. Potential Disposition of On-Base Contract Workyears. If the mission/functions of your activity were relocated to another site, what would be the anticipated disposition of the on-base contract workyears identified in Table 3.?

1) Estimated number of contract workyears which would be transferred to the receiving site (This number should reflect the number of jobs which would in the future be contracted for at the receiving site, not an estimate of the number of people who would move or an indication that work would necessarily be done by the same contractor(s)):

N/A; no contract workyears

2) Estimated number of workyears which would be eliminated:

N/A; no contract workyears

3) Estimated number of contract workyears which would remain in place (i.e., contract would remain in place in current location even if activity were relocated outside of the local area):

N/A; no contract workyears

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

UIC: 42575

c. "Off-Base" Contract Workyear Data. Are there any contract workyears located in the local community, but not on-base, which would either be eliminated or relocated if your activity were to be closed or relocated? If so, then provide the following information (ensure that numbers reported below do not double count numbers included in 3.a. and 3.b., above): No.

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Eliminated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
None	

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Relocated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
None	

PSA NORFOLK UIC N68654
DATA CALL SIXTY-SIX

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

RADM H. W. GEHMAN, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

H.W. Gehman, Jr.

Signature

15 AUG 1994

Acting

Title Commander in Chief
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type or print)

W. A. Earner

Signature

8/30/94

Title

Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."


The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

R. E. LANDICK, CDR, USN
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

ACTING
Title

13 July 1994
Date

PSA NORFOLK
Activity

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Activity Information:

Activity Name:	NAVPHIBASE Little Creek
UIC:	61414
Host Activity Name (if response is for a tenant activity):	NA; Host activity
Host Activity UIC:	NA; Host activity

General Instructions/Background. A separate response to this data call must be completed for each Department of the Navy (DON) host, independent and tenant activity which separately budgets BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, is located in the United States, its territories or possessions.

1. Base Operating Support (BOS) Cost Data. Data is required which captures the total annual cost of operating and maintaining Department of the Navy (DON) shore installations. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Two tables are provided. Table 1A identifies "Other than DBOF Overhead" BOS costs and Table 1B identifies "DBOF Overhead" BOS costs. These tables must be completed, as appropriate, for all DON host, independent or tenant activities which separately budget BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, are located in the United States, its territories or possessions. Responses for DBOF activities may need to include both Table 1A and 1B to ensure that all BOS costs, including those incurred by the activity in support of tenants, are identified. If both table 1A and 1B are submitted for a single DON activity, please ensure that no data is double counted (that is, included on both Table 1A and 1B). The following tables are designed to collect all BOS costs currently budgeted, regardless of appropriation, e.g., Operations and Maintenance, Research and Development, Military Personnel, etc. Data must reflect FY 1996 and should be reported in thousands of dollars.

a. Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead). This Table should be completed to identify "Other Than DBOF Overhead" Costs. Display, in the format shown on the table, the O&M, R&D and MPN resources currently budgeted for BOS services. O&M cost data must be consistent with data provided on the BS-1 exhibit. Report only direct funding for the activity. Host activities should not include reimbursable support provided to tenants, since tenants will be separately reporting these costs. Military personnel costs should be included on the appropriate lines of the table.

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Add additional lines to the table (following line 2j., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). **Leave shaded areas of table blank.**

Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: NAVPHIBASE Little Creek			UIC: 61414
Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Maintenance and Repair	7975	410	8385
1b. Minor Construction	27		27
1c. Sub-total 1a. and 1b.	8002	410	8412
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Utilities	6377	0	6377
2b. Transportation	268	0	268
2c. Environmental	11657	816	12473
2d. Facility Leases			
2e. Morale, Welfare & Recreation	395	1086	1481
2f. Bachelor Quarters	1955	757	2712
2g. Child Care Centers	263	439	702
2h. Family Service Centers	718	334	1052
2i. Administration	55	2380	2435
2j. Other (Specify) ¹	7572	14517	22089
2k. Sub-total 2a. through 2j:	29260	20329	49589
3. Grand Total (sum of 1c. and 2k.):	37,262	20,739	58,001

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

¹Other: Contracts, Base Communications, Injury Compensation, Other Base Services, Retail Supply

b. Funding Source. If data shown on Table 1A reflects more than one appropriation, then please provide a break out of the total shown for the "3. Grand-Total" line, by appropriation:

<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
O&MN	46,139
MPN	11,862

c. Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead). This Table should be submitted for all current DBOF activities. Costs reported should reflect BOS costs supporting the DBOF activity itself (usually included in the G&A cost of the activity). For DBOF activities which are tenants on another installation, total cost of BOS incurred by the tenant activity for itself should be shown on this table. It is recognized that differences exist among DBOF activity groups regarding the costing of base operating support: some groups reflect all such costs only in general and administrative (G&A), while others spread them between G&A and production overhead. Regardless of the costing process, all such costs should be included on Table 1B. The Minor Construction portion of the FY 1996 capital budget should be included on the appropriate line. Military personnel costs (at civilian equivalency rates) should also be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Also ensure that there is no duplication between data provided on Table 1A. and 1B. These two tables must be mutually exclusive, since in those cases where both tables are submitted for an activity, the two tables will be added together to estimate total BOS costs at the activity. Add additional lines to the table (following line 21., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). Leave shaded areas of table blank.

Other Notes: All costs of operating the five Major Range Test Facility Bases at DBOF activities (even if direct RDT&E funded) should be included on Table 1B. Weapon Stations should include underutilized plant capacity costs as a DBOF overhead "BOS expense" on Table 1B..

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: NA; Not a DBOF Activity			UIC: 61414
Category	FY 1996 Net Cost From UC/FUND-4 (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Real Property Maintenance (> \$15K)			
1b. Real Property Maintenance (< \$15K)			
1c. Minor Construction (Expensed)			
1d. Minor Construction (Capital Budget)			
1c. Sub-total 1a. through 1d.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Command Office			
2b. ADP Support			
2c. Equipment Maintenance			
2d. Civilian Personnel Services			
2e. Accounting/Finance			
2f. Utilities			
2g. Environmental Compliance			
2h. Police and Fire			
2i. Safety			
2j. Supply and Storage Operations			
2k. Major Range Test Facility Base Costs			
2l. Other (Specify)			
2m. Sub-total 2a. through 2l:			
3. Depreciation			

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Category	FY 1996 Net Cost From UC/FUND-4 (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
4. Grand Total (sum of 1c., 2m., and 3.) :			

2. **Services/Supplies Cost Data.** The purpose of Table 2 is to provide information about projected FY 1996 costs for the purchase of services and supplies by the activity. (Note: Unlike Question 1 and Tables 1A and 1B, above, this question is not limited to overhead costs.) The source for this information, where possible, should be either the NAVCOMPT OP-32 Budget Exhibit for O&M activities or the NAVCOMPT UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit for DBOF activities. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Break out cost data by the major sub-headings identified on the OP-32 or UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit, disregarding the sub-headings on the exhibit which apply to civilian and military salary costs and depreciation. Please note that while the OP-32 exhibit aggregates information by budget activity, this data call requests OP-32 data for the activity responding to the data call. Refer to NAVCOMPTINST 7102.2B of 23 April 1990, Subj: Guidance for the Preparation, Submission and Review of the Department of the Navy (DON) Budget Estimates (DON Budget Guidance Manual) with Changes 1 and 2 for more information on categories of costs identified. Any rows that do not apply to your activity may be left blank. However, totals reported should reflect all costs, exclusive of salary and depreciation.

Table 2 - Services/Supplies Cost Data	
Activity Name: NAVPHIBASE Little Creek	UIC: 61414
Cost Category	FY 1996 Projected Costs (\$000)
Travel:	75
Material and Supplies (including equipment):	13522
Industrial Fund Purchases (other DBOF purchases):	16429
Transportation:	285
Other Purchases (Contract support, etc.):	6951
Total:	37262

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

3. Contractor Workyears.

a. **On-Base Contract Workyear Table.** Provide a projected estimate of the number of contract workyears expected to be **performed "on base"** in support of the installation during FY 1996. Information should represent an annual estimate on a full-time equivalency basis. Several categories of contract support have been identified in the table below. While some of the categories are self-explanatory, please note that the category "mission support" entails management support, labor service and other mission support contracting efforts, e.g., aircraft maintenance, RDT&E support, technical services in support of aircraft and ships, etc.

Table 3 - Contract Workyears	
Activity Name: NAVPHIBASE Little Creek	UIC: 61414
Contract Type	FY 1996 Estimated Number of Workyears On-Base
Construction:	0
Facilities Support:	0
Mission Support:	86
Procurement:	0
Other:*	0
Total Workyears:	86

* Note: Provide a brief narrative description of the type(s) of contracts, if any, included under the "Other" category.

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

b. Potential Disposition of On-Base Contract Workyears. If the mission/functions of your activity were relocated to another site, what would be the anticipated disposition of the on-base contract workyears identified in Table 3.?

1) Estimated number of contract workyears which would be transferred to the receiving site (This number should reflect the number of jobs which would in the future be contracted for at the receiving site, not an estimate of the number of people who would move or an indication that work would necessarily be done by the same contractor(s)):

0

2) Estimated number of workyears which would be eliminated:

86

3) Estimated number of contract workyears which would remain in place (i.e., contract would remain in place in current location even if activity were relocated outside of the local area):

0

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

c. "Off-Base" Contract Workyear Data. Are there any contract workyears located in the local community, but not on-base, which would either be eliminated or relocated if your activity were to be closed or relocated? If so, then provide the following information (ensure that numbers reported below do not double count numbers included in 3.a. and 3.b., above):

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Eliminated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
3	Office Equipment

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Relocated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
0	

UIC: 30288

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Activity Information:

Activity Name:	Navy Port Control Office (NPCO) Morehead City, NC
UIC:	30288
Host Activity Name (if response is for a tenant activity):	NA ¹
Host Activity UIC:	NA ¹

¹NPCO Morehead City is located in Carteret, NC and is not a tenant of a federal installation. The host is the North Carolina State Port Terminal.

General Instructions/Background. A separate response to this data call must be completed for each Department of the Navy (DON) host, independent and tenant activity which separately budgets BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, is located in the United States, its territories or possessions.

1. Base Operating Support (BOS) Cost Data. Data is required which captures the total annual cost of operating and maintaining Department of the Navy (DON) shore installations. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Two tables are provided. Table 1A identifies "Other than DBOF Overhead" BOS costs and Table 1B identifies "DBOF Overhead" BOS costs. These tables must be completed, as appropriate, for all DON host, independent or tenant activities which separately budget BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, are located in the United States, its territories or possessions. Responses for DBOF activities may need to include both Table 1A and 1B to ensure that all BOS costs, including those incurred by the activity in support of tenants, are identified. If both table 1A and 1B are submitted for a single DON activity, please ensure that no data is double counted (that is, included on both Table 1A and 1B). The following tables are designed to collect all BOS costs currently budgeted, regardless of appropriation, e.g., Operations and Maintenance, Research and Development, Military Personnel, etc. Data must reflect FY 1996 and should be reported in thousands of dollars.

a. Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead). This Table should be completed to identify "Other Than DBOF Overhead" Costs. Display, in the format shown on the table, the O&M, R&D and MPN resources currently budgeted for BOS services. O&M cost data must be consistent with data provided on the BS-1 exhibit. Report only direct funding for the activity. Host activities should not include

UIC: 30288

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

reimbursable support provided to tenants, since tenants will be separately reporting these costs. Military personnel costs should be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Add additional lines to the table (following line 2j., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). Leave shaded areas of table blank.

Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: NPCO Morehead City, NC		UIC: 30288	
Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Maintenance and Repair			
1b. Minor Construction			
1c. Sub-total 1a. and 1b.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Utilities			
2b. Transportation			
2c. Environmental			
2d. Facility Leases			
2e. Morale, Welfare & Recreation			
2f. Bachelor Quarters			
2g. Child Care Centers			
2h. Family Service Centers			
2i. Administration			
2j. Other (Specify) ¹	503	265	768
2k. Sub-total 2a. through 2j:	503	265	768

UIC: 61414

UIC: 30288

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
3. Grand Total (sum of 1c. and 2k.):	503	265	768

Other: Retail Supply

b. Funding Source. If data shown on Table 1A reflects more than one appropriation, then please provide a break out of the total shown for the "3. Grand-Total" line, by appropriation:

<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
O&MN	503
MPN	265

c. Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead). This Table should be submitted for all current DBOF activities. Costs reported should reflect BOS costs supporting the DBOF activity itself (usually included in the G&A cost of the activity). For DBOF activities which are tenants on another installation, total cost of BOS incurred by the tenant activity for itself should be shown on this table. It is recognized that differences exist among DBOF activity groups regarding the costing of base operating support: some groups reflect all such costs only in general and administrative (G&A), while others spread them between G&A and production overhead. Regardless of the costing process, all such costs should be included on Table 1B. The Minor Construction portion of the FY 1996 capital budget should be included on the appropriate line. Military personnel costs (at civilian equivalency rates) should also be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Also ensure that there is no duplication between data provided on Table 1A. and 1B. These two tables must be mutually exclusive, since in those cases where both tables are submitted for an activity, the two tables will be added together to estimate total BOS costs at the activity. Add additional lines to the table (following line 2l., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). Leave shaded areas of table blank.

Other Notes: All costs of operating the five Major Range Test Facility Bases at DBOF activities (even if direct RDT&E funded) should be included on Table 1B. Weapon Stations should include underutilized plant capacity costs as a DBOF overhead "BOS expense" on Table 1B..

UIC: 30288

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: NA; Not a DBOF Activity		UIC: 30288	
Category	FY 1996 Net Cost From UC/FUND-4 (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Real Property Maintenance (> \$15K)			
1b. Real Property Maintenance (< \$15K)			
1c. Minor Construction (Expensed)			
1d. Minor Construction (Capital Budget)			
1c. Sub-total 1a. through 1d.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Command Office			
2b. ADP Support			
2c. Equipment Maintenance			
2d. Civilian Personnel Services			
2e. Accounting/Finance			
2f. Utilities			
2g. Environmental Compliance			
2h. Police and Fire			
2i. Safety			
2j. Supply and Storage Operations			
2k. Major Range Test Facility Base Costs			
2l. Other (Specify)			
2m. Sub-total 2a. through 2l:			
3. Depreciation			

UIC: 61414

UIC: 30288

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Category	FY 1996 Net Cost From UC/FUND-4 (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
4. Grand Total (sum of 1c., 2m., and 3.) :			

2. Services/Supplies Cost Data. The purpose of Table 2 is to provide information about projected FY 1996 costs for the purchase of services and supplies by the activity. (Note: Unlike Question 1 and Tables 1A and 1B, above, this question is not limited to overhead costs.) The source for this information, where possible, should be either the NAVCOMPT OP-32 Budget Exhibit for O&M activities or the NAVCOMPT UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit for DBOF activities. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Break out cost data by the major sub-headings identified on the OP-32 or UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit, disregarding the sub-headings on the exhibit which apply to civilian and military salary costs and depreciation. Please note that while the OP-32 exhibit aggregates information by budget activity, this data call requests OP-32 data for the activity responding to the data call. Refer to NAVCOMPTINST 7102.2B of 23 April 1990, Subj: Guidance for the Preparation, Submission and Review of the Department of the Navy (DON) Budget Estimates (DON Budget Guidance Manual) with Changes 1 and 2 for more information on categories of costs identified. Any rows that do not apply to your activity may be left blank. However, totals reported should reflect all costs, exclusive of salary and depreciation.

Table 2 - Services/Supplies Cost Data	
Activity Name: NPCO Morehead City, NC	UIC: 30288
Cost Category	FY 1996 Projected Costs (\$000)
Travel:	2
Material and Supplies (including equipment):	3
Industrial Fund Purchases (other DBOF purchases):	
Transportation:	
Other Purchases (Contract support, etc.):	498
Total:	503

UIC: 30288

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

3. Contractor Workyears.

a. **On-Base Contract Workyear Table.** Provide a projected estimate of the number of contract workyears expected to be **performed "on base"** in support of the installation during FY 1996. Information should represent an annual estimate on a full-time equivalency basis. Several categories of contract support have been identified in the table below. While some of the categories are self-explanatory, please note that the category "mission support" entails management support, labor service and other mission support contracting efforts, e.g., aircraft maintenance, RDT&E support, technical services in support of aircraft and ships, etc.

Table 3 - Contract Workyears	
Activity Name: NPCO Morehead City, NC	UIC: 30288
Contract Type	FY 1996 Estimated Number of Workyears On-Base
Construction:	0
Facilities Support:	0
Mission Support:	0
Procurement:	0
Other:*	0
Total Workyears:	0

* **Note:** Provide a brief narrative description of the type(s) of contracts, if any, included under the "Other" category.

UIC: 30288

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

b. Potential Disposition of On-Base Contract Workyears. If the mission/functions of your activity were relocated to another site, what would be the anticipated disposition of the on-base contract workyears identified in Table 3.?

1) Estimated number of contract workyears which would be transferred to the receiving site (This number should reflect the number of jobs which would in the future be contracted for at the receiving site, not an estimate of the number of people who would move or an indication that work would necessarily be done by the same contractor(s)):

NA; no contract workyears

2) Estimated number of workyears which would be eliminated:

NA; no contract workyears

3) Estimated number of contract workyears which would remain in place (i.e., contract would remain in place in current location even if activity were relocated outside of the local area):

NA; no contract workyears

UIC: 30288

UIC: 61414

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

c. "Off-Base" Contract Workyear Data. Are there any contract workyears located in the local community, but not on-base, which would either be eliminated or relocated if your activity were to be closed or relocated? If so, then provide the following information (ensure that numbers reported below do not double count numbers included in 3.a. and 3.b., above): No.

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Eliminated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
NONE	

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Relocated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
NONE	

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER


JOHN E. O'NEIL JR., CAPTAIN, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

Commanding Officer

Title

Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek

Activity


Signature

11 July 1994
Date

NAVPHIBASE LITTLE CREEK DATA CALL 6 6

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

J. W. CRAINE, JR.
NAME (Please type or print)

J. W. Craine Jr
Signature

Captain
Title Commander

8/15/94
Date

Naval Shore Activities
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

RADM H. W. GEHMAN, JR.
NAME (Please type or print)

H. W. Gehman Jr
Signature

Acting
Title Commander in Chief
U.S. Atlantic Fleet

15 AUG 1994
Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

J. B. GREENE, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)
ACTING

J. B. Greene Jr
Signature

22 AUG 1994
Date

Title

Date

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Activity Information:

Activity Name:	Fleet Support Unit
UIC:	35392
Host Activity Name (if response is for a tenant activity):	N/A
Host Activity UIC:	N/A

General Instructions/Background. A separate response to this data call must be completed for each Department of the Navy (DON) host, independent and tenant activity which separately budgets BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, is located in the United States, its territories or possessions.

1. Base Operating Support (BOS) Cost Data. Data is required which captures the total annual cost of operating and maintaining Department of the Navy (DON) shore installations. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Two tables are provided. Table 1A identifies "Other than DBOF Overhead" BOS costs and Table 1B identifies "DBOF Overhead" BOS costs. These tables must be completed, as appropriate, for all DON host, independent or tenant activities which separately budget BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, are located in the United States, its territories or possessions. Responses for DBOF activities may need to include both Table 1A and 1B to ensure that all BOS costs, including those incurred by the activity in support of tenants, are identified. If both table 1A and 1B are submitted for a single DON activity, please ensure that no data is double counted (that is, included on both Table 1A and 1B). The following tables are designed to collect all BOS costs currently budgeted, regardless of appropriation, e.g., Operations and Maintenance, Research and Development, Military Personnel, etc. Data must reflect FY 1996 and should be reported in thousands of dollars.

a. Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead).

This Table should be completed to identify "Other Than DBOF Overhead" Costs. Display, in the format shown on the table, the O&M, R&D and MPN resources currently budgeted for BOS services. O&M cost data must be consistent with data provided on the BS-1 exhibit. Report only direct funding for the activity. Host activities should not include reimbursable support provided to tenants, since tenants will be separately reporting these costs. Military personnel costs should be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Add additional

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

lines to the table (following line 2j., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). Leave shaded areas of table blank.

Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: Fleet Support Unit			UIC: 35392
Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Maintenance and Repair	0	0	0
1b. Minor Construction	0	0	0
1c. Sub-total 1a. and 1b.	0	0	0
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Utilities	0	0	0
2b. Transportation	10	0	10
2c. Environmental	0	0	0
2d. Facility Leases	0	0	0
2e. Morale, Welfare & Recreation	0	0	0
2f. Bachelor Quarters	0	0	0
2g. Child Care Centers	0	0	0
2h. Family Service Centers	0	0	0
2i. Administration	0	0	0
2j. Other (Specify)	0	0	0
2k. Sub-total 2a. through 2j:	10	0	10
3. Grand Total (sum of 1c. and 2k.):	10	0	10

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

b. Funding Source. If data shown on Table 1A reflects more than one appropriation, then please provide a break out of the total shown for the "3. Grand-Total" line, by appropriation:

<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
----------------------	-----------------------

N/A

c. Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead). This Table should be submitted for all current DBOF activities. Costs reported should reflect BOS costs supporting the DBOF activity itself (usually included in the G&A cost of the activity). For DBOF activities which are tenants on another installation, total cost of BOS incurred by the tenant activity for itself should be shown on this table. It is recognized that differences exist among DBOF activity groups regarding the costing of base operating support: some groups reflect all such costs only in general and administrative (G&A), while others spread them between G&A and production overhead. Regardless of the costing process, all such costs should be included on Table 1B. The Minor Construction portion of the FY 1996 capital budget should be included on the appropriate line. Military personnel costs (at civilian equivalency rates) should also be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Also ensure that there is no duplication between data provided on Table 1A. and 1B. These two tables must be mutually exclusive, since in those cases where both tables are submitted for an activity, the two tables will be added together to estimate total BOS costs at the activity. Add additional lines to the table (following line 21., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). **Leave shaded areas of table blank.**

Other Notes: All costs of operating the five Major Range Test Facility Bases at DBOF activities (even if direct RDT&E funded) should be included on Table 1B. Weapon Stations should include underutilized plant capacity costs as a DBOF overhead "BOS expense" on Table 1B..

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: Fleet Support Unit			UIC: 35392
Category	FY 1996 Net Cost From UC/FUND-4 (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Real Property Maintenance (> \$15K)	0	0	0
1b. Real Property Maintenance (< \$15K)	0	0	0
1c. Minor Construction (Expensed)	0	0	0
1d. Minor Construction (Capital Budget)	0	0	0
1c. Sub-total 1a. through 1d.	0	0	0
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Command Office	0	0	0
2b. ADP Support	0	0	0
2c. Equipment Maintenance	0	0	0
2d. Civilian Personnel Services	0	0	0
2e. Accounting/Finance	0	0	0
2f. Utilities	0	0	0
2g. Environmental Compliance	0	0	0
2h. Police and Fire	0	0	0
2i. Safety	0	0	0
2j. Supply and Storage Operations	0	0	0
2k. Major Range Test Facility Base Costs	0	0	0
2l. Other (Specify)	0	0	0
2m. Sub-total 2a. through 2l:	0	0	0
3. Depreciation	0	0	0
4. Grand Total (sum of 1c., 2m., and 3.) :	0	0	0

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

2. Services/Supplies Cost Data. The purpose of Table 2 is to provide information about projected **FY 1996** costs for the purchase of services and supplies by the activity. (**Note: Unlike Question 1 and Tables 1A and 1B, above, this question is not limited to overhead costs.**) The source for this information, where possible, should be either the NAVCOMPT OP-32 Budget Exhibit for O&M activities or the NAVCOMPT UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit for DBOF activities. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Break out cost data by the major sub-headings identified on the OP-32 or UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit, disregarding the sub-headings on the exhibit which apply to civilian and military salary costs and depreciation. Please note that while the OP-32 exhibit aggregates information by budget activity, this data call requests OP-32 data for the activity responding to the data call. Refer to NAVCOMPTINST 7102.2B of 23 April 1990, Subj: Guidance for the Preparation, Submission and Review of the Department of the Navy (DON) Budget Estimates (DON Budget Guidance Manual) with Changes 1 and 2 for more information on categories of costs identified. Any rows that do not apply to your activity may be left blank. However, totals reported should reflect all costs, exclusive of salary and depreciation.

Table 2 - Services/Supplies Cost Data	
Activity Name: Fleet Support Unit	UIC: 35392
Cost Category	FY 1996 Projected Costs (\$000)
Travel:	20
Material and Supplies (including equipment):	2
Industrial Fund Purchases (other DBOF purchases):	8
Transportation:	0
Other Purchases (Contract support, etc.):	33
Total:	63

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

3. Contractor Workyears.

a. On-Base Contract Workyear Table. Provide a projected estimate of the number of contract workyears expected to be **performed "on base"** in support of the installation during FY 1996. Information should represent an annual estimate on a full-time equivalency basis. Several categories of contract support have been identified in the table below. While some of the categories are self-explanatory, please note that the category "mission support" entails management support, labor service and other mission support contracting efforts, e.g., aircraft maintenance, RDT&E support, technical services in support of aircraft and ships, etc.

Table 3 - Contract Workyears	
Activity Name: Fleet Support Unit	UIC: 35392
Contract Type	FY 1996 Estimated Number of Workyears On-Base
Construction:	0
Facilities Support:	0
Mission Support:	0
Procurement:	0
Other:*	0
Total Workyears:	0

*** Note:** Provide a brief narrative description of the type(s) of contracts, if any, included under the "Other" category.

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

b. Potential Disposition of On-Base Contract Workyears. If the mission/functions of your activity were relocated to another site, what would be the anticipated disposition of the on-base contract workyears identified in Table 3.?

1) Estimated number of contract workyears which would be transferred to the receiving site (This number should reflect the number of jobs which would in the future be contracted for at the receiving site, not an estimate of the number of people who would move or an indication that work would necessarily be done by the same contractor(s)):

N/A

2) Estimated number of workyears which would be eliminated:

N/A

3) Estimated number of contract workyears which would remain in place (i.e., contract would remain in place in current location even if activity were relocated outside of the local area):

N/A

**DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES**

c. "Off-Base" Contract Workyear Data. Are there any contract workyears located in the local community, but not on-base, which would either be eliminated or relocated if your activity were to be closed or relocated? If so, then provide the following information (ensure that numbers reported below do not double count numbers included in 3.a. and 3.b., above):

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Eliminated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
0	N/A

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Relocated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
0	N/A

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type of print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

In certify that the information herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

FRANK L. BOWMAN, VADM
NAME (Please type or print)

Frank L Bowman
Signature
05 AUG 1994.

CHIEF OF NAVAL PERSONNEL
Title

Date

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)
W. A. EARNER

NAME (Please type of print)

W. A. Earner
Signature

Title

Date
8/9/94

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAV NOTE 11000 dtd 8 Dec 93

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

CWO4 K. D. FITE
NAME (Please type of print)

Deputy Spec Asst for Music (Pers-6MM1)
Title

BUPERS
Activity


Signature
7/22/94
Date

25

DATA CALL 63
FAMILY HOUSING DATA

Information on Family Housing is required for use in BRAC-95 return on investment calculations.

Installation Name:	NPB Little Creek
Unit Identification Code (UIC):	N61414
Major Claimant:	CINCLANTFLT

Percentage of Military Families Living On-Base:	9.63%
Number of Vacant Officer Housing Units:	0
Number of Vacant Enlisted Housing Units:	0
FY 1996 Family Housing Budget (\$000):	\$255.1
Total Number of Officer Housing Units:	2
Total Number of Enlisted Housing Units:	28

Note: All data should reflect figures as of the beginning of FY 1996. If major DON installations share a family housing complex, figures should reflect an estimate of the installation's prorated share of the family housing complex.

The number of officer and enlisted units reflected above are this activity's share of the family housing assets in the total survey complex, based on data extracted from the FY96 Family Housing Survey (DD Form 1377) and the Current Personnel Summary. These units are not necessarily located at this particular activity. If this activity were to close, the housing assets could still be utilized by other activities located in the survey complex.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. E. BUFFINGTON, RADM, CEC, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

COMMANDER
Title

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Activity


Signature
7/20/94
Date

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER 
NAME (Please type or print)

Title


Signature

7/25/94
Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAV NOTE 11000 dtd 8 Dec 93

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain these certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


ACTIVITY COMMANDER

THOMAS A. DAMES

NAME (Please type of print)
Rear Admiral, CEC, USN

Title
LANTNAVFACENCOM

Activity



Signature J.B. VENABLE
Acting
JUL 06 1994


Date

ENCLOSURE(2)

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Paulette C. Brown
Name (Please type or print)

 P. J. R. Hindstaff
Signature

Head, Operations & Projects Branch
Title

7-6-94
Date

Housing Division
Division

Facilities Management
Department

LANTNAVFACENGCOM
Activity

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

J. Richard Grindstaff
Name (Please type or print)

J. Richard Grindstaff
Signature

Head. Requirements & Acquisition Branch
Title

7-6-99
Date

Housing Division
Division

Facilities Management
Department

LANTNAVFACENGCOM
Activity

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Mark D. Raker
Name (Please type or print)

Mark D. Raker
Signature

Housing Management Specialist
Title

7/6/94
Date

Housing Division
Division

Facilities Management
Department

LANTNAVFACENGCOM
Activity

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

for Moses L. Meadows
Name (Please type or print)

for J. Richard Grindstaff
Signature

Director
Title

7-6-99
Date

Housing Division
Division

Facilities Management
Department

LANTNAVFACENGCOM
Activity

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 68845

Activity Information:

Activity Name:	Human Resources Office, Norfolk (Satellite Office)
UIC:	68845
Host Activity Name (if response is for a tenant activity):	Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek
Host Activity UIC:	61414

General Instructions/Background. A separate response to this data call must be completed for each Department of the Navy (DON) host, independent and tenant activity which separately budgets BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, is located in the United States, its territories or possessions.

1. Base Operating Support (BOS) Cost Data. Data is required which captures the total annual cost of operating and maintaining Department of the Navy (DON) shore installations. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Two tables are provided. Table 1A identifies "Other than DBOF Overhead" BOS costs and Table 1B identifies "DBOF Overhead" BOS costs. These tables must be completed, as appropriate, for all DON host, independent or tenant activities which separately budget BOS costs (regardless of appropriation), and, are located in the United States, its territories or possessions. Responses for DBOF activities may need to include both Table 1A and 1B to ensure that all BOS costs, including those incurred by the activity in support of tenants, are identified. If both table 1A and 1B are submitted for a single DON activity, please ensure that no data is double counted (that is, included on both Table 1A and 1B). The following tables are designed to collect all BOS costs currently budgeted, regardless of appropriation, e.g., Operations and Maintenance, Research and Development, Military Personnel, etc. Data must reflect FY 1996 and should be reported in thousands of dollars.

a. Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead).

This Table should be completed to identify "Other Than DBOF Overhead" Costs. Display, in the format shown on the table, the O&M, R&D and MPN resources currently budgeted for BOS services. O&M cost data must be consistent with data provided on the BS-1 exhibit. Report only direct funding for the activity. Host activities should not include reimbursable support provided to tenants, since tenants will be separately reporting these costs. Military personnel costs should be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Add additional

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 68845

lines to the table (following line 2j., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). Leave shaded areas of table blank.

Table 1A - Base Operating Support Costs (Other Than DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: Human Resources Office, Norfolk		UIC: 68845	
Category	FY 1996 BOS Costs (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
1a. Maintenance and Repair			
1b. Minor Construction			
1c. Sub-total 1a. and 1b.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Utilities	22		22
2b. Transportation			
2c. Environmental			
2d. Facility Leases			
2e. Morale, Welfare & Recreation			
2f. Bachelor Quarters			
2g. Child Care Centers			
2h. Family Service Centers			
2i. Administration	5	190	195
2j. Other (Specify)			
2k. Sub-total 2a. through 2j.:	27	190	217
3. Grand Total (sum of 1c. and 2k.):	27	190	217

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 68845

b. **Funding Source.** If data shown on Table 1A reflects more than one appropriation, then please provide a break out of the total shown for the "3. Grand-Total" line, by appropriation:

<u>Appropriation</u>	<u>Amount (\$000)</u>
----------------------	-----------------------

NA; all O&MN appropriation

c. **Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead).** This Table should be submitted for all current DBOF activities. Costs reported should reflect BOS costs supporting the DBOF activity itself (usually included in the G&A cost of the activity). For DBOF activities which are tenants on another installation, total cost of BOS incurred by the tenant activity for itself should be shown on this table. It is recognized that differences exist among DBOF activity groups regarding the costing of base operating support: some groups reflect all such costs only in general and administrative (G&A), while others spread them between G&A and production overhead. Regardless of the costing process, all such costs should be included on Table 1B. The Minor Construction portion of the FY 1996 capital budget should be included on the appropriate line. Military personnel costs (at civilian equivalency rates) should also be included on the appropriate lines of the table. Please ensure that individual lines of the table do not include duplicate costs. Also ensure that there is no duplication between data provided on Table 1A. and 1B. These two tables must be mutually exclusive, since in those cases where both tables are submitted for an activity, the two tables will be added together to estimate total BOS costs at the activity. Add additional lines to the table (following line 21., as necessary, to identify any additional cost elements not currently shown). **Leave shaded areas of table blank.**

Other Notes: All costs of operating the five Major Range Test Facility Bases at DBOF activities (even if direct RDT&E funded) should be included on Table 1B. Weapon Stations should include underutilized plant capacity costs as a DBOF overhead "BOS expense" on Table 1B..

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 68845

Table 1B - Base Operating Support Costs (DBOF Overhead)			
Activity Name: Human Resources Office, Norfolk; N/A Not a DBOF Activity		UIC: 68845	
Category	FY 1996 Net Cost From UC/FUND-4 (\$000)		
	Non-Labor	Labor	Total
1. Real Property Maintenance Costs:			
-1a. Real Property Maintenance (> \$15K)			
1b. Real Property Maintenance (< \$15K)			
1c. Minor Construction (Expensed)			
1d. Minor Construction (Capital Budget)			
1c. Sub-total 1a. through 1d.			
2. Other Base Operating Support Costs:			
2a. Command Office			
2b. ADP Support			
2c. Equipment Maintenance			
2d. Civilian Personnel Services			
2e. Accounting/Finance			
2f. Utilities			
2g. Environmental Compliance			
2h. Police and Fire			
2i. Safety			
2j. Supply and Storage Operations			
2k. Major Range Test Facility Base Costs			
2l. Other (Specify)			
2m. Sub-total 2a. through 2l:			
3. Depreciation			
4. Grand Total (sum of 1c., 2m., and 3.) :			

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 68845

2. **Services/Supplies Cost Data.** The purpose of Table 2 is to provide information about projected FY 1996 costs for the purchase of services and supplies by the activity. (Note: Unlike Question 1 and Tables 1A and 1B, above, this question is not limited to overhead costs.) The source for this information, where possible, should be either the NAVCOMPT OP-32 Budget Exhibit for O&M activities or the NAVCOMPT UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit for DBOF activities. Information must reflect FY 1996 budget data supporting the FY 1996 NAVCOMPT Budget Submit. Break out cost data by the major sub-headings identified on the OP-32 or UC/FUND-1/IF-4 exhibit, disregarding the sub-headings on the exhibit which apply to civilian and military salary costs and depreciation. Please note that while the OP-32 exhibit aggregates information by budget activity, this data call requests OP-32 data for the activity responding to the data call. Refer to NAVCOMPTINST 7100.2E of 28 April 1990, Subj: Guidance for the Preparation, Submission and Review of the Department of the Navy (DON)-Budget Estimates (DON Budget Guidance Manual) with Changes 1 and 2 for more information on categories of costs identified. Any rows that do not apply to your activity may be left blank. However, totals reported should reflect all costs, exclusive of salary and depreciation.

Table 2 - Services/Supplies Cost Data	
Activity Name: Human Resources Office, Norfolk	UIC: 68845
Cost Category	FY 1996 Projected Costs (\$000)
Travel:	1
Material and Supplies (including equipment):	0
Industrial Fund Purchases (other DBOF purchases):	22
Transportation:	0
Other Purchases (Contract support, etc.):	4
Total:	27

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 68845

3. Contractor Workyears.

a. **On-Base Contract Workyear Table.** Provide a projected estimate of the number of contract workyears expected to be performed "on base" in support of the installation during FY 1996. Information should represent an annual estimate on a full-time equivalency basis. Several categories of contract support have been identified in the table below. While some of the categories are self-explanatory, please note that the category "mission support" entails management support, labor service and other mission support contracting efforts, e.g., aircraft maintenance, RDT&E support, technical services in support of aircraft and ships, etc.

Table 3 - Contract Workyears	
Activity Name: Human Resources Office, Norfolk	UIC: 68845
Contract Type	FY 1996 Estimated Number of Workyears On-Base
Construction:	
Facilities Support:	
Mission Support:	
Procurement:	
Other:*	
Total Workyears:	0

* **Note:** Provide a brief narrative description of the type(s) of contracts, if any, included under the "Other" category.

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 68845

b. **Potential Disposition of On-Base Contract Workyears.** If the mission/functions of your activity were relocated to another site, what would be the anticipated disposition of the on-base contract workyears identified in Table 3.?

1) Estimated number of contract workyears which would be transferred to the receiving site (This number should reflect the number of jobs which would in the future be contracted for at the receiving site, not an estimate of the number of people who would move or an indication that work would necessarily be done by the same contractor(s)):

N/A; no contract workyears

2) Estimated number of workyears which would be eliminated:

N/A; no contract workyears

3) Estimated number of contract workyears which would remain in place (i.e., contract would remain in place in current location even if activity were relocated outside of the local area):

N/A; no contract workyears

DATA CALL 66
INSTALLATION RESOURCES

UIC: 68845

c. "Off-Base" Contract Workyear Data. Are there any contract workyears located in the local community, but not on-base, which would either be eliminated or relocated if your activity were to be closed or relocated? If so, then provide the following information (ensure that numbers reported below do not double count numbers included in 3.a. and 3.b., above): No.

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Eliminated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
None	

No. of Additional Contract Workyears Which Would Be Relocated	General Type of Work Performed on Contract (e.g., engineering support, technical services, etc.)
None	

HRO NORFOLK UIC N68845
DATA CALL SIXTY-SIX

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Title

Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

RADM H. W. GEHMAN, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

H.W. Gehman, Jr.
Signature

15 AUG 1994

Acting

Title Commander in Chief

Date

U.S. Atlantic Fleet

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)

DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

J. B. GREENE, JR.

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

ACTING

22 AUG 1994

Title

Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

G.W. Wooten
NAME (Please type or print)


Signature

Director
Title

12 July 1994
Date

Human Resources Office, Norfolk
Activity