DCN: 8987

THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

3010 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3010

JUN 27 2003

ACQUISITION, TECHNOLOGY AND LOGISTICS

MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARIES OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS
CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF
INFRASTRUCTURE STEERING GROUP
CHAIRMEN, BRAC 2005 JOINT CROSS-SERVICE GROUPS

Subject: Interim Selection Criteria

The DoD Components and Joint Cross-Service Groups will use the attached interim selection criteria to begin framing data calls and initiating analysis of the Department's functions and installations pending the issuance of the final criteria. Military value criteria shall receive primary consideration in the analytical process. The interim criteria, issued pursuant to "Policy Memorandum One--Policy, Responsibilities, and Procedures" dated April 16, 2003, address the requirements of the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2002.

The Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) statute requires the Department to develop selection criteria to use in its analytical process. The BRAC statute requires that by December 31, 2003, the Department publish draft selection criteria in the *Federal Register* for a thirty day public comment period. After the public comment period the Department must submit the final criteria to the congressional defense committees by February 14, 2004. Unless the criteria are explicitly disapproved by an Act of Congress the section criteria become final on March 15, 2004.

Michael W. Wynne
Acting USD (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics)
Chairman, Infrastructure Steering Group

Attachment
As stated

Interim Selection Criteria

Military Value

1. The current and future mission requirements and the impact on operational readiness of the Department of Defense's total force, including impacts on joint warfighting, training, and readiness.

2. The availability and condition of land, facilities and associated airspace, including training areas suitable for maneuver by ground, naval, or air forces throughout a diversity of climate and terrain areas and staging areas for the use of the Armed Forces in homeland defense missions, at both existing and potential receiving locations.

3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, and future total force requirements at both existing and potential receiving locations to support operations and training.

4. The cost and manpower implications.

Return on Investment

5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs.

Impacts

6. The economic impact on existing communities in the vicinity of military installations.

7. The ability of both the existing and potential receiving communities' infrastructure to support forces, missions and personnel.

8. The environmental impact, including the impact of costs related to potential environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities.