

RANDY NEUGEBAUER  
19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429  
CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319  
PHONE: (202) 225-4005  
FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov  
randy@mail.house.gov

DCN 7544  
611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 220  
LUBBOCK, TX 79401  
(806) 763-1611

Congress of the United States  
House of Representatives

1510 SCURRY STREET  
SUITE B  
BIG SPRING, TX 79720  
(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT  
SUITE 819  
ABILENE, TX 79602  
(325) 675-9779

August 8, 2005

BRAC Commission

AUG 17 2005

Received

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new "weather factors" and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of "treating all installations the same." Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an "even playing field" for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded "N/A" to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, "neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases."

The same document also concedes, “The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages.”

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.

In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of “tornado alley” should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft “in one basket”. The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible “multiple counting” of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the “Holy Week Blizzard” of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Randy Neugebauer". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

BRAC Commission

August 8, 2005

AUG 09 2005

Received

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new “weather factors” and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of “treating all installations the same.” Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an “even playing field” for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded “N/A” to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, “neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases.”

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.

In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

**N**

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

RANDY NEUGEBAUER  
19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429  
CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319  
PHONE: (202) 225-4005  
FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov  
randy@mail.house.gov

## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 220  
LUBBOCK, TX 79401  
(806) 763-1611

1510 SCURRY STREET  
SUITE B  
BIG SPRING, TX 79720  
(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT  
SUITE 819  
ABILENE, TX 79602  
(325) 675-9779

August 8, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new “weather factors” and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of “treating all installations the same.” Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an “even playing field” for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded “N/A” to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, “neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases.”

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.


In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Randy Neugebauer". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

RANDY NEUGEBAUER

19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429

CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319  
PHONE: (202) 225-4005  
FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov  
randy@mail.house.gov

611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 220  
LUBBOCK, TX 79401  
(806) 763-1611

1510 SCURRY STREET  
SUITE B  
BIG SPRING, TX 79720  
(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT  
SUITE 819  
ABILENE, TX 79602  
(325) 675-9779

## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

August 8, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new "weather factors" and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of "treating all installations the same." Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an "even playing field" for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded "N/A" to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, "neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases."

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.

In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Randy Neugebauer", written over a horizontal line.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

RANDY NEUGEBAUER

19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429

CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319  
PHONE: (202) 225-4005  
FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov  
randy@mail.house.gov

611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 220  
LUBBOCK, TX 79401  
(806) 763-1611

1510 SCURRY STREET  
SUITE B  
BIG SPRING, TX 79720  
(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT  
SUITE 819  
ABILENE, TX 79602  
(325) 675-9779

# Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

August 8, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new “weather factors” and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of “treating all installations the same.” Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an “even playing field” for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded “N/A” to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, “neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases.”

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.


In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Randy Neugebauer". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

RANDY NEUGEBAUER

19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429  
CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319  
PHONE: (202) 225-4005  
FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov  
randy@mail.house.gov

611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 220  
LUBBOCK, TX 79401  
(806) 763-1611

1510 SCURRY STREET  
SUITE B  
BIG SPRING, TX 79720  
(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT  
SUITE 819  
ABILENE, TX 79602  
(325) 675-9779

## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

August 8, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new "weather factors" and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of "treating all installations the same." Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an "even playing field" for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded "N/A" to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, "neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases."

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.

In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Randy Neugebauer". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a horizontal line that spans the width of the page.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

RANDY NEUGEBAUER

19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429

CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319  
PHONE: (202) 225-4005  
FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov  
randy@mail.house.gov

611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE

SUITE 220  
LUBBOCK, TX 79401  
(806) 763-1611

1510 SCURRY STREET  
SUITE B  
BIG SPRING, TX 79720  
(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT  
SUITE 819  
ABILENE, TX 79602  
(325) 675-9779

# Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

August 8, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new "weather factors" and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of "treating all installations the same." Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an "even playing field" for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded "N/A" to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, "neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases."

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.

In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Randy Neugebauer", written over a horizontal line.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

RANDY NEUGEBAUER

19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429  
CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319  
PHONE: (202) 225-4005  
FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov  
randy@mail.house.gov

611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 220  
LUBBOCK, TX 79401  
(806) 763-1611

1510 SCURRY STREET  
SUITE B  
BIG SPRING, TX 79720  
(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT  
SUITE 819  
ABILENE, TX 79602  
(325) 675-9779

## Congress of the United States House of Representatives

August 8, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new "weather factors" and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of "treating all installations the same." Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an "even playing field" for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded "N/A" to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, "neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases."

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.

In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Randy Neugebauer". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

RANDY NEUGEBAUER

19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429

CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319  
PHONE: (202) 225-4005  
FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov  
randy@mail.house.gov

611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE  
SUITE 220  
LUBBOCK, TX 79401  
(806) 763-1611

1510 SCURRY STREET  
SUITE B  
BIG SPRING, TX 79720  
(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT  
SUITE 819  
ABILENE, TX 79602  
(325) 675-9779

# Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

August 8, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new “weather factors” and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of “treating all installations the same.” Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an “even playing field” for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded “N/A” to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, “neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases.”

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.

In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Randy Neugebauer". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)

RANDY NEUGEBAUER

19TH DISTRICT, TEXAS

ROOM 429

CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-4319

PHONE: (202) 225-4005

FAX: (202) 225-9615

www.randy.house.gov

randy@mail.house.gov

# Congress of the United States

## House of Representatives

611 UNIVERSITY AVENUE

SUITE 220

LUBBOCK, TX 79401

(806) 763-1611

1510 SCURRY STREET

SUITE B

BIG SPRING, TX 79720

(432) 264-0722

500 CHESTNUT

SUITE 819

ABILENE, TX 79602

(325) 675-9779

August 8, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi  
Chairman  
Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to DCN 5789, a letter dated 29 July 2005 from the South Dakota delegation, which contains new “weather factors” and selective application of natural phenomena data in the discussion on the consolidation of the B-1 Bomber fleet at Dyess Air Force Base (AFB). I have reviewed this data and have found the information to be statistically insignificant. In addition, I believe the issue is outside the BRAC criteria and consideration of it would create an exception to the policy of “treating all installations the same.” Nonetheless, I would like the opportunity to refute the allegations made by the South Dakota Congressional Delegation.

As you know, Military Value is the overriding factor for BRAC consideration. As acknowledged by the Commission, it is important to keep an “even playing field” for all the communities. For that reason, DoD and the AF calculated MCI scores using the same criteria for all bases. Military Value scores were calculated using DoD-certified data and pertinent weather was taken into account. (AF MCI question 1271.00)

According to DoD-certified Military Value MCI scores, Dyess ranks # 20 and Ellsworth ranks # 39 in bomber MCI. Moreover, on the issue of good flying weather, (question 1271), both bases received 100% of available points. In addition, in the calculation of the MCI, DoD-certified data was also collected for other weather phenomenon such as crosswind, VFR vs. IFR, icing, etc. (Ref. questions #139, #1271, #1272) In all, the available data shows no appreciable difference between Dyess and Ellsworth. (Ellsworth responded “N/A” to Question #139—Weather Conditions, so icing cannot be compared using DoD-certified data).

**The important question is not the probability ratio of certain weather occurrences at the bases as stated in DCN 5789; rather it is whether the probability of natural disasters at an installation is relevant to BRAC decisions.** DCN 5789 compares the probability of tornado occurrence at Dyess and Ellsworth; however, at the same time it concedes that, “neither base has had a tornado pass directly over it in the 50+ year lifetime of the bases.”

The same document also concedes, "The chance of a violent tornado intercepting either base perimeter while on the ground will be a small fraction of these percentages."

The data used for projections is in reference to the number of tornados within 25 NM of a point (1,962 square miles) during a 1,000-year time frame. It is important to note that the comparison of chances of occurrence at one base versus another does not calculate the probability of occurrence.

In simple terms, according to information from the sources referenced in DCN 5789, the projected odds of a base the size of Dyess or Ellsworth (approximately 10 sq. miles) being struck by an F-4 tornado is once every 10,000 years. Applying this same analysis, and using historical data on F-2 or greater tornados in Jones and Taylor counties, Texas, indicates that the possibility of an F-2 or greater tornado hitting a specific location the size of Dyess or Ellsworth is once every 3.7 million years.


In addition, if the comparison of probability of natural disasters is to be a factor in the BRAC process, then the rules should apply to all installations. Hurricanes in coastal areas, earthquakes on the West Coast, and other bases in the heart of "tornado alley" should all be looked at for major realignment. As a simple example, using the same methodology and sources that the South Dakota delegation used, Tinker AFB should not have the E-3 AWACs and E-6 TACAMO, and Offutt AFB should not have RC-135 Rivet Joint and E-4 NEACP aircraft "in one basket". The data shows that Tinker has five times the probability of a tornado and twice the probability of hail, than Dyess. Offutt has twice the chance of hail and almost four times the chance of damaging thunderstorms or winds in comparison to Dyess.

Another perplexing issue is the use of non-DoD-certified data. There are obvious inconsistencies and/or inaccuracies in the data. DCN 5789 acknowledges inconsistencies and possible "multiple counting" of the same occurrence. For example, the referenced NOAA data does not list the McConnell AFB tornado in Wichita County that occurred on 26 April 1991, but does account for 26 different tornados in Kansas that day. In addition, this data may also have inconsistent underreporting since there are zero reports of ice and snow storms in Meade County, South Dakota, where Ellsworth is located, since 1935. Yet the average snowfall for the county is 38 inches and incidents such as the "Holy Week Blizzard" of 19 April 2000 that dumped 1 to 3 feet of snow in the region are not included.

The new information submitted by the South Dakota Delegation in DCN 5789 should be disregarded because: (1) the data does not fit any of the eight BRAC criteria, (2) it is not based on DoD-certified data, (3) the data has inconsistencies, (4) it does not treat all DoD installations the same, and (5) the probability of a tornado striking the base is not statistically significant.

Thank you for consideration in this matter. Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Randy Neugebauer". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping tail on the final letter.

Randy Neugebauer

C: The Honorable James H. Bilbray  
The Honorable Philip Coyle  
Admiral Harold W. Gehman, Jr., (USN, Ret)  
The Honorable James V. Hansen  
General James T. Hill (USA, Ret)  
The Honorable Lloyd W. "Fig" Newton (USAF, Ret)  
The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner  
Brigadier General Sue E. Turner (USAF, Ret)