



OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF  
DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING  
3040 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3040

JUN 30 2005



RECEIVED

The Honorable Anthony Principi  
Chairman  
Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington, VA 22202

07052005

Dear Chairman Principi:

During a Base Realignment and Closure Commission visit to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, your staff asked several questions that the hosts were unable to answer. Technical Joint Cross Service Group responses to these questions are attached.

If you need further assistance please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Alan R. Shaffer".

Alan R. Shaffer  
Executive Director  
Technical Joint Cross-Service Group

Attachment:  
As stated.



Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Visit  
Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission 4 Questions and  
Technical Joint Cross-Service Group Response  
( Prepared June 27, 2005)

Question 1

How many people support the sensors directorate effort at: Wright-Patterson Air Force Base (WP AFB)? Rome Laboratory? Hanscom Air Force Base?

Answer

Air Force Materiel Command host personnel provided the following updated information to the previously provided certified data: Off/Enl/Civ/Tot authorizations: Wright-Patterson Air Force Base-94/1/431/526; Rome-11/0/69/80; Hanscom-33/0/79/112; Total-138/1/579/718. This information is more current than the TJCSG 30 Sep 03 certified data. The Air Force Materiel Command response should be supported and used during implementation planning.

Question 2

Clarify what elements move from WP AFB (DFSG, OSSG, EIS), Gunter Annex with Maxwell AFB (OSSG), and Lackland AFB (CPSG) to Hanscom AFB. Additionally, please provide the precise unit names and numbers of authorizations for this effort.

Answer

The element to move from WP AFB is the DFSG (Development & Fielding Systems Group); the element to move from Gunter Annex is the OSSG (Operations and Sustainment Systems Group) not including any operational activities; and the element to move from Lackland AFB is the RDAT&E (Research, Development & Acquisition, and Test & Evaluation) portion of the CPSG (Cryptologic Systems Group). The current number of authorizations involved is not available. The TJCSG is waiting for this data from the Air Force Materiel Command.

Question 3

Clarify ambiguity with the V-22 and Personnel Recovery Vehicle (PRV) move from WP AFB to Patuxent River.

Answer

This recommendation will relocate Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Aeronautical Systems Center activities related to Rotary Wing Air Platform Development & Acquisition, including V-22 and Personnel Recovery Vehicle, to Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division Patuxent River.

Question 4

Provide precise terms and recommendations for 46th Test Wing move to China Lake. What will move? Will the 20 over hires and 101 contractors be identified for the move?

Answer

The TJCSG recommended the movement of work and functions or work load to Naval Air Weapons Division China Lake, but did not make specific recommendations concerning over-hires or contractors. The TJCSG expects that recommendation specificity, in general, will increase during implementation planning. The live fire survivability functions to be received by Naval Air Weapons Division China Lake will be accommodated by the construction of additional facilities. Adequate space is available at Naval Air Weapons Division China Lake to support the required building construction, and test site improvements will be done in an area already dedicated to functions that are similar to the work being moved in from Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.