

AIR STAFF CORRECTION WORKSHEET

PURPOSE: To provide additional information not requested in the original medical data call for Wright-Patterson AFB.

SOURCE: HQ AFMSA/SGSFW, Capt O'Brien, DSN 297-5554

METHOD: In Oct 94, the Joint Group asked for information concerning "Available Beds" in each MTF.

"Available Beds" are defined as: "the number of beds readily available in space designed for inpatient bed placement. The space will meet current accreditation standards and usually have suction, medical gas and nurse call capability. Bed space that has been permanently encumbered (requires construction to convert it to usable bed space) will not be considered. The space will normally have 140 to 200 square feet per bed. The Available Bed Capacity figure will at a minimum equal the operating beds at a facility plus other bed space meeting the above requirements as reported in the data calls."

HQ AFMSA/SGSFW conducted a survey in Nov 93 that collected information for "Normal Beds." The definition above approximates the definition for "Normal Beds" as specified in that survey. It was determined appropriate to use the data submitted by the MTFs in Nov 93 for "Normal Beds" to meet this late requirement for "Available Beds."

CONCLUSION: Available beds for Wright-Patterson AFB are 175.

MAJCOM CONCURS: Capt Potter, HQ AFMC/SGAR, DSN 787-7224.

BASE CONCURS.

I certify that the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

AIR STAFF REVIEWER:

Laura E. DuMez

DATE: 24 Oct 94

Laura E. DuMez, CMSgt, USAF
Medical Manpower Division
Dir of Medical Programs and Resources
Office of the AF Surgeon General

AIR STAFF CORRECTION WORKSHEET

PURPOSE: To provide corrected answer to question 4 of the Medical Data Call,
Wright-Patt AFB.

SOURCE: Air Staff calculation using methodology provided in Data Call adjusted per direction of the Joint Group.

METHOD: During the course of completing this Data Call, MAJCOMs and facilities asked for clarification on terminology and sources cited in the questionnaire. After reviewing the inputs, we determined that there was too much room for misinterpretation and errors. To ensure consistency in the data, the Air Staff calculated MTF cost for each facility using consistent sources and methodology. This Air Staff determined result was used for the Joint Group process.

After this calculation was done and the information provided to MAJCOMs, the methodology was altered by the Joint Group. The cost was adjusted by not deleting the CAT II RWP (Para B(7)) and not adding payraises and inflation factors in Para C.

CONCLUSION: Adjusted MTF cost for Wright-Patt AFB is \$ 5602.07 vice 5940.72.

MAJCOM CONCURS: Cpt Potter, HQ AFMC ISG AR. ASN 787-7224

BASE CONCURS.

I certify that the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

AIR STAFF REVIEWER: Laura E. DuMez DATE: 21 Oct 94
Laura E. DuMez, CMSgt, USAF
Medical Manpower Division
Dir of Medical Programs and Resources
Office of the AF Surgeon General

INSTALLATION WORKSHEET

WPAFB, OH

PURPOSE: BRAC 95 Joint Cross-Service Group Data Call, Section 3:
Contingency/Mobilization, Questions A-D (Air Staff Request).


SOURCE: WAR Mobilization Plan (WMP1) designed operational capability (DOC)
Statements, MAJCOM/Air Staff taskings and Jan 94 listing of US Air Force
Fixed Medical Treatment Facilities.

METHOD: Review plans taskings and coordinate answers with appropriate offices.

CONCLUSION: The answers to the following questions are as follows:



- a. Provide the distance in driving miles to an air field that can accommodate C-9 air craft: .75 miles - WPAFB.
- b. DOC statement taskings. See Attachments 1 and 2.
- c. Operating beds: 160.
- d. Bed expansion capability: Expanded beds: 433.

Preparer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 

GARY W. ERICKSON, Lt Col, 645 MED GRP/SGAM, DSN 787-9120

MAJCOM Reviewer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 

CHARLES E. POTTER, Capt. HO AFMC/SGAP, DSN 787-7224

AIR STAFF CORRECTION WORKSHEET

PURPOSE: To provide corrected answer to question number 3C of the Medical Data Call for Wright-Patterson AFB.

SOURCE: Jan 94 Listing of U.S. Air Force Fixed Medical Treatment Facilities

METHOD: Reviewed Jan 94 Listing and compared to Facility input. Original input was correct. An error was made in transferring the information onto the Installation Worksheet accomplished 8 Aug 94.

CONCLUSION: Operating Beds for Wright-Patterson AFB - 160.

MAJCOM CONCURS: Capt Kim Kubelik, HQ AFMC/SGAR.

BASE CONCURS.

I certify that the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

AIR STAFF REVIEWER:

Laura E. DuMez

DATE: 18 Aug 94

Laura E. DuMez, CMSgt USAF
Medical Manpower Division
Dir of Medical Programs and Resources
Office of the AF Surgeon General

Wright-Patterson Medical Center

DOC STATEMENT (JAN 94)

(DESIGNED OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY)

- MOBILIZE SIX UNIT TYPE CODES (UTCs)
- OPERATE AS A CASUALTY TREATMENT FACILITY
 - EXPAND TO 433 BEDS
 - OPEN AND OPERATE 210-BED MINIMAL CARE FACILITY
 - PROVIDE HEALTHCARE TO MILITARY POPULATION
- SUPPORT INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION SURGE
- SERVE AS FEDERAL COORDINATING CENTER FOR NATIONAL DISASTER MEDICAL SYSTEM (NDMS)
- OPEN AND OPERATE A BLOOD DONOR CENTER

Wright-Patterson Medical Center

CONTINGENCY MOBILITY TASKINGS

- 688 PERSONNEL ON MOBILITY (INCLUDES PRIMARIES AND ALTERNATES)

- FFEC1 -- 500 BED 3-E HOSPITAL TEAM #1 (LEAD TEAM)	287 PERSONNEL
- FFEC2 -- 500 BED 3-E HOSPITAL TEAM #2	143 PERSONNEL
- FFEC3 -- 500 BED 3-E HOSPITAL TEAM #3	82 PERSONNEL
- FFEC4 -- 500 BED 3-E HOSPITAL TEAM #4	92 PERSONNEL
- FFLGD -- BLOOD TRANSSHIPMENT TEAM	6 PERSONNEL
- FFDAB -- AIR TRANSPORTABLE CLINIC	4 PERSONNEL

TOTAL PERSONNEL 614

(645th ABW - Total personnel taskings = 1,171 personnel)
(Medical Group has 52% of these taskings)

ADDENDUM TO SECTION 3B CONTINGENCY/MOBILIZATION

Date: 14 June 1994

MAJCOM: AFMC Base: Wright- Patterson

Organization: 645th Medical Group

This addendum is submitted due to changes which will occur in the unit's Designated Operational Capability and be in effect prior to 30 Sep 94.

#	TASKING	COMMENT
1	Air Transportable Clinic	4 Personnel
1	500 Bed 3E Hospital Team (Lead)	287 Personnel
1	500 Bed 3E Hospital Team 2	145 Personnel
1	500 Bed 3E Hospital Team 3	82 Personnel
1	500 Bed 3E Hospital Team 4	90 Personnel
1	Blood Transshipment Center	6 Personnel
1	AES Aeromed Flight Surgeon Crew	2 Personnel
1	CONUS Blood Donor Center	
	Casualty Receiving Hospital	433 Beds
	Minimal/Convalescent Care Facility	210 Beds
	Federal Coordinating Facility (NDMS)	
	NEACAP	

I certify that the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge.



MICHELE JAKOBOSKI, Major, USAF, MSC, HQ AFMC/SGAP, DSN 787-7224
MAJCOM Reviewer

INSTALLATION WORKSHEET

WPAFB, OH

PURPOSE: BRAC 95 Joint Cross-Service Group Data Call, Section 5: Local Assessment (Air Staff Request).


SOURCE: Lt Col Gary W. Erickson, SGAM, DSN 787-9120 and Mr. Roger Scheidt, SGAC, DSN 787-0683.

METHOD: A. Assuming current DOD population levels, what impact would the reduction on closure of MTF inpatient capability have on your catchment area? The largest impact would be seen in the physician residency programs. The 645th Medical Group has provided an outstanding training ground. However, the local area could absorb the increased workload, except Hyperbaric Chamber Activity, because we have one of the largest units in the free world. No other chamber in the area could provide similar service.

B. Assuming no remaining active duty Air Force and their families, what impact would the closure of the entire MTF have on your catchment area? Similar to the former question, we are a tertiary care center--patients would now be referred to areas even further from their homes. Pharmaceutical costs for the beneficiaries would increase, but could be absorbed by the local area. Care can be provided in local area, but the responsiveness to the patients potentially would suffer.


CONCLUSION: As is stated in method A and B above.

Preparer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 

GARY W. ERICKSON, Lt Col, 645 MED GRP/SGAM, DSN 787-9120

MAJCOM Reviewer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 

INSTALLATION WORKSHEET

WPAFB, OH

PURPOSE: BRAC 95 Joint Cross-Service Group Data Call, Section 4: MTF Costs (Air Staff Request).

SOURCE: A. Data Sources: The instructions suggested using Defense Medical Information System (DMIS) and Retrospective Case Mix Analysis System (RCMAS). DMIS was not used but our local Data Expense Assignment System (EAS) III was substituted; we believe it was more accurate. Attachment 1 lists all the EAS III data used in our answer. RCMAS was suggested as the source for relative weighted products (RWP) however, there was a disparity in bed days, RWPS Disposition, Case Mix Index (CMI) and Relative CMI. Attachments 2 and 3 illustrate these differences. However, the relative weighted products for category III were obtained from RCMAS (Atch 4 (1-3)), our encoder/grouper data (Atch 2) was more accurate because it did not under go any data manipulation, which occurs to the data in RCMAS. In fact, we attempted to use FY 93, but the data in RCMAS in FY 93 was corrupted (not usable). Our answer is a hybrid but uses the best from all sources.

METHOD: As stated in data sources.


CONCLUSION: Using FY 92 data for this exercise is not the most accurate picture because many things have changed, but RCMAS data for FY 93 is unreliable. Additionally, with the maturation of MEPRS, the FY 93 data should be more accurate, but was not used because it would not be correct to mix FYs.

Preparer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 7 Jun 94

GARY W. ERICKSON, Lt Col, 645 MED GRP/SGAM, DSN 787-9120

MAJCOM Reviewer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 14 Jun 94

CHARLES E. POTTER, Capt, HQ AFMC/SGAP, DSN 787-7224

AIR STAFF CORRECTION WORKSHEET

PURPOSE: To provide corrected answer to question 4 of the Medical Data Call, Wright-Patterson AFB.

SOURCE: Air Staff calculation using methodology provided in Data Call.

METHOD: During the course of completing this Data Call, MAJCOMs and facilities asked for clarification on terminology and sources cited in the questionnaire. After reviewing the inputs, we determined that there was too much room for misinterpretation and errors. To ensure consistency in the data, the Air Staff calculated MTF cost for each facility using consistent sources and methodology. This Air Staff determined result will be used for the Joint Group process.

CONCLUSION: MTF cost for Wright-Patterson AFB is \$5940.72.

MAJCOM CONCURS: SSgt Holmes, HQ AFMC/SGAA.

BASE CONCURS.

I certify that the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

AIR STAFF REVIEWER:

Laura E. DuMez
Laura E. DuMez, CMSgt, USAF
Medical Manpower Division
Dir of Medical Programs and Resources
Office of the AF Surgeon General

DATE: 27 Jun 94

INSTALLATION WORKSHEET

WPAFB, OH


PURPOSE: BRAC 95 Joint Cross-Service Group Data Call, Section 4: MTF Cost (Air Staff Request).

SOURCE: C. Burdening for add-ons and inflation. See worksheet provided by Air Staff.

METHOD: Utilized the spread sheet provided. Obtained OBDs for DXNNH, PAS, and ADELS from RCMAS. However, the bed figure from the Encoder/Grouper was more accurate so it was used.


CONCLUSION: The cost per RWP - 6420.49.

Preparer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 7 Jun 94

GARY W. ERICKSON, Lt Col, 645 MED GRP/SGAM, DSN 787-9120

MAJCOM Reviewer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 14 June 94

CHARLES E. POTTER, Capt. HO AFMC/SGAP DSN 787-7221

BRAC95.XLS

		645TH MEDICAL GROUP						
A		B	C	D	E	F	G	
1	COMPUTATION WORKSHEET	TOTAL COST PER CAT III RWP						
2								
3								
4	TOTAL MEPRS- A EXPENSE						\$52,794,477	
5	LESS SELECTED MEPRS- E EXPENSE						(\$1,089,826)	
6	PLUS SELECTED MEPRS-F EXPENSE						\$1,535,936	
7								
8	TOTAL CAT III + MEPRS						\$53,240,587	
9			MDISP	COST/MDISP	BDISP			
10	ADJUSTED TO BIOMETRICS		11100	\$4,796.45	10900		\$52,281,305	
11			TOT RWP	COST/RWP	CAT II RWPS			
12	COST OF CAT II RWPS		9815.91	\$5,423.91	2540.29		(\$13,778,298)	
13								
14	TOTAL EST'D CAT III INPATIENT EXP						\$38,503,007	
15								
16	EST'D CAT III MEPRS INPATIENT COST						\$38,503,007	
17								
18	TOTAL CAT III RWPS						7275.62	
19								
20	UNADJUSTED UNIT COST						\$5,292	
21								
22	CONVERSION FACTOR	PER DAY	TOT DAYS	TOT RWP	DAYS/RWP	PER RWP		
23	DMDC/MEPRS	\$77	46478	7275.62	6.39	\$491.89	\$491.89	
24								
25	TOTAL COST TO DISTRIBUTE						\$5,784	
26								
27	ADJUSTMENT CATEGORIES		CIVPAY	MILPAY	OTHER		TOTAL	
28								
29	INPATIENT FACTORS		15%	56%	29%		100%	
30								
31	TOTAL COST DISTRIBUTED		\$867.59	\$3,239.01	\$1,677.35		\$5,784	
32								
33	FY93 PAY RAISE FACTOR (3.7%)	0.037						
34	CIVILIAN		\$32.10				\$32.10	
35	MILITARY			\$119.84			\$119.84	
36								
37	SUBTOTAL		\$899.69	\$3,239.01	\$1,677.35		\$5,816.05	
38								
39	FY94 PAY RAISE FACTOR							
40	CIVILIAN (3/4 OF 3.96%)	0.03	\$26.99				\$26.99	
41	MILITARY (3/4 OF 2.2%)	0.017		\$55.06			\$55.06	
42								
43	SUBTOTAL		\$926.68	\$3,294.07	\$1,677.35		\$5,898.10	
44								
45	GOVT SHARE UNFUNDED	0.147						
46	CIV RETIREMENT (14.7%)		\$136.22				\$136.22	
47								
48	SUBTOTAL		\$1,062.91	\$3,294.07	\$1,677.35		\$6,034.33	
49								
50	ASSET USE CHARGE (4.0%)	0.04	\$42.52	\$131.76	\$67.09		\$241.37	
51								
52								
53	SUBTOTAL		\$1,105.42	\$3,425.84	\$1,744.44		\$6,275.70	
54								
55	DOD DEFLATOR	0.923361			\$144.79		\$144.79	
56								
57								
58	GRAND TOTAL COST PER RWP		\$1,105.42	\$3,425.84	\$1,889.23		\$6,420.49	

FAREN: 1993 11 27 1238 HRS KEPRS
 ENTITY NAME: USAF MED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 ENTITY CODE: FFGK20
 REGION: 04

PDY 1077-011
 PAGE 1

1 - SEP FY92

PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 1 - INPATIENT SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICAL% SALARIES	OCCUPIED MED DAYS	COST PER DRG	TOTAL DRGS	COST PER DRG	ADMS	COST PER ADMIS	ALOS	YRDR
AAAA	INTERNAL MEDICINE	3,682,043	456805	4351	846.75	718	5125.19	707	5207.98	6.1	11.9
AAA	SUBTOTAL	3,682,043	456805	4351	846.25	718	5125.19	707	5207.98	6.1	11.9
AABA	CARDIOLOGY	3,341,422	56784	4846	686.69	1194	2795.51	1225	2727.69	4.1	13.3
AAB	SUBTOTAL	3,341,422	56784	4846	686.69	1194	2795.51	1225	2727.69	4.1	13.3
AACA	CORONARY CARE UNIT	2,424,241	38222	1559	1555.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	4.3
AAC	SUBTOTAL	2,424,241	38222	1559	1555.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	4.3
AA	DERMATOLOGY	50,770	23545	2	25385.00	1	50770.00	1	50770.00	2.0	0.0
AA	SUBTOTAL	50,770	23545	2	25385.00	1	50770.00	1	50770.00	2.0	0.0
AAEA	ENDOCRINOLOGY	41,175	839	71	579.93	23	1790.22	23	1790.22	3.1	0.2
AAE	SUBTOTAL	41,175	839	71	579.93	23	1790.22	23	1790.22	3.1	0.2
AAFA	GASTROENTEROLOGY	501,206	31959	806	621.84	139	3605.80	138	3631.93	5.8	2.2
AAF	SUBTOTAL	501,206	31959	806	621.84	139	3605.80	138	3631.93	5.8	2.2
AAGA	HEMATOLOGY	295,597	22953	508	581.88	66	4478.74	68	4347.01	7.7	1.4
AAG	SUBTOTAL	295,597	22953	508	581.88	66	4478.74	68	4347.01	7.7	1.4
AAHA	INTENSIVE CARE UNIT (M)	1,049,195	11595	896	1170.98	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	2.5
AAH	SUBTOTAL	1,049,195	11595	896	1170.98	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	2.5
AAIA	NEPHROLOGY	182,573	17214	288	633.93	54	3380.98	53	3444.77	5.3	0.8
AAI	SUBTOTAL	182,573	17214	288	633.93	54	3380.98	53	3444.77	5.3	0.8
AAJA	NEUROLOGY	268,394	29583	377	711.92	61	4399.50	60	4473.23	6.2	1.0
AAJ	SUBTOTAL	268,394	29583	377	711.92	61	4399.50	60	4473.23	6.2	1.0
AAKA	ONCOLOGY	963,751	23598	1398	689.38	202	4771.04	207	4655.80	4.9	3.8
AAK	SUBTOTAL	963,751	23598	1398	689.38	202	4771.04	207	4655.80	4.9	3.8
AALA	PULMONARY/UPPER RESPIR	517,253	30921	804	643.81	184	3841.08	181	3949.59	6.0	2.2
AAI	SUBTOTAL	517,253	30921	804	643.81	184	3841.08	181	3949.59	6.0	2.2
AAMA	RHEUMATOLOGY	100,171	6336	81	1236.41	1	10000.00	84	3070.76	10.8	0.2
AAH	SUBTOTAL	100,171	6336	81	1236.41	1	10000.00	84	3070.76	10.8	0.2
AAAA	GENERAL SURGERY	7,062,895	146910	1974	3578.25	509	7062.85	509	7062.85	3.9	0.4

AFIP: 1992 10 25 0030 HRS

45988

PCN COMP-012

CITY NAME: USAF HSB DEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE

PAGE 2

CITY CODE: FF6420

REGION: 06

- SEP FY92

PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 1 - INPATIENT SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSE	CLINICIAN SALARIES	CONSUMED MED SUPS	COST PER DRG	TOTAL DISPS	COST PER DISP	ADMS	COST PER ADMIS	ADMS	ADMS
4340	GENERAL SURGERY-CHAMPUS	1,510,245	132	2704	566.11	782	2128.15	711	2122.95	3.7	7.4
434	SUBTOTAL	5,125,654	147042	4680	1095.23	1231	4165.81	1226	4201.36	3.8	10.2
435A	CARDIOVASCULAR AND THOR	1,072,151	34504	753	1332.07	112	9572.78	103	10409.25	7.1	2.2
435B	VASCULAR/THORACIC CHAM	449,492	379	783	574.06	119	3777.24	112	4013.32	6.6	2.1
435	SUBTOTAL	1,521,643	34883	1536	965.51	231	6557.20	215	7077.41	6.0	4.3
436A	INTENSIVE CARE SURGICAL	2,779,483	43894	1253	2018.26	14	XXXXXX	0	0.00	89.5	3.4
436B	SECU - CHAMPUS/EXPANDE	725,100	273	261	905.24	31	23390.32	35	15061.58	25.3	2.2
436	SUBTOTAL	3,504,583	46167	2054	1706.22	45	77879.62	32	92225.87	45.6	5.6
437A	NEUROSURGERY	1,755,924	46615	2417	726.48	217	3091.62	221	7945.36	11.1	6.6
437B	NEUROSURGERY - CHAMPUS	279,120	23	625	437.49	6	4361.25	60	4652.00	10.6	1.7
437	SUBTOTAL	2,035,044	46638	3052	666.14	223	7242.15	281	7242.15	10.9	8.4
438A	OPHTHALMOLOGY	466,218	19475	184	2533.79	124	3759.82	124	3759.82	1.5	0.5
438B	OPHTHALMOLOGY-CHAMPUS/	118,189	0	161	734.09	120	984.91	120	984.91	1.3	0.4
438	SUBTOTAL	584,407	19475	345	1693.93	244	2395.11	244	2395.11	1.4	0.9
439A	ORAL SURGERY	866,848	154471	292	2938.66	91	9525.80	92	9422.26	3.2	0.6
439B	ORAL SURGERY-CHAMPUS/E	142,847	0	142	1005.96	47	3039.30	47	3039.30	3.0	0.4
439	SUBTOTAL	1,009,695	154471	434	2326.49	138	7316.63	139	7263.99	3.1	1.2
440A	OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGY OR	1,485,055	58737	236	1776.38	217	4684.72	215	4714.46	2.6	2.3
440B	OTOLARYNGOLOGY-CHAMPUS	415,436	0	503	833.37	253	1482.11	277	1514.22	1.8	1.4
440	SUBTOTAL	1,904,493	58737	1339	1422.32	600	3174.16	592	3217.65	2.2	3.7
441A	PLASTIC SURGERY	458,005	50371	259	2540.56	95	6714.34	96	6854.22	2.6	0.7
441B	PLASTIC SURGERY-CHAMPUS	225,901	0	305	740.66	75	2859.51	80	2523.76	3.9	0.8
441	SUBTOTAL	683,906	50371	564	1567.21	170	4993.82	176	5022.19	3.2	1.5
442A	PROCTOLOGY	214,015	12671	457	462.71	57	2455.99	55	2517.57	5.3	1.3
442B	PROCTOLOGY-CHAMPUS/EXP	208,574	0	552	379.85	76	2744.25	71	2837.64	7.3	1.5
442	SUBTOTAL	422,589	12671	1009	415.62	133	2552.50	126	2705.92	6.1	2.5
443A	UROLOGY	102,740	41744	347	1630.35	111	5094.55	110	5100.58	3.1	1.0
443B	UROLOGY-PARTNERSHIP	1,809	0	1	0.00	1	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	0.0
443C	UROLOGY - CHAMPUS/EXPA	307,405	109	335	870.33	141	2105.54	145	2110.06	3.7	1.2
443	SUBTOTAL	611,954	42853	683	1025.27	253	3452.42	255	3451.50	7.4	2.5

DATED: 1993 11 29 2235 438
 CITY NAME: GRAF KED DEP WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 CITY CODE: FFGK20
 REGION: 06

FON 00AF-012
 PAGE 3

SEP FY92

PART 1 MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 1 - INPATIENT SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSE	CLINICAL SALARIES	UNOCCUPIED BED DAYS	COST PER DAY	TOTAL DISPS	COST PER DISP	ADMS	COST PER ADMS	VALDS	ADPL
ABLA	ORGAN TRANSPLANT	69,220	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	0.0
ABL	SUBTOTAL	69,220	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	0.0
ABCA	CARDIAC SURGERY (CHAMP)	3,034,476	0	1070	2835.56	105	28849.77	87	34679.03	10.2	2.9
ABZD	CARDIAC SURGERY CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	0.0
ABZ	SUBTOTAL	3,034,476	0	1070	2835.56	105	28849.77	87	34679.03	10.2	2.9
ADAA	GYNECOLOGY	1,437,294	150131	732	1563.50	225	6387.97	224	6416.49	3.3	2.0
ADAB	GYNECOLOGY CHAMPUS/EXP	1,257,227	0	2049	613.58	536	2345.87	535	2349.96	3.8	5.6
A	SUBTOTAL	2,694,521	150131	2781	948.90	761	3540.76	759	3550.09	3.7	7.6
ACBA	OBSTETRICS (INCLUDES L	2,459,051	56661	1764	1394.02	316	7781.81	319	7708.62	5.6	4.8
ACBQ	OBSTETRICS-CHAMPUS/EXP	1,265,719	0	3539	357.65	502	1539.80	523	1537.93	4.3	9.7
ACB	SUBTOTAL	3,724,770	56661	5303	702.39	818	3273.08	842	3261.62	4.7	14.5
ADAA	PEDIATRICS	1,529,557	87321	1928	795.40	692	2210.34	692	2210.34	2.8	5.3
ADA	SUBTOTAL	1,529,557	87321	1928	795.40	692	2210.34	692	2210.34	2.8	5.3
ADFA	NURSERY	1,490,296	14082	2477	601.65	766	1896.05	753	1979.14	3.2	6.8
ADB	SUBTOTAL	1,490,296	14082	2477	601.65	766	1896.05	753	1979.14	3.2	6.8
ADCA	NEONATAL ICU	716,523	85753	1804	397.19	211	3395.84	233	3075.21	8.5	4.9
ADC	SUBTOTAL	716,523	85753	1804	397.19	211	3395.84	233	3075.21	8.5	4.9
AEAA	ORTHOPEDICS	3,127,295	100697	2261	1383.15	615	5093.03	614	5093.31	3.7	6.2
AEAP	ORTHOPEDICS-PARTNERSHIP	12,802	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	0.0
AEAD	ORTHOPEDICS-CHAMPUS/EX	428,598	0	836	512.68	223	1921.96	220	1948.17	3.7	2.3
AEA	SUBTOTAL	3,568,695	100697	3097	1152.31	838	4258.59	834	4279.01	3.7	8.5
AEBA	PODIATRY	290,347	0	196	1521.19	99	3013.61	98	3044.36	2.0	0.5
AECP	PODIATRY PARTNERSHIP	2,308	0	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0.0	0.0
AECD	PODIATRY-CHAMPUS/EXPAN	67,278	0	103	652.80	99	686.10	98	686.10	1.1	0.3
AEP	SUBTOTAL	359,933	0	299	1230.40	197	1867.46	196	1876.99	1.2	0.8
AFAA	PSYCHIATRIC CARE	3,148,100	167009	8816	357.09	205	11079.05	202	12015.66	32.3	24.2
AFB	SUBTOTAL	3,148,100	167009	8816	357.09	205	11079.05	202	12015.66	32.3	24.2
AFBA	SUBSTANCE ABUSE REHAB	1,116,518	7807	3424	326.09	133	8394.87	119	9562.50	25.7	9.4
AFB	SUBTOTAL	1,116,518	7807	3424	326.09	133	8394.87	119	9562.50	25.7	9.4

PERIOD: 1993 11 29 2230 HRS
 FACILITY NAME: USAF MID CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGK20
 AGENCY: 06

FOR COMP-012
 PAGE 4

1 - SEP FY92 PART 1 MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 1 - INPATIENT SERVICES

ACCT DESCRIPTION	TOTAL	CLINICAL	OCCUPIED	COST PER	TOTAL	COST PER		COST PER	*ALOS	*ADPL
	EXPENSES	SALARIES	BED DAYS	BED	BEDS	BED	ADMS	ADMS		
TOTAL	224734.77	202470	62961	838.52	10100	4756.26	11048	4776.65	5.7	172.5

*ALOS=OPD/DISPOSITIONS *ADPL=OPD/NUMBER OF DAYS IN PERIOD

DATED: 1993 11 09 2239 HRS REPSE
 FACILITY NAME: USAF HED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGK20
 REGION: 06

PER 001P-012
 PAGE 8

- SEP FY92 PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 2 - AMBULATORY CARE

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICIAN SALARIES	INPAT VISITS	OUTPAT VISITS	TOTAL VISITS	COST PER VISIT		AMBULATORY CARED COST PER VISIT	
							TOTAL VISITS	AVERAGE WEIGHT	UNITS (AWUS)	AVERAGE COST PER VISIT
8AAA	INTERNAL MEDICINE CLINIC	5,246,762	622946	1142	20747	21889	239.70			
8AAP	INT MED CL PARTNERSHIP	79,910	0	0	0	0	0.00			
1AA	SUBTOTAL	5,326,680	622946	1142	20747	21889	243.35	0.0395	664,6155	6160.75
8ABA	ALLERGY CLINIC	707,170	145928	29	9045	9074	77.93			
8AB	SUBTOTAL	707,170	145928	29	9045	9074	77.93	0.0093	75,3142	9389.60
7A	CARDIOLOGY CLINIC	1,299,890	169634	3655	9365	13020	99.07			
	SUBTOTAL	1,299,890	169634	3655	9365	13020	99.07	0.0364	473,9290	2721.70
8AEA	DIABETIC CLINIC	76,273	391	21	1721	1742	43.78			
8AE	SUBTOTAL	76,273	391	21	1721	1742	43.78	0.0257	46,5114	1639.81
8AFA	ENDOCRINOLOGY (METABOL)	231,809	65191	175	2956	3131	74.04			
8AF	SUBTOTAL	231,809	65191	175	2956	3131	74.04	0.0399	124,9269	1855.56
8AGA	GASTROENTEROLOGY CLINIC	862,854	153233	1162	3885	5047	170.96			
8AG	SUBTOTAL	862,854	153233	1162	3885	5047	170.96	0.0338	170,5856	5052.10
8AHA	HEMATOLOGY CLINIC	189,960	33226	639	2323	2962	64.13			
8AH	SUBTOTAL	189,960	33226	639	2323	2962	64.13	0.0455	134,7710	1409.50
8AJA	NEPHROLOGY CLINIC	697,545	50865	524	3248	3772	184.93			
8AJ	SUBTOTAL	697,545	50865	524	3248	3772	184.93	0.0629	337,2588	2940.02
8AKA	NEUROLOGY CLINIC	1,028,910	122949	941	5711	6652	154.68			
8AK	SUBTOTAL	1,028,910	122949	941	5711	6652	154.68	0.0364	242,1328	4249.36
8ALA	NUTRITION CLINIC	225,632	0	5239	4094	9333	25.25			
8AL	SUBTOTAL	225,632	0	5239	4094	9333	25.25	0.0127	118,8291	1987.97
8APA	ONCOLOGY CLINIC	614,450	25157	373	3640	4013	138.61			
8AP	SUBTOTAL	614,450	25157	373	3640	4013	138.61	0.0468	306,5778	2874.42
8AQA	PULMONARY CLINIC	315,037	75217	1930	2018	3948	75.80			
8AQ	SUBTOTAL	315,037	75217	1930	2018	3948	75.80	0.0410	161,8653	1966.44
8ARA	RHEUMATOLOGY CLINIC	185,451	40657	237	1811	2048	86.34			

DATED: 1993 11 29 0000 HRB
 CITY NAME: USAF HSB GEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON
 CITY CODE: FFBN20
 REGION: 06

-EPAS
 DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE

- SEP FY92 PART 2 MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 2 - AMBULATORY CARE

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINIC/PCN	LIFEN VISITS	OUTPATN VISITS	TOTAL VISITS	COST PER		AMBULATORY	
							TOTAL VISITS	AVG WEIGHT	COST UNITS (AUG)	COST PER AVG
1-0	SUBTOTAL	105,458	40853	237	1911	2148	86.34	0.0343	73.6764	2617.10
249A	DERMATOLOGY CLINIC	482,129	88574	33	3067	3099	78.89			
249P	DERM CLINIC PARTNERSHI	264,621	0	0	7103	7103	37.25			
249	SUBTOTAL	722,750	88574	23	10170	10193	58.09	0.0216	284.9655	2550.28
249A	INFECTIOUS DISEASE CL	95,463	26972	455	685	1140	83.74			
	SUBTOTAL	95,463	26972	455	685	1140	83.74	0.0395	45.0300	2119.79
249A	GENERAL SURGERY CLINIC	2,069,317	287700	1284	6941	8225	251.55			
249	SUBTOTAL	2,069,317	287700	1284	6941	8225	251.55	0.0345	283.7625	7292.43
249A	CARDIOVASCULAR AND THO	129,389	37947	41	2076	2117	61.12			
249P	CARDIOVASCATHORASIC PA	0	0	0	0	0	0.00			
249	SUBTOTAL	129,389	37947	41	2076	2117	61.12	0.0377	79.8169	1621.19
249A	NEUROSURGERY CLINIC	228,548	74317	94	2543	2637	86.67			
249	SUBTOTAL	228,548	74317	94	2543	2637	86.67	0.0553	153.7371	1486.62
249A	OPHTHALMOLOGY CLINIC	679,566	198141	266	8356	8622	78.70			
249P	OPHTHALMOLOGY PARTNERS	0	0	0	0	0	0.00			
249	SUBTOTAL	679,566	198141	266	8356	8622	78.70	0.0276	237.9872	2851.51
249A	OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGY CL	949,380	144913	630	6332	6962	103.62			
249P	ENT PARTNERSHIP	0	0	0	0	0	0.00			
249	SUBTOTAL	949,380	144913	630	6332	6962	103.62	0.0305	277.4410	3397.43
249A	PLASTIC SURGERY CLINIC	214,176	84743	111	2116	2227	166.66			
249	SUBTOTAL	214,176	84743	111	2116	2227	166.66	0.0406	80.4151	2475.38
249A	PROCTOLOGY CLINIC	135,652	58275	62	3151	3112	75.74			
249	SUBTOTAL	135,652	58275	62	3150	3112	75.74	0.0279	71.8203	2076.60
249	UROLOGY CLINIC	1,070,109	149753	114	4777	4891	289.85			
249P	UROLOGY PARTNERSHIP	47,215	0	0	1801	1801	25.84			
249	SUBTOTAL	1,117,324	149753	114	6578	6692	189.25	0.0317	131.3354	4263.19
249A	FAMILY PLANNING CLINIC	605,014	141242	0	2343	2343	140.55			

DATED: 1983 11 07 0000 P00 10PMS
 FACILITY NAME: USAF MED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DEWALTD MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGP20
 REGION: 06

RPT: OOH-012
 PAGE: 5

SEP FY92

PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

WING 2 - AMBULATORY CARE

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL	CLINICIAN	EMERG	OUTPAT	TOTAL	POST PER	AMBULATORY		
		EXPENSE	EXPENSE	VISITS	VISITS	VISITS	TOTAL	AWS	UNIT	POST PER
								PER VISIT	(AWS)	AWS
B04	SUBTOTAL	228,414	141249	0	2543	7048	220.58	0.0246	58,3407	17842.48
B08A	GYNECOLOGY CLINIC	2,412,238	220577	150	25406	23567	102.58			
B08	SUBTOTAL	2,412,238	220577	157	25406	23568	102.58	0.0236	58,0868	4341.92
B08A	OBSTETRICS CLINIC	1,438,018	149882	56	14341	14397	99.88			
B08	SUBTOTAL	1,438,018	149882	56	14341	14397	99.88	0.0260	574,3220	3841.66
B08A	PEDIATRIC CLINIC	3,040,004	407887	820	35835	35855	84.79			
B08A	PEDIATRIC CLINIC/PARTN	726,091	0	0	21212	21212	34.22			
B08A	SUBTOTAL	3,766,175	407887	820	57047	57067	66.01	0.0200	1141,3400	5259.72
B08A	ADOLESCENT CLINIC	91,007	31962	0	3536	3536	25.74			
B08A	ADOLESCENT PARTNERSHIP	21,358	0	0	1112	1112	19.24			
B08	SUBTOTAL	112,405	31962	0	4648	4648	24.18	0.0254	118,0592	952.11
B08A	WELL BABY CLINIC	63,175	5242	0	4460	4460	14.16			
B08A	WELL BABY PARTNERSHIP	14,242	0	0	1139	1139	12.50			
B08	SUBTOTAL	77,417	5242	0	5599	5599	13.85	0.0156	87,3444	881.34
B08A	ORTHOPEDIC CLINIC	1,445,148	164569	427	12414	12841	112.84			
B08	SUBTOTAL	1,445,148	164569	427	12414	12841	112.84	0.0362	424,8442	3108.89
B08A	CAST CLINIC	117,474	2222	145	3759	3902	30.11			
B08	SUBTOTAL	117,474	2222	145	3759	3902	30.11	0.0200	78,0400	1505.33
B08A	HAND SURGERY CLINIC	14,008	99	18	654	672	20.35			
B08A	HAND SURGERY PARTNERSHIP	60,287	0	0	621	621	97.03			
B08	SUBTOTAL	74,295	99	18	1275	1293	57.44	0.0282	28,9978	2478.70
B08A	ORTHOPEDIC APPLIANCE C	478,107	1	30	4541	4571	102.82			
B08	SUBTOTAL	478,107	1	30	4541	4571	102.82	0.0220	140,1778	3194.88
B08A	PODIATRY CLINIC	771,051	0	87	3184	3701	72.48			
B08A	PODIATRY PARTNERSHIP	27,000	0	5	2107	2210	30.36			
B08	SUBTOTAL	338,480	0	70	5291	5911	57.07	0.0211	100,1441	2764.82
B08A	PSYCHIATRY CLINIC	1,488,843	144838	2893	6376	8771	148.57			

DATED: 1993 11 29 033: HR6 REFRE
 FACILITY NAME: USAF MED CGA WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGM20
 REGION: 06

FORM LDMF-012
 PAGE 8

- SEP FY92 PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

TION 2 - AMBULATORY CARE

ROOT	SUBSCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICIAN SALARIES	INFANT VISITS	OUTPATIENT VISITS	TOTAL VISITS	AMBULATORY CARE			
							COST PER VISIT	AWU UNITS (AWUS)	COST PER AWU	
EFAP	ANESTHESIOLOGY-PARTNER	0	0	0	0	0	0.00			
EFA	SUBTOTAL	1,486,843	644,037	2293	6478	8771	169.52	0.0346	303,4766	489,337
EFBA	PSYCHOLOGY CLINIC	990,489	57979	226	8872	9098	100.87			
EFBP	PSYCHOLOGY - PARTNERSH	36,478	0	0	1068	1068	34.16			
EFB	SUBTOTAL	1,026,967	57979	226	9940	10166	101.02	0.0295	299,8970	3424.40
	MENTAL HEALTH CLINIC	0	0	0	0	0	0.00			
	SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	0.0332	0.0300	0.00
EFEA	SOCIAL WORK CLINIC	663,117	0	2013	5579	7592	84.02			
EFE	SUBTOTAL	663,117	0	2013	5579	7592	84.02	0.0213	163,0996	3844.79
EFFA	SUBSTANCE ABUSE CLINIC	80,188	3255	1981	124	2105	38.09			
EFF	SUBTOTAL	80,188	3255	1981	124	2105	38.09	0.0332	69,8860	1147.43
EFHA	PRIMARY CARE CLINIC	4,725,005	294677	0	39712	39712	118.98			
EFAD	PRIMARY CARE CNT	3,077	0	0	0	0	0.00			
EFAP	PRIMARY CARE PARTNERSH	2,833,746	0	0	34288	34288	82.65			
EHA	SUBTOTAL	7,561,828	294677	0	74000	74000	102.19	0.0263	1946,2000	3885.43
EFDA	OPTOMETRY CLINIC	552,302	0	0	11160	11160	48.33			
EFDP	OPTOMETRY PARTNERSHIP	26,004	0	0	2209	2209	11.77			
EFDC	SUBTOTAL	578,206	0	0	13369	13369	43.19	0.0163	216,2407	2649.40
EFDA	AUDIOLOGY CLINIC	268,142	0	25	1453	1478	101.42			
EFDP	AUDIOLOGY PARTNERSHIP	23,320	0	5	1766	1771	13.15			
EAD	SUBTOTAL	291,462	0	30	3219	3249	89.45	0.0150	48,7650	5974.87
EFEA	SPEECH PATHOLOGY CLINIC	3,028	0	56	426	482	8.12			
EFE	SUBTOTAL	3,028	0	56	426	482	8.28	0.0200	10,1204	370.75
	COOPERATION HEALTH CLINIC	1,557,141	405318	0	25101	25101	61.98			
	DND HEAVY	202,911	13500	0	0	0	0.00			
EFAD	DND TEST	275,032	76250	0	0	0	0.00			
EFAD	DND 3080	393,116	77180	0	0	0	0.00			
EAD	SUBTOTAL	2,468,214	626388	0	25101	25101	75.98	0.0235	640,3010	3881.91

REPORT: 1978 11 29 2038 HRS REPRS
 FACILITY NAME: USAF MED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: H56K20
 REGION: 06

FORM COM-012

PAGE 4

PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SEP FY92

SECTION 2 - AMBULATORY CARE

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICIAN SALARIES	INPAT VISITS	OUTPAT VISITS	TOTAL VISITS	COST PER TOTAL VISITS	AMBULATORY		
								AUG WEIGHT	WORK UNITS (AUG)	COST PER AUG
B1AA	EMERGENCY MEDICAL CLIN	4,347,154	580890	1	33106	33106	131.31			
BCAB	EMERGENCY ROOM-CONTRAC	420	0	0	1	0	0.00			
BLAP	EMERGENCY MEDICAL CARE	1,248	0	0	0	0	0.00			
B1A	SUBTOTAL	4,348,822	580890	0	33106	33106	131.36	0.0335	1107.0510	3921.21
BJAA	FLIGHT MEDICINE CLINIC	1,548,133	399276	0	10376	10376	149.20			
B1A	SUBTOTAL	1,548,133	399276	0	10376	10376	149.20	0.0286	296.7536	5216.90
	TOTAL	49,129,371	6505458	27667	440901	468568	104.81		17066.3179	3777.33

AREF: 1993 11 29 0039 HRG TETR5
 FACILITY NAME: USAF MED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFKR20
 REGION: 06

FOR COM-012
 PAGE 10

SEP FY92

PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

ORG INFORMATION

RELATIVE CASE MIX INDEX (RCMI) 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894
 1.1894

TOTAL INPATIENT WORK UNITS (IWU) 13200.3400
 COST PER IWU 3598.87

IWU=TOTAL INPATIENT DISPOSITIONS X RCMI

TOTAL AMBULATORY WORK UNITS (AWU) 13004.3679
 TOTAL COST PER TOTAL AWU 3777.33

AWU=SUM OF AMBULATORY CARE AWUs

TOTAL MEDICAL WORK UNITS (MWU) 26208.7079
 COST PER MWU 3886.93

MWU=IWU + AWU

DATED: 1992 11 29 2028 HAS HERMS
 FACILITY NAME: USAF HED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGM20
 REGION: 06

FOR OMB-010
 PAGE 11

- SEP FY92 PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 3 - DENTAL CARE

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	OBLIGORIN SALARIES	OBT PER	
				PERF FACTOR	PERF FACTOR
BAAA	DENTAL SERVICES	4,804,881	1732049	287744	16.70
CAAD	CONTRACT DENTAL SERVICE	4,358	4402	0	0.00
BAA	SUBTOTAL	4,809,239	1736537	287744	16.71
CCAA	TYPE 2 DENTAL PROSTHET	318,010	328	65616	4.85
CCA	SUBTOTAL	318,010	328	65616	4.85
TOTAL		5,127,249	1736865		

DATED: 1993 11 29 2238 HRS
 CITY NAME: USAF HED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 CITY CODE: FFGW20
 REGION: 06

FOR COM-012
 PAGE 12

- SEP FY92

PART 1 MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

TION 4 - ANCILLARY SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSE	CLINICAL BALANCE	FEAF FACTOR	DEF FACTOR	PERFORMANCE FACTOR	DESCRIPTION
DAAF	PHARMACY	13,563,766	0	1349667	13.76		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DAA	SUBTOTAL	13,563,766	0	1349667	13.76		
DBAF	CLINICAL PATHOLOGY	4,545,653	19080	4552567	1.00		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DBA	SUBTOTAL	4,545,653	19080	4552567	1.00		
DBBA	ANATOMICAL PATHOLOGY	1,160,081	359830	1462419	6.79		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DBB	SUBTOTAL	1,160,081	359830	1462419	6.79		
DBC	BLOOD BANK	616,790	1163	419100	1.47		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DBC	SUBTOTAL	616,790	1163	419100	1.47		
DCAA	DIAGNOSTIC RADIOLOGY	4,727,686	544701	610987	7.74		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DCAO	CONTRACT DIAGNOSTIC RA	0	0	0	0.00		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DCA	SUBTOTAL	4,727,686	544701	610987	7.74		
DCBA	THERAPEUTIC RADIOLOGY	974,580	66531	51550	18.91		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DCBO	CONTRACT THERAPEUTIC R	0	0	0	0.00		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DCB	SUBTOTAL	974,580	66531	51550	18.91		
DDAA	ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHY	470,987	0	20718	22.73		PROCEDURE
DDA	SUBTOTAL	470,987	0	20718	22.73		
DDBA	ELECTROENCEPHALOGRAPHY	110,070	15068	1188	92.65		PROCEDURE
DDB	SUBTOTAL	110,070	15068	1188	92.65		
DDCA	ELECTRONEUROMYOGRAPHY	79,163	27626	679	116.59		PROCEDURE
DDC	SUBTOTAL	79,163	27626	679	116.59		
DDDA	PULMONARY FUNCTION	189,915	0	53305	3.23		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DDD	SUBTOTAL	189,915	0	53305	3.23		
DEBA	CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION	664,714	80795	80371	17.17		WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DEB	SUBTOTAL	664,714	80795	80371	17.17		
DEAA	CENTRAL STERILE SUPPLY	616,791	0	16455	37.48		HOURS OF SERVICE
DEA	SUBTOTAL	616,791	0	16455	37.48		
DEBA	CENTRAL STERILE SUPPLY	1,436,739	0	1800765	1.35		COST FOR MAINT EQUIPMENT

REPORT: 1992 11 29 2038 HRS HPRG
 FACILITY NAME: USAF HSI GEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGH20
 REGION: 06

FOR DDHF-011
 PAGE 13

7 - SEP FY92

PART I. MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 4 - ANCILLARY SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	ELIGIBLE SALARIES	COST PER		PERFORMANCE FACTOR DESCRIPTION
				PERF FACTOR	PERF FACTOR	
DEB	SUBTOTAL	2,436,739	0	1603792	1.25	
DEAA	ANESTHESIOLOGY	2,456,167	421380	671180	3.44	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEAD	ANESTHESIOLOGY-CONTRAC	2,651	0	0	0.00	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEAP	PARTNERSHIP ANESTHESIO	31,171	0	0	0.00	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEAQ	ANESTHESIOLOGY/EXPANDE	452	0	757009	0.00	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEA	SUBTOTAL	2,490,605	421380	1428950	1.74	
	SURGICAL SUITE	5,646,057	164634	1152245	4.90	MINUTES OF SERVICE
	SURGICAL SUITE/EXPANDE	1,590,519	0	1235504	1.25	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEB	SUBTOTAL	7,236,576	164634	2387751	3.03	
DECA	RECOVERY ROOM	504,417	0	105254	4.66	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DECB	RECOVERY ROOM EXPANDED	0	0	155107	0.00	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEC	SUBTOTAL	504,417	0	263363	1.92	
DEGA	SAME DAY SURGERY	565,040	0	222084	2.54	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEG	SUBTOTAL	565,040	0	222084	2.54	
DEGA	HEMODIALYSIS	378,936	3300	415148	0.91	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEB	SUBTOTAL	378,936	3300	415148	0.91	
DEGA	HYPERBARIC MEDICINE CL	968,067	177190	451715	2.14	MINUTES OF SERVICE
DEG	SUBTOTAL	968,067	177190	451715	2.14	
DEAA	INHALATION/RESPIRATORY	1,053,474	0	13079	80.55	WEIGHTED PROCEDURE
DEA	SUBTOTAL	1,053,474	0	13079	80.55	
DEBA	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	447,658	0	12948	50.04	VISITS
DEB	SUBTOTAL	447,658	0	12948	50.04	
DEBA	PHYSICAL THERAPY	1,150,968	0	41784	27.09	VISITS
DEB	SUBTOTAL	1,150,968	0	41784	27.09	
	NUCLEAR MEDICINE	953,354	30714	398770	1.99	UNIT-WEI PROCEDURE
DEA	SUBTOTAL	953,354	30714	398770	1.99	

SHARES: 1993 11 29 2030 HRS

REFAS

FDX 0065-010

ACTIVITY NAME: USAF MDN CFM WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE

PAGE 1-

HEALTH CODE: FFGH20

00

REGION: 06

- SEP FY92

PART 3 MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 4 - ANCILLARY SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICAL SALARIES	COST PER		PERFORMANCE FACTOR	DESCRIPTION
				PERF FACTOR	PERF FACTOR		
	TOTAL	50,158,169	19,66100				

PREPARED: 1993 11 29 0230 HRS

LEPRS

FORM DDPS-001

ACTIVITY NAME: USAF MED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE

PAGE 15

ACTIVITY CODE: FFGK20

REGION: 06

1 - SEP FY92

PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 5 - SUPPORT SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICLN SALARIES	PERF FACTOR	UNIT PER PERF FACTOR	PERFORMANCE FACTOR	DESCRIPTION
EAAA	INPATIENT DEPRECIATION	796,704	0				
EAA	SUBTOTAL	796,704	0				
E4BA	AMBULATORY DEPRECIATION	796,704	0				
EAB	SUBTOTAL	796,704	0				
EACA	DENTAL DEPRECIATION	11,964	0				
EAC	SUBTOTAL	11,964	0				
EAD	SPECIAL PROGRAMS DEPRE	17,808	0				
EAD	SUBTOTAL	17,808	0				
EBAA	COMMAND	979,275	110916	16048	6064.37	FTEB	
EBAM	MANAGED HEALTH CARE	14,599	0	3	XXXXXXX	FTEB	
EBA	SUBTOTAL	993,874	110916	162	6153.64		
EBBA	SPECIAL STAFF	73,788	1213	4903	1430.79	FTEB	
EBBB	SPECIAL STAFF -LEGAL	102,507	11564	1914	5355.64	FTEB	
EBBC	SPECIAL STAFF - QA/RIS	609,933	15194	13031	4409.90	FTEB	
EBBE	SPECIAL STAFF - RED CR	18,669	2968	3148	593.04	FTEB	
EBBF	SPECIAL STAFF - INFECT	55,261	0	1561	3540.10	FTEB	
EBBG	TOTAL Q MANAGEMENT	604,475	72112	11262	5367.41	FTEB	
EBBH	HEALTH PROMOTION PROGR	110,030	1027	2070	5315.46	FTEB	
EBBW	WELLNESS INITIATIVE	76,849	0	6524	1051.97	FTEB	
EBB	SUBTOTAL	1,645,515	103580	453	3633.04		
EBCA	RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	922,927	0	23970	3860.34	FTEB	
EBCB	ADMINISTRATION	1,127,772	1501	26009	4336.25	FTEB	
EBCC	COMMITTEES	159,622	259502	12353	5339.77	FTEB	
EBCD	THIRD PARTY COLLECTION	44,672	0	1743	2681.30	FTEB	
EBCE	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	1,819,335	24950	21315	6199.80	FTEB	
EB	SUBTOTAL	4,695,309	280553	954	4920.45		
EBFA	CLINICAL MANAGEMENT	11,751	5737	106	10735.91	FTEB	
EBFB	HOSPITAL SERVICES	307,902	70401	5067	6429.05	FTEB	
EBFC	NURSING SERVICES	1,352,734	30157	30042	6500.30	FTEB	
EBFD	DEPARTMENT OF PEDIATRIC	50,661	55342	1531	5931.35	FTEB	
EBFE	DEPARTMENT OF SURGERY	313,910	49685	2457	3770.91	FTEB	
EBFF	DEPARTMENT OF MEDICINE	180,717	45940	3142	5749.85	FTEB	

DATED: 1993 11 25 2039 HRS
 FACILITY NAME: USAF MED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGH20
 REGION: 06

FOM COMF-012
 PAGE 16

- SEP FY92 PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 5 - SUPPORT SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	OCCURRING SALARIES	COST PER		PERFORMANCE FACTOR DESCRIPTION
				PERF FACTOR	PERF FACTOR	
ES00	DEPARTMENT OF ORTHOPED	168,556	44774	3140	5368.00	FTEB
ES01	DEPARTMENT OF OD/RYK	163,415	56474	3183	4819.82	FTEB
ES02	DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIAT	232,132	93967	4423	5240.29	FTEB
ES03	DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENC	178,617	103260	4252	4300.60	FTEB
ES04	DIRECTORATE OF AEROMED	52,381	5294	1025	5110.34	FTEB
ES05	DEPARTMENT OF PRIMARY	70,127	33270	1483	4767.36	FTEB
ES0	SUBTOTAL	3,767,772	636547	666	5661.40	
	GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCA	337,022	89803			
	MEDICAL EDUCATION AND	426,838	301793			
EBEC	NURSING EDUCATION	956,077	2484			
EBE	SUBTOTAL	1,719,927	394080			
EBFA	EDUCATION & TRAINING P	1,217,914	32269			
EBF	SUBTOTAL	1,217,914	32269			
EBGA	PEACETIME EXERCISES/DI	26,434	4535	621	4356.68	FTEB
EBG	SUBTOTAL	26,434	4535	6	4356.68	
ECHA	FIRE PROTECTION NONREI	0	0	0	0.00	
ECH	SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0.00	
EDIA	POLICE PROTECTION NONR	0	0	0	0.00	
EDI	SUBTOTAL	0	0	0	0.00	
EDKA	OTHER BASE SUPPORT SVC	0	0			
EDK	SUBTOTAL	0	0			
EDAA	PLANT MANAGEMENT FUNDS	1,078,495	0	0	0.00	
EDA	SUBTOTAL	1,078,495	0	0	0.00	
EDBA	OPERATION OF UTILITIES	3,614,547	0	0	0.00	
EDB	SUBTOTAL	3,614,547	0	0	0.00	
	MAINTENANCE OF BLDG PR	0	0			
EDC	SUBTOTAL	0	0			
EDDA	MAJOR CONSTRUCTION/PLN	112,220	0			
EDD	SUBTOTAL	112,220	0			

DATED: 1993 11 29 2039 HRS
 FACILITY NAME: USAF HED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFG420
 REGION: 06

PCH DD49-012
 PAGE 18
 #

- SEP FY92 PART 1 MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 5 - SUPPORT SERVICES

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICIAN SALARIES	PERF FACTOR	OBST PERF FACTOR	PERFORMANCE FACTOR	DESCRIPTION
EMH	MANAGED HEALTH CARE	0	0	0	0.00		OUTPATIENT VISITS
EMH	SUBTOTAL	2,467,323	1,4850	537550	2.63		
TOTAL		34,289,799	1614803				

DATED: 1993 11 29 1239 HRS
 FACILITY NAME: USAF HED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGW20
 REGION: 06

PDA COMP-012
 PAGE 19

7 - SEP FY92 PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 6 - SPECIAL PROGRAMS

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICAL SALARIES	PERF FACTOR	PERF FACTOR	PERFORMANCE FACTOR	DESCRIPTION
FADA	DDD MILITARY BLOOD PRO	239,740	0	0	0.00		WEIGHTED PROCEDURES
FAD	SUBTOTAL	239,740	0	0	0.00		
FABA	DRUG SCREENING AND TEST	34,337	0	16623	3.24		WEIGHTED PROCEDURES
FABF	ACBS SCREENING AND TEST	3,557	0	0	0.00		WEIGHTED PROCEDURES
FAB	SUBTOTAL	37,894	0	16623	3.48		
FACA	CLINICAL INVESTIGATION	106,643	12860				
	SUBTOTAL	106,643	12860				
FALA	PHYSIOLOGICAL TRAINING	770,616	0				
FAL	SUBTOTAL	770,616	0				
FAXA	STUDENT SALARY EXPENSE	1,042,334	116161				
FAXB	INTERNAL MEDICINE RESI	1,077,397	972936				
FAXC	SURGICAL RESIDENTS	1,457,539	1342614				
FAXD	DENTAL RESIDENTS	319,572	284798				
FAXE	PEDIATRIC RESIDENTS	322,014	296737				
FAXF	OB/GYN RESIDENTS	724,045	626626				
FAXG	PSYCHIATRIC RESIDENTS	1,384,353	992292				
FAXH	PSYCHOLOGY RESIDENTS	33,565	0				
FAX	SUBTOTAL	6,361,119	4634184				
FALA	EXTERNALLY SPONSORED C	915,520	105774				
FAL	SUBTOTAL	915,520	105774				
FBA	PREVENTIVE MEDICINE	1,980,212	620				
FBB	SUBTOTAL	1,980,212	620				
FBEA	ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH P	764,394	0				
FBEF	FOOD INSPECTION COST	0	0				
FBE	TOTAL # OF FOOD INSPEC	0	0				
FBE	SUBTOTAL	764,394	0				
FCEA	EPIDEMIOLOGY PROGRAM	65,000	0				
FCE	SUBTOTAL	65,000	0				
FDEA	VACCINATIONS	412,700	1369	48370	0.50		VACCINATIONS & BORNING TEST
FDE	SUBTOTAL	412,700	1369	48370	0.50		

PRINTED: 1993 11 29 0029 HRS

HEPRS

FORM DDM-012
PAGE 20

ACTIVITY NAME: USAF MED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE

ACTIVITY CODE: FFGM20

REGION: 06

SEP FY92

PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 6 - SPECIAL PROGRAMS

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSED	CLINICIAN SALARIES	PERF FACTOR	DDM PER PERF FACTOR	PERFORMANCE FACTOR DESCRIPTION
FCAA	SUPPLEMENTAL CARE	261,365	0			
FCA	SUBTOTAL	261,365	0			
FCBA	GUEST LECTURER & CONSU	36,077	7950			
FCB	SUBTOTAL	36,077	7950			
FCCA	CHAMPUS BENEFICIARY SU	2,800,600	0			
	SUBTOTAL	2,800,600	0			
FODA	SUPPORT TO OTHER MILIT	923,379	3862			
FOD	SUBTOTAL	923,379	3862			
FDEA	SUPPORT TO OTHER FEDER	663,362	20732			
FDE	SUBTOTAL	663,362	20732			
FOPA	SUPPORT TO NON-FEDERAL	1,577	340			
FOP	SUBTOTAL	1,577	340			
FPCA	NONPATIENT FOOD OPERAT	291	0	45580	0.01	NON-PATIENT RATIONS SERVED
FPC	SUBTOTAL	291	0	45580	0.01	
FBEA	INITIAL OUTFITTING	7,620	0			
FBE	SUBTOTAL	7,620	0			
FEAA	PATIENT TRANSPORTATION	60,614	0	952	63.67	HOURS OF SERVICE
FEA	SUBTOTAL	60,614	0	952	63.67	
FESA	PATIENT MOVEMENT EXFER	90,370	0			
FEE	SUBTOTAL	90,370	0			
FEDA	MILITARY PATIENT PERCI	28,489	0			
FED	SUBTOTAL	28,489	0			
FEEA	MILITARY PATIENT SALAR	0	0			
FEE	SUBTOTAL	0	0			
FEYA	"INVALID UDA"	0	0			
FEYB	"INVALID UDA"	0	0			

PREPARED: 1993 11 29 2029 HRS MEDRS
 FACILITY NAME: USAF MED CEN WRIGHT-PATTERSON DETAILED MEDICAL EXPENSE AND PERFORMANCE
 FACILITY CODE: FFGH20
 REGION: 06

PER COM-010
 PAGE 21

- SEP FY92 PART I MEDICAL EXPENSE REPORT

SECTION 6 - SPECIAL PROGRAMS

ACCT	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL EXPENSES	CLINICIAN BALANCES	COST PER		PERFORMANCE FACTOR DESCRIPTION
				PERF FACTOR	PERF FACTOR	
FEYD	INVALID UCA	0	0			
FEYD	SPECIAL 4TH LVL SUBACC	0	0			
FEY	SUBTOTAL	0	0			
TOTAL		14,573,802	4787931			

MPAFR MED CEN

DATE PREPARED: 24 JUN 1993

PAGE: 1

NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS AND DAYS DATA REPORT (462)
By INPATIENT CLINIC SERVICE (REPORT 462)
FOR DISPOSITIONS DURING OCT 1991 - 30 SEP 1992

DEPARTMENT	NUMBER DISP	OCCUPIED BED DAYS	AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY	COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION	TOTAL RMP	CM1	KCM1
INTERNAL MEDICINE ✓	659	4610	7.0	106.9	664.3352	1.0081	1.2432
CARDIOLOGY ✓	1025	5641	5.5	123.0	984.6582	0.9606	1.1847
CORONARY CARE UNIT ✓	143	518	3.6	127.4	134.8338	0.9429	1.1628
DERMATOLOGY ✓	1	3	3.0	ORDER	0.8262	0.8262	1.0189
ENDOCRINOLOGY ✓	22	69	3.1	86.5	17.8920	0.8133	1.0029
GASTROENTEROLOGY ✓	134	717	5.4	129.6	118.2202	0.8822	1.0880
HEMATOLOGY ✓	66	455	6.9	95.1	68.0923	1.0317	1.2223
INTENSIVE CARE (MEDICINE) ✓	47	468	9.7	231.0	105.5862	2.2465	2.7704
NEPHROLOGY ✓	53	258	4.9	101.6	54.2410	1.0234	1.2621
NEUROLOGY ✓	57	340	6.0	81.4	53.2179	0.9687	1.1946
ONCOLOGY ✓	199	1691	8.5	155.0	760.1922	1.1095	1.3682
PULMONARY/RESP DISEASE ✓	134	713	5.3	85.4	148.6692	1.2517	1.5436
RHEUMATOLOGY ✓	6	29	4.8	69.8	7.5102	1.5327	1.1573
GENERAL SURGERY ✓	1188	5220	4.4	175.3	1114.9162	0.9385	1.0901
THORACIC/CARDIOVASC SURG ✓	223	1825	8.2	119.8	301.7886	2.4485	3.0195
INTENSIVE CARE (SURGICAL)	50	686	13.7	120.9	122.4254	1.5507	1.9123
NEUROSURGERY ✓	286	3451	12.1	158.6	443.5060	0.4877	0.6014
OPHTHALMOLOGY ✓	246	356	1.4	27.5	119.9776	0.9101	1.1122
ORAL SURGERY ✓	136	518	3.8	287.5	391.0436	0.6572	0.8105
OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGY ✓	595	1412	2.4	210.7	160.7682	1.0632	1.3111
PLASTIC SURGERY ✓	101	551	5.0	250.5	169.0439	1.0632	1.3111
PROCTOLOGY ✓	159	1080	6.8	104.1	209.7271	0.7946	0.9799
SURGICAL CARE (SERVICE NET)	104	1291	12.4	137.5	405.8620	3.5025	4.8126
GYNECOLOGY ✓	746	2025	3.8	118.1	597.8928	0.8015	0.9884
OBSTETRICS ✓	1130	5232	4.6	130.2	541.2445	0.4790	0.5907
PELJAIKIS ✓	658	1857	2.8	84.5	464.9362	0.6960	0.8583
NURSERY ✓	839	2813	3.4	96.3	325.8653	0.3884	0.4790
NEONATAL ICU ✓	128	1280	10.0	144.9	187.7132	1.4665	1.8085
ORTHOPEDICS ✓	819	3016	3.7	135.5	810.6642	0.9898	1.2206
PODIATRY ✓	202	357	1.8	198.4	162.5041	0.8045	0.9921
PSYCHIATRIC CARE ✓	263	8702	33.1	93.7	381.1674	1.4493	1.7873
ALC-DRUG KENIB ✓	127	3683	29.0	41.0	120.7738	0.9510	1.1722
TOTALS:	10900	62640	5.7	180.0	9815.9144	0.9005	1.1105

NOTE: THE COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION IS A MEASURE OF THE DISPERSION OF THE LENGTH OF STAY COMPARED TO THE AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY AGAINST WHICH IT WAS MEASURED; IT IS EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT.

UI. AVERAGE UNDEFINED COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION BECAUSE THE NUMBER OF DISPOSITIONS IS 1 OR THE AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY USED IN THE COMPUTATION IS 0.

Scale WP
ENCLOSURE

RETROSPECTIVE CASE-MIX ANALYSIS SYSTEM FOR AN OPEN SYSTEM ENVIRONMENT

SYSTEM DATE: 02 Jun 1994
 SYSTEM TIME: 09:10:53

REPORT TITLE: DISPOSITION CASE WEIGHT
 FOR DISPOSITIONS DURING 10/01/1991 - 09/30/1992
 REPORT ID: 19940602091053

DMIS ID: 0095
 USER ID: obrlee
 PAGE: 12 of 12

rect Care Data

RG DE	DRG TITLE	Including Outliers		AVERAGE	COEFF.	TOTAL	CMI	RCMI
		NUMBER DSPO	OCCUPIED BED DAYS	LENGTH OF STAY	OF VAR. LOS	RWP		
00	NEONATE, DIED W/IN ONE DAY OF BIRTH	1	1	1.00	N/A	0.5080	0.5080	0.6265
01	NEONATE, TRANSFERRED <5 DAYS OLD	8	10	1.25	37.03	2.7272	0.3409	0.4204
02	NEONATE, BIRTHWT <750G, DISCHARGED ALIVE	1	83	83.00	N/A	56.1946	56.1946	69.2990
04	NEONATE, BIRTHWT 750-999G, DISCHARGED ALIVE	3	171	57.00	85.96	82.6452	27.5484	33.9726
07	NEONATE, BIRTHWT 1000-1499G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, DISCHARGED ALIV	4	137	34.25	71.81	31.4574	7.8643	9.6983
11	NEONATE, BIRTHWT 1500-1999G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, W MULT MAJOR P	2	52	26.00	16.32	12.6044	6.3022	7.7719
12	NEONATE, BIRTHWT 1500-1999G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, W MAJOR PROB	4	82	20.50	58.74	15.5824	3.8956	4.8040
13	NEONATE, BIRTHWT 1500-1999G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC W MINOR PROB	2	43	21.50	36.18	4.8216	2.4108	2.9730
18	NEONATE, BIRTHWT 2000-2499G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, W MAJOR PROB	5	93	18.60	71.39	14.8401	2.9680	3.6602
19	NEONATE, BIRTHWT 2000-2499G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, W MINOR PROB	8	126	15.75	53.20	12.5996	1.5749	1.9422
21	NEONATE, BIRTHWT 2000-2499G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, W OTHER PROB	12	73	6.08	36.05	4.8444	0.4037	0.4978
22	NEONATE, BIRTHWT >2499G, W SIGNIF OR PROC, W MULT MAJOR PROB	1	18	18.00	N/A	7.2960	7.2960	8.9974
23	NEONATE, BIRTHWT >2499G, W SIGNIF OR PROC, W/O MULT PROB	5	17	3.40	53.43	17.7810	3.5562	4.3855
24	NEONATE, BIRTHWT >2499G, W MINOR ABDOM PROCEDURE	2	10	5.00	28.28	1.6828	0.8414	1.0376
26	NEONATE, BIRTHWT >2499G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, MULT MAJOR PROB	11	121	11.00	49.96	36.9765	3.3615	4.1454
27	NEONATE, BIRTHWT >2499G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, W MAJOR PROB	38	269	7.08	64.56	37.0542	0.9751	1.2025
28	NEONATE, BIRTHWT >2499G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, W MINOR PROB	71	375	5.28	82.04	39.9523	0.5627	0.6939
30	NEONATE, BIRTHWT >2499G, W/O SIGNIF OR PROC, W OTHER PROB	77	324	4.21	67.26	15.7575	0.2046	0.2524
2	OTHER RESPIRATORY PROBLEMS AFTER BIRTH	1	5	5.00	N/A	0.7864	0.7864	0.9698
4	MULTIPLE, OTHER AND UNSPECIFIED CONGENITAL ANOMALIES, W/O CC	1	2	2.00	N/A	0.3323	0.3323	0.4098
6	NEONATAL DIAGNOSIS AGE > 28 DAYS	4	16	4.00	117.26	28.3592	7.0898	8.7431
0	ALC/DRUG ABUSE OR DEPEND, DETOX OR OTH SYMPT TREAT AGE <=21 W/O	7	144	20.57	55.63	11.1692	1.5956	1.9677
1	ALC/DRUG ABUSE OR DEPEND, DETOX OR OTH SYMPT TREAT AGE > 21 W/O	46	1012	22.00	49.92	58.2008	1.2652	1.5603
ALS		9994	57623	5.77	180.27	10420.3037	1.0427	1.2858

Atch 3

Atch 3

RELATIVE CASE MIX INDEX (RCMI) AND CASE MIX INDEX (CMI)
COMPARISONS BY DEPT
1 OCT91-30 SEPT92

CLINIC NAME	# DISP	BED DAYS	ALOS	RWP	CMI	RCMI	% CONTRIB CMI/RCMI
MEDICINE	2546	15532	6.10	2620.275	1.029	1.269	26.69%
INTERNAL MED	659	4640	7.04	664.335	1.008	1.243	6.77%
CARDIOLOGY	1025	5641	5.50	984.658	0.961	1.185	10.03%
CORONARY CARE UNIT	143	518	3.62	134.834	0.943	1.163	1.37%
DERMATOLOGY	1	3	3.00	0.826	0.826	1.019	0.01%
ENDOCRINOLOGY	22	69	3.14	17.892	0.813	1.003	0.18%
GASTROENTEROLOGY	134	717	5.35	118.220	0.882	1.088	1.20%
HEMATOLOGY	66	455	6.89	68.092	1.032	1.272	0.69%
INTENSIVE CARE (MED)	47	458	9.74	105.586	2.247	2.770	1.08%
NEPHROLOGY	53	258	4.87	54.241	1.023	1.262	0.55%
NEUROLOGY	57	340	5.96	55.218	0.969	1.195	0.56%
ONCOLOGY	199	1691	8.50	260.192	1.307	1.612	2.65%
PULMON UP/RESP DISEASE	134	713	5.32	148.669	1.109	1.368	1.51%
RHEUMATOLOGY	6	29	4.83	7.510	1.252	1.544	0.08%
INFECTIOUS DISEASE	0	0	0.00	0	0.000	0.000	0.00%
SURGERY	3432	17343	5.05	3602.878	1.050	1.295	36.70%
GENERAL SURGERY	1188	5220	4.39	1114.917	0.938	1.157	11.36%
THORACIC/CARDIOVASC	223	1825	8.18	341.789	1.533	1.890	3.48%
INTENSIVE CARE	50	686	13.72	122.425	2.449	3.019	1.25%
NEUROSURGERY	286	3451	12.07	443.506	1.551	1.912	4.52%
OPHTHALMOLOGY	246	356	1.45	119.978	0.488	0.601	1.22%
ORAL SURGERY	136	518	3.81	123.769	0.910	1.122	1.26%
ENT	595	1412	2.37	391.044	0.657	0.810	3.98%
PLASTIC SURG	181	551	3.04	160.768	0.888	1.095	1.64%
PROCOTOLOGY	159	1080	6.79	169.044	1.063	1.311	1.72%
UROLOGY	264	953	3.61	209.777	0.795	0.980	2.14%
SURGICAL CARE (SER NEC)	104	1291	12.41	405.862	3.903	4.813	4.13%
OB/GYN	1876	8057	4.29	1139.137	0.607	0.749	11.61%
COLOGY	746	2825	3.79	597.893	0.801	0.988	6.09%
TRICS	1130	5232	4.63	541.245	0.479	0.591	5.51%
PEDIATRICS	1635	5950	3.64	978.515	0.598	0.738	9.97%
PEDIATRICS	668	1857	2.78	464.936	0.696	0.858	4.74%
NURSERY	839	2813	3.35	325.865	0.388	0.479	3.32%
NEONATAL ICU	128	1280	10.00	187.713	1.467	1.808	1.91%
ORTHOPEDICS	1021	3373	3.30	973.168	0.953	1.175	9.91%
ORTHO	819	3016	3.68	810.664	0.990	1.221	8.26%
PODIATRY	202	357	1.77	162.504	0.804	0.992	1.66%
HAND SURGERY	0	0	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00%
MENTAL HEALTH	390	12385	31.76	501.941	1.287	1.587	5.11%
PSYCHIATRIC	263	8702	33.09	381.167	1.449	1.787	3.88%
ALC-DRUG REHAB	127	3683	29.00	120.774	0.951	1.173	1.23%
TOTAL	10900.01	62640	5.75	9815.914	0.901	1.111	100.00%

RWP

OBUS

3.2289		25		1	1	100		3.2289	25
3.2931		50		1	1	100		3.2931	58
3.3436		63		3	1	33.33		3.3436	63
3.3615		11		3	2	66.67		6.723	22
3.3615		15		1	1	100		3.3615	15
3.4495		59		1	1	100		3.4495	59
3.5975		22		1	1	100		3.5975	22
3.5975		4		1	1	100		3.5975	4
3.5975		5		1	1	100		3.5975	5
3.0861		47		1	1	100		3.0861	47
3.0956		17		1	1	100		3.0956	17
3.0956		29		1	1	100		3.0956	29
3.0974		60		1	1	100		3.0974	68
3.9532		60		1	1	100		3.9532	60
3.9618		54		1	1	100		3.9618	54
4.2969		45		1	1	100		4.2969	45
4.3169		44		1	1	100		4.3169	44
4.6974		13		1	1	100		4.6974	13
4.6974		33		1	1	100		4.6974	33
4.6974		9		1	1	100		4.6974	9
4.7965		46		1	1	100		4.7965	46
4.8236		68		1	1	100		4.8236	68
4.9212		23		1	1	100		4.9212	23
5.514		9		1	1	100		5.514	9
6.3022		23		1	1	100		6.3022	23
6.4243		53		1	1	100		6.4243	53
6.5982		65		1	1	100		6.5982	65
7.1115		35		1	1	100		7.1115	35
7.1482		56		1	1	100		7.1482	56
8.1837		110		1	1	100		8.1837	110
				2470				2028.1394	9341

PAS
Potential Amb Surg

Atch 4

RWP	Relative Weig	Length of Sta	Length of Sta	RECORDS ANA	RECORDS WITH	PERCENT ANALYZED RECORDS	TOTAL RWP	CBBO
0.1725		1		2	1	50	0.1725	1
0.5686		12		2	1	50	0.5686	12
0.5686		2		9	2	22.22	1.1372	4
0.5014		1		4	2	50	1.1628	2
0.5014		3		3	1	33.33	0.5814	3
0.5814		4		3	1	33.33	0.5814	4
0.7179		1		3	1	33.33	0.7179	1
0.7179		11		2	1	50	0.7179	11
0.7179		3		4	1	25	0.7179	3
							6.3576	41

DYNAMIA
 DIAGNOSES NOT NORMALLY HOSE

AKA 4-7

RMP 08205

wrightpathlios

AD ELS

High 205 AD

3.8974	68
3.9237	64
3.9532	60
3.9597	35
3.9618	54
34.8295	212
4.2246	51
4.3116	74
4.6571	47
4.8236	68
4.8396	80
4.8696	73
9.8552	162
5.1778	42
5.1849	76
5.5436	88
5.8028	79
6.0101	88
6.0204	79
6.0716	94
18.4788	285
6.1724	93
6.4243	53
6.5996	100
7.3501	45
7.4305	74
8.153	60
8.1837	110
0.5579	55
9.0636	128
505.7952	6780

Document Separator

INSTALLATION WORKSHEET

WPAFB, OH


- PURPOSE:** BRAC 95 Joint Cross-Service Group Data Call, Section 1: Mission: Obtain Military Beneficiary Population for those bases in the Region and the non-catchment area (Air Staff Request).
- SOURCE:** Resource Analysis and Planning System (RAPS) data in the Defense Medical Information and Planning System (DMIS) - FY 93 was used as instructed as the base year.
- METHOD:** The instructions outlined in the BRAC 95 Joint Cross-Service Group data call were followed completely except we also included FY 92 data for Ft B. Harrison and Chanute. We had the data for retired and retired dependents which we believed provided a better picture than grouping them together so we show them as separate categories.

CONCLUSION: Attachment 1 is a summary of the specific data in a macro format. Attachment 2 lists population by age and sex for each facility from FY 93 - FY 99. Attachment 3 lists it by Sponsor Service Branch. The exceptions are Ft B. Harrison and Chanute, have no remaining population. It is true that they are closed, but base beneficiaries will remain in the area, as is seen in the KI Sawyer data. Even though they will close in FY 95, beneficiaries remain in the data base. We believe the same should be true for the Ft B. Harrison and Chanute areas.

A. Projected changes in military population: No changes are anticipated. This was determined subjectively, but all missions seem stable at this time.


B. Total military and civilian population in area is broken out by county and ZIP codes corresponding to our catchment area. The source used was US Department of Commerce Economic and Statistics Administration. Population for Hamilton and Franklin were prorated by approximately 50 percent because at their far reaching they are outside of our catchment area, but are considered in the vicinity area by Wright-Patterson AFB Accounting and Finance office. Total population = 2,380,600 (Atch 3).

Preparer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: June 94

GARY W. ERICKSON, Lt Col, 645 MED GRP/SGAM, DSN 787-9120

MAJCOM Reviewer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 Date: 14 June 94

1994 RAPS Population Projection
 Region V

Facility	Active Duty	Active Dty Deps	Retired	Retired Deps	Other/ Survivors	Total
Ft Campbell	18,362	29,624	6,627	10,422	1,520	66,555
Ft Knox	13,695	18,299	9,888	13,146	3,998	59,026
Great Lakes	21,725	15,458	11,028	10,836	7,531	66,578
K.I. Sawyer	2,920	5,065	1,147	1,705	237	11,074
Scott	8,401	17,542	12,043	16,539	4,923	59,448
Wright-Patterson	9,075	17,669	11,216	15,037	2,646	55,643
Non-Catchment	11,984	43,676	117,556	106,690	40,880	320,786
Total	86,162	147,333	169,505	174,375	61,735	639,110

POPULATION ESTIMATES FOR RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS								
BASED ON RAPS 1993 BASELINE								
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
Blanchfield	66556	68516	66555	64160	63695	62498	62618	62790
Ireland	62694	60357	59026	57506	57279	57880	58083	58332
Ft Ben Harrison	26050							
Chanute	11420							
NH Great Lakes	59561	68587	66578	65701	67580	78407	78893	79187
K I Sawyer	11629	11167	11074	10785	5676	5575	5588	5596
Scott	61699	59978	59448	58525	59019	58117	58325	58543
Wright-Patterson	56234	56039	55643	54674	55252	53745	53888	54038
Total HS Region 5	318919	328034	320786	319945	321019	318812	320608	322406
	674762	652678	639110	631296	629520	635034	638003	640892

POPULATION ESTIMATES FOR RETAINED HISTORIC HOUSING AREAS BASED ON RAPS 1993 BASELINE										
	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Blanchfield	66,556	68,516	66,555	64,160	63,695	62,498	62,618	62,790	63,517	64,255
AD/AD Deps		49,878	47,986	45,696	45,273	44,072	44,136	44,237	44,952	45,678
All Other		18,638	18,569	18,464	18,422	18,426	18,482	18,553	18,565	18,577
Ireland	62,694	60,357	59,026	57,506	57,279	57,880	58,083	58,332	58,595	58,862
AD/AD Deps		33,274	31,994	30,495	30,224	30,736	30,782	30,852	31,173	31,497
All Other		27,083	27,032	27,011	27,055	27,144	27,301	27,480	27,422	27,365
Ft Ben Harrison	26,050									
AD/AD Deps										
All Other										
Chanute	11,420									
AD/AD Deps										
All Other										
NH Great Lakes	59,561	68,587	66,578	65,701	67,580	78,407	78,893	79,187	77,315	75,507
AD/AD Deps		39,194	37,183	36,264	38,043	48,712	48,984	49,061	47,297	45,596
All Other		29,393	29,395	29,437	29,537	29,695	29,909	30,126	30,019	29,912
K I Sawyer	11,629	11,167	11,074	10,785	5,676	5,575	5,588	5,596	5,847	6,122
AD/AD Deps		8,068	7,985	7,719	2,622	2,520	2,521	2,521	2,769	3,041
All Other		3,099	3,089	3,066	3,054	3,055	3,067	3,075	3,078	3,082
Scott	61,699	59,978	59,448	58,525	59,019	58,117	58,325	58,543	58,729	58,918
AD/AD Deps		26,385	25,943	25,079	25,525	24,542	24,556	24,566	24,808	25,052
All Other		33,593	33,505	33,446	33,494	33,575	33,769	33,977	33,922	33,866
Wright-Patterson	56,234	56,039	55,643	54,674	55,252	53,745	53,888	54,038	54,297	54,559
AD/AD Deps		27,123	26,744	25,854	26,431	24,860	24,870	24,874	25,169	25,467
All Other		28,916	28,899	28,820	28,821	28,885	29,018	29,164	29,128	29,093
Total HS Region 5	318,919	328,034	320,786	319,945	321,019	318,812	320,608	322,406	322,947	323,519
AD/AD Deps		62,716	55,660	54,804	55,201	52,063	52,159	52,231	53,478	54,756
All Other		265,318	265,126	265,141	265,818	266,749	268,449	270,175	269,468	268,764
Total	674,762	652,678	639,110	631,296	629,520	635,034	638,003	640,892	641,248	641,744

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 13:22:21
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	3889	--	12	--	102	23	4026
05-14/M	--	4688	--	28	--	869	80	5665
15-17/M	--	704	--	8	--	568	30	1310
18-24/M	7275	487	12	5	6	705	45	8535
25-34/M	7101	176	7	2	76	24	25	7411
35-44/M	2624	59	7	0	1127	15	8	3840
45-64/M	236	19	6	0	4185	6	1	4453
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	1111	4	3	1122
00-04/F	--	3631	--	13	--	122	16	3782
05-14/F	--	4700	--	25	--	850	58	5633
15-17/F	--	683	--	7	--	531	25	1246
18-24/F	933	3740	2	11	1	710	43	5440
25-34/F	733	5553	0	14	12	252	56	6620
35-44/F	243	2052	1	20	31	1404	82	3833
45-64/F	18	309	0	5	66	3590	447	4435
65+ /F	--	21	--	1	30	732	381	1165
TOTAL	19163	30715	35	151	6645	10484	1323	68516

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 13:22:21
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1945	--	42	--	131	11	2129
05-14/M	--	3274	--	141	--	1023	55	4493
15-17/M	--	573	--	45	--	651	37	1306
18-24/M	6668	398	795	26	16	839	56	8798
25-34/M	4226	130	159	4	105	31	22	4677
35-44/M	2198	48	125	8	1395	20	12	3806
45-64/M	231	16	75	5	5376	9	9	5721
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	2683	3	17	2708
00-04/F	--	1857	--	38	--	119	11	2025
05-14/F	--	3189	--	95	--	999	61	4344
15-17/F	--	623	--	28	--	625	34	1310
18-24/F	373	1936	34	50	2	845	75	3315
25-34/F	422	3160	29	77	14	304	45	4051
35-44/F	157	1572	27	90	50	1593	73	3562
45-64/F	18	241	8	37	132	4488	704	5628
65+ /F	--	14	--	0	54	1502	914	2484
TOTAL	14293	18981	1252	686	9827	13182	2136	60357

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 13:22:21
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	2047	--	285	--	123	19	2474
05-14/M	--	2471	--	489	--	797	90	3847
15-17/M	--	343	--	96	--	455	33	927
18-24/M	16561	267	1064	74	34	618	52	18670
25-34/M	3548	160	665	32	232	22	31	4690
35-44/M	1425	68	517	35	1176	14	21	3256
45-64/M	175	29	159	8	4982	18	4	5375
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	3923	17	5	3949
00-04/F	--	1985	--	283	--	135	32	2435
05-14/F	--	2395	--	498	--	810	61	3764
15-17/F	--	349	--	74	--	461	29	913
18-24/F	655	2041	187	210	3	659	52	3807
25-34/F	353	2809	152	413	29	221	62	4039
35-44/F	183	1089	80	297	107	1133	90	2979
45-64/F	20	191	26	93	258	3508	516	4612
65+ /F	--	26	--	1	148	1822	853	2850
TOTAL	22920	16274	2850	2888	10892	10813	1950	68587

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 13:22:21
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	586	--	2	--	15	0	603
05-14/M	--	877	--	10	--	138	6	1031
15-17/M	--	146	--	3	--	103	3	255
18-24/M	911	70	24	4	3	138	12	1162
25-34/M	1146	25	5	0	15	2	1	1194
35-44/M	457	12	14	0	195	3	1	682
45-64/M	30	6	8	0	694	3	0	741
65+ /M	--	1	--	0	199	0	1	201
00-04/F	--	572	--	1	--	7	0	580
05-14/F	--	854	--	10	--	137	2	1003
15-17/F	--	120	--	5	--	93	0	218
18-24/F	159	512	0	2	0	116	4	793
25-34/F	130	977	0	5	1	29	5	1147
35-44/F	55	377	0	10	19	245	6	712
45-64/F	1	44	0	1	14	567	53	680
65+ /F	--	0	--	0	9	112	44	165
TOTAL	2889	5179	51	53	1149	1708	138	11167

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 13:22:21
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1619	--	93	--	133	2	1847
05-14/M	--	3179	--	313	--	1321	46	4859
15-17/M	--	673	--	100	--	834	24	1631
18-24/M	1134	543	213	101	35	1188	53	3267
25-34/M	2603	210	134	25	159	22	14	3167
35-44/M	2722	113	270	36	1555	29	12	4737
45-64/M	533	48	207	15	6552	22	3	7380
65+ /M	--	10	--	0	3175	17	3	3205
00-04/F	--	1558	--	91	--	133	9	1791
05-14/F	--	2916	--	304	--	1237	54	4511
15-17/F	--	642	--	88	--	805	38	1573
18-24/F	356	1498	75	111	5	1263	65	3373
25-34/F	566	2490	86	138	31	292	34	3637
35-44/F	420	2035	125	234	122	1897	100	4933
45-64/F	46	444	33	112	215	5484	643	6977
65+ /F	--	27	--	5	126	1896	1036	3090
TOTAL	8380	18005	1143	1766	11975	16573	2136	59978

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 13:22:21
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1885	--	37	--	130	9	2061
05-14/M	--	3095	--	94	--	1050	50	4289
15-17/M	--	562	--	23	--	685	20	1290
18-24/M	1137	446	141	22	26	1174	42	2988
25-34/M	3353	226	101	6	137	31	20	3874
35-44/M	2484	126	68	4	1326	30	14	4052
45-64/M	431	57	37	2	6915	19	3	7464
65+ /M	--	7	--	0	2430	4	2	2443
00-04/F	--	1773	--	26	--	133	7	1939
05-14/F	--	2982	--	98	--	1015	48	4143
15-17/F	--	546	--	33	--	686	23	1288
18-24/F	455	1247	53	27	0	1104	51	2937
25-34/F	757	2734	23	58	21	263	34	3890
35-44/F	377	2016	11	69	104	1585	72	4234
45-64/F	35	362	0	24	157	5673	556	6807
65+ /F	--	30	--	1	83	1500	726	2340
TOTAL	9029	18094	434	524	11199	15082	1677	56039

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 13:22:21
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1238	--	149	--	239	20	1646
05-14/M	--	1794	--	364	--	2020	115	4293
15-17/M	--	285	--	113	--	1321	62	1781
18-24/M	324	268	186	99	46	1805	136	2864
25-34/M	977	146	370	39	320	46	25	1923
35-44/M	639	56	386	48	3117	46	21	4313
45-64/M	74	18	217	22	21543	38	4	21916
65+ /M	--	7	--	0	10979	15	3	11004
00-04/F	--	1186	--	140	--	267	19	1612
05-14/F	--	1697	--	384	--	1943	104	4128
15-17/F	--	274	--	105	--	1276	75	1730
18-24/F	80	1336	48	139	16	1900	133	3652
25-34/F	156	1733	121	246	59	554	88	2957
35-44/F	66	808	82	300	153	3087	172	4668
45-64/F	2	139	21	147	146	15879	2138	18472
65+ /F	--	9	--	1	108	4987	2000	7105
TOTAL	2318	10994	1431	2296	36487	35423	5115	94064

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	3751	--	11	--	99	23	3884
05-14/M	--	4522	--	26	--	853	80	5481
15-17/M	--	680	--	7	--	561	30	1278
18-24/M	6969	470	11	5	6	696	45	8202
25-34/M	6806	170	7	2	67	23	25	7100
35-44/M	2515	57	7	0	1104	15	8	3706
45-64/M	227	18	6	0	4130	6	1	4388
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	1181	4	3	1192
00-04/F	--	3503	--	12	--	118	16	3649
05-14/F	--	4533	--	23	--	835	58	5449
15-17/F	--	659	--	7	--	524	25	1215
18-24/F	894	3604	2	10	1	702	43	5256
25-34/F	702	5355	0	13	11	245	56	6382
35-44/F	232	1980	1	18	30	1384	82	3727
45-64/F	17	298	0	5	65	3585	439	4409
65+ /F	--	20	--	1	32	772	412	1237
TOTAL	18362	29624	34	140	6627	10422	1346	66555

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1875	--	39	--	128	11	2053
05-14/M	--	3156	--	132	--	1004	55	4347
15-17/M	--	552	--	43	--	642	37	1274
18-24/M	6388	385	730	24	16	828	56	8427
25-34/M	4048	126	147	4	94	30	22	4471
35-44/M	2106	46	116	8	1369	20	12	3677
45-64/M	222	15	70	5	5304	9	9	5634
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	2852	3	18	2878
00-04/F	--	1790	--	36	--	116	11	1953
05-14/F	--	3074	--	90	--	980	61	4205
15-17/F	--	601	--	26	--	617	34	1278
18-24/F	358	1865	31	47	2	834	75	3212
25-34/F	405	3047	27	72	13	297	45	3906
35-44/F	150	1515	25	85	49	1570	73	3467
45-64/F	18	233	8	34	131	4483	691	5598
65+ /F	--	14	--	0	58	1585	989	2646
TOTAL	13695	18299	1154	645	9888	13146	2199	59026

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1943	--	276	--	121	19	2359
05-14/M	--	2347	--	469	--	787	90	3693
15-17/M	--	326	--	92	--	450	33	901
18-24/M	15685	255	1021	71	33	612	52	17729
25-34/M	3367	152	642	31	212	22	31	4457
35-44/M	1356	65	496	34	1161	14	21	3147
45-64/M	166	28	150	8	4910	18	4	5284
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	4162	17	5	4188
00-04/F	--	1885	--	275	--	133	32	2325
05-14/F	--	2276	--	481	--	799	61	3617
15-17/F	--	332	--	70	--	456	29	887
18-24/F	620	1935	175	203	3	652	52	3640
25-34/F	336	2668	148	401	27	217	62	3859
35-44/F	175	1035	77	285	104	1121	90	2887
45-64/F	20	182	25	88	258	3500	507	4580
65+ /F	--	25	--	1	158	1917	924	3025
TOTAL	21725	15458	2734	2785	11028	10836	2012	66578

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	574	--	2	--	15	0	591
05-14/M	--	858	--	9	--	137	6	1010
15-17/M	--	143	--	3	--	102	3	251
18-24/M	921	69	22	4	3	137	12	1168
25-34/M	1158	24	5	0	15	2	1	1205
35-44/M	462	12	13	0	192	3	1	683
45-64/M	30	6	7	0	684	3	0	730
65+ /M	--	1	--	0	210	0	1	212
00-04/F	--	559	--	1	--	7	0	567
05-14/F	--	835	--	9	--	136	2	982
15-17/F	--	118	--	5	--	92	0	215
18-24/F	161	499	0	2	0	115	4	781
25-34/F	131	955	0	5	1	29	5	1126
35-44/F	56	369	0	9	19	243	6	702
45-64/F	1	43	0	1	14	566	52	677
65+ /F	--	0	--	0	9	118	47	174
TOTAL	2920	5065	47	50	1147	1705	140	11074

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1575	--	87	--	131	2	1795
05-14/M	--	3098	--	293	--	1302	46	4739
15-17/M	--	657	--	93	--	824	24	1598
18-24/M	1137	530	197	94	34	1173	53	3218
25-34/M	2609	205	127	24	145	22	14	3146
35-44/M	2727	111	251	34	1533	29	12	4697
45-64/M	533	47	192	14	6457	22	3	7268
65+ /M	--	10	--	0	3371	18	3	3402
00-04/F	--	1516	--	85	--	131	9	1741
05-14/F	--	2841	--	284	--	1219	54	4398
15-17/F	--	627	--	82	--	795	38	1542
18-24/F	358	1455	69	103	5	1248	65	3303
25-34/F	569	2424	80	129	29	285	34	3550
35-44/F	422	1986	117	218	120	1873	100	4836
45-64/F	46	434	30	104	212	5470	632	6928
65+ /F	--	26	--	5	137	1997	1122	3287
TOTAL	8401	17542	1063	1649	12043	16539	2211	59448

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1839	--	37	--	127	9	2012
05-14/M	--	3023	--	90	--	1034	50	4197
15-17/M	--	549	--	22	--	677	20	1268
18-24/M	1140	436	133	21	25	1159	42	2956
25-34/M	3376	222	97	6	125	31	20	3877
35-44/M	2499	124	65	4	1308	30	14	4044
45-64/M	435	56	35	2	6812	19	3	7362
65+ /M	--	7	--	0	2579	4	2	2592
00-04/F	--	1732	--	25	--	130	7	1894
05-14/F	--	2912	--	95	--	1000	48	4055
15-17/F	--	533	--	31	--	678	23	1265
18-24/F	451	1212	50	26	0	1090	51	2880
25-34/F	759	2670	22	56	20	258	34	3819
35-44/F	380	1970	11	67	102	1564	72	4166
45-64/F	35	355	0	23	156	5656	546	6771
65+ /F	--	29	--	1	89	1580	786	2485
TOTAL	9075	17669	413	506	11216	15037	1727	55643

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	807	--	154	--	235	20	1216
05-14/M	--	1160	--	375	--	1991	115	3641
15-17/M	--	189	--	116	--	1305	62	1672
18-24/M	47	173	191	101	46	1781	136	2475
25-34/M	510	99	380	39	302	46	25	1401
35-44/M	322	41	397	48	3079	46	21	3954
45-64/M	50	12	223	22	21208	38	4	21557
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	11657	15	3	11680
00-04/F	--	772	--	144	--	262	19	1197
05-14/F	--	1082	--	394	--	1916	104	3496
15-17/F	--	175	--	108	--	1260	75	1618
18-24/F	15	920	49	144	16	1876	133	3153
25-34/F	60	1111	125	255	55	544	88	2238
35-44/F	35	525	84	309	153	3048	172	4326
45-64/F	1	90	21	152	145	15825	2099	18333
65+ /F	--	9	--	1	114	5250	2165	7539
TOTAL	1040	7170	1470	2362	36775	35438	5241	89496

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	3571	--	11	--	98	23	3703
05-14/M	--	4304	--	25	--	842	80	5251
15-17/M	--	647	--	7	--	553	30	1237
18-24/M	6640	448	11	4	6	685	45	7839
25-34/M	6483	162	6	2	65	23	25	6766
35-44/M	2396	54	6	0	1100	15	8	3579
45-64/M	216	17	6	0	4033	6	1	4279
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	1248	4	3	1259
00-04/F	--	3332	--	12	--	117	16	3477
05-14/F	--	4316	--	22	--	823	58	5219
15-17/F	--	628	--	6	--	516	25	1175
18-24/F	851	3433	2	10	1	689	43	5029
25-34/F	669	5099	0	13	10	241	56	6088
35-44/F	222	1884	1	18	30	1366	82	3603
45-64/F	17	284	0	4	64	3550	430	4349
65+ /F	--	19	--	1	34	809	444	1307
TOTAL	17494	28202	32	135	6591	10337	1369	64160

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1787	--	38	--	126	11	1962
05-14/M	--	3007	--	128	--	992	55	4182
15-17/M	--	528	--	43	--	633	37	1241
18-24/M	6087	367	706	24	15	815	56	8070
25-34/M	3860	121	142	4	92	30	22	4271
35-44/M	2010	44	112	8	1363	19	12	3568
45-64/M	212	15	68	5	5181	9	9	5499
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	3015	3	19	3042
00-04/F	--	1705	--	35	--	115	11	1866
05-14/F	--	2929	--	88	--	969	61	4047
15-17/F	--	573	--	25	--	608	34	1240
18-24/F	340	1778	30	47	2	821	75	3093
25-34/F	386	2902	26	71	13	291	45	3734
35-44/F	144	1444	24	83	48	1551	73	3367
45-64/F	16	222	8	33	128	4440	677	5524
65+ /F	--	13	--	0	61	1661	1065	2800
TOTAL	13055	17440	1116	632	9918	13083	2262	57506

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1893	--	272	--	119	19	2303
05-14/M	--	2284	--	461	--	776	90	3611
15-17/M	--	318	--	90	--	444	33	885
18-24/M	15327	246	1001	69	32	602	52	17329
25-34/M	3286	147	629	31	205	22	31	4351
35-44/M	1319	64	486	33	1156	14	21	3093
45-64/M	163	28	147	7	4796	18	4	5163
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	4397	19	6	4426
00-04/F	--	1835	--	271	--	129	32	2267
05-14/F	--	2214	--	472	--	789	61	3536
15-17/F	--	323	--	68	--	450	29	870
18-24/F	606	1885	171	199	3	641	52	3557
25-34/F	326	2597	144	394	26	214	62	3763
35-44/F	171	1007	75	278	104	1107	90	2832
45-64/F	19	178	24	86	250	3467	496	4520
65+ /F	--	24	--	1	167	2009	994	3195
TOTAL	21217	15047	2677	2732	11136	10820	2072	65701

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	553	--	2	--	15	0	570
05-14/M	--	829	--	9	--	135	6	979
15-17/M	--	139	--	3	--	101	3	246
18-24/M	890	66	21	4	3	135	12	1131
25-34/M	1121	23	4	0	14	2	1	1165
35-44/M	446	12	13	0	192	3	1	667
45-64/M	29	6	7	0	668	3	0	713
65+ /M	--	1	--	0	222	0	1	224
00-04/F	--	541	--	1	--	7	0	549
05-14/F	--	807	--	9	--	133	2	951
15-17/F	--	113	--	4	--	91	0	208
18-24/F	156	482	0	2	0	114	4	758
25-34/F	127	924	0	4	1	28	5	1089
35-44/F	54	357	0	9	19	239	6	684
45-64/F	1	42	0	1	14	560	51	669
65+ /F	--	0	--	0	9	123	50	182
TOTAL	2824	4895	45	48	1142	1689	142	10785

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1521	--	85	--	128	2	1736
05-14/M	--	2995	--	284	--	1285	46	4610
15-17/M	--	634	--	90	--	812	24	1560
18-24/M	1101	512	190	92	34	1155	53	3137
25-34/M	2528	197	123	23	140	22	14	3047
35-44/M	2638	107	244	33	1528	29	12	4591
45-64/M	515	46	185	13	6306	22	3	7090
65+ /M	--	10	--	0	3562	18	3	3593
00-04/F	--	1464	--	83	--	128	9	1684
05-14/F	--	2745	--	277	--	1202	54	4278
15-17/F	--	606	--	81	--	783	38	1508
18-24/F	346	1405	67	100	5	1227	65	3215
25-34/F	550	2344	79	125	28	282	34	3442
35-44/F	406	1919	114	212	119	1849	100	4719
45-64/F	45	420	29	102	208	5419	618	6841
65+ /F	--	25	--	5	143	2094	1207	3474
TOTAL	8129	16950	1031	1605	12073	16455	2282	58525

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1776	--	36	--	126	9	1947
05-14/M	--	2921	--	88	--	1022	50	4081
15-17/M	--	532	--	21	--	666	20	1239
18-24/M	1103	422	131	20	25	1140	42	2883
25-34/M	3265	213	97	6	122	30	20	3753
35-44/M	2419	118	64	4	1303	29	14	3951
45-64/M	419	54	34	2	6652	19	3	7183
65+ /M	--	7	--	0	2726	4	2	2739
00-04/F	--	1671	--	24	--	128	7	1830
05-14/F	--	2815	--	93	--	986	48	3942
15-17/F	--	516	--	31	--	667	23	1237
18-24/F	439	1170	50	26	0	1072	51	2808
25-34/F	735	2581	22	55	19	253	34	3699
35-44/F	369	1904	11	65	102	1544	72	4067
45-64/F	34	342	0	22	153	5603	534	6688
65+ /F	--	29	--	1	95	1657	845	2627
TOTAL	8783	17071	409	494	11197	14946	1774	54674

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	780	--	155	--	230	20	1185
05-14/M	--	1121	--	380	--	1965	115	3581
15-17/M	--	183	--	119	--	1286	62	1650
18-24/M	47	167	194	104	46	1753	136	2447
25-34/M	489	95	385	39	292	46	25	1371
35-44/M	310	40	403	48	3067	46	21	3935
45-64/M	49	12	225	22	20714	38	4	21064
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	12317	16	3	12341
00-04/F	--	746	--	145	--	258	19	1168
05-14/F	--	1045	--	402	--	1891	104	3442
15-17/F	--	170	--	110	--	1242	75	1597
18-24/F	15	890	49	145	16	1846	133	3094
25-34/F	60	1074	125	257	54	538	88	2196
35-44/F	35	506	86	314	151	3007	172	4271
45-64/F	1	87	21	153	140	15674	2054	18130
65+ /F	--	9	--	1	122	5503	2332	7967
TOTAL	1006	6930	1488	2394	36919	35339	5363	89439

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	3538	--	11	--	97	23	3669
05-14/M	--	4266	--	25	--	835	80	5206
15-17/M	--	641	--	7	--	547	30	1225
18-24/M	6576	443	10	4	6	677	45	7761
25-34/M	6422	160	6	2	64	23	25	6702
35-44/M	2374	54	6	0	1098	15	8	3555
45-64/M	213	17	5	0	3966	6	1	4208
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	1300	4	4	1312
00-04/F	--	3304	--	12	--	116	16	3448
05-14/F	--	4276	--	22	--	815	58	5171
15-17/F	--	622	--	6	--	512	25	1165
18-24/F	843	3401	2	10	1	682	43	4982
25-34/F	663	5052	0	12	10	239	56	6032
35-44/F	220	1867	1	18	30	1353	82	3571
45-64/F	16	282	0	4	63	3531	423	4319
65+ /F	--	19	--	1	35	839	475	1369
TOTAL	17327	27946	30	134	6573	10291	1394	63695

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1773	--	38	--	124	11	1946
05-14/M	--	2981	--	127	--	982	55	4145
15-17/M	--	523	--	42	--	627	37	1229
18-24/M	6026	362	698	23	15	806	56	7986
25-34/M	3823	120	139	4	89	30	22	4227
35-44/M	1992	44	110	8	1361	19	12	3546
45-64/M	210	15	67	5	5094	9	9	5409
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	3144	3	21	3173
00-04/F	--	1691	--	35	--	114	11	1851
05-14/F	--	2905	--	87	--	960	61	4013
15-17/F	--	568	--	25	--	602	34	1229
18-24/F	337	1765	30	45	2	812	75	3066
25-34/F	382	2877	26	71	12	288	45	3701
35-44/F	143	1433	24	82	48	1537	73	3340
45-64/F	16	220	6	33	126	4413	666	5480
65+ /F	--	13	--	0	64	1723	1138	2938
TOTAL	12929	17295	1100	625	9955	13049	2326	57279

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

MH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1951	--	268	--	118	19	2356
05-14/M	--	2354	--	455	--	769	90	3668
15-17/M	--	326	--	89	--	440	33	888
18-24/M	16339	256	988	68	32	595	52	18330
25-34/M	3465	152	622	30	200	21	31	4521
35-44/M	1379	65	480	32	1154	14	21	3145
45-64/M	170	28	145	7	4715	18	4	5087
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	4585	19	6	4614
00-04/F	--	1891	--	268	--	129	32	2320
05-14/F	--	2278	--	467	--	782	61	3588
15-17/F	--	334	--	68	--	445	29	876
18-24/F	646	1943	168	197	3	634	52	3643
25-34/F	344	2676	142	390	25	212	62	3851
35-44/F	179	1037	74	275	104	1096	90	2855
45-64/F	20	181	24	85	246	3446	488	4490
65+ /F	--	25	--	1	176	2084	1062	3348
TOTAL	22542	15501	2643	2700	11240	10822	2132	67580

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	191	--	2	--	15	0	208
05-14/M	--	281	--	9	--	132	6	428
15-17/M	--	46	--	3	--	99	3	151
18-24/M	290	25	21	3	3	133	12	487
25-34/M	369	9	4	0	12	2	1	397
35-44/M	146	6	13	0	191	3	1	360
45-64/M	10	2	7	0	655	3	0	677
65+ /M	--	1	--	0	233	0	1	235
00-04/F	--	193	--	1	--	7	0	201
05-14/F	--	276	--	9	--	132	2	419
15-17/F	--	40	--	4	--	91	0	135
18-24/F	50	176	0	2	0	111	4	343
25-34/F	42	317	0	4	1	28	5	397
35-44/F	17	119	0	9	19	237	6	407
45-64/F	0	16	0	1	14	557	50	638
65+ /F	--	0	--	0	10	128	55	193
TOTAL	924	1698	45	47	1138	1678	146	5676

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1545	--	85	--	126	2	1758
05-14/M	--	3045	--	281	--	1271	46	4643
15-17/M	--	646	--	89	--	803	24	1562
18-24/M	1121	521	188	90	34	1141	53	3148
25-34/M	2578	200	122	23	137	22	14	3096
35-44/M	2691	109	241	32	1525	29	12	4639
45-64/M	526	47	184	13	6201	22	3	6996
65+ /M	--	10	--	0	3715	20	3	3748
00-04/F	--	1487	--	82	--	127	9	1705
05-14/F	--	2791	--	273	--	1191	54	4309
15-17/F	--	616	--	79	--	776	38	1509
18-24/F	354	1424	66	99	5	1214	65	3227
25-34/F	562	2383	78	124	27	280	34	3488
35-44/F	416	1952	113	210	119	1833	100	4743
45-64/F	46	428	29	100	205	5387	608	6803
65+ /F	--	27	--	5	150	2172	1291	3645
TOTAL	8294	17231	1021	1585	12118	16414	2356	59019

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1811	--	36	--	124	9	1980
05-14/M	--	2983	--	88	--	1011	50	4132
15-17/M	--	543	--	21	--	661	20	1245
18-24/M	1134	429	129	20	25	1128	42	2907
25-34/M	3345	218	95	6	119	30	20	3833
35-44/M	2478	121	64	4	1299	29	14	4009
45-64/M	430	55	33	2	6541	19	3	7083
65+ /M	--	7	--	0	2843	5	2	2857
00-04/F	--	1707	--	24	--	128	7	1866
05-14/F	--	2873	--	91	--	978	48	3990
15-17/F	--	526	--	31	--	660	23	1240
18-24/F	453	1190	49	25	0	1061	51	2829
25-34/F	756	2634	21	55	19	252	34	3771
35-44/F	377	1946	11	65	102	1531	72	4104
45-64/F	35	351	0	22	150	5571	526	6655
65+ /F	--	29	--	1	99	1718	904	2751
TOTAL	9008	17423	402	491	11197	14906	1825	55252

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	796	--	154	--	228	20	1198
05-14/M	--	1146	--	377	--	1947	115	3585
15-17/M	--	185	--	118	--	1275	62	1640
18-24/M	47	172	193	103	46	1734	136	2431
25-34/M	502	99	384	39	285	45	25	1379
35-44/M	319	41	401	48	3060	46	21	3936
45-64/M	50	12	224	22	20369	38	4	20719
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	12844	16	3	12868
00-04/F	--	764	--	145	--	256	19	1184
05-14/F	--	1069	--	399	--	1873	104	3445
15-17/F	--	174	--	110	--	1230	75	1589
18-24/F	15	907	49	144	16	1824	133	3088
25-34/F	60	1096	125	256	53	532	88	2210
35-44/F	35	519	86	310	150	2983	172	4255
45-64/F	1	90	21	153	137	15583	2022	18007
65+ /F	--	9	--	1	128	5709	2492	8339
TOTAL	1029	7084	1483	2379	37088	35319	5491	89873

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	3450	--	10	--	96	23	3579
05-14/M	--	4160	--	25	--	829	80	5094
15-17/M	--	625	--	7	--	543	30	1205
18-24/M	6383	432	10	4	6	673	45	7553
25-34/M	6232	157	6	2	63	23	25	6508
35-44/M	2303	52	6	0	1094	15	8	3478
45-64/M	207	17	5	0	3926	6	1	4162
65+ /M	--	3	--	0	1343	4	4	1356
00-04/F	--	3221	--	11	--	115	16	3363
05-14/F	--	4171	--	22	--	810	58	5061
15-17/F	--	607	--	6	--	509	25	1147
18-24/F	819	3318	2	10	1	677	43	4870
25-34/F	644	4927	0	12	10	238	56	5887
35-44/F	214	1821	1	17	30	1345	82	3510
45-64/F	15	275	0	4	63	3524	420	4301
65+ /F	--	19	--	1	36	863	505	1424
TOTAL	16817	27255	30	131	6574	10270	1421	62498

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1808	--	37	--	124	11	1980
05-14/M	--	3044	--	125	--	975	55	4199
15-17/M	--	534	--	42	--	622	37	1235
18-24/M	6106	371	684	23	16	801	56	8057
25-34/M	3869	120	137	4	88	30	22	4270
35-44/M	2013	45	109	8	1355	19	12	3561
45-64/M	213	15	66	5	5043	9	9	5360
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	3249	4	22	3279
00-04/F	--	1725	--	34	--	113	11	1883
05-14/F	--	2964	--	86	--	953	61	4064
15-17/F	--	579	--	25	--	598	34	1236
18-24/F	341	1798	30	46	2	806	75	3098
25-34/F	389	2938	26	70	12	287	45	3767
35-44/F	144	1462	23	81	48	1527	73	3358
45-64/F	16	225	6	32	125	4407	660	5471
65+ /F	--	13	--	0	66	1773	1210	3062
TOTAL	13091	17645	1081	618	10004	13048	2393	57880

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	2840	--	268	--	117	19	3244
05-14/M	--	3423	--	452	--	764	90	4729
15-17/M	--	466	--	88	--	436	33	1023
18-24/M	19197	360	982	68	34	591	52	21284
25-34/M	3977	215	619	29	198	21	31	5090
35-44/M	1552	93	478	32	1149	14	21	3339
45-64/M	191	41	143	7	4670	18	4	5074
65+ /M	--	6	--	0	4738	20	7	4771
00-04/F	--	2749	--	267	--	128	32	3176
05-14/F	--	3254	--	464	--	776	61	4555
15-17/F	--	481	--	67	--	443	29	1020
18-24/F	757	2842	166	197	3	630	52	4647
25-34/F	396	3865	141	388	25	210	62	5087
35-44/F	202	1491	74	272	104	1089	90	3322
45-64/F	22	259	24	84	244	3440	484	4557
65+ /F	--	33	--	1	181	2146	1128	3489
TOTAL	26294	22418	2627	2684	11346	10843	2195	78407

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	183	--	2	--	15	0	200
05-14/M	--	271	--	9	--	132	6	418
15-17/M	--	44	--	3	--	99	3	149
18-24/M	279	24	21	3	3	132	12	474
25-34/M	354	9	4	0	12	2	1	382
35-44/M	140	6	12	0	191	3	1	353
45-64/M	10	2	7	0	649	3	0	671
65+ /M	--	1	--	0	241	0	1	243
00-04/F	--	185	--	1	--	7	0	193
05-14/F	--	264	--	9	--	132	2	407
15-17/F	--	38	--	4	--	90	0	132
18-24/F	48	171	0	2	0	110	4	335
25-34/F	40	306	0	4	1	28	5	384
35-44/F	16	114	0	9	19	236	6	400
45-64/F	0	15	0	1	14	556	50	636
65+ /F	--	0	--	0	10	130	58	198
TOTAL	887	1633	44	47	1140	1675	149	5575

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1485	--	83	--	125	2	1695
05-14/M	--	2928	--	276	--	1262	46	4512
15-17/M	--	622	--	87	--	799	24	1532
18-24/M	1078	501	184	90	35	1133	53	3074
25-34/M	2474	193	120	23	136	22	14	2982
35-44/M	2584	105	236	32	1516	28	12	4513
45-64/M	506	43	180	13	6138	22	3	6905
65+ /M	--	10	--	0	3840	20	3	3873
00-04/F	--	1430	--	81	--	125	9	1645
05-14/F	--	2688	--	270	--	1183	54	4195
15-17/F	--	593	--	78	--	772	38	1481
18-24/F	340	1369	65	98	5	1205	65	3147
25-34/F	539	2291	77	122	26	278	34	3367
35-44/F	400	1881	112	206	118	1820	100	4637
45-64/F	45	411	29	99	203	5377	602	6766
65+ /F	--	26	--	5	155	2236	1371	3793
TOTAL	7966	16576	1003	1563	12172	16407	2430	58117

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1706	--	36	--	124	9	1875
05-14/M	--	2808	--	86	--	1005	50	3949
15-17/M	--	511	--	21	--	655	20	1207
18-24/M	1061	404	128	20	26	1121	42	2802
25-34/M	3140	206	96	6	117	30	20	3615
35-44/M	2329	115	64	4	1294	29	14	3849
45-64/M	403	53	33	2	6477	19	3	6990
65+ /M	--	7	--	0	2939	5	2	2953
00-04/F	--	1606	--	23	--	127	7	1763
05-14/F	--	2706	--	90	--	971	48	3815
15-17/F	--	496	--	30	--	656	23	1205
18-24/F	423	1125	49	25	0	1054	51	2727
25-34/F	705	2480	21	54	19	250	34	3563
35-44/F	353	1832	11	64	102	1522	72	3956
45-64/F	33	331	0	22	149	5561	521	6617
65+ /F	--	27	--	1	102	1768	961	2859
TOTAL	8447	16413	402	484	11225	14897	1877	53745

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	767	--	155	--	227	20	1169
05-14/M	--	1102	--	378	--	1934	115	3529
15-17/M	--	180	--	119	--	1265	62	1626
18-24/M	46	164	194	104	46	1722	136	2412
25-34/M	482	95	385	39	281	45	25	1352
35-44/M	305	38	402	48	3048	46	21	3908
45-64/M	49	12	225	22	20165	38	4	20515
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	13276	16	3	13300
00-04/F	--	733	--	145	--	254	19	1151
05-14/F	--	1029	--	402	--	1859	104	3394
15-17/F	--	165	--	110	--	1221	75	1571
18-24/F	15	874	49	145	16	1812	133	3044
25-34/F	57	1055	125	257	52	530	88	2164
35-44/F	34	499	86	312	150	2964	172	4217
45-64/F	1	86	21	153	136	15558	2005	17960
65+ /F	--	9	--	1	130	5876	2646	8662
TOTAL	989	6813	1487	2390	37300	35367	5628	89974

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	3455	--	10	--	96	23	3584
05-14/M	--	4166	--	25	--	827	80	5098
15-17/M	--	626	--	7	--	542	30	1205
18-24/M	6393	432	10	4	6	671	45	7561
25-34/M	6242	157	6	2	63	23	25	6518
35-44/M	2306	53	6	0	1095	15	8	3483
45-64/M	207	17	5	0	3905	6	1	4141
65+ /M	--	3	--	0	1381	4	4	1392
00-04/F	--	3225	--	11	--	115	16	3367
05-14/F	--	4177	--	22	--	808	58	5065
15-17/F	--	608	--	6	--	507	25	1146
18-24/F	820	3323	2	10	1	676	43	4875
25-34/F	645	4935	0	12	10	238	56	5896
35-44/F	214	1823	1	17	30	1343	82	3510
45-64/F	15	275	0	4	63	3528	419	4304
65+ /F	--	19	--	1	38	884	531	1473
TOTAL	16842	27294	30	131	6592	10283	1446	62618

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1811	--	37	--	123	11	1982
05-14/M	--	3048	--	125	--	973	55	4201
15-17/M	--	535	--	42	--	621	37	1235
18-24/M	6115	371	684	23	16	799	56	8064
25-34/M	3875	121	137	4	88	30	22	4277
35-44/M	2017	45	109	8	1356	19	12	3566
45-64/M	213	15	66	5	5016	9	9	5333
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	3337	4	23	3369
00-04/F	--	1728	--	34	--	113	11	1886
05-14/F	--	2968	--	86	--	951	61	4066
15-17/F	--	580	--	25	--	597	34	1236
18-24/F	342	1800	30	46	2	804	75	3099
25-34/F	389	2942	26	70	12	287	45	3771
35-44/F	144	1464	23	81	48	1524	73	3357
45-64/F	16	225	6	32	125	4410	657	5471
65+ /F	--	13	--	0	67	1815	1275	3170
TOTAL	13111	17671	1081	618	10067	13079	2456	58083

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	2855	--	268	--	117	19	3259
05-14/M	--	3442	--	452	--	763	90	4747
15-17/M	--	468	--	88	--	436	33	1025
18-24/M	19313	362	982	68	34	589	52	21400
25-34/M	3999	215	619	29	198	21	31	5112
35-44/M	1560	94	478	32	1150	14	21	3349
45-64/M	192	41	143	7	4644	18	4	5049
65+ /M	--	6	--	0	4867	20	7	4900
00-04/F	--	2764	--	267	--	128	32	3191
05-14/F	--	3270	--	464	--	775	61	4570
15-17/F	--	483	--	67	--	441	29	1020
18-24/F	762	2857	166	197	3	627	52	4664
25-34/F	398	3886	141	388	25	210	62	5110
35-44/F	203	1499	74	272	104	1088	90	3330
45-64/F	22	260	24	84	242	3444	482	4558
65+ /F	--	33	--	1	187	2197	1191	3609
TOTAL	26449	22535	2627	2684	11454	10888	2256	78893

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	183	--	2	--	15	0	200
05-14/M	--	271	--	9	--	132	6	418
15-17/M	--	44	--	3	--	99	3	149
18-24/M	279	25	21	3	3	131	12	474
25-34/M	354	9	4	0	12	2	1	382
35-44/M	140	6	12	0	191	3	1	353
45-64/M	10	2	7	0	647	3	0	669
65+ /M	--	1	--	0	247	0	1	249
00-04/F	--	185	--	1	--	7	0	193
05-14/F	--	264	--	9	--	132	2	407
15-17/F	--	38	--	4	--	90	0	132
18-24/F	48	171	0	2	0	110	4	335
25-34/F	40	306	0	4	1	28	5	384
35-44/F	16	114	0	9	19	235	6	399
45-64/F	0	15	0	1	14	556	50	636
65+ /F	--	0	--	0	11	136	61	208
TOTAL	887	1634	44	47	1145	1679	152	5588

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1488	--	83	--	125	2	1698
05-14/M	--	2931	--	276	--	1261	46	4514
15-17/M	--	622	--	87	--	797	24	1530
18-24/M	1078	501	184	90	35	1130	53	3071
25-34/M	2475	194	120	23	136	22	14	2984
35-44/M	2586	104	236	32	1518	28	12	4516
45-64/M	507	43	180	13	6106	22	3	6874
65+ /M	--	10	--	0	3942	20	3	3975
00-04/F	--	1430	--	81	--	125	9	1645
05-14/F	--	2688	--	270	--	1181	54	4193
15-17/F	--	594	--	78	--	770	38	1480
18-24/F	340	1371	65	98	5	1203	65	3147
25-34/F	539	2292	77	122	26	278	34	3368
35-44/F	400	1882	112	206	119	1818	100	4637
45-64/F	44	411	29	99	202	5383	600	6768
65+ /F	--	26	--	5	159	2290	1445	3925
TOTAL	7969	16587	1003	1563	12248	16453	2502	58325

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1707	--	36	--	124	9	1876
05-14/M	--	2810	--	86	--	1003	50	3949
15-17/M	--	511	--	21	--	654	20	1206
18-24/M	1061	404	128	20	26	1118	42	2799
25-34/M	3141	206	96	6	117	30	20	3616
35-44/M	2329	115	64	4	1296	29	14	3851
45-64/M	403	53	33	2	6441	19	3	6954
65+ /M	--	7	--	0	3017	5	2	3031
00-04/F	--	1608	--	23	--	127	7	1765
05-14/F	--	2706	--	90	--	970	48	3814
15-17/F	--	496	--	30	--	655	23	1204
18-24/F	423	1125	49	25	0	1051	51	2724
25-34/F	708	2481	21	54	19	250	34	3567
35-44/F	353	1831	11	64	102	1521	72	3954
45-64/F	33	331	0	22	147	5568	519	6620
65+ /F	--	28	--	1	104	1810	1015	2958
TOTAL	8451	16419	402	484	11269	14934	1929	53888

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	767	--	155	--	226	20	1168
05-14/M	--	1102	--	378	--	1931	115	3526
15-17/M	--	181	--	119	--	1262	62	1624
18-24/M	46	163	194	104	47	1718	136	2408
25-34/M	482	95	385	39	281	45	25	1352
35-44/M	305	38	402	48	3050	46	21	3910
45-64/M	49	12	225	22	20058	38	4	20408
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	13632	16	3	13656
00-04/F	--	733	--	145	--	254	19	1151
05-14/F	--	1029	--	402	--	1857	104	3392
15-17/F	--	165	--	110	--	1220	75	1570
18-24/F	15	875	49	145	16	1808	133	3041
25-34/F	57	1056	125	257	52	530	88	2165
35-44/F	34	499	86	312	150	2958	172	4211
45-64/F	1	86	21	153	136	15572	1997	17966
65+ /F	--	9	--	1	133	6017	2792	8952
TOTAL	989	6815	1487	2390	37555	35498	5766	90500

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	3463	--	10	--	96	23	3592
05-14/M	--	4175	--	25	--	828	80	5108
15-17/M	--	627	--	7	--	543	30	1207
18-24/M	6407	433	10	4	6	670	45	7575
25-34/M	6256	157	6	2	63	23	25	6532
35-44/M	2312	53	6	0	1103	15	8	3497
45-64/M	209	17	5	0	3880	6	1	4118
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	1417	4	4	1429
00-04/F	--	3233	--	11	--	115	16	3375
05-14/F	--	4186	--	22	--	810	58	5076
15-17/F	--	609	--	6	--	507	25	1147
18-24/F	822	3330	2	10	1	675	43	4883
25-34/F	646	4946	0	12	10	239	56	5909
35-44/F	214	1828	1	17	30	1345	82	3517
45-64/F	15	276	0	4	62	3530	414	4301
65+ /F	--	19	--	1	39	906	559	1524
TOTAL	16881	27356	30	131	6611	10312	1469	62790

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1815	--	37	--	124	11	1987
05-14/M	--	3055	--	125	--	975	55	4210
15-17/M	--	537	--	42	--	621	37	1237
18-24/M	6130	372	684	23	16	798	56	8079
25-34/M	3883	121	137	4	88	30	22	4285
35-44/M	2021	45	109	8	1368	19	12	3582
45-64/M	213	15	66	5	4984	9	8	5300
65+ /M	--	4	--	0	3421	4	24	3453
00-04/F	--	1732	--	34	--	113	11	1890
05-14/F	--	2975	--	86	--	952	61	4074
15-17/F	--	581	--	25	--	597	34	1237
18-24/F	343	1804	30	46	2	803	75	3103
25-34/F	390	2948	26	70	12	288	45	3779
35-44/F	145	1468	23	81	48	1527	73	3365
45-64/F	16	226	6	32	124	4412	654	5470
65+ /F	--	13	--	0	70	1856	1342	3281
TOTAL	13141	17711	1081	618	10133	13128	2520	58332

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	2860	--	268	--	117	19	3264
05-14/M	--	3447	--	452	--	764	90	4753
15-17/M	--	469	--	88	--	436	33	1026
18-24/M	19344	362	982	68	35	589	52	21432
25-34/M	4004	216	619	29	198	21	31	5118
35-44/M	1562	94	478	32	1160	14	21	3361
45-64/M	193	41	143	7	4613	18	4	5019
65+ /M	--	6	--	0	4988	20	7	5021
00-04/F	--	2767	--	267	--	128	32	3194
05-14/F	--	3275	--	464	--	776	61	4576
15-17/F	--	484	--	67	--	441	29	1021
18-24/F	763	2862	166	197	3	627	52	4670
25-34/F	399	3891	141	388	25	212	62	5118
35-44/F	204	1501	74	272	104	1089	90	3334
45-64/F	22	262	24	84	241	3444	480	4557
65+ /F	--	33	--	1	190	2247	1252	3723
TOTAL	26491	22570	2627	2684	11557	10943	2315	79187

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	183	--	2	--	15	0	200
05-14/M	--	271	--	9	--	132	6	418
15-17/M	--	44	--	3	--	99	3	149
18-24/M	279	25	21	3	3	131	12	474
25-34/M	354	9	4	0	12	2	1	382
35-44/M	140	6	12	0	192	3	1	354
45-64/M	10	2	7	0	642	3	0	664
65+ /M	--	1	--	0	253	0	1	255
00-04/F	--	185	--	1	--	7	0	193
05-14/F	--	264	--	9	--	132	2	407
15-17/F	--	38	--	4	--	90	0	132
18-24/F	48	171	0	2	0	110	4	335
25-34/F	40	306	0	4	1	28	5	384
35-44/F	16	114	0	9	19	236	6	400
45-64/F	0	15	0	1	13	556	50	635
65+ /F	--	0	--	0	12	139	63	214
TOTAL	887	1634	44	47	1147	1683	154	5596

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1488	--	83	--	125	2	1698
05-14/M	--	2932	--	276	--	1262	46	4516
15-17/M	--	622	--	87	--	798	24	1531
18-24/M	1078	501	184	90	35	1129	53	3070
25-34/M	2476	194	120	23	137	22	14	2986
35-44/M	2587	104	236	32	1531	28	12	4530
45-64/M	508	44	180	13	6068	22	3	6838
65+ /M	--	10	--	0	4042	21	3	4076
00-04/F	--	1432	--	81	--	125	9	1647
05-14/F	--	2689	--	270	--	1183	54	4196
15-17/F	--	594	--	78	--	770	38	1480
18-24/F	340	1371	65	98	5	1202	65	3146
25-34/F	539	2293	77	122	26	280	34	3371
35-44/F	400	1883	112	206	120	1819	100	4640
45-64/F	44	411	29	99	200	5384	596	6763
65+ /F	--	26	--	5	163	2341	1520	4055
TOTAL	7972	16594	1003	1563	12327	16511	2573	58543

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	1708	--	36	--	124	9	1877
05-14/M	--	2811	--	86	--	1005	50	3952
15-17/M	--	511	--	21	--	654	20	1206
18-24/M	1061	404	128	20	26	1117	42	2798
25-34/M	3142	206	96	6	117	30	20	3617
35-44/M	2329	115	64	4	1307	29	14	3862
45-64/M	403	53	33	2	6400	19	3	6913
65+ /M	--	7	--	0	3095	5	2	3109
00-04/F	--	1608	--	23	--	127	7	1765
05-14/F	--	2706	--	90	--	971	48	3815
15-17/F	--	496	--	30	--	655	23	1204
18-24/F	423	1126	49	25	0	1050	51	2724
25-34/F	708	2482	21	54	19	252	34	3570
35-44/F	353	1831	11	64	102	1522	72	3955
45-64/F	33	330	0	22	147	5569	516	6617
65+ /F	--	28	--	1	108	1851	1066	3054
TOTAL	8452	16422	402	484	11321	14980	1977	54038

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 13:22:21
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY AGE/SEX

AGE/SEX	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
00-04/M	--	767	--	155	--	227	20	1169
05-14/M	--	1102	--	378	--	1934	115	3529
15-17/M	--	181	--	119	--	1262	62	1624
18-24/M	46	163	194	104	47	1715	136	2405
25-34/M	482	95	385	39	284	45	25	1355
35-44/M	305	38	402	48	3076	46	21	3936
45-64/M	49	12	225	22	19928	38	4	20278
65+ /M	--	5	--	0	13977	17	3	14002
00-04/F	--	733	--	145	--	256	19	1153
05-14/F	--	1029	--	402	--	1859	104	3394
15-17/F	--	165	--	110	--	1220	75	1570
18-24/F	15	875	49	145	16	1806	133	3039
25-34/F	57	1056	125	257	52	530	88	2165
35-44/F	34	499	86	312	153	2963	172	4219
45-64/F	1	86	21	153	136	15575	1985	17957
65+ /F	--	9	--	1	138	6153	2935	9236
TOTAL	989	6815	1487	2390	37807	35646	5897	91031

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	19037	30230	35	136	5278	8941	1073	64730
NAVY	2	80	0	3	443	481	92	1101
AFLOAT	0	56	--	--	--	--	--	56
USMC	0	49	0	0	114	137	14	314
USAF	123	287	0	12	789	900	136	2247
USCG	0	13	0	0	20	24	1	58
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	10
TOTAL	19163	30715	35	151	6645	10484	1323	68516

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	13919	17965	1198	522	7031	10143	1636	52414
NAVY	47	218	2	15	946	987	193	2408
AFLOAT	0	153	--	--	--	--	--	153
USMC	188	249	0	0	303	366	48	1154
USAF	83	295	52	149	1459	1602	233	3873
USCG	55	95	0	0	79	78	13	320
OTHER	1	6	0	0	9	6	13	35
TOTAL	14293	18981	1252	686	9827	13182	2136	60357

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	635	2587	1186	980	3906	3365	782	13441
NAVY	21652	8859	1514	1537	3962	4765	783	43072
AFLOAT	8	3198	--	--	--	--	--	3206
USMC	378	870	3	204	739	667	91	2952
USAF	58	433	147	167	2158	1881	277	5121
USCG	164	280	0	0	108	118	9	679
OTHER	25	47	0	0	19	17	8	116
TOTAL	22920	16274	2850	2888	10892	10813	1950	68587

RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 V 6.01 03/30/94 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	5	84	51	51	227	246	46	710
NAVY	0	21	0	0	155	186	11	373
AFLOAT	0	39	--	--	--	--	--	39
USMC	0	25	0	0	30	30	3	88
USAF	2862	4984	0	2	707	1198	70	9823
USCG	22	26	0	0	30	48	7	133
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	2889	5179	51	53	1149	1708	138	11167

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
09:13:30

RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	762	2800	1001	1455	3392	4366	849	14625
NAVY	259	715	8	93	1825	1959	313	5172
AFLOAT	0	340	--	--	--	--	--	340
USMC	133	428	1	19	540	643	81	1845
USAF	6877	13061	132	196	6027	9323	855	36471
USCG	343	658	1	3	185	277	20	1487
OTHER	6	3	0	0	6	5	18	38
TOTAL	8380	18005	1143	1766	11975	16573	2136	59978

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
09:13:30

RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	67	1034	277	303	1897	2172	394	6144
NAVY	90	390	2	50	1116	1207	168	3023
AFLOAT	531	197	--	--	--	--	--	728
USMC	115	175	0	9	363	428	45	1135
USAF	8225	16254	155	162	7777	11222	1053	44848
USCG	0	14	0	0	34	35	5	88
OTHER	1	30	0	0	12	18	12	73
TOTAL	9029	18094	434	524	11199	15082	1677	56039

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS MODEL FY93 BASELINE POPULATION ESTIMATES JUN 6, 1994
 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAVY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USMC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAF	2318	10994	1431	2296	36487	35423	5115	94064
USCG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	2318	10994	1431	2296	36487	35423	5115	94064

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 09:13:30
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	18235	29155	34	125	5256	8874	1090	62769
NAVY	2	76	0	3	444	483	94	1102
AFLOAT	0	53	--	--	--	--	--	53
USMC	0	46	0	0	115	139	14	314
USAF	124	281	0	12	791	901	140	2249
USCG	0	13	0	0	20	24	1	58
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	10
TOTAL	18362	29624	34	140	6627	10422	1346	66555

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	13333	17326	1100	478	7072	10104	1688	51101
NAVY	45	206	2	15	951	986	197	2402
AFLOAT	0	145	--	--	--	--	--	145
USMC	177	232	0	0	306	367	49	1131
USAF	84	289	52	152	1470	1604	239	3890
USCG	55	95	0	0	80	79	13	322
OTHER	1	6	0	0	9	6	13	35
TOTAL	13695	18299	1154	645	9888	13146	2199	59026

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	608	2495	1090	899	3967	3377	811	13247
NAVY	20503	8378	1490	1514	4000	4761	805	41451
AFLOAT	8	3024	--	--	--	--	--	3032
USMC	358	810	3	201	741	673	93	2879
USAF	59	424	151	171	2191	1889	286	5171
USCG	164	280	0	0	110	119	9	682
OTHER	25	47	0	0	19	17	8	116
TOTAL	21725	15458	2734	2785	11028	10836	2012	66578

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	5	81	47	48	230	249	48	708
NAVY	0	20	0	0	156	187	11	374
AFLOAT	0	37	--	--	--	--	--	37
USMC	0	23	0	0	30	30	3	86
USAF	2893	4878	0	2	702	1191	70	9736
USCG	22	26	0	0	29	48	7	132
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	2920	5065	47	50	1147	1705	140	11074

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	730	2700	918	1335	3422	4358	879	14342
NAVY	245	676	8	93	1847	1963	326	5158
AFLOAT	0	322	--	--	--	--	--	322
USMC	126	398	1	19	544	646	83	1817
USAF	6951	12785	135	199	6035	9288	883	36276
USCG	343	658	1	3	189	279	21	1494
OTHER	6	3	0	0	6	5	19	39
TOTAL	8401	17542	1063	1649	12043	16539	2211	59448

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	64	997	253	280	1911	2169	409	6083
NAVY	85	369	2	50	1118	1206	172	3002
AFLOAT	503	186	--	--	--	--	--	689
USMC	109	163	0	9	365	429	46	1121
USAF	8313	15910	158	167	7776	11180	1083	44587
USCG	0	14	0	0	34	35	5	88
OTHER	1	30	0	0	12	18	12	73
TOTAL	9075	17669	413	506	11216	15037	1727	55643

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY94 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAVY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USMC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAF	1040	7170	1470	2362	36775	35438	5241	89496
USCG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1040	7170	1470	2362	36775	35438	5241	89496

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	17371	27747	32	120	5222	8798	1105	60395
NAVY	2	74	0	3	445	478	97	1099
AFLOAT	0	52	--	--	--	--	--	52
USMC	0	45	0	0	115	139	14	313
USAF	120	271	0	12	789	897	145	2234
USCG	0	13	0	0	19	24	1	57
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	10
TOTAL	17494	28202	32	135	6591	10337	1369	64160

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	12701	16490	1061	462	7093	10049	1739	49595
NAVY	43	202	2	15	957	985	202	2406
AFLOAT	0	141	--	--	--	--	--	141
USMC	174	227	0	0	302	363	48	1114
USAF	81	279	53	155	1476	1601	247	3892
USCG	55	95	0	0	81	79	13	323
OTHER	1	6	0	0	9	6	13	35
TOTAL	13055	17440	1116	632	9918	13083	2262	57506

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	579	2375	1050	866	4021	3382	838	13111
NAVY	20035	8188	1472	1496	4033	4748	826	40798
AFLOAT	7	2956	--	--	--	--	--	2963
USMC	350	792	3	197	736	665	94	2837
USAF	57	409	152	173	2217	1889	296	5193
USCG	164	280	0	0	110	119	10	683
OTHER	25	47	0	0	19	17	8	116
TOTAL	21217	15047	2677	2732	11136	10820	2072	65701

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	5	77	45	46	232	248	48	701
NAVY	0	19	0	0	155	187	12	373
AFLOAT	0	36	--	--	--	--	--	36
USMC	0	22	0	0	30	30	3	85
USAF	2797	4715	0	2	696	1176	71	9457
USCG	22	26	0	0	29	48	7	132
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	2824	4895	45	48	1142	1689	142	10785

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	695	2570	885	1288	3446	4343	909	14136
NAVY	240	661	8	92	1868	1961	338	5168
AFLOAT	0	314	--	--	--	--	--	314
USMC	123	389	1	19	541	640	84	1797
USAF	6722	12355	136	203	6022	9227	910	35575
USCG	343	658	1	3	190	279	22	1496
OTHER	6	3	0	0	6	5	19	39
TOTAL	8129	16950	1031	1605	12073	16455	2282	58525

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	61	949	246	268	1923	2160	423	6030
NAVY	83	361	2	50	1121	1201	176	2994
AFLOAT	492	182	--	--	--	--	--	674
USMC	107	160	0	9	363	424	46	1109
USAF	8039	15375	161	167	7744	11107	1112	43705
USCG	0	14	0	0	35	36	5	90
OTHER	1	30	0	0	11	18	12	72
TOTAL	8783	17071	409	494	11197	14946	1774	54674

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY95 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAVY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USMC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAF	1006	6930	1488	2394	36919	35339	5363	89439
USCG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1006	6930	1488	2394	36919	35339	5363	89439

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	17201	27482	30	119	5204	8751	1123	59910
NAVY	2	77	0	3	447	479	100	1108
AFLOAT	0	54	--	--	--	--	--	54
USMC	0	43	0	0	114	138	14	309
USAF	123	277	0	12	788	898	149	2247
USCG	0	13	0	0	19	24	1	57
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	10
TOTAL	17327	27946	30	134	6573	10291	1394	63695

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE

JUN 6, 1994
 09:13:30

V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	12576	16332	1045	456	7119	10021	1790	49339
NAVY	46	210	2	15	962	983	207	2425
AFLOAT	0	148	--	--	--	--	--	148
USMC	168	219	0	0	300	359	49	1095
USAF	83	285	53	154	1483	1601	253	3912
USCG	55	95	0	0	82	79	13	324
OTHER	1	6	0	0	9	6	14	36
TOTAL	12929	17295	1100	625	9955	13049	2326	57279

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE

JUN 6, 1994
 09:13:30

V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	574	2352	1037	858	4070	3388	867	13146
NAVY	21375	8550	1452	1473	4066	4742	847	42505
AFLOAT	8	3087	--	--	--	--	--	3095
USMC	338	766	3	197	733	662	96	2795
USAF	58	419	151	172	2240	1893	304	5237
USCG	164	280	0	0	111	120	10	685
OTHER	25	47	0	0	20	17	8	117
TOTAL	22542	15501	2643	2700	11240	10822	2132	67580

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	5	76	45	45	234	247	50	702
NAVY	0	20	0	0	155	185	12	372
AFLOAT	0	38	--	--	--	--	--	38
USMC	0	22	0	0	29	30	3	84
USAF	897	1516	0	2	690	1169	72	4346
USCG	22	26	0	0	30	47	8	133
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	924	1698	45	47	1138	1678	146	5676

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	689	2545	875	1272	3470	4339	940	14130
NAVY	256	690	8	92	1887	1963	351	5247
AFLOAT	0	328	--	--	--	--	--	328
USMC	119	377	1	19	541	638	86	1781
USAF	6881	12630	136	199	6022	9195	936	35999
USCG	343	658	1	3	191	274	23	1493
OTHER	6	3	0	0	7	5	20	41
TOTAL	8294	17231	1021	1585	12118	16414	2356	59019

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94
 USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	61	940	241	266	1935	2161	437	6041
NAVY	89	377	2	49	1122	1198	180	3017
AFLOAT	524	190	--	--	--	--	--	714
USMC	103	154	0	9	360	422	47	1095
USAF	8230	15718	159	167	7733	11071	1144	44222
USCG	0	14	0	0	35	36	5	90
OTHER	1	30	0	0	12	18	12	73
TOTAL	9008	17423	402	491	11197	14906	1825	55252

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY96 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAVY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USMC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAF	1029	7084	1483	2379	37088	35319	5491	89873
USCG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1029	7084	1483	2379	37088	35319	5491	89873

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	16696	26814	30	116	5200	8728	1141	58725
NAVY	2	70	0	3	449	480	103	1107
AFLOAT	0	49	--	--	--	--	--	49
USMC	0	42	0	0	115	138	15	310
USAF	118	267	0	12	790	899	154	2240
USCG	0	13	0	0	19	24	1	57
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	10
TOTAL	16817	27255	30	131	6574	10270	1421	62498

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	12753	16734	1026	448	7153	10018	1843	49975
NAVY	41	190	2	15	967	982	212	2409
AFLOAT	0	133	--	--	--	--	--	133
USMC	161	212	0	0	302	359	50	1084
USAF	80	275	53	155	1492	1604	261	3920
USCG	55	95	0	0	82	79	14	325
OTHER	1	6	0	0	8	6	13	34
TOTAL	13091	17645	1081	618	10004	13048	2393	57880

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	557	2295	1017	839	4118	3398	895	13119
NAVY	25158	13705	1455	1475	4098	4746	870	51507
AFLOAT	10	4948	--	--	--	--	--	4958
USMC	324	740	3	197	736	661	96	2757
USAF	56	403	152	173	2263	1900	315	5262
USCG	164	280	0	0	111	120	10	685
OTHER	25	47	0	0	20	18	9	119
TOTAL	26294	22418	2627	2684	11346	10843	2195	78407

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	4	75	44	45	236	247	51	702
NAVY	0	18	0	0	156	185	13	372
AFLOAT	0	34	--	--	--	--	--	34
USMC	0	21	0	0	29	30	3	83
USAF	861	1459	0	2	689	1166	73	4250
USCG	22	26	0	0	30	47	8	133
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	887	1633	44	47	1140	1675	149	5575

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	668	2484	857	1246	3497	4339	970	14061
NAVY	228	623	8	92	1907	1968	364	5190
AFLDAT	0	296	--	--	--	--	--	296
USMC	114	364	1	19	538	633	87	1756
USAF	6607	12148	136	203	6031	9186	965	35276
USCG	343	658	1	3	192	275	23	1495
OTHER	6	3	0	0	7	6	21	43
TOTAL	7966	16576	1003	1563	12172	16407	2430	58117

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC 111.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	59	917	239	259	1950	2162	453	6039
NAVY	79	340	2	49	1128	1199	184	2981
AFLDAT	467	171	--	--	--	--	--	638
USMC	99	149	0	9	362	423	48	1090
USAF	7742	14792	161	167	7738	11059	1174	42833
USCG	0	14	0	0	35	36	6	91
OTHER	1	30	0	0	12	18	12	73
TOTAL	8447	16413	402	484	11225	14897	1877	53745

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY97 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAVY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USMC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAF	989	6813	1487	2390	37300	35367	5628	89974
USCG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	989	6813	1487	2390	37300	35367	5628	89974

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACK-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	16721	26853	30	116	5210	8736	1160	58826
NAVY	2	70	0	3	452	482	105	1114
AFLOAT	0	49	--	--	--	--	--	49
USMC	0	42	0	0	115	139	15	311
USAF	118	267	0	12	793	901	158	2249
USCG	0	13	0	0	21	24	1	59
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	10
TOTAL	16842	27294	30	131	6592	10283	1446	62618

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	12772	16758	1026	448	7197	10040	1895	50136
NAVY	42	191	2	15	975	986	217	2428
AFLOAT	0	134	--	--	--	--	--	134
USMC	161	212	0	0	302	359	50	1084
USAF	80	275	53	155	1501	1608	267	3939
USCG	55	95	0	0	84	80	14	328
OTHER	1	6	0	0	8	6	13	34
TOTAL	13111	17671	1081	618	10067	13079	2456	58083

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	558	2298	1017	839	4163	3417	924	13216
NAVY	25312	13789	1455	1475	4135	4761	891	51818
AFLOAT	10	4978	--	--	--	--	--	4988
USMC	324	740	3	197	737	662	98	2761
USAF	56	403	152	173	2287	1909	323	5303
USCG	164	280	0	0	112	121	11	688
OTHER	25	47	0	0	20	18	9	119
TOTAL	26449	22535	2627	2684	11454	10888	2256	78893

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	4	75	44	45	239	249	53	709
NAVY	0	19	0	0	157	186	13	375
AFLOAT	0	34	--	--	--	--	--	34
USMC	0	21	0	0	29	31	3	84
USAF	861	1459	0	2	689	1166	74	4251
USCG	22	26	0	0	31	47	8	134
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	887	1634	44	47	1145	1679	152	5588

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	669	2487	857	1246	3525	4353	1000	14137
NAVY	229	627	8	92	1926	1977	376	5235
AFLOAT	0	298	--	--	--	--	--	298
USMC	114	364	1	19	540	636	89	1763
USAF	6608	12150	136	203	6057	9205	993	35352
USCG	343	658	1	3	193	276	23	1497
OTHER	6	3	0	0	7	6	21	43
TOTAL	7969	16587	1003	1563	12248	16453	2502	58325

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	59	918	239	259	1965	2172	467	6079
NAVY	80	342	2	49	1133	1202	189	2997
AFLOAT	469	173	--	--	--	--	--	642
USMC	99	149	0	9	361	424	49	1091
USAF	7743	14793	161	167	7764	11082	1206	42916
USCG	0	14	0	0	34	36	6	90
OTHER	1	30	0	0	12	18	12	73
TOTAL	8451	16419	402	484	11269	14934	1929	53888

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY98 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAVY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USMC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAF	989	6815	1487	2390	37555	35498	5766	90500
USCG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	989	6815	1487	2390	37555	35498	5766	90500

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

BLANCHFIELD ACH-FT CAMPBELL

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	16760	26915	30	116	5224	8756	1178	58979
NAVY	2	70	0	3	454	484	107	1120
AFLOAT	0	49	--	--	--	--	--	49
USMC	0	42	0	0	115	139	15	311
USAF	118	267	0	12	796	907	162	2262
USCG	0	13	0	0	21	25	1	60
OTHER	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	9
TOTAL	16881	27356	30	131	6611	10312	1469	62790

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

IRELAND ACH-FT KNOX

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	12802	16797	1026	448	7244	10075	1945	50337
NAVY	42	192	2	15	983	990	222	2446
AFLOAT	0	134	--	--	--	--	--	134
USMC	161	212	0	0	303	360	51	1087
USAF	80	275	53	155	1511	1617	275	3966
USCG	55	95	0	0	84	80	14	328
OTHER	1	6	0	0	8	6	13	34
TOTAL	13141	17711	1081	618	10133	13128	2520	58332

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

NH GREAT LAKES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	559	2303	1017	839	4208	3436	951	13313
NAVY	25353	13811	1455	1475	4171	4782	911	51958
AFLOAT	10	4986	--	--	--	--	--	4996
USMC	324	740	3	197	738	664	100	2766
USAF	56	403	152	173	2308	1922	333	5347
USCG	164	280	0	0	112	121	11	688
OTHER	25	47	0	0	20	18	9	119
TOTAL	26491	22570	2627	2684	11557	10943	2315	79187

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

410th MEDICAL GROUP-K I SAWYER

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	4	75	44	45	241	250	54	713
NAVY	0	19	0	0	157	186	13	375
AFLOAT	0	34	--	--	--	--	--	34
USMC	0	21	0	0	29	31	3	84
USAF	861	1459	0	2	689	1168	75	4254
USCG	22	26	0	0	31	48	8	135
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
TOTAL	887	1634	44	47	1147	1683	154	5596

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR SCOTT

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	671	2493	857	1246	3555	4371	1030	14223
NAVY	230	628	8	92	1945	1989	387	5279
AFLOAT	0	298	--	--	--	--	--	298
USMC	114	364	1	19	542	637	91	1768
USAF	6608	12150	136	203	6082	9231	1019	35429
USCG	343	658	1	3	196	277	24	1502
OTHER	6	3	0	0	7	6	22	44
TOTAL	7972	16594	1003	1563	12327	16511	2573	58543

V 6.01 03/30/94 RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

USAF MED CTR WRIGHT-PATTERSON

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	59	921	239	259	1982	2182	481	6123
NAVY	80	342	2	49	1140	1207	192	3012
AFLOAT	470	173	--	--	--	--	--	643
USMC	99	149	0	9	363	424	50	1094
USAF	7743	14793	161	167	7788	11113	1236	43001
USCG	0	14	0	0	36	36	6	92
OTHER	1	30	0	0	12	18	12	73
TOTAL	8452	16422	402	484	11321	14980	1977	54038

RAPS POPULATION PROJECTION REPORT JUN 6, 1994
 FY99 BASED UPON FY93 BASELINE 09:13:30
 V 6.01 03/30/94 RETAINED HISTORICAL CATCHMENT AREAS BRAC III.03 03/15/94

TOTAL HS REGION 5
 USAF NONCATCHMENT BENEFICIARIES

POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH

SPONSOR SERVICE	ACTIVE DUTY	DEPS OF ACT DTY	MED ELG NG/RES	DEPS OF NG/RES	RETIRED	DEPS OF RETIRED	SURVIVR	TOTAL
ARMY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAVY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USMC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USAF	989	6815	1487	2390	37807	35646	5897	91031
USCG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	989	6815	1487	2390	37807	35646	5897	91031

COUNTY1.XLS

FY90 POPULATION BY COUNTY:			
COUNTY	PERSONS		
Butler	291,479		
Champaign	36,019		
Clark	147,548		
Clinton	35,415		
Darke	53,619		
Franklin	461,437		
Greene	136,731		
Hamilton	366,228		
Logan	42,310		
Madison	37,068		
Montgomery	573,809		
Preble	40,113		
Shelby	44,915		
Warren	113,909		
TOTAL	2,380,600		
Source: 1990 Census Of Population			
US Department of Commerce			
Economic and Statistics Administration			
Hamilton and Franklin Counties were prorated			

1990 CP-1-37

1990 Census of Population
General Population
Characteristics
Ohio

Issued June 1992



U.S. Department of Commerce
Barbara Hackman Franklin, Secretary
Rockwell A. Schnabel, Deputy Secretary

Economics and Statistics Administration
Mark W. Plant, Acting Under Secretary
for Economic Affairs and Administrator

BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
Barbara Everitt Bryant, Director

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990

(For definitions of terms and meanings of symbols, see text)

Race and Rural and Size of Place Inside and Outside Metropolitan Area County Place and (In Selected States) County Subdivision [1,000 or More Persons]	All persons	Percent of all persons							Median age	Persons 18 years and over—Males per 100 females	Percent of all persons			Persons in group quarters	
		Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over	80 years and over			In households		In group quarters	Total	Percent institutionalized
											In families	Non-family householders and non-relatives of householder			
The State	10 847 115	7.2	25.8	10.5	31.4	19.3	13.0	2.9	33.3	89.1	83.2	14.3	2.4	261 451	58.3
URBAN AND RURAL AND SIZE OF PLACE															
Urban	8 039 409	7.3	25.1	11.0	31.6	18.7	13.5	3.1	33.1	86.2	81.1	16.3	2.6	205 883	51.1
Inside urbanized area	6 656 974	7.4	25.1	10.6	32.1	18.9	13.3	2.9	33.3	86.7	81.5	16.5	2.1	137 482	57.6
Central place	3 027 281	8.1	25.4	12.6	32.0	16.8	13.2	3.1	31.3	85.2	76.3	20.7	3.0	91 629	49.2
Urban fringe	3 629 693	6.8	24.7	9.0	32.2	20.8	13.3	2.8	35.0	87.9	85.8	12.9	1.3	45 853	74.3
Outside urbanized area	1 382 435	7.1	25.4	13.0	29.1	17.8	14.7	3.8	32.5	83.9	79.3	15.8	4.9	68 401	38.2
Place of 10,000 or more	805 119	7.1	24.8	14.5	28.7	17.3	14.7	3.8	31.9	83.2	77.1	17.4	5.5	44 429	28.7
Place of 2,500 to 9,999	577 316	7.1	26.2	10.9	29.8	18.4	14.7	3.8	33.3	84.8	82.3	13.5	4.2	23 972	55.8
Rural	2 807 706	7.0	27.8	8.9	31.0	20.9	11.4	2.3	33.8	98.3	89.4	8.7	2.0	55 568	84.7
Place of 1,000 to 2,499	283 910	7.4	27.2	10.1	29.6	18.7	14.3	3.3	33.1	86.3	85.5	12.3	2.2	6 111	48.1
Place of less than 1,000	172 489	7.1	28.0	9.1	29.9	19.2	13.9	3.1	33.2	90.3	88.2	11.0	.8	1 351	65.1
Other rural	2 351 307	6.9	27.9	8.7	31.3	21.2	10.9	2.1	33.9	100.5	89.9	8.0	2.0	48 106	89.9
INSIDE AND OUTSIDE METROPOLITAN AREA															
Inside metropolitan area	8 567 105	7.2	25.4	10.6	31.9	19.3	12.8	2.8	33.3	88.4	82.6	15.0	2.4	202 215	56.2
In central city	3 128 294	8.0	25.3	12.9	31.8	16.7	13.3	3.1	31.2	85.0	76.1	20.7	3.3	102 706	45.3
Not in central city	5 438 811	6.8	25.4	9.3	31.9	20.7	12.6	2.6	34.6	90.4	86.4	11.8	1.8	99 509	67.5
Urban	4 047 684	6.8	24.8	9.5	32.0	20.4	13.2	2.8	34.7	87.7	85.2	13.0	1.8	71 276	60.4
Inside urbanized area	3 620 008	6.8	24.7	9.0	32.2	20.8	13.3	2.8	35.0	87.9	85.8	12.9	1.3	45 733	74.3
Outside urbanized area	427 676	7.2	25.8	13.9	30.3	17.5	12.5	3.3	31.5	85.5	80.3	13.7	6.0	25 543	35.7
Rural	1 391 127	6.8	27.2	8.9	31.7	21.6	10.7	2.0	34.2	99.2	89.8	8.2	2.0	28 233	85.4
Outside metropolitan area	2 280 010	7.2	27.4	9.9	29.8	19.4	13.5	3.1	33.4	91.7	85.6	11.8	2.6	59 236	65.2
Urban	863 431	7.2	25.6	11.4	28.9	18.2	15.9	4.1	33.4	83.4	80.1	16.2	3.7	31 901	49.3
Inside urbanized area	9 685	6.5	25.1	11.9	30.6	22.0	13.4	2.5	35.6	89.1	86.9	11.9	1.2	120	100.0
Outside urbanized area	853 746	7.2	25.6	11.5	28.9	18.2	15.9	4.1	33.4	83.3	80.0	16.2	3.7	31 781	49.1
Place of 10,000 or more	557 919	7.3	25.3	12.3	28.9	17.9	15.6	4.0	33.0	82.8	78.8	17.1	4.2	23 215	39.3
Place of 2,500 to 9,999	295 827	7.2	26.2	9.9	28.9	18.7	16.4	4.3	34.2	84.3	82.5	14.6	2.9	8 566	75.4
Rural	1 416 579	7.2	28.4	8.9	30.4	20.2	12.1	2.5	33.3	97.4	88.9	9.1	1.9	27 335	83.9
COUNTY															
Adams County	25 371	7.3	29.0	9.6	28.2	19.6	13.5	3.1	32.9	93.5	88.1	10.5	1.5	373	79.1
Allen County	109 755	7.6	27.3	10.0	30.5	18.7	13.4	3.1	33.0	94.4	83.3	12.1	4.6	5 032	79.6
Ashland County	47 507	7.0	27.3	10.8	28.6	19.4	13.8	3.4	33.3	90.9	84.3	11.6	4.1	1 938	39.2
Ashburn County	99 821	7.3	27.4	8.8	29.4	19.8	14.7	3.3	34.4	89.7	85.3	12.8	1.9	1 892	83.2
Athens County	59 549	5.4	20.4	29.0	26.3	14.5	9.8	2.3	25.4	94.5	64.1	21.4	14.5	8 646	7.1
Auripace County	44 585	8.0	29.3	8.7	30.4	18.2	13.5	3.3	32.8	91.4	86.6	10.2	1.2	551	100.0
Bainbridge County	71 074	5.9	23.7	8.0	28.5	21.0	18.7	4.1	37.8	84.2	85.5	13.1	1.5	1 042	93.3
Brown County	34 966	7.5	28.6	9.2	30.1	19.3	12.8	3.1	32.7	93.1	89.0	9.7	1.3	443	96.8
Butler County	291 479	7.4	26.2	13.2	31.9	18.6	10.2	2.2	31.5	89.8	83.4	12.7	3.8	11 205	25.6
Carroll County	26 521	7.0	27.3	8.3	30.5	20.2	13.7	2.9	34.3	92.2	87.5	10.7	1.7	464	87.1
Champaign County	36 019	6.8	26.6	9.5	30.0	21.1	12.8	3.0	34.3	93.1	86.8	11.6	1.7	596	70.0
Clark County	147 548	6.9	25.7	10.7	29.2	20.5	13.9	3.2	34.3	87.9	84.0	13.4	2.7	3 977	54.3
Clay County	150 187	8.2	29.3	9.8	34.3	17.9	8.7	1.8	31.2	92.7	88.9	10.3	.8	1 265	97.0
Clinton County	35 415	7.2	27.3	10.7	30.4	18.5	13.0	3.0	32.8	90.4	85.8	11.8	2.4	843	35.5
Columbia County	108 276	7.0	26.6	8.6	29.6	20.3	14.9	3.0	35.0	88.5	87.1	11.9	1.0	1 063	85.6
Coshoc County	35 427	7.2	27.3	8.2	29.4	20.6	14.4	3.3	34.7	89.0	86.3	12.2	1.4	505	98.6
Crawford County	47 870	7.2	26.7	9.0	29.3	20.6	14.5	3.4	34.5	88.6	86.0	12.9	1.1	540	100.0
Cuyahoga County	1 412 140	7.1	23.9	9.4	31.3	19.8	15.7	3.3	34.9	84.2	81.2	17.1	1.7	24 081	57.5
Darke County	53 619	7.2	27.6	9.0	29.1	19.6	14.7	3.7	33.9	89.8	87.6	10.6	1.8	951	99.3
Defiance County	39 350	7.3	28.7	10.0	30.5	19.2	11.7	2.6	32.7	93.9	86.9	11.3	1.9	743	54.6
Delaware County	66 929	7.4	27.5	10.0	33.4	20.0	9.2	2.2	33.4	94.2	86.3	9.7	4.1	2 719	38.5
Eric County	76 779	7.0	26.2	8.6	30.5	21.1	13.6	2.7	34.9	91.0	85.2	13.2	1.6	1 223	94.7
Fairfield County	103 461	6.9	27.1	9.9	31.6	20.1	11.3	2.6	33.6	95.4	87.4	10.2	2.4	2 497	98.2
Fayette County	27 466	6.9	26.4	9.1	29.5	20.2	14.8	3.5	34.8	90.2	85.8	12.3	1.9	523	96.7
Franklin County	961 437	7.6	24.6	13.4	35.4	16.9	9.6	2.1	30.8	89.6	77.2	20.2	2.4	25 317	38.5
Fulton County	38 498	7.7	29.4	8.7	31.1	18.1	12.4	3.2	32.5	92.2	89.1	9.9	1.0	382	100.0
Gallia County	30 954	6.8	26.7	10.1	29.9	20.5	12.8	3.0	33.5	91.1	85.3	11.3	3.4	1 040	50.7
Geauga County	81 129	7.9	28.7	8.2	30.9	21.6	10.6	2.1	34.5	94.6	91.1	7.8	1.0	844	71.0
Greene County	136 731	6.7	25.7	13.2	31.3	20.1	9.7	2.0	32.4	92.8	83.6	11.7	4.7	6 394	26.6
Guernsey County	39 024	7.0	26.8	8.6	29.5	20.1	14.9	3.4	34.7	87.7	84.9	13.1	2.1	809	98.4
Hamilton County	866 228	7.8	26.0	10.5	31.7	18.5	13.3	3.3	32.7	85.1	80.4	17.2	2.3	20 349	60.4
Hancock County	65 536	7.4	26.9	9.9	31.5	18.8	13.0	3.0	33.0	90.7	85.4	12.8	1.9	1 217	56.7
Hardin County	31 111	6.7	25.7	14.7	27.7	18.4	13.6	3.4	31.6	92.4	80.8	13.3	6.0	1 855	18.1
Harrison County	16 085	5.8	25.3	8.1	28.3	21.0	17.3	4.0	36.8	90.1	86.6	11.7	1.7	270	87.0
Henry County	29 108	7.7	29.0	8.7	29.6	19.0	13.6	3.4	33.0	92.7	87.4	10.7	1.9	556	99.8
Highland County	35 728	7.3	27.4	9.0	28.5	20.1	15.0	3.4	34.3	90.6	87.4	11.4	1.2	442	79.6
Hocking County	25 533	7.0	26.6	9.3	29.6	21.2	13.3	3.1	34.3	96.1	86.0	11.5	2.5	676	96.3
Homes County	32 849	10.8	35.8	10.0	27.1	16.2	10.7	2.8	27.8	94.2	90.8	6.3	2.9	966	99.0
Huron County	56 240	8.1	29.3	9.5	30.7	18.6	11.9	2.7	32.1	90.5	87.9	11.2	.9	505	91.7
Jackson County	30 230	7.0	27.5	9.2	29.6	19.7	14.0	3.3	33.9	86.1	87.5	11.3	1.2	358	85.2
Jefferson County	80 298	5.7	23.6	8.9	28.0	22.3	17.2	3.3	37.6	85.8	85.0	12.9	2.0	1 645	48.6
Jesse County	47 473	6.4	24.9	12.0	28.6	19.7	14.7	3.3	34.2	88.6	81.6	11.9	6.6	3 126	31.9
Johnson County	215 499	6.9	24.9	9.1	33.2	20.8	12.0	2.3	34.2	91.2	86.6	12.4	1.0	2 190	85.1
Jones County	61 834	6.7	27.0	9.6	28.8	21.3	13.3	2.7	34.2	85.9	88.4	10.4	1.1	683	94.3
Licking County	128 300	7.2	26.3	10.2											

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990—Con.

[For definitions of terms and meanings of symbols, see text]

State Urban and Rural and Size of Place Inside and Outside Metropolitan Area County Place and [In Selected States] County Subdivision [1,000 or More Persons]	Percent of all persons								Median age	Persons 18 years and over— Males per 100 females	Percent of all persons			Persons in group quarters Total	Persons in group quarters non- total
	All persons	Percent of all persons									In households		Persons in group quarters		
		Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over	80 years and over			In fam- ilies	Non- family house- holders and non- relatives of house- holder			
COUNTY—Con.															
Marion County	64 274	7.5	26.7	9.1	32.1	19.4	12.7	2.8	33.6	96.9	83.4	12.5	4.1	2 641	961
Madison County	122 354	7.3	28.5	8.7	33.3	19.8	9.7	2.1	33.3	93.6	90.4	8.6	1.0	1 281	821
Meigs County	22 987	6.6	26.9	8.9	28.7	20.5	15.0	3.4	35.1	89.9	87.0	11.9	1.1	515	1001
Mercer County	39 443	8.7	31.0	8.7	29.0	17.8	13.5	3.1	31.9	95.1	89.7	9.0	1.3	515	831
Miami County	93 182	7.0	26.8	9.0	30.7	20.8	12.6	2.8	34.2	91.2	88.1	11.0	.9	836	961
Monroe County	15 497	6.2	25.7	8.5	28.1	22.0	15.6	4.0	36.5	94.0	88.9	10.0	1.1	167	1001
Montgomery County	573 809	7.4	24.9	10.6	32.3	19.7	12.5	2.6	34.1	91.8	81.7	16.4	1.9	11 089	671
Morgan County	14 194	7.2	28.7	8.2	28.1	20.0	15.0	3.7	34.1	91.8	87.0	11.2	1.8	251	791
Morrow County	27 749	7.3	29.1	9.1	30.5	20.3	11.0	2.4	33.0	95.3	89.8	9.3	.9	250	961
Muskingum County	82 068	7.2	26.7	10.3	29.5	19.7	13.8	3.3	33.5	86.3	84.8	12.9	2.3	1 890	514
Noble County	11 336	7.5	29.0	8.0	28.6	19.4	15.0	4.0	33.6	93.0	88.3	10.3	1.4	156	971
Ontario County	40 029	6.2	24.8	8.1	29.9	21.7	15.5	3.0	36.4	92.7	86.7	11.9	1.4	554	931
Paulding County	20 488	7.7	29.8	9.3	30.0	18.9	11.9	2.5	32.1	94.1	89.4	9.9	.7	136	1001
Perry County	31 557	7.5	29.0	9.4	30.0	18.7	12.9	2.9	32.5	92.0	88.4	10.6	1.0	307	751
Pike County	48 255	6.6	24.3	10.7	35.3	19.8	12.9	2.9	32.5	92.0	88.4	10.6	1.0	307	751
Pike County	24 249	7.2	28.7	9.3	29.1	19.3	9.9	2.0	33.0	126.5	78.7	9.2	12.1	5 830	961
Portage County	142 585	6.6	24.5	17.5	30.7	19.3	13.6	3.3	32.9	91.1	87.3	10.9	1.9	455	791
Preble County	40 113	7.1	27.6	8.9	30.9	18.0	9.4	1.8	30.0	92.2	79.2	14.6	6.2	8 777	931
Punom County	33 819	8.7	31.7	9.1	29.8	17.2	12.2	3.1	30.8	96.4	91.1	7.7	1.2	391	1001
Richland County	126 137	6.9	26.1	9.4	30.3	21.1	13.0	2.8	34.3	93.0	84.3	12.8	2.9	3 639	921
Ross County	69 330	6.4	25.0	9.4	33.4	19.9	12.4	2.6	33.8	109.0	80.1	11.7	8.2	5 667	981
Sandusky County	61 963	7.3	28.0	9.1	30.5	19.0	13.4	3.1	33.2	91.5	86.5	11.8	1.6	1 014	921
Scioto County	80 327	6.8	26.8	9.2	29.1	20.1	14.9	3.8	34.3	90.3	83.6	12.1	4.3	3 436	981
Seneca County	59 733	7.2	28.5	10.3	29.5	18.3	13.3	3.3	32.5	91.2	84.8	11.9	3.3	1 970	431
Shelby County	44 915	8.0	29.9	9.2	31.0	18.2	11.6	2.7	31.6	94.7	88.3	10.0	1.7	751	561
Stark County	367 585	6.9	25.2	9.4	30.8	20.3	14.4	3.1	35.0	87.6	84.8	13.1	2.2	7 913	661
Summit County	514 990	7.0	24.4	10.2	32.0	19.6	13.8	3.0	34.3	87.9	82.9	15.6	1.5	7 926	621
Trumbull County	227 813	6.7	25.2	9.2	29.9	21.3	14.4	2.7	35.6	86.1	86.9	12.1	1.0	2 260	941
Tuscarawas County	84 090	7.0	26.3	8.3	30.2	20.2	14.9	3.5	35.0	87.6	86.5	12.2	1.2	1 047	981
Union County	31 969	7.3	26.7	9.2	34.2	18.7	11.1	2.6	32.8	81.4	84.3	10.0	5.7	1 838	991
Van Wert County	30 464	7.2	27.7	8.4	30.0	19.0	15.0	3.6	34.1	90.1	87.8	10.7	1.4	438	891
Vinton County	11 098	7.1	27.7	9.5	29.2	19.8	13.7	2.8	33.5	93.1	88.0	11.0	1.0	112	921
Warren County	113 909	7.7	26.9	8.9	35.6	19.5	9.1	2.0	32.7	100.2	87.5	8.7	3.7	4 251	991
Washington County	62 254	6.5	25.6	9.8	30.2	20.7	13.7	3.3	34.6	89.4	85.1	12.4	2.5	1 546	411
Wayne County	101 461	7.9	28.4	10.4	30.9	18.7	11.6	2.8	32.2	92.2	85.8	11.2	3.0	3 017	451
Williams County	36 956	7.5	28.3	8.6	30.7	18.8	13.7	3.5	33.1	92.1	87.1	11.9	1.0	383	1001
Wood County	113 269	6.4	24.3	19.2	29.7	16.6	10.1	2.4	29.3	88.1	76.3	16.3	7.4	8 367	941
Wyandot County	22 254	7.2	27.5	8.6	29.2	20.0	14.7	4.0	34.1	89.9	86.5	11.5	2.0	441	961
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION															
Aberdeen village	1 329	9.3	27.1	10.1	30.0	20.2	12.6	2.5	32.6	88.2	86.2	13.8	-	-	-
Ada village	5 413	4.8	17.3	41.4	22.8	10.3	8.3	1.7	22.4	104.6	51.5	20.5	28.1	1 520	-
Addyston village	1 198	10.4	33.4	10.8	32.1	15.4	8.4	1.3	28.3	87.8	88.8	11.2	-	-	-
Alton city	223 019	7.6	24.5	12.4	31.3	17.0	14.9	3.4	32.5	84.7	77.2	20.5	2.3	5 024	441
Alliance city	23 376	7.3	24.8	13.8	27.2	17.2	17.0	4.0	32.9	83.8	77.1	16.4	6.5	1 520	221
Amberley village	3 108	5.5	19.1	4.6	22.0	32.0	22.2	3.2	48.8	97.1	92.8	7.2	-	-	-
Amelia village	1 837	10.3	31.0	10.5	36.7	10.1	9.7	1.9	28.5	81.4	82.0	15.0	-	-	-
Amherst city	10 332	5.4	23.9	8.6	29.7	23.0	14.8	3.2	38.0	89.1	88.1	10.0	1.8	191	1001
Andover village	1 216	5.3	23.1	6.7	24.4	18.3	27.4	10.0	41.7	77.1	69.7	14.9	15.5	188	1001
Anna village	1 164	9.8	34.1	9.6	32.9	14.5	8.8	2.0	28.9	94.7	92.9	7.1	-	-	-
Ansonia village	1 279	7.6	27.2	11.7	30.6	17.1	13.4	2.5	32.1	88.1	88.2	11.8	-	-	-
Antwerp village	1 677	8.5	27.7	10.7	28.9	19.5	13.2	2.8	31.6	88.4	86.5	13.5	-	-	-
Arcanum village	1 953	7.8	26.3	10.7	28.5	18.2	16.4	3.6	33.1	80.9	86.5	13.5	-	-	-
Archbold village	3 440	7.7	27.5	7.4	30.2	16.6	16.4	2.8	33.1	80.9	86.5	13.5	-	-	-
Arlington village	1 267	6.4	27.8	7.4	27.4	14.4	23.0	5.8	34.5	82.0	85.8	11.2	3.1	105	1001
Arlington Heights village	1 084	6.8	24.5	8.6	28.5	22.2	16.1	4.6	35.2	74.6	84.1	11.9	3.9	50	1001
Ashland city	20 079	7.1	24.7	14.5	27.7	17.0	16.0	3.7	35.1	86.3	78.0	22.0	-	-	-
Ashley village	1 059	8.9	28.5	9.4	32.4	17.7	12.0	4.7	32.5	82.8	77.0	15.4	7.6	1 523	251
Ashtabula city	21 633	8.4	28.2	9.5	29.3	17.4	15.5	3.7	30.8	92.1	88.3	11.7	-	-	-
Ashville village	2 254	6.4	28.2	11.4	32.7	17.5	10.2	2.0	30.4	92.6	82.3	16.6	1.2	250	801
Athens city	21 265	2.8	9.0	60.6	17.4	7.4	5.6	1.5	21.6	94.0	30.1	32.5	37.3	7 936	201
Aurora city	9 192	6.7	24.7	7.1	30.4	24.5	13.3	3.1	37.4	94.2	90.3	7.8	1.9	173	1001
Austintown CDP	32 371	5.7	22.4	9.7	31.1	22.3	14.4	2.4	36.5	87.5	85.2	13.4	1.5	483	911
Avon city	7 337	6.7	25.7	8.1	31.3	20.6	14.3	4.1	35.3	90.6	87.1	7.4	5.5	400	781
Avon Lake city	15 066	7.4	27.9	6.8	32.8	21.6	10.9	1.6	35.2	89.6	90.5	9.5	-	-	-
Bainbridge CDP	3 602	7.1	27.7	7.0	30.3	26.7	8.4	1.4	37.7	92.7	92.7	7.3	-	-	-
Balville CDP	3 083	5.8	24.9	7.0	29.3	23.5	15.3	2.1	38.3	93.6	91.2	8.8	-	-	-
Baltimore village	2 971	7.3	27.4	9.4	31.5	16.8	14.9	4.1	32.5	88.9	84.6	14.0	1.5	44	1001
Barberton city	27 623	7.2	25.2	9.5	29.9	18.4	16.9	3.7	34.2	82.7	83.2	15.9	.9	247	951
Barnesville village	4 326	6.9	24.8	8.7	26.2	19.1	21.1	6.1	37.1	75.6	81.3	15.6	3.1	132	751
Batavia village	1 700	6.5	26.0	8.2	33.0	19.4	13.4	2.9	33.8	90.9	85.2	13.0	1.6	30	1001
Bay Village city	17 000	6.9	26.0	6.2	31.4	23.2	13.2	2.7	37.8	90.5	90.9	8.4	.7	113	911
Beach City village	1 051	6.6	25.6	8.5	30.2	21.5	14.3	3.3	34.8	85.7	89.3	10.7	-	-	-
Beachwood city	10 677	4.6	18.6	4.6	20.3	24.7	31.7	10.4	50.9	77.4	82.0	12.6	5.3	571	1001
Beavercreek city	33 626	5.8	26.3	7.9	32.0	24.									

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990—Con.

(for definitions of terms and meanings of symbols, see text)

State Urban Percent instituz nonolized	State Urban Percent instituz nonolized	State Urban Percent instituz nonolized	Percent of all persons						Median age	Persons 18 years and over— Males per 100 females	Percent of all persons		Persons in group quarters					
			All persons	Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years			65 years and over	In households		In group quarters	Total	Percent instituz nonolized		
												In fami- lies	Non- family house- holders and non- relatives of house- holder					
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION— Con.																		
98.4	82.3	100.0	Besley city	13 088	6.7	26.0	12.8	30.4	17.7	13.1	3.6	34.5	84.1	80.9	13.1	6.0	787	-
83.5	96.2	100.0	Blackick Estates CDP	10 080	7.7	30.7	11.2	34.6	19.8	3.8	.5	29.4	96.5	91.5	8.5	-	-	-
96.2	100.0	100.0	Blanchester village	4 206	7.4	28.7	9.8	29.5	16.8	15.1	4.8	32.1	81.3	86.6	10.5	2.9	122	100.0
67.2	79.7	96.4	Blue Ash city	11 860	6.3	24.7	7.9	33.9	21.6	11.8	2.6	35.8	90.8	86.1	12.8	1.2	139	88.5
97.4	100.0	100.0	Buffton village	3 367	6.4	21.9	19.6	23.8	13.7	21.0	8.0	32.1	79.1	70.6	13.0	16.4	552	23.9
51.4	97.4	100.0	Boardman CDP	38 596	5.5	21.7	7.8	30.5	20.8	19.3	4.0	38.6	84.2	85.1	13.5	1.4	541	91.1
93.7	100.0	100.0	Bolton CDP	2 827	5.9	24.7	10.5	30.6	22.0	12.2	2.8	34.7	86.5	89.7	10.3	-	-	-
97.4	100.0	100.0	Borwick village	1 340	9.3	34.7	7.8	30.3	16.8	10.4	2.5	29.0	96.6	91.6	8.4	-	-	-
93.7	100.0	100.0	Bowling Green city	28 176	3.7	13.1	50.5	20.5	9.3	6.7	2.0	22.0	79.3	41.5	30.6	27.9	7 851	3.6
75.2	98.3	100.0	Bradford village	2 005	8.4	29.5	12.3	29.1	16.5	12.5	1.9	30.1	89.4	90.2	9.1	.7	14	100.0
98.3	96.4	100.0	Bradner village	1 093	8.1	28.5	8.9	31.4	19.9	11.3	2.1	32.5	84.9	88.2	11.8	-	-	-
9.7	83.6	100.0	Brennsville city	11 818	5.8	22.2	6.3	31.5	25.5	14.5	2.4	39.7	103.7	86.4	9.3	4.3	505	97.0
92.7	96.2	100.0	Brewer village	1 386	8.2	30.3	7.9	31.9	16.9	13.1	2.6	31.7	87.2	87.6	12.4	-	-	-
92.7	96.2	100.0	Brewster village	3 568	4.9	21.6	7.9	29.7	24.5	16.3	3.1	39.0	90.9	87.7	9.7	2.6	93	100.0
92.7	96.2	100.0	Bridgport village	2 307	7.5	25.4	10.1	29.8	18.2	16.5	4.9	33.9	84.9	86.3	11.0	2.8	64	100.0
92.7	96.2	100.0	Bridgetown North CDP	2 318	5.3	21.6	8.6	28.3	20.4	21.2	4.1	39.6	86.2	81.8	18.2	-	-	-
92.7	96.2	100.0	Brimfield CDP	11 748	6.7	23.5	9.1	27.9	21.4	18.2	3.1	36.5	84.4	89.1	10.7	.1	16	-
92.7	96.2	100.0	Brimfield CDP	1 672	6.3	26.1	9.1	27.8	23.3	13.8	2.3	35.4	89.0	86.4	13.6	-	-	-
92.7	96.2	100.0	Brimfield CDP	3 223	6.8	30.3	8.7	33.9	19.1	8.0	.9	32.1	92.1	92.8	7.2	-	-	-
66.9	62.3	94.7	Broadview Heights city	12 219	6.4	21.5	9.0	34.7	21.9	13.0	1.8	35.6	98.0	84.8	13.3	1.9	231	.4
94.7	96.2	99.5	Brook Park city	11 706	4.4	17.6	8.9	28.3	22.8	22.4	3.3	40.9	84.9	81.8	18.1	.1	11	100.0
89.5	94.7	96.2	Brookville village	1 450	3.9	20.1	8.6	25.6	24.6	21.1	2.7	41.8	92.7	90.5	9.5	-	-	-
89.5	94.7	96.2	Brookville village	22 865	5.8	23.7	10.2	30.5	25.8	9.8	1.7	34.8	92.9	91.2	8.1	.7	159	100.0
89.5	94.7	96.2	Brownsville city	4 621	6.8	24.5	9.2	30.3	18.9	17.2	4.5	35.1	84.7	85.2	12.7	2.1	99	100.0
89.5	94.7	96.2	Brownsville city	28 230	7.2	30.0	10.3	35.1	18.4	6.2	1.2	31.1	95.2	92.2	6.6	1.1	321	65.7
89.5	94.7	96.2	Bryon city	8 348	6.9	26.1	9.3	31.1	16.9	16.6	5.0	33.7	82.7	80.7	17.3	2.0	170	100.0
89.5	94.7	96.2	Buckeye Lake village	2 986	10.4	29.4	9.2	30.9	20.0	10.5	1.9	31.1	87.0	83.6	16.4	-	-	-
89.5	94.7	96.2	Bucyrus city	13 496	7.5	26.4	9.4	30.1	18.9	15.2	3.8	33.6	83.3	82.6	16.2	1.2	160	100.0
100.0	96.4	100.0	Burlington CDP	3 003	6.2	23.0	8.4	25.9	21.1	21.6	7.2	39.1	79.4	80.2	12.1	7.7	230	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Burton village	1 349	7.9	27.7	9.6	33.4	16.3	13.0	2.5	31.5	86.1	83.3	16.7	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Buxton village	2 435	7.1	28.0	10.4	27.9	20.1	13.6	3.3	32.9	87.6	86.8	13.2	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cadiz village	3 439	5.5	23.2	9.2	26.2	19.8	21.5	5.4	38.6	77.4	81.8	14.1	4.0	139	89.9
96.4	100.0	100.0	Calmar CDP	1 212	6.6	20.9	9.9	24.6	23.4	21.2	3.7	40.5	77.3	82.8	17.2	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Calmar village	1 786	6.4	24.7	7.4	25.6	20.0	22.2	6.4	38.9	77.7	81.5	17.7	.8	14	71.4
96.4	100.0	100.0	Camden city	11 748	7.7	26.2	9.2	28.3	18.2	18.1	4.5	34.8	74.9	79.3	19.8	.9	110	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Camden village	2 210	8.5	31.4	9.3	31.4	16.7	11.1	2.4	30.8	85.3	88.1	11.9	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Campbell city	10 038	6.5	23.3	8.9	25.1	22.8	19.9	3.1	38.9	84.0	87.7	12.3	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Canal Fulton village	4 157	8.0	29.2	9.9	35.9	16.8	8.3	1.9	31.2	88.7	87.6	11.2	1.2	51	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Canal Winchester village	2 617	5.7	22.3	7.4	28.0	22.2	20.1	6.5	39.1	80.2	80.8	11.7	7.5	195	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cantfield city	5 409	5.9	23.7	7.6	27.7	24.6	16.4	2.6	39.4	87.8	89.7	10.3	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Canton city	84 161	7.9	26.1	10.2	30.1	17.2	16.4	4.1	33.3	80.0	78.8	18.2	2.9	2 468	64.4
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cantonville village	1 770	8.0	27.8	11.2	28.9	18.2	14.0	2.9	32.2	90.5	85.3	14.7	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cary village	3 684	8.6	29.6	10.2	30.0	17.8	12.4	2.8	31.2	90.7	85.7	13.8	.4	15	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Carleton village	4 872	7.3	29.7	10.7	33.9	19.6	6.0	1.1	31.1	98.7	92.0	6.8	1.3	62	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Carrollton village	3 042	5.9	21.0	9.1	27.0	19.3	23.6	7.1	40.0	74.1	76.3	19.6	4.0	123	91.9
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cedarville village	3 210	4.2	14.0	55.2	16.0	8.8	6.0	1.6	21.1	79.2	43.2	11.4	45.4	1 458	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Celina city	9 650	7.5	28.7	7.9	30.7	16.7	16.1	4.1	33.8	85.1	84.6	14.2	1.2	114	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Centerburg village	1 323	7.9	25.6	9.6	28.6	17.6	18.5	5.8	33.4	77.6	78.6	13.2	8.2	109	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Centerville city	21 082	5.7	22.6	8.3	31.3	23.3	14.6	4.3	37.7	85.9	83.4	13.6	3.0	638	59.2
96.4	100.0	100.0	Chagrin Falls village	4 146	5.5	18.8	5.7	29.7	23.9	21.9	8.7	42.4	72.9	75.9	22.4	1.7	71	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Champion Heights CDP	4 665	5.7	24.0	6.4	29.6	24.8	13.2	1.9	36.7	89.6	91.4	8.6	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Charon village	4 446	7.5	26.0	9.2	32.8	17.9	14.1	4.3	33.9	80.8	81.6	16.5	1.9	86	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cherry Grove CDP	4 872	6.0	32.0	8.4	31.6	22.2	5.8	.6	32.6	95.4	96.9	3.1	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Chesapeake village	1 073	5.9	22.4	8.2	28.7	21.4	19.3	4.1	38.8	69.0	83.1	16.9	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Chesterland CDP	2 078	6.6	21.3	9.1	31.5	25.4	12.7	2.3	37.7	96.5	89.4	8.8	1.8	38	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cheviot city	9 616	8.1	22.8	8.9	31.6	15.7	21.0	5.8	33.9	77.7	78.8	20.1	1.1	103	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Chillicothe city	21 723	6.3	23.4	8.8	28.3	20.9	18.6	4.5	37.1	78.6	80.3	17.4	2.3	511	90.2
96.4	100.0	100.0	Chicktau Lake CDP	1 234	7.0	26.1	5.4	37.0	24.3	7.2	1.0	36.5	100.0	91.8	8.2	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Churchill CDP	2 691	4.5	21.9	10.7	30.9	24.0	12.5	1.8	37.2	90.2	87.2	12.8	-	-	-
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cincinnati city	364 040	8.4	25.1	13.0	32.4	15.6	13.9	3.8	30.8	81.7	70.7	25.3	4.1	14 778	52.1
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cincinnati city	11 666	7.5	24.7	10.2	29.3	21.6	14.3	3.1	34.6	85.3	84.4	14.1	1.5	174	100.0
96.4	100.0	100.0	Cincinnati city	505 616	8.7	26.9	10.6	30.8	17.7	14.0	3.0	31.8	83.4	78.0	20.0	2.0	9 864	52.0

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990—Con.

(For definitions of terms and meanings of symbols, see text)

Place and Rural and Size of Place Inside and Outside Metropolitan Area County Place and [In Selected States] County Subdivision [1,000 or More Persons]	All persons	Percent of all persons							Median age	Persons 18 years and over—Males per 100 females	Percent of all persons			Persons in group quarters	
		Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over	80 years and over			In households		In group quarters	Total	Percent institutionalized
											In families	Non-family householders and non-relatives of householder			
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION—															
Con.															
Craig Beach village	1 402	6.6	27.4	9.7	33.2	17.5	12.2	4.1	33.1	92.4	83.8	11.6	4.6	64	100.0
Crestline city	4 934	7.6	26.7	8.9	29.2	20.5	14.8	3.6	34.0	83.9	85.3	14.3	.4	19	100.0
Creston village	1 848	6.9	26.5	9.4	31.1	20.7	12.2	2.9	33.0	91.5	89.2	10.8	—	—	—
Cridersville village	1 885	8.1	29.2	8.5	31.6	16.7	14.0	4.5	33.1	78.8	86.4	11.0	2.5	48	100.0
Cropokville village	2 601	7.8	27.7	10.0	28.6	18.8	14.9	2.7	32.5	82.1	86.0	14.0	—	—	—
Crystal Lakes CDP	1 613	9.2	28.8	8.9	31.7	20.2	10.5	1.7	31.3	97.1	87.5	12.5	—	—	—
Cuyahoga Falls city	48 950	6.8	22.7	8.9	34.2	18.9	15.3	3.2	34.5	85.1	82.3	16.9	.7	345	92.8
Daiton village	1 377	7.6	28.2	8.8	35.3	17.6	10.2	2.0	32.1	93.2	89.9	10.1	—	—	—
Donville village	1 001	6.1	28.0	7.8	29.2	19.1	16.0	2.5	34.5	83.5	84.6	15.4	—	—	—
Day Heights CDP	2 812	5.7	27.6	7.8	31.3	25.1	8.2	1.5	36.0	90.4	95.0	5.0	—	—	—
Dayton city	182 044	8.5	25.8	13.3	30.9	16.8	13.1	2.7	30.9	84.0	74.2	22.1	3.7	6 668	52.3
Deer Park city	6 181	7.0	20.4	7.4	29.6	16.5	26.2	8.6	38.6	74.3	77.5	18.7	3.8	233	92.3
Defiance city	16 768	7.4	27.7	11.4	30.6	17.4	12.7	3.0	32.1	89.5	82.5	13.7	3.7	623	46.4
De Graff village	1 331	6.5	31.1	9.5	29.7	16.5	13.1	2.9	31.9	88.7	90.3	9.7	—	—	—
Delaware city	20 030	7.0	24.5	17.5	30.8	16.2	11.0	3.3	30.1	88.1	74.6	15.1	10.3	2 067	21.0
Delphos city	7 093	8.0	27.4	9.3	29.2	17.3	16.8	4.8	33.0	83.3	85.5	12.3	2.3	161	91.9
Delta village	2 849	8.1	28.9	10.6	30.4	17.7	12.4	3.0	32.0	86.8	87.9	12.1	—	—	—
Dennison village	3 282	8.1	29.8	10.1	27.8	17.8	14.4	2.6	32.3	84.2	87.2	12.4	.4	13	100.0
Dent CDP	6 416	7.2	25.5	9.5	34.4	21.0	9.6	1.0	33.3	93.3	85.3	14.7	—	—	—
Deshler village	1 876	7.2	26.5	10.1	26.9	17.6	18.9	4.5	34.2	88.8	85.6	11.2	3.2	60	100.0
Devola CDP	2 736	5.2	23.9	4.9	26.5	25.1	19.6	4.3	41.5	86.3	88.5	7.8	3.7	102	93.1
Dikowale CDP	4 209	6.7	22.3	7.2	28.2	23.4	19.0	2.8	38.4	86.2	89.9	10.1	—	—	—
Dover city	11 329	6.1	23.5	7.1	29.0	19.9	20.4	6.7	38.2	79.8	82.4	13.4	4.2	475	100.0
Daytestown village	2 668	6.0	24.2	10.0	29.1	20.8	16.0	4.2	36.0	84.1	83.8	12.6	3.6	96	100.0
Dresden village	1 581	6.6	25.7	11.3	26.6	22.2	14.2	3.1	33.3	82.2	85.8	14.2	—	—	—
Drexel CDP	5 143	8.8	28.9	10.0	26.4	22.3	12.4	1.8	32.4	87.9	85.7	13.0	1.3	67	100.0
v Run CDP	5 389	8.5	33.9	6.4	35.3	19.6	4.9	.6	33.8	97.1	96.7	3.3	—	—	—
v Run city	16 366	8.8	32.3	4.8	40.3	18.2	4.3	.7	34.4	96.6	92.7	6.9	.4	72	100.0
v Canton village	1 742	5.8	26.4	9.8	31.1	19.5	13.3	2.2	34.4	91.9	87.7	12.3	—	—	—
v Cleveland city	33 096	8.4	28.5	10.6	31.1	19.3	10.5	2.2	31.6	69.5	79.0	20.0	1.0	329	89.1
Eastlake city	21 161	6.5	25.5	10.3	33.4	20.9	9.9	1.6	33.2	92.9	87.8	12.2	—	—	—
East Liverpool city	13 654	7.8	26.7	9.0	27.4	18.4	18.5	4.8	34.7	78.0	81.5	16.0	2.5	345	96.1
East Palestine city	5 168	7.0	26.3	8.6	28.5	19.3	17.2	3.4	35.1	84.4	86.4	13.6	—	—	—
Eaton city	7 396	7.1	25.6	8.7	29.5	18.4	17.8	4.5	35.0	83.9	82.2	14.7	3.1	232	80.4
Eaton Estates CDP	1 586	9.6	33.9	9.1	33.4	18.1	5.5	.6	28.6	102.7	93.5	6.5	—	—	—
Edgerton village	1 896	7.8	26.9	8.7	28.2	17.5	18.7	6.1	34.4	85.3	83.6	11.9	4.4	84	100.0
Edgewood CDP	5 189	5.5	23.1	8.8	30.3	22.7	15.0	2.9	36.7	92.0	85.4	13.8	.9	45	53.3
Elida village	1 486	7.1	29.1	9.0	32.2	18.4	11.3	1.6	32.6	89.7	91.9	8.1	—	—	—
Elmore village	1 334	7.6	25.6	7.2	30.7	17.1	19.5	4.3	35.3	80.5	86.0	14.0	—	—	—
Elmwood Place village	2 937	7.2	30.5	9.5	30.8	17.5	11.6	2.4	30.9	86.5	82.3	17.7	—	—	—
Elyria city	56 746	8.3	27.6	10.2	32.9	17.4	11.8	2.5	31.6	87.2	84.6	14.1	1.4	768	87.1
Englewood city	11 432	6.3	24.6	8.9	31.7	20.6	14.2	3.5	35.9	87.3	85.9	13.1	1.0	119	100.0
Enon village	2 605	4.9	23.6	9.1	30.5	26.2	10.6	1.6	38.4	97.6	90.8	9.2	—	—	—
Euclid city	54 875	6.1	19.5	8.0	31.4	18.9	22.2	5.1	37.8	79.8	76.8	21.8	1.4	761	80.2
Evandale village	3 175	8.0	30.9	6.8	29.3	24.9	8.1	.8	35.8	101.5	93.7	3.8	2.5	80	100.0
Fairborn city	31 300	7.1	23.0	17.0	31.8	16.7	9.4	1.9	29.8	94.2	78.0	20.3	1.7	519	47.2
Fairfax village	2 029	6.2	24.5	7.7	28.3	21.6	17.8	3.9	36.7	81.9	86.7	13.3	—	—	—
Fairfield city	39 729	7.3	25.5	10.4	32.2	18.4	8.5	1.7	31.7	91.3	84.3	14.0	1.6	653	98.5
Fairfield Beach CDP	1 064	6.5	24.9	7.7	30.1	23.4	13.8	3.0	36.1	96.6	84.6	15.4	—	—	—
Fairlawn city	5 779	4.7	17.5	6.5	27.0	23.8	25.1	6.8	44.2	79.1	82.2	13.7	4.1	235	100.0
Fairport Harbor village	2 978	7.6	24.5	9.9	32.0	16.6	17.1	3.6	33.5	86.6	81.2	18.7	.1	4	100.0
Fairview Lanes CDP	1 120	9.1	28.9	7.8	30.1	23.7	11.6	1.5	36.2	87.8	94.9	5.1	—	—	—
Fairview Park city	18 028	5.6	20.1	7.6	29.0	22.8	20.5	4.1	40.0	81.7	83.0	17.0	—	3	100.0
Fayette village	1 248	7.8	30.2	7.9	30.8	16.4	14.7	3.8	31.3	84.5	82.9	17.1	—	—	—
Findlay city	35 703	7.6	25.2	11.1	31.7	18.4	13.6	3.3	32.7	87.6	81.1	16.1	2.8	1 011	48.9
Finneytown CDP	13 096	6.4	24.9	7.9	27.8	23.6	15.9	3.1	37.7	85.7	89.6	9.1	1.3	176	87.3
Five Points CDP	1 554	9.3	30.9	5.6	37.3	22.3	3.9	.3	34.8	100.0	97.5	2.5	—	—	—
Flushing village	1 042	6.8	27.6	8.2	28.8	17.6	17.9	3.1	35.8	80.8	86.9	13.1	—	—	—
Forest village	1 594	7.1	30.1	10.0	29.6	19.5	10.8	2.1	31.4	90.8	87.3	12.7	—	—	—
Forest Park city	18 609	7.4	28.7	11.2	34.9	19.2	6.1	.8	30.6	90.5	89.0	11.0	—	—	—
Forestville CDP	9 185	7.8	28.0	6.1	34.3	18.8	12.8	3.1	35.4	85.6	89.3	10.7	—	—	—
Fort Laramie village	1 042	9.4	28.6	11.5	29.5	17.9	12.6	2.8	29.9	97.9	91.2	8.8	—	—	—
Fort McKinley CDP	9 740	7.1	25.7	6.2	30.0	20.8	15.4	4.6	34.9	77.0	83.0	11.6	5.4	524	100.0
Fort Recovery village	1 313	8.2	28.8	9.9	29.1	17.8	14.4	3.9	32.7	85.1	88.5	11.5	—	—	—
Fort Shawnee village	4 128	8.2	27.3	7.9	31.9	22.1	10.8	1.6	34.0	94.5	89.5	10.5	—	—	—
Fostoria city	14 983	8.1	29.5	9.4	28.9	17.4	14.8	4.0	32.3	82.6	83.2	15.2	1.6	243	95.1
Frankfort village	1 065	4.8	26.2	7.0	30.1	19.5	17.1	4.6	35.8	83.2	84.4	15.6	—	—	—
Franklin city	11 026	8.0	27.4	10.9	31.0	19.9	10.7	2.1	31.4	86.1	87.8	11.2	2.0	105	100.0
Franklin Furnace CDP	1 212	6.7	28.2	8.1	29.5	22.2	12.0	2.3	35.0	87.5	90.1	7.6	2.3	28	100.0
Frazersburg village	1 165	7.6	30.3	9.0	29.8	16.7	14.2	3.0	31.3	83.7	87.6	12.4	—	—	—
Fredericktown village	2 443	5.9	26.9	9.7	31.4	18.7	13.3	2.9	34.0	84.2	86.6	12.9	.6	14	100.0
Freemont city	17 648	8.0	29.0	9.8	30.9	15.9	14.4	3.9	31.4	83.2	81.0	16.4	2.5	447	87.9
Fruit Hill CDP	4 101	6.7	26.1	7.9	30.9	19.8	15.3	4.0	36.4	88.3	88.2	8.4	3.4	139	100.0
Gahanna city	27 791	8.6	29.7	9.2	35.8	17.8	7.5								

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990—Con.

(For definitions of terms and meanings of symbols, see text)

State	Urban and Rural and Size of Place Inside and Outside Metropolitan Area County Place and [In Selected States] County Subdivision [1,000 or More Persons]	Percent of all persons							Median age	Persons 18 years and over—Males per 100 females	Percent of all persons			Persons in group quarters		
		All persons	Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over			80 years and over	In households		In group quarters	Total	Percent institutionalized
												In families	Non-family householders and non-relatives of householder			
100.0	Green city	6 597	7.7	26.9	9.1	29.2	19.0	15.9	3.7	34.2	85.6	79.5	14.4	6.2	406	79.1
100.0	Green-on-the-Lake village	1 626	9.1	28.3	12.3	30.1	19.2	10.1	1.9	31.0	97.3	81.2	18.6	.1	2	—
100.0	Green village	2 262	8.0	27.9	8.8	29.8	16.4	17.2	5.8	33.1	80.3	84.7	11.1	4.3	97	100.0
100.0	Greenwood village	3 627	7.0	25.6	9.7	27.5	17.1	20.1	6.9	35.1	77.5	80.2	14.0	5.8	211	100.0
92.8	Greenwood city	4 916	7.3	26.6	9.8	33.8	18.7	11.0	2.2	32.7	90.2	90.0	10.0	—	—	—
92.8	Greenwood village	2 579	8.0	27.2	9.8	27.5	18.0	17.5	5.3	33.2	82.7	84.6	11.9	3.5	91	100.0
92.8	Greenwood city	11 304	6.2	22.0	8.9	30.3	20.3	18.5	3.0	36.8	83.4	84.7	15.3	—	—	—
92.8	Greenwood village	2 445	5.4	21.7	7.6	27.3	24.4	18.9	3.9	40.9	81.6	84.6	13.2	2.2	53	35.8
92.8	Greenwood CDP	2 307	6.1	24.8	7.7	28.3	24.5	14.8	2.0	36.8	87.8	88.3	11.3	.5	11	100.0
92.8	Greenwood village	2 001	9.0	31.7	9.7	27.6	15.9	15.0	3.8	31.1	81.5	84.4	15.6	—	—	—
52.3	Greenwood village	1 226	5.7	26.5	6.3	26.8	22.4	18.0	5.1	37.5	85.8	89.7	10.3	—	—	—
46.4	Greenwood village	4 154	7.6	25.1	7.7	31.8	16.7	18.7	4.1	34.9	74.1	80.7	19.3	—	—	—
21.0	Greenwood village	3 344	5.0	20.7	12.1	44.7	15.8	6.7	1.1	31.8	214.5	65.0	5.5	29.5	986	100.0
91.9	Greenwood CDP	1 301	10.6	32.1	9.4	30.4	19.3	8.8	—	30.2	94.7	93.2	6.8	—	—	—
91.9	Greenwood Heights city	7 010	7.1	25.3	7.7	39.0	16.6	11.5	2.6	33.5	83.0	81.7	18.3	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood village	4 353	3.2	12.9	48.4	16.4	13.6	8.6	2.2	21.6	89.1	45.7	11.2	43.1	1 876	—
100.0	Greenwood South CDP	1 124	7.7	28.7	5.4	30.1	27.2	8.5	1.2	37.9	88.9	93.4	6.6	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood village	3 553	8.0	28.4	8.1	34.6	20.2	8.7	1.5	33.9	96.0	93.1	6.9	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood city	5 172	7.8	27.5	9.2	28.6	18.3	16.5	4.2	33.6	83.9	81.6	16.0	2.4	122	68.0
100.0	Greenwood village	4 393	7.7	26.7	7.9	32.5	19.3	13.7	2.7	33.3	87.3	87.0	11.5	1.5	67	100.0
73.1	Green Meadows CDP	2 526	6.4	27.0	9.7	33.5	23.4	6.5	.8	33.1	97.6	90.3	9.7	—	—	—
100.0	Greenburg CDP	3 306	6.6	24.9	9.3	33.2	22.3	10.3	1.5	34.5	85.6	85.0	15.0	—	—	—
100.0	Green Springs village	1 446	7.5	28.4	8.6	28.8	18.1	16.1	4.4	33.3	82.1	79.1	11.5	9.4	136	92.6
100.0	Greenwood CDP	1 856	8.5	30.7	7.4	35.7	18.2	8.0	1.5	32.4	99.7	93.4	6.6	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood city	12 863	6.5	22.9	8.1	27.1	19.2	22.6	7.8	38.4	74.7	77.3	17.3	5.4	694	100.0
100.0	Greenwood village	1 442	8.2	27.6	12.4	28.4	20.2	11.4	1.9	31.3	89.5	88.6	11.4	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood CDP	6 684	7.8	26.1	9.2	30.9	20.7	13.1	2.4	33.5	91.6	89.4	10.6	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood city	19 661	7.2	26.4	9.9	33.1	20.3	10.4	2.4	33.1	86.4	86.5	12.0	-1.5	295	90.5
100.0	Greenwood village	2 948	8.2	27.6	10.9	35.2	15.9	10.3	2.0	31.0	89.9	87.1	12.9	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood city	61 368	8.2	27.3	9.8	30.8	17.9	14.2	3.4	32.3	82.6	83.8	14.9	1.3	811	86.3
96.8	Green Hills CDP	1 372	4.4	18.7	6.9	23.5	33.6	17.3	2.6	45.5	96.5	87.5	12.5	—	—	—
80.6	Greenwood city	7 518	9.3	33.6	9.1	35.8	13.3	8.2	1.6	29.2	89.2	90.7	9.3	—	—	—
80.6	Greenwood village	2 031	7.8	26.0	13.7	31.6	17.3	11.4	2.4	30.6	88.0	84.7	13.7	1.6	32	100.0
80.6	Greenwood city	7 231	5.8	23.3	9.2	29.6	23.5	14.5	3.2	36.7	87.7	85.5	11.4	3.0	219	94.5
53.3	Greenwood village	2 076	8.2	26.8	10.3	33.9	17.2	11.7	2.1	31.4	81.0	86.0	14.0	—	—	—
53.3	Greenwood village	3 664	7.9	26.8	10.1	29.7	17.7	15.7	4.9	33.8	89.3	82.9	15.2	1.9	70	100.0
53.3	Greenwood Heights city	6 249	5.4	22.4	7.4	27.3	24.7	18.2	2.2	40.5	92.4	92.8	6.6	.5	34	—
53.3	Greenwood city	11 796	8.8	28.2	8.2	38.9	16.9	7.9	2.0	31.1	89.5	89.1	9.8	1.2	138	100.0
53.3	Greenwood city	6 235	7.4	25.0	9.4	26.3	17.9	21.4	7.2	36.6	75.9	78.1	17.6	4.3	271	81.2
53.3	Greenwood village	1 330	3.2	10.8	62.8	14.7	7.5	4.1	1.7	20.7	83.0	33.5	7.0	59.5	791	—
87.8	Greenwood village	1 290	6.5	30.3	8.0	28.0	16.2	17.5	5.6	33.1	79.4	85.2	11.1	3.7	48	100.0
87.8	Gold Valley CDP	1 243	7.0	26.3	9.7	33.8	24.9	5.3	.8	33.6	94.5	93.6	6.4	—	—	—
80.2	Greenwood village	1 210	5.1	21.0	6.4	25.6	17.3	29.8	13.9	41.5	72.3	72.1	20.2	7.6	92	100.0
100.0	Greenwood Center CDP	6 732	6.4	26.9	7.4	31.9	24.7	9.1	1.3	36.6	92.6	92.2	6.4	1.5	99	88.9
47.2	Greenwood city	8 248	6.3	22.6	9.4	28.6	22.3	17.0	2.9	38.2	86.4	87.8	12.2	—	—	—
98.5	Greenwood city	38 696	8.2	28.8	9.7	36.4	19.2	5.9	.9	30.9	94.1	90.0	9.5	.5	200	100.0
98.5	Greenwood CDP	5 255	8.7	31.9	8.8	38.0	16.3	5.1	.7	30.2	90.7	91.2	8.8	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood village	5 159	6.0	24.1	5.9	28.3	27.0	14.8	3.9	40.5	84.4	87.9	10.0	2.1	108	100.0
100.0	Greenwood city	7 030	6.2	24.7	8.3	32.1	22.5	12.3	3.2	35.5	93.2	85.8	14.2	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood city	6 500	5.0	21.2	7.3	26.0	23.3	22.2	2.4	41.6	91.6	90.8	8.0	1.2	75	64.0
100.0	Greenwood city	12 751	6.2	23.6	9.0	26.2	21.0	20.2	4.6	38.0	74.6	81.5	16.0	2.5	319	94.0
100.0	Greenwood city	6 144	6.6	25.6	8.6	28.7	20.1	17.2	4.1	35.7	76.9	84.0	15.8	.2	13	100.0
100.0	Greenwood Center village	1 398	9.6	31.0	9.2	30.5	15.9	13.4	2.6	31.3	89.0	91.3	8.7	—	—	—
48.1	Greenwood village	1 794	8.2	29.0	8.6	28.6	16.1	17.7	5.6	32.4	83.0	83.1	11.9	5.0	90	100.0
87.5	Greenwood village, Ashland County	3 331	7.8	27.2	9.3	31.2	16.2	16.1	4.4	33.5	86.5	81.4	12.9	5.7	189	100.0
87.5	Greenwood village, Madison County	4 505	7.3	27.7	9.5	32.3	18.5	11.9	2.5	32.6	85.3	87.7	10.3	2.0	90	100.0
87.5	Greenwood village	1 281	7.8	28.8	12.3	28.8	16.9	13.2	3.4	31.0	87.3	86.4	13.6	—	—	—
87.5	Greenwood village	3 237	6.9	26.8	10.1	30.6	17.0	15.5	4.3	33.0	78.9	83.9	14.9	1.2	38	100.0
87.5	Greenwood city	28 835	4.9	16.6	42.3	24.7	9.3	7.0	1.7	22.4	82.5	50.1	25.1	24.8	7 162	1.4
87.5	Greenwood city	8 356	7.7	26.3	10.0	29.2	17.6	16.9	4.9	33.3	81.6	81.4	16.4	2.2	187	100.0
100.0	Greenwood CDP	7 469	5.3	18.7	5.8	25.9	22.3	27.4	8.6	44.7	78.8	80.3	18.3	1.5	109	100.0
100.0	Greenwood city	60 569	6.2	21.2	8.7	31.7	21.4	16.9	3.5	36.6	86.1	81.5	17.6	1.0	577	73.8
100.0	Greenwood village	1 153	4.9	22.9	9.5	29.5	21.1	17.1	4.3	37.3	89.6	84.8	12.6	2.6	30	100.0
100.0	Greenwood city	5 881	5.3	21.8	9.3	29.3	25.8	13.8	3.8	39.0	91.4	87.7	9.1	3.2	188	100.0
95.1	Greenwood CDP	1 427	6.7	26.1	9.4	29.3	21.7	13.5	2.2	35.3	93.8	89.4	10.6	—	—	—
95.1	Greenwood village	1 199	7.4	32.2	7.1	34.8	15.9	10.0	1.0	30.9	86.2	92.1	7.9	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood CDP	2 798	9.8	40.1	7.0	40.6	10.8	1.6	.3	27.1	95.2	95.4	4.6	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood village	2 684	6.3	25.8	10.2	31.6	17.7	14.8	3.6	34.5	94.3	83.1	11.7	5.2	140	92.9
100.0	Greenwood village	1 056	7.9	23.8	10.2	27.3	19.8	18.9	3.2	35.1	87.2	79.8	20.2	—	—	—
100.0	Greenwood city	59 718	6.6	23.0	9.7	37.6	16.2	13.5	3.6	32.7	82.0	73.1	26.3	.5	323	87.3
100.0	Greenwood city	34 507														

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990—Con.

[For definitions of terms and meanings of symbols, see text]

Table 1

[For details]

State Urban and Rural and Size of Place Inside and Outside Metropolitan Area County Place and [In Selected States] County Subdivision [1,000 or More Persons]	All persons	Percent of all persons						Median age	Persons 18 years and over— Males per 100 females	Percent of all persons			Persons in group quarters		State Urban of Place Inside Metro County Place States Subdi- More	
		Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over			80 years and over	In households		In group quarters	Total		Per insti- tutions
											In fami- lies	Non- family house- holders and non- relatives of house- holder				
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION— Con.																
Lincoln Heights city	4 805	9.0	33.8	9.8	25.5	15.9	15.1	3.4	30.1	68.3	84.8	13.8	1.4	66	100	Mount Steer
Lincoln Village CDP	9 958	6.9	24.4	10.7	31.5	22.2	11.2	1.9	33.6	89.0	83.4	16.1	1.5	49	100	Mount Verri
Lisbon village	3 037	6.5	25.2	11.6	27.9	18.1	17.1	4.2	34.3	81.5	81.8	15.4	2.7	83	41	Munroe C
Lockland village	4 357	8.9	25.9	10.3	31.3	18.2	14.3	3.1	32.3	81.4	78.2	21.8	—	—	—	Murray Co
Lodi village	3 042	7.6	26.8	9.8	31.6	18.4	13.4	2.7	32.4	86.0	83.2	16.4	—	—	—	Newspaper C
Logan city	6 725	6.8	24.6	9.2	26.9	19.3	20.1	6.1	37.0	76.0	82.7	10.3	—	12	100	Newspaper wil
Logan Elm Village CDP	1 287	5.7	27.6	6.7	31.1	15.4	19.3	6.3	36.1	80.3	81.0	16.0	3.0	205	93	North CDP
Logan city	7 807	7.7	27.6	10.5	30.2	17.3	14.4	3.5	32.3	85.0	82.7	10.3	7.1	91	100	Northside
Loran city	71 245	8.1	28.7	9.8	30.0	18.1	13.4	2.7	32.2	85.2	81.6	16.3	2.0	160	92	New Albion
Lordstown village	3 404	5.3	25.7	10.5	31.3	23.3	9.2	1.4	35.4	100.6	85.5	13.5	1.0	748	83	Newark at
Loudonville village	2 915	7.0	23.3	8.5	27.9	21.2	19.2	5.9	37.9	88.6	78.8	16.2	—	—	—	New Boston
Louisville city	8 087	7.7	26.2	9.5	31.3	19.1	13.8	3.1	33.1	85.0	87.6	11.1	5.0	145	77	New Brem
Loveland city	9 990	9.4	31.3	9.3	35.2	17.9	6.4	—	30.5	87.7	92.0	8.0	1.3	107	81	Newburgh
Loveland Park CDP	1 357	5.9	24.5	9.2	31.6	25.1	9.7	—	34.9	102.2	91.2	8.8	—	—	—	New Carls
Lowellville village	1 349	6.7	24.2	8.4	26.2	18.7	22.6	4.4	37.5	84.3	88.4	11.6	—	—	—	Newcomer
Lucasville CDP	1 575	6.7	30.9	9.6	27.1	21.4	11.0	2.5	31.8	89.2	89.7	10.3	—	—	—	New Concc
Lynchburg village	1 212	7.1	27.9	9.6	28.4	19.6	14.6	3.7	32.6	80.2	86.7	13.3	—	—	—	New Labor
Lynchburg city	15 982	6.2	19.5	6.6	27.4	22.5	24.1	4.2	42.4	85.4	87.7	12.2	—	13	—	New Lazz
McArthur village	1 541	7.3	26.9	7.6	29.1	18.2	18.2	5.1	34.8	82.4	86.2	13.8	—	—	—	New Lond
McComb village	1 544	8.7	30.6	8.5	32.4	16.4	12.2	1.9	31.5	89.4	88.5	11.5	—	—	—	New Maier
McConnelsville village	1 804	5.8	22.7	7.6	25.9	21.2	22.6	5.7	39.5	74.4	79.0	20.7	—	—	—	New Midd
McDonald village	3 526	6.7	28.2	9.0	31.5	20.1	11.2	1.8	34.6	85.3	93.1	6.9	—	4	100	New Paris
Macedonia city	7 509	7.7	27.5	8.5	34.7	21.8	7.6	—	36.6	85.3	93.1	11.7	—	—	—	New Philo
Mack North CDP	2 816	7.2	28.6	9.6	31.1	21.6	9.2	1.2	33.9	100.4	94.2	5.8	—	—	—	New Rich
Mack South CDP	5 767	6.8	30.6	9.0	27.7	24.0	8.6	—	33.6	101.2	94.4	5.6	—	—	—	Newtown F
Madeira city	9 141	7.0	23.3	5.7	28.5	22.1	20.5	1.2	35.1	98.9	97.5	2.5	—	—	—	Newtown
Madison village	2 477	8.4	28.3	9.2	33.5	18.4	10.6	4.9	39.3	83.6	87.5	9.8	2.8	253	98	New West
Mahoning village	1 112	6.8	25.9	7.5	30.2	19.5	16.9	2.1	32.1	93.6	88.7	10.7	—	16	100	New West
Mahoning village	2 223	6.9	27.2	9.7	26.9	19.3	16.8	3.1	34.8	89.4	85.3	14.7	—	—	—	New West
Mahoning city	50 627	7.4	24.5	11.2	30.6	18.7	15.0	3.5	33.3	93.9	83.3	16.2	—	11	—	New West
Marietta village	1 178	7.7	26.1	10.2	34.3	18.1	11.4	2.0	32.5	91.0	85.0	15.0	—	—	—	Northbroc
Maple Heights city	27 089	6.7	22.7	8.0	31.5	18.8	19.0	3.3	36.0	85.6	86.2	13.0	—	—	—	North Car
Maple Ridge CDP	1 018	7.3	26.8	9.8	28.5	23.3	11.6	1.0	34.1	98.7	88.3	11.7	—	221	100	North Col
Marietta village	3 118	6.3	20.4	6.5	33.5	20.4	19.2	5.5	38.7	73.5	78.7	21.3	—	—	—	Northfield
Marietta city	15 026	6.2	22.6	13.8	28.4	18.1	17.1	5.1	33.9	81.0	74.0	17.6	—	—	—	North Fol
Marietta city	34 075	8.5	28.2	9.9	31.0	17.9	13.0	3.1	32.3	85.6	83.3	15.6	8.4	1 258	29	Northgate
Marietta city	7 990	6.2	22.6	7.7	26.8	20.7	22.2	4.9	39.5	74.9	81.9	16.9	1.1	372	90	North Kin
Marietta city	9 656	6.6	21.7	11.8	38.5	15.5	12.5	3.7	32.0	57.3	68.3	13.8	17.9	730	87	North Law
Marietta city	11 452	8.5	28.0	8.3	37.2	17.9	8.6	1.6	31.9	91.5	88.1	10.6	1.3	150	100	North Mc
Marietta city	31 007	7.1	25.4	9.4	29.9	19.5	15.8	3.6	34.2	84.2	81.9	15.4	2.7	845	89	North Or
Marietta city	1 002	8.6	28.4	8.2	27.0	21.8	14.6	3.8	33.1	86.7	85.2	14.8	—	—	—	Northside
Marietta city	15 561	6.3	26.1	8.6	33.4	21.2	10.8	1.8	34.3	89.3	87.2	12.6	—	—	—	Northside
Marietta city	3 462	4.5	18.9	7.6	23.8	28.3	21.4	4.0	44.8	86.9	89.4	10.6	—	31	100	North Ec
Marietta city	19 847	4.3	14.4	7.9	28.3	20.9	28.5	7.5	44.4	74.8	74.4	25.0	—	—	—	North Ec
Marietta city	7 803	7.8	27.2	10.8	29.8	19.6	12.6	2.4	32.3	90.0	86.8	13.2	—	125	100	Northfield
Marietta city	19 231	8.9	30.2	8.0	34.8	15.8	11.2	3.0	31.7	84.1	85.9	12.7	—	—	—	Northfield
Marietta city	47 358	7.5	27.5	8.1	34.9	20.2	9.4	—	33.9	84.1	85.9	12.7	1.4	277	93	North Zc
Marietta city	8 271	9.0	29.2	11.0	38.1	16.2	5.4	—	29.7	92.3	90.4	9.2	—	200	92	Northon c
Marietta city	17 834	7.6	26.1	9.1	33.6	18.4	12.8	—	33.5	87.0	87.4	12.6	—	—	—	Northon c
Marietta city	14 702	4.1	17.2	9.6	29.7	26.1	17.3	3.0	40.3	88.4	83.6	15.1	—	416	100	Northwood
Marietta city	1 898	6.8	23.3	11.9	30.3	18.1	16.4	4.2	33.7	80.5	78.0	19.3	2.7	51	100	Oak Hill
Marietta city	2 725	6.4	22.4	8.8	26.0	20.1	22.7	6.8	39.2	77.2	79.3	17.0	3.6	99	100	Oakwood
Marietta city	46 022	7.8	25.7	10.0	30.7	19.1	14.5	3.1	33.4	83.8	84.0	15.1	—	421	911	Oakwood
Marietta city	1 464	6.6	26.0	5.9	28.6	22.3	17.1	5.5	38.2	78.3	85.2	9.2	—	82	100	Oakwood
Marietta city	5 660	7.3	22.6	11.0	28.9	16.3	21.2	8.6	34.9	74.3	74.7	23.2	5.6	82	100	Oakwood
Marietta city	1 081	7.3	30.3	8.3	35.3	18.4	7.6	—	31.7	94.1	92.7	7.3	2.1	118	100	Oakwood
Marietta city	3 051	7.8	24.4	9.4	29.6	17.7	18.9	5.2	34.2	91.3	75.0	14.8	—	—	—	Oakwood
Marietta city	1 010	9.0	26.6	8.7	31.2	17.9	15.5	3.6	32.4	92.5	87.7	12.3	10.2	312	94	Oakwood
Marietta city	3 928	6.5	26.7	8.0	34.0	16.6	14.6	5.6	34.6	84.9	81.4	10.2	—	329	611	Oakwood
Marietta city	4 318	6.1	24.4	8.7	27.8	20.5	18.5	4.8	36.6	78.7	82.1	15.1	—	123	100	Oakwood
Marietta city	1 463	5.5	17.7	4.4	25.4	27.1	25.4	7.1	47.2	84.1	83.5	9.9	—	—	—	Oakwood
Marietta city	4 297	5.1	21.3	9.3	25.6	25.7	18.1	3.1	39.9	84.9	87.3	12.7	6.6	97	100	Oakwood
Marietta city	2 650	8.0	28.1	8.9	28.5	16.6	17.8	5.1	33.4	93.4	85.7	9.8	—	118	100	Oakwood
Marietta city	4 008	5.9	25.4	8.9	30.5	23.4	11.8	1.7	35.8	92.9	90.3	9.7	—	—	—	Oakwood
Marietta city	3 661	9.2	23.6	10.3	37.5	14.6	14.0	2.5	31.3	94.6	83.7	15.3	1.0	35	82	Oakwood
Marietta city	4 587	7.7	25.2	6.4	28.5	24.7	13.3	2.2	36.1	103.3	89.9	7.8	2.4	109	82	Oakwood
Marietta city	4 490	6.0	22.8	8.7	31.5	22.1	14.9	5.5	36.7	84.2	87.2	10.1	2.6	118	100	Oakwood
Marietta city	1 381	9.7	30.3	9.6	31.9	16.5	11.7	2.6	31.1	84.5	89.4	10.6	—	—	—	Oakwood
Marietta city	9 753	5.8	26.2	7.2	26.1	28.2	12.3	3.4	39.9	89.4	92.6	4.9	—	—	—	Oakwood
Marietta city	4 299	8.2	29.0	9.5	29.7	16.2	15.5	4.3	32.1	86.9	83.8	15.0	1.2	250	100	Oakwood
Marietta																

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990—Con.

(For definitions of terms and meanings of symbols, see text)

and Rural and Size 1000 Outside Metropolitan Area County Place and (In Selected States) County Subdivision [1,000 or More Persons]	Percent of all persons								Percent of all persons				Persons in group quarters		
	All persons	Percent of all persons							Median age	In households		In group quarters	Total	Percent institu- tionalized	
		Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over	80 years and over		Persons 18 years and over— Males per 100 females	In fam- ilies				Non- family house- holders and non- relatives of house- holder
1001 Mount Sterling village	1 647	8.4	26.7	8.9	30.3	16.5	17.6	4.0	34.2	79.5	83.5	16.5	-	-	-
1001 Mount Vernon city	14 550	6.6	22.8	12.9	27.8	17.0	19.4	5.3	34.4	76.7	75.1	18.2	6.7	980	37.8
494 Mulberry CDP	2 856	6.7	23.9	9.3	32.8	15.7	18.3	9.1	35.3	73.9	76.3	11.6	12.1	346	100.0
1001 Murray Falls village	5 359	6.8	26.4	9.1	33.6	23.2	7.8	1.3	34.8	94.4	92.1	7.7	.1	8	100.0
1001 Napoleon city	8 884	7.9	26.9	9.3	30.0	19.0	14.8	4.4	33.1	84.9	82.1	15.6	2.3	204	99.5
931 Navarre village	1 635	5.9	21.8	8.6	28.0	18.2	23.4	7.4	38.4	77.5	78.8	14.7	6.5	106	100.0
1001 North CDP	1 213	5.9	24.8	9.5	29.0	21.0	15.7	1.8	35.3	89.6	89.3	10.7	-	-	-
921 Northville city	4 563	6.2	24.0	19.8	24.8	16.4	15.0	3.4	29.6	92.6	72.8	26.0	1.2	54	77.8
931 New Albany village	1 621	4.9	22.0	9.2	29.1	27.2	12.5	1.8	39.0	93.6	91.8	8.2	-	-	-
1001 Newark city	44 389	8.0	26.1	9.7	31.1	17.9	15.1	4.2	33.0	83.4	80.3	17.4	2.3	1 017	88.3
771 New Boston village	2 717	7.5	26.3	9.7	24.4	19.4	20.1	4.6	35.7	63.7	77.6	22.4	-	-	-
891 New Bremen village	2 558	10.2	31.4	8.8	30.2	16.1	13.5	3.6	31.5	87.1	88.7	11.1	.3	7	100.0
1001 Newburgh Heights village	2 310	7.1	21.4	10.3	32.9	18.3	17.1	2.5	34.5	88.0	81.0	19.0	-	-	-
1001 New Carlisle city	6 049	7.5	27.5	10.0	31.4	18.4	12.7	3.2	32.2	85.9	85.9	12.2	1.9	115	89.6
1001 Newcomerstown village	4 012	7.5	28.0	8.4	28.0	18.2	17.4	4.2	34.0	78.8	84.0	16.0	-	-	-
1001 New Concord village	2 086	3.5	13.7	47.7	16.0	11.4	11.3	3.3	21.7	93.9	46.5	13.8	39.6	827	-
1001 New Lebanon village	4 323	7.5	26.7	10.1	31.8	18.7	12.7	3.7	32.6	87.0	87.2	10.5	2.3	98	100.0
1001 New Lexington city	5 117	8.4	29.5	10.5	28.9	16.5	14.6	3.9	31.5	80.8	84.2	13.6	2.2	111	100.0
1001 New London village	2 642	8.2	30.5	9.9	29.3	16.1	14.2	3.8	31.1	88.0	84.5	13.4	2.1	55	87.3
1001 New Miami village	2 555	7.6	29.9	10.7	29.6	21.2	8.5	1.1	31.0	85.4	91.5	8.5	-	-	-
1001 New Middletown village	1 912	5.9	24.2	10.3	29.0	24.2	12.3	1.5	36.7	90.2	91.8	8.2	-	-	-
1001 New Paris village	1 801	7.6	26.9	9.3	31.5	18.3	13.9	3.1	32.6	86.1	85.6	14.3	.1	2	-
1001 New Philadelphia city	15 698	6.7	24.0	9.0	30.9	20.0	16.1	3.6	35.5	82.8	81.7	17.2	1.1	180	98.9
1001 New Richmond village	2 408	8.5	32.8	10.6	29.7	17.1	9.8	2.1	29.6	90.8	88.1	11.2	.7	16	100.0
1001 Newton Falls city	4 866	6.8	24.6	10.6	29.9	18.4	16.5	3.7	34.8	84.0	82.8	15.8	1.5	72	100.0
981 Newton village	1 589	7.6	27.7	8.7	30.6	20.5	12.5	2.8	33.1	90.2	89.8	10.2	-	-	-
1001 New Washington village	1 057	7.9	28.3	7.9	29.2	19.0	15.6	4.4	34.3	87.2	88.2	11.8	-	-	-
1001 Oxford village	1 278	7.0	28.2	8.5	33.6	19.4	10.3	1.1	33.0	89.1	89.7	10.3	-	-	-
1001 Painesville city	21 128	6.4	23.2	10.0	30.5	20.2	16.2	3.7	36.0	84.5	83.3	15.2	1.5	320	95.3
931 Painesville village	3 139	8.4	29.7	10.0	29.4	17.8	13.1	3.7	31.6	86.0	85.7	12.6	1.7	53	100.0
1001 Northbrook CDP	11 471	8.3	30.5	10.1	33.0	19.6	6.9	.7	30.3	88.3	90.8	9.2	-	-	-
1001 North Canton city	14 748	5.6	20.5	7.7	30.6	22.3	18.9	4.3	39.2	83.3	82.8	15.6	1.6	229	100.0
1001 North College Hill city	11 002	7.6	24.5	7.9	31.6	15.4	20.7	6.6	34.3	78.3	80.6	15.5	3.9	434	100.0
1001 Northfield village	3 624	6.8	24.0	12.3	35.1	20.2	8.5	1.9	31.9	92.2	85.7	13.9	.4	15	-
291 North Fork Village CDP	1 247	5.6	23.7	9.4	34.9	22.4	9.7	1.9	34.1	91.5	86.0	12.7	1.3	16	100.0
901 Northgate CDP	7 864	7.6	30.7	9.5	33.3	19.1	7.5	1.7	31.3	95.7	92.7	7.3	-	-	-
871 North Kingsville village	2 672	6.3	28.3	7.6	31.9	23.0	9.2	1.2	35.4	96.0	90.3	9.7	-	1	-
994 North Lewisburg village	1 160	9.8	32.3	10.3	33.2	14.9	9.2	.9	28.7	90.1	88.5	11.5	-	-	-
1001 North Madison CDP	8 699	8.5	31.5	9.4	34.0	16.4	8.7	2.0	30.2	91.2	89.0	9.6	1.4	118	100.0
891 North Olmsted city	34 204	6.2	24.6	8.9	31.0	22.6	12.9	2.6	35.9	88.9	87.9	11.3	.8	273	95.2
1001 Northridge CDP, Clark County	5 939	5.3	23.8	8.8	27.6	25.6	14.3	2.1	39.7	90.0	91.6	8.4	-	-	-
1001 Northridge CDP, Montgomery County	9 448	8.9	28.4	11.7	30.0	19.8	10.0	1.6	30.7	86.0	86.8	13.2	-	-	-
1001 North Ridgeville city	21 564	7.4	28.5	9.4	34.2	20.4	7.4	1.8	32.6	96.0	91.2	7.7	1.1	232	93.1
1001 North Royalton city	23 197	6.8	24.3	8.5	36.9	19.0	11.3	2.3	34.2	91.7	85.6	12.6	1.8	406	88.2
1001 Northview CDP	10 337	6.2	26.8	8.5	31.7	23.0	10.0	1.6	36.2	93.6	90.6	8.5	.8	85	100.0
931 Northwood city	5 506	7.4	30.6	8.2	34.5	17.7	9.0	1.7	32.1	93.3	89.4	10.6	-	-	-
941 North Zanesville CDP	2 121	4.8	19.7	4.3	23.3	25.4	27.3	6.2	47.2	80.0	84.9	15.1	-	-	-
1001 Norton city	11 477	6.2	24.8	8.2	29.8	23.4	13.8	2.4	36.5	94.9	91.7	8.2	.2	19	100.0
1001 Norwalk city	14 731	8.7	27.5	10.4	30.6	17.4	14.0	3.5	32.2	83.9	82.9	15.2	1.8	271	94.5
1001 Norwood city	23 674	7.5	25.2	10.1	31.8	19.4	13.4	2.8	32.7	85.2	80.3	18.9	.8	178	60.7
1001 Oak Harbor village	2 637	6.9	26.5	7.7	30.1	19.4	16.3	3.9	34.9	86.8	86.2	13.8	-	-	-
1001 Oak Hill village	1 831	7.3	25.9	10.0	29.3	17.5	17.3	6.2	34.1	73.3	84.5	12.9	2.6	47	100.0
914 Oakwood village, Cuyahoga County	3 392	5.5	21.5	9.3	28.5	21.7	19.0	5.0	38.7	85.4	78.6	16.6	4.8	164	93.9
1001 Oakwood city	8 957	6.3	23.9	5.6	31.3	22.5	16.6	4.3	39.3	84.3	86.9	13.1	-	-	-
1001 Oarlin city	8 191	3.7	16.1	38.2	20.1	13.7	12.0	3.5	22.6	83.0	51.8	21.7	26.5	2 169	5.0
1001 Oarlin village	3 167	7.3	28.1	9.7	30.8	22.6	8.8	1.3	32.5	89.4	90.0	10.0	-	-	-
961 Oriented Falls city	6 741	6.8	27.4	7.7	38.1	18.3	8.5	1.1	33.2	90.3	89.0	10.7	-	-	-
1001 Oshtemo village	4 026	5.3	22.0	8.2	29.3	26.5	14.0	2.2	38.5	87.9	87.6	12.1	.3	20	40.0
511 Orange village	2 810	7.1	27.4	5.3	29.5	23.0	14.9	2.3	39.0	93.1	92.9	7.1	-	12	100.0
1001 Oregon city	18 334	6.1	24.0	10.0	29.7	21.6	14.8	3.6	35.8	89.0	85.0	12.9	2.1	384	88.3
1001 Orville city	7 712	8.5	27.9	9.0	31.9	18.1	13.1	3.5	32.3	86.0	85.4	13.3	1.3	97	100.0
1001 Orville village	1 258	7.2	27.8	8.9	30.2	15.7	17.3	6.6	32.4	76.7	79.7	16.8	3.6	45	100.0
1001 Orwa Hills village	3 999	8.5	29.2	9.6	31.2	16.3	13.7	3.2	31.6	90.5	86.1	12.5	1.4	56	100.0
871 Oursault-Pope Manor CDP	4 543	5.7	27.1	5.7	24.9	25.6	16.7	4.1	40.7	91.6	90.9	9.1	-	-	-
1001 Owensville village	13 242	6.2	22.1	9.7	29.4	25.5	13.3	1.4	36.0	91.3	88.8	11.2	.1	10	-
1001 Oxford city	1 019	8.0	28.5	10.3	29.4	14.3	17.5	3.7	30.8	66.4	79.9	20.1	-	-	-
1001 Painesville city	18 937	2.9	10.2	61.7	15.2	7.5	5.4	1.5	21.5	89.6	32.8	33.9	33.4	6 316	2.4
1001 Pandora village	15 699	9.1	26.5	11.8	34.3	14.8	12.5	3.3	30.6	87.9	78.2	18.8	3.0	477	63.5
1001 Park Layne CDP	1 009	8.4	28.6	5.4	31.2	16.8	17.9	6.1	35.2	85.1	86.9	10.2	2.9	29	100.0
1001 Parkersburg city	4 795	8.4	31.0	10.4	33.2	20.8	4.7	.5	29.4	97.6	91.4	8.6	-	-	-
1001 Parkersburg village	87 876	6.3	21.1	8.3	30.8	20.6	19.1	3.3	37.0	87.1	86.0	12.8	1.2	1 076	97.8
1001 Parkersburg Heights city	21 448	5.6	18.5	8.4	27.7	20.4	25.0	5.4	40.8	77.7	80.7	18.0	1.3	285	84.9
1001 Parkersburg village	3 046	10.0	28.7	9.8	30.										

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990—Con.

[For definitions of terms and meanings of symbols, see text]

State Urban and Rural and Size of Place Inside and Outside Metropolitan Area County Place and [In Selected States] County Subdivision [1,000 or More Persons]	All persons	Percent of all persons								Median age	Persons 18 years and over— Males per 100 females	Percent of all persons			Persons in group quarters	
		Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over	80 years and over	In households			In group quarters	Total	Percent institu- tionized		
									In fam- ilies						Non- family house- holders and non- relatives of house- holder	
																In fam- ilies
PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION— Con.																
Perrysburg city	12 551	6.7	27.6	7.5	34.3	19.0	11.6	2.6	35.0	85.9	87.3	12.7	—	—	—	—
Pickerington village	5 668	8.9	31.5	7.2	39.0	15.3	6.9	2.5	31.2	91.9	90.6	7.7	—	—	—	—
Pigeon Creek CDP	1 008	6.9	33.0	6.1	33.1	22.9	4.9	—	33.9	99.1	97.3	2.7	1.7	96	100.0	—
Piketon village	1 717	9.7	30.0	11.1	26.5	20.4	12.0	2.4	31.0	75.2	83.8	15.1	1.2	20	100.0	—
Pioneer village	1 287	8.2	32.5	8.3	32.5	15.6	11.1	2.5	30.6	94.0	86.9	13.1	—	—	—	—
Piqua city	20 612	8.1	28.1	10.3	29.8	18.0	13.8	3.5	32.1	84.9	85.9	13.1	—	—	—	—
Pion City village	2 278	7.7	26.8	10.4	32.1	18.5	12.2	2.8	31.7	91.7	85.8	14.2	1.0	207	100.0	—
Pleasant Grove CDP	2 001	7.1	23.5	11.2	29.2	22.3	13.8	3.9	35.6	86.5	82.3	13.2	4.5	90	96.7	—
Pleasant Hill village	1 066	8.3	27.6	8.3	30.2	18.5	15.4	3.5	33.5	89.7	90.5	9.5	—	—	—	—
Pleasant Run CDP	4 964	7.2	32.4	9.5	34.9	19.1	4.1	—	29.9	94.8	94.8	4.9	—	16	—	—
Pleasant Run Farm CDP	4 545	9.8	36.6	8.6	37.1	15.2	2.5	—	28.7	98.1	95.2	4.8	—	—	—	—
Plymouth village	1 942	9.2	31.8	10.6	28.6	17.7	11.3	—	29.6	87.4	90.1	9.9	—	—	—	—
Poland village	2 992	6.3	23.6	7.3	30.1	21.8	17.1	3.9	38.5	91.1	90.7	8.8	—	13	—	—
Pomeroy village	2 259	7.1	27.2	9.5	26.1	17.6	19.6	4.8	34.3	77.8	80.8	16.3	2.8	64	100.0	—
Portage Lakes CDP	13 373	5.7	21.2	8.8	33.1	22.5	14.4	2.4	37.6	96.3	84.2	15.4	—	60	65.0	—
Port Clinton city	7 106	5.9	23.7	9.2	29.6	19.9	17.5	4.3	36.4	85.0	81.8	16.3	—	130	100.0	—
Portsmouth city	22 676	6.8	24.6	9.0	25.0	19.9	21.4	6.3	37.2	72.6	78.3	19.2	2.5	569	93.0	—
Powell village	2 154	11.7	29.8	5.5	42.1	20.3	2.3	—	34.6	100.3	95.2	4.8	—	—	—	—
Proxhaman Point village	1 807	4.6	22.8	9.1	28.6	21.4	18.0	3.3	37.1	88.3	85.7	14.3	—	—	—	—
Prospect village	1 148	6.4	28.5	9.5	30.2	19.2	12.6	2.6	33.5	86.2	89.3	10.7	—	—	—	—
Ravenna city	12 069	6.8	23.6	10.7	31.2	18.4	16.1	4.0	34.0	85.7	79.0	18.5	2.5	299	83.3	—
Reading city	12 038	7.2	21.9	10.9	31.9	21.2	14.0	2.9	33.5	90.6	80.6	17.5	1.9	233	54.1	—
Reminderville village	2 163	8.7	27.9	7.5	41.4	17.7	7.8	—	32.4	89.7	89.0	11.0	—	—	—	—
Reynoldsburg city	25 748	7.1	25.9	10.5	36.5	19.3	7.8	—	32.4	88.4	84.9	15.1	—	—	—	—
Richfield village	3 117	5.7	22.1	8.3	30.6	27.1	12.0	2.0	37.7	94.8	90.7	9.3	—	—	—	—
Richmond Heights city	9 611	5.4	17.4	9.5	32.6	23.1	17.4	2.6	38.3	85.3	80.3	19.7	—	—	—	—
Richwood village	2 186	8.1	27.4	10.1	28.9	17.1	16.5	4.1	33.1	83.9	84.9	15.1	—	—	—	—
Ripley village	1 816	7.8	25.8	8.0	27.5	20.1	18.6	4.2	35.8	72.8	81.8	18.2	—	—	—	—
Rittman city	6 147	7.8	27.2	9.7	31.6	19.0	12.5	3.3	32.1	88.9	87.7	10.7	1.6	100	100.0	—
Riverside village	1 471	5.6	16.5	22.9	45.0	9.9	5.6	—	27.0	133.0	56.7	43.3	—	—	—	—
Rockford village	1 119	6.5	25.6	10.7	29.1	19.2	15.4	4.5	33.3	88.0	86.6	13.4	—	—	—	—
Rocky River city	20 410	5.2	18.2	6.5	28.2	23.8	23.3	5.8	43.0	78.1	80.5	19.3	—	52	63.5	—
Rosemount CDP	1 926	4.4	21.2	6.5	28.6	23.4	20.3	6.9	40.0	78.7	82.8	12.0	—	100	100.0	—
Roseville village	1 847	7.5	30.9	10.2	29.6	15.6	13.8	3.2	30.5	87.0	88.1	11.9	—	—	—	—
Ross CDP	2 124	7.3	30.6	8.1	33.0	18.9	9.5	1.3	32.8	95.9	91.9	8.1	—	—	—	—
Rossford city	5 861	5.9	24.2	9.7	32.3	18.9	14.9	2.1	35.1	87.6	84.8	15.2	—	—	—	—
Russells Point village	1 504	6.8	24.1	9.1	27.7	21.6	17.5	4.7	36.0	76.8	78.2	21.8	—	—	—	—
Sabina village	2 662	7.2	27.3	10.4	32.8	17.2	12.3	2.4	32.2	87.8	86.2	13.8	—	—	—	—
St. Bernard city	5 344	6.5	24.2	9.3	30.4	18.2	18.0	4.5	34.3	78.3	81.3	18.2	—	22	—	—
St. Clairsville city	5 162	5.2	20.9	7.1	27.9	23.1	21.1	5.2	40.9	79.6	83.0	14.4	2.7	137	92.0	—
St. Henry village	1 907	11.3	35.5	10.4	28.0	15.3	10.7	1.7	27.5	98.7	94.3	5.7	—	—	—	—
St. Marys city	8 441	8.6	29.2	8.9	29.5	17.9	14.5	3.7	32.6	85.6	86.8	12.1	—	—	—	—
St. Paris village	1 842	7.9	30.7	10.3	32.5	15.9	10.6	2.4	30.1	83.1	88.7	11.3	1.1	97	100.0	—
Salem city	12 233	6.9	24.5	8.9	29.5	19.0	18.1	4.3	35.6	82.0	82.9	15.9	—	—	—	—
Salineville village	1 474	7.5	26.3	10.2	29.2	20.1	14.2	2.6	33.3	90.2	86.1	13.9	—	136	99.3	—
Sandusky city	29 764	8.1	26.9	9.7	30.5	18.1	14.7	3.2	32.7	83.0	80.1	18.7	1.1	340	80.9	—
Sandusky South CDP	6 336	5.9	24.2	6.1	26.4	23.6	19.7	3.8	40.6	110.6	82.1	8.1	9.8	620	100.0	—
Scottdale CDP	1 128	5.9	26.3	8.0	30.6	22.3	12.8	2.1	34.2	84.7	89.1	10.9	—	—	—	—
Seaman village	1 013	8.1	31.6	10.5	26.9	16.8	14.3	3.0	30.0	85.3	88.5	11.5	—	—	—	—
Sebring city	4 848	6.2	23.6	8.6	26.1	17.9	23.7	10.8	37.8	73.5	77.9	12.6	9.5	459	100.0	—
Seven Hills city	12 339	4.4	17.6	8.4	23.6	28.9	21.4	2.6	45.3	93.4	93.2	6.8	—	—	—	—
Seville village	1 810	7.2	26.5	8.0	32.7	16.6	16.2	5.8	34.2	88.3	83.8	10.8	5.5	99	100.0	—
Shadyside village	3 934	5.0	19.0	6.8	24.8	21.1	28.3	6.8	44.2	76.5	81.3	16.2	2.5	99	100.0	—
Shaker Heights city	30 831	6.2	23.7	6.9	31.9	22.9	14.7	3.7	38.0	81.8	84.1	15.7	—	75	100.0	—
Sharonville city	13 153	6.4	22.1	9.4	34.2	22.7	11.7	2.8	34.8	98.1	81.3	17.5	1.2	161	88.4	—
Showers Hills CDP	2 199	5.8	30.9	8.5	34.0	20.1	6.6	—	34.1	98.7	95.6	4.4	—	—	—	—
Sheffield village	1 943	7.4	26.1	8.4	34.1	20.4	11.0	1.6	34.3	95.5	92.2	7.8	—	—	—	—
Sheffield Lake city	9 825	6.7	29.5	9.4	35.4	18.2	7.5	1.0	31.6	95.8	90.0	10.0	—	—	—	—
Shelby city	9 564	7.1	25.8	9.1	29.0	19.7	16.3	4.5	35.1	82.9	83.7	14.5	1.8	168	100.0	—
Sherwood CDP	3 709	9.3	31.1	6.4	33.5	19.9	9.1	—	33.4	92.7	95.2	4.8	—	—	—	—
Shioh CDP	11 607	5.3	15.4	8.0	32.0	21.4	23.2	2.7	40.9	82.8	70.6	27.8	1.6	191	100.0	—
Shreve village	1 584	8.5	29.7	7.8	32.0	17.7	12.7	5.6	32.3	87.1	85.5	14.5	—	—	—	—
Sidney city	18 710	8.3	29.2	10.0	31.3	17.6	11.9	2.4	31.4	89.5	85.5	13.7	—	157	93.0	—
Silver Lake village	3 052	5.5	18.7	6.2	25.0	27.7	22.4	5.0	45.1	86.8	90.5	9.5	—	—	—	—
Silverton city	5 859	6.0	19.5	9.6	30.9	19.0	20.9	6.8	36.9	72.9	74.6	21.5	3.9	229	100.0	—
Smithville village	1 354	5.9	27.4	8.2	31.4	19.4	13.6	3.1	34.6	90.9	89.4	10.6	—	—	—	—
Solon city	18 548	6.9	27.7	7.2	32.8	22.1	10.2	1.4	36.3	92.6	92.9	6.9	—	21	4.1	—
Somerset village	1 390	7.3	24.9	6.9	29.0	17.7	21.5	8.2	36.7	74.6	79.9	15.8	4.3	60	100.0	—
South Amherst village	1 765	7.6	25.7	8.0	31.6	22.9	11.8	1.8	35.1	96.6	94.0	6.0	—	—	—	—
South Canal CDP	1 319	5.5	25.4	9.5	29.6	24.1	11.4	1.3	37.4	93.7	91.0	9.0	—	—	—	—
South Charleston village	1 626	7.6	27.1	9.5	27.4	19.3	16.7	3.4	34.5	78.9	85.8					

Table 1. Summary of General Characteristics of Persons: 1990—Con.

(Abbreviations of terms and meanings of symbols, see text)

PLACE AND COUNTY SUBDIVISION— Con.	All persons	Percent of all persons							Median age	Persons 16 years and over—Males per 100 females	Percent of all persons			Persons in group quarters	
		Under 5 years	Under 18 years	18 to 24 years	25 to 44 years	45 to 64 years	65 years and over	80 years and over			In households		In group quarters	Total	Percent institutionalized
											In families	Non-family householders and non-relatives of householder			
Springfield city	70 487	7.8	26.0	12.7	28.6	17.3	15.4	3.9	32.3	81.7	77.9	17.8	4.4	3 070	43.9
Spokane city	22 125	6.0	21.5	10.8	25.6	20.3	21.8	5.1	38.5	77.1	75.7	17.8	6.5	1 437	41.2
Stony Prairie CDP	1 536	6.0	22.6	9.4	27.7	21.5	18.8	6.8	36.8	89.6	82.0	11.0	7.0	107	100.0
Spokane city	27 702	7.7	26.9	8.5	36.6	18.5	9.5	2.2	33.2	90.9	88.0	10.9	1.1	309	90.3
Spokane village	1 995	6.6	23.9	7.9	30.5	19.3	18.4	5.0	36.3	83.0	82.6	16.7	7	14	92.9
Spokane city	9 932	7.3	26.1	11.0	35.1	20.4	7.3	1.3	31.0	94.6	87.3	11.9	7	73	100.0
Spokane city	35 308	7.1	27.6	8.2	34.8	21.5	7.9	1.2	34.8	94.2	91.4	8.4	3	104	100.0
Spokane city	12 284	5.8	23.5	8.6	27.6	21.0	19.3	3.4	36.7	84.3	88.0	11.5	5	62	66.1
Spokane village	1 468	8.7	29.4	9.5	30.7	18.3	12.1	2.3	31.1	86.3	89.3	10.7	—	—	—
Supercreek village	2 062	8.0	25.9	8.8	30.1	18.6	16.5	3.7	33.9	86.0	88.7	11.3	—	—	—
Summerside CDP	4 573	9.2	30.2	10.1	36.5	16.9	6.3	6	29.8	89.3	89.7	10.3	—	—	—
Sumner Station CDP	1 380	5.7	26.1	8.9	31.6	24.9	8.5	2.1	35.2	95.0	93.4	6.6	—	—	—
Sunbury village	2 046	7.0	27.4	9.0	29.5	20.2	13.9	3.3	34.1	80.9	88.4	10.2	1.4	28	100.0
Sunbury village	3 557	7.8	30.2	8.5	32.7	16.8	11.9	3.9	32.4	88.5	86.9	10.3	2.9	102	100.0
Sylvania city	17 301	7.0	27.8	7.8	32.7	20.1	11.6	3.1	35.2	84.7	86.7	11.5	1.8	317	51.1
Tahmoochee city	14 870	5.5	22.4	9.1	29.1	25.0	14.4	3.0	38.0	89.1	88.7	10.3	1.0	153	94.8
Tennessee Park village	2 133	9.4	29.7	4.2	29.5	21.6	15.0	2.8	37.7	90.0	93.5	6.5	—	—	—
The Plains CDP	2 644	7.0	23.0	10.9	30.5	18.3	17.4	6.4	34.5	76.4	74.5	19.7	5.8	153	93.5
The Village of Indian Hill city	5 383	5.0	25.4	6.2	22.1	31.5	14.8	2.3	43.3	96.7	94.7	5.3	—	—	—
Tiffin city	18 604	6.3	24.2	14.2	29.0	17.0	15.6	4.0	32.6	87.8	76.4	16.1	7.6	1 406	23.2
Tiffin city	1 517	4.3	21.5	6.1	25.6	19.8	27.0	5.1	42.4	79.4	83.8	16.2	—	—	—
Taco City city	6 027	6.6	25.3	9.1	32.7	19.4	13.6	2.9	33.9	86.6	85.1	14.9	—	—	—
Talado city	332 943	8.2	26.2	11.8	31.2	17.2	13.6	3.1	31.7	86.1	79.3	19.0	1.7	5 797	49.6
Tarboro city	6 127	6.0	25.1	8.3	29.3	21.2	16.2	2.8	36.3	82.2	85.4	14.6	—	—	—
Tarboro city	6 189	7.0	27.6	10.1	32.4	21.5	6.4	1.4	32.9	89.0	92.0	8.0	—	—	—
Tarboro city	8 816	7.3	25.5	10.2	34.0	19.3	10.9	1.9	32.7	81.8	83.7	16.3	—	—	—
Tarboro city	19 478	7.9	26.8	9.0	32.1	18.3	13.8	3.3	33.2	86.8	84.0	14.5	1.5	296	89.2
Tarboro Interchange CDP	1 188	7.5	25.4	10.2	28.5	22.4	13.5	2.4	34.4	95.6	87.8	12.2	—	—	—
Tarboro city	4 927	8.0	29.7	8.2	32.6	23.2	6.3	8	35.2	97.4	93.8	6.2	—	—	—
Tarboro city	9 606	7.9	24.7	8.7	36.7	19.0	8.9	1.2	32.5	88.7	87.1	12.9	—	—	—
Tarboro city	5 604	7.4	26.6	9.3	27.0	19.6	17.5	4.6	34.6	80.3	83.2	15.3	1.4	81	80.2
Tarboro city	5 501	8.6	33.2	8.9	38.0	15.3	4.5	7	29.3	92.2	94.0	6.0	—	—	—
Tarboro city	1 984	8.5	28.5	10.1	27.1	17.3	16.9	5.0	32.5	78.4	80.7	14.4	4.9	98	100.0
Tarboro CDP	3 074	4.5	21.8	8.0	28.1	27.1	15.0	2.9	40.8	91.1	90.1	9.9	—	—	—
Tarboro city	14 790	7.0	20.5	18.4	29.2	16.4	15.5	3.4	33.0	86.6	74.7	12.4	12.9	1 901	100.0
Tarboro city	34 128	5.9	22.6	5.8	26.4	24.6	18.7	4.6	41.3	82.2	85.8	13.4	7	254	100.0
Tarboro city	5 906	6.5	23.3	8.3	28.2	19.3	20.8	6.9	37.3	77.1	79.0	16.3	4.7	278	94.6
Tarboro city	11 353	6.8	24.1	11.0	28.8	19.4	16.7	4.5	34.9	85.2	80.6	16.3	3.2	361	59.0
Tarboro city	1 997	6.3	26.5	6.0	29.6	20.6	15.3	3.7	34.7	82.8	84.2	14.0	1.8	35	100.0
Tarboro city	2 137	6.8	27.6	9.4	31.6	22.4	8.9	1.2	35.6	97.8	93.7	6.3	—	—	—
Tarboro city	13 882	6.3	22.6	9.9	34.3	21.9	11.4	2.4	34.9	88.9	83.2	15.7	1.1	154	100.0
Tarboro city	10 891	7.2	26.2	6.7	29.7	18.9	16.5	4.0	34.9	84.8	83.8	14.9	1.4	148	72.3
Tarboro city	11 127	7.2	27.0	6.7	32.1	21.4	10.8	2.1	34.4	93.6	88.5	10.4	1.1	124	100.0
Tarboro city	2 351	7.1	24.7	9.3	27.0	19.4	19.6	5.5	35.8	85.3	81.5	16.0	2.4	57	87.7
Tarboro CDP	1 067	6.8	27.0	10.3	28.3	23.1	11.3	1.9	33.3	94.8	91.8	7.7	5	5	—
Tarboro city	15 718	7.2	25.4	8.2	31.4	19.9	15.2	3.7	35.4	86.7	86.8	11.9	1.3	211	100.0
Tarboro village	2 736	5.6	23.4	11.7	29.8	23.7	11.4	2.2	34.3	89.5	86.8	13.2	—	—	—
Tarboro city	2 371	5.1	18.2	7.9	24.9	28.4	20.6	4.3	44.0	93.8	89.3	6.9	3.8	91	100.0
Tarboro city	9 214	7.7	28.8	9.2	31.3	16.8	13.8	3.8	31.8	85.2	85.4	13.3	1.3	116	100.0
Tarboro city	50 793	7.9	25.8	9.2	28.6	19.5	16.9	3.7	34.7	80.2	81.9	16.2	1.9	973	98.2
Tarboro city	15 745	6.8	25.7	9.3	33.4	21.8	9.7	1.5	34.2	72.8	84.1	15.8	1	8	75.0
Tarboro city	12 983	7.1	25.1	9.4	26.4	19.0	18.1	5.0	35.6	81.9	80.9	15.9	3.3	424	98.6
Tarboro village	4 517	7.2	26.8	6.4	33.5	17.7	13.5	5.8	35.1	84.9	87.4	7.3	5.2	237	100.0
Tarboro city	6 322	7.7	29.6	6.7	31.3	16.7	13.7	4.5	32.3	85.7	84.8	13.3	1.9	123	100.0
Tarboro city	4 477	5.2	27.5	7.6	24.7	19.6	25.6	7.7	40.8	76.3	82.5	16.3	1.2	53	100.0
Tarboro village	1 068	7.9	28.4	8.4	29.6	17.5	16.1	2.2	33.3	84.3	87.4	12.6	—	—	—
Tarboro village	1 949	7.6	27.2	7.3	31.9	17.0	16.6	5.9	34.7	85.4	84.4	9.5	6.1	119	92.4
Tarboro village	4 140	6.8	26.0	10.3	29.1	18.0	16.7	4.3	33.8	83.5	83.5	12.4	4.2	172	88.4
Tarboro city	6 049	7.8	26.1	9.7	28.9	18.1	15.3	3.6	33.1	77.8	85.5	12.9	1.7	102	48.0
Tarboro city	4 532	6.8	27.1	9.3	28.0	18.5	17.1	3.0	34.0	79.7	83.6	15.8	6	25	100.0
Tarboro city	1 460	7.7	28.8	9.4	31.2	16.9	13.7	2.7	31.9	85.4	89.5	10.5	—	—	—
Tarboro city	14 403	6.7	22.1	12.7	35.6	19.4	10.1	2.3	31.8	85.5	80.5	18.7	7	106	90.7
Tarboro city	30 269	6.8	29.1	10.4	34.2	18.1	8.2	2.4	33.4	84.6	86.5	9.4	4.2	1 262	33.1
Tarboro CDP	2 954	6.4	24.3	6.1	28.1	16.3	23.2	6.6	34.4	83.7	76.5	14.7	8.8	259	100.0
Tarboro village	2 179	8.0	28.3	9.3	29.9	17.8	14.7	3.1	32.4	79.9	87.8	12.2	—	—	—
Tarboro city	27 018	6.0	23.8	6.9	32.5	21.1	15.6	4.5	37.5	87.0	83.4	13.4	3.2	857	91.5
Tarboro village	1 613	7.3	28.4	9.2	29.7	17.7	15.1	3.3	33.4	81.3	84.7	13.2	2.1	34	100.0
Tarboro village	4 348	7.8	27.0	9.3	31.3	19.1	13.3	2.8	32.4	85.3	87.0	13.0	—	—	—
Tarboro village	1 716	9.0	30.3	12.6	32.9	15.5	8.6	1.0	26.3	92.6	86.0	14.0	—	—	—
Tarboro CDP	3 551	8.0	28.0	10.7	26.7	21.7	12.8	2.5	33.1	89.0	89.3	10.7	—	—	—
Tarboro village	1 534	9.8	31.0	11.3	33.0	15.0	9.8	1.8	29.0	97.9	86.4	13.4	3	4	—
Tarboro village	3 096	8.0	26.8	10.1	25.6	17.0	20.4	6.5	35.1	77.7	79.8	13.2	6.9	215	85.1
Tarboro village	1 677	8.2	29.8	9.9	30.4	15.8	14.1	3.5	31.1	84.2	85.5	14.5	—	—	—
Tarboro CDP	5 113	6.0	25.4	8.7	27.7	21.3	16.9	5.6	35.9	76.2	82.3	10.9	5.8	297	100.0
Tarboro city	20 572	7.6	24.5	11.0	34.5	18.8	11.2	1.6	32.1						

RAPS POPULATION REPORTS
Version 4.50 - 21 December 1992

The population module of the RAPS model projects the size and composition of MHSS beneficiary populations, by various geographic distinctions, for FY91 through FY99. These projections are based on counts of medically eligible beneficiaries enrolled in the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS) as of 30 September 1990. Navy afloat personnel are assigned to locations based on a linkage between Unit Identification Codes and home port zip codes (provided to DEERS by the Navy Military Personnel Command). In addition, DMIS (RAPS) uses UICs to assign active duty with FPO and unknown zip codes to geographic locations. Base year (FY90) population estimates in RAPS are identical to those in DMIS and RCMAS.

RAPS population projections are calculated using the total service POM active duty endstrength projections and projected estimates of retirees by age group, obtained from OASD(HA)/HB&P and rates of paid retirees, as reported by the Office of the DoD Actuary, adjusted for regional migration patterns computed from historical DEERS data.

Effective with the release of version 4.40, the population module now accounts for base realignments and closures (BRAC). User selection of projection years automatically incorporates the series of modifications corresponding to the BRAC changes for the years prior to and including the selected projection year. Output reports are marked with the currently implemented version of BRAC.

Service Obligation population reports are new reports reflecting the total population to which a service is obligated to provide medical care. That is the total population in a given service's catchment areas plus the same service's beneficiaries from non-catchment areas. These reports are available at various levels of aggregation (e.g., worldwide, US/overseas, DoD region). Note, similar service obligation reports are available for nondirect care cost and utilization in the cost and utilization modules.

The geographic distinctions, or locations, used in RAPS consist of various individual entities and aggregations of those entities. For population reports, the individual entities available to the user include inpatient catchment/noncatchment areas, 40-mile hospital circles, 20-mile clinic circles, and the Air Force Provider Requirements Integrated Specialty Model (PRISM) clinic catchment areas. Below is a description of each of these entities:

(1) INPATIENT CATCHMENT AREAS are defined as sets of zip codes having centroids within 40 miles of the zip code of a US military hospital (based on the July 1990 DoD catchment area definition and zip code data), with rules for unique allocation of the beneficiaries in zip codes within 40 miles of more than one hospital, and allowances for natural barriers. NONCATCHMENT AREA population for a given state includes beneficiaries whose zip codes are within the state but not within any catchment area. Since the catchment area population for a given state may include beneficiaries residing in a bordering state, it is important to note that, in some cases, adding the catchment and noncatchment populations for a state will not represent the state's total beneficiary population.

(2) 40-MILE HOSPITAL CIRCLES for facilities consist of the set of all zip codes whose centroids are within 40 miles of a hospital zip

code centroid. In other words, the 40-mile circle population for a hospital represents an estimate of the number of beneficiaries within its 40-mile radius regardless of the proximity of other hospitals in the area. Beneficiary populations in the overlap between 40-mile circles is counted as part of each circle to which they belong. Therefore, aggregations of overlapping 40-mile circles will result in double counting of populations in overlapping regions.

(3) 20-MILE CLINIC CIRCLES are defined similarly to 40-mile hospital circles. Again, population in the overlap between circles is counted as part of each circle, so that aggregations may result in double counting.

(4) PRISM CLINIC CATCHMENT AREAS consist of populations residing in the set of zip codes within 20 miles of a clinic (based on June 1990 zip code data), where overlap populations (within 20 miles of more than one facility) have been uniquely allocated.

Aggregations of individual entities include, as appropriate, the following: states/countries, DoD regions, US or overseas, and worldwide. Within each of the above aggregations, population reports are available by service branch. In addition, service obligation population reports are now available, reflecting the total population to which a service is obligated to provide medical care. That is the total population in a given service's catchment areas plus the same service's beneficiaries from noncatchment areas. Note, reports of sponsors assigned ship FPOs and beneficiaries at unknown locations can be obtained through the states/countries, DoD regions, US/overseas, and the worldwide aggregation options.

The RAPS model produces four standard types of population projection reports: beneficiary populations by age/sex, Navy afloat component of active duty and dependent populations, beneficiary populations by sponsor service branch, and beneficiary populations by location. In addition to being contained in its own report, the Navy afloat component of active duty and dependent populations is included in the report of beneficiary populations by sponsor service branch. The report of beneficiary populations by age/sex is available, for individual locations, in a flat data file format for easy downloading and input to other software analysis tools. These report types are described below:

(1) BENEFICIARY POPULATION BY AGE/SEX - This report provides projections of beneficiary populations by beneficiary category, age, and sex, based on counts of medically eligible beneficiaries enrolled in DEERS on 30 September 1990. The first column identifies the age/sex category and the following columns provide the population projections for each beneficiary type. Note that guard/reserve population counts include guard and reserve on active status 30 days or more as of 30 September 1990. Navy afloat beneficiaries are included in the population projections in this report.

(2) NAVY AFLOAT COMPONENT OF ACTIVE DUTY AND DEPENDENT POPULATIONS - This report provides population projections by age and sex for the Navy afloat component, based on counts of medically eligible beneficiaries enrolled in DEERS on 30 September 1990. The left half of the table contains projections for males, while the right half contains projections for females. The last line provides the total Navy afloat component (males and females combined). Navy afloat personnel are assigned to locations based upon a list of...

Codes (ULCs) and home port zip codes (provided to DEERS by the Navy Military Personnel Command).

(3) BENEFICIARY POPULATION BY SPONSOR SERVICE BRANCH - The first column in the beneficiary population by sponsor service branch report identifies the service branch, while the remaining columns give population by beneficiary category. Population projections are based on counts of medically eligible beneficiaries enrolled in DEERS on 30 September 1990.

(4) BENEFICIARY POPULATION BY LOCATION - This report summarizes population by location (i.e., geographic distinction), based on counts of medically eligible beneficiaries enrolled in DEERS on 30 September 1990. The first column identifies the location and location composition, while the remaining columns report total population of each beneficiary category.

(5) DATA FILE OF BENEFICIARY POPULATION BY AGE/SEX - This file contains projections of beneficiary populations by beneficiary type, age, and sex, based on counts of medically eligible beneficiaries enrolled in DEERS on 30 September 1990. Each reported location occupies eight lines in the file. The first line contains three fields:

- (1) the projection year,
- (2) the location identifier,
- (3) the location name, and
- (4) the location composition indicator

where:

- 0 = an individual facility
- 1 = Army noncatchment beneficiaries
- 2 = Navy noncatchment beneficiaries
- 3 = Navy afloat noncatchment beneficiaries
- 4 = USMC noncatchment beneficiaries
- 5 = USAF noncatchment beneficiaries
- 6 = USCG noncatchment beneficiaries
- 7 = other noncatchment beneficiaries
- 8 = all DoD noncatchment beneficiaries
- 9 = Army catchment beneficiaries
- 10 = Navy catchment beneficiaries
- 11 = USAF catchment beneficiaries
- 12 = other catchment beneficiaries
- 13 = all DoD catchment beneficiaries
- 14 = Army service obligations
- 15 = Navy service obligations, includes afloat and USMC
- 16 = USAF service obligations
- 17 = other service obligations, includes USCG
- 18 = all DoD service obligations.

The remaining seven lines--one line for beneficiary type--contain population by age/sex group. Each line contains 20 fields:

- (1) the projection year,
- (2) the location identifier,
- (3) the location composition indicator (listed above),
- (4) the beneficiary type indicator where:
 - 1 = active duty
 - 2 = dependents of active duty
 - 3 = guard/reserve
 - 4 = dependents of guard/reserve

5 = retired

6 = dependents of retired

7 = survivors.

- (5) males aged 0 - 4,
- (6) males aged 5 - 14,
- (7) males aged 15 - 17,
- (8) males aged 18 - 24,
- (9) males aged 25 - 34,
- (10) males aged 35 - 44,
- (11) males aged 45 - 64,
- (12) males aged 65 and over,
- (13) females aged 0 - 4,
- (14) females aged 5 - 14,
- (15) females aged 15 - 17,
- (16) females aged 18 - 24,
- (17) females aged 25 - 34,
- (18) females aged 35 - 44,
- (19) females aged 45 - 64,
- (20) females aged 65 and over.

INSTALLATION WORKSHEET

WPAFB, OH

PURPOSE: BRAC 95 Joint Cross-Service Group Data Call, Section 2: Facilities. (Air Staff Request).

SOURCE: Attachment 1, DOD Medical/Dental Facilities Condition Assessment Document, highlights the major deficiencies by a code obtained on back side of the DD 2407 (Atch 6). The codes on our Attachment 1 were accomplished in conjunction with the HFO-ER during their facility utilization study (FUS) in 1991.

Attachment 2, Base Medical Facilities Listing, shows the actual square footage. Attachment 3, USAF Real Property Inventory Change Report, 4 Oct 93. The Base Medical Facilities listing was obtained from the Real Estate People from HAF-LEE(AR) 7115, but validated with the 4 Mar 94 document, not available prior to completing this. However the square footage for 10830 increased from 762,128 to 764,423 and no one knows why because nothing was done to increase the square footage.

Attachment 4, Health Facilities Office Letter, 28 Jun 91.

Attachment 5, Overall Assessment of Base Medical Facilities, which is a subjective evaluation completed by Lt Col Thomas Romeyn and MSgt John Halley in our Facility Management Division. This was done in conjunction with our inhouse CE work force.

Attachment 6, DD Form 2407 Reverse, Oct 85.

METHOD: Study and analysis of all buildings. The 645th Medical Group has three buildings that support patient care:

10830--main facility that was originally built in 1956 but had an extensive add alteration from 1982 through 1989.

10219--Pediatric Clinic. Built in 1942 originally for the base hospital.

20040--Occupational Medicine Clinic and Bioenvironmental Engineering Services, built in 1944, has major deficiencies (interior, roof, power, HVAC and plumbing) but is slated for a military construction project in 1998.

CONCLUSION: Attachment 1 illustrates by building the percent adequate, percent substandard and facility deficiency codes. The 645th Medical Group has three additional buildings that support the medical mission:

30174--Materiel Services that is considered completely inadequate but is in the process of being moved to building 10830. The remaining functions in building 174 are the brace shop, which is scheduled for a facility project in our unfinished basement is 10830 and the medical library archives. Therefore, the major deficiencies for 30174 will be corrected by moving out of the building.

30089--houses a portion of our war readiness materiel and mobility gear,

10840--our electric power building is the last support building. Building 10840 was built in 1986 as a part of the MCP. The information used for this was provided by the 645th ABW/DEER (Real Estate), Health Facilities Office (HFO) Eastern Region (ER) Atlanta.

The medical center has two other base buildings that are not technically classified as medical buildings, but house medical personnel: (1) 30435--Animal Clinic. A building initially constructed in 1944 but renovated in 1976 and (2) 20006--currently an old hangar that provides space for an annex gym on ground level and will have its top two floors used for our Tricare staff. The space, structure building, plumbing and power are considered adequate in both. The 645th Medical Group had a FUS completed by HFO-ER, 28 Jun 91, which addressed the square footage deficiencies for 10830 (Atch 4).

Preparer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Gary W. Erickson Date: 7 Jun 94

GARY W. ERICKSON, Lt Col, 645 MED GRP/SGAM, DSN 787-9120

MAJCOM Reviewer: I certify the above information is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Charles E. Potter Date: 14 Jun 94

CHARLES E. POTTER, Capt, HQ AFMC/SGAP, DSN 787-7224

J. Anderson
 JOHN A. ANDERSON, Col, USAF, MC
 Commander

DOD MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITIES CONDITION ASSESSMENT DOCUMENT (FCAD) <small>(All entries must be typewritten)</small>	REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-H(A)1707	DMIS IDENTIFICATION NO.
---	---	--------------------------------

1. FACILITY NAME Air Force Clinic		
---	--	--

2. UIC FFGM20	3. CATEGORY CODE 510411	4. NO. OF BUILDINGS 30219	5. SIZE				
6. LOCATION ZHTV		a. City WPAFB	b. State OH	c. Country USA	a. GSF 33,124	b. Normal Beds 0	c. DTRS 0

8. JCAH ACCREDITATION X		a. Yes	c. No	7. CONSTRUCTION	
b. Facility Contingent Acc.		d. N/A	a. Year Built 1942	b. Construction type Permanent	c. Year Modernized N/A

FUNCTION/SYSTEM a	% ADEQUATE b	% SUBSTANDARD c	% INADEQUATE d	DEFICIENCY CODES e	DOD USE ONLY WEIGHT FACTOR f
(1) ACCESS & PARKING	80	20		D18 (SITE location)	
(2) ADMINISTRATION	100				
(3) CENTRAL STER. SVS.	N/A				
(4) DENTAL	N/A				
EMERGENCY SERVICES	N/A				
(6) FOOD SERVICES	N/A				
(7) LABORATORIES	100				
(8) LOGISTICS	100				
(9) INPATIENT NURSING UNITS	N/A				
(10) LABOR-DEL-NURSERY	100				
(11) OUTPATIENT CLINICS	100				
(12) PHARMACY	100				
(13) RADIOLOGY	N/A				
(14) SURGICAL SUITE	N/A				
(15) BUILDING					
(a) Structural/Seismic		100			
(b) HVAC		100			
(c) Plumbing		100			
(d) Electrical Service		100			
(e) Electrical Distribution		100			
(f) Emergency Power		N/A			

FOR DOD USE ONLY	10. WEIGHTED FACTOR
-------------------------	----------------------------

John A. Anderson
 JOHN A. ANDERSON, Col, USAF, MC
 Commander

DOD MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITIES CONDITION ASSESSMENT DOCUMENT (FCAD) (All entries must be typewritten)	REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-H(A)1707	DMIS IDENTIFICATION NO.
--	---	-------------------------

1. FACILITY NAME Occupational Medicine Services					
2. UIC FFGM20	3. CATEGORY CODE 550145	4. NO. OF BUILDINGS 20040	5. SIZE		c. DTRS
			a. GSF 21,543	b. Normal Beds 0	0
6. LOCATION ZHTV	a. City WPAFB	b. State OH	c. Country USA		7. CONSTRUCTION
8. JCAH ACCREDITATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	a. Yes	c. No	a. Year Built 1944	b. Construction type Permanent
		b. Facility Contingent Acc.	d. N/A		c. Year Modernized N/A

9. FACILITY ASSESSMENT	%	%	%	DEFICIENCY CODES	DOD USE ONLY WEIGHT FACTOR
FUNCTION / SYSTEM a	ADEQUATE b	SUBSTANDARD c	INADEQUATE d	e	f
(1) ACCESS & PARKING	10	90			
(2) ADMINISTRATION	80	20			
(3) CENTRAL STER. SVS.	N/A				
(4) DENTAL	N/A				
(5) EMERGENCY SERVICES	N/A				
(6) FOOD SERVICES	N/A				
(7) LABORATORIES	90	10			
(8) LOGISTICS	70	30		B12 (Space Configuration)	
(9) INPATIENT NURSING UNITS	N/A				
(10) LABOR-DEL-NURSERY	N/A				
(11) OUTPATIENT CLINICS	40	60			
(12) PHARMACY	90	10			
(13) RADIOLOGY	70	30		C12 Design Configuration	
(14) SURGICAL SUITE	N/A				
(15) BUILDING					
(a) Structural/Seismic	60	40		A11, A12 ROOF/Interior	
(b) HVAC	50	50		A01 Condition	
(c) Plumbing	70	30		A02 Condition	
(d) Electrical Service	70	30		C06 Power Design	
(e) Electrical Distribution	70	30			
(f) Emergency Power	N/A				

FOR DOD USE ONLY	10. WEIGHTED FACTOR
------------------	---------------------

John A. Anderson
 JOHN A. ANDERSON, Col, USAF, MC
 Commander

DOD MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITIES CONDITION ASSESSMENT DOCUMENT (FCAD) <small>(All entries must be typewritten)</small>	REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL DD-H(A)1707	DMIS IDENTIFICATION NO.
---	---	--------------------------------

1. FACILITY NAME WHSE SUP & EQUIP BSE						
2. UIC FFGM20	3. CATEGORY CODE	4. NO. OF BUILDINGS 30253		5. SIZE		
6. LOCATION		a. City	b. State	c. Country	a. GSF 450	b. Normal Beds N/A
8. JCAH ACCREDITATION		a. Yes	c. No	7. CONSTRUCTION		
		b. Facility Contingent Acc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	d. N/A	a. Year Built Unknown	b. Construction type Permanent	c. Year Modernized Unknown

9. FACILITY ASSESSMENT	FUNCTION/SYSTEM a	% ADEQUATE b	% SUBSTANDARD c	% INADEQUATE d	DEFICIENCY CODES e	DOD USE ONLY WEIGHT FACTOR f
(1) ACCESS & PARKING		N/A XXX 100				
(2) ADMINISTRATION		N/A				
(3) CENTRAL STER. SVS.		N/A				
(4) DENTAL		N/A				
(5) EMERGENCY SERVICES		N/A				
(6) FOOD SERVICES		N/A				
(7) LABORATORIES		N/A				
(8) LOGISTICS		100				
(9) INPATIENT NURSING UNITS		N/A				
(10) LABOR-DEL-NURSERY		100				
(11) OUTPATIENT CLINICS		N/A				
(12) PHARMACY		N/A				
(13) RADIOLOGY		N/A				
(14) SURGICAL SUITE		N/A				
(15) BUILDING						
(a) Structural/Seismic			100			
(b) HVAC			100			
(c) Plumbing			100			
(d) Electrical Service			100			
(e) Electrical Distribution			100			
(f) Emergency Power		N/A				

FOR DOD USE ONLY	10. WEIGHTED FACTOR
-------------------------	----------------------------

JOHN A. ANDERSON, Col, USAF, MC
Commander

DOD MEDICAL/DENTAL FACILITIES CONDITION ASSESSMENT DOCUMENT (FCAD) <small>(All entries must be typewritten)</small>	REPORT CONTROL SYMBOL	DMIS IDENTIFICATION NO.
	DD-H(A)1707	

1. FACILITY NAME Materiel Services Facility						
2. UIC FFGM20	3. CATEGORY CODE 510713	4. NO. OF BUILDINGS 30174		5. SIZE		
6. LOCATION ZHTV		a. City WPAFB	b. State OH	c. Country USA	a. GSF 40,000	b. Normal Beds N/A
8. JCAH ACCREDITATION		X	a. Yes	c. No	7. CONSTRUCTION	
			b. Facility Contingent Acc.	d. N/A	a. Year Built 1942	b. Construction Type Permanent
					c. Year Modernized N/A	

9. FACILITY ASSESSMENT					
FUNCTION/SYSTEM a	% ADEQUATE b	% SUBSTANDARD c	% INADEQUATE d	DEFICIENCY CODES e	DOD USE ONLY WEIGHT FACTOR f
(1) ACCESS & PARKING	50	50			
(2) ADMINISTRATION	80	20			
(3) CENTRAL STER. SVS.	N/A				
(4) DENTAL	N/A				
EMERGENCY SERVICES	N/A				
(6) FOOD SERVICES	N/A				
(7) LABORATORIES	N/A				
(8) LOGISTICS	90	10			
(9) INPATIENT NURSING UNITS	N/A				
(10) LABOR-DEL-NURSERY	N/A				
(11) OUTPATIENT CLINICS	40	60		A12, D18	
(12) PHARMACY	N/A				
(13) RADIOLOGY	N/A				
(14) SURGICAL SUITE	N/A				
(15) BUILDING					
(a) Structural/Seismic			100		
(b) HVAC			100		
(c) Plumbing			100		
(d) Electrical Service			100		
(e) Electrical Distribution			100		
(f) Emergency Power	N/A				

FOR DOD USE ONLY

10. WEIGHTED FACTOR

V:RSTON 402.000.000 93/05/01 ERT115 ERXK0BJ

INSTALLATION: WRIGHT-PATT LHTV CMD: NTC

NR	M C D C	CU D RC	CODE	CATEGORY	DESCRPTION	VACANT	OUT-NL	OUT-LS	TOTAL UM	AMOUNT UM	PAID	RECD	TO GOVT	COST	EST VALUE	CR	YI
30171	1	P	1	X	IM				648	KG				7662.55		51	
					841166 WTR WELL												
30172	1	P	2	A	IM				1289503.37					980.00		41	
					821113 MTG FR CEN PLY												
30172	1	P	1	X	IM				1088040.00					90		71	
					843316 WTR FR PMP STN												
30172	1	P	2	X	IM				51374.75					90		90	
					843319 FR PROTEC WTR STOR												
30172	1	P	1	X	IM				4213.47					81		81	
					880211 CLSD HD A/SPRINK												
30172	1	P	1	X	IM				3131.15					81		81	
					880221 AUTO FR DETCTM SYS												
30173	1	P	1	A	IM				2917.51					71		71	
					841169 BLDG WTR SUP												
30174	1	S	3	B	IM				641014.36					42		42	
					510713 MATERIAL SERVICES												
30174	1	S	3	D	IM				3333 SF					42		42	
					442515 HEG STOR (WRM)												
30174	1	S	3	D	IM				36657 SF					42		42	
					510713 MATERIAL SERVICES												
30174	1	S	3	X	IM				40000 SF					72		72	
					821113 HTG FR CEN PLY												
30174	1	S	1	X	IM				9448.00					71		71	
					872841 SCTY ALARM SYS												
30174	1	S	1	X	IM				30000.00					71		71	
					880211 CLSD HD A/SPRINK												
30174	1	S	1	X	IM				40449.08					71		71	
					890121 A/C PLY 5 TO 25 TN												
30174	1	S	1	X	IM				25729.90					81		81	
					890125 A/C PLY, LS 5 TN												
30174	1	S	3	X	IM				2500.00					81		81	
					890152 LOAD EUNLOAD AREA												
30175	1	P	1	A	IM				129015.00					81		81	
					219947 BE STOR SLED												
30176	1	P	1	A	IM				28034.22					81		81	
					219947 BE STOR SLED												
30181	1	P	1	A	IM				32544.23					81		81	
					842249 WTR PMP STN												
30181	1	P	1	X	IM				30571.69					41		41	
					841166 WTR WELL												
30182	1	P	1	X	IM				13903.00					41		41	
					841166 WTR WELL												
30182	1	P	3	A	IM				266 SF					41		41	
					842249 WTR PMP STN												
30182	1	P	1	X	IM				1440 KG					41		41	
					841166 WTR WELL												
30199	1	P	2	A	IM				31740.77					41		41	
					740316 RECREATION CENTER												
30199	1	P	1	X	IM				5542.55					41		41	
					890126 A/C WINDOW UNITS												
30199	1	P	1	X	IM				27966.49					40		40	
					740316 RECREATION CENTER												
30201	1	S	1	D	IM				5000.00					89		89	
					141453 OPS, 9SE												
30201	1	S	1	D	IL				1871.19					78		78	
					141753 SQ OPS												
30201	1	S	1	D	ON				214792.92					43		43	
					610282 MJ AF												
30201	1	S	1	D	OJ				36861.44					75		75	
					610287 MJ, SPECIFIED												
30201	1	S	1	X	IL				1341.85					68		68	
					826122 A/C PLY 25-100 TN												
30201	1	S	1	X	IL				3600.00					79		79	
					880221 AUTO FA DETCTM SYS												
30201	1	S	1	X	IL									79		79	
					880222 MAN FR ALRM/I SYS												

VELOCITY 4.02.000.000 93/05/01 27115 ERRORS

INSTALLATION: WRIGHT-PATT LHTV CMD: NYC

MR	NTC	CD	CA	AM	WH	CODE	DESCRIPTION	VACANT	OUT-ML	CUT-LS	TOTAL	UM	AMOUNT	UM	PAID	RECD	COST	EST	YR
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****

10928	1	P	2	D	IM	442758	WHSE SUP/EQUIP BSC				1500	SF							58
10828	1	P	2	D	IM	610711	UPI				17040	SF							58
10828	1	P	1	D	IM	740735	RESTAURANT, TASE				3623	SF							58
10928	1	P	1	X	IM	821113	MTG FR GEN PLT				200833	SF							72
10828	1	P	2	X	IM	826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TM						200	TM					72
10828	1	P	1	X	IM	826123	A/C PLT OV 100 TM						525	TM					74
10828	1	P	1	X	IM	841165	WT-2 SUP TRMT						180	KG					68
10828	1	P	1	X	IM	872841	SCTY ALARM SYS						31	EA					77
10828	1	P	1	X	IM	880211	CLSD HD A/SPATNK				63950	SF		589	HD				75
10828	1	P	1	X	IM	880221	AUTO FR DETECTN SYS				44150	SF		5	EA				65
10828	1	P	1	X	IM	880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS							13	EA				75
10828	1	P	2	X	IM	890121	A/C PLT 5 TO 25 TM				290	SF		35	TM				58
10828	1	P	1	X	IM	890152	LOAD UNLOAD AREA												85

10829	1	P	2	B	IM	141745	PHOTO LAB, RECON				41542	SF							64
10829	1	P	1	D	IM	141387	FILM STOR VAULT				5440	SF							64
10829	1	P	1	D	IM	141454	OPS, SP				2400	SF							64
10829	1	P	2	D	IM	141745	PHOTO LAB, RECON				33202	SF							64
10829	1	P	1	D	IM	610711	OPI				500	SF							64
10829	1	P	1	X	IM	821113	MTG FR GEN PLT				40422	SF							72
10829	1	P	1	X	IM	826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TM						75	TM					82
10829	1	P	1	X	IM	826123	A/C PLT OV 100 TM						500	TM					85
10829	1	P	1	X	IM	872841	SCTY ALARM SYS						37	EA					68
10829	1	P	1	X	IM	880221	AUTO FR DETECTN SYS				40422	SF		1	EA				79
10829	1	P	1	X	IM	880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS							13	EA				79
10829	1	P	1	X	IM	890121	A/C PLT 5 TO 25 TM							15	TM				64

10830	1	P	2	D	IM	510001	COMPOSITE MED				762128	SF							56
10830	1	P	2	D	IM	219945	BE HOSP MAINT SHP				50257	SF							56
10830	1	P	2	D	IM	510125	MED COMD & ADMIN				40128	SF							56
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510143	PATMOLOGY				32666	SF							56
10830	1	P	2	D	IM	510147	PHARMACY				13202	SF							56
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510148	PHYSICAL THERAPY				15107	SF							56
10830	1	P	2	D	IM	510149	RAIOLOGY				24649	SF							56
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510175	FLT SURG CLINIC				9466	SF							86
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510212	FOOD SERVICE				16740	SF							56
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510264	AMB SHELTER				4292	SF							86
10830	1	P	2	D	IM	510275	NURSING SERVICES				108162	SF							56
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510342	OBSTETRICAL SVC				21203	SF							56
10830	1	P	2	D	IM	510411	AF CLINIC				175789	SF							56
10830	1	P	2	D	IM	510672	SURGICAL SERVICE				66281	SF							56
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510712	HOSP GEN STERILIZN				12159	SF							54
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510713	MATERIAL SERVICES				51503	SF							56
10830	1	P	1	D	IM	510915	PATIENT WELFARE				2925	SF							56

2295

62098026.55

PREPARED: 4 OCT 93 15110

USAF REAL PROPERTY INVENTORY CHANGE REPORT

AS OF: 4 OCT 93

HAF-LEE(AR)7115

VERSION 4.02.000.000 93/05/01

FR7115 ERXK0BJ

INSTALLATIONS: WRIGHT-PATT

ZMTV

CMD: MTC

PAC	J	T	C	C	CM	A	BH	-----CATEGORY-----	-----AREA-----	*-OTHER--*	*-RENT-----*	COST	EST	YR							
NR	N	C	D	C	CD	D	RD	CODE	DESCRIPTION	VACANT	OUT-NL	OUT-LS	TOTAL	UM	AMOUNT	UM	PAID	RECD	TO GOVT	VALUE	CM
****	*	*	*	*	**	*	**	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	**	*****	**	*****	*****	*****	*****	**
0038	1	P	1	X	1M			821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				28450	SF					1488.00		72
0038	1	P	1	X	1M			880211	CLSD HD A/SPRINK				28450	SF	422	HD			29450.00		75
0038	1	P	1	X	1M			880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS						2	EA			1200.00		75
0039	1	P	2	A	1M			311173	ACFT RSCH ENG				18319	SF					670555.00		31
0039	1	P	1	X	1M			821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				18319	SF					6110.00		72
0039	1	P	1	X	1M			826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TM						100	TM			182334.24		82
0039	1	P	1	X	1M			880211	CLSD HD A/SPRINK				18319	SF	362	HD			46619.00		75
0039	1	P	1	X	1M			880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS						1	EA			900.00		75
0040	1	S	1	B				550145	OCC MEDICINE SVC				21543	SF					656035.91		44
0040	1	S	1	D	1M			171214	PHYSL TNG				1712	SF							44
0040	1	S	1	D	1M			510176	ENVIRO HEALTH				5132	SF							44
0040	1	S	1	D	1M			540243	DEM CLINIC				1136	SF	2	OU					44
0040	1	S	1	D	1M			550145	OCC MEDICINE SVC				13563	SF							44
0040	1	S	1	X	1M			821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				21543	SF					17344.00		72
0040	1	S	1	X	1M			826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TM						50	TM			74378.90		44
0040	1	S	1	X	1M			880211	CLSD HD A/SPRINK				21543	SF	253	HD			21543.00		75
0040	1	S	1	X	1M			880221	AUTO FR DTECTN SYS				21543	SF	1	EA			12564.44		77
0040	1	S	1	X	1M			880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS						3	EA			1964.99		75
0042	1	P	3	B				219946	BE STOR CV FCLTY				19046	SF					557774.34		43
0042	1	P	3	D	1M			219946	BE STOR CV FCLTY				12125	SF							90
0042	1	P	3	D	1M			311173	ACFT RSCH ENG				6921	SF							90
0042	1	P	1	X	1M			821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				19046	SF					41164.00		72
0042	1	P	1	X	1M			880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS						3	EA			1200.00		75
0042	1	P	1	X	1M			880231	CO2 FR SYS						1	EA			7293.56		43
0045	1	P	1	B				311114	ACFT DY RSCH ENG				149151	SF					3987602.47		43
0045	1	P	1	D	1M			311114	ACFT DY RSCH ENG				63997	SF							84
0045	1	P	1	D	1M			319441	EQUIP RSCH LAB				12600	SF							43
0045	1	P	1	D	1M			610287	HQ, SPECIFIED				60113	SF							83
0045	1	P	1	D	1M			740735	RESTAURANT, BASE				6874	SF							43
0045	1	P	2	D	1M			890187	UTIL VAULT				5567	SF							43
0045	1	P	1	X	1M			821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				143151	SF					129724.00		72
0045	1	P	1	X	1M			826123	A/C PLT DV 100 TM						245	TM			196000.00		82
0045	1	P	1	X	1M			872841	SCTY ALARM SYS						1	EA			537.20		72
0045	1	P	1	X	1M			880211	CLSD HD A/SPRINK				2002	SF	200	HD			14600.00		79
0045	1	P	1	X	1M			880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS						17	EA			5000.00		75
0045	1	P	1	X	1M			890125	A/C PLT, LS 5 TM				1500	SF	3	TM			1500.00		82
0045	1	P	1	X	1M			890272	EMCS FIELD EQUIP						4	EA			20000.00		80
0046	1	P	1	B				311173	ACFT RSCH ENG				63674	SF					2945174.60		79
0046	1	P	1	D				311173	ACFT RSCH ENG				63011	SF							83

11400 1 T
11400 1 T

INSTALLATION: WRIGHT-PATT ZMTV CMO: MTC

FAC	ITC	CM	A	BH	-----	CATEGORY	-----	DESCRIPTION	VACANT	OUT-NL	OUT-LS	TOTAL	UM	A	UM	OTHER	UM	PAID	RECD	-----	COST	EST	VALUE	CM	YR
NR	M	C	O	C	D	R	D	CODE	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
11401	1	P	1	A	0Y	217742	AFCS	MAINT	FCLTY			3000	SF								102557.66			87	
11405	1	T	2	A	0Y	217752	SHP	NET	EQUIP			10207	SF								391602.64			44	
11405	1	T	1	X	0Y	821113	HTG	FR	CEN	PLT		10207	SF								16669.82			72	
11405	1	T	1	X	0Y	826122	A/C	PLT	25-100	TM											8500.00			44	
11405	1	T	1	X	0Y	880221	AUTO	FR	DTECTM	SYS		10207	SF								4832.85			68	
11405	1	T	1	X	0Y	880222	MAN	FR	ALRM/I	SYS											5800.00			75	
11405	1	T	1	X	0Y	890121	A/C	PLT	5 TO 25	TM											2000.00			44	
11416	1	T	2	A	0Y	217742	AFCS	MAINT	FCLTY			5564	SF								165567.59			44	
11416	1	T	1	X	0Y	880221	AUTO	FR	DTECTM	SYS		5564	SF								1000.00			79	
11416	1	T	1	X	0Y	880222	MAN	FR	ALRM/I	SYS											4800.00			79	
11416	1	T	1	X	0Y	890121	A/C	PLT	5 TO 25	TM											19429.30			82	
11418	1	T	2	A	1M	171158	BAND	CENTER				11500	SF							358462.71			41		
11418	1	T	3	X	1M	821113	HTG	FR	CEN	PLT		4070	SF								1330.00			71	
11418	1	T	1	X	1M	880221	AUTO	FR	DTECTM	SYS		4430	SF								1000.00			71	
11418	1	T	1	X	1M	880222	MAN	FR	ALRM/I	SYS											4000.00			71	
11418	1	T	1	X	1M	890121	A/C	PLT	5 TO 25	TM											19921.76			81	
11420	1	S	2	A	1M	171158	BAND	CENTER				4070	SF							130298.71			41		
11420	1	S	3	X	1M	821113	HTG	FR	CEN	PLT		4070	SF								1032.00			71	
11420	1	S	1	X	1M	380221	AUTO	FR	DTECTM	SYS		4070	SF								799.85			6	
11420	1	S	1	X	1M	880222	MAN	FR	ALRM/I	SYS											3000.00			7	
11420	1	S	1	X	1M	890121	A/C	PLT	5 TO 25	TM											6540.00			4	
11421	1	T	2	A	0Y	217742	AFCS	MAINT	FCLTY			4070	SF								68262.86			4	
11421	1	T	3	X	0Y	821113	HTG	FR	CEN	PLT		4070	SF								936.00			7	
11421	1	T	1	X	0Y	880222	MAN	FR	ALRM/I	SYS											4396.27			8	
11421	1	T	1	X	0Y	890126	A/C	WINDOW	UNITS			1900	SF								2975.19			7	
11435	1	T	1	B		310176	EMERGENCY	HEALTH				2164	SF								77826.42			4	
11435	1	T	1	D	1M	310176	EMERGENCY	HEALTH				1247	SF											4	
11435	1	T	1	D	1M	740276	ANIMAL	CLINIC				917	SF								3147.89			1	
11435	1	T	1	X	1M	880221	AUTO	FR	DTECTM	SYS		2164	SF								11800.00			1	
11435	1	T	2	X	1M	890121	A/C	PLT	5 TO 25	TM														1	
11455	1	P	2	B		131111	COMM	FCLTY				18898	SF								398984.34			1	
11455	1	P	2	D	0Y	131111	COMM	FCLTY				17325	SF											1	
11455	1	P	1	D	1M	890187	UTIL	VAULT				1573	SF											1	
11455	1	P	1	X	0Y	821113	HTG	FR	CEN	PLT		18898	SF								12079.00			44	
11455	1	P	1	X	0Y	826122	A/C	PLT	25-100	TM											22349.59			44	
11455	1	P	1	X	0Y	880221	AUTO	FR	DTECTM	SYS											2500.00			44	
11455	1	P	1	X	0Y	880222	MAN	FR	ALRM/I	SYS		18898	SF								5800.00			44	

NO longer use
 They were
 the whole body now

INSTALLATION: WRIGHT-PATT ZMTV 7115 ERXK00J CND: MTC

HR	N	C	D	C	D	C	D	U	R	C	A	B	H	GOLE	DESCRIPTION	VACANT	CUT-NL	OUT-LS	TOTAL	UM	AMOUNT	UM	PAID	RECD	COST	EST	VALUE	CM	YR
30070	1	S	2	X	1	M								821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				11615	SF	160	EA			47876.10		72		
30070	1	S	2	X	1	M								826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TM				11615	SF	5	EA			86593.20		69		
30070	1	S	2	X	1	M								872841	SCTY ALARM SYS				11615	SF	1916	HD			37184.00		76		
30070	1	S	1	X	1	M								830211	CLSD MD A/SPRINK				11615	SF	7	EA			153673.48		75		
30070	1	S	1	X	1	M								880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS				11615	SF	60	TM			15274.45		79		
30070	1	S	2	X	1	M								890121	A/C PLT 5 TO 25 TM				2144	SF	8	TM			51138.98		43		
30070	1	S	1	X	1	M								890125	A/C PLT, LS 5 TM				500	SF	1	TM			14377.20		82		
30070	1	S	1	X	1	M								890126	A/C WINDOW UNITS				2312	SF	1	EA			400.00		82		
30070	1	S	2	X	1	M								890152	LOAD UNLOAD AREA				700	SF					34902.03		82		
30070	1	S	1	X	1	M								890197	WEIGHING SCALE						1	EA			52324.00		86		
30071	1	S	2	A	1	M								810142	TRAFFIC MGT PCLTY				49128	SF					325965.75		43		
30071	1	S	1	X	1	M								821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				49128	SF	1	EA			1070.00		72		
30071	1	S	1	X	1	M								872841	SCTY ALARM SYS				49128	SF	743	HD			4669.55		74		
30071	1	S	1	X	1	M								880211	CLSD MD A/SPRINK				49128	SF	400	SF			52830.46		75		
30071	1	S	2	X	1	M								890125	A/C PLT, LS 5 TM				400	SF	1	TM			1833.50		85		
30071	1	S	1	X	1	M								890126	A/C WINDOW UNITS				880	SF	3	TM			666.00		86		
30072	1	P	1	A	1	M								442257	HAZARD STOR, BSE				7500	SF					107609.56		82		
30085	1	P	1	A	1	M								750422	GOLF CLUBHOUSE/EQUIP				256	SF					10159.49		85		
30088	1	P	2	A										166	711144	FAM HSG APPR PRYSN				6018	SF	1	FA			33499.51		27	
30088	1	P	1	X	1	M								821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				6018	SF					190.00		72		
30088	1	P	1	X	1	M								890121	A/C PLT 5 TO 25 TM						5	TM			5191.46		74		
30039	1	S	1	S	1	B								442758	WHSE SUPLEQUIP PSE				76492		178990	SF					3200868.47		43
30059	1	S	1	U	0	M								171443	RES FORCES G/TNG S				2353	SF								89	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	M								171621	TECH TNG CLASSROOM				3472	SF								43	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	M								442758	WHSE SUPLEQUIP PSE				75052		93828	SF						43	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	M								510713	MATERIAL SERVICES				5641	SF								84	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	M								530155	BLOOD PROCESS LAB				4915	SF								43	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	M								610249	HD W6				5434	SF								89	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	M								610281	HD CENTER				8551	SF								84	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	M								610284	HD MAJOR COMB				23882	SF								84	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	J								610287	HQ, SPECIFICN				3264	SF								84	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	J								610811	ADMN OFC, NJN-AF				1805	SF								43	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	J								740385	EXCH, MAINT SMP				7366	SF								43	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	J								740387	EXCH, RETAIL WHSE				5766	SF								43	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	J								740396	CENTRAL EXCH ADMN				10511	SF								43	
30089	1	S	1	U	0	J								890187	UTIL VAULT				2202	SF								43	
30089	1	S	1	X	60									821113	HTG FR CEN PLT				178990		50	TM			40086.00		72		
30089	1	S	2	X	60									826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TM				50		2	EA			24191.17		43		
30089	1	S	1	X	60									872841	SCTY ALARM SYS				2						30720.38		77		

INSTALL

PAV 0

ZMTV PAGE 147

INSTALLATION: WRIGHT-PATT ZMTV CND1 MTC

NR	FAC	ITCC	CD	CM	A	BH	-----	CATEGORY	-----	DESCRIPTION	VACANT	OUT-NL	OUT-LS	TOTAL	UM	AMOUNT	UM	PAID	RECD	COST	EST	YR
*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****	*****
30209	I	P	1	9				740302	EXCH, BPANCH					41740	SF					558967.07		41
30209	I	P	1	0	IM			730717	CLOTHING STORE					9932	SF							41
30209	I	P	1	0	IM			740301	EXCH, CAFE SNK BAR					1115	SF							41
30209	I	P	1	0	IM			740302	EXCH, BRANCH					17977	SF							41
30209	I	P	1	0	IM			740305	EXCH, MAINT SHP					1623	SF							41
30209	I	P	1	0	IM			740307	EXCH, RETAIL AMSE					6407	SF							41
30209	I	P	1	0	IM			740309	EXCH, SVC OUTLET					4603	SF							41
30209	I	P	1	0	IM			821113	HTG FR CEN PLT					41740	SF							41
30209	I	P	2	X	IM			826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TN					130	TN							69
30209	I	P	1	X	IM			872041	SCTY ALARM SYS					2	EA							77
30209	I	P	1	X	IM			880211	CLSD HD 4/SPRINK					663	HD							75
30209	I	P	1	X	IM			880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS					1	EA							49
30210	I	P	2	0	IM			610201	HQ CENTER					48977	SF					1206561.42		41
30210	I	P	2	0	IM			610201	HQ CENTER					45118	SF							41
30210	I	P	2	0	IM			610711	DPI					2730	SF							84
30210	I	P	3	X	IM			890187	UTIL VAULT					1129	SF							84
30210	I	P	3	X	IM			821113	HTG FR CEN PLT					43494	SF							72
30210	I	P	1	X	IM			826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TN					43494	SF							72
30210	I	P	1	X	IM			880211	CLSD HD 4/SPRINK					48977	SF							87
30210	I	P	1	X	IM			880221	AUTO FR DETCTN SYS					130	TN							41
30210	I	P	1	X	IM			880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS					301	HD							75
30210	I	P	1	X	IM			890121	A/C PLT 5 TO 25 TN					1	EA							87
30210	I	P	2	X	IM			890152	LOAD UNLOAD AREA					32	TN							49
30210	I	P	2	X	IM			890152	LOAD UNLOAD AREA					3331	SF							84
30210	I	P	2	X	IM			890152	LOAD UNLOAD AREA					1	EA							40
30211	I	P	1	4	0Y			131111	COMM FCLTY					128	SF							88
30219	I	P	2	0	IM			510411	AF CLINIC					33124	SF							42
30219	I	P	2	0	IM			510411	AF CLINIC					32180	SF							42
30219	I	P	2	0	IM			890187	UTIL VAULT					944	SF							42
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			821113	HTG FR CEN PLT					33124	SF							72
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			826122	A/C PLT 25-100 TN					100	TN							82
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			826123	A/C PLT DV 100 TN					125	TN							82
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			872041	SCTY ALARM SYS					1	EA							96
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			880211	CLSD HD 4/SPRINK					249	HD							75
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			880221	AUTO FR DETCTN SYS					1	EA							79
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			880222	MAN FR ALRM/I SYS					6	EA							79
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			890126	A/C WINDOW UNITS					4	EA							78
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			890126	A/C WINDOW UNITS					4	EA							78
30219	I	P	1	X	IM			890272	EMCS FIELD EQUIP					1	EA							84
30230	I	S	1	5	IM			610249	HQ HQ					7014	SF							41
30230	I	S	1	5	IM			610249	HQ HQ					6514	SF							41
30230	I	S	1	5	IM			890137	UTIL VAULT					500	SF							86

INSTALLATION: WRIGHT-PATT ZMTV

MR	NC	DC	CD	DRD	CODE	CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION	VACANT	OUT-ML	OUT-LS	TOTAL	UM	AMOUNT	OTHER	UM	PAID	RENT	PCDD	COST	GOVT	VALUE	EST	CM	YR
30112	1	S	1	A	1M	824462	GAS METER FCLTY				149 SF		1000.00						1000.00				43	40
30113	1	S	2	A	1M	824462	GAS METER FCLTY				170 SF		1000.00						1000.00				43	43
30114	1	S	2	B		610142	TRAFFIC PGT FCLTY				36000 SF		322524.94						322524.94				43	43
30114	1	S	2	D	1M	510713	MATERIAL SERVICES				3456 SF												43	43
30114	1	S	2	D	1M	610142	TRAFFIC MGT FCLTY				32544 SF												72	72
30114	1	S	2	X	1M	821113	HTG FR GEN PLT				36000 SF		1000.00						1000.00				75	75
30114	1	S	1	X	1M	880211	CLSD HC A/SPRINK				36000 SF	629 HD	36000.00						36000.00				82	82
30114	1	S	1	X	1M	890121	A/C PLT 5 TO 25 TM				500 SF	22 TM	13200.00						13200.00				88	88
30114	1	S	1	X	1M	890126	A/C WINDOW UNITS				500 SF	1 TM	1000.00						1000.00				88	88
30114	1	S	1	X	1M	890267	PNEUMATIC TUBE				500 SF	400 LR	21244.02						21244.02				78	78
30117	1	P	1	A	1M	832267	SAN SWAGE PMP STN				1150 SF		15000.00						15000.00				94	94
30118	1	P	1	A	1M	890187	UTIL VAULT				283 SF		1120.71						1120.71				44	44
30119	1	P	1	A	1M	740383	EXCH, SVC STN				3156 SF		60634.30						60634.30				57	57
30119	1	P	1	X	1M	821113	HTG FR GEN PLT				2740 SF		1236.00						1236.00				72	72
30119	1	P	1	X	1M	872841	SGTY ALARM SYS				2740 SF	1 EA	300.00						300.00				77	77
30119	1	P	1	X	1M	880221	AUTO FR DETCTN SYS				300 SF	1 EA	3269.43						3269.43				81	81
30119	1	P	1	X	1M	890126	A/C WINDOW UNITS				300 SF	1 TM	467.32						467.32				79	79
30123	1	P	1	A	1M	218642	SHP SMLTR LCMTV				5026 SF		49289.08						49289.08				42	42
30123	1	P	1	X	1M	880221	AUTO FR DETCTN SYS				5026 SF	1 EA	1000.00						1000.00				79	79
30123	1	P	1	X	1M	880222	MAN FR ALRM/1 SYS				500 SF	1 EA	1000.00						1000.00				79	79
30123	1	P	1	X	1M	890126	A/C WINDOW UNITS				500 SF	1 TM	385.95						385.95				86	86
30124	1	P	1	A	1M	730639	TRAFFIC CHR NSF				48 SF		62784.72						62784.72				84	84
30136	1	P	1	A	0M	218712	SHP A/SE STOR FCLT				675 SF		355395.84						355395.84				89	89
30136	1	P	1	X	0M	411128	STOR, SP L12				675 SF	31 BL	44496.00						44496.00				89	89
30136	1	P	1	X	0M	886211	CLSD MD A/SPRINK				540 SF	10 HD	6480.00						6480.00				89	89
30136	1	P	1	X	0M	880221	AUTO FR DETECTN SYS				540 SF	1 EA	4320.00						4320.00				89	89
30137	1	P	1	E	1M	813231	ELEC SUBSTATION				1000 KV		23033.64						23033.64				85	85
30138	1	P	1	A	0M	171873	A/PORT TNG FCLTY				2600 SF		104871.97						104871.97				87	87
30138	1	P	1	X	0M	880221	AUTO FR DETECTN SYS				2600 SF	1 EA	1283.96						1283.96				87	87
30138	1	P	1	X	0M	890121	L/C PLT 5 TO 25 TM				49 SF	8 TM	4091.91						4091.91				87	87
30139	1	P	1	A	1M	832267	SAN SWAGE PMP STN				49 SF		28792.05						28792.05				85	85
30141	1	P	3	A	1M	811149	ELEC PWR STN DLOG				526 SF		107851.38						107851.38				60	60



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

ADDRESS REPLY TO:

28 Jun 91

HEALTH FACILITIES OFFICE
EASTERN REGION
385 M.L. KING BLDG., 77 FORSYTH ST., S.W.
ATLANTA, GA 30335-6801

SUBJECT: Facility Utilization Study: USAF Medical Center Wright-Patterson
(WPMC), Wright Patterson AFB, OH

TO: WPMC/SG

1. Enclosed is the Facility Utilization Study Report from the site visit performed at your facility 8-12 Apr 91 by my office.
2. We have outlined a proposed program of various projects which, if implemented, would alleviate most of your serious spatial constraints and dysfunctions. This program includes a project(s) to develop the large (50,000SF) shell space in the basement. Unfortunately, such development may necessitate MCP funding which you know is quite scarce; however, there may be a chance that this development, or at least a good portion of it, could be sold as a life safety upgrade coupled with an upgrade/installation of necessary support utilities. It might even be possible to include functional development of this space justified by the economy which would be gained by fully developing this area in one project. In lieu of this last measure, we have identified several C&M projects whose realization would effect the same functional development.
3. Funding from HQ AFLO/90 and support from HQ AFLC/SC and Base Engineering are critical to the success of this proposed program and the continued provision of quality health care at Wright-Patterson AFB.
4. I encourage feedback on this report and will be happy to work with you to further define specific recommendations and make necessary amendments. We appreciate the assistance of your staff in facilitating our visit. Please contact Capt Bullick with any questions at (404) 331-6763.

Charles R. Terry

CHARLES R. TERRY, ~~CDR~~ USAF, MSC
Chief, Health Facilities Office
Eastern Region

1 Atch
FUS Report - 3 copies

cc: HQ AFOMS/SGSF
HQ USAF/SGSFW
2750 ABW/DE
HQ AFLC/SGA
HQ AFLC/CC



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

ADDRESS REPLY TO:

HEALTH FACILITIES OFFICE
EASTERN REGION
385 M.L. KING BLDG., 77 FORSYTH ST., S.W.
ATLANTA, GA 30335-6801

25 Apr 91

SUBJECT: Facility Utilization Study (FUS) Drawings

TO: Wright-Patterson USAF Medical Center/SGA

1. Enclosed are advanced copies of our FUS drawings. The full report will follow shortly.

2. The drawings are coded as follows:

Light Grey: Areas of Functional Expansion

Medium Grey: Functional Relocations

Dark Grey: Functions New to the Facility and New Consolidated Directorates (Managed Health Care, Total Quality Management, and Surgical Administration).

3. Please contact Capt Bullick with any questions at (404) 331-6763.

CHARLES R. TERRY, *CPT*, USAF, MSC
Chief, Health Facilities Office
Eastern Region

Atchs A/S

FACILITY UTILIZATION STUDY
USAF MEDICAL CENTER WRIGHT-PATTERSON
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB, OH

Health Facilities Office
Eastern Region
28 JUN 91

PREFACE

The character of a health care environment sends a message to patients and staff about commitment to quality and competence. Orderly, efficient, and attractive spaces welcome the patient and are indicative of a well managed health care practice. The consistent commitment of program managers to facility improvements is necessary to maintain facilities and project such an image. Since Military Construction Program (MCP) projects are infrequent and minor construction projects constrained, the judicious use of operational funds, in small increments over a period of time, is often the best means to improve facilities.

The Facility Utilization Study presents a rational plan for the expenditure of resources over an extended period in an effort to maximize the impact of scarce construction dollars. Study recommendations are based on analysis of deficiencies identified through facility inspections and the consolidation of thoughts garnered through interviews with department personnel and executive staff. This study serves as a guide for current and future executive staffs who seek direction and rationale for what should be or has been done.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	1
Table of Contents	ii
I. INTRODUCTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
II. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES AND PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS	6
A	7
Blood Donor Center (Temporary)	7
B	7
Neuroscience, Plastic Surgery, and Pain Clinics ...	7
B.1	8
Medicine Clinics	8
B.2	8
Surgical Clinics	8
C	8
Ambulance Garage	8
C.1	9
Emergency	9
C.1.1	9
Outpatient Records	9
C.2	10
Aeromedical Evacuation	10
D	10
Dental Clinic	10
E	11
Basement Life Safety/Utility Upgrade.....	11
E.1	13
Flight Medicine/Physical Exams/Environ Health ..	13
E.1.1	13
Mental Health (Child Psychology)	13
E.1.2	13
Family Advocacy	13
E.1.2.1	14
Pediatrics Clinic	14
E.1.3	14
Hyperbaric Medicine	14
E.2	14
Pediatrics Specialty Clinic	14
E.2.1	15
Primary Care	15
E.2.2	15
Emergency (Acute Care)	15
E.3	15
Optometry	15
E.3.1	15
OB/GYN Clinic	15
E.3.2	16
Ophthalmology/ENT	16
E.4	16
Managed Health Care	16
E.4.1	16
Dermatology	16
E.4.1.1	16
Medicine Clinics	16
E.4.2	17
Quality Services	17
E.4.2.1	17
Systems	17
E.4.2.1a	17
Medicine	17
E.4.2.2	17
Patient Administration	17
E.4.2.3	18
Transcriptions	18
E.5	18
Surgical Administration Suite	18
E.5.1	18
Surgical Clinics	18
E.6	18
Medical Photography and Illustration	18
E.6.1	19
Blood Donor Center	19
E.6.1.1	19
Pathology	19

E.7	Brace Shop	19
E.8	Resource Management and Inpat Records Section	19
E.8.1	Medical Logistics	20
E.9	CE Storage Room	20
E.10	Readiness	21
E.10.1	Administrative Office Space	21
F	CE Storage Area	21
G	Medical Equipment Repair Center	22
III. FUNDING STRATEGIES FOR PROPOSED PROJECT PROGRAM		23
A	Dilemma	23
B	Minor Construction Funding	23
C	General Strategy	24
D	Strategy A	25
E	Strategy B	25
IV. PARKING		31
V. LIFE SAFETY		33
VI. CONCLUSION		36

APPENDICES

TAB

)

A-1	AFR 86-1, Chapter 5, Unspecified Minor Construction	1
A-2	Sequence of Proposed O&M Minor Construction Projects	2
A-3	Proposed Project Funding by Category Code	3
A-4	Proposed Project Funding by Category Code	4
	(Southwest Quadrant of Basement Only)	

DRAWINGS

TAB

D-8	Basement Plan	8
D-9	First Floor Plan	9
D-10	Second Floor Plan	10
D-11	Third (11a), Fourth (11b), and Fifth (11c) Floor Plans	11
D-12	Expanded floor plan: Emergency, Outpatient Records, and Aeromedical Evacuation	12
D-13	Parking Expansion I	13
D-14	Parking Expansion II	14

I. INTRODUCTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

USAF Medical Center Wright-Patterson (WPMC) provides for the medical needs of the Air Force Logistics Command and the various other tenants of Wright-Patterson AFB. WPMC is a principle USAF training and research facility and is a referral facility for DOD Region Six.

WPMC was the beneficiary of an FY82 \$123 million Addition/ Alteration/Life Safety Upgrade project which was completed in May 89. Unfortunately this project was designed in 1976 to meet the workload requirements of a past generation. Requirements for clinical providers at WPMC have since increased more than twofold. A comparison of current provider staffing requirements to the designed capacity of the major clinics illustrates the magnitude and prevalence of this deficiency:

PROVIDER STAFFING *

DEPARTMENT	DESIGNED	CURRENT	PROJECTED DELTA (DEC 91)	(Projected)
Medicine	28	68	76	+48
Surgical	24	48	54	+30
OB/GYN	18	31	34	+16
Pediatrics **	18	50	50	+32
Behavioral Science ***	38	27	60	+22
Primary Care/Emergency	11	28	28	+17
Flight Medicine	04	05	05	+01
	141	257	307	+166

* Provider Staffing includes all physicians, residents and care extenders.

** Design Capacity for Pediatrics refers to space in the Medical Center (building 830); however, WPMC has made a temporary adjustment because of the dramatic increase in both Pediatrics and Medicine provider staffing and has moved Pediatrics to another building (219).

*** Behavioral Sciences staffing includes Mental Health, Family Advocacy, and EFM/CHAP.

In addition to direct provider increases which will approach 166, WPMC has experienced proportional increases in support staff, patients, supplies, medications, and ancillary services without appropriate space to house these persons and procedures. The extra providers, support staff, and patients also drive automobiles for which there is no planned parking space. All of this contribute to a direly deficient spatial situation.

In light of the above, WPMC requested that the Health Facilities Office, Eastern Region (HFO-ER), conduct a Facility Utilization Study (FUS) of their medical facilities. We responded affirmatively and commenced a study on 8 Apr 91. Our study team included Col Charles Terry, Maj Roberta Young, Capt John Bullick (report author), 1Lt Lorn Heyne, 2Lt Doug Harper, and 2Lt Allison Combs (HQ AFOMS SGSF).

Col Anderson, SG, Col Cunningham, SGA, Lt Col Benedetto, HQ AFLC/SGSP, Lt Col Pease, SGH, Lt Col Pease, SGL, and Maj Romeyn, SGLF, were our primary contacts. We were provided an initial briefing by these and other members of the executive staff. Maj Romeyn guided us throughout the CMF and arranged interviews for us with the OIC and/or NCOIC of each applicable department.

Prior to our visit, we were made aware of several pressing interests of the WPMC concerning spatial usage and service provisions. Numerous areas of concern were identified by letter prior to our FUS visit:

- Emergency
- General Surgery
- Obstetrics/Gynecology
- Environmental Health
- Flight Medicine
- Mental Health
- Quality Services
 - (QA/RM, Credentials, Infection Control, Patient Relations)
- Managed Health Care
- Aeromedical Evacuation Processing
- Pediatric Clinic
- Family Advocacy
- Medical Readiness
 - (Staging, Blood Donor Center, etc.)

Several additional areas of concern were identified during our visit:

- Parking
- Occupational Health Clinic
- Brace Shop
- PET and second MRI Scanners
- Neuroscience Center
- Hyperbaric Medicine Residents Training
- Medical Material Supply
- Housekeeping
- Facility Management
- Medical Equipment Repair
- Pathology

We structured our study to address these needs.

To further emphasize the magnitude of the spatial deficiency at WPMC, we have prepared the following chart which compares WPMC to the newly constructed David Grant USAF Medical Center (DGMC) at Travis AFB:

12 MONTH WORKLOAD COMPARISON (MAR 90 - APR 91)

<u>WORKLOAD DATA</u>	<u>WPMC</u>	<u>DGMC</u>	<u>WPMC/DGMC</u>
Clinic Visits	473,000	367,000	1.29
ADPL	181	191	0.95
Births	983	1142	0.86
Pharmacy Scripts	1,080,000	830,000	1.30
Lab Procedures	2,260,000	1,980,000	1.14
X-Ray Films Exposed	265,000	303,000	0.87

SPACE COMPARISON

<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>WPMC</u>	<u>DGMC</u>	<u>WPMC/DGMC</u>
Facility Size	657,000SF *	808,000SF	0.81
Year Programmed	1976	1982	

* WPMC is using two ancillary buildings to supplement the Medical Center. These buildings are old and temporary. One inadequately houses Pediatrics and Family Advocacy and the other is a warehouse which houses the medical supply activity, part of which will soon be returned to the Medical Center.

As is evidenced, WPMC has a significantly larger clinic operation than DGMC and a comparable inpatient workload, yet DGMC is over 150,000SF larger. Magnifying this deficiency further, DGMC was itself programmed and designed several years ago and as such is significantly undersized for the hundreds of additional assigned staff which it has received. Thus the unfavorable comparison of WPMC against a facility which is itself substantially undersized hints at a probable actual deficiency at WPMC of nearer to a quarter of a million square feet.

WPMC's drastic increase in outpatient clinic care without provision of required space has placed the Medical Center in a precarious situation. With CHAMPUS costs skyrocketing, it is becoming more and more cost effective for DOD facilities to see patients in-house, or otherwise risk depleting the operational funds of the DOD medical care system. Facilities like WPMC do not have the available space to house required providers let alone sufficient space to maximize their productivity, nor do they have the opportunity to obtain investment funding for the creation of such space, even when this can unquestionably be shown to be cost effective. While economic analyzes have repeatedly validated the cost effectiveness of proposed expansions for various DOD medical facilities, and increased in-house care by the DOD medical services is constantly demanded, Congress continues to cut the DOD budget for medical construction which is necessary to create space in which to provide this additional care.

It would definitely behoove the DOD to petition Congress to increase available MCP funds for expenditure on profitable construction efforts as verified by economic analysis; WPMC and other DOD medical facilities could then perform such analyses to validate and gain funding for their projects.

WPMC has an undeveloped shell area of approximately 50,000SF of space in the southwest quadrant of its basement. This space has outstanding development potential, as it has appropriate ceiling heights, foundations, egress stairs, central elevator access, complete sprinkler coverage, and is ideally located immediately below the principle outpatient clinic area of the Medical Center. Its potential and location could not be better. We have proposed a complete development of this area for outpatient service and administrative functions.

This shell area could be developed into outpatient service and administrative space for a mere fraction of what it would cost to construct a new building or addition for the same purpose. The economics related to CHAMPUS recapture would easily justify the construction cost of a new building and, many times over, offset the cost of developing this basement shell area. Unfortunately, the Military Construction Program (MCP) monies needed to accomplish this development (and other similarly advantageous construction efforts at other DOD medical facilities) are scarce. Such projects must vie for these monies against projects for other older DOD medical facilities whose infrastructures and systems are falling and pose imminent threats to patient care and safety. The Defense Medical Facilities Office (DMFO), which controls MCP funds, cannot even obtain replacement project funding for a 35 year old dilapidated Hospital at Homestead AFB. Yet such "must fix" projects must be prioritized ahead of the WPMCs since cost savings can not compete with saving lives. There is little chance that WPMC will receive any near-term MCP project funding based on purely economic rationale; however, they should have a better chance of obtaining funding for a life safety related project.

Our proposed utilization of the southwest quadrant of the basement and the current utilization (storage and Readiness processing) both necessitate a life safety upgrade of the space. This fact should be accentuated to help justify our proposed functional development of this space.

We suggest that WPMC pursue a single MCP project to fully develop this basement area for outpatient service and administrative functions, and that this project be justified by life safety requirements and economic benefits.

If the DMFO cannot support the full development of this space, we alternatively recommend that WPMC pursue a lesser project which would provide a generic development of this area, concentrating on a comprehensive life safety and utility upgrade which would support our proposed end development of the space. If kept under \$1,000,000, such a project could be funded with Unspecified Minor Construction (P-341) dollars rather than MCP dollars. Realization of such a project would enable WPMC to initially occupy the space, using temporary partitions for necessary spatial subdivision, and to eventually custom develop each individual area for its intended functional user through the accomplishment of several O&M funded projects.

11. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES AND PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS

We have proposed a complete development of the southwest quadrant of the Medical Center's basement (see Drawing 8) and renovation of select areas throughout the Medical Center (see also Drawings 9-11). Utilization of the developable basement space for various select functions would provide significant spatial relief for them while affording similar benefit to other functions which would then expand into the vacated space. In this way, all of WPMC's major clinics and numerous administrative and support functions would receive desperately needed spatial relief.

Since the potential for gaining MCP dollars for functional development of the basement is bleak, we suggest that WPMC focus their project justification on the need to correct life safety deficiencies. We have identified two alternative strategies to develop the basement shell space which focus on life safety needs. The first, Strategy A, would provide a single \$3,000,000 life safety/functional development project which would be funded with MCP dollars. The second, Strategy B, would provide a \$1-2 million life safety/utility upgrade project to be funded with either P-341 or traditional MCP dollars and would later be followed by several O&M funded projects to develop functional areas of differing category code identification. These strategies are detailed in the next section and in Appendix 4.

We have also identified numerous other O&M projects which affect existing functional areas in the Medical Center. These are presented below and in appendix 3.

The following analyzes and project recommendations are broken out by function. Work efforts identified for each function, though referred to as "projects" for convenience, should be viewed as project elements or phases, several of which would be combined within a single design or construction project. While the next section provides recommendations for the grouping of these elements, this section only identifies the various project elements and their necessary sequential order which is indicated by the numbering. This sequence is summarized in Appendix 2.

As mentioned, the project numbering system used is structured to identify the sequences in which projects must occur. Thus, project E must precede projects E.1, E.2, and E.3; yet, these last three projects can occur simultaneously. Project E.3 must precede project E.3.1. Projects A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and H are independent and can occur in any order.

Project E is broken out in eight sub-elements which each affect the whole southeast quadrant basement area. These should be accomplished at once and all together to maximize economy.

A Blood Donor Center (Temporary)

WPMC is planning to eliminate an autoclave and other equipment in a glass washing room in pathology. We recommend that they use this room to house the frozen blood freezers and other associated equipment temporarily until a permanent blood donor center can be established (project E.6.1). In the meantime, blood drawing should be performed in the first floor specimen collection area or in another room in the Medical Center. Drawing times should be spread out to minimize patient backlogs and disruption to the other collection functions. This temporary fix also would allow WPMC's to immediately employ their renowned Hematologist-Oncologist Bone Marrow Transplant Specialist.

B Neuroscience, Plastic Surgery, and Pain Clinics (see Drawing 10)

WPMC is in the process of converting Nursing Unit 2E into a Neuroscience Department and a Pain Clinic. This move will provide internal expansion space for the Medicine and Surgical Clinics as Neurology, Pain, and Neurosurgery elements will be moved out of these clinics, thus freeing several rooms.

WPMC would also like to create a Plastic Surgery Clinic just west of Unit 2E and north of the Surgical Suite, where it would be convenient to the operating rooms; however, the rooms in this area open directly to a public corridor adjacent to the inpatient elevator bank. Such an orientation is ill suited for clinic space. We therefore recommend that the four rooms at the west end of Unit 2E, adjacent to the proposed Neuroscience Department, be captured to enable WPMC to create a Plastic Surgery Clinic at this end of unit 2E in similar proximity to the Surgical Suite and with a more private orientation.

These four rooms are currently used as a day room for Unit 2N, a report room, and offices for Nurse Anesthetist Clinical Training. We propose moving the day room to an adjacent room (to the north) on Unit 2N which is used as an office. This office activity would in-turn be moved across the hall to a room which currently houses the Mental Health Residents. The Residents would be relocated to the Mental Health Clinic following its expansion (project E.1.1) or they could be absorbed in space available in Physical Therapy. The report room and Nurse Anesthetist's offices would be moved west to the area previously earmarked for the Plastic Surgery Clinic. This move would displace a Chaplain, Critical Care Nurse, and Nursing Coordinator. These persons would be relocated to an area on Unit 5W reserved for miscellaneous Administrative functions following our proposed reorganization of Unit 5W (projects E.4 and E.4.2).

All of Unit 2E would then be available for clinic space. The two westernmost rooms (formerly report and one Nurse Anesthetist) would be used for the Pain Clinic. The former patient lounge would be divided into two rooms and these, along with the former second Nurse Anesthetist room, the two rooms currently earmarked by WPMC for the Pain Clinic, and one room currently earmarked for Neuroscience (EMG), would be used to establish the Plastic Surgery Clinic. The remainder of Unit 2E would remain the Neuroscience Department. At least one of the large bed rooms at the east end of the unit would have to be partitioned in two to serve as an office and an exam room for a provider. This would provide additional space to compensate for the lost EMG room. Similar partitioning of a second such room would permit the addition of another provider.

B.1 Medicine Clinics (see Drawing 9)

The Medicine Clinic will gain a significant amount of space for internal expansion following the relocation of Neurology (project B) as several rooms will be made available for alternative uses. Medicine would later gain a couple of additional rooms following the relocation of the Pain speciality.

B.2 Surgical Clinics (see Drawing 9)

The Surgical Clinic will gain four rooms for internal expansion following the relocation of its Neurosurgery element (project B).

C Ambulance Garage (see Drawing 9)

There exists a convenient means to acquire additional space adjacent to the Emergency Department along with a strong need for such space. Adjacent to the three bay Ambulance Garage is one unused enclosed bay and an unused, covered, open bay. By enclosing the latter bay, connecting the two together, and segmenting the west bay of the Ambulance Garage, these three bays, in combination, could be used for the Medical Center's six ambulances. The Flight Surgeon's two ambulances would be parked in the westernmost bay. A walk, steps, and an outside door would be added to connect this bay to a nearby stair which comes up from the basement. This would be done in parallel with our proposed relocation of Flight Medicine (project E.1) to the basement in an area adjacent to this stair.

This garage extension would free the remaining two east bays of the garage for other use. The overhead doors to these two bays would be removed and their openings walled in. The easternmost bay would serve either temporarily as office support for the Emergency Department or permanently as a staging area for Aeromedical Evacuation patients. The second bay would be used permanently for Emergency Department office space. The gain in office space would permit the relocation of existing Emergency Department offices and thus free up space within the Department for a reconfiguration and expansion of the Emergency treatment and control area, and the reconfiguration and expansion of Outpatient Records. The gained space would also compensate for the loss of an equipment storage room which is discussed below.

A toilet and equipment storage room adjacent to the proposed Aeromedical Evacuation staging area would have to be sacrificed to create a direct access corridor to this staging area and a control office for Aeromedical Evacuation administration.

C.1 Emergency (see Drawing 9)

Vacated rooms in Emergency would be used to reconfigure and expand the Emergency treatment and control functions. Our proposed plan for this area positions a consolidated work area central to open, surrounding (360 degrees) treatment and exam spaces. The plan allows for several of these treatment cubicles to double for either acute or minor emergency procedures. A minimum number of isolation rooms are kept in accordance with Air Force design policy. Ambulance dispatch is placed adjacent to reception with an accordion door in-between, and reception is shifted to a more appropriate position across from the Emergency Department waiting room. The lounge is moved in closer proximity to the control elements but remains out of the view of patients. Support rooms and the MOD are consolidated in the back of the department where they are convenient to both the acute and minor emergency treatment areas.

C.1.1 Outpatient Records (see Drawing 9)

Outpatient Records gains three rooms from emergency following the development of the new Emergency Department office area (project C). This additional space would be used to reorient customer access to Outpatient Records, increase the patient queuing area, and increase work and records storage areas in the Records Department. The corridor between records and emergency would be opened up to draw ambulatory Emergency and Aeromedical Evacuation patients into their corresponding departments.

C.2 Aeromedical Evacuation (see Drawing 9)

Following the extension and modification of the Ambulance Garage (project C), the easternmost bay would become available either for temporary use for Emergency Department offices or an eventual permanent home for Aeromedical Evacuation patient staging. Whichever will depend on the timing of the expansion of Outpatient Records (project C.1.1) and the expansion/shifting of the Primary Care Clinic (project E.2.1). The Primary Care project would leave Emergency with necessary office space which would be lost through the expansion of Outpatient Records.

The eventual use of this area for Aeromedical Evacuation patient staging would require that a bag storage room be constructed within the staging area. One additional room, taken from the Emergency Department, would be used as a control/administration office for this function.

D Dental Clinic (see Drawing 10)

WPMC plans to use one adjacent room east of the Prosthetics Laboratory as expansion space for the laboratory. We support this position but recommend that the room be left intact and used for administrative/storage activities which could be removed from the laboratory proper and isolated in this room. This action would allow for the creation of additional technician workbench space in the laboratory proper.

We also recommend enclosing the X-Ray waiting area to create an administrative office. This would allow the NCOIC to be moved out of the current reception/administration area and permit the expansion of reception/administration into his former office.

The Dental Clinic has a critical shortage of dental treatment rooms (DTRs), but has no available adjoining space or feasible addition opportunities in which to expand its operations. As a long term measure, we recommend that WPMC include Flight Medicine, Physical Exams, and Environmental Health in the requested MCP replacement project for Occupational Medicine. In this report, we have proposed that Flight Medicine, Physical Exams, and Environmental Health be relocated to the basement (projects E.1a and E.1b) following the development of the basement. If these functions were later removed from the facility (and included in the Occupational Medicine project), the basement area which would have been developed for them could be modified to accept the Ophthalmology and ENT functions which are currently located adjacent to the Dental Clinic. The current Ophthalmology and ENT areas would then be donated to the Dental Clinic for the needed expansion of that service.

E Life Safety/Utility Upgrade of the Basement (see Drawing 8)

There is a significant amount of undeveloped shell space (approximately 50,000SF) in the southwest quadrant of the basement of the Medical Center. WPMC has drafted plans for the development and utilization of this space for clinic, administrative, and logistics activities. We support these plans in general; however, we recommend that additional clinic space be provided in lieu of the proposed logistics functions. This basement area is ideal for patient oriented activities as it is located in the immediate vicinity of the Medical Center's principle outpatient entry, outpatient elevator bank, and all ancillary services. It is specially well suited for Flight Medicine since one exit stair egresses adjacent to our proposed Ambulance Garage extension where the Flight Medicine response vehicles would be parked.

A complete life safety/utility upgrade of this area of the basement should be accomplished. A detailed analysis of these requirements is provided in section V. Such an upgrade should include the following elements:

(1) Basement Floor (Life Safety Egress Requirement)

The first step in developing this quadrant of the basement would be to pour the floor. This effort would include the installation of all necessary drains and utilities to include stub-ups for bathroom fixtures. These would all be strategically located as identified on the composite area design.

(2) Basement Corridor Partitions (Life Safety Egress Requirement)

Following the pouring of the floor (project E) corridor partitions should be erected to create a system of access corridors in accordance with the Life Safety Code.

(3) Basement Functional Division and Toilet Partition

In conjunction with the erection of corridor partitions, functional division and toilet partitions should also be erected. It will be most economical to accomplish this work along with the pouring of the floor and construction of the corridor partitions.

(4) Basement HVAC Rooms (Life Safety Requirement)

Basement HVAC units will be required and will need appropriate rooms to house them. Construction of HVAC rooms should be done in conjunction with the erection of corridor, functional division, and toilet partitions. Again, this is to promote favorable economy.

- (5) Basement HVAC Units and Support Utilities (Life Safety/Building Code Requirement)

Basement HVAC Units and all required support utilities (chiller, cooling tower, electrical service and transformers, etc.) should be installed following the creation of their support rooms.

- (6) Basement HVAC Main Distribution Ducts and Dampers (Life Safety/Building Code Requirement)

Main HVAC ducts should be installed in conjunction with the erection of the corridor and functional division partitions, the creation of the HVAC rooms, and installation of the HVAC units. This will ease the coordination of necessary junctions and wall penetrations.

- (7) Basement Sprinkler System Extension (Life Safety Requirement)

The sprinkler head distribution and mounting heights will have to be altered to appropriately serve the area being developed. This work would have to be completed prior to the start of the installation of ceilings in this area so that sprinkler coverage of the area is not interrupted by the proposed ceilings below.

- (8) Basement Ceilings (Lighting, Ventilation, Acoustics)

Following the above work, integrated ceilings would be installed in each portion of the affected area of the basement under development. These ceilings would include acoustical ceiling tiles, lighting appropriate for our proposed eventual office/clinic utilization of the space, supply and return grills connected to the HVAC air distribution system via flexible ducting to permit future rearrangement of grills (unless return air plenums are substituted for return air ducts), sprinkler heads mounted in the tiles, and lay-in acoustical blanket material above the ceilings.

E.1 Flight Medicine/Physical Exams/Environmental Health (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), a block of space in the southern portion of the southwest quadrant of the basement would be dedicated for Flight Medicine, Physical Exams, and Environmental Health. This area is adequate to house these three functions and, in addition, would offer sufficient space to house the Physical Exams portion of Occupational Medicine, thus permitting all physical exam activities to be consolidated at one location. The area is particularly suited for Flight Medicine in that an adjoining stair egresses to the outside adjacent to our proposed Ambulance Garage extension. The Flight Surgeon's ambulances would be parked in the near (west) bay of the garage which would be connected via a walk and steps to the stair.

E.1.1 Mental Health (Child Psychology) (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of Flight Medicine, Physical Exams, and Environmental Health to the basement (project E.1), the area currently occupied by Environmental Health would be available to support an expansion of Mental Health as it was originally designed. WPMC would like to create a Child Psychology subdepartment but is without required space for additional providers and treatment rooms. This expansion would provide ample space for this new service. CHAMPUS costs would be greatly reduced through this measure because, under status quo conditions, WPMC has no control over the admissions process of inpatient child psychology patients. It is believed that significant numbers of patients, who probably need only outpatient treatment, are admitted into area hospitals for extended stays as inpatients.

E.1.2 Family Advocacy (see Drawing 9)

Also following the relocation of Flight Medicine and Physical Exams to the basement (project E.1), a major portion of the space these functions currently occupy would be dedicated to Family Advocacy. This space would be altered in accordance with the functional needs of Family Advocacy. Family Advocacy would be relocated from building 219 which it shares with the Pediatrics Clinic and the Base Wellness Center.

WPMC just recently received permission to occupy a small building, #205, on base which had formerly housed a drug research operation but is now vacant. This building is a shell which could easily be subdivided into office space through an O&M Minor Construction project. It is of sufficient size and in an acceptable location for Family Advocacy. Given the potential indefinite delay of the development of the Medical Center's basement, we recommend that this building be used at least temporarily as a home for Family Advocacy. Such utilization would be of great benefit to both Family Advocacy, which is currently dysfunctionally dispersed within the Pediatrics building, and to Pediatrics, which desperately needs the space which Family Advocacy occupies (see project E.1.2.1). WPMC should renovate this building accordingly.

E.1.2.1 Pediatrics Clinic

Pediatrics would gain several rooms vacated by Family Advocacy once that function is relocated to the former Flight Medicine/Physical Exams area in the Medical Center (project E.1.2). These gained rooms are desperately needed for examination space as most Pediatrics Providers have only one room which serves as their office and examination room.

E.1.3 Hyperbaric Medicine (see Drawing 9)

The remainder of space vacated by Flight Medicine and Physical Exams and not taken by Family Advocacy, would be dedicated to Hyperbaric Medicine. WPMC is developing an Air Force Residency Center for Hyperbaric Medicine and requires an additional suite of several rooms for administration and training. This space would be ideal for this use as it is adjacent to the Hyperbaric Medicine Department.

E.2 Pediatrics Specialty Clinic (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), a block of space in the center of the developable area would be dedicated to the Pediatric Specialty Clinic and developed accordingly. This location is ideal for this function as it is adjacent to the outpatient elevators and would provide sufficient space for a necessary expansion of the Pediatric Specialty Clinic.

E.2.1 Primary Care (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of the Pediatric Specialty Clinic (project E.2), its former area and a portion of the adjacent Pharmacy refill area would be dedicated to Primary Care for a shift/expansion of Primary Care. The Emergency Department would, in-turn, receive additional rooms for administrative offices which must be relocated to permit our proposed expansion of Outpatient Records (project C.1.1). Space gained in Primary Care would be used to support additional (earned) providers.

E.2.2 Emergency (Acute Care) (see Drawing 9)

Following the shift/expansion of Primary Care (project E.2.1), Emergency would gain space for administrative elements which would have been or would later be displaced as a result of our proposed expansion of Outpatient Records (project C.1.1). If the expansion of Outpatient Records occurs before the expansion/shift of Primary Care, the space created for Aeromedical Evacuation (project C.2) within the Emergency Department would have to be used temporarily to house the displaced Emergency Department administrative elements.

E.3 Optometry (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), a block of space adjacent to that dedicated to Pediatrics would be dedicated to Optometry. Optometry is currently combined with Ophthalmology and ENT adjacent to the OB/GYN Clinic on the second floor. All of these functions are in desperate need of additional space, yet there is no potential to gain additional space on the second floor. The area proposed for Optometry would provide sufficient space to house all currently employed optometrists and a projected additional Ophthalmologist.

E.3.1 OB/GYN Clinic (see Drawing 10)

Following relocation of Optometry to the basement (project E.3), the OB/GYN clinic would gain at least nine rooms and the shared use of a conference room with Ophthalmology and ENT. Some minor alterations would have to be accomplished to reconfigure two current Optometry eye lanes into rooms appropriate for standard examinations and to provide functional access to this gained area.

E.3.2 Ophthalmology/ENT (see Drawing 10)

The remainder of the rooms which would have been vacated following the relocation of Optometry (project E.3), and not dedicated to the OB/GYN Clinic, would be dedicated to Ophthalmology and ENT for use to support additional incoming providers in these functions. Two of the gained rooms are already configured as eye lanes so alterations would be minimal.

E.4 Managed Health Care (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), a block of space behind (east of) the areas dedicated to Optometry and the Pediatrics Specialty Clinic would be dedicated to the creation of a consolidated Managed Health Care Suite. This suite would include space for Health Benefits, Alternative Care, Supplemental/Cooperative Care, Alternative Use Projects, Health Care Finder, and other Managed Care elements. Patient access to this suite would be in close proximity to the outpatient services elevator.

E.4.1 Dermatology (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of Health Benefits to the basement (project E.4), we propose relocating Dermatology to the former Health Benefits area so as to free additional space within the Medicine Clinic for internal expansion of that Clinic. The Health Benefits area would have to receive some significant divisional alterations to create a suitable suite for Dermatology.

E.4.1.1 Medicine Clinics (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of Dermatology (E.4.1), the Medicine Clinic would receive eight vacated rooms for in-place expansion of the remaining Medical Specialty Clinics. This space is needed in addition to the space gained as a result of the relocation of Neurology (project B) because of the vast increase in the number of Medicine providers.

E.4.2 Quality Services (see Drawing 11c)

Following the establishment of a consolidated Managed Health Care Suite in the basement (project E.4) and the relocation of the various components of that directorate, several rooms would be freed on the administrative wing (Unit 5W) of the fifth floor. This would permit the creation of a consolidated Quality Services department on Unit 5W. We propose that the offices on this floor be reorganized somewhat to collocate like functions. The back (West) half of the wing would be dedicated to Quality Services, the Patient Squadron and MEBs would occupy two rooms at the southeast of the wing, and several rooms along the north side would house miscellaneous administrative offices.

Many of the component offices of Quality Services are currently located on the north central wing on the first floor between Systems and Patient Administration at one end (south) and Red Cross at the other end. This area would be freed for other use following the consolidation of Quality Services on Unit 5W.

E.4.2.1 Systems (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of the first floor Quality Service elements to our proposed Consolidated Quality Services Suite on the fifth floor (project E.4.2), Systems would gain the majority of the vacated Quality Services first floor offices but would return a large room in the Medicine Clinic, north of Systems, to Medicine. The net effect on Systems would be a gain of over 500SF of office space. Such space is needed to support a substantial increase in required Systems administration and training activities.

E.4.2.1a Medicine (see Drawing 9)

The Medicine Clinic would gain a large room from Systems following the shift/expansions of Systems (project E.4.2.1). This room would be used to relocate a stress test room in the Cardiac Catheterization Department and thus free a room in that department to create a required Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory.

E.4.2.2 Patient Administration (see Drawing 9)

Patient Administration would receive a necessary net gain of one office. It would pick up the three vacated Quality Services offices not taken by Systems following the consolidation of Quality Services on the fifth floor (project E.4.2), but would relinquish two offices to Transcriptions for expansion of that function.

E.4.2.3 Transcriptions (see Drawing 9)

Transcriptions would gain two rooms from Patient Administration following the shift/expansion of that function (project E.4.2.2). These rooms would be opened up to the current Transcriptions room to sufficiently increase its space for the addition of three incoming transcriptionists.

E.5 Surgical Administration Suite (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), an area immediately behind the elevators would be dedicated for the creation of a consolidated Surgical Administration Matrix. This directorate would oversee all of the Surgical Clinics and the Surgery Department. Its location is not critical (somewhere in the outpatient clinic area), but its establishment is essential to the quality management of affected departments. Persons who constitute this directorate are now scattered throughout the Medical Center. Their collocation will greatly promote efficiency.

E.5.1 Surgical Clinics

Several of the persons who will make up the Surgical Administrative Matrix are currently located within the Principle Surgical Clinic. Following the establishment of the consolidated Surgical Matrix in the basement (project E.5), a couple of offices will be freed up within the principle Surgical Clinic. This will permit further internal expansion of the Surgical Clinics in addition to that provided as a result of the relocation of Neurosurgery (project B). Such expansion is required to provide space for several additional assigned and incoming providers.

E.6 Medical Photography and Illustration (see Drawing 8)

Medical Photography and Illustrations is located within the Pathology Department on the second floor. The space it occupies is needed for the establishment of a Blood Donor Center. Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), an area adjacent (north) of the proposed Surgical Administration Matrix would be dedicated for the creation of a new Medical Photography and Illustrations suite. This area is not as large as the area identified in WPMC's current development plan; however, it is almost twice as large as the current Medical Photography and Illustrations area and would significantly enhance the operation of this function. Space would be available for the addition of self-help, computer graphics, and microfilm processing elements. Studio and storage space would also be increased.

E.6.1 Blood Donor Center (see Drawing 10)

The establishment of a Blood Donor Center would be highly cost efficient as fees charged by area Blood Banks are exorbitant. Following the relocation of Medical Photography and Illustrations (project E.6), the current location of that function would be altered to create a functional Blood Donor Center. WPMC has already drafted plans for such a project which entails minimum alteration of existing walls.

E.6.1.1 Pathology

Following the initiation of the Blood Donor Center (project E.6.1), a number of existing equipment items would be relocated from the current laboratories to the Blood Donor Center, thus freeing space for expansion of other elements in these areas.

E.7 Brace Shop (see Drawing 8)

The Orthopedics Brace Shop is inappropriately located in the Medical Supply Warehouse, with little regard to handicapped access even though the majority of patients needing to visit the Brace Shop have some sort of physical handicap. WPMC already has plans for a new Brace Shop to be sited in the basement of the Medical Center. We support this undertaking pending the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E). This new location will afford handicapped patients ideal access.

E.8 Resource Management and Inpatient Records Section (see Drawing 8)

Resource Management and the Inpatient Records Section occupy two suites in the Logistics area of the Medical Center's basement. From a functional standpoint, this space would better serve as expansion space for spatially deficient Logistics functions. Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), we propose relocating Resource Management and the Inpatient Records Section to an area beside (east of) the area which we have slated for Managed Health Care (project E.4). This block of space would be accessible from either the inpatient or outpatient elevators and not far from either.

E.8.1 Medical Logistics (see Drawing 8)

WPMC is planning to bring the bulk storage activity from the Medical Logistics Warehouse into the much smaller designed bulk storage area of the Medical Center in order to improve efficiency. Movable space saving storage systems will be employed to facilitate this in-house operation; however, along with storage requirements, come administrative space requirements for hosts of Logistics technicians. The space originally designed for this purpose is the area now occupied by Resource Management. Portions of the existing Processed Stores and Sterile Storage sections could be used temporarily to provide office space for the Logistics technicians; however, since this space will be extremely tight, an eventual alternative location should be identified.

WPMC has also identified additional spatial needs for their Housekeeping, Facility Management, and Base Exchange functions which have vastly outgrown their designed suites. The Housekeeping suite was designed for a crew of less than 30 while current Housekeeping staffing is about 80. Facility Management has grown along with its vastly expanded responsibilities, and the Base Exchange now carries a much wider selection of items and could well use a remote bulk storage room to free up retail space in the Medical Center Store.

We propose using the current Resource Management and Inpatient Records Section suites to provide space to meet the noted spatial needs of Medical Material Administration, Housekeeping, Facility Management, and the Base Exchange. These areas would become available for such use following our proposed relocation of Resource Management and the Inpatient Records Section (project E.8).

E.9 CE Storage Room (see Drawing 8)

Civil Engineering has two enclosed storage areas for materials and parts in the northwest section of the undeveloped quadrant of the basement. One of these enclosures is positioned where we have proposed to locate the Surgical Administration Matrix. The other is in an isolated alcove behind (west) of our proposed location for Medical Photography. Neither area has a finished floor so racks and shelving units are not effectively employed. Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), we propose that the latter of these areas be retained for consolidated Civil Engineering storage and that appropriate racks and shelving units be employed to maximize storage capacity and thus eliminate the need for both areas.

E.10 Readiness (see Drawing 8)

WPMC has drafted plans to develop a Readiness Suite and Processing Center in the far south east corner of the undeveloped basement area. We support such a project, pending the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E) and following the development of all other areas of this quadrant which we would rank of higher priority.

E.10.1 Administrative Office Space

Once the Readiness Suite is brought into operation (project E.10), three multiple offices in the southwest turret on the second floor would be available for other uses. This would provide WPMC with flex space in which to relocate an existing function or place some new administrative function(s) which would be in need of a home at the time this suite becomes available.

F CE Storage Area (see Drawing 8)

Civil Engineering materials and parts are scattered throughout the undeveloped basement area (southwest quadrant) of the Medical Center. WPMC has tentative plans to excavate a backfilled shell space in the northwest corner of the basement adjacent to the Medical Center's in-house Civil Engineering Department and use this area for Civil Engineering materials and parts storage. A floor would be poured throughout this area so that racks and shelving could be effectively utilized to maximize storage capacity. Internal and external access doors would have to be created to allow the functional use of this space. This area would be of sufficient size for all required Civil Engineering support stock. We recommend that such a project be pursued.

We do not concur with CE's proposal to enclose the maintenance vehicle/delivery area for a carpentry shop. Of all departments within the Medical Center, CE has far more flex space than any other and should be able to efficiently utilize their existing space to accommodate workshops.

G Medical Equipment Repair Center (see Drawing 8)

The current Medical Equipment Repair area is significantly undersized for its mission and is poorly positioned in that all internal access is routed through the dining hall in patron queuing space. WPMC has a draft plan to remedy this situation by relocating the whole department, along with Housekeeping, and Facility Management to the undeveloped quadrant of the basement where there would be sufficient space for these functions away from the Dining Hall. We do not support this proposal because it would minimize the amount of space available for clinic and patient orientated administrative functions in this quadrant of the basement. As previously discussed, this area is an ideal location for such functions because of its proximity to the main outpatient entrance, all ancillary services, and most other in-house clinics. WPMC's plan would also inefficiently position Medical Equipment Repair function remote from an exterior loading dock.

Our alternative proposal to remedy the problems of the Medical Equipment Repair Function is to construct an addition infilling an exterior alcove which is adjacent to (east of) the current Medical Equipment Repair area and to use this area both as a workspace expansion and to short circuit the current access into the rest of the Medical Center, thus eliminating the need to traverse the Dining Hall. This plan would retain the current (required) dock access into Medical Equipment Repair.

III. FUNDING STRATEGIES

A. DILEMMA (see Drawing 8)

WPMC is fortunate to have a large developable area in the basement, ideally located for outpatient service and administration functions. Development of this area for such use would permit both direct and indirect expansion of most clinics within and without the Medical Center and several administrative and support functions, all of which are demonstrably in dire need of additional space to support mission expansions.

The entire southwest quadrant of the basement (50,000SF) should ideally be developed under one construction contract as this would facilitate far greater construction efficiency than would be experienced if the area were developed one piece at a time. Additionally, it is not feasible to develop one portion of this area at a time through O&M projects since any partial development of this area would only aggravate existing life safety deficiencies whose required correction would vastly exceed O&M funding limitations. Unfortunately, the Military Construction Program (MCP) funds needed to accomplish the complete development of this area are scarce and difficult to obtain.

B. MINOR CONSTRUCTION FUNDING RESTRICTIONS (see Appendix 1)

AFR 86-1, Chapter 5, generally limits individual minor construction projects to \$200,000 each and limits the number of projects which can be accomplished within a twelve month period to one, unless such projects are within a multipurpose building such as a Medical Center whose individual functions have differing six digit category codes. In that case, several projects can be accomplished within a 12 month period with only command approval if they meet the following conditions: each project is for a function having a unique category code, is unrelated and non-contiguous to the various other projects, and all projects together do not exceed \$500,000. This limit can be increased up to \$1,000,000 through HQ USAF (CE) approval.

Chapter 5, which is quite vague, implies that multiple projects for functional areas having separate category codes but which are contiguous can also be accomplished simultaneously pending HQ USAF approval when they exceed \$200,000 in combination. Again the upper limit would remain \$1,000,000. It is also implied that P-341, Unspecified Minor Construction, funds can be used for projects between \$200,000 and \$1,000,000 when appropriated through MCP channels. This last measure would have to be worked through the Defense Medical Facilities Office (DMFO), as they control the medical MCP project program for all services and all medical P-341 monies.

AFR 86-1 is in the process of being updated and additional restrictions are expected. The cap for HQ USAF (AF/CE) approval could be lowered to \$500,000 with amounts above this requiring the approval of SAF/MII. Thus, additional obstacles lurk in the wings.

C. GENERAL STRATEGY (see Appendices 3 & 4)

In light of the above, we have outlined two alternative approaches to acquire funding for our proposed development of the southwest quadrant of the Medical Center's basement. Both are similar in the development they would effect, but differ in the way they break out segments of work for funding applicability. The first alternative, Strategy A, would accomplish all work elements leading to the complete development of the southwest quadrant of the basement together in one comprehensive project. The second, Strategy B, would employ a substantial initial project of generic development followed by several smaller projects which would customize individual areas for their intended users. Strategy A is simpler and preferred; however, strategy B is more viable given the current funding climates.

With either strategy, a composite design for the comprehensive development of the southwest quadrant of the basement should be acquired. This design should be segmented, as discussed under strategy B below, such that portions of it can be accomplished as separate projects if necessary.

Appendix 3 provides a complete listing of our proposed work elements, broken out by functional category code, for the Medical Center and its ancillary buildings. Appendix 4 is an extrapolation of Appendix 3 containing only those work elements which affect the southwest quadrant of the Medical Center's basement and which are the subjects of our two alternative funding strategies.

The costs identified in appendices 3 & 4 are intended as target costs, maximum amounts which often represent, alone or in combination with other costs, categorical funding or favorable approval level thresholds. The majority of these costs should not be viewed as estimates to shoot for, but rather as ceilings. In general, we would have provided more generous estimates for the units of work identified; however, because of the extent of construction required, coupled with unfriendly funding and approval level thresholds, we feel that WPMC can well afford to accept austerity in order to maximize total area development.

The fiscal years (FY) indicated in Appendices 3 & 4 should be viewed as consecutive funding windows spaced 12 months apart from the completion of the previous FY's projects, in accordance with the restrictions of AFR 86-1, Chapter 5. Thus given timely and expedient construction, successive projects could be accomplished in the FY indicated in the Appendices; however, delay in any one project can effect a similar delay in subsequent sequential projects. Thus the time frame for the complete realization of the proposed developments could extend much further than indicated in appendices 3 & 4.

D. STRATEGY A

Strategy A would entail a single MCP funded project providing a comprehensive development of the southwest quadrant of the basement. Work accomplished would be identical to that discussed in strategy B below. Our estimated cost for such a project is \$3 million. This is more than the combined costs for all projects in Strategy B (see Appendix 4) which represent the same work effort; however, the costs for the several projects of Strategy B are target costs which, if met, would allow additional and possibly more favorable funding options. Thus it may be advantageous to design towards the lower estimate of strategy B even though this may necessitate some sacrifices in what could be built. Since much of the work involved is systems orientated, we cannot provide a precise estimate ourselves. WPMC should request an estimate from Base Engineering to ascertain whether this lower estimate of Strategy B is realistic.

E. STRATEGY B (see Appendix 4)

Strategy B entails an initial generic development of the southwest quadrant of the basement area followed in a subsequent year(s) by several separate (of differing category codes) and non-contiguous projects for the various proposed functions to be located in this area. In our interpretation of AFR 86-1, funding for these follow-on projects would be subject to the approval of HQ USAF (AF/CE) under the provisions of paragraph 5-3.d. Each individual project would be kept under \$200,000 and could be funded with regular minor construction dollars subject to command approval pending approval of the strategy by HQ USAF.

INITIAL DEVELOPMENT

The initial project would include pouring the floor (including the installation of all necessary drains and utilities); erecting corridor, functional division, and toilet partitions; constructing HVAC equipment rooms; installing HVAC units, ducting, dampers, and all necessary support utilities (chillers, electrical distribution system, transformers, etc.); revising/expanding the sprinkler protection system; and installing integrated ceilings (lighting, ventilation, and sound attenuation) in each partitioned area.

Life Safety Issues

All of these elements, with the exception of the functional division partitions and the integrated ceilings are actually required by Life Safety and Building Codes for both the current and proposed usage of this space:

Floors

Much of the southwest quadrant of the basement is currently used for storage; however, any such use necessitates that such space have finished floors to meet required life safety egress provisions.

Corridors

The area earmarked for readiness is already used for readiness processing activities which experience occupancy levels of over 200. This necessitates that this area and its egress provisions meet assembly occupancy requirements of the Life Safety Code. Our proposed corridor distribution for this quadrant of the basement (see Drawing 8) provides for this egress requirement. Two remote exit ways are normally required for such an occupancy but in this case a second exit way cannot be created without also creating an excessive dead end corridor leading to the Readiness suite. Thus a third access way, via corridors, is needed to avoid a dead end. Protected corridors leading to the two basement stairs just to the north and south of the outpatient elevators are required.

Since vertical transportation is part of an occupants potential travel, protected corridors leading to both of these stairs are also required by the Life Safety Code to provide appropriate egress for anyone who reaches the basement level via the outpatient elevators, whether intentionally or unintentionally. Even if such access is mechanically restricted to maintenance personnel, there can be no guarantee that another occupant will not enter the same elevator as a maintenance person who has programmed the car to access the basement. Furthermore, the current use of this area of the basement as a thoroughfare by maintenance and other staff employees justifies a life safety upgrade of the egress components of the area.

Utilities

The existing ventilation in this quadrant of the basement, which is already partially segmented with concrete partition walls, is provided by large wall fans which presumably effect a laminar flow of air from an intake at one end of the undeveloped area, through each intervening space, and on to an exhaust way at an opposite end of the space. However, once required egress corridors are created (air tight and extending from the basement floor to the first floor slab above), the ventilation system will be nullified. A mechanical air distribution system consisting of HVAC units and air distribution ducts would have to be installed to provide ventilation for all potentially occupied spaces in accordance with building code requirements. Appropriate fire rated mechanical rooms would have to be created to house the HVAC units.

Such HVAC systems should be sized for the intended future occupancy of the southwest quadrant of the basement. Since current storage occupancies would require only minimum levels of ventilation and temperature control, systems sized for such use would be unable to meet the eventual increased demands of outpatient service and administrative functions. Having to replace these systems and upgrade their support utilities (see below) a second time would be a great waste.

Several utility expansions would be required to support the HVAC units and expected future environmental and power requirements. Dedicated cooling units, transformers, and an electrical distribution system would be required.

Sprinkler System

The sprinkler system would have to be modified in accordance with the new spatial segmentation of the southwest quadrant of the basement to ensure appropriate coverage throughout. Sprinkler heads would be, in most instances, positioned at eight feet above the poured floor to match a future proposed ceiling height and avoid future redundant work.

Economic and Functional Issues

In conjunction with the above life safety related work, the erection of functional division and toilet partitions and installation of integrated ceilings should also be accomplished for economic and functional reasons:

Partitions

We recommend that functional division and toilet partitions be erected in conjunction with the creation of the egress corridors because of their similar nature and in conjunction with the installation of HVAC ductwork since integration will be required. This is in keeping with the intent of AFR 86-1, Chapter 5, (paragraph 5-6.b) which encourages "economies of scale" (performing like work all at once). Electrical receptacles and junction boxes should be placed at frequent intervals along the sides of the corridor and functional partition walls facing inward to the proposed functional areas. Access doors into the various segmented functional areas should also be provided through these walls. These efforts would appropriately facilitate the eventual outfitting of these areas for their end tenants.

Ceilings

Integrated suspended ceilings should be provided throughout the area. They would be comprised of acoustical ceiling tiles, lighting appropriate for the eventual utilization of the space, supply and return grills connected to the HVAC air distribution system via flexible ducting to permit future rearrangement of grills (unless return air plenums are substituted for return air ducts), sprinkler heads mounted in the tiles, and lay-in acoustical blanket material above the ceiling. Tile flooring may also be provided as a part of this project pending available funds.

Provision of such ceilings, in conjunction with the other identified elements of this project, would effect the provision of several complete and usable building compartments ready for use by the current or various proposed tenant functions. WPMC could opt to use these areas as they are, without adding fixed partition walls, by substituting modular or systems partitions to appropriately subdivide each functional area. Such movable components permit more efficient and flexible layouts than fixed partition plans and are often preferred. Full height systems partitions can be used where acoustical privacy is critical and component reception counters are available as substitutes to fixed case work. Sinks and cabinets can be mounted on typical systems support elements. Movable systems storage lockers or traditional cabinets can be utilized for storage, eliminating the need for storage rooms.

Project Scope

Although all portions of the southwest quadrant of the basement would not have to be developed if select areas were to be left unoccupied, it would be unwise to permit this since it is unrealistic to assume that any such areas will not eventually be developed by some other means. Maintaining "economy of scale" in keeping with the intent of AFR 86-1, Chapter 5, would dictate that the whole area be developed at once since contractor setup costs to access a basement area will be significant and since each identified component of this project can most efficiently be developed in its entirety.

Costs

Such development would probably cost between \$1-2 million. Design should target a \$1 million project as a project of such cost could be funded with either Unspecified Minor Construction (P-341) or MCP dollars. If cost estimates run above this amount, the project would have to be funded through the MCP.

Justification

This proposed limited generic development of the southwest quadrant of the basement would be justifiable as a life safety upgrade measure which would also economically support the functional growth of WPMC.

FOLLOW-ON PROJECTS

Following the completion of the above project, WPMC would have the option to fund several O&M projects to customize individual areas for their proposed eventual tenants. These projects would subdivide the spaces with permanent wall partitions where required. Such work however, would have to be delayed at least 12 months following the completion of the initial project to meet the requirements of AFR 86-1, Chap 5.

Note that these subject areas could be occupied by their proposed end tenants in the interim through utilization of inexpensive second hand modular partitions to provide necessary spatial divisions. Construction of permanent walls would follow at the later date. Thus, these areas would be considered complete and usable from day one following the initial project.

We have identified such projects to outfit each area, to a certain extent, with fixed partitions, carpeting, and other furnishings dependent on the functional user of each area. These projects would be programmed to follow the initial limited generic development project (above) by at least twelve months. All projects of one category code would total less than \$200,000. The total of all such potential projects is under \$1,000,000; however, if WPMC were to split them equally and space them 12 months apart, the total in a given year could be held under \$500,000, thus maintaining the approval level at AFLC Command.

We would interpret these follow-on projects as being non-contiguous and in accordance with AFR 86-1 because they would be completely separate, distinct, and independent of each other. Again, none of these projects would be absolutely required in order to achieve complete and usable areas for the subject functions; however, by making do with temporary second hand modular partitions for a year or more, WPMC could later fund some or all of these projects thereby eliminating the need for large acquisitions of new systems components. This would probably be at least an even trade in relative costs.

IV PARKING

Current parking conditions at WPMC are atrocious. Criteria indicates a need for 2,500 spaces, yet there are only 1400 in place. Our proposals for the development and use of the southwest quadrant of the basement would result in allowing two small functions, the Brace Shop and Family Advocacy to be returned to the Medical Center, thus increasing parking requirements somewhat. WPMC's plans to bring Medical Material's bulk storage and administration elements into the Medical Center, and the imminent projected increase of 50 additional medical providers, most of whom will work in the Medical Center, and their accompanying increased support staff and patients, will further aggravate the parking problem.

Since there remain only a few functions within the Medical Center which could easily be relocated to an off-campus site, no substantial cut in parking requirements can be gained through any such functional relocation. Additional parking must be provided if WPMC is to meet minimal acceptable parking conditions for sick, often handicapped, patients.

Base Civil Engineering (BCE) has proposed some potential areas for token parking expansions (see Drawing 13). Of these, we recommend that they pursue the extensions of lot H to the east, lot C to the west, lot F to the south, and the creation of a new small lot north of lot A and east of lot B. BCE has also identified a potential gain of about 75 spaces which would be realized upon the relocation of Social Actions whose trailers and patrons' cars occupy space in lot H.

We support Base Civil Engineering's proposal to place additional parking west of lot F adjacent to VOQ 626; however, we recommend that a much greater area be developed here. By extending the double lot behind VOQ 626 down and around VOQ 626, about 250 spaces could be gained in this location alone. To accommodate this, the fence which delineates the bordering (to the west) housing subdivision would have to be moved back towards the housing; however, this encroachment would not diminish the bordering green space any less than that which exists further south between the houses there and parking lots E and F. Many of the slots in this proposed lot would be used by VOQ visitors; however, these same persons would not have parked in the Medical Center's lots as they do currently. What's more, the existing VOQ lot could be partially utilized by Medical Center patrons during the day when the VOQs are basically empty and the Medical Center's requirements are at a peak. In the evenings when the VOQ lodgers return, the Medical Centers use would have subsided.

We oppose the construction of proposed lots northeast of the Medical Center's Logistics loading area and in the grassy island immediately in front of the main Inpatient entrance. The first of these areas is needed for the planned growth of Specialized Radiology functions. Additional buildings or additions will have to be built here for a second Magnetic Resonance Imaging unit and a Positron Emission Testing unit. The front island provides an appropriate serene setting at the Medical Center's main Inpatient entrance where such an element is required to allay patients' apprehensions; a parking lot would not be appropriate here.

As a secondary measure to expand parking, we propose converting Talbott road, north of the Medical Center, into a parking access rout with parking spaces positioned to either side (see Drawing 14). The feasibility of such an endeavor would have to be verified by Base Civil Engineering. Parking lot G would have to be minimally reconfigured to permit this action; however, the net parking capacity increase would be substantial.

Given the realization of all of the above recommended expansions, the net increase in parking spaces would be less than 500. These expansions would go a long way towards relieving the critical shortage at the Medical Center but would not approximate accepted standards for Medical Center parking provisions nor accommodate any service growth.

The realization of all of the above recommended parking expansions would also inappropriately eat away scarce green space around the Medical Center. This could be avoided and the entire parking shortage met if the base were willing to sacrifice two golf course holes just north of parking lot H. This area could be developed into a low density (with plenty of green space) parking area for all Medical Center Employees. This would eliminate the need for all other parking expansion projects, and would free the surrounding areas of the Medical Center for patient parking and future expansions of the Medical Center. Unit costs for development of this area would be substantially less than those for the other proposed expansions. Creation of this north lot will inevitably have to occur at some point in the future anyway, since medical missions continue to expand and diversify. It would therefore be wise and prudent to accomplish this action now and avoid the expense and aesthetic disturbance which would accompany the other proposed parking expansions.

V. LIFE SAFETY

A number of Life Safety elements, including correction of design deficiencies for atrium skylight and shutter closure mechanisms, were split out of WPMC's FY82 MCP addition alteration project to be accomplished under follow-on projects which have not been completed. Several Life Safety deficiencies have resulted from the use, albeit it mostly storage, of the undeveloped southwest quadrant of the basement; this is further discussed below. A complicated Fire Safety Evaluation Survey (FSES) was conducted along with the design of the FY82 project in order to permit certain prescriptive violations of the Life Safety Code to be continued by offsetting them with extra safety precautions taken in other ways. The FSES evaluates the entire building, or sections thereof, giving negative credit for minor violations and positive credit where minimum provisions are exceeded; a composite score is tallied which is indicative of the overall life safety condition. Unfortunately, changes in the MCP project, unfinished work, and new violations in the basement have invalidated the FSES. Thus prescriptive code violations, which were previously justified by the FSES can no longer be so justified. These and the violations previously noted are subject to JCAHO imposed contingencies.

WPMC should complete all outstanding life safety related projects, eliminate life safety deficiencies in the basement, and contract with the Public Health Service to conduct a new FSES. This will allow WPMC and the JCAHO to properly assess the Medical Center. For more information on this last action please contact Lt Col Lawrence Janes (HFO-WR) at (415) 705-2234.

The following pertinent information on the undeveloped southwest quadrant of the basement is extracted from section III (see Drawing 8):

Floors

Much of the southwest quadrant of the basement is currently used for storage, however, any such use necessitates that the space have finished floors to meet required life safety egress provisions.

Corridors

The area earmarked for readiness is already used for readiness processing activities which experience occupancy levels of over 200. This necessitates that this area and its egress provisions meet assembly occupancy requirements of the Life Safety Code. Our proposed corridor distribution for this quadrant of the basement (see Drawing 8) provides for this egress requirement. Two remote exit ways are normally required for such an occupancy, but in this case, a second exit way cannot be created without also creating an excessive dead end corridor leading to the Readiness suite. Thus a third access way, via corridors, is needed to avoid a dead end. Protected corridors leading to the two basement stairs just to the north and south of the outpatient elevators are required.

Since vertical transportation is part of an occupant's potential travel, protected corridors leading to both of these stairs are also required by the Life Safety Code to provide appropriate egress for anyone who reaches the basement level via the outpatient elevators, whether intentionally or unintentionally. Even if such access is mechanically restricted to maintenance personnel, there can be no guarantee that another occupant will not enter the same elevator as a maintenance person who has programmed the car to access the basement. Furthermore, the current use of this area of the basement as a thoroughfare by maintenance and other staff employees justifies a life safety upgrade of the egress components of the area.

Utilities

The existing ventilation in this quadrant of the basement, which is already partially segmented with concrete partition walls, is provided by large wall fans which presumably effect a laminar flow of air from an intake at one end of the undeveloped area, through each intervening space, and on to an exhaust way at an opposite end of the space. However, once required egress corridors are created (air tight and extending from the basement floor to the first floor slab above), the ventilation system will be nullified. A mechanical air distribution system consisting of HVAC units and air distribution ducts would have to be installed to provide ventilation for all potentially occupied spaces in accordance with building code requirements. Appropriate fire rated mechanical rooms would have to be created to house the HVAC units.

Such HVAC systems should be sized for the intended future occupancy of the southwest quadrant of the basement. Since current storage occupancies would require only minimum levels of ventilation and temperature control, systems sized for such use would be unable to meet the eventual increased demands of outpatient service and administrative functions. Having to replace these systems and upgrade their support utilities (see below) a second time would be a great waste.

Several utility expansions would be required to support the HVAC units and expected future environmental and power requirements. Dedicated cooling units, transformers, and an electrical distribution system would be required.

Sprinkler System

The sprinkler system would have to be modified in accordance with the new spatial segmentation of the southwest quadrant of the basement to ensure appropriate coverage throughout. Sprinkler heads would be, in most instances, positioned eight feet above the poured floor to match a future proposed ceiling height and avoid future redundant work.

VI. CONCLUSION

It is evident that WPMC, although the recipient of a recent MCP expansion project, is in dire need of additional treatment, support, and parking space. Ideally, an MCP project should be funded to expand the Medical Center and to construct additional parking on an adjacent portion (two holes) of a golf course. A building expansion would enable WPMC to effectively reduce exorbitant CHAMPUS reimbursement costs for beneficiary medical care by allowing WPMC to economically provide this care in-house. This expansion could occur in the basement of the Medical Center which contains an undeveloped shell space of 50,000SF. This area could be developed quite economically and would be of sufficient size to meet WPMC's spatial needs. Resultant savings through potential expansion of in-house care would far exceed the cost of necessary construction work. It would behoove the Congress to supply funds for such an investment as this would lead to substantial long term cost savings.

In order to acquire the necessary MCP (or Unspecified Minor Construction) funds to initiate development of the basement, we have provided a detailed accounting of the life safety deficiencies existent in the basement and propose that this be accentuated and used to support the funding of a basement development project. We have identified such a project(s) providing alternative options for its funding. In addition we have proposed a program of numerous O&M projects to be accomplished throughout the Medical Center which would mitigate spatial deficiencies in most departments. The realization of these projects should prove to be cost and quality effective.

O&M funding support from AFLC/SG is critical to the success of our proposed program.

BRAC 95 Joint Cross-Service Group for MTFs and GME Data Call. Complete as specified. If you use a source other than identified in the questions, state your source and rationale.

Overall Assessment of Base Medical Facilities

Prepared by: John D. Hollen Phone #: 787 1935 Date: 2 June 94

MAJCOM: AEMC Base: WPAFB

Organization: 645th Medical Group

Total Gross Square Feet of Base Medical Facilities: 891,560

JCAHO Life Safety Management Score: 1 Date of JCAHO Survey: 5 Dec 91

Overall Facility Condition Assessment

Function/System	% Adequate	% Substandard	% Inadequate
1) Parking	70 ✓	20 ✓	10 ✓
2) Administration	80 ✓	20 ✓	0 ✓
3) Central Sterile Services	90 ✓	10 ✓	0 ✓
4) Dental	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
5) Emergency Services	70 ✓	30 ✓	0 ✓
6) Food Services	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
7) Laboratories	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
8) Logistics	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
9) Inpatient Nursing Units	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
10) Labor/Delivery/Nursery	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
11) Outpatient Clinic	80 ✓	20 ✓	0 ✓
12) Pharmacy	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
13) Radiology	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
14) Surgical Suite	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓
15) Building Systems			
a) Structural/Seismic:	78 ✓	11 ✓	11 ✓
b) HVAC:	67 ✓	22 ✓	11 ✓
c) Plumbing:	67 ✓	22 ✓	11 ✓
d) Electrical Service:	67 ✓	22 ✓	11 ✓
e) Electrical Distribution:	67 ✓	22 ✓	11 ✓
f) Emergency Power:	100 ✓	0 ✓	0 ✓

Atch 5

DD FORM 2407 INSTRUCTIONS

1. This form is not intended to be used as detailed engineering evaluation of the condition of the facilities. It is primarily designed to assist OASD (HA) in assessing the adequacy and condition of DoD Medical/Dental Facilities.
2. The Functions/Systems should be evaluated on a consolidated basis for the entire facility.
3. Not more than 4 deficiencies should be identified in the Deficiency Codes column for each item listed under the Function/System column.
4. Fill in N/A (not applicable) where certain Function/System is not present in the facility. For example,

- Inpatient Nursing Units and Labor-Delivery-Nursery are not applicable to Clinics.
5. Numbers under % Adequate, % Substandard, % Inadequate must total 100 for each function/System.
6. If the Hospital has a Facility Contingent Accreditation, mark this line also.
7. After completion, the form must be signed by the Commander/Commanding Officer/Officer-in-Charge of the facility.
8. Use DoD Standard Data Element Codes for State and Country when entering codes in Item 6.

DEFINITIONS

CATEGORY CODE - Facility Category Code is a numeric code used to identify a particular use of Military Department's real property for Hospital and other Medical Facilities usage (i.e., building, structure or utility). The first three digits of the code are a DoD standard (DoDI 4165.3); the fourth, fifth and sixth (if applicable) digits are added to provide more definitive categorization of the Military Department's facilities.

CONSTRUCTION TYPE - Type is either Permanent, Semi-Permanent or Temporary construction at the time building was built.

% ADEQUATE - Percent Adequate is the capacity of a facility or portion thereof, in percentage form, that is in adequate condition and associated with a designated function (USE). Adequate is defined as being capable of supporting the designated function without a need for capital improvements.

% SUBSTANDARD - Percent Substandard is the capacity of a facility or portion thereof, in percentage form, that is in substandard condition and associated with a designated function (USE). Substandard is defined as having deficiencies which prohibit or severely restrict, or will prohibit or severely restrict within the next five years due to expected deterioration, the use of a facility for its designated function. Substandard is further defined as having deficiencies which can be economically corrected by capital improvements and/or repairs.

% INADEQUATE - Percent Inadequate is the capacity of a facility or portion thereof, in percentage form, that is in inadequate condition and associated with a designated function (USE). Inadequate is defined as having deficiencies due to physical deterioration, functional inadequacy or hazardous location or situation which prohibit or severely restrict, or will prohibit or severely restrict within the next five years, the use of a facility for its designated function. Inadequate is further defined as having deficiencies which cannot be economically corrected to meet the requirements of the designated function.

DEFICIENCY CODE - Code is a three character code indicating the type of deficiency existing in a facility or portion thereof that is in a substandard or inadequate condition and associated with a designated function (USE). The first character of the code indicates one of the six types of deficiencies. The next two characters specify the facility component(s) or related items which are deficient.

- (1) Deficient Status or Condition Types - first character
 - A - Physical Condition
 - B - Functional or Space Criteria
 - C - Design Criteria
 - D - Location or Siting Criteria
 - E - Nonexistence
 - F - Total Obsolescence or Deterioration
- (2) Facility Components or Related Items - last two characters
 - 01 - Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning (HVAC)
 - 02 - Plumbing Fixtures
 - 03 - Fire Protection/Life Safety Code
 - 04 - Medical Gases
 - 05 - Lighting Fixtures
 - 06 - Power Capacity
 - 07 - Emergency Generators
 - 08 - Communications
 - 09 - Building or Structure (total)
 - 10 - Seismic Design
 - 11 - Roof/Ceiling
 - 12 - Building Interior/Configuration
 - 13 - Sound Proofing/Excessive Noise
 - 14 - Compliance of Installation with Master Plan
 - 15 - OSHA Deficiency
 - 16 - JCAH Deficiency
 - 17 - Functionality
 - 18 - Site Location
 - 19 - Mission of the Base
 - 20 - None

AX TRANSMITTAL
 COL. ROMEYN
 3 JUN 94
 From HFO-ERS-1
 Phone #
 Fax #
 8099-101
 GENERAL

12 MONTH WORKLOAD COMPARISON (MAR 90 - APR 91)

<u>WORKLOAD DATA</u>	<u>WPMC</u>	<u>DGMC</u>	<u>WPMC/DGMC</u>
Clinic Visits	473,000	367,000	1.29
ADPL	181	191	0.95
Births	983	1142	0.86
Pharmacy Scripts	1,080,000	830,000	1.30
Lab Procedures	2,260,000	1,980,000	1.14
X-Ray Films Exposed	265,000	303,000	0.87

SPACE COMPARISON

<u>MEASUREMENT</u>	<u>WPMC</u>	<u>DGMC</u>	<u>WPMC/DGMC</u>
Facility Size Year Programmed	657,000SF * 1976	808,000SF 1982	0.81

* WPMC is using two ancillary buildings to supplement the Medical Center. These buildings are old and temporary. One inadequately houses Pediatrics and Family Advocacy and the other is a warehouse which houses the medical supply activity, part of which will soon be returned to the Medical Center.

As is evidenced, WPMC has a significantly larger clinic operation than DGMC and a comparable inpatient workload, yet DGMC is over 150,000SF larger. Magnifying this deficiency further, DGMC was itself programmed and designed several years ago and as such is significantly undersized for the hundreds of additional assigned staff which it has received. Thus the unfavorable comparison of WPMC against a facility which is itself substantially undersized hints at a probable actual deficiency at WPMC of nearer to a quarter of a million square feet.

WPMC's drastic increase in outpatient clinic care without provision of required space has placed the Medical Center in a precarious situation. With CHAMPUS costs skyrocketing, it is becoming more and more cost effective for DOD facilities to see patients in-house, or otherwise risk depleting the operational funds of the DOD medical care system. Facilities like WPMC do not have the available space to house required providers let alone sufficient space to maximize their productivity, nor do they have the opportunity to obtain investment funding for the creation of such space, even when this can unquestionably be shown to be cost effective. While economic analyzes have repeatedly validated the cost effectiveness of proposed expansions for various DOD medical facilities, and increased in-house care by the DOD medical services is constantly demanded, Congress continues to cut the DOD budget for medical construction which is necessary to create space in which to provide this additional care.

It would definitely behoove the DOD to petition Congress to increase available MCP funds for expenditure on profitable construction efforts as verified by economic analysis; WPMC and other DOD medical facilities could then perform such analyses to validate and gain funding for their projects.

WPMC has an undeveloped shell area of approximately 50,000SF of space in the southwest quadrant of its basement. This space has outstanding development potential, as it has appropriate ceiling heights, foundations, egress stairs, central elevator access, complete sprinkler coverage, and is ideally located immediately below the principle outpatient clinic area of the Medical Center. Its potential and location could not be better. We have proposed a complete development of this area for outpatient service and administrative functions.

This shell area could be developed into outpatient service and administrative space for a mere fraction of what it would cost to construct a new building or addition for the same purpose. The economics related to CHAMPUS recapture would easily justify the construction cost of a new building and, many times over, offset the cost of developing this basement shell area. Unfortunately, the Military Construction Program (MCP) monies needed to accomplish this development (and other similarly advantageous construction efforts at other DOD medical facilities) are scarce. Such projects must vie for these monies against projects for other older DOD medical facilities whose infrastructures and systems are falling and pose imminent threats to patient care and safety. The Defense Medical Facilities Office (DMFO), which controls MCP funds, cannot even obtain replacement project funding for a 35 year old dilapidated Hospital at Homestead AFB. Yet such "must fix" projects must be prioritized ahead of the WPMCs since cost savings can not compete with saving lives. There is little chance that WPMC will receive any near-term MCP project funding based on purely economic rationale; however, they should have a better chance of obtaining funding for a life safety related project.

Our proposed utilization of the southwest quadrant of the basement and the current utilization (storage and Readiness processing) both necessitate a life safety upgrade of the space. This fact should be accentuated to help justify our proposed functional development of this space.

We suggest that WPMC pursue a single MCP project to fully develop this basement area for outpatient service and administrative functions, and that this project be justified by life safety requirements and economic benefits.

If the DMFO cannot support the full development of this space, we alternatively recommend that WPMC pursue a lesser project which would provide a generic development of this area, concentrating on a comprehensive life safety and utility upgrade which would support our proposed end development of the space. If kept under \$1,000,000, such a project could be funded with Unspecified Minor Construction (P-341) dollars rather than MCP dollars. Realization of such a project would enable WPMC to initially occupy the space, using temporary partitions for necessary spatial subdivision, and to eventually custom develop each individual area for its intended functional user through the accomplishment of several O&M funded projects.

II. FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES AND PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS

We have proposed a complete development of the southwest quadrant of the Medical Center's basement (see Drawing 8) and renovation of select areas throughout the Medical Center (see also Drawings 9-11). Utilization of the developable basement space for various select functions would provide significant spatial relief for them while affording similar benefit to other functions which would then expand into the vacated space. In this way, all of WPMC's major clinics and numerous administrative and support functions would receive desperately needed spatial relief.

Since the potential for gaining MCP dollars for functional development of the basement is bleak, we suggest that WPMC focus their project justification on the need to correct life safety deficiencies. We have identified two alternative strategies to develop the basement shell space which focus on life safety needs. The first, Strategy A, would provide a single \$3,000,000 life safety/functional development project which would be funded with MCP dollars. The second, Strategy B, would provide a \$1-2 million life safety/utility upgrade project to be funded with either P-341 or traditional MCP dollars and would later be followed by several O&M funded projects to develop functional areas of differing category code identification. These strategies are detailed in the next section and in Appendix 4.

We have also identified numerous other O&M projects which affect existing functional areas in the Medical Center. These are presented below and in appendix 3.

The following analyzes and project recommendations are broken out by function. Work efforts identified for each function, though referred to as "projects" for convenience, should be viewed as project elements or phases, several of which would be combined within a single design or construction project. While the next section provides recommendations for the grouping of these elements, this section only identifies the various project elements and their necessary sequential order which is indicated by the numbering. This sequence is summarized in Appendix 2.

As mentioned, the project numbering system used is structured to identify the sequences in which projects must occur. Thus, project E must precede projects E.1, E.2, and E.3; yet, these last three projects can occur simultaneously. Project E.3 must precede project E.3.1. Projects A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and H are independent and can occur in any order.

Project E is broken out in eight sub-elements which each affect the whole southeast quadrant basement area. These should be accomplished at once and all together to maximize economy.

A Blood Donor Center (Temporary)

WPMC is planning to eliminate an autoclave and other equipment in a glass washing room in pathology. We recommend that they use this room to house the frozen blood freezers and other associated equipment temporarily until a permanent blood donor center can be established (project E.6.1). In the meantime, blood drawing should be performed in the first floor specimen collection area or in another room in the Medical Center. Drawing times should be spread out to minimize patient backlogs and disruption to the other collection functions. This temporary fix also would allow WPMC's to immediately employ their renowned Hematologist-Oncologist Bone Marrow Transplant Specialist.

B Neuroscience, Plastic Surgery, and Pain Clinics (see Drawing 10)

WPMC is in the process of converting Nursing Unit 2E into a Neuroscience Department and a Pain Clinic. This move will provide internal expansion space for the Medicine and Surgical Clinics as Neurology, Pain, and Neurosurgery elements will be moved out of these clinics, thus freeing several rooms.

WPMC would also like to create a Plastic Surgery Clinic just west of Unit 2E and north of the Surgical Suite, where it would be convenient to the operating rooms; however, the rooms in this area open directly to a public corridor adjacent to the inpatient elevator bank. Such an orientation is ill suited for clinic space. We therefore recommend that the four rooms at the west end of Unit 2E, adjacent to the proposed Neuroscience Department, be captured to enable WPMC to create a Plastic Surgery Clinic at this end of unit 2E in similar proximity to the Surgical Suite and with a more private orientation.

These four rooms are currently used as a day room for Unit 2N, a report room, and offices for Nurse Anesthetist Clinical Training. We propose moving the day room to an adjacent room (to the north) on Unit 2N which is used as an office. This office activity would in-turn be moved across the hall to a room which currently houses the Mental Health Residents. The Residents would be relocated to the Mental Health Clinic following its expansion (project E.1.1) or they could be absorbed in space available in Physical Therapy. The report room and Nurse Anesthetist's offices would be moved west to the area previously earmarked for the Plastic Surgery Clinic. This move would displace a Chaplain, Critical Care Nurse, and Nursing Coordinator. These persons would be relocated to an area on Unit 5W reserved for miscellaneous Administrative functions following our proposed reorganization of Unit 5W (projects E.4 and E.4.2).

All of Unit 2E would then be available for clinic space. The two westernmost rooms (formerly report and one Nurse Anesthetist) would be used for the Pain Clinic. The former patient lounge would be divided into two rooms and these, along with the former second Nurse Anesthetist room, the two rooms currently earmarked by WPMC for the Pain Clinic, and one room currently earmarked for Neuroscience (EMG), would be used to establish the Plastic Surgery Clinic. The remainder of Unit 2E would remain the Neuroscience Department. At least one of the large bed rooms at the east end of the unit would have to be partitioned in two to serve as an office and an exam room for a provider. This would provide additional space to compensate for the lost EMG room. Similar partitioning of a second such room would permit the addition of another provider.

B.1 Medicine Clinics (see Drawing 9)

The Medicine Clinic will gain a significant amount of space for internal expansion following the relocation of Neurology (project B) as several rooms will be made available for alternative uses. Medicine would later gain a couple of additional rooms following the relocation of the Pain speciality.

B.2 Surgical Clinics (see Drawing 9)

The Surgical Clinic will gain four rooms for internal expansion following the relocation of its Neurosurgery element (project B).

C Ambulance Garage (see Drawing 9)

There exists a convenient means to acquire additional space adjacent to the Emergency Department along with a strong need for such space. Adjacent to the three bay Ambulance Garage is one unused enclosed bay and an unused, covered, open bay. By enclosing the latter bay, connecting the two together, and segmenting the west bay of the Ambulance Garage, these three bays, in combination, could be used for the Medical Center's six ambulances. The Flight Surgeon's two ambulances would be parked in the westernmost bay. A walk, steps, and an outside door would be added to connect this bay to a nearby stair which comes up from the basement. This would be done in parallel with our proposed relocation of Flight Medicine (project E.1) to the basement in an area adjacent to this stair.

This garage extension would free the remaining two east bays of the garage for other use. The overhead doors to these two bays would be removed and their openings walled in. The easternmost bay would serve either temporarily as office support for the Emergency Department or permanently as a staging area for Aeromedical Evacuation patients. The second bay would be used permanently for Emergency Department office space. The gain in office space would permit the relocation of existing Emergency Department offices and thus free up space within the Department for a reconfiguration and expansion of the Emergency treatment and control area, and the reconfiguration and expansion of Outpatient Records. The gained space would also compensate for the loss of an equipment storage room which is discussed below.

A toilet and equipment storage room adjacent to the proposed Aeromedical Evacuation staging area would have to be sacrificed to create a direct access corridor to this staging area and a control office for Aeromedical Evacuation administration.

C.1. Emergency (see Drawing 9)

Vacated rooms in Emergency would be used to reconfigure and expand the Emergency treatment and control functions. Our proposed plan for this area positions a consolidated work area central to open, surrounding (360 degrees) treatment and exam spaces. The plan allows for several of these treatment cubicles to double for either acute or minor emergency procedures. A minimum number of isolation rooms are kept in accordance with Air Force design policy. Ambulance dispatch is placed adjacent to reception with an accordion door in-between, and reception is shifted to a more appropriate position across from the Emergency Department waiting room. The lounge is moved in closer proximity to the control elements but remains out of the view of patients. Support rooms and the MOD are consolidated in the back of the department where they are convenient to both the acute and minor emergency treatment areas.

C.1.1 Outpatient Records (see Drawing 9)

Outpatient Records gains three rooms from emergency following the development of the new Emergency Department office area (project C). This additional space would be used to reorient customer access to Outpatient Records, increase the patient queuing area, and increase work and records storage areas in the Records Department. The corridor between records and emergency would be opened up to draw ambulatory Emergency and Aeromedical Evacuation patients into their corresponding departments.

C.2 Aeromedical Evacuatio\$(see Drawing 9)

Following the extension and modification of the Ambulance Garage (project C), the easternmost bay would become available either for temporary use for Emergency Department offices or an eventual permanent home for Aeromedical Evacuation patient staging. Whichever will depend on the timing of the expansion of Outpatient Records (project C.1.1) and the expansion/shifting of the Primary Care Clinic (project E.2.1). The Primary Care project would leave Emergency with necessary office space which would be lost through the expansion of Outpatient Records.

The eventual use of this area for Aeromedical Evacuation patient staging would require that a bag storage room be constructed within the staging area. One additional room, taken from the Emergency Department, would be used as a control/administration office for this function.

D Dental Clinic (see Drawing 10)

WPMC plans to use one adjacent room east of the Prosthetics Laboratory as expansion space for the laboratory. We support this position but recommend that the room be left intact and used for administrative/storage activities which could be removed from the laboratory proper and isolated in this room. This action would allow for the creation of additional technician workbench space in the laboratory proper.

We also recommend enclosing the X-Ray waiting area to create an administrative office. This would allow the NCOIC to be moved out of the current reception/administration area and permit the expansion of reception/administration into his former office.

The Dental Clinic has a critical shortage of dental treatment rooms (DTRs), but has no available adjoining space or feasible addition opportunities in which to expand its operations. As a long term measure, we recommend that WPMC include Flight Medicine, Physical Exams, and Environmental Health in the requested MCP replacement project for Occupational Medicine. In this report, we have proposed that Flight Medicine, Physical Exams, and Environmental Health be relocated to the basement (projects E.1a and E.1b) following the development of the basement. If these functions were later removed from the facility (and included in the Occupational Medicine project), the basement area which would have been developed for them could be modified to accept the Ophthalmology and ENT functions which are currently located adjacent to the Dental Clinic. The current Ophthalmology and ENT areas would then be donated to the Dental Clinic for the needed expansion of that service.

E Life Safety/Utility Upgrade of the Basement (see Drawing 8)

There is a significant amount of undeveloped shell space (approximately 50,000SF) in the southwest quadrant of the basement of the Medical Center. WPMC has drafted plans for the development and utilization of this space for clinic, administrative, and logistics activities. We support these plans in general; however, we recommend that additional clinic space be provided in lieu of the proposed logistics functions. This basement area is ideal for patient oriented activities as it is located in the immediate vicinity of the Medical Center's principle outpatient entry, outpatient elevator bank, and all ancillary services. It is specially well suited for Flight Medicine since one exit stair egresses adjacent to our proposed Ambulance Garage extension where the Flight Medicine response vehicles would be parked.

A complete life safety/utility upgrade of this area of the basement should be accomplished. A detailed analysis of these requirements is provided in section V. Such an upgrade should include the following elements:

(1) Basement Floor (Life Safety Egress Requirement)

The first step in developing this quadrant of the basement would be to pour the floor. This effort would include the installation of all necessary drains and utilities to include stub-ups for bathroom fixtures. These would all be strategically located as identified on the composite area design.

(2) Basement Corridor Partitions (Life Safety Egress Requirement)

Following the pouring of the floor (project E) corridor partitions should be erected to create a system of access corridors in accordance with the Life Safety Code.

(3) Basement Functional Division and Toilet Partition

In conjunction with the erection of corridor partitions, functional division and toilet partitions should also be erected. It will be most economical to accomplish this work along with the pouring of the floor and construction of the corridor partitions.

(4) Basement HVAC Rooms (Life Safety Requirement)

Basement HVAC units will be required and will need appropriate rooms to house them. Construction of HVAC rooms should be done in conjunction with the erection of corridor, functional division, and toilet partitions. Again, this is to promote favorable economy.

- (5) Basement HVAC Units and Support Utilities (Life Safety/Building Code Requirement)

Basement HVAC Units and all required support utilities (chiller, cooling tower, electrical service and transformers, etc.) should be installed following the creation of their support rooms.

- (6) Basement HVAC Main Distribution Ducts and Dampers (Life Safety/Building Code Requirement)

Main HVAC ducts should be installed in conjunction with the erection of the corridor and functional division partitions, the creation of the HVAC rooms, and installation of the HVAC units. This will ease the coordination of necessary junctions and wall penetrations.

- (7) Basement Sprinkler System Extension (Life Safety Requirement)

The sprinkler head distribution and mounting heights will have to be altered to appropriately serve the area being developed. This work would have to be completed prior the start of the installation of ceilings in this area so that sprinkler coverage of the area is not interrupted by the proposed ceilings below.

- (8) Basement Ceilings (Lighting, Ventilation, Acoustics)

Following the above work, integrated ceilings would be installed in each portion of the affected area of the basement under development. These ceilings would include acoustical ceiling tiles, lighting appropriate for our proposed eventual office/clinic utilization of the space, supply and return grills connected to the HVAC air distribution system via flexible ducting to permit future rearrangement of grills (unless return air plenums are substituted for return air ducts), sprinkler heads mounted in the tiles, and lay-in acoustical blanket material above the ceilings.

E.1 Flight Medicine/Physical Exams/Environmental Health (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), a block of space in the southern portion of the southwest quadrant of the basement would be dedicated for Flight Medicine, Physical Exams, and Environmental Health. This area is adequate to house these three functions and, in addition, would offer sufficient space to house the Physical Exams portion of Occupational Medicine, thus permitting all physical exam activities to be consolidated at one location. The area is particularly suited for Flight Medicine in that an adjoining stair egresses to the outside adjacent to our proposed Ambulance Garage extension. The Flight Surgeon's ambulances would be parked in the near (west) bay of the garage which would be connected via a walk and steps to the stair.

E.1.1 Mental Health (Child Psychology) (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of Flight Medicine, Physical Exams, and Environmental Health to the basement (project E.1), the area currently occupied by Environmental Health would be available to support an expansion of Mental Health as it was originally designed. WPMC would like to create a Child Psychology subdepartment but is without required space for additional providers and treatment rooms. This expansion would provide ample space for this new service. CHAMPUS costs would be greatly reduced through this measure because, under status quo conditions, WPMC has no control over the admissions process of inpatient child psychology patients. It is believed that significant numbers of patients, who probably need only outpatient treatment, are admitted into area hospitals for extended stays as inpatients.

E.1.2 Family Advocacy (see Drawing 9)

Also following the relocation of Flight Medicine and Physical Exams to the basement (project E.1), a major portion of the space these functions currently occupy would be dedicated to Family Advocacy. This space would be altered in accordance with the functional needs of Family Advocacy. Family Advocacy would be relocated from building 219 which it shares with the Pediatrics Clinic and the Base Wellness Center.

WPMC just recently received permission to occupy a small building, #205, on base which had formerly housed a drug research operation but is now vacant. This building is a shell which could easily be subdivided into office space through an O&M Minor Construction project. It is of sufficient size and in an acceptable location for Family Advocacy. Given the potential indefinite delay of the development of the Medical Center's basement, we recommend that this building be used at least temporarily as a home for Family Advocacy. Such utilization would be of great benefit to both Family Advocacy, which is currently dysfunctionally dispersed within the Pediatrics building, and to Pediatrics, which desperately needs the space which Family Advocacy occupies (see project E.1.2.1). WPMC should renovate this building accordingly.

E.1.2.1 Pediatrics Clinic

Pediatrics would gain several rooms vacated by Family Advocacy once that function is relocated to the former Flight Medicine/Physical Exams area in the Medical Center (project E.1.2). These gained rooms are desperately needed for examination space as most Pediatrics Providers have only one room which serves as their office and examination room.

E.1.3 Hyperbaric Medicine (see Drawing 9)

The remainder of space vacated by Flight Medicine and Physical Exams and not taken by Family Advocacy, would be dedicated to Hyperbaric Medicine. WPMC is developing an Air Force Residency Center for Hyperbaric Medicine and requires an additional suite of several rooms for administration and training. This space would be ideal for this use as it is adjacent to the Hyperbaric Medicine Department.

E.2 Pediatrics Specialty Clinic (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), a block of space in the center of the developable area would be dedicated to the Pediatric Specialty Clinic and developed accordingly. This location is ideal for this function as it is adjacent to the outpatient elevators and would provide sufficient space for a necessary expansion of the Pediatric Specialty Clinic.

E.2.1 Primary Care (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of the Pediatric Specialty Clinic (project E.2), its former area and a portion of the adjacent Pharmacy refill area would be dedicated to Primary Care for a shift/expansion of Primary Care. The Emergency Department would, in-turn, receive additional rooms for administrative offices which must be relocated to permit our proposed expansion of Outpatient Records (project C.1.1). Space gained in Primary Care would be used to support additional (earned) providers.

E.2.2 Emergency (Acute Care) (see Drawing 9)

Following the shift/expansion of Primary Care (project E.2.1), Emergency would gain space for administrative elements which would have been or would later be displaced as a result of our proposed expansion of Outpatient Records (project C.1.1). If the expansion of Outpatient Records occurs before the expansion/shift of Primary Care, the space created for Aeromedical Evacuation (project C.2) within the Emergency Department would have to be used temporarily to house the displaced Emergency Department administrative elements.

E.3 Optometry (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), a block of space adjacent to that dedicated to Pediatrics would be dedicated to Optometry. Optometry is currently combined with Ophthalmology and ENT adjacent to the OB/GYN Clinic on the second floor. All of these functions are in desperate need of additional space, yet there is no potential to gain additional space on the second floor. The area proposed for Optometry would provide sufficient space to house all currently employed optometrists and a projected additional Ophthalmologist.

E.3.1 OB/GYN Clinic (see Drawing 10)

Following relocation of Optometry to the basement (project E.3), the OB/GYN clinic would gain at least nine rooms and the shared use of a conference room with Ophthalmology and ENT. Some minor alterations would have to be accomplished to reconfigure two current Optometry eye lanes into rooms appropriate for standard examinations and to provide functional access to this gained area.

E.3.2 Ophthalmology/ENT (see Drawing 10)

The remainder of the rooms which would have been vacated following the relocation of Optometry (project E.3), and not dedicated to the OB/GYN Clinic, would be dedicated to Ophthalmology and ENT for use to support additional incoming providers in these functions. Two of the gained rooms are already configured as eye lanes so alterations would be minimal.

E.4 Managed Health Care (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), a block of space behind (east of) the areas dedicated to Optometry and the Pediatrics Specialty Clinic would be dedicated to the creation of a consolidated Managed Health Care Suite. This suite would include space for Health Benefits, Alternative Care, Supplemental/Cooperative Care, Alternative Use Projects, Health Care Finder, and other Managed Care elements. Patient access to this suite would be in close proximity to the outpatient services elevator.

E.4.1 Dermatology (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of Health Benefits to the basement (project E.4), we propose relocating Dermatology to the former Health Benefits area so as to free additional space within the Medicine Clinic for internal expansion of that Clinic. The Health Benefits area would have to receive some significant divisional alterations to create a suitable suite for Dermatology.

E.4.1.1 Medicine Clinics (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of Dermatology (E.4.1), the Medicine Clinic would receive eight vacated rooms for in-place expansion of the remaining Medical Specialty Clinics. This space is needed in addition to the space gained as a result of the relocation of Neurology (project B) because of the vast increase in the number of Medicine providers.

E.4.2 Quality Services (see Drawing 11c)

Following the establishment of a consolidated Managed Health Care Suite in the basement (project E.4) and the relocation of the various components of that directorate, several rooms would be freed on the administrative wing (Unit 5W) of the fifth floor. This would permit the creation of a consolidated Quality Services department on Unit 5W. We propose that the offices on this floor be reorganized somewhat to collocate like functions. The back (West) half of the wing would be dedicated to Quality Services, the Patient Squadron and MEBs would occupy two rooms at the southeast of the wing, and several rooms along the north side would house miscellaneous administrative offices.

Many of the component offices of Quality Services are currently located on the north central wing on the first floor between Systems and Patient Administration at one end (south) and Red Cross at the other end. This area would be freed for other use following the consolidation of Quality Services on Unit 5W.

E.4.2.1 Systems (see Drawing 9)

Following the relocation of the first floor Quality Service elements to our proposed Consolidated Quality Services Suite on the fifth floor (project E.4.2), Systems would gain the majority of the vacated Quality Services first floor offices but would return a large room in the Medicine Clinic, north of Systems, to Medicine. The net effect on Systems would be a gain of over 500SF of office space. Such space is needed to support a substantial increase in required Systems administration and training activities.

E.4.2.1a Medicine (see Drawing 9)

The Medicine Clinic would gain a large room from Systems following the shift/expansions of Systems (project E.4.2.1). This room would be used to relocate a stress test room in the Cardiac Catheterization Department and thus free a room in that department to create a required Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory.

E.4.2.2 Patient Administration (see Drawing 9)

Patient Administration would receive a necessary net gain of one office. It would pick up the three vacated Quality Services offices not taken by Systems following the consolidation of Quality Services on the fifth floor (project E.4.2), but would relinquish two offices to Transcriptions for expansion of that function.

E.4.2.3 Transcriptions (see Drawing 9)

Transcriptions would gain two rooms from Patient Administration following the shift/expansion of that function (project E.4.2.2). These rooms would be opened up to the current Transcriptions room to sufficiently increase its space for the addition of three incoming transcriptionists.

E.5 Surgical Administration Suite (see Drawing 8)

Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), an area immediately behind the elevators would be dedicated for the creation of a consolidated Surgical Administration Matrix. This directorate would oversee all of the Surgical Clinics and the Surgery Department. Its location is not critical (somewhere in the outpatient clinic area), but its establishment is essential to the quality management of affected departments. Persons who constitute this directorate are now scattered throughout the Medical Center. Their collocation will greatly promote efficiency.

E.5.1 Surgical Clinics

Several of the persons who will make up the Surgical Administrative Matrix are currently located within the Principle Surgical Clinic. Following the establishment of the consolidated Surgical Matrix in the basement (project E.5), a couple of offices will be freed up within the principle Surgical Clinic. This will permit further internal expansion of the Surgical Clinics in addition to that provided as a result of the relocation of Neurosurgery (project B). Such expansion is required to provide space for several additional assigned and incoming providers.

E.6 Medical Photography and Illustration (see Drawing 8)

Medical Photography and Illustrations is located within the Pathology Department on the second floor. The space it occupies is needed for the establishment of a Blood Donor Center. Following the life safety/utility upgrade of the basement (project E), an area adjacent (north) of the proposed Surgical Administration Matrix would be dedicated for the creation of a new Medical Photography and Illustrations suite. This area is not as large as the area identified in WPMC's current development plan; however, it is almost twice as large as the current Medical Photography and Illustrations area and would significantly enhance the operation of this function. Space would be available for the addition of self-help, computer graphics, and microfilm processing elements. Studio and storage space would also be increased.

Document Separator

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	REESE	0111	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE	
CRITERION 1 MISSION									
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		3831 ✓	1	70%	0.7	40%	0.28	
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO								
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS								
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		*1143 ✓	276 ✓	23 ✓	15%	40%	0.12	
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY								
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		10 ✓	*6 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		400 ✓	*575 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		100 ✓	4 ✓	1	15%	40%	0.06	
TOTAL			*143 ✓				1.15	40%	0.46 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY									
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		93.3 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3	
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.90 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3	
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		24 ✓	4 ✓	70% ✓	2.8	20%	0.56	
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		NA ✓	NA ✓					
TOTAL						5.8	20%	1.16 ✓	
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY									
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		2 ✓	10	50%	5	20%	1	
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		20 ✓	1	50%	0.5	20%	0.1	
TOTAL						5.5	20%	1.1 ✓	
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER									
C1	COST/MANPOWER								
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		N/A						
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		\$6,139.94 ✓	0.64	2	100%	2	20%	0.4
TOTAL						2	20%	0.4 ✓	
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								3.12 ✓	
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			5250 ✓	10 ✓				3.18 ✓	

* Info from phone from [Name] @ AFSSB (202) 969-5554, certified information to follow. [Name], DoM [Name] 11/2/21

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	ROBINS	0051	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		11640 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		414 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		569938 ✓	4 ✓	15%	0.6	40%	0.24
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		✓ 1128					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 5008					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 15 ✓					
			✓ 235.4	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	TOTAL					2.85	40%	1.14 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		92.2 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.80 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		23 ✓	4 ✓	40%	1.6	20%	0.32
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		2 ✓	8 ✓	30%	2.4	20%	0.48
	TOTAL					7	20%	1.4 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		2 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		32 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
	TOTAL					5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,074.06					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$5,497.74 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.74	3 ✓	100%	3	20%	0.6
	TOTAL					3	20%	0.6 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							4.24 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		17514 ✓	31 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	SCOTT	0055	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE	
CRITERION 1 MISSION									
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		24566 ✓	5 ✓	70%	3.5	40%	1.4	
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO								
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		2175 ✓						
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		2400000 ✓	3 ✓	15%	0.45	40%	0.18	
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY								
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		125 ✓						
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		544 ✓						
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		600 ✓	95 ✓					
			13,108 ✓	6.32 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	TOTAL					4.1	40%	1.64 ✓	
CRITERION 2 FACILITY									
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		39.2 ✓	4 ✓	15%	0.6	20%	0.12	
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.10 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20%	0.18	
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		33 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24	
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6	
	TOTAL					5.7	20%	1.14 ✓	
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY									
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		0.5 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1	
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		348 ✓	7 ✓	50%	3.5	20%	0.7	
	TOTAL					8.5	20%	1.7 ✓	
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER									
C1	COST/MANPOWER								
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,425.63 ✓						
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,645.16 ✓						
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.95 ✓	5 ✓	100%	5	20%	1	
	TOTAL		2493 ✓			5	20%	1 ✓	
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							5.48 ✓	
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		33977 ✓	120 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	SEYMOUR JOHNSON	90	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		12920 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		425 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		661732 ✓	4 ✓	15%	0.6	40%	0.24
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		108 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		522450 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		15 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	TOTAL					2.85	40%	1.14 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		90.5 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		33 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
	TOTAL					7.05	20%	1.41 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1.5 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		48 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
	TOTAL					5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$3,889.19 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		\$4,677.76 ✓	4704.19 ✓	100%	4	20%	0.8
	TOTAL		0.83 ✓	4 ✓	100%	4	20%	0.8 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							4.45 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		14215 ✓	14216 ✓				
			44 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	SHAW	0101	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		16596 ✓	4 ✓	70%	2.8	40%	1.12
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO (a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		✓ 270724					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		388000 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY (a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		✓ 4					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 2159					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 25					
			✓ 6.36	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	TOTAL					3.1	40%	1.24
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		92.7 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.90 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		25 ✓	4 ✓	40%	1.6	20%	0.32
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
	TOTAL					7.6	20%	1.52 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		0.5 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		90 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2
	TOTAL					6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER (a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		✓ \$4,076.11					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		✓ \$4,231.19	✓ 4257.59				
			0.96	5 ✓	100%	5	20%	1
	TOTAL					5	20%	1 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		11200 ✓					
			56 48 ✓					

1.30

4257.59

5.02

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	SHEPPARD	0113	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		12420 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		91 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		118310 ✓	3 ✓	15%	0.45	40%	0.18
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		2 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		340 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		80 ✓	4.25 ✓	15%	0.6	40%	0.24
TOTAL						3.15	40%	1.26 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		96 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		30 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		2 ✓	8	30%	2.4	20%	0.48
TOTAL						6.6	20%	1.32 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		0.8 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		318 ✓	7 ✓	50%	3.5	20%	0.7
TOTAL						8.5	20%	1.7 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$3,778.99					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$5,183.98	5197.36				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.73	3 ✓	100%	3	20%	0.6
TOTAL						3	20%	0.6 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			21470	9050 ✓				
			197 ✓					4.88 ✓
								5.0 ✓

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	TINKER	0096	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE			
CRITERION 1 MISSION											
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		23596 ✓	5 ✓	70%	3.5	40%	1.4			
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO										
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		863 ✓								
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		958839 ✓	111 ✓	3 ✓	15%	0.45	40%	0.18		
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY										
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA										
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		1720 ✓								
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		133 ✓	161 ✓	25 ✓	6.44 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						4.1	40%	1.64 ✓			
CRITERION 2 FACILITY											
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		81.6 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27			
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.20 ✓	7 ✓	15%	1.05	20%	0.21			
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		34 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24			
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6			
TOTAL						6.6	20%	1.32 ✓			
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY											
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		3.3 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1			
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		90 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2			
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓			
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER											
C1	COST/MANPOWER										
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,270.84 ✓								
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$5,349.34 ✓								
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.80 ✓	3 ✓	100%	3	20%	0.6			
TOTAL						3	20%	0.6 ✓			
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								4.76 ✓			
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			30324 ✓	65 ✓	30326 ✓						



JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

MEDICAL CENTER	TRAVIS	0014	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN REGION		92040 ✓	1 ✓	100%	1	40%	0.4
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		980 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		1155838 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		22 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		1721 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		195 ✓					
			8.83 ✓					
TOTAL						1	40%	0.4 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		99.8 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		6 ✓	8 ✓	40%	3.2	20%	0.64
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		2 ✓	8 ✓	30%	2.4	20%	0.48
TOTAL						8.6	20%	1.72 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		2.5 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		388 ✓	4 ✓	50%	2	20%	0.4
TOTAL						7	20%	1.4 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$7,075.39					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,622.67 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.53	10 ✓	100%	10	20%	2
TOTAL						10	20%	2 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								5.52 ✓
CATCHMENT - AD AND FAMILY			✓36257					
CATCHMENT - RETIRED AND OTHERS			✓59087					
AVAIL BEDS			✓303	408 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	TYNDALL	0043	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		15424 ✓	4 ✓	70%	2.8	40%	1.12
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		55 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		172566 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	40%	0.6
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA							
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		155-178 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		25 ✓	1	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						4.45	40%	1.78 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		80.8 ✓	8 ✓	15%	1.2	20%	0.24
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.80 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		31 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		3 ✓	5	30%	1.5	20%	0.3
TOTAL						5.4	20%	1.08 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		79 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,096.05 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$7,270.98 ✓	7297.05 ✓				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.56 ✓	1 ✓	100%	1	20%	0.2
TOTAL						1	20%	0.2 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								4.26 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			15375 ✓	57 ✓	15370			

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	USAF ACADEMY	0033	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		24269 ✓	5 ✓	70%	3.5	40%	1.4
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		263 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		429000 ✓	5 ✓	15%	0.75	40%	0.3
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA							
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 733					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 55 ✓					
			✓ 13.33	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						4.4	40%	1.76 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		89.6 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.20 ✓	7 ✓	15%	1.05	20%	0.21
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		32 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
TOTAL						6.6	20%	1.32 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		13 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		157 ✓	4 ✓	50%	2	20%	0.4
TOTAL						7	20%	1.4 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,247.45					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,162.44 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.02	6 ✓	100%	6	20%	1.2
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								5.68 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS			21562 ✓					
AVAIL BEDS			80 ✓					
MILEAGE TO EVANS AH (0032)			21.7					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET
 FACILITY **VANDENBERG** 0018

As of 4 Oct 1994 (0900 Hrs)

	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION						
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS	8848 ✓	2 ✓	70%	1.4	40% 0.56
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO					
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS	290 ✓				
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO	334559 ✓				
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY		3 ✓	15%	0.45	40% 0.18
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS	126 ✓				
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF	20 ✓				
	RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF	6.3 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40% 0.06
	TOTAL				2	40% 0.8 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY						
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)	100 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20% 0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING	2.10 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20% 0.18
F3	WEIGHTED AGE	25 ✓	4 ✓	40%	1.6	20% 0.32
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE	3 ✓	5 ✓	30%	1.5	20% 0.3
	TOTAL				5.5	20% 1.1 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY						
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB	3 ✓	10	50%	5	20% 1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY	46 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20% 0.1
	TOTAL				5.5	20% 1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER						
C1	COST/MANPOWER					
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP	\$5,089.88				
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP	\$3,462.05				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST	1.47	10 ✓	100%	10	20% 2
	TOTAL	1.4588			10	20% 2 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE					5 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS	10008 ✓	48 ✓			

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	WHITEMAN	0076	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		8310 ✓	2 ✓	70%	1.4	40%	0.56
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		59 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		171227 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	40%	0.54
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		✓ 45					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 105	✓ 80				
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 11	✓ 5.33	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						2.9	40%	1.16 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		80.4	8 ✓	15%	1.2	20%	0.24
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.00 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20%	0.18
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		22 ✓	4 ✓	70% ✓	2.8	20%	0.56
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		NA ✓	NA				
TOTAL						4.9	20%	0.98 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		0.5 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		29 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
TOTAL						5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$3,707.10					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,548.24 ✓	4595.87				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.82	4 ✓	100%	4	20%	0.8
TOTAL						4	20%	0.8 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								4.04 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			5383 ✓	26 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

MEDICAL CENTER **W-PATTERSON** 0095

		RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION							
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN REGION	230953 ✓	4 ✓	100%	4	40%	1.6
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO						
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS	1269 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO	1525307 ✓					
		1202 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY						
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA	19 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS	1917 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF	160 ✓					
		11.98 ✓					
TOTAL					4	40%	1.6 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY							
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)	93.9 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING	2.80 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE	13 ✓	6 ✓	40%	2.4	20%	0.48
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE	1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
TOTAL					8.4	20%	1.68 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY							
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB	0.8 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY	433 ✓	5 ✓	50%	2.5	20%	0.5
TOTAL					7.5	20%	1.5 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER							
C1	COST/MANPOWER						
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP	\$4,929.03 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP	\$5,602.07 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST	0.88 ✓	4 ✓	100%	4	20%	0.8
TOTAL					4	20%	0.8 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							5.58 ✓
	CATCHMENT - AD AND FAMILY	22131 ✓					
	CATCHMENT - RETIRED AND OTHERS	28734 ✓					
	AVAIL BEDS	175 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	ELLSWORTH	0106	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		14000 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		57 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		92485 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY			5 ✓	15%	0.75	40%	0.3
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA							
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		32 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF		1366 ✓					
	RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		15 ✓					
			11.07 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						3	40%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		100 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		25 ✓	4 ✓	40%	1.6	20%	0.32
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		2 ✓	8 ✓	30%	2.4	20%	0.48
TOTAL						7	20%	1.4 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		58 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,031.12					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,144.52					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.97	5 ✓	100%	5	20%	1
TOTAL						5	20%	1 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								4.8 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			6539 ✓	35 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	ELMENDORF	0006	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		25834 ✓	6 ✓	70%	4.2	40%	1.68
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		217 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		301360 ✓	4 ✓	15%	0.6	40%	0.24
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		2 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		~250 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		75 ✓	✓	3.33 ✓	5.4 ✓	15%	0.75
	TOTAL					5.55	40%	2.22
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		44.2 ✓	5 ✓	15%	0.75	20%	0.15
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.10 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20%	0.18
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		35 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
	TOTAL					5.85	20%	1.17 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		4 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		32 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
	TOTAL					5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$5,877.49 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,793.15 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.23 ✓	8 ✓	100%	8	20%	1.6
	TOTAL					8	20%	1.6 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								
	RETIREMENT AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		12942 ✓					
			145 ✓	139 ✓				6.03 ✓

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	FAIRCHILD	0128	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		13407 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		301 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		509800 ✓	5 ✓	15%	0.75	40%	0.3
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA							
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 56					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 547+65 30 ✓					
			✓ 18,025.43	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						3	40%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		78.3 ✓	8 ✓	15%	1.2	20%	0.24
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.20 ✓	7 ✓	15%	1.05	20%	0.21
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		37 ✓	2 ✓	40%	0.8	20%	0.16
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		3 ✓	5 ✓	30%	1.5	20%	0.3
TOTAL						4.55	20%	0.91 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1.6 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		90 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		✓ \$4,735.97					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		✓ \$4,101.13					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.15	7 ✓	100%	7	20%	1.4
TOTAL						7	20%	1.4 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								4.71 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			16360 ✓	61 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY **FE WARREN**

0129

RAW DATA RAW SCORE MOM WEIGHT MOM SCORE CRITERIA WEIGHT CRITERIA SCORE

CRITERION 1 MISSION

P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS	8700 ✓	2 ✓	70%	1.4	40%	0.56
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO (a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS	87 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO	143535 ✓	5 ✓	15%	0.75	40%	0.3
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY (a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA	✓ 23					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS	✓ 247					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF	✓ 15	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	TOTAL	✓ 16.47			2.3	40%	0.92 ✓

CRITERION 2 FACILITY

F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)	98.5 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING	2.80 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE	28 ✓	4 ✓	70% ✓	2.8	20%	0.56
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE	NA ✓	NA				
	TOTAL				5.8	20%	1.16 ✓

CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY

MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB	3 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY	43 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
	TOTAL				5.5	20%	1.1 ✓

CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER

C1	COST/MANPOWER (a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP	\$3,872.50					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP	\$4,607.92 ✓	4 ✓	100%	4	20%	0.8
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST	0.84					
	TOTAL	0.8355			4	20%	0.8 ✓

TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE

RETIRED AND OTHERS
AVAIL BEDS

5870 ✓
24 ✓

3.98 ✓

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
GRAND FORKS 0093						
CRITERION 1 MISSION						
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS	12545 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40% 0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO					
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS	✓ 7072				
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO	80000 ✓	106,138 ✓	15%	0.45	40% 0.18
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY	✓ 1106	3 ✓	15%	0.45	40% 0.18
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA	3 ✓				
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS	✓ 80				
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF	15 ✓				
	RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF	✓ 11.4	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40% 0.06
	TOTAL				2.7	40% 1.08 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY						
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)	80.7 ✓	8 ✓	15%	1.2	20% 0.24
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING	2.10 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20% 0.18
F3	WEIGHTED AGE	31 ✓	3 ✓	70% ✓	2.1	20% 0.42
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE	NA ✓	NA			
	TOTAL				4.2	20% 0.84 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY						
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB	7 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20% 1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY	34 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20% 0.1
	TOTAL				5.5	20% 1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER						
C1	COST/MANPOWER					
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP	✓ \$4,069.49				
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP	✓ \$4,666.31	4,693.16			
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST	0.87	4 ✓	100%	4	20% 0.8
	TOTAL	8121 ✓	8071		4	20% 0.8 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE					3.82 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS	2821 ✓	321 ✓			

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY HILL	0119	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION							
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS	15002 ✓	4 ✓	70%	2.8	40%	1.12
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO						
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS	607 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO	1108724 ✓	5 ✓	15%	0.75	40%	0.3
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY						
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA	✓ 1413					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS	✓ 13,231					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF	✓ 10,251	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	TOTAL				3.7	40%	1.48 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY							
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)	✓ 12.88	✓ 10	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING	✓ 3.00	✓ 10	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE	✓ 2.12	✓ 5	40%	1.6	20%	0.32
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE	✓ 1	✓ 10	30%	3	20%	0.6
	TOTAL				7.45	20%	1.49 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY							
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB	✓ 1.6	✓ 10	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY	✓ 55	✓ 2	50%	1	20%	0.2
	TOTAL				6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER							
C1	COST/MANPOWER						
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP	✓ \$4,593.11					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP	✓ \$3,681.38					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST	✓ 1.25	✓ 8	100%	8	20%	1.6
	TOTAL				8	20%	1.6 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE						5.77 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS	✓ 21608	✓ 42				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	HOLLOMAN	0084	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		14414 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		19 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		51928 ✓	8 ✓	15%	1.2	40%	0.48
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		1 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		238-52 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		8 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	40%	0.6
	TOTAL					4.8	40%	1.92 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		83.2 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.30 ✓	7 ✓	15%	1.05	20%	0.21
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		23 ✓	4 ✓	40%	1.6	20%	0.32
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		5 ✓	1 ✓	30%	0.3	20%	0.06
	TOTAL					4.3	20%	0.86 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1.25 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		28 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
	TOTAL					5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$3,899.25					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,522.84					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.86	4 ✓	100%	4	20%	0.8
	TOTAL					4	20%	0.8 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							4.68 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		11976 ✓	30 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

As of 4 Oct 1994 (0900 Hrs)

MEDICAL CENTER	KEESLER	0073	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN REGION		187780 ✓	3 ✓	100%	3	40%	1.2
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		236 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		332226 ✓ 1408 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		6 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		574 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		235 ✓ 2.44 ✓					
TOTAL						3	40%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		78.3 ✓	8 ✓	15%	1.2	20%	0.24
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.10 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20%	0.18
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		35 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		3 ✓	5 ✓	30%	1.5	20%	0.3
TOTAL						4.8	20%	0.96 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		433 ✓	5 ✓	50%	2.5	20%	0.5
TOTAL						7.5	20%	1.5 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$5,247.56 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		\$4,637.43 ✓ 1.13 ✓	4,684,35 ✓ 7	100%	7	20%	1.4
TOTAL						7	20%	1.4 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								5.06 ✓
CATCHMENT - AD AND FAMILY			38690 ✓					
CATCHMENT - RETIRED AND OTHERS			23112 ✓					
AVAIL BEDS			306 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	KIRTLAND	0083	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		14162 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		360					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		499262 500000 ✓	4 ✓	15%	0.6	40%	0.24
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		19 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		1005 - 234 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		25 ✓					
			12109.36 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						2.85	40%	1.14 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		93.9 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.80 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		11 ✓	6 ✓	40%	2.4	20%	0.48
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		12 ✓	8 ✓	30%	1.52, 4	20%	0.3
TOTAL						7.85 ✓	20%	1.56 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		40 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
TOTAL						5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,586.16					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$3,737.19 ✓	3,764.25				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.23	8 ✓	100%	8	20%	1.6
TOTAL						8	20%	1.6 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								5.22 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			25892	24892 ✓				5.40 ✓
			40 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

MEDICAL CENTER		0117	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN REGION		334983 ✓	6 ✓	100%	6	40%	2.4
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		1306 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		1136091 ✓	870 ✓				
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		14 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		2430 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		585 ✓	4.15 ✓				
TOTAL						6	40%	2.4 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		97.3 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.90 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		32 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		3 ✓	5	30%	1.5	20%	0.3
TOTAL						5.7	20%	1.14 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		6 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		1033 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
TOTAL						10	20%	2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,632.61					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,608.31 ✓	4,635.06				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.01	6 ✓	100%	6	20%	1.2
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								6.74 ✓
	CATCHMENT - AD AND FAMILY		43110 ✓					
	CATCHMENT - RETIRED AND OTHERS		47424 ✓					
	AVAIL BEDS		1003	1006 ✓				
	MILEAGE TO BROOKE AMC (0109)		15.6					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	LANGLEY	0120	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		31455 ✓	7 ✓	70%	4.9	40%	1.96
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		745 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		1310000 ✓	5 ✓	15%	0.75	40%	0.3
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY		1815 ✓	5 ✓	15%	0.75	40%	0.3
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		155 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		1239 ✓	40 ✓				
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		3098 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						5.8	40%	2.32 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		91.7 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.40 ✓	8 ✓	15%	1.2	20%	0.24
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		26 ✓	4 ✓	40%	1.6	20%	0.32
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
TOTAL						7.3	20%	1.46 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		120 ✓	3 ✓	50%	1.5	20%	0.3
TOTAL						6.5	20%	1.3 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,155.54 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		\$5,229.04 ✓	5,255.38 ✓	100%	3	20%	0.6
TOTAL						3	20%	0.6 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								5.68 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS			22299 ✓					
AVAIL BEDS			91 ✓					
MILEAGE TO MCDONALD (0121)			9.9					
MILEAGE TO PORTSMOUTH NH (0124)			28.9					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	LAUGHLIN	0114	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		3009 ✓	1 ✓	70%	0.7	40%	0.28
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		16 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		30705 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	40%	0.36
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		1 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 4847	5 ✓				
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 7.6	10 ✓	15%	1.5	40%	0.6
TOTAL						3.1	40%	1.24 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		96.5 ✓	10	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.10 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20%	0.18
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		18 ✓	5 ✓	70%	3.5	20%	0.7
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		NA ✓	NA				
TOTAL						5.9	20%	1.18 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		0.9 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		40 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
TOTAL						5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		(\$3,653.08) ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$7,617.20 ✓	76044.17 ✓				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.48 ✓	1 ✓	100%	1	20%	0.2
TOTAL						1	20%	0.2 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								3.72 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			2359 ✓	281 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

As of 4 Oct 1994 (0900 Hrs)

FACILITY	LITTLE ROCK	0013	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		13484 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		299 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		534099 ✓	5 ✓	15%	0.75	40%	0.3
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		✓ 7.12					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 229					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 20 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	TOTAL					3	40%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		86.7 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.00 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20%	0.18
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		14 ✓	6 ✓	40%	2.4	20%	0.48
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		3 ✓	5 ✓	30%	1.5	20%	0.3
	TOTAL					6.15	20%	1.23 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		2 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		68 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2
	TOTAL					6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$3,967.82 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$3,615.30 ✓	3.615.30 ✓				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.10 ✓	6 ✓	100%	6	20%	1.2
	TOTAL					6	20%	1.2 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							4.83 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		22992 ✓	39 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	LUKE	0009	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		19503 ✓	4 ✓	70%	2.8	40%	1.12
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		1672 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		2050000 ✓	3 ✓	15%	0.45	40%	0.18
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		✓ 7723					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 1531250	40 ✓				
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 625	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						3.4	40%	1.36 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		98.9 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.80 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		21 ✓	5 ✓	40%	2	20%	0.4
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		5 ✓	1	30%	0.3	20%	0.06
TOTAL						5.3	20%	1.06 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		0.8 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		100 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		✓ \$4,784.96					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,295.18 ✓	4,295.18	100%	7	20%	1.4
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.11	7 ✓	100%	7	20%	1.4
TOTAL						7	20%	1.4 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								5.02 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			54671 ✓	60 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	MACDILL	0045	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		15542 ✓	4 ✓	70%	2.8	40%	1.12
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		3406					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		2830000					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA							
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 133					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 275 50 ✓					
			✓ 5.52	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						3.25	40%	1.3 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		99.1 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.20 ✓	7 ✓	15%	1.05	20%	0.21
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		33 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
TOTAL						6.75	20%	1.35 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		2.2 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		142 ✓	3 ✓	50%	1.5	20%	0.3
TOTAL						6.5	20%	1.3 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		✓ \$4,399.06					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		✓ \$3,790.10	3,817.11				
			1.16	7 ✓	100%	7	20%	1.4
TOTAL						7	20%	1.4 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								5.35 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			78190	69 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	MAXWELL	0004	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		14410 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		312 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		340647 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY			3 ✓	15%	0.45	40%	0.18
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		✓ 17-10					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 503181					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF		30 ✓					
	RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 17,16.03	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						2.7	40%	1.08 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		324 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		1.70 ✓	4 ✓	15%	0.6	20%	0.12
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		37 ✓	2 ✓	40%	0.8	20%	0.16
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		* 31 ✓	5	30%	1.5	20%	0.3
TOTAL						4.25	20%	0.85 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		118 ✓	3 ✓	50%	1.5	20%	0.3
TOTAL						6.5	20%	1.3 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$3,807.88 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,874.50 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.78 ✓	3 ✓	100%	3	20%	0.6
TOTAL						3	20%	0.6 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								3.83 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			23209 ✓	71 ✓				

* Per Chief Dumez, AFSG, DOD 904-5554.
 Paperwork to follow.
 C. Lewis, DOD 6
 11/9/94

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	MCCLELLAN-MATHER	0250	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		11084 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		2798 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		1643000 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY			1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		✓ 1637					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 1079-180					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 30					
			✓ 42,358	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
	TOTAL					2.4	40%	0.96 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		98.8 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.90 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		20* ✓	5 ✓	40%	2	20%	0.4
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		3 ✓	5 ✓	30%	1.5	20%	0.3
	TOTAL					6.5	20%	1.3 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		14 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		70 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2
	TOTAL					6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		✓ \$5,300.63					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		✓ \$4,145.72					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.28	8 ✓	100%	8	20%	1.6
	TOTAL		✓ 1.2703			8	20%	1.6 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							5.06 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		48943 ✓	35 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	MCGUIRE/WALSON	0326	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		26282 ✓	6 ✓	70%	4.2	40%	1.68
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		793 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		395000 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		108 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		20 ✓					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		537 ✓	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						4.5	40%	1.8 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		84.5 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.10 ✓	6 ✓	15%	0.9	20%	0.18
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		35 ✓	3 ✓	40%	1.2	20%	0.24
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		2 ✓	8 ✓	30%	2.4	20%	0.48
TOTAL						5.85	20%	1.17 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		3.5 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		35 350 ✓	7 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
TOTAL						5.5	20%	1.7 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,807.07 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,141.43 ✓	4,141.43				
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.16	7 ✓	100%	7	20%	1.4
TOTAL						7	20%	1.4 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								5.47 6.07 ✓
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			53733 ✓					
			35 350 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	MINOT	0094	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE	
CRITERION 1 MISSION									
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		12000 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84	
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO								
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		56 ✓						
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		70864 ✓	3 ✓	15%	0.45	40%	0.18	
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY								
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		2 ✓						
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		176 ✓						
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		25 ✓	7.04 ✓	1	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						2.7	40%	1.08 ✓	
CRITERION 2 FACILITY									
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		100 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3	
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3	
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		10 ✓	7 ✓	40%	2.8	20%	0.56	
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6	
TOTAL						8.8	20%	1.76 ✓	
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY									
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		2.5 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1	
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		75 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2	
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓	
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER									
C1	COST/MANPOWER								
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$3,696.98						
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,889.72						
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.76	3 ✓	100%	3	20%	0.6	
TOTAL						3	20%	0.6 ✓	
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								4.64 ✓	
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			12300 ✓	47 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	MOODY	0050	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		9611 ✓	2 ✓	70%	1.4	40%	0.56
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		255 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		202546 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY			2 ✓	15%	0.3	40%	0.12
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA							
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 145					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 10 ✓					
	TOTAL					1.85	40%	0.74 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		88.4 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		21 ✓	5 ✓	40%	2	20%	0.4
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
	TOTAL					7.85	20%	1.57 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		0.8 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		47 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
	TOTAL					5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
CI	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$3,873.25 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$6,213.95 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.62 ✓	2 ✓	100%	2	20%	0.4
	TOTAL					2	20%	0.4 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							3.81 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		7381 ✓					
			47 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	MT. HOME	0053	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		11957 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		8 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		22511 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	40%	0.54
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY							
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		0 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 03					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		20 ✓	✓ 10	0.15 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5
	TOTAL					4.95	40%	1.98 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		99.1 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		5 ✓	8	40%	3.2	20%	0.64
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		2 ✓	8	10 ✓	2.4	20%	0.48
	TOTAL					8.6	20%	1.72 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1.5 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		31 ✓	1 ✓	50%	0.5	20%	0.1
	TOTAL					5.5	20%	1.1 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,114.01					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,381.50					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.94	5 ✓	100%	5	20%	1
	TOTAL					5	20%	1 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							5.8 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS		9887 ✓	31 ✓				

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	NELLIS	0079	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		20071 ✓	5 ✓	70%	3.5	40%	1.4
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		107 335 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		911838					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY		✓ 1381 2722	8.2 ✓	15%	1.2	40%	0.48
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA							
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 107					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF		✓ 1394 195					
	RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		✓ 1394 275	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						4.85	40%	1.94 1.7 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		100 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		1 ✓	10 ✓	40%	4	20%	0.8
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
TOTAL						10	20%	2 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		1 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		77 ✓	2 ✓	50%	1	20%	0.2
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,749.62 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$4,822.90 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		0.98	5 ✓	100%	5	20%	1
TOTAL						5	20%	1 ✓
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE								
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			35007 34907 ✓					5.14 ✓
			55 77 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	OFFUT-EhrlingBerquist	0078	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE
CRITERION 1 MISSION								
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		26703 ✓	6 ✓	70%	4.2	40%	1.68
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO							
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		714 ✓					
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		618300 ✓					
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY			2 ✓	15%	0.3	40%	0.12
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA							
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 1045					
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF		✓ 989					
	RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		296	50 ✓				
			✓ 1045	5.92 ✓	1 ✓	15%	40%	0.06
	TOTAL					4.65	40%	1.86 ✓
CRITERION 2 FACILITY								
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		89.7 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		3.00 ✓	10 ✓	15%	1.5	20%	0.3
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		28 ✓	4 ✓	40%	1.6	20%	0.32
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		1 ✓	10 ✓	30%	3	20%	0.6
	TOTAL					7.45	20%	1.49 ✓
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY								
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		3 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		123 ✓	3 ✓	50%	1.5	20%	0.3
	TOTAL					6.5	20%	1.3 ✓
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER								
C1	COST/MANPOWER							
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		\$4,120.59 ✓					
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		\$3,814.63 ✓					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.08	6 ✓	100%	6	20%	1.2
			1.0726					
	TOTAL					6	20%	1.2 ✓
	TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE							5.85 ✓
	RETIRED AND OTHERS		23276 ✓					
	AVAIL BEDS		107 ✓					

JOINT GROUP FACILITY RECAP SHEET

FACILITY	PATRICK	0046	RAW DATA	RAW SCORE	MOM WEIGHT	MOM SCORE	CRITERIA WEIGHT	CRITERIA SCORE	
CRITERION 1 MISSION									
P1	ACTIVE DUTY AND FAM MEMBER POP W/IN 40 MILE RADIUS		10556 ✓	3 ✓	70%	2.1	40%	0.84	
A1	CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE RATIO								
	(a) CIVILIAN PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS		148 ✓						
	(b) TOTAL POPULATION (MILITARY AND CIVILIAN) RATIO		398978 ✓	8 ✓	15%	1.2	40%	0.48	
A2	CIVILIAN INPATIENT CAPABILITY								
	(a) # OF ACUTE CARE HOSPITALS W/IN 40 MILE CATCHMENT AREA		5 ✓						
	(b) TOTAL BEDS AVAILABLE AT JCAHO ACCREDITED CIVILIAN/VA HOSPITALS		✓ 127-87						
	(c) # OF OPERATING BEDS AT MTF RATIO OF CIVILIAN ACUTE CARE BEDS AVAILABLE TO OP BEDS IN THE MTF		15 ✓	✓ 5.8	1 ✓	15%	0.15	40%	0.06
TOTAL						3.45	40%	1.38 ✓	
CRITERION 2 FACILITY									
F1	FACILITY CONDITION ASSESSMENT SCORE (SEE DD FORM 2407)		81.6 ✓	9 ✓	15%	1.35	20%	0.27	
F2	INSTALLATION REAL PROPERTY RATING		2.30 ✓	7 ✓	15%	1.05	20%	0.21	
F3	WEIGHTED AGE		25 ✓	4 ✓	70% ✓	2.8	20%	0.56	
F4	JCAHO LIFE SAFETY SCORE		NA ✓	NA					
TOTAL						5.2	20%	1.04 ✓	
CRITERION 3 CONTINGENCY									
MC1	DISTANCE TO AIR HUB		2 ✓	10 ✓	50%	5	20%	1	
MC2	BED EXPANSION CAPABILITY		✓ 15	✓ 12	50%	0.5	20%	0.1	
TOTAL						5.5	20%	1.2 ✓	
CRITERION 4 COST/MANPOWER									
C1	COST/MANPOWER								
	(a) CHAMPUS ADJUSTED STANDARDIZED AMOUNT (ASA), PER RWP		✓ \$4,415.58						
	(b) MTF COST PER RWP		✓ \$4,030.79	✓ 4,057.09					
	RATIO OF CHAMPUS COST TO MTF COST		1.10	6 ✓	100%	6	20%	1.2	
TOTAL						6	20%	1.2 ✓	
TOTAL FUNCTIONAL SCORE									
RETIRED AND OTHERS AVAIL BEDS			33023 ✓	20 ✓					



OC NAME	E8	C8	D8	E8	B9	C9	D9	E9	B10	C10	D10	E10	B11	C11	D11	E11	B12	C12	D12	E12	B13	C13	D13	E13	B14	C14	D14	E14
ooks AFB, TX	100												90	10	0		95	5			100				100			
elson AFB	100												100				100				100							
endendorf AFB	✓49	✓35	✓16		✓	✓13	✓87		✓	✓	✓100		✓	✓9	✓91		✓	✓	✓100		✓0	✓50	✓50		✓	✓30	✓70	
WARREN AFB	✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓	
ckam AFB	100												7		93		100				50		50					
trick AFB	✓35	✓65	✓		✓30	✓70	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓35	✓65	✓		✓60	✓40	✓		✓25	✓75	✓		✓70	✓30	✓	
terson AFB	100												75	25			100				90	10						
SAF ACADEMY	98	✓	2		94	✓	✓6		98	✓	✓2		✓72	✓	✓28		✓82	✓	✓18		✓79	✓	✓21		✓80	✓	✓20	
DREWS AFB, D.C.	✓10	✓10	✓80		✓50	✓	✓50		✓75	✓25	✓		✓50	✓25	✓25		✓50	✓	✓50		✓80	✓	✓20		✓50	✓25	✓25	
HARLESTON AFB	100												100				100				100							
OVER AFB DE	✓	✓10	✓90		✓50	✓40	✓10		✓65	✓15	✓20		✓50	✓50	✓		✓	✓50	✓50		✓	✓100	✓		✓75	✓75	✓10	
RAND FORKS AFB	✓90	✓10	✓		✓50	✓	✓50		✓60	✓20	✓20		✓70	✓15	✓15		✓80	✓20	✓		✓80	✓10	✓10		✓80	✓10	✓10	
ALMSTROM AFB	100								100				100				100				100				100			
cCHORD			100										30		70		60	20	20		70		30					
cCONNELL AFB	100												100				100				100				100			
cGUIRE AFB	✓75	✓25	✓		✓50	✓50	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓50	✓50	✓		✓50	✓50	✓	
COTT AFB	✓	✓	✓100		✓25	✓	✓75		✓25	✓	✓75		✓25	✓	✓75		✓50	✓	✓		✓25	✓0	✓75		✓	✓25	✓75	
RAVIS AFB	92	8	✓		100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓	
dwards AFB	✓20	✓	✓80		✓100	✓	✓		✓32	✓	✓68		✓56	✓	✓44		✓25	✓	✓75		✓60	✓	✓40		✓35	✓	✓65	
glin AFB	✓68	✓	✓32		✓	✓100	✓		✓	✓100	✓		✓5	✓95	✓		✓23	✓77	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓	✓100	✓	
anscom AFB	100												100				100				100							
ill AFB	✓80	✓20	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓30	✓70	✓		✓70	✓30	✓		✓90	✓10	✓		✓80	✓20	✓	
ally AFB	100												75		25		100				100							
A Air Station	20	80											30	50	20		100				25	55	20					
cClellan AFB	✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓	
obins AFB	✓100	✓	✓		✓80	✓20	✓		✓95	✓5	✓		✓95	✓5	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓90	✓10	✓		✓80	✓20	✓	
nker AFB	✓100	✓	✓		✓	✓100	✓		✓	✓100	✓		✓50	✓25	✓25		✓50	✓	✓50		✓50	✓	✓50		✓	✓100	✓	
right Patterson AFB	✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓80	✓20	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓	
ooks AFB	100												60	40			85	15			100				10			
aw	✓75	✓	25		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓75	✓	25		✓50	✓	50		✓75	✓	25		✓100	✓	✓	
ymour Johnson	90	40	✓		80	✓	20		80	✓	20		80	20	✓		80	20	✓		80	20	✓		80	✓	20	
ffutt	✓80	✓20	✓		✓90	✓10	✓		✓45	✓55	✓		✓80	✓40	✓		✓80	✓20	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓40	✓60	✓	
ocdy	✓90	✓10	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓25	✓75	✓		✓40	✓60	✓		✓40	✓60	✓		✓50	✓50	✓		✓80	✓20	✓	
ellis	✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓	
ountain Home	✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓80	✓20	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓	
ance	100												100				100				100							

DC NAME	B15A	C15A	D15A	E15A	B15B	C15B	D15B	E15B	B15C	C15C	D15C	E15C	B15D	C15D	D15D	E15D	B15E	C15E	D15E	E15E
ooks AFB, TX	85	15			80	20			75	25			100	0			20	80		
elson AFB	100				100				100				100				100			
mendorf AFB	✓6	✓	✓94		✓6	✓	✓94		✓	100	✓		✓100	✓			✓	✓	✓100	
WARREN AFB	✓98	✓2	✓		✓99	✓1	✓		✓99	✓1	✓		✓94	✓6	✓		✓97	✓3	✓	
ckam AFB	100				44	56			44	56			100				100			
atrick AFB	✓90	✓10	✓0		✓15	✓85			✓25	✓75			✓80	✓20	✓		✓100	?	?	
aterson AFB	100				100				90	10			90	10			90	10		
SAF ACADEMY	✓93	✓	✓7		✓70	✓	✓30		✓89	✓	✓11		✓90	✓	✓10		✓93	✓	✓	
NDREWS AFB, D.C.	✓98	✓	✓2		✓38	✓54	✓8		✓42	✓28	✓30		✓61	✓18	✓21		✓63	✓17	✓20	
HARLESTON AFB	100				100				100				100				100			
OVER AFB DE	✓90	✓10	✓		✓	✓	✓100		✓	✓50	✓50		✓	✓	✓100		✓	✓	✓100	
RAND FORKS AFB	✓60	✓	✓40		✓50	✓30	✓20		✓90	✓	✓10		✓80	✓10	✓10		✓70	✓20	✓10	
ALMSTROM AFB	100				90	10			100				100				100			
cCHORD	30		70		40	60			80	20			70	30			60	40		
cCONNELL AFB	100				100				100				100				100			
cGUIRE AFB	✓60	✓40	✓		✓50	✓50	✓		✓50	✓50	✓		✓50	✓50	✓		✓60	✓40	✓	
COTT AFB	✓	✓	✓100		✓	✓	✓100		✓	✓	✓100		✓	✓	✓100		✓	✓	✓100	
RAVIS AFB	100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓		100	✓	✓	
wards AFB	✓	✓	✓100		✓80	✓	✓20		✓25	✓	✓75		✓25	✓	✓75		✓25	✓	✓75	
glin AFB	✓100	✓	✓		✓	✓100	✓		✓	✓100	✓		✓100	✓0	✓		✓	✓100	✓	
anscom AFB	100				100				100				100				100			
ill AFB	✓100	✓	✓		✓66	✓34	✓		✓90	✓10	✓		✓95	✓5	✓		✓100	✓	✓	
elly AFB	100				30		70		50	50			75	25			80	20		
Air Station	100				100	80	20		95	5			100				100			
cClellan AFB	✓93	✓	✓7		✓100	✓	✓		✓93	✓	✓7		✓93	✓	✓7		✓93	✓	✓7	
obins AFB	✓90	✓10	✓		✓55	✓45	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓20	✓80	✓		✓20	✓80	✓	
nker AFB	✓87	✓13	✓13		✓58	✓25	✓17		✓68	✓16	✓16		✓400	✓	✓		✓65	✓21	✓14	
'right Patterson AFB	✓48	✓11	✓11		✓67	✓22	✓1		✓67	✓22	✓11		✓67	✓22	✓11		✓67	✓22	✓11	
'ooks AFB	70	30			60	40			50	50			100				100			
'aw	✓	✓	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓80	✓20	✓		✓400	✓	✓		✓400	✓	✓	
'ymour Johnson	✓75	✓25	✓		✓88	✓12	✓		✓88	✓12	✓		✓93	✓7	✓		✓93	✓7	✓	
'futt	✓95	✓5	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓75	✓25	✓	
'ocdy	✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓75	✓25	✓		✓90	✓10	✓		✓100	✓	✓	
'ellis	✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓400	✓	✓		✓400	✓	✓		✓400	✓	✓	
'ountain Home	✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓100	✓	✓		✓400	✓	✓	
'ance	100				100				100				100				100			

E15F	LOC NAME	FCAD
	Brooks AFB, TX	95.2
	Eielson AFB	100.0
✓	Elmendorf AFB	44.2 ✓
1 ✓	FE WARREN AFB	98.5 ✓
	Hickam AFB	77.6
.00 ✓	Patrick AFB	81.9 ?
100	Peterson AFB	96.6
✓90	USAF ACADEMY	89.6 ?
✓90	ANDREWS AFB, D.C.	71.9 ✓
	CHARLESTON AFB	95.1
✓	DOVER AFB DE	62.9 ✓
✓80	GRAND FORKS AFB	80.7
100	MALMSTROM AFB	99.5
	McCHORD	63.8
100	McCONNELL AFB	100.0
✓90	McGUIRE AFB	84.5 ✓
✓	SCOTT AFB	39.2 ?
100 ✓	TRAVIS AFB	99.8 ✓
✓100	Edwards AFB	64.9 ✓
✓	Eglin AFB	71.9 ✓
100	Hanscom AFB	100.0
✓90	Hill AFB	92.9 * Summary Sheet was 88, OK. Pulled wrong #.
100	Kelly AFB	88.0
	LA Air Station	76.0
✓93	McClellan AFB	98.8 ✓
✓100	Robins AFB	92.2
✓100	Tinker AFB	81.6 ? Rerun. 86.1
✓100	Wright Patterson AFB	93.9 ?
	Brooks AFB	91.5
✓100	Shaw	99.1 ? FCAD redone. See Attached FAX - 92.7
✓50	Seymour Johnson	90.5 ?
✓60	Offutt	89.7 ✓
✓100	Moody	88.4 ✓
✓100	Nellis	100.0 ✓
✓100	Mountain Home	99.1 ✓
100	Vance	99.8

Summary Sheet
 * Summary Sheet was 88, OK. Pulled wrong #.

? Rerun. 86.1

? FCAD redone. See Attached FAX - 92.7



FAX COVER SHEET

Andrew F. O'Brien, Capt, USAF, BSC
 HQ USAF/SGSFW
 170 Luke Ave., Suite 400
 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-5113
 Phone: (202) 767-5554 DSN: 297-5554
 FAX: (202) 767-1455 DSN: 297-1455
 e-mail: obriea@sg-usaf.mednet.af.mil

To: Cheri Givan (voice # (703) 681-0499)

Date: 28 Oct 94

FAX#: 703 756-2174

Office: CNA / BSET
 pass to DODIG please

Person to:
 Contact

Subject: BRAC facility info

Comments: please call if more detailed info needed.

This is page 1 of multi page document. Call if need more pages sent. file contains hosp & clinics both

Number of Pages Including Cover Sheet:

15 pgs

Base	LS Mgmt	GSE	Yr Con	Con Cod	Avg Age	Wt Cond	ECAD
✓ Andrews AFB		1200	1982	3	14400	3600.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		300417	1958	2	10815012	600834.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		36970	1975	3	702430	110910.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		1584	1986	3	12672	4752.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		2520	1987	3	17640	7560.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		5000	1989	3	25000	15000.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		640	1991	3	1920	1920.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		37600	1953	3	1541600	112800.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		9600	1944	3	480000	28800.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		12305	1944	3	615250	36915.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		1584	1983	3	17424	4752.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		2260	1992	3	4520	6780.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		6800	1958	2	244800	13600.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		1680	1987	2	11760	3360.0	
✓ Andrews AFB		2592	1952	1	108864	2592.0	
✓ Andrews AFB	5	422752			35✓	2.3✓	71.90?
Charleston AFB		74627	1987	3	522389	223881.0	
Charleston AFB		1968	1957	2	72816	3936.0	
Charleston AFB		4200	1957	3	155400	12600.0	
Charleston AFB	3	80795			9	3.0	95.10
✓ Dover AFB		101512	1958	2	3654432	203024.0	
✓ Dover AFB		3000	1988	1	18000	3000.0	
✓ Dover AFB		15525	1976	3	279450	46575.0	
✓ Dover AFB		5040	1990	1	20160	5040.0	
✓ Dover AFB		5040	1990	1	20160	5040.0	
✓ Dover AFB		5040	1990	1	20160	5040.0	
✓ Dover AFB		2487	1990 1942	2	9948	4974.0	
✓ Dover AFB		2986	1942 1957	2	155272	5972.0	
✓ Dover AFB		1690	1957 1956	3	62530	5070.0	
✓ Dover AFB		4485	1956 1959	2	170430	8970.0	
✓ Dover AFB	NA	146805			30✓	1.99	2.0✓ 62.90?
✓ Grand Forks AFB		8248	1958	2	296928	16496.0	
✓ Grand Forks AFB		74688	1962	2	2390016	149376.0	
✓ Grand Forks AFB		2048	1958	3	73728	6144.0	
✓ Grand Forks AFB		1890	1957	3	69930	5670.0	
✓ Grand Forks AFB		4974	1982	3	59688	14922.0	
✓ Grand Forks AFB	NA	91848			✓31	✓2.1	? 80.70
Malmstrom AFB		91145	1990	3	364580	273435.0	
Malmstrom AFB		1200	1987	3	8400	3600.0	
Malmstrom AFB		1955	1984	1	19550	1955.0	
Malmstrom AFB	1	94300			4	3.0	99.50
McChord AFB		3183	1939	2	175065	6366.0	
McChord AFB		4681	1939	2	257455	9362.0	
McChord AFB		3396	1939	2	186780	6792.0	
McChord AFB		798	1940	2	43092	1596.0	
McChord AFB		3204	1987	3	22428	9612.0	
McChord AFB		2483	1987	3	17381	7449.0	
McChord AFB		733	1987	3	5131	2199.0	
McChord AFB		1450	1988	3	8700	4350.0	

Base	LS Mgmt	GSE	Yr Con	Con Cod	Avg Age	Wt Cond	FCAR
McChord AFB		970	1988	3	5820	2910.0	
McChord AFB		910	1988	3	5460	2730.0	
McChord AFB		3622	1983	3	39842	10866.0	
McChord AFB		192	1943	1	9792	192.0	
McChord AFB		5113	1942	1	265876	5113.0	
McChord AFB		8799	1942	1	457548	8799.0	
McChord AFB		10681	1967	2	288387	21362.0	
McChord AFB	NA	50215			36	2.0	63.80

McConnell AFB	NA	90000	1994	3	0	1.0	100.00
---------------	----	-------	------	---	---	-----	--------

✓ McGulre AFB		17400	1988	3	104400	52200.0	
McGulre AFB		427165	1960	2	14523610	854330.0	
McGulre AFB		39970	1960	2	1358980	79940.0	
McGulre AFB		10179	1943	2	519129	20358.0	
McGulre AFB		9103	1917	2	700931	18206.0	
McGulre AFB		2633	1967	3	71091	7899.0	
McGulre AFB		10400	1943	3	530400	31200.0	
McGulre AFB		6875	1933	3	419375	20625.0	
McGulre AFB	2	523725			√35	√2.1	7, 84.50

✓ Scott AFB		2910	1986	3	23280	8730.0	
Scott AFB		1327	1984	3	13270	3981.0	
Scott AFB		31273	1977	3	531641	93819.0	
Scott AFB		246236	1958	2	8864496	492472.0	
Scott AFB		15000	1991	3	45000	45000.0	
Scott AFB		21000	1983	3	231000	63000.0	
Scott AFB		9319	1956	3	354122	27957.0	
Scott AFB		9000	1942	1	468000	9000.0	
Scott AFB		9000	1942	1	468000	9000.0	
Scott AFB		9000	1942	1	468000	9000.0	
Scott AFB		9000	1942	1	468000	9000.0	
Scott AFB		4815	1942	2	250380	9630.0	
Scott AFB		4608	1963	3	142848	13824.0	
Scott AFB	3	372488			√33	√2.1	7, 39.20

✓ Travis AFB		1517098	1988	✓ 03	9102588	4551294.0	
Travis AFB		2440	1962	3	78080	7320.0	
Travis AFB		600	1955	3	23400	1800.0	
Travis AFB		580	1988	3	3480	1740.0	
Travis AFB		23594	1988	3	141564	70782.0	
Travis AFB		1320	1988	3	7920	3960.0	
Travis AFB		1728	1988	3	10368	5184.0	
Travis AFB		1508	1988	3	9048	4524.0	
Travis AFB		4100	1988	3	24600	12300.0	
Travis AFB		13843	1988	3	83058	41529.0	
Travis AFB		16771	1969	✓ 1	419275	16771.0	
Travis AFB		3900	1991	3	11700	11700.0	
Travis AFB		13000	1994	3	0	39000.0	
Travis AFB	2	1600482			√6	√3.0	7, 99.80

① 1962 - AF Real Property
Per Capt. D'Erion AFSG
602 707-5554

② Facility overlooked.
Data from AF Real
Property Record.

✓ USAFA		2540	1992	3	5080	7620.0	
USAFA		1734	1987	3	12138	5202.0	
USAFA		159866	1960	2	5435444	319732.0	

Base	LS Maint	GSE	Yr Con	Con Cod	Avg Age	Wt Cond	FCAD
USAFA		675	1970	3	16200	2025.0	
USAFA		1224	1976	3	22032	3672.0	
USAFA		1440	1992	3	2880	4320.0	
USAFA		5760	1987	3	40320	17280.0	
USAFA		800	1987	3	5600	2400.0	
USAFA		9776	1967	3	263952	29328.0	
USAFA		2131	1958	3	76716	6393.0	
USAFA		4348	1958	3	156528	13044.0	
USAFA		243	1958	3	8748	729.0	
USAFA		3528	1958	3	127008	10584.0	
USAFA		1269	1958	3	45684	3807.0	
USAFA	Enviro. Health Lab	195334			32	2.2	789.60

*AF in report
Per Capt. O'Flaherty
FFCS GSE 907-555

Bolling AFB		29604	1970	3	710496	88812.0	
Bolling AFB		8777	1994	3	0	26331.0	
Bolling AFB	NA	38381			19	3.0	73.40

Andersen AB		270	1986	3	2160	810.0	
Andersen AB		4961	1964	2	148830	9922.0	
Andersen AB		32604	1956	2	1238952	65208.0	
Andersen AB		1860	1955	3	72540	5580.0	
Andersen AB		2188	1948	2	100648	4376.0	
Andersen AB	NA	41883			37	2.1	

Eielson AFB		69200	1986	3	553600	207600.0	
Eielson AFB		3020	1955	2	117780	6040.0	
Eielson AFB	1	72220			9	3.0	100.00

Elmendorf AFB		252019	1956	2	9576722	504038.0	
Elmendorf AFB		19810	1992	3	39620	59430.0	
Elmendorf AFB		7832	1994	3	0	23496.0	
Elmendorf AFB		718	1945	2	35182	1436.0	
Elmendorf AFB		3560	1952	2	149520	7120.0	
Elmendorf AFB		2129	1971	2	48967	4258.0	
Elmendorf AFB		26094	1955	2	1017666	52188.0	
Elmendorf AFB		5776	1967	1	213712	5776.0	
Elmendorf AFB		5498	1956	2	208924	10996.0	
Elmendorf AFB		31000	1954	2	1240000	62000.0	
Elmendorf AFB	1	354436			35	2.1	44.20

FE Warren AFB		7689	1913	1	622809	7689.0	
FE Warren AFB		640	1928	2	42240	1280.0	
FE Warren AFB		88140	1977	3	1498380	264420.0	
FE Warren AFB		930	1977	2	15810	1860.0	
FE Warren AFB		4114	1909	3	349690	12342.0	
FE Warren AFB		1828	1906	3	160864	5484.0	
FE Warren AFB		3200	1910	3	268800	9600.0	
FE Warren AFB	NA	106541			28	2.8	98.50

Hickam AFB		3360	1990	3	13440	10080.0	
Hickam AFB		232	1965	3	6728	696.0	
Hickam AFB		42915	1942	2	2231580	85830.0	
Hickam AFB		2795	1952	2	117390	5590.0	
Hickam AFB		3150	1937	3	179550	9450.0	

BASE	LS MQMT	GR	YL CON	CON COG	AVG AGE	WL CONG	PCAU
Hickam AFB		13196	1937	3	752172	39588.0	
Hickam AFB		4261	1985	3	38349	12783.0	
Hickam AFB	1	69909			48	2.3	77.60
Patrick AFB		74071	1966	2	2073988	148142.0	
Patrick AFB		6880	1955	2	268320	13760.0	
Patrick AFB		12510	1992	3	25020	37530.0	
Patrick AFB		12752	1954	3	510080	38256.0	
Patrick AFB		720	1954	1	28800	720.0	
Patrick AFB		2400	1988	3	14400	7200.0	
Patrick AFB		3960	1992	3	7920	11880.0	
Patrick AFB		3105	1993	3	3105	9315.0	
Patrick AFB		402	1977	3	6834	1206.0	
Patrick AFB		45	1985	3	405	135.0	
Patrick AFB		100	1955	3	3900	300.0	
Patrick AFB		64	1976	3	1152	192.0	
Patrick AFB		720	1993	3	720	2160.0	
Patrick AFB		804	1985	3	7236	2412.0	
Patrick AFB	NA	118533			√25	√2.3	81.60
Petersen AFB		10038	1976	3	180684	30114.0	
Petersen AFB		58678	1972	2	1290916	117356.0	
Petersen AFB		2942	1973	3	61782	8826.0	
Petersen AFB		2688	1974	3	53760	8064.0	
Petersen AFB		13458	1994	3	0	40374.0	
Petersen AFB		120	1974	3	2400	360.0	
Petersen AFB	1	87924			18	2.3	96.60
Vandenberg AFB		1690	1976	2	30420	3380.0	
Vandenberg AFB		11600	1989	3	58000	34800.0	
Vandenberg AFB		116816	1967	2	3154032	233632.0	
Vandenberg AFB	3	130106			√25	√2.1	100.00
Brooks AFB		720	1974	3	14400	2160.0	
Brooks AFB		19352	1974	3	387040	58056.0	
Brooks AFB		1125	1972	3	24750	3375.0	
Brooks AFB	na	21197			20	3.0	91.50
Edwards AFB		8641	1966	3	241948	25923.0	
Edwards AFB		64772	1955	2	2526108	129544.0	
Edwards AFB		3672	1976	2	66096	7344.0	
Edwards AFB		114	1970	2	2736	228.0	
Edwards AFB		1200	1962	3	38400	3600.0	
Edwards AFB		186	1973	3	3906	558.0	
Edwards AFB		440	1970	1	10560	440.0	
Edwards AFB		248	1974	3	4960	744.0	
Edwards AFB		510	1974	3	10200	1530.0	
Edwards AFB		300	1985	3	2700	900.0	
Edwards AFB		16964	1990	3	67856	50892.0	
Edwards AFB		625	1988	3	3750	1875.0	
Edwards AFB		480	1989	3	2400	1440.0	
Edwards AFB		1252	1955	2	48828	2504.0	
Edwards AFB	NA	99404			30 √	2.3 √	64.90 ?

*Per Capt. O'Brien
5524 AF SG Office
11/3/74*

Base	LS.Mamt	GSE	Yr.Con	Con.Cod	Avg.Age	Wl.Cond	FCAD
✓ Eglin AFB		3331	1943	3	169881		9993.0
Eglin AFB		3156	1943	3	160956		9468.0
Eglin AFB		2753	1943	3	140403		8259.0
Eglin AFB		2753	1943	3	140403		8259.0
Eglin AFB		2753	1943	3	140403		8259.0
Eglin AFB		3893	1943	3	198543		11679.0
Eglin AFB		3677	1943	3	187527		11031.0
Eglin AFB		3677	1943	3	187527		11031.0
Eglin AFB		8210	1951	1	353030		8210.0
Eglin AFB		1600	1989	3	8000		4800.0
Eglin AFB		272345	1967	2	7353315		544690.0
Eglin AFB		50	1967	3	1350		150.0
Eglin AFB	1	308198			√29		√2.1 ? 71.90
Hanscom AFB		42810	1983	3	470910		128430.0
Hanscom AFB		2209	1956	2	83942		4418.0
Hanscom AFB	1	45019			12		3.0 100.00
✓ Hill AFB		1088	1973	3	22848		3264.0
Hill AFB		3600	1987	3	25200		10800.0
Hill AFB		95686	1974	3	1913720		287058.0
Hill AFB		766	1975	3	14554		2298.0
Hill AFB		453	1976	3	8154		1359.0
Hill AFB		1167	1977	3	19839		3501.0
Hill AFB		32485	1942	3	1689220		97455.0
Hill AFB		1600	1940	1	86400		1600.0
Hill AFB		17280	1985	3	155520		51840.0
Hill AFB	1	154125			20.63 7.26		2.97? 8.0 ? 92.90
Kelly AFB		8000	1940	2	432000		16000.0
Kelly AFB		4567	1973	3	95907		13701.0
Kelly AFB		14872	1987	3	104104		44616.0
Kelly AFB		35556	1966	3	995568		106668.0
Kelly AFB		2400	1974	3	48000		7200.0
Kelly AFB	1	65395			26		2.9 88.00
✓ Kirtland AFB		1387	1957	2	51319		2774.0
Kirtland AFB		2627	1954	2	105080		5254.0
Kirtland AFB		1159	1955	3	45201		3477.0
Kirtland AFB		484	1963	2	15004		968.0
Kirtland AFB		109021	1989	3	545105		327063.0
Kirtland AFB		12580	1991	3	37740		37740.0
Kirtland AFB		22369	1989	3	111845		67107.0
Kirtland AFB		13806	1957	2	510822		27612.0
Kirtland AFB		3850	1957	2	142450		7700.0
Kirtland AFB		1320	1957	2	48840		2640.0
Kirtland AFB		2100	1989	3	10500		6300.0
Kirtland AFB		7592	1949	2	341640		15184.0
Kirtland AFB	3	178295			√11		√2.8 ? 97.10
Los Angeles AFB		23124	1959	3	809340		69372.0
Los Angeles AFB		1806	1959	3	63210		5418.0
Los Angeles AFB		1200	1959	3	42000		3600.0
Los Angeles AFB		2400	1994	3	0		7200.0

Handwritten notes:
 700K
 1000
 1000

Base	LS Mgmt	GSF	Yr Con	Con Cod	Avg Age	WLCong	FCAR
Los Angeles AFB		5596	1918	2	425296	11192.0	
Los Angeles AFB	NA	34126			39	2.8	76.00
✓ McClellan AFB		8461	1960	2	287674	16922.0	
McClellan AFB		75200	1988	3	451200	225600.0	
McClellan AFB		1248	1957	1	46176	1248.0	
McClellan AFB		1500	1956	3	57000	4500.0	
McClellan AFB		8043	1938	2	450408	16086.0	
McClellan AFB		210	1985	3	1890	630.0	
McClellan AFB		134070	1971	3	3083610	402210.0	
McClellan AFB		303	1982	3	3636	909.0	
McClellan AFB		1155	1977	3	19635	3465.0	
McClellan AFB		5132	1989	3	25660	15396.0	
McClellan AFB		5400	1989	3	27000	16200.0	
McClellan AFB		1625	1986	3	13000	4875.0	
McClellan AFB		913	1968	3	23738	2739.0	
McClellan AFB		8515	1968	3	221390	25545.0	
McClellan AFB		9000	1942	2	468000	18000.0	
McClellan AFB	3 hos/1cl	260775			✓ 20	✓ 2.9	7 98.80
✓ Robins AFB		2935	1944	2	146750	5870.0	
Robins AFB		33275	1991	3	99825	99825.0	
Robins AFB		11700	1942	1	608400	11700.0	
Robins AFB		69296	1967	3	1870992	207888.0	
Robins AFB		8200	1981	3	106600	24600.0	
Robins AFB		288	1972	3	6336	864.0	
Robins AFB		964	1967	3	26028	2892.0	
Robins AFB		2889	1960	3	98226	8667.0	
Robins AFB	2	129547			✓ 23	✓ 2.8	7 92.20
1 Tinker AFB		8000	1986	3	64000	24000.0	
Tinker AFB		154850	1959	2	5419750	309700.0	
Tinker AFB		4208	1987	3	29456	12624.0	
Tinker AFB		1447	1981	3	18811	4341.0	
Tinker AFB		1127	1981	3	14651	3381.0	
Tinker AFB		8784	1943	3	447984	26352.0	
Tinker AFB		225	1972	3	4950	675.0	
Tinker AFB		783	1943	3	39933	2349.0	
Tinker AFB		5938	1982	3	71256	17814.0	
Tinker AFB		5540	1960	3	188360	16620.0	
Tinker AFB		1104	1974	3	22080	3312.0	
Tinker AFB		440	1969	3	11000	1320.0	
Tinker AFB		200	1979	3	3000	600.0	
Tinker AFB		8082	1943	3	412182	24246.0	
Tinker AFB		660	1988	3	3960	1980.0	
Tinker AFB	3	201388			34 ✓	✓ 2.2	7 81.60
Wright Patterson		764423	1986	3	6115384	2293269.0	
✓ Wright Patterson		33124	1942	2	1722448	66248.0	
Wright Patterson		21543	1944	2	1077150	21543.0	
Wright Patterson		40000	1942	2	2080000	40000.0	
Wright Patterson		4915	1943	1	250665	4915.0	
Wright Patterson		12245	1986	3	97960	36735.0	
Wright Patterson		2164	1976	2	38952	4328.0	

15,000 SF renovation
 or will be solved
 OK

B-2
 A-3
 C-1

From AF Resurveyed 10-2000
 for Op. 3/1/2000
 10-2000-1000

BASE	IS MOBILE	SE#	YL Cond	Con Cod	Average	WL Cond	FCAD
Wright Patterson		8569	1989	2	42845	17138.0	
Wright Patterson	1	886983			12.8613	2.8	793.90
Whiteman AFB		100078	1978	2	1601248	200156.0	
Whiteman AFB		400	1987		2800		
Whiteman AFB		400	1993		400		
Whiteman AFB		1728	1953		70848		
Whiteman AFB		12057	1953		494337		
Whiteman AFB		3681	1956		139878		
Whiteman AFB		190	1980		2660		
Whiteman AFB		8400	1954		336000		
Whiteman AFB		6500	1954		260000		
Whiteman AFB	na	133434			21.1956	2.0	779.90
Shaw AFB		9120	1945	2	446880	18240.0	
Shaw AFB		4185	1953	1	171585	4185.0	
Shaw AFB		2892	1959	3	101220	8676.0	
Shaw AFB		16528	1976	3	297504	49584.0	
Shaw AFB		4516	1959	3	158060	13548.0	
Shaw AFB		100551	1968	3	2614326	301653.0	
Shaw AFB		3364	1988	3	20184	10092.0	
Shaw AFB		8100	1988	3	48600	24300.0	
Shaw AFB		5015	1984	3	50150	15045.0	
Shaw AFB		3024	1992	3	6048	9072.0	
Shaw AFB	1	157295			2.9	2.9	799.10
Seymour Johnson		97410	1957	3	3604170	292230.0	
Seymour Johnson		17636	1977	3	299812	52908.0	
Seymour Johnson		6748	1961	3	222684	20244.0	
Seymour Johnson		3474	1994	3	0	10422.0	
Seymour Johnson		7629	1961	3	251757	22887.0	
Seymour Johnson		4558	1957	3	168646	13674.0	
Seymour Johnson		1767	1991	3	5301	5301.0	
Seymour Johnson		1467	1962	3	46944	4401.0	
Seymour Johnson	1	140689			3.0	3.0	790.50
Pope AFB		11288	1983	3	124168	33864.0	
Pope AFB		2988	1934	1	179280	2988.0	
Pope AFB		4472	1934	2	268320	8944.0	
Pope AFB		2400	1983	3	26400	7200.0	
Pope AFB		11000	1971	2	253000	22000.0	
Pope AFB		5100	1993	3	5100	15300.0	
Pope AFB		1900	1984	3	19000	5700.0	
Pope AFB	na	39148			22	2.5	78.00
Offutt AFB		236702	1965	3	6864358	710106.0	
Offutt AFB		4800	1989	3	24000	14400.0	
Offutt AFB		12000	1990	3	48000	36000.0	
Offutt AFB		13959	1959	3	488565	41877.0	
Offutt AFB		11328	1959	3	396480	33984.0	
Offutt AFB		1440	1981	3	18720	4320.0	
Offutt AFB		1790	1976	3	32220	5370.0	
Offutt AFB		2861	1939	3	157355	8583.0	
Offutt AFB	1	284880			2.8	3.0	789.70

BASE	IS Momt	GR	YL Con	CON Con	Average	WL Con	FCAR
✓ Nellis AFB		361654	1994	3	0	1084962.0	
Nellis AFB		16236	1994	3	0	48708.0	
Nellis AFB		8640	1991	3	25920	25920.0	
Nellis AFB		2990	1971	3	68770	8970.0	
Nellis AFB		3600	1988	3	21600	10800.0	
Nellis AFB		3004	1965	2	87116	6008.0	
Nellis AFB		1925	1968	3	50050	5775.0	
Nellis AFB		1600	1994	3	0	4800.0	
Nellis AFB	na	399649			✓	✓3.0	7, 100.00
✓ Mt Home AFB		156557	1989	3	782785	469671.0	
Mt Home AFB		2000	1989	3	10000	6000.0	
Mt Home AFB		2000	1989	3	10000	6000.0	
Mt Home AFB		11000	1993	3	11000	33000.0	
Mt Home AFB	3	171557			✓5	✓3.0	7, 99.10
✓ Moody AFB		2501	1993	3	2501	7503.0	
Moody AFB		120	1990	3	480	360.0	
Moody AFB		120	1990	3	480	360.0	
Moody AFB		120	1989	3	600	360.0	
Moody AFB		120	1989	3	600	360.0	
Moody AFB		8407	1994	3	0	25221.0	
Moody AFB		61433	1967	3	1658691	184299.0	
Moody AFB		2506	1983	3	27566	7518.0	
Moody AFB		3220	1987	3	22540	9660.0	
Moody AFB		5600	1986	3	44800	16800.0	
Moody AFB		2579	1953	3	105739	7737.0	
Moody AFB		4000	1989	3	20000	12000.0	
Moody AFB	1	90726			✓21	✓3.0	7, 88.40
✓ Minot AFB		137710	1988	3	826260	413130.0	
Minot AFB		2527	1980	3	35378	7581.0	
Minot AFB		19125	1958	3	688500	57375.0	
Minot AFB	1	159362			✓10	✓3.0	7, 100.00
✓ MacDIII AFB		185061	1956	2	7032318	370122.0	
MacDIII AFB		3987	1954	3	159480	11961.0	
MacDIII AFB		2579	1958	1	92844	2579.0	
MacDIII AFB		1920	1965	3	55680	5760.0	
MacDIII AFB		288	1965	3	8352	864.0	
MacDIII AFB		10000	1969	3	250000	30000.0	
MacDIII AFB		160	1956	3	6080	480.0	
MacDIII AFB		6455	1973	3	135555	19365.0	
MacDIII AFB		7145	1956	3	271510	21435.0	
MacDIII AFB		9193	1988	3	55158	27579.0	
MacDIII AFB		400	1975	3	7600	1200.0	
MacDIII AFB		4063	1992	3	8126	12189.0	
MacDIII AFB		2806	1984	3	28060	8418.0	
MacDIII AFB		5000	1993	3	5000	15000.0	
MacDIII AFB		2638	1984	1	26380	2638.0	
MacDIII AFB		2638	1984	1	26380	2638.0	
MacDIII AFB	1	244333			✓33	✓2.2	7, 99.10

Base	LS Mgmt	GSE	Yr Con	Con Cod	Avg Age	Wt Cond	FCAP
Little Rock AFB		145000	1979	2	2175000	290000.0	
Little Rock AFB		2052	1986	2	16416	4104.0	
Little Rock AFB		3062	1989	2	15310	6124.0	
Little Rock AFB		6050	1991	2	18150	12100.0	
Little Rock AFB		7932	1989	2	39660	15864.0	
Little Rock AFB	3	164096			✓14	✓2.0	86.70

Langley AFB		25104	1968	3	652704	75312.0	
Langley AFB		25122	1954	3	1004880	75366.0	
Langley AFB		13285	1975	2	252415	26570.0	
Langley AFB		7587	1973	3	159327	22761.0	
Langley AFB		1635	1973	3	34335	4905.0	
Langley AFB		6455	1973	3	135555	19365.0	
Langley AFB		12000	1976	3	216000	36000.0	
Langley AFB		124801	1966	2	3494428	249602.0	
Langley AFB		100	1967	3	2700	300.0	
Langley AFB		1015	1988	3	6090	3045.0	
Langley AFB		300	1975	3	5700	900.0	
Langley AFB		4499	1953	1	184459	4499.0	
Langley AFB		11800	1983	3	129800	35400.0	
Langley AFB		200	1976	3	3600	600.0	
Langley AFB		1902	1987	3	13314	5706.0	
Langley AFB		4785	1987	2	33495	9570.0	
Langley AFB		3135	1993	3	3135	9405.0	
Langley AFB		3108	1993	3	3108	9324.0	
Langley AFB	1	246833			✓26	✓2.4	91.70

Holloman AFB		1440	1985	3	12960	4320.0	
Holloman AFB		1440	1985	3	12960	4320.0	
Holloman AFB		5000	1988	3	30000	15000.0	
Holloman AFB		5000	1985	3	45000	15000.0	
Holloman AFB		73231	1967	2	1977237	146462.0	
Holloman AFB		7522	1956	3	285836	22566.0	
Holloman AFB		2288	1954	3	91520	6864.0	
Holloman AFB		260	1984	2	2600	520.0	
Holloman AFB		6705	1957	1	248085	6705.0	
Holloman AFB		1248	1953	1	51168	1248.0	
Holloman AFB		11733	1986	3	93864	35199.0	
Holloman AFB		9000	1989	3	45000	27000.0	
Holloman AFB	5	124867			✓23	✓2.3	83.20

Fairchild AFB		4089	1971	2	94047	8178.0	
Fairchild AFB		14479	1943	2	738429	28958.0	
Fairchild AFB		1352	1991	3	4056	4056.0	
Fairchild AFB		93045	1956	2	3535710	186090.0	
Fairchild AFB		1440	1964	3	43200	4320.0	
Fairchild AFB		7335	1969	3	183375	22005.0	
Fairchild AFB		25152	1958	3	905472	75456.0	
Fairchild AFB	3	146892			✓37	✓2.2	78.30

Ellsworth AFB		159689	1982	3	1916268	479067.0	
Ellsworth AFB		1170	154	3	2152800	3510.0	
Ellsworth AFB		225	1982	3	2700	675.0	
Ellsworth AFB		1262	1955	3	49218	3786.0	

Base	LS Mamt	GSE	Yr Con	Con Cod	Avg Age	Wt Cond	FCAP
Ellsworth AFB		4424	1960	3	150416	13272.0	
Ellsworth AFB		3321	1960	3	112914	9963.0	
Ellsworth AFB		505	1971	3	11615	1515.0	
Ellsworth AFB		408	1993	3	408	1224.0	
Ellsworth AFB		4981	1990	3	19924	14943.0	
Ellsworth AFB	2	175985			√25	√3.0	7,100.00
✓ Dyess AFB		7345	1957	2	271765	14690.0	
Dyess AFB		141462	1954	3	5658480	424386.0	
Dyess AFB		4000	1954	3	160000	12000.0	
Dyess AFB		13327	1957	2	493099	26654.0	
Dyess AFB		5000	1991	3	15000	15000.0	
Dyess AFB	na	171134			√39	√2.9	7,92.20
✓ Davis Monthan		99312	1961	2	3277296	198624.0	
Davis Monthan		4991	1961	3	164703	14973.0	
Davis Monthan		5060	1941	1	268180	5060.0	
Davis Monthan		1166	1970	3	27984	3498.0	
Davis Monthan		2880	1987	3	20160	8640.0	
Davis Monthan		96	1963	1	2976	96.0	
Davis Monthan		155	1965	3	4495	465.0	
Davis Monthan		100	1967	3	2700	300.0	
Davis Monthan		3486	1969	3	87150	10458.0	
Davis Monthan		18728	1978	1	299648	18728.0	
Davis Monthan		7200	1990	3	28800	21600.0	
Davis Monthan		5760	1982	3	69120	17280.0	
Davis Monthan		40980	1941	3	2171940	122940.0	
Davis Monthan		712	1952	3	29904	2136.0	
Davis Monthan		2303	1986	3	18424	6909.0	
Davis Monthan		24600	1968	3	639600	73800.0	
Davis Monthan	3	217529			√33	√2.3	7,87.10
✓ Cannon AFB		798	1987	3	5586	2394.0	
Cannon AFB		288	1984	3	2880	864.0	
Cannon AFB		102576	1968	3	2666976	307728.0	
Cannon AFB		5240	1991	3	15720	15720.0	
Cannon AFB		2914	1993	3	2914	8742.0	
Cannon AFB	4	111816			24	√3.0	7,88.70
✓ Beale AFB		1652	1954	1	66080	1652.0	
Beale AFB		5074	1952	1	213108	5074.0	
Beale AFB		9221	1952	1	387282	9221.0	
Beale AFB		900	1961	2	29700	1800.0	
Beale AFB		65319	1961	2	2155527	130638.0	
Beale AFB		3153	1961	3	104049	9459.0	
Beale AFB		392	1978	3	6272	1176.0	
Beale AFB		6415	1974	2	128300	12830.0	
Beale AFB		1980	1978	1	31680	1980.0	
Beale AFB		1420	1987	2	9940	2840.0	
Beale AFB		1420	1987	2	9940	2840.0	
Beale AFB		63	1983	3	693	189.0	
Beale AFB		3600	1984	2	36000	7200.0	
Beale AFB	na	100609			32	1.9	7,70.20

* Rec AF Real Prop
Records, Cont. of
FFS + ...

BASE IS.MOMI EST YLCOR CONCOR AVGAGE WLCORR LEAK

✓ Barksdale AFB		123240	1971	2	2834520	246480.0	
Barksdale AFB		17700	1976	2	318600	35400.0	
Barksdale AFB		3650	1986	3	29200	10950.0	
Barksdale AFB		1975	1991	3	5925	5925.0	
Barksdale AFB		9232	1933	3	563152	27696.0	
Barksdale AFB		2952	1957	3	109224	8856.0	
Barksdale AFB		6247	1954	3	249880	18741.0	
Barksdale AFB		2677	1954	3	107080	8031.0	
Barksdale AFB		550	1954	2	22000	1100.0	
Barksdale AFB		7467	1933	3	455487	22401.0	
Barksdale AFB		4963	1986	1	39704	4963.0	
Barksdale AFB		1600	1992	3	3200	4800.0	
Barksdale AFB		3100	1993	3	3100	9300.0	
Barksdale AFB		2331	1992	3	4662	6993.0	
Barksdale AFB		216	1977	3	3672	648.0	
Barksdale AFB		267	1942	3	13884	801.0	
Barksdale AFB	1	188167			✓25	✓2.2	782.90

Vance AFB		3261	1942	1	169572	3261.0	
Vance AFB		32746	1977	3	556682	98238.0	
Vance AFB		2678	1990	3	10712	8034.0	
Vance AFB	1	38685			19	2.8	99.80

✓ Sheppard AFB		315935	1963	3	9793985	947805.0	
Sheppard AFB		597	1970	3	14328	1791.0	
Sheppard AFB		429	1975	3	8151	1287.0	
Sheppard AFB		683	1974	3	13660	2049.0	
Sheppard AFB		4615	1982	3	55380	13845.0	
Sheppard AFB		1680	1987	3	11760	5040.0	
Sheppard AFB		1358	1979	3	20370	4074.0	
Sheppard AFB	2	325297			✓30	✓3.0	96.00

✓ Tyndall AFB		8350	1955	3	325650	25050.0	
Tyndall AFB		6936	1943	3	353736	20808.0	
Tyndall AFB		6936	1943	1	353736	6936.0	
Tyndall AFB		2550	1979	3	38250	7650.0	
Tyndall AFB		6936	1943	3	353736	20808.0	
Tyndall AFB		6936	1943	3	353736	20808.0	
Tyndall AFB		6936	1943	1	353736	6936.0	
Tyndall AFB		6936	1943	1	353736	6936.0	
Tyndall AFB		672	1988	3	4032	2016.0	
Tyndall AFB		5680	1989	3	28400	17040.0	
Tyndall AFB		87479	1967	3	2361933	262437.0	
Tyndall AFB		7000	1982	3	84000	21000.0	
Tyndall AFB		4000	1991	3	12000	12000.0	
Tyndall AFB		9225	1990	3	36900	27675.0	
Tyndall AFB		6936	1943	3	353736	20808.0	
Tyndall AFB	3	173508			✓31	✓2.8	780.80

✓ Reese AFB		60628	1971	3	1394444	181884.0	
Reese AFB		1285	1978	3	20560	3855.0	
Reese AFB		500	1978	3	8000	1500.0	
Reese AFB		2750	1954	1	110000	2750.0	
Reese AFB	na	65163			✓24	✓2.9	93.30

	IS	SR	IS	IS	AV	IS	IS
Randolph AFB		105681	1989	3	528405	317043.0	
Randolph AFB		1708	1930	3	109312	5124.0	
Randolph AFB	2	107389			6	3.0	97.90
✓ Laughlin AFB		79405	1977	2	1349885	158810.0	
Laughlin AFB		469	1990	3	1876	1407.0	
Laughlin AFB		1248	1975	1	23712	1248.0	
Laughlin AFB		589	1977	3	10013	1767.0	
Laughlin AFB		1456	1979	3	21840	4368.0	
Laughlin AFB		8207	1969	3	205175	24621.0	
Laughlin AFB		1264	1953	2	51824	2528.0	
Laughlin AFB	na	92638			✓18	✓2.1	96.50
Goodfellow AFB		809	1963	2	25079	1618.0	
Goodfellow AFB		48992	1978	3	783872	146976.0	
Goodfellow AFB		1012	1978	3	16192	3036.0	
Goodfellow AFB		1800	1988	3	10800	5400.0	
Goodfellow AFB		185	1986	3	1480	555.0	
Goodfellow AFB	1	52798			16	3.0	95.00
✓ Columbus AFB		65593	1959	3	2295755	196779.0	
Columbus AFB		5392	1957	2	199504	10784.0	
Columbus AFB		2420	1959	2	84700	4840.0	
Columbus AFB		1152	1962	2	36864	2304.0	
Columbus AFB		10644	1958	2	383184	21288.0	
Columbus AFB	na	85201			35✓	2.8✓	94.30
✓ Altus AFB		106192	1980	3	1486688	318576.0	
Altus AFB		6820	1973	3	143220	20460.0	
Altus AFB		3608	1984	3	36080	10824.0	
Altus AFB		1458	1980	3	20412	4374.0	
Altus AFB		6200	1993	3	6200	18600.0	
Altus AFB		6320	1942	1	328640	6320.0	
Altus AFB	na	130598			✓15	✓2.9	98.70
✓ Keesler AFB		829	1987	3	5803	2487.0	
Keesler AFB		1000	1987	3	7000	3000.0	
Keesler AFB		605	1987	3	4235	1815.0	
Keesler AFB		18496	1979	2	277440	36992.0	
Keesler AFB		1927	1979	1	28905	1927.0	
Keesler AFB		1207	1979	1	18105	1207.0	
Keesler AFB		3328	1982	3	39936	9984.0	
Keesler AFB		4604	1978	3	73664	13812.0	
Keesler AFB		5309	1992	3	10618	15927.0	
Keesler AFB		3449	1993	3	3449	10347.0	
Keesler AFB		46	1988	3	276	138.0	
Keesler AFB		205	1991	3	615	615.0	
Keesler AFB		706534	1957	2	26141758	1413068.0	
Keesler AFB		36843	1963	2	1142133	73686.0	
Keesler AFB		27126	1957	3	1003625	81375.0	
Keesler AFB		2209	1992	3	4418	6627.0	
Keesler AFB		1760	1984	3	17600	5280.0	
Keesler AFB		8186	1954	3	327440	24558.0	

PRSR	LS.MQ001	WSE	YLC001	CON.L001	AVG.A001	WLC001	PCAR
Keesler AFB		2375	1953	2	97375	4750.0	
Keesler AFB	3	826037			✓35	✓2.1	7.78.30
✓ Lackland AFB		10694	1955	3	417066	32082.0	
Lackland AFB		27068	1981	3	351884	81204.0	
Lackland AFB		13118	1951	1	564074	13118.0	
Lackland AFB		13118	1951	1	564074	13118.0	
Lackland AFB		28831	1981	3	374803	86493.0	
Lackland AFB		28831	1981	3	374803	86493.0	
Lackland AFB		727	1978	3	11632	2181.0	
Lackland AFB		16861	1972	2	370942	33722.0	
Lackland AFB		14598	1953	3	598518	43794.0	
Lackland AFB		206	1975	3	3914	618.0	
Lackland AFB		19800	1994	3	0	59400.0	
Lackland AFB		25139	1989	3	125695	75417.0	
Lackland AFB		400	1993	3	400	1200.0	
Lackland AFB		4977	1993	3	4977	14931.0	
Lackland AFB		4997	1993	3	4997	14991.0	
Lackland AFB		1111	1981	3	14443	3333.0	
Lackland AFB		0	1981	3	0	0.0	
Lackland AFB		986	1991	3	2958	2958.0	
Lackland AFB		3059	1992	3	6118	9177.0	
Lackland AFB		0	1984	3	0	0.0	
Lackland AFB		300	1992	3	600	900.0	
Lackland AFB		34782	1984	3	347820	104346.0	
Lackland AFB		100	1984	3	1000	300.0	
Lackland AFB		1351343	1957	3	49999691	4054029.0	
Lackland AFB		2940	1994	3	0	8820.0	
Lackland AFB		30113	1965	3	873277	90339.0	
Lackland AFB		3251	1994	3	0	9753.0	
Lackland AFB		0	1959	3	0	0.0	
Lackland AFB		6618	1957	3	244866	19854.0	
Lackland AFB		988	1987	3	6916	2964.0	
Lackland AFB		2202	1993	3	2202	6606.0	
Lackland AFB		1400	1991	3	4200	4200.0	
Lackland AFB		17502	1962	3	560064	52506.0	
Lackland AFB		7200	1988	3	43200	21600.0	
Lackland AFB		200	1988	3	1200	600.0	
Lackland AFB		36786	1980	3	515004	110358.0	
Lackland AFB		1375	1984	3	13750	4125.0	
Lackland AFB		292	1984	3	2920	876.0	
Lackland AFB		2308	1969	3	57700	6924.0	
Lackland AFB		1126	1968	3	29276	3378.0	
Lackland AFB		10084	1978	3	161344	30252.0	
Lackland AFB		4814	1942	1	250328	4814.0	
Lackland AFB		4814	1942	1	250328	4814.0	
Lackland AFB		4814	1942	1	250328	4814.0	
Lackland AFB		4814	1942	1	250328	4814.0	
Lackland AFB		4814	1942	1	250328	4814.0	
Lackland AFB		50681	1980	3	709534	152043.0	
Lackland AFB		28325	1967	3	764775	84975.0	
Lackland AFB		13643	1951	2	586649	27286.0	
Lackland AFB		15731	1969	3	393275	47193.0	
Lackland AFB		2249	1991	3	6747	6747.0	

Base	LS Mgmt	SST	YL Con	Con Cod	AVG AGE	YL Con	FLAR
Lackland AFB		1114	1971	3	25622	3342.0	
Lackland AFB		1116	1969	3	27900	3348.0	
Lackland AFB		6034	1951	1	259462	6034.0	
Lackland AFB		1126	1969	3	28150	3378.0	
Lackland AFB	3	1869520			✓32	✓2.9	7, 97.30

✓ Luke AFB		125109	1974	3	2502180	375327.0	
Luke AFB		5968	1989	3	29840	17904.0	
Luke AFB		3000	1989	3	15000	9000.0	
Luke AFB		3940	1984	3	39400	11820.0	
Luke AFB		1470	1992	3	2940	4410.0	
Luke AFB		12801	1975	3	243219	38403.0	
Luke AFB		8200	1988	3	49200	24600.0	
Luke AFB		6800	1943	1	346800	6800.0	
Luke AFB		9955	1941	1	527615	9955.0	
Luke AFB		1800	1992	3	3600	5400.0	
Luke AFB		3000	1984	3	30000	9000.0	
Luke AFB		500	1974	3	10000	1500.0	
Luke AFB	5	182543			✓21	✓2.8	7, 98.90

✓ Maxwell AFB		146482	1964	2	4394460	292964.0	
Maxwell AFB		19200	1942	1	998400	19200.0	
Maxwell AFB		7451	1942	1	387452	7451.0	
Maxwell AFB		9000	1942	1	468000	9000.0	
Maxwell AFB		3575	1941	1	189475	3575.0	
Maxwell AFB		5173	1942	1	268996	5173.0	
Maxwell AFB		5174	1942	1	269048	5174.0	
Maxwell AFB		5805	1941	1	307665	5805.0	
Maxwell AFB		5247	1942	1	272844	5247.0	
Maxwell AFB		5729	1942	1	297908	5729.0	
Maxwell AFB		4814	1942	1	250328	4814.0	
Maxwell AFB		5712	1942	1	297024	5712.0	
Maxwell AFB		6331	1989	3	31655	18993.0	
Maxwell AFB		3324	1941	2	176172	6648.0	
Maxwell AFB		436	1986	3	3488	1308.0	
Maxwell AFB		4270	1931	3	269010	12810.0	
Maxwell AFB	3	237723			✓37	✓1.7	7, 83.00