DCN 535

BRAC COMMISSION DATA CALL #CR95-018, REALIGN NAWC POINT MUGU
FINAL SMOOTH RESPONSE OF 14 JUNE 95

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of myknowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print) _____ Signature _____

Title _____ Date _____

Activity _____

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print) _____ Signature _____

Title _____ Date _____

Activity _____

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. T. Anderson, BGEN, USMC
NAME (please type or print)

Signature: J T Anderson

Acting Commander
Title

Date: 950615

Naval Air Systems Command
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

NAME (Please type or print) _____ Signature _____

Title _____ Date _____

BRAC COMMISSION DATA CALL #CR95-018, REALIGN NAWC POINT MUGU
FINAL SMOOTH RESPONSE OF 14 JUNE 95

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating informaiton for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information . Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

Mr. Lewis Lundberg
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Technical Director
Title

6/15/95
Date

Naval Air Warfare Center
Activity

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	CR95-018
Scenario Title:	Realign NAWC Point Mugu
Date:	14 JUNE 1995

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	Steve Mendonca
Organization/Code:	NAWC Weapons Division, Point Mugu / 530000E
Office Phone Number:	(805)989-8534
Fax Number:	(805)989-8036
Home Phone Number:	(805)985-3625

Name:	Matthew E. Anderson
Organization/Code:	NAWC Weapons Division, China Lake / 08E000D
Office Phone Number:	(619)927-1839/40 DSN 469-1839/40
Fax Number:	(619)939-0145 DSN 437-0145
Home Phone Number:	(619)446-1658 or (619)375-1419

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload/Missions Transferring
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Weapons Test Squadron
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Satellite Operations (NAVSOC)
DFAS Accounting Activity	DFAS Operating Location	Reimbursable billing, General Ledger, Cash processing (Accounting)
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Information Management
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Telecommunications
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Information and Electronic Warfare *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Aerial Targets *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Airborne Threat Simulation
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Strike Weapons T&E *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	In-Service Engineering
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Sea Range Operations & Control
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	HCS-5
NAWC Point Mugu	NAS North Island	VP-65
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Naval Air Reserve Center
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	VX-9 Detachment
NAWC Point Mugu	NAR Santa Clara	Naval Air Reserve P-3 Maint.
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Environmental & Reliability Test Facility

* Also supported are Special Access programs & various common avionics systems

INTRODUCTION:

Description of Closure/Realignment Scenario:

"Realign the Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC), Weapons Division, Point Mugu.

1. Move all but the following capabilities and associated engineering functions at Point Mugu:

Hardware in the Loop Facilities
Radar cross section analyses chambers
Bistatic chambers
F-14 A/D Weapon System Support Activity
EA-6B Weapon System Support Activity
Electronic Combat Systems Encounter Lab [sic]

2. Analyze the workload and duplication of environmental facilities that exist between Point Mugu and China Lake. Transfer environmental functions to China Lake.

3. Retain the Sea Range, but move Sea Range operations, control and engineering functions (data processing, scheduling, planning and range engineering functions) to China Lake.

4. Close or mothball all remaining facilities, runways, and hangars.

Assume that all other DON BRAC-95 recommendations have been executed."

This BRAC Scenario CR95-018 is an "excursion" alternative to BRAC Scenario CR95-004. Where appropriate, specific assumptions, e.g., disposition of tenants, base support, etc., and cost data from the earlier scenario were used.

Of all the scenarios considered to date, this one is the least workable and most inefficient with regard to processes, products, and services to customers. The following specific examples support this statement:

- This scenario poses requirements for long distance remote control of the Sea Range; this has never been done for any known test range and the technical feasibility and risks are unknown
- Execution of workload requires an excessive amount of land travel
- Excessive non-productive flight hours are required to transit to and from the Sea Range
- Severs the essential link between aircraft tactical weapons software development laboratory and aircraft flight test
- Forces projects to incur significantly increased costs

The three major issues prescribed by this scenario need to be clearly understood:

First, relocation of the Sea Range operational and instrumentation control from Point Mugu to China Lake will be operationally risky, far more expensive, and may well be technically impossible. Specific areas of major concern include the data linkage, target control, operational risks, transition to remote operation, and adverse impact to Range users.

a. To implement this scenario, reliable, long haul, broadband data transmission is required. The two major methods for this capability are through microwave transmission or fiberoptic cable. Transmission of telemetry, radar, and video data between Point Mugu, San Nicolas Island, and China Lake as well as the remote control of the range instrumentation systems will require very high bandwidth. Microwave systems are high risk due to their initial high cost (installation costs of over $25 million with relatively small recurring costs) and the requirements for significant frequency allocation and environmental siting approval. Implementation of this solution imposes a significant technical risk. Fiberoptic cabling between China Lake and Point Mugu has been under investigation for some time. Recurring costs for leasing such a capability, based upon current commercial rates, range between $6 to $7.5 million per year. Based upon the above, while significant risks remain, we have selected the dedicated microwave system approach.

b. The present target control system has a physical limitation of about 100 miles for remote operations due to the lag time over distance. The most system-critical target control operation is the landing of unmanned QF-4 target drones (a commonly used target) at San Nicolas Island. Thus target control must remain at Point Mugu, physically separating operational control for targets from the other participants in test and training operations. This seriously jeopardizes

our capability to stage very complex, realistic multithreat scenarios and significantly raises operational safety risks.

c. Operational risks are further exacerbated by the remote control of range instrumentation -- a capability which has not been successfully demonstrated for precision tracking systems, such as the FPS-16 metric radar, at the significantly long ranges proposed in this scenario, i.e., over 150 miles. This remote operation adds significant unknown variables to the conduct of operations and reduces the success rate of current range operational support.

d. The transition to China Lake will require an extensive period to check out each component of the translated range as well as its operational viability as an integrated range system. A minimum of four months of parallel operation is required.

e. Test and Fleet training users will incur greater Range costs as well as more disruption due to higher technical and operational risks from remote and split Range operations.

Second, splitting the F-14 WSSA laboratory engineering functions from the flight test functions severely disrupts an integrated team. The essence of the F-14 WSSA includes integrated and co-located labs, test aircraft support and personnel . Due to the closure of the airfield, part of the F-14 WSSA remains at Point Mugu while the part which includes test aircraft, aircrew, and all flight test support items is relocated to China Lake. This scenario disrupts the proven process for F-14 Block Upgrade development. F-14 WSSA labs and aircraft, which form the integration and system test capability, are torn into two pieces putting them at two different sites. The F-14 WSSA has a **system** test team which plans, conducts and analyzes all system tests performed in both labs and aircraft. The team performs approximately four hours of lab test for each flight test hour. Co-location of labs and aircraft are key to the effective Development, Test and Evaluation process. The personnel in the WSSA that directly support flight test operations would relocate to China Lake to be co-located with the test aircraft. Twenty four (24) civil service positions must be reestablished at Point Mugu to perform the functions within the WSSA that require a day-to-day working relationship with the design, system test, and laboratory personnel. This arrangement would work at a greatly reduced efficiency.

Third, closure of the Point Mugu airfield produces significant continuing long-term operational inefficiencies by complicating range and target operations. Range surveillance and instrumentation aircraft must be staged from China Lake at higher fuel cost and lower on-station times. Target operations staged from China Lake incur higher costs and increased hazards due to the transfer of targets across long distances. Airfield closure impedes the efficient movement of personnel between the two sites, especially important in this interdependent scenario. The F-14 operations, which now remain at Point Mugu, will be significantly hampered by the inability to locally stage component aircraft. Time and expense will be added to Port Hueneme emergency Seabee deployments. Airfield closures will force the California Air National Guard to abandon its new facility with over $100M of relocation/replacement costs. This is a significant unresolved issue.

NAWCWPNS is an integrated command, having consolidated missions and workforce. Most of the organizations have employees at both sites. For example, the aircraft weapon systems programs at China Lake and Point Mugu sites have been consolidated into a single organization as well as development and in-service engineering, targets, test squadron, threat simulations, electronic and information warfare, and range functions. The Command provides complimentary, full-spectrum life cycle support for weapon and aircraft systems. While organizational benefits and workforce and cost savings will continue, most of the readily realizable efficiencies and savings through consolidation have already been achieved.

In order to fully implement this scenario, amplifying assumptions were developed. These include:

RETAIN:

1. The Sea Test Range will retain its current functional capabilities and airspace. Land-based Sea Range instrumentation and facilities that will remain at Point Mugu include:

a. Metric Radars and other TSPI antenna systems
b. Telemetry antennas and receiver systems
c. All communications systems, antennas and distribution systems
d. Target / Surface launch facilities
e. Frequency monitoring systems
f. Surface surveillance radar
g. Upper air and weather observation systems

While the majority of operations personnel will transfer to China Lake, a small contingent of maintenance and repair personnel will remain at Point Mugu to support the various systems. New equipment and procedures will be required to set-up, calibrate, validate, and remotely operate the Range equipment.

2. All land-based Sea Range instrumentation and facilities at Laguna Peak, a 1500 foot mountain immediately adjacent to the Point Mugu site, will be retained including:

a. All communications systems including command destruct
b. Telemetry antennas
c. Surface Surveillance radar
d. Target control/tracking systems

3. San Nicolas Island facilities will be enhanced to support the increased activities related to test and Fleet aircraft which previously depended upon the Point Mugu Airfield. Additional hangar, range instrumentation maintenance, magazine, fuel storage, and personnel billeting facility requirements were estimated. Base support for the Island would come from the NAWS China Lake site using air transportation.

4. Sub scale targets will be surface launched from Point Mugu. Only those facilities directly required for initial decontamination, safing for transport, and preparing for launch will be retained.

5. The following RDT&E functions will remain at Point Mugu:

a. Air Intercept Weapons T&E - The majority of this work is based on HWIL simulation evaluation and therefore will remain at Point Mugu.

b. F-14 Weapon System Support Activity (WSSA)

c. Radar Cross-Section Chambers

d. Bistatic Chamber

e. EA-6B Weapons System Support Activity, including related Information Warfare Systems such as US Marine Corps TERPES and US Navy TEAMS.

f. Electronic Combat Simulation and Evaluation Lab (ECSEL)

6. While the NAWS Point Mugu will be disestablished (addressed below), the Weapons Handling function, eg., ordnance handling and storage, (which also supports Port Hueneme, where ordnance cannot be stored) will remain at Point Mugu under the direction of NAWS China Lake.

TRANSFER:

1. Sea Range Operations will be planned, scheduled, and controlled from China Lake. To avoid disruption in Sea Range operational support, many of the range capabilities would have to be replicated and operational at China Lake before being taken off-line at Point Mugu. The functions and facilities relocated include:

a. Range Operations Center including data processing, display and operational control
b. GPS, EATS, and photo-optics systems including Transponders
c. Telemetry processing, recording, and display
d. Remote operations of all Range instrumentation at Point Mugu and Laguna Peak
e. Range Instrumentation Maintenance shops
f. Range Safety
g. Range Systems Planning and Engineering support
h. Geophysics
i. Test management, planning, coordination, and scheduling
j. Post-test data product generation, validation, and delivery

2. Aerial target operations and maintenance will relocate to China Lake.

3. The other Point Mugu T&E missions as well as some R&D functions will be transferred to China Lake. The major functional areas and capabilities transferred and associated relocation actions are:

a. Strike Weapons T&E - all personnel and equipment will be relocated to China Lake within a combination of a MILCON and existing available facilities. A small cruise missile seeker test lab will be established on San Nicolas Island to operate in a sea environment.

b. Environmental Laboratories - per prior direction, the Sea-level chamber will be mothballed and remain at Point Mugu. The excess capacity in environmental facilities will be shutdown. The remainder of the required capabilities, personnel, and equipment will move to China Lake and will require either a MILCON or rehab of existing space.

c. Weapons In-Service Engineering - personnel and equipment will be relocated at China Lake within existing excess facilities.

d. Weapons Test Squadron-Point Mugu - this function includes all range, target, and test aircraft along with the associated maintenance, operations, and air crew personnel, and will be relocated to China Lake within a MILCON and existing excess facilities.

e. Test Article Instrumentation - all personnel and equipment will be relocated into a MILCON at China Lake.

DISESTABLISH

1. Disestablish the Naval Air Weapons Station, Point Mugu. Close the airfield and associated facilities for Navy use. In addition, transfer the NAWCWPNS facilities at the Camarillo Airport

which house much of the In-service Engineering personnel back to the County of Ventura. Base support operations and administrative support required by the Sea Test Range at Point Mugu including Laguna Peak and San Nicolas Island will be provided from Port Hueneme and China Lake as follows.

a. Security (fences and gates will be left intact -- since the Navy would be responsible for the closed facilities), fire protection, and base telephone system - provide support from existing Point Mugu facilities as a detachment from Port Hueneme.

b. Medical, Public Works, PSD, and Supply will be supported from Port Hueneme.

c. Personnel, Comptroller, Procurement, Legal, Public Affairs, Occupational Safety, and Administration will be supported from China Lake with a possible small detachment of personnel required for on-site support.

d. Close the military infrastructure, including Military Housing, Barracks, Child Care, Commissary/Exchange, Chapel, and MWR facilities.

ADDITIONAL ASSUMPTIONS

1. With the closure of the Point Mugu airfield and transfer of the Weapons Test Squadron to China Lake, air shuttle transportation to and from San Nicolas Island will be performed from China Lake.

2. Tenants and tenant aircraft will be relocated as appropriate. The 146th Airlift Wing of the Air National Guard estimates their potential relocation costs to be: $92,000K for MILCON and land purchase, $9,500K for permanent change of station costs, and $500K for movement of equipment, for a total one time cost of $102,000K. Due to these costs, the Air National Guard intends to retain their present facilities and assume operation of the Pt. Mugu airfield. For information, their MILCON requirements are provided in a separate Table 3-B.

3. A large number of contractor workyears in direct support of programs at the Point Mugu site will be transferred to China Lake. However, none of the costs associated with this relocation were reflected in this submission.

4. Additional MILCON requirements exist for San Nicolas Island. The island is ecologically sensitive and has historical cultural value. Therefore an extensive environmental impact assessment will have to be conducted before construction can proceed. The cost for this environmental assessment are not included in this submittal since they are very difficult to estimate. The cost could exceed $10M, but at this point is unknown.

5. The only DoN BRAC-95 scenario which affects NAWCWPNS is the closure of NAWC Indianapolis (BRAC-95 scenario #3-20-0161-028D) which called for transfer of 300 work years to NAWCWPNS China Lake. Therefore, we have subtracted the NAWC Indianapolis space requirements from the excess space available at China Lake in responding to this scenario.

Thus, the following is a summary of actions that will occur at the four sites involved in this scenario, i.e., Point Mugu, China Lake, Port Hueneme, and San Nicolas Island.

POINT MUGU - Retain RDT&E facilities and personnel related to F-14 A/D, EA-6B, Air Intercept Weapons Hardware-in-the-Loop, Radar Cross-section chambers, and Electronic Combat Simulation and Evaluation Lab. Retain the Sea Range instrumentation, but operate remotely from

China Lake. Transfer remaining RDT&E and In-service functions to China Lake. Close the Airfield transferring all NAWCWPNS aircraft to China Lake and tenant aircraft as appropriate. Transfer base support from Point Mugu to Port Hueneme. Transfer command support to China Lake.

CHINA LAKE - Accommodate the RDT&E, In-service Engineering, Sea Range, Target, and Command Support missions transferred from Point Mugu either with new facilities (MILCON) or existing excess capacity. Assume responsibility for base support of San Nicolas Island.

PORT HUENEME - Assume responsibility for base support for operations remaining at Point Mugu. Continue to provide facilities for Surface Craft and Surface Target operations and maintenance functions.

SAN NICOLAS ISLAND - Continue operating as an Outlying Field and major Sea Range Instrumentation and support site. Accommodate additional capacity for VANDAL targets and aircraft using the Sea Range. Establish an anti-ship cruise missile test facility near the ocean.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	NAWC WD Point Mugu

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: NAWC China Lake, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPNS	Officer	0	0	1	1	23	0	25
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	72	638	174	182	408	1474
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0429A	NAWS	Officer	0	2	0	0	18	0	20
		Enlisted	0	0	3	0	222	30	255
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45113	NAWS OP DET	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	14	0	0	38	0	52
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09830	VX-9	Officer	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
		Enlisted	0	0	164	0	0	0	164
		Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43145	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49145	NAWCWPNS DBOF	Officer	0	0	0	0	29	2	31
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: NAWC China Lake, CA (Continued)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
47969	NAWCWPNS UAV	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32904	NAESU	Officer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68322	CNET	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67414	MARCOR	Officer	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Enlisted	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41342	NAVPERS	Officer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	20	0	20
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52820	NAVPERS	Officer	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: NAWC China Lake, CA (continued)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
30614	SNI	Officer	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	49	0	0	0	0	49
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	4	37	1	75	2	119
		Enlisted	0	63	176	5	289	34	567
		Civilian	0	72	640	179	188	408	1487
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: CBC Pt Hueneme, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPNS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	108	115	0	0	0	223
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43145	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63200	NAVSOC	Officer	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	15	0	15
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	60	0	60
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0429A	NAWS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44266	NAVFAC	Officer	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	15	0	0	0	0	15
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47971	DEFENSE PRINTING	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: CBC Pt Hueneme, CA (continued)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63895	NAVINTEL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL AIR RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	23	0	23
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44905	NRL	Officer	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
		Enlisted	19	0	0	0	0	0	19
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
		Enlisted	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
		Civilian	0	123	115	5	63	1	307
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA (HCS-5)

To **Gaining Base**:NAWC China Lake, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
47409	NAVAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
53812	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	99	0	99
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	109	0	109
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: Santa Clara, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
62849	NAVAL AIR RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL AIR RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: North Island, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
09173	NAVAL AIR	Officer	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	112	0	112
	(VP-65)	Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL AIR	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: Unknown Local Site (FBI)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
	FBI	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: San Diego, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
FKR6B	DFAS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca							
To **Gaining** Base: NAWC China Lake, Ca							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	4	37	1	75	2	119
Enlisted Billets	0	63	176	5	289	34	567
Civilian Positions	0	72	640	179	188	408	1487
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	374	910	334	10448	441	12507
Tons of Support Equipment	0	129	23	287	639	75	1123
Number of Light Vehicles	0	47	53	0	1	41	142
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	10	36	5	136	8	195

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
1. Mission Support Equipment	Equipment Required to Support Aircraft Maint
Hydraulic & Avionics Test Benches	
Hand and Power Tools	
Work Stands, fixtures, etc.	
2. Light Vehicles	Missile Hauling/Moving, Transportation Equip
Pick up Trucks	Management/Calibration
Panel Trucks	Missile Handling
Pickup (1), Step Van (1)	Req'd to Transport ATIMS Equip to Flt Line
Vans	
3. Heavy Vehicles	
Tow Tractors, Electrical Power Units	
Mobile Cranes, Fork Lifts, Weapons Loaders	
Maintenance Vehicles, etc.	
4. 3 Ton Truck	Mobile Test Fac outfitted with various skrs
5. Fork Lifts	Mission Essential
6. Security Containers (152 Safes)	Classified Test Data required for Test Planning and Analysis
7. Lateral Files (12)	Data Storage (Tapes, etc.)
8. Workstations (Sparc/Sun Microsystems)	Specially configured for TOMAHAWK and

9. ITCS Consoles/X-Y Plotter/BARCO Proj Display/Electronic Racks	SLAM pre/post flight scenario Test & Eval Target Trainer Configuration
10. CARCO 3 -Axis Motion Table, Dual Arm Target Translater/Screen Room/Elec. Racks	Strike Systems Test & Evaluation
11. TAMPS Mainframe	TAMPS T&E
12. Co2 Tank and Economizer	Mission Essential
13. Temperature Chamber	Mission Essential
14. PAM Test Set	Mission Essential
15. Manual Receiver Test Set	Mission Essential
16. Screen Room & Test Set	Mission Essential
17. ATS-10	Mission Essential
18. ATS-5	Mission Essential
19. ATS-7	Mission Essential
20. Shaker and Controls	Mission Essential
21. ATS-2	Mission Essential
22. Environmental Chamber	Mission Essential
23. ATS-8	Mission Essential
24. Royonic Pick n Place	Mission Essential
25. Infra Red Oven	Mission Essential
26. Screen Printer	Mission Essential
27. Exellon Pick n Place	Mission Essential
28. Wave Solder	Mission Essential
29. Rework System	Mission Essential
30. RF Test Set	Mission Essential
31. Plotter for Set	Mission Essential
32. Hybrid Test Station	Mission Essential
33. BTU Oven	Mission Essential

35 ATD Lab	Info Warfare Sys Research & Engr
36 SDS	Mission Essential
37 Rapids	Mission Essential
38 F-14 aircraft instrumentation lab	Used for pre-launch check of F-14 aircraft instrumentation system
39 Data reduction lab	Used to reduce flight test data for use by analysts
40 Flight test support equipment	Used to support flight test check out of avionics hardware and software systems
41 Mission Equipment	Aerial targets inventory, tow targets, threat sytsems, airborne ssimulator pods.
42 Support Equipment	Laboratory equipment, shop equipment, ground support equipment and systems test equipment.
43 TAMPS Development Lab Equip	TAMPS S/W Support
44 TAMPS Test Lab Equipment	TAMPS T&E
45 ATD Laboratory	Information Warfare R&D Support
46 ATIMS Shelter (1 ea heavy)	ATIMS Field Demo Support
47 Laser/Optics Truck (Van)	Required field support instrumentation
48 2 ea Semi Trailers	Laser/Optics field support lab (Mobile)
49 Classified document storage containers	Supt Equipment doc storage
50 Computer networking equipment	Required for electronic interconnectivity
51 Light Ppick-up truck	Transportation to/from flight line worksites
52 ATIMS Pod	Integral to Airborne IR test workload
53 Supersonic Expendables pod	Integral to Airborne IR test workload
54 ALARMS pod	Integral to Airborne IR test workload
55 Advanced Long Range Threat pod	Integral to Airborne IR test workload
56 ALRT pod	Integral to Airborne IR test workload
57 Photo Data Analysis system	Integral to Airborne IR test workload
58 Conex boxes, NO2 Charging System temperature chamber, optical collimater, etc	Support equipment for Airborne IR testing

5 9 3 ea Sgt York Tanks	Integral to IR test workload

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA Satellite Operations Center							
To **Gaining** Base: CBC, Port Hueneme, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
Enlisted Billets	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
Civilian Positions	0	123	115	5	63	1	307
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	200	80	3	80	0	363
Tons of Support Equipment	0	15	21	7	30	0	73
Number of Light Vehicles	0	25	20	0	0	0	45
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	160	52	0	1	0	213

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Antennas, communications equipment	Required for Satellite Operations Center
Automated data processing equipment	Required for Satellite operations Computer Center
Light and Heavy Vehicles	Maintenance and mission equipment to support Point Mugu site

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca, HCS-5 Reserve Helicopter Squadron							
To **Gaining** Base: NAWC China Lake, Ca							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	109	0	109
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	51	0	51
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	225	0	225
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
HCS-5 GSE, PSE, Test Equipment, Weapons, AVCAL, Spare Parts, Ammo, Armour Plating	Best remaining site to allow for training and operations for the helicopter combat SAR and support mission.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA							
To **Gaining** Base: SANTA CLARA, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets					2		2
Enlisted Billets					22		22
Civilian Positions					11		11
Military Students							
Tons of Mission Equipment							
Tons of Support Equipment							
Number of Light Vehicles							
Number of Heavy Vehicles							

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA (VP-65)							
To **Gaining** Base: NORTH ISLAND, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets					11		11
Enlisted Billets					124		124
Civilian Positions					4		4
Military Students							
Tons of Mission Equipment					23		23
Tons of Support Equipment					54		54
Number of Light Vehicles							
Number of Heavy Vehicles							

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Support /test/maintenance equipment	Required to support mission

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA							
To **Gaining** Base: SAN DIEGO, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets							
Enlisted Billets							
Civilian Positions			6				6
Military Students							
Tons of Mission Equipment							
Tons of Support Equipment							
Number of Light Vehicles							
Number of Heavy Vehicles							

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA							
To **Gaining** Base: UNKNOWN LOCAL SITE (FBI)							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets							
Enlisted Billets							
Civilian Positions					11		11
Military Students							
Tons of Mission Equipment							
Tons of Support Equipment							
Number of Light Vehicles							
Number of Heavy Vehicles							

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include all potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: PT MUGU, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPN	Officer							0
		Enlisted							0
		Civilian			154			15	169
49146	NAWS	Officer			11				11
		Enlisted			5				5
		Civilian							
43145	PSD	Officer				1			1
		Enlisted				15			15
		Civilian				9			9
0429A	NAWS	Officer			2				2
		Enlisted			79		8	4	91
		Civilian							
30949	NAVY EXCHANGE	Officer							
		Enlisted					2		2
		Civilian							
45113	NAWS OPDET	Officer							
		Enlisted			34				34
		Civilian							
FKR6B	DFAS	Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian			5				5
30437	NAVY EXCHANGE	Officer							
		Enlisted					4		4
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: PT MUGU, CA (CONTINUED)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
47971	DEFENSE	Officer							
	PRINTING	Enlisted							
	SERVICE	Civilian						1	1
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
	TOTAL	**Officer**			13	1			14
		Enlisted			118	15	14	4	151
		Civilian			159	9		16	184

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	264	1582	3271		5117
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	(-75)	(-468)	(-701)		(-1244)
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):					
D. End FY 2001:	189	1114	2570		3873
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. NAWC China Lake	129	676	1490		2295
2. CBC PT HUENEME	24	64	307		395
3. SANTA CLARA, CA	2	22	11		35
4. NORTH ISLAND, CA	11	124	4		139
5. SAN DIEGO (DFAS)			6		6
6. UNKNOWN (FBI)			11		11
7.					
8.					
9.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	166	886	1829	0	2881
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	14	151	184		349
G. Remaining at Losing Base: *	9	77	557	0	643
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	189	1114	2570	0	3873

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

* INCLUDES 2 OFFICER AND 55 ENLISTED BILLETS THAT WILL REMAIN AT POINT MUGU TO PROVIDE SURFACE CRAFT SUPPORT TO THE SEA RANGE FROM PORT HUENEME.

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: NAWC Point Mugu							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers							
Civilian Caretakers						4	4

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	Description
NCBC Port Hueneme		
1,572	99	Target Augmentation/Auxiliary Systems Maintenance and mission equipment to support Point Mugu site
120	00	Computer decking
60	00	Diesel Generator and Fuel storage tank installation
200	00	Primary and back-up air handling/conditioning systems
4,500	00	Relocations and installation of NAVSOC antennas, communications equipment, and automated data processing equipment
100	00	Security/surveillance system
NAWC China Lake		
15	96	Site coordination survey for EW Supt Equip. movement
23	96	Loss of ISS usage and test performed base on 6 mo. downtime
126	96	Transition team / planning for EW support equip. move
55	96	Transition team / lab test team for IAS/TEG MVS move
145	96	Transition team / lab test team for TAMPS move
57	97	Transition team / lab test team for IAS/TEG MVS move

Cost	FY	Description
NAWC China Lake (Con't)		
149	97	Transition team / lab test team for TAMPS move
26,901	97	One time cost to purchase one complete set of production avionics for each F-14 configuration to support lab operations at Point Mugu. Currently lab and flight test share the production and non-production avionics parts to keep both sets in operation. With the relocation of the aircraft, aircraft maintenance and supply systems to China Lake, the lab will be unable to sustain continuous operations without production parts. To support the lab, one complete set of production avionics parts for each system will be purchased. Without the production parts or local maintenance to repair the lab parts 2-3 months of downtime annually will result in a net mission cost of $5-7 million per month.
16,375	97	One time cost to replicate F-14 flight test instrumentation and spare equipment . This special test equipment is currently located and used in the F-14 labs to support design and development. In addition, it is used for flight line hardware and software system check out and modification. Flight test will require one complete set of this equipment to support flight test operations at China Lake and to support the fleet when hardware and/or software changes are required.
1,150	97	One time cost to replicate F-14 flight test support test equip.
117	98	Transition team / lab test team for IAS/TEG MVS move
308	98	Transition team / lab test team for TAMPS move
3,500	98	Movement of source documents for costing purposes
120	98	Modification of bldg. and installation of equip. to relocate Seeker Lab.
194	98	Activation costs for Telecommunication costs/DMS Transition.
4,500	98	One time cost to replicate F-14 data reduction facility.
120	98	Human Resources LAN (installation, cabeling, hardware and software, etc.)
4	98	Lektriever Installation
2,500	98	Install Environmental control systems for temperature and humidity control of range operations, safety, display, and data processing systems at China Lake.

NAWC China Lake (Con't)

Cost	FY	Description
2,290	98	Install Uninterruptable Power Supply for range control, safety, operations, display, and data processing functions at China Lake
400	98	Install Transitional Sensor Positioning and Readback System input/output buffer equipment at China Lake.
255	98	Install Transitional data processing equipment (Range Data System) at China Lake.
200	98	Install Multiplexers for Sensor Positioning and Readback System data lines at China Lake.
150	98	Install Data processing fire detection, fire control, and intrusion detection systems at China Lake.
750	98	Convert existing facilities to a TEMPEST certified lab.
738	98	Install security systems in SCIF (Alarms, motion detectors, card readers, secure VTC capab. and STU III).
120	99	Transition team / lab test team for IAS/TEG MVS move
316	99	Transition team / lab test team for TAMPS move
400	99	Develop / outfit special labs for TAMPS (2 @ 200K)
500	99	Recruit and train 20 replacement Civil Service personnel to replace F-14 personnel transferred to China Lake.
1,572	99	TA/AS maintenance and mission equip. to support remote sites.
735	99	Threat systems test equipment.
308	99	Target engine run facility equipment.
125	99	Target decontamination facility equipment and oil / water separator.
123	00	Transition team / lab test team for IAS/TEG MVS move
326	00	Transition team / lab test team for TAMPS move
80	00	Training 16 new IW personnel
300	00	Purchase and install ELINT tactical receive equip. to support
300	00	Defense simulation internet mode in support of ATIMS dev. lab
2,000	00	Procure / install / license limited TAMPS lab equip. necessary to estab. operating capability to eliminate program impact.
9,100	00	Jet engine test cell.
194	00	Jet aircraft power check pad.
1,720	00	Jet engine wells air start systems.
400	00	Salt water decon spray facility.
714	00	Aircraft wash facility.

NAWC China Lake (Con't)

Cost	FY	Description
403	00	GSE equip for San Nicolas Island.
3,000	00	Install Operational Control Room ALPHA systems
2,300	00	Install Operational Control Room BRAVO systems
2,300	00	Install Operational Control Room DELTA systems
1,800	00	Install control Room Displays Other than in Control Rooms
270	00	Install BMIC Equipment
760	00	Install ACDS Display Equipment
3,800	00	Install ACDS Computers
1,600	00	Install Surveillance Radar Distribution System
760	00	Install Automated Range Surveillance System
6,667	00	Cost for parallel operation of Sea Range and China Lake based Sea Range control for 4 months.
2,995	00	Develop, procure, and integrate Mission Operations Control System command and control facility at China Lake.
18,010	00	Install 4 AN/FPS-16 metric radar remote -control subsystems at China Lake
250	00	Install remote set-up, calibration, and validation Telemetry Antenna/receiver subsystem at Point Mugu and Laguna Peak
955	00	Design, procure, and install remote antenna control subsystems at Point Mugu and Laguna Peak
16,000	00	Procure and install Telemetry Processing System at China Lake
1,930	00	Procure and install Telemetry Best-source and recording at China Lake
28,480	00	Design and install Real Time Range Communication Data Link (18 OC3's) between China Lake and Point Mugu
9,800	00	Establish HF/UHF Radio Service with Remote Control capability at China Lake
12,000	00	Install secure/non-secure voice and data switching system
1,400	00	Install TM Smart Multiplexer Data Links
2,200	00	Install Video Transmission and Distribution System
2,200	00	Install Fault-Tolerant Data Circuit Terminal System
2,500	00	Install Command Control System with remote control capability
1,200	00	Install Surveillance Radar Interfaces

Cost	FY	Description
NAWC China Lake (Con't)		
150	00	Install Satellite Communication System at China Lake
6,300	00	Install Frequency Interference & Monitoring Systems with remote capability
500	00	Install Technical Control Support Equipment at China Lake
800	00	Install Central Office Support Equipment at China Lake
1,000	00	Install a monitoring and diagnostic system for the communications data link btwn China Lake and Pt. Mugu.
700	00	Install Range Operations Center Cable Distribution at China Lake
128	01	Transition team / lab team for IAS / TEG MVS move
326	01	Transition team / Lab team for TAMPS move
NAWC Point Mugu San Nicolas Island		
600	98	Telephone system Cable Plant
28	98	10-ton forklift for SNI Range Maintenance Facility
100	98	Test Equipment for SNI Range Maintenance Facility
450	98	Telephone system Upgrade Switch
735	99	Threat Systems Test Equipment to support Point Mugu site
403	00	GSE Equip for San Nicolas Island

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	$22	00	EPA Permits: Test Cell, Sand Blast Room, GSE engine pollution.

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
250	97	China Lake	Environmental Test Equipment & Instrumentation
5	98	China Lake	Ship/recalibrate Davidson Collimator
5	98	China Lake	Ship/recalibrate Barnes equipment
6	98	China Lake	Package/ship optics equipment
32	98	China Lake	Setup/recalibration of optical test equipment
268	98	China Lake	Document, baseline, recertify Iron Crow lab
33	98	China Lake	Pack and recalibrate special purpose test equip (SE)
5	98	China Lake	Document and reassemble local area network
8	98	China Lake	Relocation of special files, copiers, computer parts and Network test equipment
100	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild DPN-21 SPARROW Test Set
40	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild DKM-15 Telemetry Test Set
40	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild SPARROW Golden Bird Station
100	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild SPARROW Manual Seeker Station
50	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild SPARROW Manual Control Station

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
75	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild SIDEWINDER Rate Table
35	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild SIDEWINDER Optical Bench
35	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild SIDEWINDER Boresight Bench
25	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild SIDEWINDER DSM-152A Test Set
615	98	China Lake	Building 311 shop tear down and rebuild
109	98	China Lake	Tear down and rebuild Data Bank Packaging and refining
500	98	China Lake	Tear down and build up of Shipboard mockup
200	98	China Lake	Move AWIS computer and Network connections
170	98	China Lake	Tear down, build up and recalibrate CASS
56	98	China Lake	Move 87 Security Containers
3,100	98	China Lake	MOCS Computer Room Equipment (16,700 pounds and 1333 cubic feet)
100	98	China Lake	Design Engineering Lab Equipment (16,730 pounds and 28,950 cubic feet)
16,400	98	China Lake	Transponder Shop Equipment (118,000 pounds and 26,200 cubic feet)
134	98	China Lake	In Service Engineering/Antenna Shop
8,140	98	China Lake	Calibration Laboratory
1,007	98	China Lake	Transfer and re-installation of photo instrumentation, processing, and editing equipment
940	98	China Lake	Special handling and packaging of data processing equipment (Range Data System, Sensor Positioning and Readback System, Real-time Information Distribution Environment, General Range Intelligent Display System, real-time computer interface equipment, and cryptographic gear).
65	98	China Lake	Special handling and packaging of data production equipment (optical readers, reproduction equipment, magnetic media processing equipment.)
82	98	China Lake	Special handling for data tape library relocation
97	98	China Lake	Security Containers (Labor to Inventory)
2	98	China Lake	Disassembly/reassembly of lateral files
100	98	China Lake	Reconfiguration of Software Eval Facility
250	98	China Lake	Reconfiguration/Recalibration of ITCS and peripheral equipment
500	98	China Lake	Tear down and reassembly of CARCO 3-Axis Motion Table, Dual Arm Target Translator/Screen Room/Electronic Racks
28	98	China Lake	TAMPS support equipment
1	98	China Lake	Mobile Test Facility Uniquely configured for instrumentation
70	98	China Lake	Recalibration- Test Sets
20	98	China Lake	Recertification- Test Sets
15	98	China Lake	Special Handling-Microelectronics/Advanced Pkg.
86	99	China Lake	Cost to disassemble, tag, reassemble and place F-14 A/C instrumentation lab back on line. (Shipping costs not included)

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
86	99	China Lake	One time costs to diisassemble, tag, reassemble and plaace F-14 A/C instrumentation lab back on line. (Shipping costs not included)
200	00	China Lake	PHOENIX DSM-130 Test Station
750	00	China Lake	HARPOON/SLAM DSM-127 Test Station
375	00	China Lake	AMRAAM SRTS Test Station
750	00	China Lake	AMRAAM FAST Test Station
25	00	China Lake	HARM Functional Test Station
500	00	China Lake	Ready Missile Test Facility Equip. & Instrumentation
200	00	China Lake	Reliability Test Facility Equip. & Instrumentation
200	00	China Lake	EMI/EMV Test Equipment, Instrumentation, Setup, & Calibration
5	00	China Lake	Special movement of 3 Sgt York tanks
30	00	China Lake	Re-setup of optical lab equipment (airborne IR)
23	00	China Lake	ATIMS radiometric and spectrometric cal and ver
15	00	China Lake	Photo Data Analysis calibration and verification
3,275	00	China Lake	Recalibration of Standards Equipment
35	00	China Lake	Ferry flight of aircraft from Pt. Mugu to China Lake
20	01	China Lake	Special Handling of Classified equipment (IW)
168	01	China Lake	Inventory, pack, and reinventory classfied holdings
1,000	00	Port Hueneme	Packing, shipping, installation, and calibration of equipment used in the Satellite Operations Center, Computer Center, and Test and Evaluation Station
500	00	TBD	Movement of Air National Guard Equipment

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must be consistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NAWC WD Point Mugu						
Gaining Base	**FY 1996**	**FY 1997**	**FY 1998**	**FY 1999**	**FY 2000**	**FY 2001 and Beyond**
1. China Lake					3,000	3,000
Description: Duplicate F-14 Test Engineers						
2. China Lake					600	600
Description: Duplicate F-14 data reduction						
3. China Lake				179	179	179
Description: Addtnl. costs for T&E Engineering personnel to shuttle btwn Pt. Mugu and China Lake to plan, coordinate and execute Ops. *						
4. China Lake				809	809	809
Description: Loss of productive labor of T&E Engineering personnel req. to travel to China Lake to plan, coordinate and execute Ops. *						
5. China Lake				79	79	79
Description: Addtnl. lab costs req. to plan and coord. lab tests and transport EW and Target Hardware and personnel btwn China Lake and Pt. Mugu.						
6. China Lake				278	278	278
Description: Threat systems detachments to support SNI and Pt. Mugu target operations.						
7. China Lake				674	674	674
Description: Target Ops detachments and transportation to support SNI and Pt. Mugu Target Ops.						
8. China Lake					3,849	3,849
Description: Cost to fly project flights to the Sea Range from China Lake vice Pt. Mugu						
9. China Lake					106	106
Description: Proficiency flight requirements conducted at SNI.						
10. China Lake					244	244
Description: Increased Aircraft flight time and aerial tanker flight costs for tests requiring use of Sea Range						
11. China Lake					315	315
Description: Cost to support project officers TAD costs to Point Mugu (ie. F-14, A-6)						
12. China Lake			45	45	45	45
Description: Transportation costs incurred for targets and weapons simulation.						
13. China Lake			100	210	210	210
Description: HARPOON Seeker Capability at remote site.						
14. China Lake			2,375	2,375	2,375	2,375
Description: Increased maintenance costs for Pt. Mugu Range functions. costs) (travel						
15. China Lake			117	117	117	117
Description: Increased calibration costs for residual Pt. Mugu RDT&E functions.						
16. China Lake			247	247	247	247
Description: Increase costs for photo preparations and operations.						
17. China Lake			142	142	142	142
Description: CCT Laguna Peak Operational Support.						

* Cost figures are based on historical data for the number of Ops conducted and the number of T&E personnel required to support them.

e. Net Mission Savings. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NAWC China Lake					45	45
Description: Project Flights flown at China Lake (includes QF-4 NOLOS) previously flown from Pt. Mugu						
2. NAWC China Lake					2000	2000
Description: Eliminate 2 logistic contract aircraft flown from Point Mugu to China Lake						
3. NAWC China Lake					37	37
Description: QF-4 NOLO TAD costs						
4. NAWC China Lake				35	35	35
Description: Eliminate guards at Camarillo Airport site.						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Annual Cost	FY	Description
42	98	Increased O&M costs at non-governmental facilities
17	98	Increased costs for Building maint, util, and fuel flow surcharges.
310	98	Additional cost of personnel traveling from China Lake to Point Mugu and Port Hueneme to Point Mugu
500	00	O&M costs of the Test Data Communications Link to China Lake - 18OC3's
100	00	Terminal Equipment at Point Mugu for Comm Link
2,321	00	Additional fuel required at San Nicholas Island.
79	00	Aircraft turn-around support crew at San Nicolas Island.

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Annual Savings	FY	Description
4,193	98	Cost Savings due to major reductions in base infrastructure and Airfield Maintenance.

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
NONE		

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
NONE			

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Facility KSF Shutdown: 2,748

FACILITIES 2-F.j

Losing Base Name: NAWC Point Mugu			
Category (Unit)	CLOSED		Comment
H- Horizontal (SY)	5,135 (SY) 16,600 (LF)		Washrack Runways
B- Berthing (FB)			
1- Air Maintenance (SF)	674,345		
2- Other Operations (SF)	29,882		
3- Administrative (SF)	227,002		
4- Training (SF)	62,408		
5- Maintenance (SF)	104,039		
6- Bachelor Quarters (SF)	290,099		
7- Supply/Storage (SF)	149,046		
8- Dining Facilities (SF)	32,462		
9- Personnel Support (SF)	125,852		
10- Communications (SF)	30,834		
11- Ship Maintenance (SF)	0		
12- RDT&E (SF)	983,393		
13- POL Storage (BL)	1,705,900 (GAL) 4,144 (BL)		
14- Ammo Storage (SF)	20,286		
15- Medical Facilities (SF)	18,040		
16- Environmental	8,032 (LF)		SEA WALL
Other: - -			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table **2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary**

Losing Base: NAWCWPNS Point Mugu		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	364	44632	17324	6383	153670	454	222827
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs					22		22
c.	One-Time Move Costs		250	33563	172	6383	188	40556
d.	Net Mission Costs			3026	5154	13269	13269	34718
e.	Net Mission Savings				35	2117	2117	4269
f.	Misc Recur Costs			369	369	3369	3369	7476
g.	Misc Recur Savings			4193	4193	4193	4193	16772
h.	Land Sales							0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid							0
j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)		2,748						

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NCBC, Port Hueneme

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NCBC Port Hueneme							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs							
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NCBC Port Hueneme			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)			
Other Operations (SF)		6,000	Office space for support from PW and Safety
Administrative (SF)	7,500		Office Space
Training (SF)	30,000		Construct facility for NARCEN Port Hueneme
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$150,000	$	For NARCEN Port Hueneme
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	Included in Table 2F(a).		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs							
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAWC Point Mugu San Nicolas Island			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	58,000		Aircraft apron
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	8,000		Aircraft Hangar (5,000 SF), Aircraft Maintenance Shop (3,000 SF)
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)	6,400		Range Maintenance and Support
Bachelor Quarters (SF)	42,000		Barracks, (50 Range and 50 other personnel)
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)	20,000		
Ammo Storage (SF)	1,600		Magazine (80' X 20')
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - Fueling Pier & Receipt Facility - -	$ 7,900 $ $	$ $ $	Road Improvements, POL Storage, Piping to fuel farm, mooring facilities

Note: The cost of new construction at San Nicolas Island averages 200% of comparable mainland Southern California costs, based on historical data.

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	FY	Location	Description
NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	$1,375	98	Provide inside and outside cable plant and backbone network equipment.
2.	$221.9	98	Provide telephone instruments.
3.	$1,528	98	Provide data network connectivity.

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).
Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	FY	Description
NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	FY	Description
Environmental		

1. NONE

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
Communications			
1.	201.6	98	Provide CTS operations, maintenance, and administration
2.	50	98	Provides Communications Specialist support (contractor)
3.	1,300	98	Provide corporate network operations and maintenance (contractor)

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Annual Savings	FY	Description
NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *			3,125				3,125
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs			1,552	1,552	1,552	1,552	6,208
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:
SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories)**:**

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements/Cost Analysis

Gaining Base Name: NAWCWPNS China Lake

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	New Construction Requirement ($K/FY97)	Rehabilitation Requirement ($K/FY97)	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	69,578		$4,530		Estimate for new construction was $65.1/sy based on CL historical bid data.
Berthing (FB)					
Air Maintenance (SF)	390,778		$74,936		Estimate for new construction was $191.76/sf (based on NAVFAC cos guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Other Operations (SF)	2,900	1,956	$544	$37	Estimate for new construction was $187.53/sf (based on NAVFAC cos guidance with CL ACF of 1.4) Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Administrative (SF)	234,941	82,156	$31,802	$1,112	Estimate for new construction was $135.36/sf (based on NAVFAC cos guidance with CL ACF of 1.4) Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Training (SF)					
Maintenance (SF)	56,616	14,200	$7,344	$184	Estimate for new construction was $129.72/sf (based on NAVFAC cos guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Bachelor Quarters (SF)					
Supply/Storage (SF)	53,900	11,619	$4,028	$87	Estimate for new construction was $74.73/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4) Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Dining Facilities (SF)					
Personnel Support (SF)					
Communications (SF)					
Ship Maintenance (SF)					
RDT&E (SF)	446,684	192,080	$98,253	$4,225	Estimate for new construction was $219.96/sf (based on NAVFAC cos guidance with CL ACF of 1.4) Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
POL Storage (BL)					
Ammo Storage (SF)					
Medical Facilities (SF)					
Environmental					

Other: - RDT&E TEMPEST	16,919		$4,575		RDT&E TEMPEST - Estimate was base on PM historical bid data adjusted for CL. Unit cost used is $377.75/sf.
- SCIF	78942		$23,919		SCIF - Estimate is based on CL historical bid data prorated to FY97. Unit cost used is $303/sf.
- P-3 Acft Wash Facility	Lump Sum		$400		PM historical costs adjusted for CL.
- Other Acft Wash Facility	Lump Sum		$714		PM historical costs adjusted for CL.
- F-14/P-3 Eng. Test Cells	Lump Sum		$9,100		PM historical costs adjusted for CL.
- P-3 High Power Pad	Lump Sum		$194		PM historical costs adjusted for CL.
- Jet Engine Air Start	Lump Sum		$1,720		PM historical costs adjusted for CL.
- MQM Storage/Assembly Facility		21,384		$276	MQM-8 - Estimate for new construction was $129/sf; Rehab space was est. at 10% of new construction.
- AQM-37 Airlaunch Facilities	4,210		$956		AQM-37 - Estimate for new construction was $227/sf (based on PM unit cost of $184.5/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).
- BQM-34 and BQM-74E Airlaunch Facilities	56,974		$5,754		BQM-34 & BQM-74E - Estimate fo new construction was $101.57/sf (based on PM unit cost of $81/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	TBD			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: TBD Air National Guard Relocation							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs							
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories)**:**

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: TBD Air National Guard Relocation			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	521,688		
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	133,739		
Other Operations (SF)	64,167		
Administrative (SF)	39,738		
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)	25,491		
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)	41,013		
Dining Facilities (SF)	24,220		
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)	2,126		
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)	10,000		
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

Note: In response to the proposed closure of the adjacent Pt. Mugu airfield, the estimated MILCON and land purchase cost to relocate the 146th Airlift Wing of the Air National Guard to a TBD location is $92,000,000.

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	None			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	$219	2000	Four contractor personnel for AIMD shop support for GSE, IMRL & Calibration.
2.	$274	2000	Five contractor personnel to support Airfield Ops (Tower, Flight Supt, Ground Elex, Fuel Farm).
3.	$238	2000	Airlift support for HCS-5 and Augment Units.

FY 2000 start is based on COMNAVRESFOR input that move will occur FY 99. China Lake airfield is not currently open on weekends, SQDN Drills 2 weekends per month.

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	None			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	731	731	1462
e. Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements/Cost Analysis
RESERVE HELICOPTER SQUADRON

Gaining Base Name: NAWCWPNS China Lake

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	New Construction Requirement ($K/FY97)	Rehabilitation Requirement ($K/FY97)	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	24,839		$1,617		Estimate for new construction was $65.1/sy based on CL historical bid data.
Berthing (FB)					
Air Maintenance (SF)	31,081		$5,960		Estimate for new construction was $191.76/sf (based on NAVFAC cos guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Other Operations (SF)					
Administrative (SF)					
Training (SF)	22,526		$3,176		Estimate for new construction was $141/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Maintenance (SF)					
Bachelor Quarters (SF)					
Supply/Storage (SF)	5,000		$374		Estimate for new construction was $74.73/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4)
Dining Facilities (SF)					
Personnel Support (SF)					
Communications (SF)					
Ship Maintenance (SF)					
RDT&E (SF)					
POL Storage (BL)					
Ammo Storage (SF)					
Medical Facilities (SF)					
Environmental					
Other:					

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAS North Island, CA

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	None			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	None			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAS North Island, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAS North Island, CA			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	51,080		Aircraft parking apron for (8) P-3 Aircraft
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	52,610		Type II Hangar for VP Squadron
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$300	$	For NARCEN N.I. (Tenant Input)
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

Document Separator

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

LT-0837-F16
BSAT/DD
13 June 1995

The Honorable Alan J. Dixon
Chairman, Defense Base Closure
and Realignment Commission
1700 North Moore Street
Suite 1425
Arlington, VA 22209

Dear Chairman Dixon:

As I noted in my letter to you on May 24, 1995, I am forwarding the final certified copy of the Scenario Development Data Call response from the Naval Air Warfare Center, Point Mugu. In accordance with Section 2903(c)(5) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, I certify the information provided to you in this transmittal is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

I trust this information satisfies your concerns. As always, if I can be of any further assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Charles P. Nemfakos
Vice Chairman,
Base Structure Evaluation Committee
Executive Director,
Base Structure Analysis Team

Attachment

BRAC COMMISSION DATA CALL #CR95-004, CLOSE NAWC POINT MUGU
FINAL, DATED 25 MAY 1995

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of myknowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print) _____ Signature _____

Title _____ Date _____

Activity _____

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print) _____ Signature _____

Title _____ Date _____

Activity _____

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. A. Lockard, VADM, USN
NAME (please type or print)

Signature J A Lockard

Commander
Title

Date 6/5/95

Naval Air Systems Command
Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

W. A. EARNER
NAME (Please type or print)

Signature W A Earner

Title

Date 6/7/95

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating informaiton for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information . Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

W. E. Newman, RADM, USN
NAME (Please type or print)

W E Newman
Signature

Commander
Title

5/31/95
Date

Naval Air Warfare Center
Activity

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	CR95-004
Scenario Title:	Realign NAWC Point Mugu
Date:	25 May 1995

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	Steve Mendonca
Organization/Code:	NAWC Weapons Division, Point Mugu / 530000E
Office Phone Number:	(805)989-8534
Fax Number:	(805)989-8036
Home Phone Number:	(805)985-3625

Name:	Matthew E. Anderson
Organization/Code:	NAWC Weapons Division, China Lake / 08E000D
Office Phone Number:	(619)927-1839/40 DSN 469-1839/40
Fax Number:	(619)939-0145 DSN 437-0145
Home Phone Number:	(619)446-1658 or (619)375-1419

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload/Missions Transferring
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Weapons Test Squadron
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Satellite Operations (NAVSOC)
DFAS Accounting Activity	DFAS Operating Location	Reimbursable billing, General Ledger, Cash processing (Accounting)
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Information Management
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Telecommunications
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Electronic Warfare *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Full Scale Aerial Targets *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Airborne Threat Simulation
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Weapons RDT&E *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	In-Service Engineering
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	F-14 / EA-6B WSSA *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	HC-5
NAWC Point Mugu	NAS North Island	VP-65
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Naval Air Reserve Center
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	VX-9 Detachment
NAWC Point Mugu	NAR Santa Clara	Naval Air Reserve P-3 Maint.

* Also supported are Special Access programs & various common avionics systems

INTRODUCTION:

Description of Closure/Realignment Scenario

"Realign the Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC), Weapons Division, Point Mugu to transfer test and evaluation missions to NAWC Weapons Division, China Lake. The scenario should be addressed as follows:

- Retain the Sea Test Range
- Retain airspace and island instrumentation
- Close or mothball remaining facilities, runways, and hangars
- Transfer all in-service engineering functions to China Lake
- Provide support for remaining Point Mugu activities from Port Hueneme"

NAWCWPNS is an integrated command, having consolidated missions and workforce for the past two years. Most of the organizations have employees at both sites. For example, the aircraft weapon systems programs at China Lake and Point Mugu sites have been consolidated into a single organization as well as development and in-service engineering, targets, test squadron, threat simulations, electronic and information warfare, and range functions. The Command

provides complementary, full-spectrum life cycle support for weapon and aircraft systems. While organizational benefits and workforce and cost savings will continue, most of the readily realizable efficiencies and savings through consolidation have already been achieved.

In order to fully implement this scenario, amplifying assumptions were developed. These include:

RETAIN:

1. The Sea Test Range will retain its current capabilities. All land-based Sea Range instrumentation and facilities will remain at Point Mugu including:

a. Range Operations Center including data processing and display and operational control.
b. Metric Radars and other TSPI (GPS, EATS, photo-optics) systems
c. Telemetry antennas, processing, recording, display
d. All communications systems, antennas and distribution systems
e. Target / Surface launch facilities
f. Frequency monitoring systems
g. Maintenance shops
h. Range Safety and Engineering support
i. Geophysics

2. All land-based Sea Range instrumentation and facilities at Laguna Peak, a 1500 foot mountain immediately adjacent to the Point Mugu site, will be retained including:

a. All communications systems including command destruct
b. Telemetry antennas
c. Surface Surveillance radar
d. Target control/tracking systems

3. San Nicolas Island facilities will be enhanced to support the increased activities related to test and Fleet aircraft which previously depended upon the Point Mugu Airfield. Additional hangar, range instrumentation maintenance, magazine, fuel storage, and personnel billeting facility requirements were estimated. Base support for the Island would come from the NAWS China Lake site using air transportation.

(NOTE: While an increased tempo of airfield and logistics operations will occur at San Nicolas as a result of the Point Mugu airfield being closed, the anticipated need for a loading pier at San Nicolas {estimated cost of $15 - $25 million} was not included in the scenario.)

4. Most subscale aerial target operations and maintenance will remain at Point Mugu. Full scale aircraft target operations and maintenance will be relocated to China Lake. Specifically, the target systems remaining at Point Mugu are: the BQM-34 Firebee and the BQM-74 Chukkar. The MQM-8 VANDAL target maintenance and storage facility at Point Mugu will be relocated to China Lake in rehabilitated spaces. The QF-4 full-scale aircraft target and the AQM-37 supersonic target (which can only be launched from specially modified aircraft like the QF-4) will be moved to China Lake. AQM-37 maintenance and operations will require a new facility (MILCON) at China Lake.

5. While the NAWS Point Mugu will be disestablished (addressed below), the Weapons Handling function, eg., ordnance handling and storage, (which also supports Port Hueneme, where ordnance cannot be stored) will remain at Point Mugu under the direction of NAWS China Lake.

TRANSFER:

1. The other Point Mugu T&E missions as well as R&D functions will be transferred to China Lake. The major functional areas and capabilities transferred and associated relocation actions are:

a. Air Intercept Weapons T&E - as a result of this realignment the current number of Hardware-in-the Loop (HWIL) anechoic chambers will be reduced. There are currently four large, missile HWIL chambers at Point Mugu. Current and future known workload requirements support the need for only three of the present four HWIL's at Point Mugu, therefore only three will be relocated at China Lake, two into a new MILCON, one into a refurbished existing lab. When combined with anechoic chambers at China Lake, there will be a net reduction from seven HWIL's to five, all located at China Lake. Personnel and equipment in support of this function would be relocated into the new MILCON as well as existing excess facilities.

b. Strike Weapons T&E - all personnel and equipment will be relocated to China Lake within a combination of a new MILCON and existing available facilities. A small cruise missile seeker test lab will be established on San Nicolas Island to operate in a sea environment.

c. F-14 Weapon System Support Activity (WSSA) - relocate to China Lake; however, based upon sponsor direction to preclude an interruption of support, the WSSA lab and equipment will be fully replicated, tested, and certified for use prior to the relocation of personnel. This will require a MILCON.

d. Radar Cross-Section Chambers - of the three secure chambers involved, i.e., two monostatic and one bistatic, only the smaller monostatic chamber can be accommodated at China Lake. The large monostatic and bistatic chambers will require MILCON's.

e. Environmental Laboratories - per prior direction, the Sea-level chamber will be mothballed and remain at Point Mugu. The remainder of the capabilities, personnel, and equipment will move to China Lake and will require either a new MILCON or rehab of existing space.

f. Ready Missile Test Facilities - this function consists of eight ordnance-certified buildings used to perform all-up-round weapons testing and will require new MILCON's at China Lake.

g. Tactical Air Electronic and Information Warfare including EA-6B WSSA - due to the special nature of facilities required, i.e., RF-shielded high quality labs, not currently available at China Lake, a MILCON will have to be built. Major programs supported, e.g. EA-6B, cannot accept significant schedule delays, therefore capabilities must be replicated at China Lake before transfer of personnel. In other areas, personnel will transfer earlier, as appropriate.

h. Weapons In-Service Engineering - personnel and equipment will be relocated at China Lake within existing excess facilities.

i. Full Scale Targets and Threat Systems - target functions relocated to China Lake include Full Scale Aircraft Targets, tow target systems, and final pre-flight check out of AQM-37, BQM-34, and BQM-74 air launched targets. MILCON will be required for air launch and tow target systems support facilities.

j. Point Mugu Test Squadron - this function includes all range, target, and test aircraft along with the associated maintenance, operations, and air crew personnel, and will be relocated to China Lake within a new MILCON and existing excess facilities.

k. Test Article Instrumentation - all personnel and equipment will be relocated into a new MILCON at China Lake.

DISESTABLISH

1. Disestablish the Naval Air Weapons Station, Point Mugu. Close the airfield and associated facilities for Navy use. In addition, transfer the NAWCWPNS facilities at the Camarillo Airport which house much of the In-service Engineering personnel back to the County of Ventura. Base support operations and administrative support required by the Sea Test Range at Point Mugu including Laguna Peak and San Nicolas Island will be provided from Port Hueneme and China Lake as follows.

a. Security (fences and gates will be left intact -- since the Navy would be responsible for the closed facilities), fire protection, and base telephone system - provide support from existing Point Mugu facilities as a detachment from Port Hueneme.

b. Medical, Public Works, PSD, and Supply will be supported from Port Hueneme.

c. Personnel, Comptroller, Procurement, Legal, Public Affairs, Occupational Safety, and Administration will be supported from China Lake with a possible small detachment of personnel required for on-site support to the Sea Test Range.

d. Close the military infrastructure, including Military Housing, Barracks, Child Care, Commissary/Exchange, Chapel, and MWR facilities.

ADDITIONAL ASSUMPTIONS

1. With the closure of the Point Mugu airfield and transfer of the Test Squadron to China Lake, air shuttle transportation to and from San Nicolas Island will be performed from China Lake.

2. Tenants and tenant aircraft will be relocated as appropriate. The 146th Airlift Wing of the Air National Guard estimates their potential relocation costs to be: $92,000K for MILCON and land purchase, $9,500K for permanent change of station costs, and $500K for movement of equipment, for a total one time cost of $102,000K. Due to these costs, the Air National Guard intends to retain their present facilities and assume operation of the Pt. Mugu airfield. For information, their MILCON requirements are provided in a separate Table 3-B.

3. By moving from an ocean environment, a variety of ground tests from facilities which overlook the sea will no longer be possible. While increases in test program costs due to added flights to obtain such data were envisioned, no effort was made to quantify these costs.

4. 1241 workyears, currently provided by contractors in direct support of programs at the Point Mugu site, will be transferred to China Lake. However, none of the costs associated with this relocation were reflected in this submission.

5. Additional MILCON requirements exist for San Nicolas Island. The island is ecologically sensitive and has historical cultural value. Therefore an extensive environmental impact assessment will have to be conducted before construction can proceed. The cost for this environmental assessment are not included in this submittal since they are very difficult to estimate. The cost could exceed $10M, but at this point are unknown.

Thus, the following is a summary of actions that will occur at the four sites involved in this scenario, i.e., Point Mugu, China Lake, Port Hueneme, and San Nicolas Island.

POINT MUGU - Retain the Sea Range and subscale target operations. Transfer remaining RDT&E and In-service functions to China Lake. Close the Airfield. Transfer all NAWCWPNS aircraft to China Lake. Transfer tenant aircraft as appropriate. Transfer base support from Point Mugu to Port Hueneme. Transfer command support to China Lake.

CHINA LAKE - Accommodate the RDT&E, In-service Engineering, and Command Support missions transferred from Point Mugu either with new facilities (MILCON) or existing excess capacity. Assume responsibility for base support of San Nicolas Island.

PORT HUENEME - Assume responsibility for base support for operations remaining at Point Mugu.

SAN NICOLAS ISLAND - Continue operating as an Outlying Field and major Sea Range Instrumentation and support site. Accommodate additional capacity for VANDAL targets and aircraft using the Sea Range. Establish an anti-ship cruise missile test facility near the ocean.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	NAWC WD Point Mugu

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: NAWC China Lake, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPNS	Officer	0	0	1	0	24	0	25
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	63	672	106	458	121	1420
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0429A	NAWS	Officer	0	2	0	0	16	0	18
		Enlisted	0	6	8	0	297	0	311
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45113	NAWS OP DET	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	52	0	52
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09830	VX-9	Officer	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
		Enlisted	0	0	164	0	0	0	164
		Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43145	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49145	NAWCWPNS	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	35	0	35
	DBOF	**Enlisted**	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: NAWC China Lake, CA (Continued)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
47969	NAWCWPNS UAV	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32904	NAESU	Officer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68322	CNET	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67414	MARCOR	Officer	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Enlisted	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41342	NAVPERS	Officer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	20	0	20
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52820	NAVPERS	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: NAWC China Lake, CA (continued)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
30614	SNI	Officer	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	49	0	0	0	49
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	2	39	0	80	0	121
		Enlisted	0	6	230	5	382	0	623
		Civilian	0	63	674	111	464	121	1433
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: CBC Pt Hueneme, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPNS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	77	118	0	0	0	195
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43145	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63200	NAVSOC	Officer	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	15	0	15
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	60	0	60
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0429A	NAWS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44266	NAVFAC	Officer	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	15	0	0	0	0	15
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47971	DEFENSE PRINTING	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: CBC Pt Hueneme, CA (continued)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63895	NAVINTEL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	23	0	23
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44905	NRL	Officer	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
		Enlisted	19	0	0	0	0	0	19
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
		Enlisted	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
		Civilian	0	92	118	5	63	1	279
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: NAWC China Lake, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
47409	NAVAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
53812	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	99	0	99
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	109	0	109
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: Santa Clara, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
62849	NAVAL RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: North Island, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
09173	NAVAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	112	0	112
	(VP-65)	Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: Unknown Local SIte (FBI)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
	FBI	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: San Diego, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
FKR6B	DFAS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca
To **Gaining** Base: NAWC China Lake, Ca

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	2	39	0	80	0	121
Enlisted Billets	0	6	230	5	382	0	623
Civilian Positions	0	63	674	111	464	121	1433
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	386	914	311	10783	135	12529
Tons of Support Equipment	0	133	17	71	34	90	345
Number of Light Vehicles	0	50	53	0	0	0	103
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	15	36	1	131	1	184

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
1. Mission Support Equipment Hydraulic & Avionics Test Benches Hand and Power Tools Work Stands, fixtures, etc.	Equipment Required to Support Aircraft Maint
2. Light Vehicles Pick up Trucks Panel Trucks Pickup (1), Step Van (1) Vans	Missile Hauling/Moving, Transportation Equip Management/Calibration Missile Handling Req'd to Transport ATIMS Equip to Flt Line
3. Heavy Vehicles	
4. Tow Tractors, Electrical Power Units	
5. Mobile Cranes, Fork Lifts, Weapons Loaders	
6. Maintenance Vehicles, etc.	
7. 3 Ton Truck	Mobile Test Fac outfitted with various skrs
8. TERPES Shelter Vehicle (1ea., heavy)	TERPES H/W & T&E Spt

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
9. Fork Lifts	Mission Essential
10. JATO Vans (2)	Required for EA-6B Spt
11. Lasers Truck (Van) 1 ea	Field Spt Inst
12. Sgt York Tanks	Required for ATIMS Research & Test
13. Mobile Data Vehicle	Required for ATIMS Research & Test
14. Lasers Semi-Trailers 2ea	Field Support Lab (Mobile)
15. Security Containers (152 Safes)	Classified Test Data required for Test Planning and Analysis
16. Times Two Lateral Files (12)	Data Storage (Tapes, etc.)
17. Workstations (Sparc/Sun Microsystems)	Specially configured for TOMAHAWK and SLAM pre/post flight scenario Test & Eval
18. ITCS Consoles/X-Y Plotter/BARCO Proj Display/Electronic Racks	Target Trainer Configuration
19. CARCO 3 -Axis Motion Table, Dual Arm Target Translater/Screen Room/Elec. Racks	Strike Systems Test & Evaluation
20. TAMPS Mainframe	TAMPS T&E
21. Hardware-in-the-Loop Anechoic Chamber,	T&E Function Requires Closed Loop Sim.
22. RF Equip, Flight Table, Tgt Positioner, and Assoc Hardware	Testing of Air Intercept Msl Sys
23. Bi-static and Monostatic Radar Reflectivity Coliminator, Radar, Data Processing Equip	T&E Function Requires Compact Radar Range
24. Tgt Spt and Handling Equip	Mission Essential
25. Misc hardware	Mission Essential
26. Threat Sys, Airborne Simulator Pods,	Mission Essential
27. Target Test Equip, Msl Tgts	Mission Essential
28. Threat Sys Lab & Test Equip,	Mission Essential
29. Shop Equip & GSE	Mission Essential
30. Co2 Tank and Economizer	Mission Essential
31. Temperature Chamber	Mission Essential
32. PAM Test Set	Mission Essential
33. Manual Receiver Test Set	Mission Essential
34. Screen Room & Test Set	Mission Essential
35. ATS-10	Mission Essential
36. ATS-5	Mission Essential
37. ATS-7	Mission Essential
38. Shaker and Controls	Mission Essential
39. ATS-2	Mission Essential
40. Environmental Chamber	Mission Essential
41. ATS-8	Mission Essential
42. Royonic Pick n Place	Mission Essential
43. Infra Red Oven	Mission Essential
44. Screen Printer	Mission Essential

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
45. Exellon Pick n Place	Mission Essential
46. Wave Solder	Mission Essential
47. Rework System	Mission Essential
48. RF Test Set	Mission Essential
49. Plotter for Set	Mission Essential
50. Hybrid Test Station	Mission Essential
51. BTU Oven	Mission Essential
52. TERPES Sys Dev Lab Equip Spt	TERPES Phase III S/W
53. TERPES Ship kit Lab Equip	TERPES Phase IV Spt
54. TAMPS Dev Lab Equip	TAMPS S/W Spt
55. TAMPS Test Lab Equip	TAMPS T&E
56. ATD Lab	Info Warfare Sys Research & Engr
57. EA-6B Wpn Sys Spt Lab	Spares (Large $ Investment)
58. EA-6B Terminal Rm	Required for EA-6B Spt
59. EPES	Required for EA-6B Spt
60. APECS	Required for EA-6B Spt
61. EWDS	Required for EA-6B Spt
62. TEAMS/TUT/MPM	Required for EA-6B Spt
63. SDS	Mission Essential
64. Rapids	Mission Essential

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA							
To **Gaining** Base: CBC, Port Hueneme, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
Enlisted Billets	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
Civilian Positions	0	92	118	5	63	1	279
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	200	80	3	80	0	363
Tons of Support Equipment	0	15	21	7	30	0	73
Number of Light Vehicles	0	20	25	0	0	0	45
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	160	52	0	1	0	213

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Antennas, communications equipment	Required for Satellite Operations Center
Automated data processing equipment	Required for Satellite operations Computer Center
Light and Heavy Vehicles	Maintenance and mission equipment to support Point Mugu site

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca
To **Gaining** Base: NAWC China Lake, Ca

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	109	0	109
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	51	0	51
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	225	0	225
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
HCS-5 GSE, PSE, Test Equipment, Weapons, AVCAL, Spare Parts, Ammo, Armour Plating	Best remaining site to allow for training and operations for the helicopter combat SAR and support mission.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca
To **Gaining** Base: SANTA CLARA, Ca

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca							
To **Gaining** Base: NORTH ISLAND, Ca							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	23	0	23
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	54	0	54
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Support /test/maintenance equipment	Required to support mission

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca							
To **Gaining** Base: SAN DIEGO, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca							
To **Gaining** Base: UNKNOWN RELOCATION SITE (FBI)							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Using the Base Loading Data Attachment, identify, by UIC, for both the host and tenant activities, the number of military billets and/or civilian positions which will be eliminated as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. For each UIC on the Base Loading Data Attachment where military billets and/or civilian positions will be eliminated, make a separate entry on Table 2-C. Identify the number of Officer Billets, Enlisted Billets and/or Civilian Positions which will be eliminated in each Fiscal Year. Note that for a total closure scenario, the total number of billets/positions moved plus those eliminated must equal the entire workforce at the activity as of the end of FY 2001 as shown on Base Loading Data Attachment. Numbers entered here should reflect a thorough review of staffing requirements at both the losing and receiving sites, and include all potential job eliminations which would result from consolidation efficiencies, economies of scale, etc. Reductions should reflect both overhead/support eliminations and direct labor eliminations, as appropriate. Eliminations should be entered in the year(s) in which they are expected to occur, for example, if 80 civilian positions will be eliminated in FY 2000 and an additional 50 positions will be eliminated in FY 2001, then enter the data as follows: FY 1996 - 1999 = 0, FY 2000 = 80, FY 2001 = 50, Total = 130. **Do not identify any of the following as eliminated billets/positions in Table 2-C:**

- Planned Force Structure Reductions (FY 1996 through 2001).
- Military Students.
- Non-DON tenants.

Drilling reservists should also not be included in numbers of eliminated billets. Disposition of any tenant or reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: PT MUGU, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPN	Officer							0
	Base Support	Enlisted							0
		Civilian						160	160
49146	NAWS	Officer						11	11
		Enlisted						5	5
		Civilian							0
43145	PSD	Officer				1			1
		Enlisted				15			15
		Civilian				9			9
0429A	NAWS	Officer						4	4
		Enlisted						90	90
		Civilian							0
30437	NAVY	Officer					4		4
	COMMISARY	Enlisted							0
		Civilian							0
FKR6B	DFAS	Officer							0
		Enlisted							0
		Civilian			5				5
30949	NAVY	Officer							0
	EXCHANGE	Enlisted					2		2
		Civilian							0
45113	NAWS	Officer							0
	OP DET	Enlisted			34				34
		Civilian							0
47971	DEFENSE	Officer							0
	PRINTING	Enlisted							0
		Civilian						1	1
	TOTAL	**Officer**				1	0	15	16
		Enlisted			34	15	6	95	150
		Civilian			5	9	0	161	175

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
A. Begin FY 1996:	264	1582	3271		5117
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	(-75)	(-468)	(-701)		(-1244)
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):					
D. End FY 2001:	189	1114	2570		3873
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. NAWC China Lake	121	623	1433		2177
2. CBC PT HUENEME	24	64	279		367
3. NAWC China Lake (HCS-5)	10	109	3		122
4. SANTA CLARA, CA	2	22	11		35
5. NORTH ISLAND, CA	11	124	4		139
6. SAN DIEGO, CA			6		6
7. UNKNOWN (FBI)			11		11
8.					
9.					
10.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	168	942	1747	0	2857
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	16	150	175		341
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	5	22	648	0	675
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	189	1114	2570	0	3873

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: NAWC Point Mugu							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers							
Civilian Caretakers						4	4

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	Description
NCBC Port Hueneme		
1,572	99	Target Augmentation/Auxiliary Systems Maintenance and mission equipment to support Point Mugu site
120	00	Computer decking
60	00	Diesel Generator and Fuel storage tank installation
200	00	Primary and back-up air handling/conditioning systems
4,500	00	Relocations and installation of antennas, communications equipment, and automated data processing equipment
100	00	Security/ surveillance system
NAWC China Lake		
31,300	96	Reconstitution of EA-6B capability
150	96	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability
164	96	Support Equipment Transition Team
262	96	MILCON engineering for Rel Test, RMTF/DALT, and EMI
8	96	Explosive siting RMTF and DALT
2,000	97	Anechoic material for Bistatic and Large Monostatic Chamber
500	97	Anechoic material for small Monostatic Chamber in MESA
3,000	97	Bistatic Chamber instrumentation phase 1
400	97	Cost to adapt (1) Missile HWIL into existing spaces.
450	97	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability Phase 1

Cost	FY	Description
NAWC China Lake		
86	97	Environmental test utilities, setup, calibration
66	97	MILCON engineering follow-up Rel, RMTF, DALT, and EMI
3,000	98	Bistatic Chamber instrumentation phase 2
4	98	Movement of source documents for costing purposes
1,701	98	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability Phase 2
769	98	Information Warfare Transition Planning
120	98	Human Resources LAN (installation, cabling, hardware and software, etc)
4	98	Lektriever Installation
40	98	(2) Flat bed trucks for transport of CASTGLANCE photo systems between China Lake and Point Mugu.
540	98	Establish DSI node at China Lake for modeling and simulation with MSEL (HWIL) and WSSAs
200	98	Specialized CMS Support for Telemetry
738	98	Secure Facilities (alarms, motion detectors, card readers, secure VTC capabilities, secure phone system)
120	98	Modification of bldg. and installation of equip. to relocate Seeker Lab
750	98	TEMPEST enclosed computer lab
127	98	Installation/calibration Rel Test chambers 1 and 2
87	98	Installation/calibration EMI anechoic chamber
150	98	Installation/calibration RMTF chambers 1 and 2
200	98	Installation/calibration DALT
535	98	DCC reconstitution
6,153	98	Reconstitution of EA-6B capability
1,375	98	Provide inside and outside cable plant and backbone network
222	98	Provide telephone instruments
1,528	98	Provide data network connectivity
150	98	Data processing equipment to support classified post-flight data transfer requirements between China Lake & Point Mugu.
4,300	98	Installation of high-speed communication links from Point Mugu to China Lake for real time test data transfer
395	99	Countermeasures Lab Set-up/Cal, etc.
15	99	Installation costs for major machine tools in Michelson Laboratory Machine Shop
127	99	Installation/calibration Rel Test chambers 3 and 4
150	99	Installation/calibration RMTF chambers 3 and 4
40	99	Calibration AMRAAM chamber
200	99	Combined Environments Chamber (CEC) area prep

Cost	FY	Description
NAWC China Lake		
6,338	99	Reconstitution of EA-6B capability
2,151	99	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability
1,348	99	Reconstitution of Information Warfare capability
148,500	99	One time cost to replicate complete set of F-14 labs and data reduction facilities for turn key operation prior to move
3,000	00	Cost for (2) Missile HWIL anechoic chambers and RF shielded rooms installed into MILCON.
6,528	00	Reconstitution of EA-6B capability
1,878	00	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability Phase 3
6,778	00	Reconstitution of Information Warfare capability
1,147	00	Warning and Surveillance reconstitution
300	00	Instrumentation/control installation for (CEC)
150	01	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability
7,188	01	Reconstitution of Information Warfare capability
1,148	01	Warning and Surveillance reconstitution
NAWC Point Mugu San Nicolas Island		
600	98	Telephone system Cable Plant
28	98	10-ton forklift for SNI Range Maintenance Facility
100	98	Test Equipment for SNI Range Maintenance Facility
450	98	Telephone system Upgrade Switch
735	99	Threat Systems Test Equipment to support Point Mugu site
403	00	GSE Equip for San Nicolas Island

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	$22	00	EPA Permits: Test Cell, Sand Blast Room, GSE engine pollution.

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
815	97	NAWC China Lake	Environmental Test Equipment
150	97	NAWC China Lake	DALT Facility Equipment & Instrumentation
100	98	NAWC China Lake	DPN-21 SPARROW Test Set
40	98	NAWC China Lake	DKM-15 Telemetry Test Set
40	98	NAWC China Lake	SPARROW Golden Bird Station
100	98	NAWC China Lake	SPARROW Manual Seeker Station
50	98	NAWC China Lake	SPARROW Manual Control Station
75	98	NAWC China Lake	SIDEWINDER Rate Table
35	98	NAWC China Lake	SIDEWINDER Optical Bench
35	98	NAWC China Lake	SIDEWINDER Boresight Bench
25	98	NAWC China Lake	SIDEWINDER DSM-152A Test Set
615	98	NAWC China Lake	Building 311 shop tear down and rebuild
109	98	NAWC China Lake	Data Bank Packaging and refiling
500	98	NAWC China Lake	Tear down and build up of Shipboard mockup
200	98	NAWC China Lake	Move AWIS computer and Network connections
170	98	NAWC China Lake	Tear down, build up and recalibrate CASS

56	98	NAWC China Lake	Move 87 Security Containers
97	98	NAWC China Lake	Security Containers (Labor to inventory)
2	98	NAWC China Lake	Disassembly/reassembly of lateral files
100	98	NAWC China Lake	Reconfiguration of Software Eval Facility
250	98	NAWC China Lake	Reconfiguration/Recalibration of ITCS and peripheral equipment
500	98	NAWC China Lake	Tear down and Reassembly of CARCO 3-Axis Motion Table, Dual Arm Target Translator/Screen Room/Electronic Racks
28	98	NAWC China Lake	TAMPS Mainframe support equipment
1	98	NAWC China Lake	Mobile Test Facility Uniquely configured for instrumentation
70	98	NAWC China Lake	RECALIBRATION - TEST SETS
20	98	NAWC China Lake	RECERTIFICATION - TEST SETS
15	98	NAWC China Lake	SPECIAL HANDLING MICROELECTRONICS/ ADVANCED PKG
49	98	NAWC China Lake	Laser/optics moving costs
306	99	NAWC China Lake	EW Support Equip moving costs
18,700	00	NAWC China Lake	Special T&E equipment requires pre-movement diagnostics, packing, OEM insured transportation, installation, checkout, and recalibration
200	00	NAWC China Lake	PHOENIX DSM-130 Test Station
750	00	NAWC China Lake	HARPOON/SLAM DSM-127 Test Station
375	00	NAWC China Lake	AMRAAM SRTS Test Station
750	00	NAWC China Lake	AMRAAM FAST Test Station
25	00	NAWC China Lake	HARM Functional Test Station
500	00	NAWC China Lake	Ready Missile Test Facility Equip.
200	00	NAWC China Lake	Reliability Test Facility Equip.
200	00	NAWC China Lake	EMI/EMV Test Equipment, Instrumentation,
3,275	00	NAWC China Lake	Recalibration of Standards and Equipment
35	00	NAWC China Lake	Ferry flight of aircraft from Pt. Mugu to China Lake
73	00	NAWC China Lake	ATIMS equip moving costs
188	01	NAWC China Lake	Information Warfare moving costs
500	00	NAWC China Lake	Special handling & packaging of EA-6B
1,000	00	NCBC Port Hueneme	Packing, shipping, installation, and calibration of equipment used in the Satellite Operations Center, Computer Center, and Test and Evaluation Station
500	00	TBD	Movement of Air National Guard Equipment

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must be consistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NAWC WD Point Mugu						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. China Lake			100	210	210	210
Description: HARPOON Seeker Capability at Remote Site						
2. China Lake					3,849	3,849
Description: Cost to fly Project Flights to the Sea Range from China Lake vice Pt. Mugu						
3. China Lake					106	106
Description: Proficiency Flight requirements conducted at San Nicolas Island						
4. China Lake					244	244
Description: Increased Aircraft flight time and aerial tanker flight costs for tests requiring sea range						
5. China Lake				278	278	278
Description: Threat systems detachments to support SNI & Point Mugu target ops.						
6. China Lake				93	93	93
Description: Detachments to/from China Lake to/from San Nicholas to support target operations.						
7. China Lake			30	30	30	30
Description: Transportation cost incurred for Range work						
8. China Lake			30	61	61	61
Description: Addtnl costs for T&E Eng. personnel to shuttle between China Lake & Pt Mugu Range to plan, coordinate & execute Ops.						
9. China Lake				467	467	467
Description: Loss of productive labor of T&E Eng. personnel required to travel to Pt. Mugu Range to plan, coordinate & execute Ops						
10. China Lake				44	44	44
Description: Operational support personnel costs required to support planning and OPs.						
11. China Lake			100	100	100	100
Description: Increased costs for Telemetry COMSEC Decryption Key support.						
12. China Lake			484	484	484	484
Description: Increased costs of travel by Telemetry airborne support personnel to China Lake						
13. China Lake			250	250	250	250
Description: Increased travel costs for operational customer interface,planning and coordination at CL.						
14. China Lake			436	436	436	436
Description: Increased instrumentation and calibration support costs for China Lake based aircraft						
15. China Lake			864	864	864	864
Description: Mainland engineering and maintenance support to SNI						
16. China Lake			122	122	122	122
Description: Increased costs of travel to Port Hueneme for admin support						
17. China Lake			177	177	177	177
Description: Costs of Data Products delivery & coordination, & travel to China Lake.						
18. China Lake			321	321	321	321
Description: Increased test conductor labor costs to compensate for cross-site travel requirements.						
19. China Lake			49	49	49	49
Description: Increased costs due to logistics of relocation						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

e. Net Mission Savings. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NAWC China Lake					45	45
Description: Project Flights flown at China Lake (includes QF-4 NOLOS) previously flown from Pt. Mugu						
2. NAWC China Lake					2000	2000
Description: Eliminate 2 logistic contract aircraft flown from Point Mugu to China Lake						
3. NAWC China Lake					37	37
Description: QF-4 NOLO TAD costs						
4. NAWC China Lake				35	35	35
Description: Eliminate guards at Camarillo Airport site.						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Annual Cost	FY	Description
42	98	Increased O&M costs at non-governmental facilities
17	98	Increased costs for Building maint, util, and fuel flow surcharges.
167	98	Annual O&M costs of connectivity to the 3 relocated modeling and simulation labs at China Lake
30	98	Increased travel costs to obtain procurement support from CL
55	98	O&M costs of the test data communications link to China Lake
24	98	O&M costs for low-bit rate video system
20	98	Transportation costs for emerging remote site equipment repair
2,321	00	Additional fuel required at San Nicholas Island.
79	00	Aircraft turn-around support crew at San Nicolas Island.

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Annual Savings	FY	Description
4,193	98	Cost Savings due to major reductions in base infrastructure and Airfield Maintenance.

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
NONE		

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
NONE			

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Facility KSF Shutdown: 2,305.6

* Facility area shutdown does not include area of Taxiways, Aprons and Runways.

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table **2-F: Dynamic Base Information Summary**

Losing Base:		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	31884	6502	23991	161571	25014	8486	257448
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs					22		22
c.	One-Time Move Costs		965	3282	306	27583	188	32324
d.	Net Mission Costs			2963	3986	8185	8185	23319
e.	Net Mission Savings				35	2117	2117	4269
f.	Misc Recur Costs			355	355	2755	2755	6220
g.	Misc Recur Savings			4193	4193	4193	4193	16772
h.	Land Sales							0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid							0
j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)		2,305.6						

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NCBC, Port Hueneme

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NCBC Port Hueneme							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs							
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NCBC Port Hueneme			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)			
Other Operations (SF)		6,000	Office space for support from PW and Safety
Administrative (SF)	7,500		Office Space
Training (SF)	30,000		Construct facility for NARCEN Port Hueneme
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$150,000	$	For NARCEN Port Hueneme
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	Included in Table 2F(a).		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs							
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories)**:**

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAWC Point Mugu San Nicolas Island			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	58,000		Aircraft apron
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	8,000		Aircraft Hangar (5,000 SF), Aircraft Maintenance Shop (3,000 SF)
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)	6,400		Range Maintenance and Support
Bachelor Quarters (SF)	42,000		Barracks, (50 Range and 50 other personnel)
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)	20,000		
Ammo Storage (SF)	1,600		Magazine (80' X 20')
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - Fueling Pier & Receipt Facility - -	$ 7,900 $ $	$ $ $	Road Improvements, POL Storage, Piping to fuel farm, mooring facilities

Note: The cost of new construction at San Nicolas Island averages 200% of comparable mainland Southern California costs, based on historical data.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	FY	Location	Description
NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	Included in Table 2F(a).		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).
Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	FY	Description
NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

	Cost	FY	Description
Environmental			
1.	450	96	Environmental assessment covering new construction including facilities and reliability test, RMTF/DALT, EMI anechoic chambers.

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
Communications			
1.	201.6	98	Provide CTS operations, maintenance, and administration
2.	50	98	Provides Communications Specialist support (contractor)
3.	1,300	98	Provide corporate network operations and maintenance (contractor)

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Annual Savings	FY	Description
NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation	450						450
d. Misc. Recurring Costs			1,552	1,552	1,552	1,552	6,208
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:
SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories)**:**

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE					
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	New Construction Requirement ($K/FY97)	Rehabilitation Requirement ($K/FY97)	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	69,578		$4,530		Estimate for new construction was $65.1/SY based on CL historical bid data.
Berthing (FB)					
Air Maintenance (SF)	390,778		$74,936		Estimate for new construction was $191.76/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Other Operations (SF)	4,324		$811		Estimate for new construction was $187.53/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Administrative (SF)	400,127	102,583	$54,161	$1,389	Estimate for new construction was $135.36/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Training (SF)					
Maintenance (SF)	4,047	14,200	$525	$184	Estimate for new construction was $129.72/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Bachelor Quarters (SF)					
Supply/Storage (SF)	53,000	29,764	$3,961	$222	Estimate for new construction was $74.73/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Dining Facilities (SF)					
Personnel Support (SF)					
Communications (SF)		200		$5	Estimate for new construction was $242.52/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction
Ship Maintenance (SF)					

RDT&E (SF)	153,418	201,728	$33,746	$4,437	Estimate for new construction was $219.96/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
POL Storage (BL)					
Ammo Storage (SF)					
Medical Facilities (SF)					
Environmental					
Other:					
- Reliability Acoustics Facility		13,000		$280	Rel. Ac. Fac - Estimate for new construction was $215.38/sf (based on PM unit cost of $175/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
- Ready Missile Test Facility	15,000		$3,900		RMTF - Estimate for new construction was $260/sf (based on PM unit cost of $211/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).
- EW Lab	23,140		$6,040		EW Lab - Estimate for new construction was $261.48/sf (based on PM unit cost of $212/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).
- HWIL Chambers	8,250	4,250	$1,928	$99	HWIL - Estimate for new construction of two chambers was $233.70/sf (based on PM unit cost of $190/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction. Rehab for one chamber.
- SCIF	99,534		$30,159		SCIF - Estimate is based on CL historical bid data prorated to FY97. Unit cost used is $303/sf.
- Bistatic Chamber	33,500		$7,538		Bistatic - Estimate for new construction of 1 chamber was $225/sf (based on PM unit cost of $183/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).

Other (cont):					
- Monostatic Chambers	11,160	4,340	$2,511	$98	Monostatic - Est. for new construction of 1 chamber was $225/sf (PM unit cost of $183/sf adj with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM). Rehab space est at 10 % of new construction. Rehab for one chamber
- Saltwater decon spray facility	Lump Sum		$400		Drive thru P-3s. PM historical costs adjusted for CL. (4,275 sq ft)
- Aircraft wash facility.	Lump Sum		$714		To accommodate large size A/C from Point Mugu. PM historical costs adj.for CL.
- F-14/F-4 & P-3 Engine Test Cells (2)	Lump Sum		$9,100		PM hist costs adj for CL
- High Power Pad	Lump Sum		$194		Additional Capability for P-3. PM historical costs adjusted for CL
- Jet Engine Air Start	Lump Sum		$1,720		Four locations to accommodate Point Mugu aircraft. PM historical costs adjusted for CL
- MQM-8 Storage/ Assembly Facility		21,384		$277	MQM-8 - Estimate for new construction was $129/sf; Rehab space was est. at 10% of new construction
- AQM-37 Air launch Facilities	4,210		$956		AQM-37 - Estimate for new construction was $227/sf (PM unit cost of $184.5/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference btwn PM).
- BQM-34 and BQM-74E Air launched Facilities	56,974		$5,754		BQM-34 & BQM-74E - Est for new construction was $101.57/sf (PM unit cost of $81/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	TBD			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: TBD Air National Guard Relocation							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs							
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: TBD Air National Guard Relocation			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	521,688		
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	133,739		
Other Operations (SF)	64,167		
Administrative (SF)	39,738		
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)	25,491		
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)	41,013		
Dining Facilities (SF)	24,220		
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)	2,126		
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)	10,000		
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

Note: In response to the proposed closure of the adjacent Pt. Mugu airfield, the estimated MILCON and land purchase cost to relocate the 146th Airlift Wing of the Air National Guard to a TBD location is $92,000,000.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	None			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	$219	2000	Four contractor personnel for AIMD shop support for GSE, IMRL & Calibration.
2.	$274	2000	Five contractor personnel to support Airfield Ops (Tower, Flight Supt, Ground Elex, Fuel Farm).
3.	$238	2000	Airlift support for HCS-5 and Augment Units.

FY 2000 start is based on COMNAVRESFOR input that move will occur FY 99. China Lake airfield is not currently open on weekends, SQDN Drills 2 weekends per month.

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	None			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	731	731	1462
e. Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements/Cost Analysis
RESERVE HELICOPTER SQUADRON

Gaining Base Name: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	New Construction Requirement ($K/FY97)	Rehabilitation Requirement ($K/FY97)	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	24,839		$1,617		Estimate for new construction was $65.1/sy based on CL historical bid data.
Berthing (FB)					
Air Maintenance (SF)	31,081		$5,960		Estimate for new construction was $191.76/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Other Operations (SF)					
Administrative (SF)					
Training (SF)	22,526		$3,176		Estimate for new construction was $141/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Maintenance (SF)					
Bachelor Quarters (SF)					
Supply/Storage (SF)	5,000		$374		Estimate for new construction was $74.73/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4)
Dining Facilities (SF)					
Personnel Support (SF)					
Communications (SF)					
Ship Maintenance (SF)					
RDT&E (SF)					
POL Storage (BL)					
Ammo Storage (SF)					
Medical Facilities (SF)					
Environmental					
Other:					

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAS North Island, CA

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	None			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAS North Island, CA

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	None			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAS North Island, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories)**:**

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAS North Island, CA			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	51,080		Aircraft parking apron for (8) P-3 Aircraft
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	52,610		Type II Hanger for VP Squadron
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$300	$	For NARCEN N.I. (Tenent Input)
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

NAME (Please type or print)	Signature
Title	Date
Activity	

Attachment Two

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)	Signature
Title	Date
Activity	

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print)	Signature
Title	Date
Activity	

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

NAME (Please type or print)	Signature
Title	Date
Activity	

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

NAME (Please type or print)	Signature
Title	Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NAME (Please type or print)	Signature
Title	Date
Division	
Department	
Activity	

Enclosure (1) to Attachment Two

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

LT-0783-F16
BSAT/DMW
24 May 1995

Honorable Alan J. Dixon
Chairman, Defense Base Closure
and Realignment Commission
1700 North Moore Street
Suite 1425
Arlington, VA 22209

Dear Chairman Dixon:

As requested, we have conducted a COBRA analysis on the realignment of the Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC), Weapons Division, Point Mugu. This realignment scenario transfers test and evaluation missions to NAWC Weapons Division, China Lake, retains the Sea Test Range, airspace and island instrumentation, and closes or mothballs remaining facilities, runways and hangars. A copy of the COBRA output reports, Scenario Development Data Call response and electronic copy of the COBRA data file are attached to this letter. Please note that in order to provide you the most timely response possible, we are forwarding an advance copy of the certified Scenario Development Data Call response used to conduct our COBRA analysis. We will forward a final copy of the data call response, with any attendant changes, certified through the entire chain of command, as soon as we receive it.

While we are providing the data requested for this scenario, we believe this proposed realignment action is not in the best interests of the Department of the Navy (DON). The current integrated mix of facilities and capabilities at the China Lake and Point Mugu sites represent five years of significant elimination and realignment efforts. As a result of BRAC-91 and other on-going management streamlining efforts, we have undertaken a major realignment at China Lake and Point Mugu which eliminates duplicative functions and results in the intertwining of organizations so that managers have direct line responsibilities for personnel and functions residing at both sites. These efforts have already reduced NAWC Weapons Division staffing by about two thousand employees. The remaining functions at these sites are critical to the Department of Defense, a fact which has been confirmed by the results of the aggressive analyses conducted in both BRAC-93 and BRAC-95. Furthermore, it is important to note that the functions performed at Point Mugu represent unique capabilities, such as front line weapons platforms and systems entirely supported by the government, and only at this site. There are no other DoD sites which can absorb Point Mugu functions without substantial replication of facilities, relocation of existing personnel and loss of existing synergies.

In conducting this COBRA analysis, we applied the same standards of rigorous review and analysis of data submitted as was done on all DON proposed scenarios. As a result of

this review, we have eliminated from the estimates you will see in the Scenario Development Data Call response significant up-front costs as well as increasing the steady state savings reflected in this response. Specifically, the original Scenario Development Data Call response included one-time costs of approximately $960 million. During our review and dialogue with the chain of command, these up-front costs were reduced to ensure that costs and savings estimates were reasonable, appropriate, developed in a consistent manner, and did not overlap automatic COBRA calculations. This review resulted in the reduction of about $150 million in one-time costs, primarily in the areas of moving costs and Weapon System Support Activity replication costs. As we have done with all scenarios, when transitioning systems are similar to other known capabilities, we have not allowed full replication at both sites, recognizing that there will be a break in service during transition. However, in the case of end-item platforms and weapons systems that are only supported at a single site, we have allowed most of the replication costs requested, because we cannot determine at this time a wholly new engineering approach for platform and weapons systems support and what it might cost if we could develop it.

It should also be noted that our construction estimates at China Lake are based on certified dollar estimates provided by the chain-of-command, which are approximately $250 million lower than the construction costs which would have been calculated by standard COBRA algorithms. All told, potential one-time costs associated with this proposed action are about $400 million lower than they might otherwise be estimated, and, coincidentally, as we are advised, have been presented by the local community to your staff. Similarly, our review of recurring costs resulted in a reduction of over $10 million per year. Our review of recurring savings resulted in the identification of almost $10 million per year through the identification of additional positions/billets to be eliminated.

Even in light of this thorough and aggressive review of the cost estimates provided, this realignment scenario is not acceptable in financial terms. One-time costs are still $0.8 billion and it takes over 60 years to obtain a return on investment. In contrast to the DoD Inspector General (IG) estimate of a net savings of $1.7 billion, our analysis results in a 20 year net present value for the action of a cost of over $0.4 billion. The data in the IG study is dated and does not reflect streamlining initiatives which have already been undertaken. The significant up-front investment to obtain a relatively low recurring savings is not in the best interests of the government, and confirms that our on-going initiatives have already addressed potential staffing reductions and other efficiencies. Accordingly, further realignment of NAWC Point Mugu would only serve to disrupt or eliminate the existing synergies within the NAWC Weapons Division and cost the taxpayers almost half a billion dollars.

It is our view that the enactment of the proposed realignment of NAWC Point Mugu is not in the best interests of the Department of Defense. The realignment scenario would not result in any reduction of open air range excess capacity, recognizing that these ranges are national assets that need to be retained for both RDT&E and fleet training. The action would also have an adverse impact on the mobilization mission of the Pacific Fleet SEABEEs and it

would also negatively impact their training as well as requiring that both the Naval Air Reserve and the California Air National Guard will be forced to relocate to other bases away from the Los Angeles metropolitan area. The Naval Air Reserve has stated that this relocation will result in the need to airlift reservists to their new drilling site. The Air National Guard also advises that Point Mugu is the optimal location and that they do not want to move. If forced to leave their current location, they have identified a cost estimate of about $90 million to recreate their facilities elsewhere (this estimate is consistent with the about $80 million cost of their new facility at Point Mugu, which was completed in 1988). Replication of existing unique capabilities, as well as the elimination of existing synergies at Point Mugu, which only result in an unfavorable return on investment, is not consistent with the approach we have taken on all other closure/realignment recommendations.

In accordance with Section 2903(c)(5) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, and in consideration of the comments noted above, I certify the information provided to you in this transmittal is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

I trust the information provided satisfactorily addresses your concerns. As always, if I can be of any further assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Charles P. Nemfakos
Vice Chairman
Base Structure Evaluation Committee/
Executive Director
Base Structure Analysis Team

Attachments

Document Separator

DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE & REALIGNMENT COMMISSION
1700 NORTH MOORE STREET, SUITE 1425
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22209
(703) 696-0504

MEMORANDUM OF MEETING

DATE: April 28, 1995

TIME: 10:30

MEETING WITH: Congressman Christopher Smith (R-NJ)

SUBJECT: Naval Air Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Lakehurst, New Jersey

PARTICIPANTS:

Name/Title/Phone Number:

Congressman Christopher Smith
Mike Hagy; Save Lakehurst Base Committee
Mary Hagy; Save Lakehurst Base Committee
Mary McDermott Noonan; Rep. Smith's office
Laurel Mackin; Sen. Bradley's office
Jaqueline Grace Adams; Consultant with Verner, Liipfert, Bernhard, McPherson and Hand

***Commission Staff*:**

Cece Carman, Director of Congressional and Intergovernmental Affairs
Jim Owsley, Cross-Service Team Leader
***Brian Kerns; Cross-Service Team**
Les Farrington; Cross-Service Team
Bob Bivins, Interagency Team

MEETING PURPOSE:

Congressman Smith has organized a community action group to argue for the NAWC Lakehurst to be removed from the DoD recommendation list. This community group gave the commission a volume of data information that identifies their discrepencies with the data the Navy used to make it's recommendation. This information will be thoroughly examined by the commission in order to carryout the task of evaluating the Secreatry's recommendation.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

LT-0835-F16
BSAT/DMW
15 June 1995

Honorable Alan J. Dixon
Chairman, Defense Base Closure
and Realignment Commission
1700 North Moore Street
Suite 1425
Arlington, VA 22209

Dear Chairman Dixon:

As requested, we have conducted a COBRA analysis on the realignment of the Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC), Weapons Division, Point Mugu. This realignment scenario retains certain capabilities and engineering functions at Point Mugu; transfers environmental functions to NAWC Weapons Division, China Lake; retains the Sea Test Range, but moves Sea Range operations, control and engineering functions to China Lake; and closes or mothballs remaining facilities, runways and hangars. A copy of the COBRA output reports, Scenario Development Data Call response and electronic copy of the COBRA data file are attached to this letter. Please note that in order to provide you the most timely response possible, we are forwarding an advance copy of the certified Scenario Development Data Call response used to conduct our COBRA analysis. We will forward a final copy of the data call response, with any attendant changes, certified through the entire chain of command, as soon as we receive it.

While we are providing the data requested for this scenario, we believe this proposed realignment action is not in the best interests of the Department of the Navy (DON). As mentioned in our previous response on Point Mugu (24 May 1995), the current integrated mix of facilities and capabilities at the China Lake and Point Mugu sites represent five years of significant elimination and realignment efforts. As a result of BRAC-91 and other on-going management streamlining efforts, we have undertaken a major realignment at China Lake and Point Mugu which eliminates duplicative functions and results in the intertwining of organizations so that managers have direct line responsibilities for personnel and functions residing at both sites. These efforts have already reduced NAWC Weapons Division staffing by about two thousand employees. The remaining functions at these sites are critical to the Department of Defense, a fact which has been confirmed by the results of the aggressive analyses conducted in both BRAC-93 and BRAC-95. In addition, the proposed realignment scenario would not result in any reduction of open air range excess capacity, recognizing that these ranges are national assets that need to be retained for both RDT&E and fleet training.

Even in light of our thorough and aggressive review of the cost estimates provided, this realignment scenario is not acceptable in financial terms. While this scenario does not involve the replication of some of the unique facilities at Point Mugu, it does involve the

relocation/replication of range operations and support at China Lake. One-time costs are over $750 million and it takes over 100 years to obtain a return on investment. The 20 year net present value for the action of a cost of almost $450 million. The significant up-front investment to obtain a relatively low recurring savings is not in the best interests of the government, and confirms that our on-going initiatives have already addressed potential staffing reductions and other efficiencies. Accordingly, further realignment of NAWC Point Mugu would only serve to disrupt or eliminate the existing synergies within the NAWC Weapons Division and cost the taxpayers almost half a billion dollars.

In accordance with Section 2903(c)(5) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, and in consideration of the comments noted above, I certify the information provided to you in this transmittal is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

I trust the information provided satisfactorily addresses your concerns. As always, if I can be of any further assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Charles P. Nemfakos
Vice Chairman
Base Structure Evaluation Committee

Attachments

BRAC-95 Scenario Family Housing Data

Scenario No.:	CR95-018
Losing Base:	NAWS Pt Mugu
Date:	6/15/95

1. Percentage of Family Housing which can be shut down at the Losing Base:

0 %

2. Family Housing Construction Requirements at Gaining Bases:

Gaining Base Name	No. of New Units	No. of Existing Units to be Rehab.
NAWC China Lake	519	
CBC Pt Hueneme	0	
San Diego	0	

3. Purchase of Land at Gaining Bases for Family Housing Construction:

Gaining Base Name	No. of Acres	Cost ($000)

4. Additional Comments:

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Starting Year : 1996
Final Year : 2001
ROI Year : 100+ Years

NPV in 2015($K): 448,340
1-Time Cost($K): 754,084

Net Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	82,600	136,276	34,765	126,209	157,504	0	537,355	0
Person	-88	-629	-7,693	-16,580	-15,662	-15,601	-56,254	-16,820
Overhd	6,348	6,083	9,318	1,704	7,352	-887	29,918	-12,308
Moving	5	2,058	18,045	4,281	10,685	9,567	44,642	0
Missio	0	0	2,909	4,014	9,732	9,732	26,387	9,732
Other	-31,700	44,426	10,927	4,712	74,152	9,500	112,017	0
TOTAL	57,165	188,214	68,271	124,340	243,763	12,311	694,065	-19,396

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
POSITIONS ELIMINATED							
Off	0	0	13	1	0	0	14
Enl	0	0	118	15	14	4	151
Civ	0	0	165	9	0	12	186
TOT	0	0	296	25	14	16	351
POSITIONS REALIGNED							
Off	3	11	37	1	112	6	170
Enl	19	63	182	5	583	86	938
Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	0	195	755	184	280	694	2,108
TOT	22	269	974	190	975	786	3,216

Summary:

Realign NAWC Point Mugu.

Alternative scenario.

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	82,600	136,276	34,765	126,209	157,504	0	537,355	0
Person	130	328	2,257	872	2,556	3,243	9,386	2,419
Overhd	6,373	6,550	14,677	14,969	23,400	25,000	90,969	22,751
Moving	5	2,118	18,237	4,287	11,264	9,600	45,512	0
Missio	0	0	2,909	4,049	11,849	11,849	30,656	11,849
Other	0	44,426	10,927	4,712	74,174	9,500	143,739	0
TOTAL	89,108	189,698	83,773	155,098	280,747	59,192	857,617	37,019

Savings ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Person	218	957	9,950	17,452	18,218	18,845	65,640	19,239
Overhd	24	467	5,359	13,265	16,048	25,888	61,051	35,059
Moving	0	60	192	5	579	32	870	0
Missio	0	0	0	35	2,117	2,117	4,269	2,117
Other	31,700	0	0	0	22	0	31,722	0
TOTAL	31,943	1,484	15,501	30,758	36,984	46,882	163,552	56,416

TOTAL ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	443,800,625	
Family Housing Construction	93,554,291	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		537,354,916
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	2,154,397	
Civilian Early Retirement	831,896	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	275,231	
Unemployment	316,332	
Total - Personnel		3,577,855
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	20,465,328	
Mothball / Shutdown	3,435,000	
Total - Overhead		23,900,328
Moving		
Civilian Moving	33,333,328	
Civilian PPS	1,641,600	
Military Moving	3,735,045	
Freight	5,110,983	
One-Time Moving Costs	1,691,000	
Total - Moving		45,511,956
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	450,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	143,289,000	
Total - Other		143,739,000
Total One-Time Costs		754,084,056
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	869,912	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	22,000	
Total One-Time Savings		891,912
Total Net One-Time Costs		753,192,144

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	2,154,397	
Civilian Early Retirement	831,896	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	275,231	
Unemployment	316,332	
Total - Personnel		3,577,855
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	20,465,328	
Mothball / Shutdown	3,435,000	
Total - Overhead		23,900,328
Moving		
Civilian Moving	33,333,328	
Civilian PPS	1,641,600	
Military Moving	3,735,045	
Freight	5,110,983	
One-Time Moving Costs	1,691,000	
Total - Moving		45,511,956
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	130,664,000	
Total - Other		130,664,000
Total One-Time Costs		203,654,140
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	869,912	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	22,000	
Total One-Time Savings		891,912
Total Net One-Time Costs		202,762,228

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	277,513,000	
Family Housing Construction	93,554,291	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		371,067,291
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	3,125,000	
Total - Other		3,125,000
Total One-Time Costs		374,192,291
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		374,192,291

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	8,994,396	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		8,994,396
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	150,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		150,000
Total One-Time Costs		9,144,396
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		9,144,396

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	18,082,753	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		18,082,753
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	300,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		300,000
Total One-Time Costs		18,382,753
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		18,382,753

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0
Total One-Time Costs		0
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		0

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	92,000,000	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		92,000,000
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	9,500,000	
Total - Other		9,500,000
Total One-Time Costs		101,500,000
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		101,500,000

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0
Total One-Time Costs		0
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		0

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	47,210,475	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		47,210,475
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0
Total One-Time Costs		47,210,475
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		47,210,475

TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION ASSETS (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

All Costs in $K

Base Name	Total MilCon	IMA Cost	Land Purch	Cost Avoid	Total Cost
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU	0	0	0	0	0
NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE	371,067	0	0	0	371,067
CBC PORT HUENEME	8,994	0	0	0	8,994
NAS NORTH ISLAND	18,083	0	0	0	18,083
NAR SANTA CLARA	0	0	0	0	0
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	92,000	0	0	0	92,000
FBI (BASE X)	0	0	0	0	0
SAN NICOLAS	47,210	0	0	0	47,210
Totals:	537,355	0	0	0	537,355

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Horizontal	HORIZ	0	n/a	69,578	n/a	4,398
Air Maintenance	AIROP	0	n/a	390,778	n/a	72,760
Other Operations	OPERA	1,956	n/a	2,900	n/a	564
Administrative	ADMIN	82,156	n/a	234,941	n/a	31,959
Maintenance	MAINT	14,200	n/a	56,616	n/a	7,310
Supply/Storage	STORA	11,619	n/a	53,900	n/a	3,995
RDT&E	RDT&E	192,080	n/a	446,684	n/a	99,502
OTHER	OTHER	21,384	n/a	157,045	n/a	46,221
Reserve Training	SCHLB	0	n/a	22,526	n/a	3,084
Reserve Horizontal	HORIZ	0	n/a	24,839	n/a	1,570
Reserve Air Maint	AIROP	0	n/a	31,081	n/a	5,787
Reserve Supply/Stor	STORA	0	n/a	5,000	n/a	363
FAMILY HOUSING UNITS	FAMLQ	0	0	519	93,554	93,554

Total Construction Cost:	371,067
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	371,067

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
OFFICE SPACE	OPERA	6,000	964	0	0	964
OFFICE SPACE	ADMIN	0	0	7,500	1,780	1,780
TRAINING	SCHLB	0	0	30,000	6,251	6,251

Total Construction Cost:	8,994
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	8,994

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
HORIZONTAL	HORIZ	0	0	51,080	5,909	5,909
AIR MAINTENANCE	AIROP	0	0	52,610	12,173	12,173

Total Construction Cost:	18,083
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	18,083

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
AIRCRAFT APRON	HORIZ	0	0	116,000	14,346	14,346
HANGAR & MAINT SHOP	AIROP	0	0	16,000	3,957	3,957
RANGE MAINT	MAINT	0	0	12,800	2,647	2,647
BARRACKS	BACHQ	0	0	84,000	16,349	16,349
POL STORAGE	POLST	0	0	40,000	973	973
AMMO STORAGE	AMMOS	0	0	3,200	1,038	1,038
FUELING PIER	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	7,900

Total Construction Cost:	47,210
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	47,210

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
ANG MILCON	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	92,000

Total Construction Cost:	92,000
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	92,000

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
268	1,634	0	3,567

FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	-75	0	0	0	0	0	-75
Enlisted	-468	0	0	0	0	0	-468
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	-701	0	0	0	0	0	-701
TOTAL	-1,244	0	0	0	0	0	-1,244

BASE POPULATION (Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
193	1,166	0	2,866

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:

To Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	4	37	1	85	2	129
Enlisted	0	63	176	5	398	34	676
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	72	640	179	191	408	1,490
TOTAL	0	139	853	185	674	444	2,295

To Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
Enlisted	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	123	115	5	63	1	307
TOTAL	22	130	121	5	116	1	395

To Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	139	0	139

To Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	35	0	35

To Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	52	52
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	0	285	285
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	341	341

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2
Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

To Base: FBI (BASE X), XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	11	0	11

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Out of NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	3	11	37	1	112	6	170
Enlisted	19	63	182	5	583	86	938
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	195	755	184	280	694	2,108
TOTAL	22	269	974	190	975	786	3,216

SCENARIO POSITION CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	-13	-1	0	0	-14
Enlisted	0	0	-118	-15	-14	-4	-151
Civilians	0	0	-165	-9	0	-12	-186
TOTAL	0	0	-296	-25	-14	-16	-351

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
9	77	0	572

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
143	868	0	4,226

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	4	37	1	85	2	129
Enlisted	0	63	176	5	398	34	676
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	72	640	179	191	408	1,490
TOTAL	0	139	853	185	674	444	2,295

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	4	37	1	85	2	129
Enlisted	0	63	176	5	398	34	676
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	72	640	179	191	408	1,490
TOTAL	0	139	853	185	674	444	2,295

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
272	1,544	0	5,716

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
257	3,452	35	3,512

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
Enlisted	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	123	115	5	63	1	307
TOTAL	22	130	121	5	116	1	395

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into CBC PORT HUENEME, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
Enlisted	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	123	115	5	63	1	307
TOTAL	22	130	121	5	116	1	395

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
281	3,516	35	3,819

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
1,798	15,828	506	1,492

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	139	0	139

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	139	0	139

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
1,809	15,952	506	1,496

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
52	437	0	34

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	35	0	35

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAR SANTA CLARA, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	35	0	35

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
54	459	0	45

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
2,787	37,589	78	3,468

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	52	52
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	0	285	285
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	341	341

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	52	52
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	0	285	285
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	341	341

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
2,791	37,641	78	3,753

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: FBI (BASE X), XX

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
2,787	37,589	78	3,468

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	11	0	11

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into FBI (BASE X), XX):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	11	0	11

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
2,787	37,589	78	3,479

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: SAN NICOLAS, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
268	1,634	0	3,567

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
268	1,634	0	3,567

TOTAL PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	195	755	184	280	694	2108
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	7	64	18	20	41	150
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	4	32	9	11	20	76
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	11	96	27	32	61	227
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	4	38	11	12	24	89
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	169	525	119	205	548	1566
Civilian Positions Available		0	26	230	65	75	146	542
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	165	9	0	12	186
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	17	1	0	1	19
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	8	0	0	1	9
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	25	1	0	2	28
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	10	1	0	1	12
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	99	5	0	7	111
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	6	1	0	0	7
Civilians Moving		0	0	6	1	0	0	7
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	195	755	184	280	694	2108
Civilians Moving		0	169	531	120	205	548	1573
New Civilians Hired		0	26	224	64	75	146	535
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	7	81	19	20	42	169
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	4	48	12	12	25	101
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	99	5	0	7	111
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	26	224	64	75	146	535

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

+ The Percentage of Civilians Not Willing to Move (Voluntary RIFs) varies from base to base.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	195	755	184	280	694	2108
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	7	64	18	20	41	150
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	4	32	9	11	20	76
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	11	96	27	32	61	227
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	4	38	11	12	24	89
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	169	525	119	205	548	1566
Civilian Positions Available		0	26	230	65	75	146	542
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	165	9	0	12	186
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	17	1	0	1	19
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	8	0	0	1	9
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	25	1	0	2	28
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	10	1	0	1	12
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	99	5	0	7	111
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	6	1	0	0	7
Civilians Moving		0	0	6	1	0	0	7
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	7	81	19	20	42	169
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	4	48	12	12	25	101
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	99	5	0	7	111
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	72	640	179	191	408	1490
Civilians Moving		0	46	416	115	122	262	961
New Civilians Hired		0	26	224	64	69	146	529
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	26	224	64	69	146	529

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	123	115	5	63	1	307
Civilians Moving		0	123	115	5	63	1	307
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	3	0	3
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	1	0	1

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	6	0	6
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	5	0	5
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	5	0	5

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	285	285
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	285	285
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	11	0	11
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	69,677	104,375	27,846	101,003	140,899	0	443,801
Fam Housing	12,923	31,901	6,919	25,207	16,605	0	93,554
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIF	0	85	1,024	256	256	533	2,154
Civ Retire	0	34	399	93	98	207	832
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	197	1,779	492	552	1,121	4,141
POV Miles	0	1	14	4	5	9	34
Home Purch	0	654	5,919	1,636	1,861	3,728	13,798
HHG	0	295	2,671	738	842	1,682	6,229
Misc	0	32	291	80	92	183	679
House Hunt	0	120	1,083	299	337	682	2,522
PPS	0	0	1,440	86	0	115	1,641
RITA	0	281	2,544	703	797	1,602	5,929
FREIGHT							
Packing	5	60	186	31	224	159	666
Freight	0	211	309	188	3,438	155	4,302
Vehicles	0	11	25	3	89	5	134
Driving	0	3	3	0	0	2	9
Unemployment	0	12	150	37	37	78	316
OTHER							
Program Plan	6,224	4,668	3,501	2,626	1,969	1,477	20,465
Shutdown	21	259	1,223	207	952	772	3,435
New Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	1,136	0	535	20	1,691
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	5	16	0	48	3	72
POV Miles	0	2	7	0	22	1	34
HHG	0	196	661	18	1,972	105	2,954
Misc	0	47	149	4	449	25	675
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	224	25	20	6	275
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	450	0	0	0	450
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	44,426	10,477	4,712	74,174	9,500	143,289
TOTAL ONE-TIME	88,851	187,880	70,451	138,454	246,275	22,173	754,084

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	61	486	930	1,298	1,780	1,970	6,525	1,970
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	1,666	2,632	3,208	3,208	10,713	3,208
BOS	67	1,137	5,495	6,343	9,897	11,980	34,920	11,980
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	130	195	459	459	2,144	2,419	5,808	2,419
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	2,909	4,049	11,849	11,849	30,656	11,849
Misc Recur	0	0	1,862	1,862	5,593	5,593	14,910	5,593
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	257	1,818	13,322	16,644	34,472	37,019	103,533	37,019
TOTAL COST	89,108	189,698	83,773	155,098	280,747	59,192	857,617	37,019

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	60	192	5	579	32	870
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	60	192	5	601	32	892

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	24	348	2,071	3,756	5,141	7,245	18,586	8,210
BOS	0	119	3,288	9,509	10,906	18,642	42,465	26,849
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	4,512	9,271	9,517	9,845	33,144	10,173
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	499	1,036	1,075	1,075	3,685	1,075
Enl Salary	0	0	1,957	4,164	4,645	4,943	15,710	5,010
House Allow	218	957	2,981	2,981	2,981	2,981	13,100	2,981
OTHER								
Procurement	31,700	0	0	0	0	0	31,700	0
Mission	0	0	0	35	2,117	2,117	4,269	2,117
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	31,943	1,424	15,309	30,752	36,382	46,849	162,660	56,416
TOTAL SAVINGS	31,943	1,484	15,501	30,758	36,984	46,882	163,552	56,416

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	69,677	104,375	27,846	101,003	140,899	0	443,801
Fam Housing	12,923	31,901	6,919	25,207	16,605	0	93,554
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	120	1,422	349	354	740	2,986
Civ Moving	5	1,868	16,267	4,263	8,237	9,445	40,086
Other	6,245	4,940	6,010	2,870	3,494	2,348	25,908
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	190	866	43	1,932	108	3,140
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	450	0	0	0	450
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	44,426	10,477	4,712	74,152	9,500	143,267
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	88,851	187,820	70,259	138,448	245,696	22,140	753,214

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	61	486	930	1,298	1,780	1,970	6,525	1,970
O&M								
RPMA	-24	-348	-405	-1,124	-1,934	-4,038	-7,873	-5,002
BOS	67	1,018	2,207	-3,166	-1,009	-6,662	-7,545	-14,869
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	-4,512	-9,271	-9,517	-9,845	-33,144	-10,173
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	-2,456	-5,200	-5,720	-6,018	-19,395	-6,085
House Allow	-88	-761	-2,522	-2,522	-837	-562	-7,292	-562
OTHER								
Procurement	-31,700	0	0	0	0	0	-31,700	0
Mission	0	0	2,909	4,014	9,732	9,732	26,387	9,732
Misc Recur	0	0	1,862	1,862	5,593	5,593	14,910	5,593
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-31,685	394	-1,987	-14,108	-1,911	-9,830	-59,127	-19,396
TOTAL NET COST	57,165	188,214	68,271	124,340	243,763	12,311	694,065	-19,396

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	85	1,024	256	256	533	2,154
Civ Retire	0	34	399	93	98	207	832
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	197	1,779	492	552	1,121	4,141
POV Miles	0	1	14	4	5	9	34
Home Purch	0	654	5,919	1,636	1,861	3,728	13,798
HHG	0	295	2,671	738	842	1,682	6,229
Misc	0	32	291	80	92	183	679
House Hunt	0	120	1,083	299	337	682	2,522
PPS	0	0	1,440	86	0	115	1,641
RITA	0	281	2,544	703	797	1,602	5,929
FREIGHT							
Packing	5	60	186	31	224	159	666
Freight	0	211	309	188	3,438	155	4,302
Vehicles	0	11	25	3	89	5	134
Driving	0	3	3	0	0	2	9
Unemployment	0	12	150	37	37	78	316
OTHER							
Program Plan	6,224	4,668	3,501	2,626	1,969	1,477	20,465
Shutdown	21	259	1,223	207	952	772	3,435
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	1,136	0	535	20	1,691
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	5	16	0	48	3	72
POV Miles	0	2	7	0	22	1	34
HHG	0	196	661	18	1,972	105	2,954
Misc	0	47	149	4	449	25	675
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	224	25	20	6	275
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	44,426	7,352	4,712	74,174	0	130,664
TOTAL ONE-TIME	6,251	51,604	32,111	12,244	88,771	12,673	203,654

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	2,909	4,049	11,849	11,849	30,656	11,849
Misc Recur	0	0	310	310	3,310	3,310	7,240	3,310
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	3,219	4,359	15,159	15,159	37,896	15,159
TOTAL COSTS	6,251	51,604	35,330	16,603	103,930	27,832	241,550	15,159

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	60	192	5	579	32	870
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	60	192	5	601	32	892

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	24	348	2,071	3,756	5,141	7,245	18,586	8,210
BOS	0	119	3,288	9,509	10,906	18,642	42,465	26,849
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	4,512	9,271	9,517	9,845	33,144	10,173
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	499	1,036	1,075	1,075	3,685	1,075
Enl Salary	0	0	1,957	4,164	4,645	4,943	15,710	5,010
House Allow	218	957	2,981	2,981	2,981	2,981	13,100	2,981
OTHER								
Procurement	31,700	0	0	0	0	0	31,700	0
Mission	0	0	0	35	2,117	2,117	4,269	2,117
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	31,943	1,424	15,309	30,752	36,382	46,849	162,660	56,416
TOTAL SAVINGS	31,943	1,484	15,501	30,758	36,984	46,882	163,552	56,416

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	120	1,422	349	354	740	2,986
Civ Moving	5	1,868	16,267	4,263	8,237	9,445	40,086
Other	6,245	4,940	6,010	2,870	3,494	2,348	25,908
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	190	866	43	1,932	108	3,140
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	44,426	7,352	4,712	74,152	0	130,642
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	6,251	51,543	31,919	12,239	88,170	12,640	202,762

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	-24	-348	-2,071	-3,756	-5,141	-7,245	-18,586	-8,210
BOS	0	-119	-3,288	-9,509	-10,906	-18,642	-42,465	-26,849
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	-4,512	-9,271	-9,517	-9,845	-33,144	-10,173
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	-2,456	-5,200	-5,720	-6,018	-19,395	-6,085
House Allow	-218	-957	-2,981	-2,981	-2,981	-2,981	-13,100	-2,981
OTHER								
Procurement	-31,700	0	0	0	0	0	-31,700	0
Mission	0	0	2,909	4,014	9,732	9,732	26,387	9,732
Misc Recur	0	0	310	310	3,310	3,310	7,240	3,310
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	31,457	-1,424	-12,090	-26,393	-21,223	-31,690	-61,364	-41,257
TOTAL NET COST	-25,692	50,119	19,828	-14,154	66,946	-19,050	77,998	-41,257

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	38,334	94,629	20,523	74,771	49,256	0	277,513
Fam Housing	12,923	31,901	6,919	25,207	16,605	0	93,554
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	3,125	0	0	0	3,125
TOTAL ONE-TIME	51,257	126,530	30,567	99,978	65,861	0	374,192

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	61	486	930	1,298	1,780	1,970	6,525	1,970
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	1,394	2,186	2,705	2,705	8,990	2,705
BOS	0	678	4,674	5,507	8,452	10,321	29,633	10,321
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	226	226	763	773	1,989	773
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	1,552	1,552	2,283	2,283	7,670	2,283
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	61	1,164	8,550	10,543	15,220	17,280	54,808	18,053
TOTAL COSTS	51,318	127,694	39,344	110,747	81,844	18,053	429,000	18,053

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	38,334	94,629	20,523	74,771	49,256	0	277,513
Fam Housing	12,923	31,901	6,919	25,207	16,605	0	93,554
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	3,125	0	0	0	3,125
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	51,257	126,530	30,567	99,978	65,861	0	374,192

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	61	486	930	1,298	1,780	1,970	6,525	1,970
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	1,394	2,186	2,705	2,705	8,990	2,705
BOS	0	678	4,674	5,507	8,452	10,321	29,633	10,321
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	226	226	763	773	1,989	773
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	1,552	1,552	2,283	2,283	7,670	2,283
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	61	1,164	8,777	10,770	15,984	18,053	54,808	18,053
TOTAL NET COST	51,318	127,694	39,344	110,747	81,844	18,053	429,000	18,053

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	3,918	2,528	104	2,423	21	0	8,994
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	150	0	0	0	150
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	3,918	2,528	254	2,423	21	0	9,144

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	49	70	70	70	259	70
BOS	67	459	821	836	1,180	1,183	4,546	1,183
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	130	195	233	233	581	581	1,954	581
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	67	459	870	906	1,250	1,253	6,760	1,835
TOTAL COSTS	4,115	3,182	1,358	3,562	1,853	1,835	15,904	1,835

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	3,918	2,528	104	2,423	21	0	8,994
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	150	0	0	0	150
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	3,918	2,528	254	2,423	21	0	9,144

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	49	70	70	70	259	70
BOS	67	459	821	836	1,180	1,183	4,546	1,183
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	130	195	233	233	581	581	1,954	581
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	197	654	1,103	1,139	1,832	1,835	6,760	1,835
TOTAL NET COST	4,115	3,182	1,358	3,562	1,853	1,835	15,904	1,835

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	1,493	0	0	16,590	0	0	18,083
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	300	0	0	0	300
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,493	0	300	16,590	0	0	18,383

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	98	98	98	294	98
BOS	0	0	0	0	114	114	228	114
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	696	696	1,392	696
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	98	212	212	1,915	908
TOTAL COSTS	1,493	0	300	16,688	908	908	20,298	908

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	1,493	0	0	16,590	0	0	18,083
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	300	0	0	0	300
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,493	0	300	16,590	0	0	18,383

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	98	98	98	294	98
BOS	0	0	0	0	114	114	228	114
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	696	696	1,392	696
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	98	908	908	1,915	908
TOTAL NET COST	1,493	0	300	16,688	908	908	20,298	908

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	144	144	288	144
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	104	104	207	104
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	144	144	495	248
TOTAL COSTS	0	0	0	0	248	248	495	248

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	144	144	288	144
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	104	104	207	104
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	248	248	495	248
TOTAL NET COST	0	0	0	0	248	248	495	248

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	0	92,000
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	9,500	9,500
TOTAL ONE-TIME	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	9,500	101,500

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	210	210	210
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	265	265	265
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	210	476	476
TOTAL COSTS	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	9,976	101,976	476

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	11	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	124	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	0	4	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	23	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	54	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	2	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	22	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	0	11	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	4
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	52
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	285
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to FBI (BASE X), XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	0	11	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

INPUT DATA REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN ONE - GENERAL SCENARIO INFORMATION

Model Year One : FY 1996

Model does Time-Phasing of Construction/Shutdown: Yes

Base Name	Strategy:
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	Realignment
NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA	Realignment
CBC PORT HUENEME, CA	Realignment
NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA	Realignment
NAR SANTA CLARA, CA	Realignment
AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX	Realignment
FBI (BASE X), XX	Realignment
SAN NICOLAS, CA	Realignment

Summary:

Realign NAWC Point Mugu.

Alternative scenario.

(See final page for Explanatory Notes)

INPUT SCREEN TWO - DISTANCE TABLE

From Base:	To Base:	Distance:
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA	193 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	CBC PORT HUENEME, CA	9 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA	176 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	NAR SANTA CLARA, CA	348 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX	1 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	FBI (BASE X), XX	10 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	SAN NICOLAS, CA	65 mi

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	4	37	1	85	2
Enlisted Positions:	0	63	176	5	398	34
Civilian Positions:	0	72	640	179	191	408
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	374	910	334	10,499	441
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	129	23	287	864	75
Military Light Vehicles:	0	47	53	0	1	41
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	10	36	5	136	8

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	3	7	0	0	14	0
Enlisted Positions:	19	0	6	0	39	0
Civilian Positions:	0	123	115	5	63	1
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	200	80	3	80	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	15	21	7	30	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	25	20	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	160	52	0	1	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	0	92,000
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	9,500	9,500
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	9,500	101,500

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	210	210	210
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	265	265	265
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	476	476	476
TOTAL NET COST	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	9,976	101,976	476

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7
TOTAL COSTS	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7
TOTAL NET COST	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	18,335	7,219	7,219	7,219	7,219	0	47,210
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	18,335	7,219	7,219	7,219	7,219	0	47,210

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	223	278	334	334	1,169	334
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	223	278	334	334	1,169	334
TOTAL COSTS	18,335	7,219	7,441	7,497	7,553	334	48,380	334

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	18,335	7,219	7,219	7,219	7,219	0	47,210
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	18,335	7,219	7,219	7,219	7,219	0	47,210

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	223	278	334	334	1,169	334
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	223	278	334	334	1,169	334
TOTAL NET COST	18,335	7,219	7,441	7,497	7,553	334	48,380	334

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

Total Officer Employees:	268	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	11,836
Total Enlisted Employees:	1,634	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	54,849
Total Civilian Employees:	3,567	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	40,580
Mil Families Living On Base:	56.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	828
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.24
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	3,818	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	433	Activity Code:	63126
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	348		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	140	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

Total Officer Employees:	143	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	9,448
Total Enlisted Employees:	868	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	47,603
Total Civilian Employees:	4,226	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	39,527
Mil Families Living On Base:	95.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	2,251
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.40
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	4,002	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	125	Activity Code:	60530
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	90		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	140	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

Total Officer Employees:	257	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	8,357
Total Enlisted Employees:	3,452	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	35	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	40,754
Total Civilian Employees:	3,512	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	44,854
Mil Families Living On Base:	38.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	440
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.18
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	4,160	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	433	Activity Code:	62583
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	348		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	140	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

Total Officer Employees:	1,798	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	12,550
Total Enlisted Employees:	15,828	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	506	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	29,895
Total Civilian Employees:	1,492	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	49,017
Mil Families Living On Base:	19.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	500
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.16
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	6,254	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	343	Activity Code:	00246
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	229		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	116	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

Total Officer Employees:	52	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	49
Total Enlisted Employees:	437	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	4,050
Total Civilian Employees:	34	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	3,136
Mil Families Living On Base:	0.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	0
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.37
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	353	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	20.9%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	192	Activity Code:	63139
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	161		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	108	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

Total Officer Employees:	2,787	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	25,676
Total Enlisted Employees:	37,589	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	78	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	50,299
Total Civilian Employees:	3,468	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	58,359
Mil Families Living On Base:	15.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	609
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.04
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	2,928	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	20.9%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	286	Activity Code:	XXXXST
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	184		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	110	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: FBI (BASE X), XX

Total Officer Employees:	2,787	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	25,676
Total Enlisted Employees:	37,589	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	78	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	50,299
Total Civilian Employees:	3,468	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	58,359
Mil Families Living On Base:	15.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	609
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.04
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	2,928	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	20.9%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	286	Activity Code:	XXXXST
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	184		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	110	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: SAN NICOLAS, CA

Total Officer Employees:	268	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	11,836
Total Enlisted Employees:	1,634	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	54,849
Total Civilian Employees:	3,567	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	40,580
Mil Families Living On Base:	56.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	828
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.24
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	3,818	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	433	Activity Code:	63126
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	348		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	140	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	44,426	7,352	4,712	74,174	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	22	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	1,136	0	535	20
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	2,909	4,049	11,849	11,849
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	35	2,117	2,117
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	310	310	3,310	3,310
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	31,700	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 2,748 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	3,125	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	1,552	1,552	2,283	2,283
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	150	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	300	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	9,500
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: FBI (BASE X), XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: SAN NICOLAS, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

INPUT SCREEN SIX - BASE PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Name: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Off Force Struc Change:	-75	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Force Struc Change:	-468	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Force Struc Change:	-701	0	0	0	0	0
Stu Force Struc Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Off Scenario Change:	0	0	-13	-1	0	0
Enl Scenario Change:	0	0	-118	-15	-14	-4
Civ Scenario Change:	0	0	-165	-9	0	-12
Off Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Military:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Civilian:	0	0	0	0	0	0

(See final page for Explanatory Notes)

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN SEVEN - BASE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Name: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
Horizontal	HORIZ	69,578	0	4,398
Air Maintenance	AIROP	390,778	0	72,760
Other Operations	OPERA	2,900	1,956	564
Administrative	ADMIN	234,941	82,156	31,959
Maintenance	MAINT	56,616	14,200	7,310
Supply/Storage	STORA	53,900	11,619	3,995
RDT&E	RDT&E	446,684	192,080	99,502
OTHER	OTHER	157,045	21,384	46,221
Reserve Training	SCHLB	22,526	0	3,084
Reserve Horizontal	HORIZ	24,839	0	1,570
Reserve Air Maint	AIROP	31,081	0	5,787
Reserve Supply/Stor	STORA	5,000	0	363
FAMILY HOUSING UNITS	FAMLQ	519	0	0

Name: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
OFFICE SPACE	OPERA	0	6,000	0
OFFICE SPACE	ADMIN	7,500	0	0
TRAINING	SCHLB	30,000	0	0

Name: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
HORIZONTAL	HORIZ	51,080	0	0
AIR MAINTENANCE	AIROP	52,610	0	0

Name: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
ANG MILCON	OTHER	0	0	92,000

Name: SAN NICOLAS, CA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
AIRCRAFT APRON	HORIZ	116,000	0	0
HANGAR & MAINT SHOP	AIROP	16,000	0	0
RANGE MAINT	MAINT	12,800	0	0
BARRACKS	BACHQ	84,000	0	0
POL STORAGE	POLST	40,000	0	0
AMMO STORAGE	AMMOS	3,200	0	0
FUELING PIER	OTHER	0	0	7,900

(See final page for Explanatory Notes)

Data As Of 12:45 06/15/1995, Report Created 16:41 06/15/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN ONE - PERSONNEL

Percent Officers Married:	71.70%	Civ Early Retire Pay Factor:	9.00%
Percent Enlisted Married:	60.10%	Priority Placement Service:	60.00%
Enlisted Housing MilCon:	98.00%	PPS Actions Involving PCS:	50.00%
Officer Salary($/Year):	76,781.00	Civilian PCS Costs ($):	28,800.00
Off BAQ with Dependents($):	7,925.00	Civilian New Hire Cost($):	0.00
Enlisted Salary($/Year):	33,178.00	Nat Median Home Price($):	114,600.00
Enl BAQ with Dependents($):	5,251.00	Home Sale Reimburse Rate:	10.00%
Avg Unemploy Cost($/Week):	174.00	Max Home Sale Reimburs($):	22,385.00
Unemployment Eligibility(Weeks):	18	Home Purch Reimburse Rate:	5.00%
Civilian Salary($/Year):	54,694.00	Max Home Purch Reimburs($):	11,191.00
Civilian Turnover Rate:	15.00%	Civilian Homeowning Rate:	64.00%
Civilian Early Retire Rate:	10.00%	HAP Home Value Reimburse Rate:	22.90%
Civilian Regular Retire Rate:	5.00%	HAP Homeowner Receiving Rate:	5.00%
Civilian RIF Pay Factor:	39.00%	RSE Home Value Reimburse Rate:	0.00%
SF File Desc:	NAVY DBOF BRAC95	RSE Homeowner Receiving Rate:	0.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN TWO - FACILITIES

RPMA Building SF Cost Index:	0.93	Rehab vs. New MilCon Cost:	75.00%
BOS Index (RPMA vs population):	0.54	Info Management Account:	0.00%
(Indices are used as exponents)		MilCon Design Rate:	9.00%
Program Management Factor:	10.00%	MilCon SIOH Rate:	6.00%
Caretaker Admin(SF/Care):	162.00	MilCon Contingency Plan Rate:	5.00%
Mothball Cost ($/SF):	1.25	MilCon Site Preparation Rate:	39.00%
Avg Bachelor Quarters(SF):	294.00	Discount Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	2.75%
Avg Family Quarters(SF):	1.00	Inflation Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	0.00%

APPDET.RPT Inflation Rates:
1996: 0.00% 1997: 2.90% 1998: 3.00% 1999: 3.00% 2000: 3.00% 2001: 3.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN THREE - TRANSPORTATION

Material/Assigned Person(Lb):	710	Equip Pack & Crate($/Ton):	284.00
HHG Per Off Family (Lb):	14,500.00	Mil Light Vehicle($/Mile):	0.31
HHG Per Enl Family (Lb):	9,000.00	Heavy/Spec Vehicle($/Mile):	3.38
HHG Per Mil Single (Lb):	6,400.00	POV Reimbursement($/Mile):	0.18
HHG Per Civilian (Lb):	18,000.00	Avg Mil Tour Length (Years):	4.17
Total HHG Cost ($/100Lb):	35.00	Routine PCS($/Pers/Tour):	3,763.00
Air Transport ($/Pass Mile):	0.20	One-Time Off PCS Cost($):	4,527.00
Misc Exp ($/Direct Employ):	700.00	One-Time Enl PCS Cost($):	1,403.00

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN FOUR - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Category	UM	$/UM	Category	UM	$/UM
Horizontal	(SY)	61	Optional Category A	( )	0
Waterfront	(LF)	10,350	Optional Category B	( )	0
Air Operations	(SF)	122	Optional Category C	( )	0
Operational	(SF)	111	Optional Category D	( )	0
Administrative	(SF)	123	Optional Category E	( )	0
School Buildings	(SF)	108	Optional Category F	( )	0
Maintenance Shops	(SF)	102	Optional Category G	( )	0
Bachelor Quarters	(SF)	96	Optional Category H	( )	0
Family Quarters	(EA)	78,750	Optional Category I	( )	0
Covered Storage	(SF)	94	Optional Category J	( )	0
Dining Facilities	(SF)	165	Optional Category K	( )	0
Recreation Facilities	(SF)	120	Optional Category L	( )	0
Communications Facil	(SF)	165	Optional Category M	( )	0
Shipyard Maintenance	(SF)	129	Optional Category N	( )	0
RDT & E Facilities	(SF)	160	Optional Category O	( )	0
POL Storage	(BL)	12	Optional Category P	( )	0
Ammunition Storage	(SF)	160	Optional Category Q	( )	0
Medical Facilities	(SF)	168	Optional Category R	( )	0
Environmental	( )	0			

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU.ALT1
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PMREV1.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

EXPLANATORY NOTES (INPUT SCREEN NINE)

SCREEN 7: CONSTRUCTION SF REQUIREMENT WAS DOUBLED TO ADJUST FOR CONSTRUCTION COST DIFFERENCES OF 200% BETWEEN SAN NICOLAS ISLAND AND THE MAINLAND.

GENERAL: ADDITIONAL COSTS FOR THE DEPLOYMENT OF SEABEE PERSONNEL FROM VANDENBURG AFB OR EDWARDS AFB WOULD BE INCURRED BY THIS SCENARIO BUT WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE COBRA.

Document Separator

COBRA REALIGNMENT SUMMARY (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/2
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Starting Year : 1996
Final Year : 2001
ROI Year : 2064 (63 Years)

NPV in 2015($K): 436,423
1-Time Cost($K): 805,388

Net Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	36,212	139,365	23,244	157,969	108,243	0	465,033	0
Person	-88	-70	-2,282	-4,845	-3,199	-11,054	-21,539	-19,831
Overhd	6,259	5,552	11,234	3,968	10,521	-4,323	33,210	-12,360
Moving	5	1,719	16,371	2,985	35,431	4,416	60,928	0
Missio	0	0	1,785	2,262	4,379	4,379	12,805	4,379
Other	31,750	5,900	13,813	152,772	6,361	9,500	220,096	0
TOTAL	74,138	152,466	64,165	315,111	161,736	2,918	770,534	-27,812

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
POSITIONS ELIMINATED							
Off	0	0	0	1	0	15	16
Enl	0	0	34	15	6	184	239
Civ	0	0	11	9	0	157	177
TOT	0	0	45	25	6	356	432
POSITIONS REALIGNED							
Off	3	9	39	0	117	4	172
Enl	19	6	236	5	587	52	905
Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ	0	155	792	116	556	407	2,026
TOT	22	170	1,067	121	1,260	463	3,103

Summary:

Realign NAWC Point Mugu.

COBRA REALIGNMENT SUMMARY (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/2
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Costs ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	67,912	139,365	23,244	157,969	108,243	0	496,733	0
Person	130	323	1,854	749	3,146	3,312	9,514	2,457
Overhd	6,279	5,873	13,948	14,399	23,139	23,053	86,690	20,921
Moving	5	1,726	16,614	2,989	36,019	4,416	61,770	0
Missio	0	0	1,785	2,297	6,496	6,496	17,074	6,496
Other	31,750	5,900	13,813	152,772	6,383	9,500	220,118	0
TOTAL	106,077	153,187	71,258	331,176	183,426	46,777	891,900	29,874

Savings ($K) Constant Dollars

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
MilCon	31,700	0	0	0	0	0	31,700	0
Person	218	393	4,136	5,594	6,345	14,366	31,053	22,288
Overhd	21	321	2,714	10,431	12,618	27,376	53,480	33,281
Moving	0	7	243	4	587	0	842	0
Missio	0	0	0	35	2,117	2,117	4,269	2,117
Other	0	0	0	0	22	0	22	0
TOTAL	31,939	721	7,093	16,064	21,690	43,859	121,367	57,686

TOTAL ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	420,303,625	
Family Housing Construction	76,429,710	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		496,733,335
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	2,090,405	
Civilian Early Retirement	797,438	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	407,749	
Unemployment	306,936	
Total - Personnel		3,602,528
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	20,281,731	
Mothball / Shutdown	2,882,500	
Total - Overhead		23,164,231
Moving		
Civilian Moving	32,164,297	
Civilian PPS	1,526,400	
Military Moving	3,624,842	
Freight	4,856,698	
One-Time Moving Costs	19,598,000	
Total - Moving		61,770,236
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	900,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	219,218,000	
Total - Other		220,118,000
Total One-Time Costs		805,388,331
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	31,700,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	841,937	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	22,000	
Total One-Time Savings		32,563,937
Total Net One-Time Costs		772,824,394

ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 2/9
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	2,090,405	
Civilian Early Retirement	797,438	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	407,749	
Unemployment	306,936	
Total - Personnel		3,602,528
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	20,281,731	
Mothball / Shutdown	2,882,500	
Total - Overhead		23,164,231
Moving		
Civilian Moving	32,164,297	
Civilian PPS	1,526,400	
Military Moving	3,624,842	
Freight	4,856,698	
One-Time Moving Costs	19,598,000	
Total - Moving		61,770,236
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	209,718,000	
Total - Other		209,718,000
Total One-Time Costs		298,254,996
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	31,700,000	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	841,937	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	22,000	
Total One-Time Savings		32,563,937
Total Net One-Time Costs		265,691,059

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	254,016,000	
Family Housing Construction	76,429,710	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		330,445,710
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	450,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		450,000
Total One-Time Costs		330,895,710
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		330,895,710

ONE-TIME COST REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 4/9
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	8,994,397	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		8,994,397
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	150,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		150,000
Total One-Time Costs		9,144,397
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		9,144,397

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	18,082,753	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		18,082,753
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	300,000	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		300,000
Total One-Time Costs		18,382,753
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		18,382,753

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0
Total One-Time Costs		0
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		0

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	92,000,000	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		92,000,000
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	9,500,000	
Total - Other		9,500,000
Total One-Time Costs		101,500,000
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		101,500,000

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	0	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		0
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0
Total One-Time Costs		0
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		0

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA
(All values in Dollars)

Category	Cost	Sub-Total
Construction		
Military Construction	47,210,475	
Family Housing Construction	0	
Information Management Account	0	
Land Purchases	0	
Total - Construction		47,210,475
Personnel		
Civilian RIF	0	
Civilian Early Retirement	0	
Civilian New Hires	0	
Eliminated Military PCS	0	
Unemployment	0	
Total - Personnel		0
Overhead		
Program Planning Support	0	
Mothball / Shutdown	0	
Total - Overhead		0
Moving		
Civilian Moving	0	
Civilian PPS	0	
Military Moving	0	
Freight	0	
One-Time Moving Costs	0	
Total - Moving		0
Other		
HAP / RSE	0	
Environmental Mitigation Costs	0	
One-Time Unique Costs	0	
Total - Other		0
Total One-Time Costs		47,210,475
One-Time Savings		
Military Construction Cost Avoidances	0	
Family Housing Cost Avoidances	0	
Military Moving	0	
Land Sales	0	
One-Time Moving Savings	0	
Environmental Mitigation Savings	0	
One-Time Unique Savings	0	
Total One-Time Savings		0
Total Net One-Time Costs		47,210,475

TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION ASSETS (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

All Costs in $K

Base Name	Total MilCon	IMA Cost	Land Purch	Cost Avoid	Total Cost
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU	0	0	0	-31,700	-31,700
NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE	330,446	0	0	0	330,446
CBC PORT HUENEME	8,994	0	0	0	8,994
NAS NORTH ISLAND	18,083	0	0	0	18,083
NAR SANTA CLARA	0	0	0	0	0
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	92,000	0	0	0	92,000
FBI (BASE X)	0	0	0	0	0
SAN NICOLAS	47,210	0	0	0	47,210
Totals:	496,733	0	0	-31,700	465,033

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*

Total Construction Cost:	0
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	31,700
TOTAL:	-31,700

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
Horizontal	HORIZ	0	n/a	66,578	n/a	4,398
Air Maintenance	AIROP	0	n/a	390,778	n/a	72,760
Other Operations	OPERA	0	n/a	4,324	n/a	787
Administrative	ADMIN	102,583	n/a	400,127	n/a	53,938
Maintenance	MAINT	14,200	n/a	4,047	n/a	689
Supply/Storage	STORA	29,764	n/a	53,000	n/a	4,062
Communications	COMFC	200	n/a	0	n/a	5
RDT&E	RDT&E	201,728	n/a	153,418	n/a	37,074
15 RDT&E FACILITITES	OTHER	42,974	n/a	251,768	n/a	69,499
Reserve Training	SCHLB	0	n/a	22,526	n/a	3,084
Reserve Horizontal	HORIZ	0	n/a	24,839	n/a	1,570
Reserve Air Maint	AIROP	0	n/a	31,081	n/a	5,787
Reserve Supply/Stor	STORA	0	n/a	5,000	n/a	363
FAMILY HOUSING UNITS	FAMLQ	0	0	424	76,430	76,430

Total Construction Cost:	330,446
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	330,446

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
OFFICE SPACE	OPERA	6,000	964	0	0	964
OFFICE SPACE	ADMIN	0	0	7,500	1,780	1,780
TRAINING	SCHLB	0	0	30,000	6,251	6,251

Total Construction Cost:	8,994
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	8,994

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
HORIZONTAL	HORIZ	0	0	51,080	5,909	5,909
AIR MAINTENANCE	AIROP	0	0	52,610	12,173	12,173

Total Construction Cost:	18,083
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	18,083

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
ANG MILCON	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	92,000

Total Construction Cost:	92,000
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	92,000

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

MilCon for Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA

All Costs in $K

Description:	MilCon Categ	Using Rehab	Rehab Cost*	New MilCon	New Cost*	Total Cost*
AIRCRAFT APRON	HORIZ	0	0	116,000	14,346	14,346
HANGAR & MAINT SHOP	AIROP	0	0	16,000	3,957	3,957
RANGE MAINT	MAINT	0	0	12,800	2,647	2,647
BARRACKS	BACHQ	0	0	84,000	16,349	16,349
POL STORAGE	POLST	0	0	40,000	973	973
AMMO STORAGE	AMMOS	0	0	3,200	1,038	1,038
FUELING PIER	OTHER	0	n/a	0	n/a	7,900

Total Construction Cost:	47,210
+ Info Management Account:	0
+ Land Purchases:	0
- Construction Cost Avoid:	0
TOTAL:	47,210

* All MilCon Costs include Design, Site Preparation, Contingency Planning, and SIOH Costs where applicable.

PERSONNEL SUMMARY REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
268	1,634	0	3,567

FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	-75	0	0	0	0	0	-75
Enlisted	-468	0	0	0	0	0	-468
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	-701	0	0	0	0	0	-701
TOTAL	-1,244	0	0	0	0	0	-1,244

BASE POPULATION (Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
193	1,166	0	2,866

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:

To Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	2	39	0	90	0	131
Enlisted	0	6	230	5	402	0	643
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	63	674	111	467	121	1,436
TOTAL	0	71	943	116	959	121	2,210

To Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
Enlisted	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	92	118	5	63	1	279
TOTAL	22	99	124	5	116	1	367

To Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	139	0	139

To Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	35	0	35

To Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	52	52
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	0	285	285
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	341	341

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

To Base: FBI (BASE X), XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	11	0	11

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Out of NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	3	9	39	0	117	4	172
Enlisted	19	6	236	5	587	52	905
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	155	792	116	556	407	2,026
TOTAL	22	170	1,067	121	1,260	463	3,103

SCENARIO POSITION CHANGES:

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	-1	0	-15	-16
Enlisted	0	0	-34	-15	-6	-184	-239
Civilians	0	0	-11	-9	0	-157	-177
TOTAL	0	0	-45	-25	-6	-356	-432

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
5	22	0	663

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
143	868	0	4,226

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	2	39	0	90	0	131
Enlisted	0	6	230	5	402	0	643
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	63	674	111	467	121	1,436
TOTAL	0	71	943	116	959	121	2,210

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	2	39	0	90	0	131
Enlisted	0	6	230	5	402	0	643
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	63	674	111	467	121	1,436
TOTAL	0	71	943	116	959	121	2,210

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
274	1,511	0	5,662

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
257	3,452	35	3,512

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
Enlisted	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	92	118	5	63	1	279
TOTAL	22	99	124	5	116	1	367

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into CBC PORT HUENEME, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	3	7	0	0	14	0	24
Enlisted	19	0	6	0	39	0	64
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	92	118	5	63	1	279
TOTAL	22	99	124	5	116	1	367

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
281	3,516	35	3,791

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
1,798	15,828	506	1,492

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	139	0	139

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	139	0	139

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
1,809	15,952	506	1,496

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
52	437	0	34

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	35	0	35

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into NAR SANTA CLARA, CA):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	35	0	35

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
54	459	0	45

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
2,787	37,589	78	3,468

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	52	52
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	0	285	285
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	341	341

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	52	52
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	0	285	285
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	341	341

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
2,791	37,641	78	3,753

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: FBI (BASE X), XX

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
2,787	37,589	78	3,468

PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS:
From Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	11	0	11

TOTAL PERSONNEL REALIGNMENTS (Into FBI (BASE X), XX):

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	11	0	11

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
2,787	37,589	78	3,479

PERSONNEL SUMMARY FOR: SAN NICOLAS, CA

BASE POPULATION (FY 1996, Prior to BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
268	1,634	0	3,567

BASE POPULATION (After BRAC Action):

Officers	Enlisted	Students	Civilians
268	1,634	0	3,567

TOTAL PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/9
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	155	792	116	556	407	2026
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	6	67	11	48	12	144
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	3	34	6	24	6	73
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	9	101	17	73	18	218
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	4	40	7	29	7	87
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	133	550	75	382	364	1504
Civilian Positions Available		0	22	242	41	174	43	522
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	11	9	0	157	177
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	1	1	0	16	18
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	1	0	0	8	9
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	2	1	0	24	27
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*+		0	0	1	1	0	9	11
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	6	5	0	94	105
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	1	0	6	7
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	1	0	6	7
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	155	792	116	556	407	2026
Civilians Moving		0	133	550	76	382	370	1511
New Civilians Hired		0	22	242	40	174	37	515
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	6	68	12	48	28	162
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	4	41	8	29	16	98
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	6	5	0	94	105
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	22	242	40	174	37	515

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

+ The Percentage of Civilians Not Willing to Move (Voluntary RIFs) varies from base to base.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	155	792	116	556	407	2026
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	6	67	11	48	12	144
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	3	34	6	24	6	73
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	9	101	17	73	18	218
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	4	40	7	29	7	87
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	133	550	75	382	364	1504
Civilian Positions Available		0	22	242	41	174	43	522
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	11	9	0	157	177
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	1	1	0	16	18
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	1	0	0	8	9
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	2	1	0	24	27
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	1	1	0	9	11
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	6	5	0	94	105
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	1	0	6	7
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	1	0	6	7
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	6	68	12	48	28	162
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	4	41	8	29	16	98
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	6	5	0	94	105
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	63	674	111	467	121	1436
Civilians Moving		0	41	432	71	299	84	927
New Civilians Hired		0	22	242	40	168	37	509
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	22	242	40	168	37	509

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	92	118	5	63	1	279
Civilians Moving		0	92	118	5	63	1	279
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	3	0	3
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	1	0	1

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	6	0	6
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	5	0	5
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	5	0	5

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

PERSONNEL IMPACT REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 7/9
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	285	285
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	285	285
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	11	0	11
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA	Rate	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING OUT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement*	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement*	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover*	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions Available		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS ELIMINATED		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Early Retirement	10.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Regular Retirement	5.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Turnover	15.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civs Not Moving (RIFs)*	6.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Priority Placement#	60.00%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Available to Move		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian RIFs (the remainder)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIVILIAN POSITIONS REALIGNING IN		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilians Moving		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Civilians Hired		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Civilian Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN EARLY RETIRMENTS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN RIFS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN PRIORITY PLACEMENTS#		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL CIVILIAN NEW HIRES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Early Retirements, Regular Retirements, Civilian Turnover, and Civilians Not Willing to Move are not applicable for moves under fifty miles.

Not all Priority Placements involve a Permanent Change of Station. The rate of PPS placements involving a PCS is 50.00%

TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS DETAIL REPORT (COBRA v5.08) - Page 1/27
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	59,349	109,445	19,563	127,542	104,404	0	420,304
Fam Housing	8,563	29,919	3,680	30,427	3,839	0	76,430
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIF	0	85	874	171	618	341	2,090
Civ Retire	0	29	335	59	236	138	797
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	175	1,848	304	1,309	359	3,996
POV Miles	0	1	15	2	11	3	33
Home Purch	0	583	6,147	1,010	4,379	1,195	13,315
HHG	0	263	2,774	456	1,978	539	6,011
Misc	0	29	302	50	215	59	655
House Hunt	0	107	1,125	185	798	219	2,434
PPS	0	0	86	86	0	1,354	1,526
RITA	0	251	2,642	434	1,880	514	5,721
FREIGHT							
Packing	5	37	205	20	270	106	643
Freight	0	230	307	117	3,359	67	4,081
Vehicles	0	15	25	1	85	1	126
Driving	0	3	3	0	0	0	6
Unemployment	0	12	128	25	91	50	307
OTHER							
Program Plan	6,168	4,626	3,470	2,602	1,952	1,464	20,282
Shutdown	18	139	907	119	1,032	668	2,882
New Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	92	306	19,200	0	19,598
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	1	21	0	48	0	70
POV Miles	0	0	9	0	23	0	33
HHG	0	26	823	14	2,006	0	2,869
Misc	0	6	188	3	456	0	653
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	48	25	8	326	408
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	450	0	450	0	0	0	900
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	31,300	5,900	13,363	152,772	6,383	9,500	219,218
TOTAL ONE-TIME	105,854	151,883	59,433	316,732	154,583	16,902	805,388

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	26	395	782	1,173	1,564	1,609	5,550	1,609
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	1,460	2,637	2,819	2,819	9,735	2,819
BOS	67	713	5,512	6,049	10,823	11,543	34,707	11,543
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	130	195	469	469	2,192	2,457	5,912	2,457
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	1,785	2,297	6,496	6,496	17,074	6,496
Misc Recur	0	0	1,818	1,818	4,949	4,949	13,534	4,949
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	223	1,303	11,825	14,443	28,843	29,874	86,512	29,874
TOTAL COST	106,077	153,187	71,258	331,176	183,426	46,777	891,900	29,874

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	31,700	0	0	0	0	0	31,700
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	7	243	4	587	0	842
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
TOTAL ONE-TIME	31,700	7	243	4	609	0	32,564

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	21	201	1,413	2,613	3,974	6,018	14,240	6,835
BOS	0	119	1,300	7,818	8,644	21,358	39,240	26,446
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	301	848	1,094	5,387	7,630	9,681
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	38	77	653	768	1,228
Enl Salary	0	0	564	1,377	1,725	4,877	8,543	7,929
House Allow	218	393	3,272	3,331	3,449	3,449	14,113	3,449
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	35	2,117	2,117	4,269	2,117
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	239	714	6,850	16,060	21,080	43,859	88,803	57,686
TOTAL SAVINGS	31,939	721	7,093	16,064	21,690	43,859	121,367	57,686

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	27,649	109,445	19,563	127,542	104,404	0	388,604
Fam Housing	8,563	29,919	3,680	30,427	3,839	0	76,430
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	115	1,209	230	855	479	2,888
Civ Moving	5	1,694	15,481	2,665	14,286	4,416	38,547
Other	6,186	4,777	4,597	3,052	22,275	2,182	43,069
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	25	846	39	1,954	326	3,191
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	450	0	450	0	0	0	900
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	31,300	5,900	13,363	152,772	6,361	9,500	219,196
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	74,154	151,876	59,190	316,728	153,996	16,902	772,846

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	26	395	782	1,173	1,564	1,609	5,550	1,609
O&M								
RPMA	-21	-201	46	24	-1,155	-3,199	-4,505	-4,016
BOS	67	594	4,211	-1,768	2,179	-9,814	-4,533	-14,903
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	-301	-848	-1,094	-5,387	-7,630	-9,681
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	-564	-1,415	-1,802	-5,530	-9,311	-9,158
House Allow	-88	-197	-2,803	-2,862	-1,257	-992	-8,201	-992
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	1,785	2,262	4,379	4,379	12,805	4,379
Misc Recur	0	0	1,818	1,818	4,949	4,949	13,534	4,949
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-16	589	4,975	-1,616	7,762	-13,985	-2,290	-27,812
TOTAL NET COST	74,138	152,466	64,165	315,111	161,736	2,918	770,534	-27,812

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	85	874	171	618	341	2,090
Civ Retire	0	29	335	59	236	138	797
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	175	1,848	304	1,309	359	3,996
POV Miles	0	1	15	2	11	3	33
Home Purch	0	583	6,147	1,010	4,379	1,195	13,315
HHG	0	263	2,774	456	1,978	539	6,011
Misc	0	29	302	50	215	59	655
House Hunt	0	107	1,125	185	798	219	2,434
PPS	0	0	86	86	0	1,354	1,526
RITA	0	251	2,642	434	1,880	514	5,721
FREIGHT							
Packing	5	37	205	20	270	106	643
Freight	0	230	307	117	3,359	67	4,081
Vehicles	0	15	25	1	85	1	126
Driving	0	3	3	0	0	0	6
Unemployment	0	12	128	25	91	50	307
OTHER							
Program Plan	6,168	4,626	3,470	2,602	1,952	1,464	20,282
Shutdown	18	139	907	119	1,032	668	2,882
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	92	306	19,200	0	19,598
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	1	21	0	48	0	70
POV Miles	0	0	9	0	23	0	33
HHG	0	26	823	14	2,006	0	2,869
Misc	0	6	188	3	456	0	653
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	48	25	8	326	408
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	31,300	5,900	13,363	152,772	6,383	0	209,718
TOTAL ONE-TIME	37,492	12,519	35,739	158,763	46,340	7,402	298,255

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	1,785	2,297	6,496	6,496	17,074	6,496
Misc Recur	0	0	266	266	2,666	2,666	5,864	2,666
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	2,051	2,563	9,162	9,162	22,938	9,162
TOTAL COSTS	37,492	12,519	37,790	161,326	55,502	16,564	321,193	9,162

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	31,700	0	0	0	0	0	31,700
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	7	243	4	587	0	842
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
TOTAL ONE-TIME	31,700	7	243	4	609	0	32,564

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	21	201	1,413	2,613	3,974	6,018	14,240	6,835
BOS	0	119	1,300	7,818	8,644	21,358	39,240	26,446
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	301	848	1,094	5,387	7,630	9,681
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	38	77	653	768	1,228
Enl Salary	0	0	564	1,377	1,725	4,877	8,543	7,929
House Allow	218	393	3,272	3,331	3,449	3,449	14,113	3,449
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	35	2,117	2,117	4,269	2,117
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	239	714	6,850	16,060	21,080	43,859	88,803	57,686
TOTAL SAVINGS	31,939	721	7,093	16,064	21,690	43,859	121,367	57,686

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	-31,700	0	0	0	0	0	-31,700
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	115	1,209	230	855	479	2,888
Civ Moving	5	1,694	15,481	2,665	14,286	4,416	38,547
Other	6,186	4,777	4,597	3,052	22,275	2,182	43,069
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	25	846	39	1,954	326	3,191
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	31,300	5,900	13,363	152,772	6,361	0	209,696
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	5,792	12,511	35,496	158,759	45,730	7,402	265,691

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	-21	-201	-1,413	-2,613	-3,974	-6,018	-14,240	-6,835
BOS	0	-119	-1,300	-7,818	-8,644	-21,358	-39,240	-26,446
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	-301	-848	-1,094	-5,387	-7,630	-9,681
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	-564	-1,415	-1,802	-5,530	-9,311	-9,158
House Allow	-218	-393	-3,272	-3,331	-3,449	-3,449	-14,113	-3,449
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	1,785	2,262	4,379	4,379	12,805	4,379
Misc Recur	0	0	266	266	2,666	2,666	5,864	2,666
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	-239	-714	-4,799	-13,497	-11,918	-34,697	-65,865	-48,524
TOTAL NET COST	5,552	11,798	30,697	145,262	33,812	-27,294	199,826	-48,524

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	28,461	99,438	12,232	101,125	12,759	0	254,016
Fam Housing	8,563	29,919	3,680	30,427	3,839	0	76,430
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	450	0	0	0	0	0	450
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	37,474	129,358	15,912	131,553	16,598	0	330,896

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	26	395	782	1,173	1,564	1,609	5,550	1,609
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	1,189	2,191	2,317	2,317	8,014	2,317
BOS	0	347	4,774	5,297	9,460	9,967	29,846	9,967
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	236	236	810	810	2,092	810
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	1,552	1,552	2,283	2,283	7,670	2,283
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	26	742	8,297	10,213	15,624	16,177	53,172	16,987
TOTAL COSTS	37,501	130,100	24,446	142,001	33,033	16,987	384,068	16,987

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	28,461	99,438	12,232	101,125	12,759	0	254,016
Fam Housing	8,563	29,919	3,680	30,427	3,839	0	76,430
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	450	0	0	0	0	0	450
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	37,474	129,358	15,912	131,553	16,598	0	330,896

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	26	395	782	1,173	1,564	1,609	5,550	1,609
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	1,189	2,191	2,317	2,317	8,014	2,317
BOS	0	347	4,774	5,297	9,460	9,967	29,846	9,967
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	236	236	810	810	2,092	810
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	1,552	1,552	2,283	2,283	7,670	2,283
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	26	742	8,533	10,448	16,435	16,987	53,172	16,987
TOTAL NET COST	37,501	130,100	24,446	142,001	33,033	16,987	384,068	16,987

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	3,463	2,788	112	2,608	22	0	8,994
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	150	0	0	0	150
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	3,463	2,788	262	2,608	22	0	9,144

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	48	70	70	70	258	70
BOS	67	365	737	752	1,097	1,100	4,120	1,100
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	130	195	233	233	581	581	1,954	581
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	67	365	785	822	1,167	1,170	6,332	1,752
TOTAL COSTS	3,660	3,349	1,281	3,664	1,771	1,752	15,476	1,752

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	3,463	2,788	112	2,608	22	0	8,994
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	150	0	0	0	150
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	3,463	2,788	262	2,608	22	0	9,144

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	48	70	70	70	258	70
BOS	67	365	737	752	1,097	1,100	4,120	1,100
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	130	195	233	233	581	581	1,954	581
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	197	561	1,018	1,055	1,749	1,752	6,332	1,752
TOTAL NET COST	3,660	3,349	1,281	3,664	1,771	1,752	15,476	1,752

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	1,493	0	0	16,590	0	0	18,083
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	300	0	0	0	300
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,493	0	300	16,590	0	0	18,383

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	98	98	98	294	98
BOS	0	0	0	0	114	114	228	114
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	696	696	1,392	696
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	98	212	212	1,915	908
TOTAL COSTS	1,493	0	300	16,688	908	908	20,298	908

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	1,493	0	0	16,590	0	0	18,083
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	300	0	0	0	300
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	1,493	0	300	16,590	0	0	18,383

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	98	98	98	294	98
BOS	0	0	0	0	114	114	228	114
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	696	696	1,392	696
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	98	908	908	1,915	908
TOTAL NET COST	1,493	0	300	16,688	908	908	20,298	908

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	144	144	288	144
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	104	104	207	104
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	144	144	495	248
TOTAL COSTS	0	0	0	0	248	248	495	248

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	144	144	288	144
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	104	104	207	104
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	248	248	495	248
TOTAL NET COST	0	0	0	0	248	248	495	248

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	0	92,000
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	9,500	9,500
TOTAL ONE-TIME	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	9,500	101,500

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	210	210	210
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	265	265	265
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	210	476	476
TOTAL COSTS	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	9,976	101,976	476

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	0	92,000
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	9,500	9,500
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	9,500	101,500

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	210	210	210
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	265	265	265
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	476	476	476
TOTAL NET COST	7,596	0	0	0	84,404	9,976	101,976	476

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7
TOTAL COSTS	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: FBI (BASE X), XX

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7
TOTAL NET COST	0	0	0	0	7	7	14	7

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA

ONE-TIME COSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	18,335	7,219	7,219	7,219	7,219	0	47,210
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
CIV SALARY							
Civ RIFs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Retire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CIV MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Home Purch	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Hunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RITA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FREIGHT							
Packing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Freight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Driving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unemployment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Program Plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shutdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
MIL MOVING							
Per Diem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
POV Miles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HHG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Elim PCS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	18,335	7,219	7,219	7,219	7,219	0	47,210

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA

RECURRINGCOSTS -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	223	278	334	334	1,169	334
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	223	278	334	334	1,169	334
TOTAL COSTS	18,335	7,219	7,441	7,497	7,553	334	48,380	334

ONE-TIME SAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
1-Time Move	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
Land Sales	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

RECURRINGSAVES -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Off Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SAVINGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

Base: SAN NICOLAS, CA

ONE-TIME NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
CONSTRUCTION							
MILCON	18,335	7,219	7,219	7,219	7,219	0	47,210
Fam Housing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M							
Civ Retir/RIF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL							
Mil Moving	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER							
HAP / RSE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Info Manage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL ONE-TIME	18,335	7,219	7,219	7,219	7,219	0	47,210

RECURRING NET -----($K)-----	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total	Beyond
FAM HOUSE OPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O&M								
RPMA	0	0	223	278	334	334	1,169	334
BOS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Operat	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretaker	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIL PERSONNEL								
Mil Salary	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
House Allow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER								
Procurement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recur	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unique Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL RECUR	0	0	223	278	334	334	1,169	334
TOTAL NET COST	18,335	7,219	7,441	7,497	7,553	334	48,380	334

INPUT DATA REPORT (COBRA v5.08)
Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN ONE - GENERAL SCENARIO INFORMATION

Model Year One : FY 1996

Model does Time-Phasing of Construction/Shutdown: Yes

Base Name	Strategy:
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	Realignment
NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA	Realignment
CBC PORT HUENEME, CA	Realignment
NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA	Realignment
NAR SANTA CLARA, CA	Realignment
AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX	Realignment
FBI (BASE X), XX	Realignment
SAN NICOLAS, CA	Realignment

Summary:

Realign NAWC Point Mugu.

(See final page for Explanatory Notes)

INPUT SCREEN TWO - DISTANCE TABLE

From Base:	To Base:	Distance:
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA	193 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	CBC PORT HUENEME, CA	9 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA	176 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	NAR SANTA CLARA, CA	348 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX	1 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	FBI (BASE X), XX	10 mi
NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA	SAN NICOLAS, CA	65 mi

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	2	39	0	90	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	6	230	5	402	0
Civilian Positions:	0	63	674	111	467	121
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	501	914	311	10,834	135
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	66	11	71	259	90
Military Light Vehicles:	0	50	53	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	15	36	1	131	1

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	3	7	0	0	14	0
Enlisted Positions:	19	0	6	0	39	0
Civilian Positions:	0	92	118	5	63	1
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	200	80	3	80	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	15	21	7	30	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	20	25	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	160	52	0	1	0

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN THREE - MOVEMENT TABLE

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	11	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	124	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	0	4	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	23	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	54	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	2	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	22	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	0	11	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	4
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	52
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	285
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Transfers from NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA to FBI (BASE X), XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Officer Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enlisted Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civilian Positions:	0	0	0	0	11	0
Student Positions:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missn Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suppt Eqpt (tons):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Military Light Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heavy/Special Vehicles:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

Total Officer Employees:	268	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	11,836
Total Enlisted Employees:	1,634	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	54,849
Total Civilian Employees:	3,567	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	40,580
Mil Families Living On Base:	56.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	828
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.24
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	3,818	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	433	Activity Code:	63126
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	348		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	140	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

Total Officer Employees:	143	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	9,448
Total Enlisted Employees:	868	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	47,603
Total Civilian Employees:	4,226	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	39,527
Mil Families Living On Base:	95.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	2,251
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.40
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	4,002	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	125	Activity Code:	60530
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	90		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	140	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

Total Officer Employees:	257	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	8,357
Total Enlisted Employees:	3,452	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	35	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	40,754
Total Civilian Employees:	3,512	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	44,854
Mil Families Living On Base:	38.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	440
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.18
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	4,160	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	433	Activity Code:	62583
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	348		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	140	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

Total Officer Employees:	1,798	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	12,550
Total Enlisted Employees:	15,828	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	506	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	29,895
Total Civilian Employees:	1,492	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	49,017
Mil Families Living On Base:	19.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	500
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.16
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	6,254	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	343	Activity Code:	00246
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	229		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	116	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FOUR - STATIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

Total Officer Employees:	52	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	49
Total Enlisted Employees:	437	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	4,050
Total Civilian Employees:	34	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	3,136
Mil Families Living On Base:	0.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	0
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.37
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	353	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	20.9%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	192	Activity Code:	63139
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	161		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	108	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

Total Officer Employees:	2,787	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	25,676
Total Enlisted Employees:	37,589	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	78	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	50,299
Total Civilian Employees:	3,468	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	58,359
Mil Families Living On Base:	15.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	609
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.04
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	2,928	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	20.9%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	286	Activity Code:	XXXXST
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	184		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	110	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: FBI (BASE X), XX

Total Officer Employees:	2,787	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	25,676
Total Enlisted Employees:	37,589	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	78	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	50,299
Total Civilian Employees:	3,468	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	58,359
Mil Families Living On Base:	15.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	609
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.04
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	2,928	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	20.9%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	286	Activity Code:	XXXXST
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	184		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	110	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Name: SAN NICOLAS, CA

Total Officer Employees:	268	RPMA Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	11,836
Total Enlisted Employees:	1,634	Communications ($K/Year):	0
Total Student Employees:	0	BOS Non-Payroll ($K/Year):	54,849
Total Civilian Employees:	3,567	BOS Payroll ($K/Year):	40,580
Mil Families Living On Base:	56.0%	Family Housing ($K/Year):	828
Civilians Not Willing To Move:	6.0%	Area Cost Factor:	1.24
Officer Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS In-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Enlisted Housing Units Avail:	0	CHAMPUS Out-Pat ($/Visit):	0
Total Base Facilities(KSF):	3,818	CHAMPUS Shift to Medicare:	0.0%
Officer VHA ($/Month):	433	Activity Code:	63126
Enlisted VHA ($/Month):	348		
Per Diem Rate ($/Day):	140	Homeowner Assistance Program:	No
Freight Cost ($/Ton/Mile):	0.07	Unique Activity Information:	No

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	31,300	5,900	13,363	152,772	6,383	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	22	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	92	306	19,200	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	1,785	2,297	6,496	6,496
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	35	2,117	2,117
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	266	266	2,666	2,666
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	31,700	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 2,306 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	450	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	1,552	1,552	2,283	2,283
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	150	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	300	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: NAR SANTA CLARA, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Name: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	9,500
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0

Facil ShutDown(KSF): 0 Perc Family Housing ShutDown: 0.0%

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN FIVE - DYNAMIC BASE INFORMATION

Name: FBI (BASE X), XX

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

Name: SAN NICOLAS, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
1-Time Unique Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Unique Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
1-Time Moving Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Env Non-MilCon Reqd($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Cost ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Activ Mission Save ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Cost($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Misc Recurring Save($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Land (+Buy/-Sales) ($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Schedule(%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Shutdown Schedule (%):	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MilCon Cost Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fam Housing Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Procurement Avoidnc($K):	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS In-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
CHAMPUS Out-Patients/Yr:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Facil ShutDown(KSF):	0	Perc Family Housing ShutDown:				0.0%

INPUT SCREEN SIX - BASE PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Name: NAWC WPN POINT MUGU, CA

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
Off Force Struc Change:	-75	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Force Struc Change:	-468	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Force Struc Change:	-701	0	0	0	0	0
Stu Force Struc Change:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Off Scenario Change:	0	0	0	-1	0	-15
Enl Scenario Change:	0	0	-34	-15	-6	-184
Civ Scenario Change:	0	0	-11	-9	0	-157
Off Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enl Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civ Change(No Sal Save):	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Military:	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caretakers - Civilian:	0	0	0	0	0	0

(See final page for Explanatory Notes)

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

INPUT SCREEN SEVEN - BASE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Name: NAWC WPN CHINA LAKE, CA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
Horizontal	HORIZ	66,578	0	4,398
Air Maintenance	AIROP	390,778	0	72,760
Other Operations	OPERA	4,324	0	787
Administrative	ADMIN	400,127	102,583	53,938
Maintenance	MAINT	4,047	14,200	689
Supply/Storage	STORA	53,000	29,764	4,062
Communications	COMFC	0	200	5
RDT&E	RDT&E	153,418	201,728	37,074
15 RDT&E FACILITITES	OTHER	251,768	42,974	69,499
Reserve Training	SCHLB	22,526	0	3,084
Reserve Horizontal	HORIZ	24,839	0	1,570
Reserve Air Maint	AIROP	31,081	0	5,787
Reserve Supply/Stor	STORA	5,000	0	363
FAMILY HOUSING UNITS	FAMLQ	424	0	0

Name: CBC PORT HUENEME, CA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
OFFICE SPACE	OPERA	0	6,000	0
OFFICE SPACE	ADMIN	7,500	0	0
TRAINING	SCHLB	30,000	0	0

Name: NAS NORTH ISLAND, CA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
HORIZONTAL	HORIZ	51,080	0	0
AIR MAINTENANCE	AIROP	52,610	0	0

Name: AIR NATIONAL GUARD, XX

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
ANG MILCON	OTHER	0	0	92,000

Name: SAN NICOLAS, CA

Description	Categ	New MilCon	Rehab MilCon	Total Cost($K)
AIRCRAFT APRON	HORIZ	116,000	0	0
HANGAR & MAINT SHOP	AIROP	16,000	0	0
RANGE MAINT	MAINT	12,800	0	0
BARRACKS	BACHQ	84,000	0	0
POL STORAGE	POLST	40,000	0	0
AMMO STORAGE	AMMOS	3,200	0	0
FUELING PIER	OTHER	0	0	7,900

(See final page for Explanatory Notes)

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN ONE - PERSONNEL

Percent Officers Married:	71.70%	Civ Early Retire Pay Factor:	9.00%
Percent Enlisted Married:	60.10%	Priority Placement Service:	60.00%
Enlisted Housing MilCon:	98.00%	PPS Actions Involving PCS:	50.00%
Officer Salary($/Year):	76,781.00	Civilian PCS Costs ($):	28,800.00
Off BAQ with Dependents($):	7,925.00	Civilian New Hire Cost($):	0.00
Enlisted Salary($/Year):	33,178.00	Nat Median Home Price($):	114,600.00
Enl BAQ with Dependents($):	5,251.00	Home Sale Reimburse Rate:	10.00%
Avg Unemploy Cost($/Week):	174.00	Max Home Sale Reimburs($):	22,385.00
Unemployment Eligibility(Weeks):	18	Home Purch Reimburse Rate:	5.00%
Civilian Salary($/Year):	54,694.00	Max Home Purch Reimburs($):	11,191.00
Civilian Turnover Rate:	15.00%	Civilian Homeowning Rate:	64.00%
Civilian Early Retire Rate:	10.00%	HAP Home Value Reimburse Rate:	22.90%
Civilian Regular Retire Rate:	5.00%	HAP Homeowner Receiving Rate:	5.00%
Civilian RIF Pay Factor:	39.00%	RSE Home Value Reimburse Rate:	0.00%
SF File Desc:	NAVY DBOF BRAC95	RSE Homeowner Receiving Rate:	0.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN TWO - FACILITIES

RPMA Building SF Cost Index:	0.93	Rehab vs. New MilCon Cost:	75.00%
BOS Index (RPMA vs population):	0.54	Info Management Account:	0.00%
(Indices are used as exponents)		MilCon Design Rate:	9.00%
Program Management Factor:	10.00%	MilCon SIOH Rate:	6.00%
Caretaker Admin(SF/Care):	162.00	MilCon Contingency Plan Rate:	5.00%
Mothball Cost ($/SF):	1.25	MilCon Site Preparation Rate:	39.00%
Avg Bachelor Quarters(SF):	294.00	Discount Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	2.75%
Avg Family Quarters(SF):	1.00	Inflation Rate for NPV.RPT/ROI:	0.00%

APPDET.RPT Inflation Rates:
1996: 0.00% 1997: 2.90% 1998: 3.00% 1999: 3.00% 2000: 3.00% 2001: 3.00%

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN THREE - TRANSPORTATION

Material/Assigned Person(Lb):	710	Equip Pack & Crate($/Ton):	284.00
HHG Per Off Family (Lb):	14,500.00	Mil Light Vehicle($/Mile):	0.31
HHG Per Enl Family (Lb):	9,000.00	Heavy/Spec Vehicle($/Mile):	3.38
HHG Per Mil Single (Lb):	6,400.00	POV Reimbursement($/Mile):	0.18
HHG Per Civilian (Lb):	18,000.00	Avg Mil Tour Length (Years):	4.17
Total HHG Cost ($/100Lb):	35.00	Routine PCS($/Pers/Tour):	3,763.00
Air Transport ($/Pass Mile):	0.20	One-Time Off PCS Cost($):	4,527.00
Misc Exp ($/Direct Employ):	700.00	One-Time Enl PCS Cost($):	1,403.00

STANDARD FACTORS SCREEN FOUR - MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Category	UM	$/UM	Category	UM	$/UM
Horizontal	(SY)	61	Optional Category A	( )	0
Waterfront	(LF)	10,350	Optional Category B	( )	0
Air Operations	(SF)	122	Optional Category C	( )	0
Operational	(SF)	111	Optional Category D	( )	0
Administrative	(SF)	123	Optional Category E	( )	0
School Buildings	(SF)	108	Optional Category F	( )	0
Maintenance Shops	(SF)	102	Optional Category G	( )	0
Bachelor Quarters	(SF)	96	Optional Category H	( )	0
Family Quarters	(EA)	78,750	Optional Category I	( )	0
Covered Storage	(SF)	94	Optional Category J	( )	0
Dining Facilities	(SF)	165	Optional Category K	( )	0
Recreation Facilities	(SF)	120	Optional Category L	( )	0
Communications Facil	(SF)	165	Optional Category M	( )	0
Shipyard Maintenance	(SF)	129	Optional Category N	( )	0
RDT & E Facilities	(SF)	160	Optional Category O	( )	0
POL Storage	(BL)	12	Optional Category P	( )	0
Ammunition Storage	(SF)	160	Optional Category Q	( )	0
Medical Facilities	(SF)	168	Optional Category R	( )	0
Environmental	( )	0			

Data As Of 08:34 05/24/1995, Report Created 16:46 05/24/1995

Department : NAVY
Option Package : NAWC PT MUGU
Scenario File : P:\COBRA\BCRC\PTMUGU.CBR
Std Fctrs File : P:\COBRA\N95DBOF.SFF

EXPLANATORY NOTES (INPUT SCREEN NINE)

SCREEN 7: CONSTRUCTION SF REQUIREMENT WAS DOUBLED TO ADJUST FOR CONSTRUCTION COST DIFFERENCES OF 200% BETWEEN SAN NICOLAS ISLAND AND THE MAINLAND.

GENERAL: ADDITIONAL COSTS FOR THE DEPLOYMENT OF SEABEE PERSONNEL FROM VANDENBURG AFB OR EDWARDS AFB WOULD BE INCURRED BY THIS SCENARIO BUT WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE COBRA.

Document Separator

Scenario #CR95-004

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print) | Signature

Title | Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

NEXT ECHELON LEVEL (if applicable)

NAME (Please type or print) | Signature

Title | Date

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

MAJOR CLAIMANT LEVEL

J. A. Lockard, VADM, USN

NAME (Please type or print) | Signature

Commander

Title | Date 5/19/95

Naval Air Systems Command

Activity

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

DEPUTY CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS (LOGISTICS)
DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF (INSTALLATIONS & LOGISTICS)

NAME (Please type or print) | Signature

Title | Date

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

Mr. Lewis Lundberg	Signature
NAME (Please type or print)	
Technical Director	5/19/95
Title	Date
Naval Air Warfare Center	
Activity	

BRAC SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL #CR95-004 "Realign NAWC Point Mugu" of 17 May 95

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAVNOTE 11000 of 08 December 1993

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) to this attachment is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

D. B. McKinney, RADM, USN

NAME (Please type or print)

Signature

Commander

Title

5/19/95

Date

Naval Air Warfare Center Point Mugu Site

Activity

BRAC SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL #CR95-004 "Realign NAWC Point Mugu" of 17 May 95

Attachment Two

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
ENCLOSURE (1) - SCENARIO SUMMARY

Complete one copy of Enclosure (1) - Scenario Summary for the entire closure/realignment scenario. Tables included in this enclosure are 1-A, 1-B and 1-C.

Table 1-A: Scenario Description. Identify the Scenario Number, Title and Response Date. The Scenario Number and Title will be provided to you by the BSAT as part of the data call tasking.

Scenario No.:	CR95-004
Scenario Title:	Realign NAWC Point Mugu
Date:	19 May 1995

Table 1-B: Point of Contact Information. Please identify a knowledgeable point of contact familiar with the information relating to this closure/realignment scenario whom the BSAT can contact to answer any questions or to provide additional information as required. This point of contact must also be familiar with the location and name of the person responsible for maintaining any supporting documentation relating to this data call response.

Name:	Steve Mendonca
Organization/Code:	NAWC Weapons Division, Point Mugu / 530000E
Office Phone Number:	(805)989-8534
Fax Number:	(805)989-8036
Home Phone Number:	(805)985-3625

Table 1-C: Losing/Gaining Bases Involved in Scenario

Losing Base(s)	Gaining Base(s)	Workload/Missions Transferring
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Weapons Test Squadron
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Satellite Operations (NAVSOC)
DFAS Accounting Activity	DFAS Operating Location	Reimbursable billing, General Ledger, Cash processing (Accounting)
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Information Management
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Telecommunications
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Electronic Warfare *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Full Scale Aerial Targets *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Airborne Threat Simulation
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	Weapons RDT&E *
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	In-Service Engineering
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	F-14 / EA-6B WSSA *
NAWC Point Mugu	Edwards AFB	HC-5
NAWC Point Mugu	NAS North Island	VP-65
NAWC Point Mugu	NCBC Port Hueneme	Naval Air Reserve Center
NAWC Point Mugu	NAWC China Lake	VX-9 Detachment
NAWC Point Mugu	NAR Santa Clara	Naval Air Reserve P-3 Maint.

* Also supported are Special Access programs & various common avionics systems

INTRODUCTION:

Description of Closure/Realignment Scenario

"Realign the Naval Air Warfare Center (NAWC), Weapons Division, Point Mugu to transfer test and evaluation missions to NAWC Weapons Division, China Lake. The scenario should be addressed as follows:

- Retain the Sea Test Range
- Retain airspace and island instrumentation
- Close or mothball remaining facilities, runways, and hangars
- Transfer all in-service engineering functions to China Lake
- Provide support for remaining Point Mugu activities from Port Hueneme"

NAWCWPNS is an integrated command, having consolidated missions and workforce for the past two years. Most of the organizations have employees at both sites. For example, the aircraft weapon systems programs at China Lake and Point Mugu sites have been consolidated into a single organization as well as development and in-service engineering, targets, test squadron, threat simulations, electronic and information warfare, and range functions. The Command

provides complementary, full-spectrum life cycle support for weapon and aircraft systems. While organizational benefits and workforce and cost savings will continue, most of the readily realizable efficiencies and savings through consolidation have already been achieved.

In order to fully implement this scenario. amplifying assumptions were developed. These include:

RETAIN:

1. The Sea Test Range will retain its current capabilities. All land-based Sea Range instrumentation and facilities will remain at Point Mugu including:

a. Range Operations Center including data processing and display and operational control.
b. Metric Radars and other TSPI (GPS, EATS, photo-optics) systems
c. Telemetry antennas, processing, recording, display
d. All communications systems. antennas and distribution systems
e. Target / Surface launch facilities
f. Frequency monitoring systems
g. Maintenance shops
h. Range Safety and Engineering support
i. Geophysics

2. All land-based Sea Range instrumentation and facilities at Laguna Peak. a 1500 foot mountain immediately adjacent to the Point Mugu site, will be retained including:

a. All communications systems including command destruct
b. Telemetry antennas
c. Surface Surveillance radar
d. Target control/tracking systems

3. San Nicolas Island facilities will be enhanced to support the increased activities related to test and Fleet aircraft which previously depended upon the Point Mugu Airfield. Additional hangar. range instrumentation maintenance, magazine. fuel storage, and personnel billeting facility requirements were estimated. Base support for the Island would come from the NAWS China Lake site using air transportation.

(NOTE: While an increased tempo of airfield and logistics operations will occur at San Nicolas as a result of the Point Mugu airfield being closed, the anticipated need for a loading pier at San Nicolas {estimated cost of $15 - $25 million} was not included in the scenario.)

4. Most subscale aerial target operations and maintenance will remain at Point Mugu. Full scale aircraft target operations and maintenance will be relocated to China Lake. Specifically, the target systems remaining at Point Mugu are: the BQM-34 Firebee and the BQM-74 Chukkar. The MQM-8 VANDAL target maintenance and storage facility at Point Mugu will be relocated to San Nicolas Island as a new MILCON. The QF-4 full-scale aircraft target and the AQM-37 supersonic target (which can only be launched from specially modified aircraft like the QF-4) will be moved to China Lake. AQM-37 maintenance and operations will require a new facility (MILCON) at China Lake.

5. While the NAWS Point Mugu will be disestablished (addressed below), the Weapons Handling function, eg., ordnance handling and storage, (which also supports Port Hueneme, where ordnance cannot be stored) will remain at Point Mugu under the direction of NAWS China Lake.

TRANSFER:

1. The other Point Mugu T&E missions as well as R&D functions will be transferred to China Lake. The major functional areas and capabilities transferred and associated relocation actions are:

a. Air Intercept Weapons T&E - as a result of this realignment the current number of Hardware-in-the Loop (HWIL) anechoic chambers will be reduced. There are currently four large, missile HWIL chambers at Point Mugu. Current and future known workload requirements support the need for only three of the present four HWIL's at Point Mugu, therefore only three will be relocated at China Lake, two into a new MILCON, one into a refurbished existing lab. When combined with anechoic chambers at China Lake, there will be a net reduction from seven HWIL's to five, all located at China Lake. Personnel and equipment in support of this function would be relocated into the new MILCON as well as existing excess facilities.

b. Strike Weapons T&E - all personnel and equipment will be relocated to China Lake within a combination of a new MILCON and existing available facilities. A small cruise missile seeker test lab will be established on San Nicolas Island to operate in a sea environment.

c. F-14 Weapon System Support Activity (WSSA) - relocate to China Lake; however, based upon sponsor direction to preclude an interruption of support, the WSSA lab and equipment will be fully replicated, tested, and certified for use prior to the relocation of personnel. This will require a MILCON.

d. Radar Cross-Section Chambers - of the three secure chambers involved, i.e., two monostatic and one bistatic, only the smaller monostatic chamber can be accommodated at China Lake. The large monostatic and bistatic chambers will require MILCON's.

e. Environmental Laboratories - per prior direction, the Sea-level chamber will be mothballed and remain at Point Mugu. The remainder of the capabilities, personnel, and equipment will move to China Lake and will require a new MILCON.

f. Ready Missile Test Facilities - this function consists of eight ordnance-certified buildings used to perform all-up-round weapons testing and will require new MILCON's at China Lake.

g. Tactical Air Electronic and Information Warfare including EA-6B WSSA - due to the special nature of facilities required, i.e., RF-shielded high quality labs, not currently available at China Lake, a MILCON will have to be built. Major programs supported, e.g. EA-6B, cannot accept significant schedule delays, therefore capabilities must be replicated at China Lake before transfer of personnel. In other areas, personnel will transfer earlier, as appropriate.

h. Weapons In-Service Engineering - personnel and equipment will be relocated at China Lake within existing excess facilities.

i. Full Scale Targets and Threat Systems - target functions relocated to China Lake include Full Scale Aircraft Targets, tow target systems, and final pre-flight check out of AQM-37, BQM-34, and BQM-74 air launched targets. MILCON will be required for air launch and tow target systems support facilities.

j. Point Mugu Test Squadron - this function includes all range, target, and test aircraft along with the associated maintenance, operations, and air crew personnel, and will be relocated to China Lake within a new MILCON and existing excess facilities.

k. Test Article Instrumentation - all personnel and equipment will be relocated into a new MILCON at China Lake.

DISESTABLISH

1. Disestablish the Naval Air Weapons Station, Point Mugu. Close the airfield and associated facilities for Navy use. In addition, transfer the NAWCWPNS facilities at the Camarillo Airport which house much of the In-service Engineering personnel back to the County of Ventura. Base support operations and administrative support required by the Sea Test Range at Point Mugu including Laguna Peak and San Nicolas Island will be provided from Port Hueneme and China Lake as follows.

a. Security (fences and gates will be left intact -- since the Navy would be responsible for the closed facilities), fire protection, and base telephone system - provide support from existing Point Mugu facilities as a detachment from Port Hueneme.

b. Medical. Public Works, PSD, and Supply will be supported from Port Hueneme.

c. Personnel, Comptroller, Procurement, Legal, Public Affairs, Occupational Safety, and Administration will be supported from China Lake with a possible small detachment of personnel required for on-site support to the Sea Test Range.

d. Close the military infrastructure, including Military Housing, Barracks, Child Care, Commissary/Exchange, Chapel, and MWR facilities.

ADDITIONAL ASSUMPTIONS

1. With the closure of the Point Mugu airfield and transfer of the Test Squadron to China Lake, air shuttle transportation to and from San Nicolas Island will be performed from China Lake.

2. Tenants and tenant aircraft will be relocated as appropriate. The 146th Airlift Wing of the Air National Guard estimates their potential relocation costs to be: $92,000K for MILCON and land purchase, $9,500K for permanent change of station costs, and $500K for movement of equipment, for a total one time cost of $102,000K. Due to these costs, the Air National Guard intends to retain their present facilities and assume operation of the Pt. Mugu airfield. For information, their MILCON requirements are provided in a separate Table 3-B.

3. By moving from an ocean environment, a variety of ground tests from facilities which overlook the sea will no longer be possible. While increases in test program costs due to added flights to obtain such data were envisioned, no effort was made to quantify these costs.

4. 1241 workyears, currently provided by contractors in direct support of programs at the Point Mugu site, will be transferred to China Lake. However, none of the costs associated with this relocation were reflected in this submission.

5. Additional MILCON requirements exist for San Nicolas Island. The island is ecologically sensitive and has historical cultural value. Therefore an extensive environmental impact assessment will have to be conducted before construction can proceed. The cost for this environmental assessment are not included in this submittal since they are very difficult to estimate. The cost could exceed $10M, but at this point are unknown.

Thus, the following is a summary of actions that will occur at the four sites involved in this scenario, i.e., Point Mugu, China Lake, Port Hueneme, and San Nicolas Island.

POINT MUGU - Retain the Sea Range and subscale target operations. Transfer remaining RDT&E and In-service functions to China Lake. Close the Airfield. Transfer all NAWCWPNS aircraft to China Lake. Transfer tenant aircraft as appropriate. Transfer base support from Point Mugu to Port Hueneme. Transfer command support to China Lake.

CHINA LAKE - Accommodate the RDT&E, In-service Engineering, and Command Support missions transferred from Point Mugu either with new facilities (MILCON) or existing excess capacity. Assume responsibility for base support of San Nicolas Island.

PORT HUENEME - Assume responsibility for base support for operations remaining at Point Mugu.

SAN NICOLAS ISLAND - Continue operating as an Outlying Field and major Sea Range Instrumentation and support site. Accommodate additional capacity for VANDAL targets and aircraft using the Sea Range. ~~Establish an anti-ship cruise missile test facility near the ocean.~~

Enclosure (1)

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (2) - Losing Base Questions for each "losing" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 2-A, 2-B, 2-C, 2-D, 2-E, and 2-F. Enter the Losing Base name in the block below:

Losing Base:	NAWC WD Point Mugu

The first five tables in this enclosure will be used to identify the movement and/or elimination of military billets and civilian positions. Data entered in Tables 2-B and 2-C will be transferred to Table 2-D and will be used to reconcile manpower totals at the losing base. The entire losing base workforce as shown on the annotated copy of the Base Loading Data Attachment must be accounted for in the Table 2-D reconciliation.

General Note on Tables 2-A and 2-B. A separate copy of both of these two tables must be completed for each pair of activities between which transfers of personnel, equipment or vehicles will occur. That is, a single enclosure (1) response may require multiple copies of tables 2-A and 2-B. For example, if the scenario involves the closure of NAVSTA A and relocation of personnel to NAVSTA B and NAVSTA C, then two tables will be completed, one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA B and one for transfers from NAVSTA A to NAVSTA C. Note that for purposes of completing these tables, Losing Bases and Gaining Bases are defined as a host activity, independent activity or other activity specifically identified in the data call tasking. Separate tables will not be prepared for individual tenant activities, instead, tenant numbers will be incorporated into the table for the Losing Base. Be certain to identify the name of both the gaining and losing base. Make additional copies of these two tables as necessary.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data. Please review the Base Loading Data Attachment and annotate any corrections, as necessary. Using the data contained in the Base Loading Data Attachment, complete the table on the next page. For both the host and tenant activities, identify, by UIC, the number of billets/positions being relocated to the identified receiving site. Each UIC shown as a separate line on the Base Loading Data Attachment must be separately listed in Table 2-A. Drilling reservists will not be included in officer and enlisted billet fields. Military students must be separately distinguished from officer and enlisted billets in COBRA. The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of military students. Annotate the Base Loading Data Attachment to identify any additional students not currently shown, and include these corrected numbers in Table 2-A. Numbers of students are expressed as the estimated "Average On-Board" (AOB) which would be trained at the losing base in FY 2001 if a closure/realignment did not occur. Non-DON tenants must also be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the organization will be relocated. Relocating non-DON tenants must be included in the number of billets/positions identified as being transferred (and manpower totals adjusted accordingly). Disposition of tenant and reserve activities must be adequately coordinated.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To Gaining Base: NAWC China Lake, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPNS	Officer	0	0	1	0	23	0	24
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	2	563	106	458	332	1461
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0429A	NAWS "O" Level Aircraft Maintenance	Officer	0	0	0	0	14	1	15
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	208	12	220
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45113	NAWS OP DET "I" Level Maintenance	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	86	0	86
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09830	VX-9	Officer	0	0	30	0	0	0	30
		Enlisted	0	0	164	0	0	0	164
		Civilian	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43145	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	Officer		SEE	NEXT	PAGE			
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To Gaining Base: NAWC China Lake, CA (CONTINUED)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
49145	NAWCWPNS DBOF	Officer	0	0	0	0	35	0	35
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
56820	NAVPERS	Officer	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47969	NAWCWPNS UAV	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32904	NAESU	Officer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
68322	CNET	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	Officer		SEE	NEXT	PAGE			
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To Gaining Base: NAWC China Lake, CA (CONTINUED)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
67414	MARCOR	Officer	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
		Enlisted	0	0	9	0	0	0	9
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41342	NAVPERS	Officer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	20	0	20
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42597	NAVPERS	Officer	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48056	NAVPERS	Officer	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	37	0	82	1	120
		Enlisted	0	0	173	0	333	12	518
		Civilian	0	2	565	106	469	332	1474
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

***Mil Stu* = Military Students.**

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To Gaining Base: CBC Pt Hueneme, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPNS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	23	0	0	188	211
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43145	PSD	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	5	0	0	5
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63200	NAVSOC	Officer	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	15	0	15
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	60	0	60
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0429A	NAWS	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	65	65
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47971	DEFENSE PRINTING	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	Officer		SEE	NEXT	PAGE			
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base**: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base**: PT HUENEME, CA (CONT)

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63895	NAVINTEL	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	23	0	23
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44905	NRL	Officer	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
		Enlisted	19	0	0	0	0	0	19
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30437	NAVY COMMISSARY	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30949	NAVY EXCHANGE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TOTAL	**Officer**	3	0	0	0	14	3	20
		Enlisted	19	0	0	0	45	65	129
		Civilian	0	0	23	5	63	189	280
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To Gaining Base: EDWARDS AFB, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
46409	NAVAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
53812	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	99	0	99
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	RESERVE	Enlisted	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0		0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	109	0	109
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To Gaining Base: SANTA CLARA, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
62849	NAVAL RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0		0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-A: Disposition of Personnel - Detail Data

From **Losing Base:** NAWC Point Mugu, CA

To **Gaining Base:** NORTH ISLAND, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
09173	NAVAL RESERVE VP-65	Officer	0	0	0	0	9	0	9
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	112	0	112
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
66630	NAVAL RESERVE	Officer	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	12	0	12
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer	0	0	0	0	0		0
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Mil Stu							
	TOTAL	**Officer**	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
		Enlisted	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
		Civilian	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
		Mil Stu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity which will be relocated.

Mil Stu = Military Students.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary. Complete the table on the next page to summarize the transfer of equipment and personnel. Personnel numbers must match summary data shown in Table 2-A. Remember that, as with Table 2-A, a separate Table 2-B must be completed for each combination of losing/gaining bases. The following explanatory information is provided.

a. Disposition of Personnel. Transfer the summary relocation data shown at the bottom of the corresponding Table 2-A.

b. Disposition of Equipment. Identify the transfer of equipment and vehicles from one activity to another. **Do not include equipment which will be excessed.** The following explanatory notes are provided:

Mission and Support Equipment: The terms "Mission" and "Support" are provided as broad general terms to distinguish between the types of equipment which will be shipped. In terms of the COBRA moving algorithms, whether equipment is listed under "Mission" or "Support" is irrelevant. Consequently, more attention should be given to identifying the total number of tons which will need to be shipped, rather than spending too much time refining the breakout of mission vs. support equipment. Note that these figures should not include administrative equipment, which is already included in COBRA algorithms at the rate of 710 pounds per military billet or civilian position being relocated.

Light Vehicles: Light vehicles are defined as vehicles that will be **driven** to the new location.

Heavy Vehicles: Heavy vehicles are defined as vehicles which will be **shipped** to the new location.

Remember to complete the "Supporting Data" section which immediately follows the table.

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca
To **Gaining** Base: NAWC China Lake, Ca

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	37	0	82	1	120
Enlisted Billets	0	0	173	0	333	12	518
Civilian Positions	0	2	565	106	469	332	1474
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	127	911	311	10783	138	12270
Tons of Support Equipment	0	4	11	71	34	152	272
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	65	65
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	5	18	1	131	1	156

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
Mission Support Equipment Hydraulic & Avionics Test Benches Hand and Power Tools Work Stands, fixtures, etc.	Equipment Required to Support Aircraft Maint
Light Vehicles Pick up Trucks Panel Trucks Pickup (1), Step Van (1) Vans	Missile Hauling/Moving, Transportation Equip Management/Calibration Missile Handling Req'd to Transport ATIMS Equip to Flt Line
Heavy Vehicles Tow Tractors, Electrical Power Units Mobile Cranes, Fork Lifts, Weapons Loaders Maintenance Vehicles, etc.	
3 Ton Truck	Mobile Test Fac outfitted with various skrs
TERPES Shelter Vehicle (1ea., heavy)	TERPES H/W & T&E Spt
Fork Lifts	Mission Essential
JATO Vans (2)	Required for EA-6B Spt

Lasers Truck (Van) 1 ea	Field Spt Inst — avail at NWC
Sgt York Tanks	Required for ATIMS Research & Test
Mobile Data Vehicle	Required for ATIMS Research & Test
Lasers Semi-Trailers 2ea	Field Support Lab (Mobile)
Security Containers (152 Safes)	Classified Test Data required for Test Planning and Analysis
Times Two Lateral Files (12)	Data Storage (Tapes, etc.)
Workstations (Sparc/Sun Microsystems)	Specially configured for TOMAHAWK and SLAM pre/post flight scenario Test & Eval
ITCS Consoles/X-Y Plotter/BARCO Proj Display/Electronic Racks	Target Trainer Configuration
CARCO 3 -Axis Motion Table, Dual Arm Target Translater/Screen Room/Elec. Racks	Strike Systems Test & Evaluation
TAMPS Mainframe	TAMPS T&E
Hardware-in-the-Loop Anechoic Chamber, RF Equip, Flight Table, Tgt Positioner, and Assoc Hardware	T&E Function Requires Closed Loop Simulation Testing of Air Intercept Msl Sys
Bi-static and Monostatic Radar Reflectivity Coliminator, Radar, Data Processing Equip	T&E Function Requires Compact Radar Range
Tgt Spt and Handling Equip	Mission Essential
Misc hardware	Mission Essential
Threat Sys, Airborne Simulator Pods,	Mission Essential
Target Test Equip, Msl Tgts	Mission Essential
Threat Sys Lab & Test Equip,	Mission Essential
Shop Equip & GSE	Mission Essential
Co2 Tank and Economizer	Mission Essential
Temperature Chamber	Mission Essential
PAM Test Set	Mission Essential
Manual Receiver Test Set	Mission Essential
Screen Room & Test Set	Mission Essential
ATS-10	Mission Essential
ATS-5	Mission Essential
ATS-7	Mission Essential
Shaker and Controls	Mission Essential
ATS-2	Mission Essential
Environmental Chamber	Mission Essential
ATS-8	Mission Essential
Royonic Pick n Place	Mission Essential
Infra Red Oven	Mission Essential
Screen	Mission Essential
Printer	
Exellon Pick n Place	Mission Essential
Wave Solder	Mission Essential
Rework	Mission Essential
System	
RF Test Set	Mission Essential
Plotter for Set	Mission Essential
Hybrid Test Station	Mission Essential

BTU Oven	Mission Essential
TERPES Sys Dev Lab Equip Spt	TERPES Phase III S/W
TERPES Ship kit Lab Equip	TERPES Phase IV Spt
TAMPS Dev Lab Equip	TAMPS S/W Spt
TAMPS Test Lab Equip	TAMPS T&E
ATD Lab	Info Warfare Sys Research & Engr
EA-6B Wpn Sys Spt Lab	Spares (Large $ Investment)
EA-6B Terminal Rm	Required for EA-6B Spt
EPES	Required for EA-6B Spt
APECS	Required for EA-6B Spt
EWDS	Required for EA-6B Spt
TEAMS/TUT/MPM	Required for EA-6B Spt
SDS	Mission Essential
Rapids	Mission Essential

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, CA							
To **Gaining** Base: CBC, Port Hueneme, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	3	0	0	0	14	3	20
Enlisted Billets	19	0	0	0	45	65	129
Civilian Positions	0	0	23	5	63	189	280
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	3	80	654	737
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	7	30	64	101
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	85	85
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	1	144	145

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca
To **Gaining** Base: EDWARDS AFB, Ca

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	109	0	109
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	51	0	51
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	225	0	225
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu. Ca
To Gaining Base: SANTA CLARA. Ca

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	22	0	22
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment. Support Equipment. Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From **Losing** Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca
To **Gaining** Base: NORTH ISLAND, Ca

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	11	0	11
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	124	0	124
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	23	0	23
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	54	0	54
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
None	

Table 2-C: Eliminated Billets/Positions

Losing Base Name: PT MUGU, CA

UIC	Name	Type	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
63126	NAWCWPN	Officer							0
	Base Support	Enlisted							0
		Civilian						104	104
49146	NAWS	Officer						11	11
		Enlisted						5	5
		Civilian							
43145	PSD	Officer				1			1
		Enlisted				15			15
		Civilian				9			9
0429A	NAWS	Officer						5	5
		Enlisted						122	122
		Civilian							
63895	NAVINTEL	Officer							
		Enlisted						1	1
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
		Officer							
		Enlisted							
		Civilian							
	TOTAL	Officer				1		16	17
		Enlisted				15		128	143
		Civilian				9		104	113

Make additional copies of this table, or add rows to it, as necessary, to include each host/tenant activity with eliminated positions/billets.

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data. It is imperative that all manpower is accurately accounted for in the closure/realignment scenario. Using the data from the Base Loading Data Attachment and Tables 2-B and 2-C, complete the "reconciliation" table shown on the next page. Note that Line C of the table should include any changes in manpower resulting from the implementation of prior BRAC actions at the base. These changes should also be annotated on the Base Loading Data Attachment and reflected in Line D of the table, "End FY 2001".

Table 2-D: Manpower Reconciliation Data

	Officers	Enlisted	Civilians	Mil Stu	Total
B. Force Structure Changes(+/-):	269	1583	3342		5194
C. Prior BRAC Changes (+/-):	(-75)	(-468)	(-701)		(-1244)
D. End FY 2001:	194	1115	2641		3950
Moving to (List each Gaining Base):					
1. NAWC China Lake	120	518	1474		2112
2. CBC PT HUENEME	20	129	280		429
3. EDWARDS AFB,CA	10	109	3		122
4. SANTA CLARA, CA	2	22	11		35
5. NORTH ISLAND, CA	11	124	4		139
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
E. Total Billets/Positions Moving:	163	902	1772	0	2837
F. Eliminated Billets/Positions:	17	143	113		273
G. Remaining at Losing Base:	14	70	756	0	840
H. Sum of Lines E, F, and G:	194	1115	2641	0	3950

Notes: Do not fill in shaded cells. **Double check** your work. **Line H** (which is the sum of number of billets/positions moving, eliminated and remaining at the Losing Base) **must equal Line D** (the number of billets/positions at the end of FY 2001).

Table 2-E: Caretaker Requirements ("Mothball" Scenarios Only)

Losing Base Name: NAWC Point Mugu							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Military Caretakers							
Civilian Caretakers						4	4

Table 2-F: Dynamic Base Information

Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (2-F) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 2-F: Supporting Data:

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, lease termination costs, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed separately in item c. below. For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	Description
NCBC Port Hueneme		
1,572	99	Target Augmentation/Auxiliary Systems Maintenance and mission equipment to support Point Mugu site
120	00	Computer decking
60	00	Diesel Generator and Fuel storage tank installation
200	00	Primary and back-up air handling/conditioning systems
4,500	00	Relocations and installation of antennas, communications equipment, and automated data processing equipment
100	00	Security/ surveillance system
NAWC China Lake		
31,300	96	Reconstitution of EA-6B capability
150	96	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability
164	96	Support Equipment Transition Team
262	96	MILCON engineering for Rel Test, RMTF/DALT, and EMI
8	96	Explosive siting RMTF and DALT
2,000	97	Anechoic material for Bistatic and Large Monostatic Chamber
500	97	Anechoic material for small Monostatic Chamber in MESA
3,000	97	Bistatic Chamber instrumentation phase 1
400	97	Cost to adapt (1) Missile HWIL into existing spaces.
12,050	97	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability Phase 1

Cost	FY	Description
NAWC China Lake		
86	97	Environmental test utilities, setup, calibration
66	97	MILCON engineering follow-up Rel, RMTF, DALT, and EMI
3,000	98	Bistatic Chamber instrumentation phase 2
4	98	Movement of source documents for costing purposes
12,050	98	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability Phase 2
769	98	Information Warfare Transition Planning
120	98	Human Resources LAN (installation, cabling, hardware and software, etc)
4	98	Lektriever Installation
40	98	(2) Flat bed trucks for transport of CASTGLANCE photo systems between China Lake and Point Mugu.
540	98	Establish DSI node at China Lake for modeling and simulation with MSEL (HWIL) and WSSAs
200	98	Specialized CMS Support for Telemetry ?
738	98	Secure Facilities (alarms, motion detectors, card readers, secure VTC capabilities, secure phone system)
120	98	Modification of bldg. and installation of equip. to relocate Seeker Lab
750	98	TEMPEST enclosed computer lab
127	98	Installation/calibration Rel Test chambers 1 and 2
87	98	Installation/calibration EMI anechoic chamber
150	98	Installation/calibration RMTF chambers 1 and 2
200	98	Installation/calibration DALT
535	98	DCC reconstitution
6,153	98	Reconstitution of EA-6B capability
1,375	98	Provide inside and outside cable plant and backbone network
222	98	Provide telephone instruments
1,528	98	Provide data network connectivity
150	98	Data processing equipment to support classified post-flight data transfer requirements between China Lake & Point Mugu.
4,300	98	Installation of high-speed communication links from Point Mugu to China Lake for real time test data transfer
395	99	Countermeasures Lab Set-up/Cal, etc.
15	99	Installation costs for major machine tools in Michelson Laboratory Machine Shop
127	99	Installation/calibration Rel Test chambers 3 and 4
150	99	Installation/calibration RMTF chambers 3 and 4
-40	99	Calibration AMRAAM chamber
200	99	Combined Environments Chamber (CEC) area prep

Cost	FY	Description
NAWC China Lake		
6,338	99	Reconstitution of EA-6B capability
16,930	99	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability
1,348	99	Reconstitution of Information Warfare capability
185,600	99	One time cost to replicate complete set of F-14 labs and data reduction facilities for turn key operation prior to move
3,000	00	Cost for (2) Missile HWIL anechoic chambers and RF shielded rooms installed into MILCON.
6,528	00	Reconstitution of EA-6B capability
2,200	00	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability Phase 3
6,778	00	Reconstitution of Information Warfare capability
1,147	00	Warning and Surveillance reconstitution
300	00	Instrumentation/control installation for (CEC)
150	01	Reconstitution of ECSEL capability
7,188	01	Reconstitution of Information Warfare capability
1,148	01	Warning and Surveillance reconstitution
NAWC Point Mugu San Nicolas Island		
600	98	Telephone system Cable Plant
28	98	10-ton forklift for SNI Range Maintenance Facility
100	98	Test Equipment for SNI Range Maintenance Facility
450	98	Telephone system Upgrade Switch
735	99	Threat Systems Test Equipment to support Point Mugu site
403	00	GSE Equip for San Nicolas Island

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include net proceeds to DoD resulting from an existing MOU with a state or local government, one-time environmental compliance cost avoidances, etc. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include Construction Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered under item i. below). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	$22	00	EPA Permits: Test Cell, Sand Blast Room, GSE engine pollution.

c. One-Time Unique Moving Costs. The COBRA algorithms use standard packing and shipping rates to calculate the cost of transporting equipment and vehicles. Identify here only those unique moving costs associated with movements out of the losing base that would be incurred in addition to standard packing and shipping costs associated with tonnage and vehicles identified in Table 2-B. Examples of unique moving costs include packing, special handling or recalibration of specialized laboratory or industrial equipment; movement of special materials, etc. If unique costs identified here include packing and shipping costs, then ensure that tonnage for this "unique" equipment is not included under the Mission and Support equipment identified in Table 2-B. For each cost included in the table above, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred, the name of the gaining base and a brief description of the cost.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	Gaining Base	Description
815	97	NAWC China Lake	Environmental Test Equipment
150	97	NAWC China Lake	DALT Facility Equipment & Instrumentation
100	98	NAWC China Lake	DPN-21 SPARROW Test Set
40	98	NAWC China Lake	DKM-15 Telemetry Test Set
40	98	NAWC China Lake	SPARROW Golden Bird Station
100	98	NAWC China Lake	SPARROW Manual Seeker Station
50	98	NAWC China Lake	SPARROW Manual Control Station
75	98	NAWC China Lake	SIDEWINDER Rate Table
35	98	NAWC China Lake	SIDEWINDER Optical Bench
35	98	NAWC China Lake	SIDEWINDER Boresight Bench
25	98	NAWC China Lake	SIDEWINDER DSM-152A Test Set
615	98	NAWC China Lake	Building 311 shop tear down and rebuild
109	98	NAWC China Lake	Data Bank Packaging and refiling
500	98	NAWC China Lake	Tear down and build up of Shipboard mockup
200	98	NAWC China Lake	Move AWIS computer and Network connections
170	98	NAWC China Lake	Tear down, build up and recalibrate CASS

56	98	NAWC China Lake	Move 87 Security Containers
97	98	NAWC China Lake	Security Containers (Labor to inventory)
2	98	NAWC China Lake	Disassembly/reassembly of lateral files
100	98	NAWC China Lake	Reconfiguration of Software Eval Facility
250	98	NAWC China Lake	Reconfiguration/Recalibration of ITCS and peripheral equipment
500	98	NAWC China Lake	Tear down and Reassembly of CARCO 3-Axis Motion Table, Dual Arm Target Translator/Screen Room/Electronic Racks
28	98	NAWC China Lake	TAMPS Mainframe support equipment
1	98	NAWC China Lake	Mobile Test Facility Uniquely configured for instrumentation
70	98	NAWC China Lake	RECALIBRATION - TEST SETS
20	98	NAWC China Lake	RECERTIFICATION - TEST SETS
15	98	NAWC China Lake	SPECIAL HANDLING MICROELECTRONICS/ ADVANCED PKG
49	98	NAWC China Lake	Laser/optics moving costs
306	99	NAWC China Lake	EW Support Equip moving costs
18,700	00	NAWC China Lake	Special T&E equipment requires pre-movement diagnostics, packing, OEM insured transportation, installation, checkout, and recalibration
200	00	NAWC China Lake	PHOENIX DSM-130 Test Station
750	00	NAWC China Lake	HARPOON/SLAM DSM-127 Test Station
375	00	NAWC China Lake	AMRAAM SRTS Test Station
750	00	NAWC China Lake	AMRAAM FAST Test Station
25	00	NAWC China Lake	HARM Functional Test Station
500	00	NAWC China Lake	Ready Missile Test Facility Equip.
200	00	NAWC China Lake	Reliability Test Facility Equip.
500	00	NAWC China Lake	Sea Level Chamber Test Equip.
200	00	NAWC China Lake	EMI/EMV Test Equipment, Instrumentation,
3,275	00	NAWC China Lake	Recalibration of Standards and Equipment
35	00	NAWC China Lake	Ferry flight of aircraft from Pt. Mugu to China Lake
73	00	NAWC China Lake	ATIMS equip moving costs
188	01	NAWC China Lake	Information Warfare moving costs
500	00	NAWC China Lake	Special handling & packaging of EA-6B
1,000	00	NCBC Port Hueneme	Packing, shipping, installation, and calibration of equipment used in the Satellite Operations Center, Computer Center, and Test and Evaluation Station
500	00	TBD	Movement of Air National Guard Equipment

d. and e. Changes in Mission Costs. Items d. and e. should be used to identify those changes in mission costs that result from the closure/realignment action, but are not counted elsewhere in this data call response or COBRA algorithms. For example, **do not include** changes in non-payroll Base Operating Support (BOS), Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs/savings, or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms. Examples of items to include here are changes in operating costs due to the transfer of workload to gaining bases, economies of scale, changes in travel requirements, differences in wage grade labor rates or locality pay differentials, changes in the amount of mission work performed on contract, and changes in utility requirements or ADP/telecommunications costs not included in responses provided in the Base Operating Support tables of Data Call 66.

For purposes of calculating changes in costs associated with the transfer of mission workload from a losing to a gaining base, the following information is provided below. Calculations should take into consideration both economies of scale and differences in operating costs. Remember, any salary savings resulting from eliminated military billets and/or civilian positions must be identified as a number of billets/positions eliminated in Table 2-C. **Do not include** basic salary and fringe benefit savings associated with billets/positions identified as eliminated on Table 2-C. Also, **do not identify** changes in the non-payroll BOS Costs (including non-payroll G&A for DBOF activities) reported in Data Call 66.

First, identify economies of scale by examining the historic pattern of how labor, overhead and other costs vary with workload volume (adjust prior year costs for inflation to make them comparable; use statistical tests to determine the type of relationship that exists). The relationship between costs and workload can then be used to estimate changes in labor and overhead rates which result from the projected change in workload. Economies of scale benefits will generally accrue to gaining bases on an incremental basis, as the workload ramps up, and will remain in future years after all workload is transitioned.

Second, calculate resulting changes in operating costs. Changes in operating costs should be calculated by pricing out direct labor manhours of work, using the projected labor and productive overhead rates (which have been adjusted to take into consideration economies of scale resulting from the workload transfer) for both the losing and gaining base. The difference in total costs associated with the workload transition is then identified as the net change in mission costs. Relative differences in the numbers of hours required to complete a project at the losing base and gaining base(s) should be taken into consideration, if identifiable. Also, include contract costs in this analysis, but unless cost changes are identifiable, assume that contract price rates will remain constant.

If a net change in mission costs is included in the data call response, the response must also include supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate this change in costs. Furthermore, data used in these calculations must be consistent with previously submitted certified data.

d. Net Mission Costs. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring increases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost increase, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost increases by year and describe the nature of the cost increase. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost increases.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Net Mission Costs (Cost Increases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NAWC WD Point Mugu						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. China Lake			100	210	210	210
Description: HARPOON Seeker Capability at Remote Site						
2. China Lake					3,849	3,849
Description: Cost to fly Project Flights to the Sea Range from China Lake vice Pt. Mugu						
3. China Lake					106	106
Description: Proficiency Flight requirements conducted at San Nicolas Island						
4. China Lake					244	244
Description: Increased Aircraft flight time and aerial tanker flight costs for tests requiring sea range						
5. China Lake				278	278	278
Description: Threat systems detachments to support SNI & Point Mugu target ops.						
6. China Lake				93	93	93
Description: Detachments to/from China Lake to/from San Nicholas to support target operations.						
7. China Lake			30	30	30	30
Description: Transportation cost incurred for Range work						
8. China Lake			30	61	61	61
Description: Addtnl costs for T&E Eng. personnel to shuttle between China Lake & Pt Mugu Range to plan, coordinate & execute Ops.						
9. China Lake				467	467	467
Description: Loss of productive labor of T&E Eng. personnel required to travel to Pt. Mugu Range to plan, coordinate & execute Ops						
10. China Lake				44	44	44
Description: Operational support personnel costs required to support planning and OPs.						
11. China Lake			100	100	100	100
Description: Increased costs for Telemetry COMSEC Decryption Key support.						
12. China Lake			484	484	484	484
Description: Increased costs of travel by Telemetry airborne support personnel to China Lake						
13. China Lake			250	250	250	250
Description: Increased travel costs for operational customer interface,planning and coordination at CL.						
14. China Lake			436	436	436	436
Description: Increased instrumentation and calibration support costs for China Lake based aircraft						
15. China Lake			864	864	864	864
Description: Mainland engineering and maintenance support to SNI						
16. China Lake			122	122	122	122
Description: Increased costs of travel to Port Hueneme for admin support						
17. China Lake			177	177	177	177
Description: Costs of Data Products delivery & coordination, equipment procurement & travel to China Lake.						
18. China Lake			321	321	321	321
Description: Increased test conductor labor costs to compensate for cross-site travel requirements.						
19. China Lake			49	49	49	49
Description: Increased costs due to logistics of relocation						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

e. Net Mission Savings. Complete the following worksheet to identify any net recurring decreases in mission costs associated with the closure/realignment of the losing base and/or transfer of workload to gaining bases. For each net cost decreases, identify the name of the gaining base where the workload will be transferred (if applicable), cost decreases by year and describe the nature of the cost decrease. If this worksheet is filled in, provide supporting data to show calculations and methodology used to estimate these cost decreases.

Net Mission Savings (Cost Decreases) Worksheet						
Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu						
Gaining Base	FY 1996	FY 1997	FY 1998	FY 1999	FY 2000	FY 2001 and Beyond
1. NAWC China Lake					45	45
Description: Project Flights flown at China Lake (includes QF-4 NOLOS) previously flown from Pt. Mugu						
NAWC China Lake					2000	2000
Description: Eliminate 2 logistic contract aircraft flown from Point Mugu to China Lake						
2. NAWC China Lake					37	37
Description: QF-4 NOLO TAD costs						
3. NAWC China Lake				35	35	35
Description: Eliminate guards at Camarillo Airport site.						

Add additional lines to worksheet as necessary.

f. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission costs shown above. Do not double count any costs identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Annual Cost	FY	Description
42	98	Increased O&M costs at non-governmental facilities
17	98	Increased costs for Building maint, util, and fuel flow surcharges.
167	98	Annual O&M costs of connectivity to the 3 relocated modeling and simulation labs at China Lake
30	98	Increased travel costs to obtain procurement support from CL
55	98	O&M costs of the test data communications link to China Lake
24	98	O&M costs for low-bit rate video system
20	98	Transportation costs for emerging remote site equipment repair
806	99	Recurring Equipment Costs
2,321	00	Additional fuel required at San Nicholas Island.
79	00	Aircraft turn-around support crew at San Nicolas Island.

g. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings at the losing base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the amount, year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.) Do not double count changes in Mission Costs shown above. Do not double count any savings identified on Gaining Base tables (Enclosure (3)).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Annual Savings	FY	Description
4,193	98	Cost Savings due to major reductions in base infrastructure and Airfield Maintenance.

h. Land Sales. Identify any proceeds, if identifiable and realistically expected to be received, which would be realized through the sale of excessed property at the losing base(s). In most cases, proceeds will not be realized from the sale of land at closed activities. However, if unusual circumstances warrant, identify estimated amount of proceeds, number of acres to be sold and rationale for assuming that proceeds will be obtained.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Revenues	No. of Acres	Rationale
NONE		

i. Procurement Cost Avoidances. Identify any procurement cost avoidances which would be realized as a result of the closure/realignment scenario. Items identified here must not include any funds, regardless of appropriation, identified as BOS costs in Data Call 66. An example of a cost to include here would be a planned "Other Procurement account" purchase of a computer system, which will no longer be required as a result of the closure/realignment action. For each cost avoidance, identify the amount, year in which the cost would have been incurred, whether the cost avoidance is one-time or recurring in nature, and the nature of the cost avoidance.

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Cost	FY	One-Time/Recurring	Explanation
NONE			

j. Facility Shutdown. If an activity is being realigned but not completely closed, then identify the number of square feet of Class 2 real property (buildings), excluding family housing, MWR and utilities facilities, which will be shut down at the losing base as a result of this action. If an activity is being completely closed, then just enter "All". The Base Loading Data Attachment includes an identification of total square feet for the activity and should be referred to in answering this question. Note that this entry should be shown in "thousands of square feet" (KSF).

Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu

Facility KSF Shutdown: 2,309

* Facility area shutdown does not include area of Taxiways, Aprons and Runways.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through j. above in the following table. Note that all entries must be shown in ($000).

Table 2-F: **Dynamic Base Information Summary**

Losing Base:		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a.	One-Time Unique Costs	31884	18102	34340	213450	25336	8486	331598
b.	One-Time Unique Svgs					22		22
c.	One-Time Move Costs		965	3282	306	27583	188	32324
d.	Net Mission Costs			2963	3986	8185	8185	23319
e.	Net Mission Savings				35	2117	2117	4269
f.	Misc Recur Costs			355	1161	3561	3561	8638
g.	Misc Recur Savings			4193	4193	4193	4193	16772
h.	Land Sales							0
i.	Procurement Cost Avoid							0
j. Fac. Shutdown (KSF)		2,309						

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NCBC, Port Hueneme

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	$10,497	2001	Labor Cost for Base Support Operation

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NCBC, Port Hueneme

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NCBC Port Hueneme							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs						$10,497	$10,497
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NCBC Port Hueneme			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)			
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)			
Other Operations (SF)		6,000	Office space for support from Supply, Security,PW and Safety
Administrative (SF)	7,500	900	Office Space
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)			
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)	30,000		
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)			
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	Included in Table 2F(a).		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAWC, Point Mugu San Nicolas Island							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs							
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAWC Point Mugu San Nicolas Island			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	58,000		Aircraft apron
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	8,000		Aircraft Hangar (5,000 SF), Aircraft Maintenance Shop (3,000 SF)
Other Operations (SF)			
Administrative (SF)			
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)	27,784		Range Maintenance and Support (6,400 SF) Vandal maintenance facility and storage (21,384 SF)
Bachelor Quarters (SF)	42,000		Barracks, (50 Range and 50 other personnel)
Supply/Storage (SF)			
Dining Facilities (SF)			
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)			
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)	20,000		
Ammo Storage (SF)	1,600		Magazine (80' X 20')
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - Fueling Pier & Receipt Facility	$ 7,900	$	Road Improvements, POL Storage, Piping to fuel farm, mooring facilities
-	$	$	
-	$	$	

Note: The cost of new construction at San Nicolas Island averages 200% of comparable mainland Southern California costs, based on historical data.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	FY	Location	Description
NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	Included in Table 2F(a).		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).
Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	FY	Description
NONE		

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

	Cost	FY	Description
Environmental			
1.	450	96	Environmental assessment covering new construction including facilities and reliability test, RMTF/DALT, EMI anechoic chambers.

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
Communications			
1.	201.6	98	Provide CTS operations, maintenance, and administration
2.	50	98	Provides Communications Specialist support (contractor)
3.	1,300	98	Provide corporate network operations and maintenance (contractor)

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Annual Savings	FY	Description
NONE		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE

Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
NONE			

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation	450						450
d. Misc. Recurring Costs			1,552	1,552	1,552	1,552	6,208
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: NAVAIRWARCENWPNSDIV CHINA LAKE					
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	New Construction Requirement ($K/FY97)	Rehabilitation Requirement ($K/FY97)	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	69,578		$4,530		Estimate for new construction was $65.1/SY based on CL historical bid data.
Berthing (FB)					
Air Maintenance (SF)	390,778		$74,936		Estimate for new construction was $191.76/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Other Operations (SF)	4,324		$811		Estimate for new construction was $187.53/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Administrative (SF)	400,127	102,583	$54,161	$1,389	Estimate for new construction was $135.36/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Training (SF)					
Maintenance (SF)	4,047	14,200	$525	$184	Estimate for new construction was $129.72/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Bachelor Quarters (SF)					
Supply/Storage (SF)	53,000	29,764	$3,961	$222	Estimate for new construction was $74.73/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
Dining Facilities (SF)					
Personnel Support (SF)					
Communications (SF)		200		$5	Estimate for new construction was $242.52/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction
Ship Maintenance (SF)					

RDT&E (SF)	153,418	201,728	$33,746	$4,437	Estimate for new construction was $219.96/SF (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction.
POL Storage (BL)					
Ammo Storage (SF)					
Medical Facilities (SF)					
Environmental					
Other:					
- Reliability Acoustics Facility	13,000		$2,795		Rel. Ac. Fac - Estimate for new construction was $215.38/sf (based on PM unit cost of $175/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).
- Ready Missile Test Facility	15,000		$3,900		RMTF - Estimate for new construction was $260/sf (based on PM unit cost of $211/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).
- EW Lab	23,140		$6,040		EW Lab - Estimate for new construction was $261.48/sf (based on PM unit cost of $212/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).
- HWIL Chambers	8,250	4,250	$1,928	$99	HWIL - Estimate for new construction of two chambers was $233.70/sf (based on PM unit cost of $190/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM). Rehab space should be estimated at 10% of new construction. Rehab for one chamber.
- SCIF	99,534		$30,159		SCIF - Estimate is based on CL historical bid data prorated to FY97. Unit cost used is $303/sf.
- Bistatic Chamber	33,500		$7,538		Bistatic - Estimate for new construction of 1 chamber was $225/sf (based on PM unit cost of $183/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).

Other (cont):					
- Monostatic Chambers	11,160	4,340	$2,511	$98	Monostatic - Estimate for new construction of 1 chamber was $225/sf (based on PM unit cost of $183/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM). Rehab space should be estimated at 10 % of new construction. Rehab for one chamber
- Saltwater decon spray facility	Lump Sum		$400		Drive thru P-3s. PM historical costs adjusted for CL. (4,275 sq ft)
- Aircraft wash facility.	Lump Sum		$714		To accommodate large size A/C from Point Mugu. PM historical costs adjusted for CL.
- F-14/F-4 & P-3 Engine Test Cells (2)	Lump Sum		$9,100		PM historical costs adjusted for CL
- High Power Pad	Lump Sum		$194		Additional Capability for P-3. PM historical costs adjusted for CL
- Jet Engine Air Start	Lump Sum		$1,720		Four locations to accommodate Point Mugu aircraft. PM historical costs adjusted for CL
- Compass Rose	Lump Sum		$210		For P-3. PM historical costs adjusted for CL
- AQM-37 Air launch Facilities	4,210		$956		AQM-37 - Estimate for new construction was $227/sf (based on PM unit cost of $184.5/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).
- BQM-34 and BQM-74E Air launched Facilities	56,974		$5,754		BQM-34 & BQM-74E - Estimate for new construction was $101.57/sf (based on PM unit cost of $81/sf adjusted with CL ACF of 23% difference between PM).

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	NONE			

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.			

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.			

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	FY	Description
1.			

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.			

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.			

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: TBD, Air National Guard Relocation

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.				

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: TBD Air National Guard Relocation							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *							
b. One-Time Unique Savings							
c. Environ. Mitigation							
d. Misc. Recurring Costs							
e. Misc. Recurring Savings							
f. Land Purchases							

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements

Gaining Base Name: TBD Air National Guard Relocation			
Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	521,688		
Berthing (FB)			
Air Maintenance (SF)	133,739		
Other Operations (SF)	64,167		
Administrative (SF)	39,738		
Training (SF)			
Maintenance (SF)	25,491		
Bachelor Quarters (SF)			
Supply/Storage (SF)	41,013		
Dining Facilities (SF)	24,220		
Personnel Support (SF)			
Communications (SF)	2,126		
Ship Maintenance (SF)			
RDT&E (SF)			
POL Storage (BL)	10,000		
Ammo Storage (SF)			
Medical Facilities (SF)			
Environmental	$	$	
Other: - - -	 $ $ $	 $ $ $	

Note: In response to the proposed closure of the adjacent Pt. Mugu airfield, the estimated MILCON and land purchase cost to relocate the 146th Airlift Wing of the Air National Guard to a TBD location is $92,000,000.

DATE 5/23/95

PAGES: COVERSHEET + 10

ADDRESSEE:

NAME:	CDR. SAMUELS/MR. DeYOUNG
ORGANIZATION:	BSAT
PHONE:	703-681-0481
FAX NUMBER:	703-756-2172

ORIGINATOR:

NAME:	MATT ANDERSON
PHONE:	(619) 927-1839 (DSN 469 - 1839)
FAX NUMBER:	(619) 939-0145 (DSN = 437)

SUBJECT: HCS-5 HELO RESERVE SQUADRON

REMARKS:

Enclosed is a "FINAL" response to BSAT request of 23 May 95 to relocate HCS-5 Helo Reserve Squadron to NAWC China Lake vice Edwards AFB as a revision to BRAC95 Scenario Data Call #CR95-004.

Matt Anderson, Head BRAC Office, NAWCWPNS[redacted]

BRAC 95 OFFICE (C0014)
NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER WEAPONS DIVISION
CHINA LAKE, CA 93555-6001

TO VERIFY RECEIPT, PLEASE CALL (619) 927-1840

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (2) - LOSING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 2-B: Disposition of Personnel and Equipment - Summary

From Losing Base: NAWC Point Mugu, Ca
To Gaining Base: NAWC China Lake, Ca

	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
Officer Billets	0	0	0	0	10	0	10
Enlisted Billets	0	0	0	0	109	0	109
Civilian Positions	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Military Students	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tons of Mission Equipment	0	0	0	0	51	0	51
Tons of Support Equipment	0	0	0	0	225	0	225
Number of Light Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of Heavy Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supporting Data for Table 2-B. Use the space below to list the types of Mission Equipment, Support Equipment, Light Vehicles and Heavy Vehicles identified as required to be relocated in Table 2-B and the rationale for relocating this equipment. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

Type of Equipment/Vehicles	Rationale for Relocating
HCS-5 GSE, PSE, Test Equipment, Weapons, AVCAL, Spare Parts, Ammo, Armour Plating	Best remaining site to allow for training and operationw for the helicopter combat SAR and support mission. This is due

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Complete a separate Enclosure (3) - Gaining Base Questions, as appropriate, for each "gaining" base involved in the closure/realignment scenario. Make additional copies of this enclosure as necessary. Tables included in this enclosure are 3-A and 3-B. Enter the name of the Gaining Base in the block below.

Gaining Base:	NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

Table 3-A - Dynamic Base Information. Complete the following "Supporting Data" section. Then, summarize this data in the Summary Data Table (3-A) that immediately follows this "Supporting Data" section. Show all entries in ($000).

Table 3-A: Supporting Data

a. Other One-Time Unique Costs. This item has been divided into two sections. First, separately identify any Community Infrastructure Impact costs. Second, separately identify any other One-Time Unique costs. **Finally, when transferring these figures to the Summary Data Table (3-A), combine both sets of numbers into one "Other One-Time Unique Costs" answer (by year).**

a. (1) Community Infrastructure Impacts. Identify any cost impacts on community infrastructure at gaining bases which would result from the transfer of functions/personnel, e.g., requirement to build new sewage treatment facility, etc. For each cost, identify the amount, year in which it would be incurred, location (city, etc.), and a brief description of the requirement. Answers must be consistent with certified data contained in the gaining base's Data Call 65, "Economic and Community Infrastructure Data", response. Ensure that adequate coordination takes place, especially in those cases where the gaining and losing base are in different claimancies. **Remember to aggregate this answer with 2.a.(2) costs on the next page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Location	Description
1.	None			

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

a. (2) Other Unique One-Time Costs. Identify any other one-time unique costs at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). Examples include use of temporary office space, etc. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel costs, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms, nor should it be used to identify one-time unique moving costs which will be addressed in the Losing Base tables (enclosure (2)). For each unique one-time cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and describe the nature of the cost. Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)). **Remember to aggregate with 2.a.(1) costs on the previous page, if any, when transferring data to Summary Table.**

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

b. Other One-Time Unique Savings. Identify any other one-time unique savings at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section). This area should not be used to identify routine moving or personnel savings, which are calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms. Do not include MILCON Cost Avoidances (which were identified in a separate data call), or Procurement Cost Avoidances (which are covered in the losing base enclosure). For each savings, identify the amount, year in which it will occur and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

c. Environmental Mitigation. Environmental cleanup costs at closing bases are not considered in COBRA, since these costs will be incurred regardless of whether the activity is closed or remains opened. If, however, additional environmental costs are incurred at gaining bases as the result of a transfer of functions or personnel, these costs should be identified, e.g., wetland mitigation, environmental impact statements at gaining bases, new permits, etc. Identify below any non-Military Construction environmental mitigation costs which will be incurred as a result of this closure/realignment action. (Note: Military Construction Costs for environmental mitigation are identified in Table 3-B). For each cost, identify the amount, year in which the cost will be incurred and a brief description of the cost.

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	FY	Description
1.	None		

d. Miscellaneous Recurring Costs. Identify any other recurring costs associated with the closure/realignment action at the gaining base which will not be calculated automatically by the COBRA algorithms (as noted in the Introduction section), e.g., new leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For each cost, identify the year in which the cost will begin and describe the nature of the cost. Only costs directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances or CHAMPUS costs, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any costs identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Annual Cost	FY	Description
1.	$219	2000	Four contractor personnel for AIMD shop support for GSE, IMRL & Calibration.
2.	$274	2000	Five contractor personnel to support Airfield Ops (Tower, Flight Supt, Ground Elex, Fuel Farm).
3.	$238	2000	Airlift support for HCS-5 and Augment Units.

*FY 2000 start is based on COMNAVRESFOR input that move will occur FY 99.
China Lake airfield is not currently open on weekends, SQDN Drills 2 weekends per month.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

e. Miscellaneous Recurring Savings. Identify any other recurring savings associated with the closure/realignment action which will not be calculated automatically by the model, e.g., elimination of leases of facilities or equipment, etc. For the savings, identify the year in which each will begin and describe the nature of the savings. Only savings directly attributable to the closure/realignment action should be identified. (Do not include changes in non-payroll BOS, Family Housing Operations, housing allowances, CHAMPUS costs or salary savings for eliminated positions/billets, all of which are calculated by other COBRA algorithms.). Do not double count any savings identified on Losing Base tables (Enclosure (2)).

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Annual Savings	FY	Description
1.	None		

f. Land Purchases. Identify any land purchases required at gaining bases to accommodate relocating activities/functions. Identify the cost, number of acres, year in which purchase will occur and a brief description identifying why the land needs to be purchased.

Gaining Base: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

	Cost	No. of Acres	FY	Description
1.	None			

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	493	493	986
e. Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

5-23-95
18:00 PDT

FAX TO
BSAT: CDR SAMUELS (703) 756-2172
COMNAVAIR RESFOR HCS-5: JERRY PATNOAD
(504) 948-5076

NAWC HQ: WARD LESLIE
NAWC PTMUGU: DAVE AYUB

RE BRAC SCENARIO DATA CALL #CR95-004
HCS-5 TO NAWC CHINA LAKE

WHOOPS: - WE SENT THE WRONG PAGE 9-5R IN THE FINAL FAX WHICH WAS TRANSMITTED AT 16:09 TODAY - PLEASE REPLACE IT WITH THE ENCLOSED TABLE 3-A WHICH CORRECTLY INCLUDES THE COSTS FOR AIRLIFT SUPPORT ($238K).

THANKS

MATT ANDERSON
NAWC WPNS BRAC OFFICE
(619) 927-1839
DSN 969-1839
FAX (619) 939-0145
DSN 437-0145

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Summarize data shown in response to supporting data questions a. through f. above in the following table:

Table 3-A: Dynamic Base Information

Gaining Base Name: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA							
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Total
a. One-Time Unique Costs *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
b. One-Time Unique Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Environ. Mitigation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Misc. Recurring Costs	0	0	0	0	731	731	1462
e. Misc. Recurring Savings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Land Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

* Includes both Community Infrastructure Impact and Other One-Time Unique Costs, as applicable.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 3-B - Military Construction Requirements. Identify the amount of new construction or rehabilitation (using the designated unit of measure) which will be required at the receiving site. Include a brief description of the requirement in the Comment column.

- Do not include Family Housing construction requirements on this table, they will be identified on a separate data call format.
- The COBRA MILCON algorithm will estimate the cost of MILCON requirements for the standard categories of construction listed on the next page. However, if an engineered estimate(s) is already available, then a dollar value for the requirement(s) should be identified in the "Comment" column of the table.
- Any identified Environmental Mitigation MILCON projects must include a total cost and brief description of the requirement in the "Comment" column of the table.
- The "Other" row is provided to identify MILCON requirements which do not fit the standard construction categories, e.g., dry docks, SCIF conversions, aircraft wash racks, etc. Enter a total cost and brief description for each identified requirement. For these "unique" categories of construction, a square footage estimate should also be indicated, if possible.

For Rehabilitation Requirements: if entered as a "unit of measure" (e.g., SF, etc.), then corresponding costs will be calculated at 75% of the cost of new construction (worst-case cost estimate for rehabilitation costs). If the rehabilitation will involve renovation at an anticipated rate of less than 75%, then in addition to identifying the requirement (SF, etc.), enter in the Comment block either a rehabilitation cost or an appropriate percentage which should be used in lieu of the 75% rate.

Show any cost entries in ($000).

Description of "Units of Measure" used in Table 3-B:

SY - Square Yards
FB - Feet of Berthing
SF - Square Feet
BL - Barrels

Description of standard "Categories of Construction" used in Table 3-B (including examples of types of construction included in these categories):

Horizontal - Aprons/Paving (Aircraft Parking Aprons, Combat Aircraft Ordnance Loading Areas, etc.), shown in square yards.

Berthing - General Purpose Berthing Piers, shown in feet of berthing.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Air Maintenance - Maintenance Hangers (General Purpose, High Bay, etc.), shown in square feet.

Other Operations - General Purpose Operations Facilities (Aircraft, Ordnance, Amphibious, Headquarters, etc.), shown in square feet.

Administrative - Administrative space (General Purpose and ADP), shown in square feet.

Training - Training Facilities (Academic, Reserve, Applied Instruction, Recruit Processing, Operational Trainers, etc.), shown in square feet.

Maintenance - Non-Weapons facilities (Vehicles, Electronics, Public Works, etc.), shown in square feet.

Bachelor Quarters - Barracks, Dormitories or Unmarked Officer Quarters, shown in square feet.

Supply/Storage - Operational Storage, Cold Storage, General Warehouse, etc., shown in square feet.

Dining Facilities - Enlisted Mess Hall, shown in square feet.

Personnel Support - Fire, Police, Family Service Centers, MWR, Child Care, etc., shown in square feet.

Communications - Other Communications Facilities, (Communications Centers, Telephone Exchanges, Terminal Equipment, Radar Air Traffic Control Center, etc.), shown in square feet.

Ship Maintenance - Shore Intermediate Maintenance, Waterfront Services, Amphibian Vehicle Maintenance, etc., shown in square feet.

RDT&E - Other Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) facilities (Aircraft, Ship, Underwater, Electronics, etc.) (does not include Ammo/Propulsion Labs), shown in square feet.

POL Storage - Jet Engine Fuel Storage, shown in barrels.

Ammo Storage - General Purpose, High Explosive, Small Arms and Missile Magazines, shown in square feet.

Medical Facilities - Hospitals, Medical/Dental Clinics, etc., shown in square feet.

BRAC-95 SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT DATA CALL
Enclosure (3) - GAINING BASE QUESTIONS

Table 3-B: MILCON Requirements/Cost Analysis
RESERVE HELICOPTER SQUADRON

Gaining Base Name: NAWCWPNS China Lake, CA

Category (Unit)	New Construction Requirement	Rehabilitation Requirement	New Construction Requirement ($K/FY97)	Rehabilitation Requirement ($K/FY97)	Comment
Horizontal (SY)	24,839		$1,617		Estimate for new construction was $65.1/sy based on CL historical bid data.
Berthing (FB)					
Air Maintenance (SF)	31,081		$5,960		Estimate for new construction was $191.76/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Other Operations (SF)					
Administrative (SF)					
Training (SF)	22,526		$3,176		Estimate for new construction was $141/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4).
Maintenance (SF)					
Bachelor Quarters (SF)					
Supply/Storage (SF)	5,000		$374		Estimate for new construction was $74.73/sf (based on NAVFAC cost guidance with CL ACF of 1.4)
Dining Facilities (SF)					
Personnel Support (SF)					
Communications (SF)					
Ship Maintenance (SF)					
RDT&E (SF)					
POL Storage (BL)					
Ammo Storage (SF)					
Medical Facilities (SF)					
Environmental					
Other:					

BRAC-95 CERTIFICATION

Reference: SECNAV NOTE 11000 dtd 8 Dec 93

In accordance with policy set forth by the Secretary of the Navy, personnel of the Department of the Navy, Uniformed and civilian, who provide information for use in the BRAC-95 process are required to provide a signed certification that states "I certify that the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief."

The signing of this certification constitutes a representation that the certifying official has reviewed the information and either (1) personally vouches for its accuracy and completeness or (2) has possession of, and is relying upon, a certification executed by a competent subordinate.

Each individual in your activity generating information for the BRAC-95 process must certify that information. Enclosure (1) is provided for individual certifications and may be duplicated as necessary. You are directed to maintain those certifications at your activity for audit purposes. For purposes of this certification sheet, the commander of the activity will begin the certification process and each reporting senior in the Chain of Command reviewing the information will also sign this certification sheet. This sheet must remain attached to this package and be forwarded up the Chain of Command. Copies must be retained by each level in the Chain of Command for audit purposes.

I certify the information contained herein is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

ACTIVITY COMMANDER

D. B. McKinney, RADM, USN
Name (Please type or print)

Signature

Commander
Title

5/23/95
Date

Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division
Activity

Revised BRAC 95 Scenario Development Data Call #CR95-004 "Realign NAWC Point Mugu" of 23 May 95. Relocation of HCS-5 at NAWC Point Mugu to NAWC China Lake