

Clearinghouse,

Request answers to the following questions regarding the Air National Guard.

- 1) What is the NPV 20-year savings for the Air Force portion of BRAC?
- 2) What is the NPV 20-year savings for the Air National Guard portion of BRAC?
- 3) What is the NPV 20-year savings (or costs) for each of the recommendations involving the Air National Guard? Show savings or costs of ANG components only - lump sum for each of the titled recommendations.

For Example:

Birmingham International Airport Guard Station, AL - (all Guard related so this one is easy)

Kulis AGS, AK - extract anything related to ANG and show savings or costs of ANG part

(Repeat for each action involving the ANG)

- 4) With respect to all of the Air National Guard actions, what are the total personnel cost savings as used in the COBRA model? (One lump sum number is sufficient.)
- 5) By recommendation, provide the following information:

What is the total number of authorized drill positions for each ANG unit (by Wing) that is being recommended for closure or realignment?

What number of these authorized drill positions are expected to transfer to the gaining location?

When will the unit at the gaining location achieve a deployable readiness status? (following retraining, recruiting, etc)

12 July 2005

Inquiry Response

Re: BI-0112 (CT-0463) and BI-0115 (CT-0466) - Several ANG questions

Requester: Mr. Karl Gingrich (BRAC Commission Staff)

Question:

Request answers to the following questions regarding the Air National Guard.

- 1) What is the NPV 20-year savings for the Air Force portion of BRAC?
- 2) What is the NPV 20-year savings for the Air National Guard portion of BRAC?
- 3) What is the NPV 20-year savings (or costs) for each of the recommendations involving the Air National Guard? Show savings or costs of ANG components only - lump sum for each of the titled recommendations.

For Example:

Birmingham International Airport Guard Station, AL - (all Guard related so this one is easy)

Kulis AGS, AK - extract anything related to ANG and show savings or costs of ANG part

(Repeat for each action involving the ANG)

- 4) With respect to all of the Air National Guard actions, what are the total personnel cost savings as used in the COBRA model? (One lump sum number is sufficient.)
- 5) By recommendation, provide the following information:
 - (A) What is the total number of authorized drill positions for each ANG unit (by Wing) that is being recommended for closure or realignment?
 - (B) What number of these authorized drill positions are expected to transfer to the gaining location?
 - (C) When will the unit at the gaining location achieve a deployable readiness status? (following retraining, recruiting, etc)

Answer:

Questions 1, 2, 3 and 4 are large undertakings and will require extensive re-analysis of recommendations. In accordance with direction from the BRAC Commission staff, SAF/IEBB will work other higher priority analysis prior to working this request.

Questions 5 A and 5 B - The attached spreadsheet provides a comprehensive view of BRAC impact on ANG Drill positions across affected installations. The "BRAC IMPACT" column represents impacts that are a pure result of BRAC recommendations where force structure is moved between installations. The "Non-BRAC PROGRAMMATIC" column represents impacts due to actions that were announced in BRAC but are not included in COBRA analysis; these actions include aircraft retirements and creation of associate units. The "GSU CONSOLIDATION" column indicates additions to manpower totals to installations where geographically separated units are moved onto a larger installation.

Question 5 C - For mission conversion, ANG units are usually expected to meet Initial Operational Capability (IOC), with C-3 status, within two years of initiating conversion. Due to the reserve nature of ANG capability, Fully Operational Capability (FOC) dates are not normally identified for ANG units during conversions or moves. For unit moves or size increases of ANG units, IOC dates are assigned on a case-by-case basis following detailed SATAF study. Therefore, at this time it is not possible to know when each gaining location will achieve deployable readiness status. The two underlying unknowns are: 1) Where locations are losing aircraft, it is not known how many ANG drill status members will choose to continue to serve in their current roll at another location; and 2) Where personnel shortfalls are created due to BRAC actions, the time required to correct those shortfalls will be dependent on the rate of new ANG accessions in local communities and then the availability of Air Force training and school allocations. The ability to recruit in communities near ANG installations was a prime consideration in our deliberations.

The Air Force process used the following assumptions within a methodology to estimate the number of accessions and formal training cycles that will be required as a result of BRAC recommendations: 1) Assume that training required due to normal attrition is not a BRAC expense; 2) Assume that many (80%) of drill members will choose to maintain their ANG affiliation if their position moves less than 200 miles and less than two states away; 3) Assume that few (20%) of drill members will choose to maintain their ANG affiliation if their position moves more than 200 miles or two or more states away; 4) Assume that 33% of ANG accession to fill BRAC related shortfalls will come to the ANG fully trained and do not require formal school training.

Approved

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. L. JOHANSEN', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

DAVID L. JOHANSEN, Lt Col, USAF
Chief, Base Realignment and Closure Division

BRAC IMPACTS ON ANG DRILL MANPOWER

State	ILN	BASELINE	BRAC IMPACT	Non-BRAC PROGRAMMATIC	GSU CONSOLIDATION	END STATE
MD	Andrews	1078	291	0	0	1369
NJ	Atlantic City	913	601	-348	0	1166
ME	Bangor	800	447	-331	0	916
MA	Barnes	838	262	0	0	1100
AL	Birmingham	826	-320	0	0	506
ID	Boise	1133	-80	0	0	1053
CT	Bradley	838	-229	-148	0	461
CO	Buckley	958	75	0	0	1033
VT	Burlington	891	102	0	0	993
IL	Capital	1027	-445	0	0	582
CA	Channel Islands	1161	105	-41	0	1225
NC	Charlotte-Douglas	1154	32	0	0	1186
WY	Cheyenne	986	0	0	0	986
WI	Dane County	925	122	0	0	1047
AL	Dannelly	892	118	0	0	1010
IA	Des Moines	928	72	0	0	1000
DE	Dover	0	91	0	0	91
MN	Duluth	895	0	-446	0	449
WV	EWVRA Shepherd	1119	123	0	0	1242
AK	Eielson	529	82	0	0	611
TX	Ellington	917	-3	-475	98	537
AK	Elmendorf	80	1088	0	0	1168
NY	F.S. Gabreski	837	0	0	0	837
WA	Fairchild	785	-339	331	258	1035
KS	Forbes	816	395	-342	0	869
IN	Fort Wayne	876	729	-443	0	1162
TX	Fort Worth	883	394	0	0	1277
CA	Fresno	894	729	-412	0	1211
AR	Fort Smith	912	-230	-246	0	436
WI	Gen Mitchell AGS	762	81	0	0	843
ND	Grand Forks	0	0	150	0	150
MT	Great Falls	869	-211	-263	0	395
IL	Peoria	1174	174	0	0	1348
PA	Pittsburg AGS	1302	0	0	0	1302
NY	Hancock	1076	-27	-445	0	604
PA	Harrisburg	1033	0	0	0	1033
ND	Hector	942	0	-502	0	440
HI	Hickam	1565	0	0	0	1565
IN	Hulman	916	-311	-178	0	427
MS	Jackson	1070	27	0	0	1097
FL	Jacksonville	915	239	0	0	1154
SD	Joe Foss	910	72	27	0	1009
MS	Key Field	902	-242	-84	0	576
NM	Kirtland	870	82	0	0	952
OR	Klamath Falls	521	0	0	0	521
AK	Kulis	1088	-1088	0	0	0
TX	Lackland	701	119	0	0	820
MO	Lambert-St. Louis	1120	-508	0	314	926
VA	Langley	16	0	895	0	911
NE	Lincoln	779	0	0	0	779
AR	Little Rock	843	383	-322	0	904
PR	Luis Munoz Marin	834	-91	-303	0	440
OH	Mansfield-Lahm	911	-911	0	0	0

BRAC IMPACTS ON ANG DRILL MANPOWER

State	ILN	BASELINE	BRAC IMPACT	Non-BRAC PROGRAMMATIC	GSU CONSOLIDATION	END STATE
CA	March	775	-298	0	0	477
MD	Martin State	1453	-333	0	0	1120
KS	McConnell	1168	-461	0	0	707
SC	McEntire	1120	0	0	0	1120
TN	McGhee-Tyson	981	405	-330	0	1056
NJ	McGuire	1241	133	-694	0	680
TN	Memphis	1012	124	0	0	1136
CA	Moffett	799	0	0	0	799
TN	Nashville	1161	-697	0	0	464
NV	Nellis	0	276	155	0	431
DE	New Castle	1003	-507	0	0	496
LA	New Orleans	911	227	0	119	1257
NY	Niagara Falls	738	-738	0	0	0
MA	Otis	1074	-914	0	0	160
NH	Pease	850	69	0	0	919
AZ	Phoenix	743	58	0	0	801
OR	Portland	1142	-398	0	0	744
RI	Quonset State	876	234	-113	0	997
NV	Reno-Tahoe	937	-425	0	0	512
VA	Richmond	918	-276	-619	0	23
OH	Rickenbacker	1188	27	0	0	1215
GA	Robins	1017	-5	0	141	1153
MO	Rosecrans	964	343	0	0	1307
UT	Salt Lake	1307	0	0	0	1307
GA	Savannah	869	189	0	0	1058
NY	Schenectady	1017	-35	0	0	982
IL	Scott	788	419	-273	0	934
MI	Selfridge	1563	939	-883	0	1619
IA	Sioux City	764	331	-284	0	811
OH	Springfield	958	-466	0	0	492
KY	Standiford	1062	158	0	0	1220
NY	Stewart	1404	0	0	0	1404
OK	Tinker	0	0	353	0	353
OH	Toledo	961	302	0	0	1263
AZ	Tucson	1358	0	0	0	1358
OK	Tulsa	1069	243	0	0	1312
MI	Kellogg	845	-845	0	0	0
OK	Will Rogers	1214	-613	0	0	601
PA	Willow Grove	949	-693	-143	0	113
WV	Yeager	868	-447	0	0	421