

BRAC

STOP ALL MILITARY BASE  
CLOSINGS IMMEDIATELY.

JAMES SOLOMON, INDIANA

NO MORE BASE CLOSINGS!

AUG 16 2005

Received

BRAC

Most people feel  
this is an act of  
spite - what about the  
unnecessary cost and time  
to move the seabase?  
What is there to gain?  
Who benefits?  
Who gets squeezed out of  
those spaces?

These days state and  
government are moving, closing  
or whatever they desire and  
leave property either  
deteriorating or vacant for  
decades to move in - Or  
sold to private developers  
for their gain -  
What next?

Brack Commission  
Washington D.C.

August 17, 2005

My husband and I are concerned about  
Military Base closings in our country.



With this nation at war it seems irresponsible  
to close bases at this time.

Our Southern borders with Mexico, remain  
very porous, even with the patriotic movement  
of the Minute men, we don't seem to worry  
about Terrorists except at the airports.

We need Military Bases kept open and  
operating to meet the defensive needs of  
this country.

Thank-you, and I pray you will make  
decisions, on the good of America and her  
future as a sovereign nation, rather than on  
political lines - May the Lord bless you in this  
endeavor.

Mr & Mrs. Norman Godfrey



DATE SENT: 8/18/05 TRANSMITTING 1 PAGE(S) (+ cover sheet)

TO: BRAC COMMISSION FAX NO: 1-703-699-2735

COMPANY: \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE NO: \_\_\_\_\_

IN REFERENCE TO: BASE CLOSINGS.

FROM: PASTOR N.E. STOUFER FAX NO: 1-503-763-2591

COMPANY: \_\_\_\_\_ PHONE NO: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

1. WE NEED THE BASES AS A MEANS OF SECURITY. FOR AMERICA!!!

2. WE THE PEOPLE HAVE YOU IN OFFICE TO REPRESENT US. (REPUBLIC)

3. WE ARE A (DEMOCRACY) YOU ARE A PART OF GOVERNMENT IN WHICH THE SUPREMACY IS HELD BY THE PEOPLE.

4. STOP THIS CLOSING OF BASES ON TOP OF THE EARTH. IF YOU HAVE TO CLOSE BASES - CLOSE THOSE UNDERGROUND!

*Pastor N.E. Stoufer*

**18 August 2005**

**To the BRAC committee,**

**Well here it is – time to make a decision on closing bases.**

**Your Constitutional mandate is that the responsibilities of the U.S. Government is to provide protection of the several states. However you do that you must decide but I can't see how that mandate can be served by closing any stateside bases.**

**Consider this. On 11 September 2001 the Air Force could not even get it's planes in the air from the nearest bases surrounding New York and Washington to intercept so called hijacked airplanes. Maybe they were busy doing something else. It seems to me that there should have been plenty of time to have intercepted them.**


**There has been a long standing plan to reduce the military strength of the U.S. while increasing the strength of the United Nations. I find that BRAC has a familiar smell and must not be allowed to take place.**

**May I suggest we close down bases that are in several other countries. Bring our soldiers and equipment back home and use them to patrol the boarders. I believe that goes along with the Constitutional mandate of protecting the several states.**

**The last item. Considering that we, because of our war on terror, have created enemies all over the world should we even be considering closing any state side bases at this time.**

**I believe there should be no base closings in the U.S.**

**Thank you**



**David Costello  
1405 E. Robin Lane  
Muncie, IN 47303**





8-18-05

In light of Base Closure  
 Bases are necessary for our  
 National Security. Questionable  
 Savings is extremely Small  
 Percentage of Budget  
 We need to ask for investig  
 gation!

Reconsideration of already  
 closed Bases.

We donot agree with plans  
 for total disarmament.

David Benincosa Betty Benincosa  
 Audra Stem Marty Stem  
 O'Arcy Benincosa April Benincosa

BRAC Commission

AUG 18 2005

Received

Mr. and Mrs. Brock Kendrick  
4618 Nations Drive  
Pasadena Texas 77505

2005 BRAC Commission  
2521 South Clark Street  
Arlington Virginia 22202

August 15, 2005


Greetings;

We have both written letters to your commission to voice our **complete support** for all the current BRAC recommendations.

There is one other matter that we'd like to make you aware of. Both of us took the time and made the effort to write to many of our senators, congressmen, and representatives, including House Majority Leader Tom Delay and Senator Cornyn. In these letters we voiced our total and complete support for all the current BRAC recommendations. To our dismay, we received in return, what appeared to be form letters, thanking us for supporting their efforts in **fighting** the BRAC recommendations. How very disheartening to us to feel that our letters were not even read by these leaders.

We do **not** view these BRAC recommendations in a negative light and wish to once again voice our support and to thank you for all your hard work.

Sincerely,



Mr. and Mrs. Brock Kendrick

BRAC Commission

AUG 18 2005

TESTIMONY IN OPPOSITION TO  
BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSING ACTIVITIES Received

August 17, 2005

Anthony J. Principi, Chairman  
Base Realignment And Closing Commission  
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington, Virginia 22202

These are the facts: The Constitution of the United States of America in its Preamble, and in its Article I Section 8 requires the existence of a national common defense, and in Article IV Section 4, it guarantees us a republican form of government. The purpose of this testimony is to document the fact that our nation is being denied these rights by virtue of the series of realignments and closings of our military bases.

This testimony with attachments herein has been circulated throughout the nation, for the purpose of placing a demand upon your Committee to recommend to the President and Congress a termination of all base closures. Further, we seek your co-operation to establish an honest and open public investigation, undertaken by the private sector, with the requirement that media assistance for accurate and thorough reporting by all forms of newscasting be forthcoming to investigate the motives of those promoting realignment and closing of our bases.

On behalf of millions of my fellow citizens, I take this opportunity to enter this opposition to the activities and purpose behind the Base Realignment And Closing. You will find a flyer attached immediately under this letter entitled "Here's What's Behind the Base Closings". The facts laid out in this flyer are validated by the government's own publication known as "The Blueprint for the Peace Race", which explains the reasons behind the conduct of the current administration as it seeks still more bases to be closed or realigned. Pages 19 - 20 from "The Blueprint for the Peace Race" have been reproduced as a sample of intolerable pursuits within our government administration. It documents an unworthy purpose, controlled by an international organization!

The procedure for closing our bases, upon which the BRAC Commission is embarking, is beholden to Public Law 87-297, the general and complete disarmament law. This law was championed by Prescott Bush (grandfather of our current president), and signed by John F. Kennedy in 1961. It is known as the "Arms Control and Disarmament Act". George Herbert Walker Bush (father of our current president) signed an amendment to this law, known as Public Law 101-216 in 1989, and it repeated the definition found in Public Law 87-297:

DEFINITIONS: Sec. 3 As used in this Act - (a) The terms 'arms control' and 'disarmament' mean the identification, verification, inspection, limitation, control, reduction, or elimination, of armed forces and armaments of all kinds under international agreement including the necessary steps taken under such an agreement to establish an effective system of international control, or to create and strengthen international organizations for the maintenance of peace.

Our current President George W. Bush often assures his audiences that he is leading the United States into "Peace" and "Freedom"; and by his own admission is "transforming our military", which is in conformity with various facets of "*The Blueprint for the Peace Race*".

These laws have never been rescinded! To the contrary, since inception, every two years Congress appropriates funds for the enhancement of these complete disarmament laws. These laws are explained in the policy book (known as State Department Publication 7277) and is entitled: "*Freedom from War: The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World*".

The existence of the Homeland Security Agency, which President George W. Bush has instituted, should not be taken lightly, because it coincides with the *requirement* in Publication 7277 (Page 3), which calls for a force "to preserve internal order" which will take over when this nation no longer has a national military at its disposal. Homeland Security has met the time schedule for such a force to appear. Page 3 of Pub. 7277 reads:

The disbanding of all national armed forces and the prohibition of their reestablishment in any form whatsoever other than those required to *preserve internal order* and for contributions to a United Nations Peace Force;

Also, in accord with the scheduling, the services of the Base Realignment And Closing Commission can be used to contribute to the goal of "transforming" our military bases under the authority of the International Disarmament Organization (IDO). The IDO is known to be within the framework of the United Nations. The reductions in our military facilities are a benefit to the stated objectives that the United Nations intends to achieve. The realignments will facilitate operations that support the U.N. Peacekeeping Forces while in our territory, which are scheduled, as so stated, for settling international disputes, terrorism, or any other threat or use of force of any type contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations Charter! The end result is a massive reduction in our own authority, our independence, rights and liberties for the benefit of a global government! The long sought after goal to build a global government, and the capability to do so, have met up and have been joined!

Since it is not possible at this late date for me to supply you with a copy of State Department Publication 7277) entitled: "Freedom from War: The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World" nor "The Blueprint for the Peace Race", I am attaching seven single summary sheets to this testimony relating to same. Nevertheless, I will send these two booklets to you upon your request.


I suggest that you may be able to obtain a copy of each of these two booklets from Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice under whose authority the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency has fairly recently been transferred. If not feasible, you can locate a copy of each on the Internet.

Needless to say, no nation ever did, nor ever can, retain its liberty and independence whenever it gives up its national armed forces to an international organization, which is outside of its authority or best interest.

Nothing will preserve the Constitution of the United States of America except downright *force* under national control, and whenever a nation gives up control of its national armed forces, its republican form, and its future is inevitably and swiftly ruined!

I feel sure that if all the people of this nation were apprised as to what is happening to them, there would never be any "consent of the governed" to continue with the closing and realigning of our military bases.

Respectfully submitted,



Bernadine Smith  
P.O. Box 1776  
Hanford, California

**Attachments:**

Here's What's Behind the Base Closing (flyer)  
Public Law 87-297  
This is State Dept 7277 - Single sheet  
Public Law 101-216  
Pages 19-20 from Blueprint for the Peace Race  
Homeland Security Connected to State Dept. Pub. 7277  
Helmet Diagram



# HERE'S WHAT'S BEHIND THE BASE CLOSINGS



## BLUEPRINT FOR THE PEACE RACE

Outline of Basic Provisions  
of a Treaty on

General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World

**HERE IN THIS GOVERNMENT BOOK ARE THE TRUE REASONS WHY B.R.A.C. (THE BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSING COMMISSION) WANTS TO CLOSE DOWN OUR ESSENTIAL MILITARY BASES!**

With some of the avowed enemies of the United States building up their war machines, and producing nuclear weapons, why are we closing many of our military bases? Ever since we joined the United Nations, the United States was committed to a "Program for General and Complete Disarmament" of our nation in accord with the U.N. Charter.

← This little government book tells all about it. It is expanded by Annexes, which are held in secret. The abridged version of this little book is called: "Freedom from War - The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament in a Peaceful World" (aka Publica-

tion No.7277). The abridged version was compiled by the State Department, so that John F. Kennedy could pass it out when he spoke to the United Nations in 1961 to persuade other nations to give their support. 6-1/2 months later, this little book was completed. It unveils the reason why more bases are being closed by the Base Realignment and Closing Commission (B.R.A.C.). "Redevelopment" is used to minimize the economic consequences.

Not all bases will be closed. Only those bases which are to be used by the "world army" will be allowed to stay open. They are being "transformed" and realigned for approval of the International Disarmament Organization (I.D.O.). The I.D.O. is a major arm of the United Nations. This process is being addressed as the "transformation" of the U.S. military. China is being bought into compliance with the disarmament plans also.

Does George W. Bush know about the "Blueprint for the Peace Race"? Yes, he talks about it all the time, right under your nose, but he uses double talk so that the public does not know what is really happening. His latest round of base closings is not for cutting military expenses. He appointed the B.R.A.C. Commission in order to facilitate the transformation of all the military bases of the United States from use by national armed forces to the exclusive use by international forces of the U.N. world army. The agreement for this plan is in this little government book!

How long has this been going on? Ever since J.F.K. signed the General and Complete Disarmament Law, known as Public Law 87-297. (It can be found on the Internet). JFK made a speech at the United Nations on September 25, 1961, asking for global acceptance of the plan (attached), and he presented to every U.N. member a copy of Publ. No. 7277 (also found on the Internet). The very next day, on September 26, 1961, the Congress passed P.L. 87-297 into law, and Kennedy signed it as future policy for all succeeding presidents. Open up the attached little book. It is hand-marked to guide you through.

In Dec.'89 George W's father (Geo.Herbert Walker Bush) signed another disarmament law to increase Public Law 87-297, called P.L. 101-216. There shall be no more national armed forces: No more Army, Navy, Marines, or Air Force! By the end of Stage III they, including our military bases, will all be transformed as a major part of an international U.N. "world army". This puts an end to our Constitution, our sovereignty, our liberty, and our Declaration of Independence! Catch on! Your government is being overthrown!

SMITH ATTACHMENT NO.2


**NO ARMY**

**NO NAVY**

**NO AIR FORCE**

(AND NO GUNS FOR THE CITIZENS)

### U.S. THREE-STAGE DISARMAMENT PLAN



SMITH ATTACHMENT #3

The above diagram was taken from the "Second Annual Report to Congress" January 1, 1962 - December 31, 1962 - United States Arms Control & Disarmament Agency - Publication 14, February 1963, Page 11.

# New World Order

As you read the above diagram, across the span of the three helmets on a horizontal plane, notice that nations go through a reduction in all three stages until there are Z-E-R-O armed forces in the third stage while the Peacekeeping Machinery becomes increasingly strengthened for COMMAND under the Security Council of the COMMUNIST-CONTROLLED United Nations. It is planned that the United States shall be permanently WITHOUT AN ARMY, A NAVY AND AN AIR FORCE. The Internal Security Forces that are to be assigned the duty of keeping order MAY OR MAY NOT be U.S. citizens. Martial rule shall prevail throughout the United States. Long guns and collector's items MUST also be banned so that the Internal Security Forces CANNOT BE CHALLENGED BY THE CITIZENS. Consult your local librarian or your U.S. Congressman for a copy of these important documents which show how the United States' military might is to be TRANSFERRED to INTERNATIONAL CONTROL.

Tell your congressman that THIS IS AGAINST THE LAW. Tell him to repeal Public Law 87-297 and all the Amendments to that law.

Note the date Public Law 101-216 was signed into law. December 11, 1989. This was during the term of George H. Bush, the father of George W. Bush. It calls for the elimination of armaments of all kinds. That includes the arms owned by law-abiding citizens.

# Public Law 101-216

ACDA AMENDMENTS  
 for conversion of portions of United States defense industries to nondefense-related activities as opportunities are presented through the achievement of successful arms control agreements.

**TITLE II—ON-SITE INSPECTION ACTIVITIES**  
 The Arms Control and Disarmament Act is amended by adding at the end the following:

**"TITLE V—ON-SITE INSPECTION ACTIVITIES**  
**SEC. 41. FINDINGS.**  
 "The Congress finds that—  
 "(1) under this Act, the United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency is charged with the formulation and implementation of all kinds of international arms control and disarmament policy in a manner which will promote the national security;  
 "(2) as defined in this Act, the terms 'arms control' and 'disarmament' mean 'the identification, verification, inspection, limitation, control, reduction, or elimination, of armed forces and armaments of all kinds under international agreement to establish an effective system of international control';  
 "(3) the On-Site Inspection Agency was established in 1968 pursuant to the INF Treaty provisions of the INF Treaty;

**SEC. 101. ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION AND COMPLIANCE RESOLUTION.**  
 The Director of the United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency should study, and report to the Congress of establishing in the Agency an arms control compliance resolution for—  
 (1) managing the implementation of arms control agreements;  
 (2) coordinating the activities of the Agency and the Stand-By Commission and the Stand-By Commission regarding the effective implementation of arms control agreements;  
 (3) preparing comprehensive reports regarding the effective implementation of arms control agreements.

**SEC. 102. ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY.**  
 (a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Arms Control and Disarmament Agency shall be established as a working unit within the Executive Branch of the Government.  
 (1) To establish a working unit within the Executive Branch of the Government.  
 (2) To establish a working unit within the Executive Branch of the Government.  
 (3) To establish a working unit within the Executive Branch of the Government.

**SEC. 103. DUTIES OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR.**  
 Section 23 of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2562) is amended in the second sentence to read as follows: "The Deputy Director shall have direct responsibility, under the supervision of the Director, for the administrative management of the Agency, intelligence-related activities, security, and the performance of other duties and exercise such other powers as the Director may prescribe."

**SEC. 104. DUTIES OF THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVES.**  
 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 27 of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2567) is amended by striking out "who shall perform" and all that follows through the period and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "one of whom should serve as special representative for conventional arms control negotiations, and the other should serve as special representative and chief science advisor to the Director. The two Special Representatives shall perform their duties and exercise their powers under the direction of the President and the Secretary of State, acting through the Director."  
 (b) APPOINTMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to individuals who are appointed as Special Representatives on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 105. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1990.**  
 "Sec. 49. (a) To carry out the purposes of this Act, there are authorized to be appropriated—  
 "(A) \$36,000,000 for the fiscal year 1990; and  
 "(B) such additional amounts as may be necessary for fiscal years 1990 and 1991 for increases in salary, pay, retirement, and other employee benefits authorized by law, and other non-discretionary costs, and to offset adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates."

**PUBLIC LAW 101-216**  
**FOR LEGISLATIVE HISTORY OF ACT, SEE REPORT FOR P.L. 101-216 IN U.S.C.C. & A.N. LEGISLATIVE HISTORY SECTION.**  
**ACT OF 1989**  
 For Legislative History of Act, see Report for P.L. 101-216 in U.S.C.C. & A.N. Legislative History Section.

**PUBLIC LAW 101-316 (H.R. 1495) DECEMBER 11, 1989**  
**ACT OF 1989**  
 For Legislative History of Act, see Report for P.L. 101-316 in U.S.C.C. & A.N. Legislative History Section.

**SEC. 106. ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY.**  
 Section 49(a) of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act is amended to read as follows:  
 "Sec. 49. (a) To carry out the purposes of this Act, there are authorized to be appropriated—  
 "(A) \$36,000,000 for the fiscal year 1990; and  
 "(B) such additional amounts as may be necessary for fiscal years 1990 and 1991 for increases in salary, pay, retirement, and other employee benefits authorized by law, and other non-discretionary costs, and to offset adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates."

SMITH ATTACHMENT NO. 4

"(2) as defined in this Act, the terms 'arms control' and 'disarmament' mean 'the identification, verification, inspection, limitation, control, reduction, or elimination, of armed forces and armaments of all kinds under international agreement to establish an effective system of international control';



State Department Publication #7277



FREEDOM  
FROM  
WAR



THE  
UNITED STATES  
PROGRAM FOR  
GENERAL AND  
COMPLETE  
DISARMAMENT  
IN A PEACEFUL  
WORLD

# CREATION OF THE HOMELAND SECURITY

an 'Agency'.

## FREEDOM FROM WAR

THE UNITED STATES PROGRAM FOR  
GENERAL AND COMPLETE DISARM-  
AMENT IN A PEACEFUL WORLD

### Summary

#### DISARMAMENT GOAL AND OBJECTIVES

The over-all goal of the United States is a free, secure, and peaceful world of independent states adhering to common standards of justice and international conduct and subjecting the use of force to the rule of law; a world which has achieved general and complete disarmament under effective international control; and a world in which adjustment to change takes place in accordance with the principles of the United Nations.

In order to make possible the achievement of that goal, the program sets forth the following specific objectives toward which nations should direct their efforts:

- The disbanding of all national armed forces and the prohibition of their reestablishment in any form whatsoever other than those required to preserve internal order and for contributions to a United Nations Peace Force;
- The elimination from national arsenals of all armaments, including all weapons of mass destruction and

3

SMITH ATTACHMENT NO.5

The page on the right is taken from State Department Publication #7277, Page 3. This is the policy book that explains Public Law 87-297 and Public Law 101-216. Notice that "The United States Program for General and Complete Disarmament" calls for (1) *the disbanding of all national armed forces and the prohibition of their reestablishment in any form whatsoever, transferring on a permanent basis* all of our armed forces for the United Nations usage in building its army over the whole world. The Program also provides for (2) an internal order system (a military gov't.) within the emasculated nation while global control systems are instituted over its "homeland". During this *transition period* the nation is taken *from* a position of sovereignty *into* full blown global government *communitarian* management.



Public Law 87-297  
87th Congress, H. R. 9118  
September 26, 1961

An Act

75 STAT. 631.

To establish a United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

TITLE I—SHORT TITLE, PURPOSE, AND DEFINITIONS

SHORT TITLE

SECTION 1. This Act may be cited as the "Arms Control and Disarmament Act".

Arms Control  
and Disarmament  
Act.

PURPOSE

SEC. 2. An ultimate goal of the United States is a world which is free from the scourge of war and the dangers and burdens of armaments; in which the use of force has been subordinated to the rule of law; and in which international adjustments to a changing world are achieved peacefully. It is the purpose of this Act to provide impetus toward this goal by creating a new agency of peace to deal with the problem of reduction and control of armaments looking toward ultimate world disarmament.

Arms control and disarmament policy, being an important aspect of foreign policy, must be consistent with national security policy as a whole. The formulation and implementation of United States arms control and disarmament policy in a manner which will promote the national security can best be insured by a central organization charged by statute with primary responsibility for this field. This organization must have such a position within the Government that it can provide the President, the Secretary of State, other officials of the executive branch, and the Congress with recommendations concerning United States arms control and disarmament policy, and can assess the effect of these recommendations upon our foreign policies, our national security policies, and our economy.

This organization must have the capacity to provide the essential scientific, economic, political, military, psychological, and technological information upon which realistic arms control and disarmament policy must be based. It must be able to carry out the following primary functions:

- (a) The conduct, support, and coordination of research for arms control and disarmament policy formulation;
- (b) The preparation for and management of United States participation in international negotiations in the arms control and disarmament field;
- (c) The dissemination and coordination of public information concerning arms control and disarmament; and
- (d) The preparation for, operation of, or as appropriate, direction of United States participation in such control systems as may become part of United States arms control and disarmament activities.

DEFINITIONS

SEC. 3. As used in this Act—

- (a) The terms "arms control" and "disarmament" mean the identification, verification, inspection, limitation, control, reduction, or elimination, of armed forces and armaments of all kinds under international agreement including the necessary steps taken under such an agreement to establish an effective system of international control, or to create and strengthen international organizations for the maintenance of peace.


SMITH ATTACHMENT NO. 6

**THIS IS STATE DEPT. DOCUMENT NUMBER 7277\***

**AND WHAT IT CONTAINS**

(Partially)

THIS IS THE OFFICIAL DISARMAMENT PROGRAM THE U.S. GOVERNMENT IS ENGAGED IN.



**THE UNITED STATES PROGRAM FOR GENERAL AND COMPLETE DISARMAMENT IN A PEACEFUL WORLD \***

**\*THIS PUBLICATION IS AN ABRIDGEMENT OF THE "BLUEPRINT FOR THE PEACE RACE," WHICH IS MORE EXPLICIT.**

- The dismantling or the conversion to peaceful uses of certain military bases and facilities wherever located; and

Pg. 9

**DISARMAMENT STAGES**

The program provides for progressive disarmament steps to take place in three stages and for the simultaneous strengthening of international institutions.

Pg. 5

Inspection and verification must establish both that nations carry out scheduled limitations or reductions and that they do not retain armed forces and armaments in excess of those permitted at any stage of the disarmament process; and

Pg. 5

As states relinquish their arms, the United Nations must be progressively strengthened in order to improve its capacity to assure international security and the peaceful settlement of disputes;

Pg. 5

Disarmament must proceed as rapidly as possible, until it is completed, in stages containing balanced, phased, and safeguarded measures;

Pg. 5

Each measure and stage should be carried out in an agreed period of time, with transition from one stage to the next to take place as soon as all measures in the preceding stage have been carried out and verified and as soon as necessary arrangements for verification of the next stage have been made;

Pg. 5

All items above have been clipped from the State Department booklet No. 7277 (above).

The disbanding of all national armed forces and the prohibition of their reestablishment in any form whatsoever other than those required to preserve internal order and for contributions to a United Nations Peace Force;

Pg. 9

The peace-keeping capabilities of the United Nations would be sufficiently strong and the obligations of all states under such arrangements sufficiently far-reaching as to assure peace and the just settlement of differences in a disarmed world.

Pg. 10

The manufacture of armaments would be prohibited except for those of agreed types and quantities to be used by the U.N. Peace Force and those required to maintain internal order. All other armaments would be destroyed or converted to peaceful purposes.

Pg. 10

Measures would be taken to develop and strengthen United Nations arrangements for arbitration, for the development of international law, and for the establishment in Stage II of a permanent U.N. Peace Force.

Pg. 7

States would retain only those forces, non-nuclear armaments, and establishments required for the purpose of maintaining internal order; they would also support and provide agreed manpower for a U.N. Peace Force.

Pg. 9