



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
2000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

DCN 8549

IN REPLY REFER TO

ACTION MEMO

FOR: ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY (INSTALLATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT)

FROM: ADMIRAL MICHAEL G. MULLEN, Vice Chief of Naval Operations

MGM *USA* 2/4/04

SUBJECT: FINAL BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE SELECTION CRITERIA

- The USD(AT&L) memo of 2 February 2003 requested the concurrent review of the BRAC Selection Criteria by the Infrastructure Executive Council (IEC) and the Infrastructure Steering Group (ISG). I am responding on behalf of the Navy IEC representative.
- Navy concurs with the proposed Selection Criteria and recommends it be forwarded to the Secretary of Defense for approval.

Prepared By: CDR David Sienicki; 703-601-1608



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

MM-0062-F1
*** MASTER DOCUMENT ***
DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILES


8 December 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMAN, INFRASTRUCTURE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

Subj: COORDINATION ON BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (BRAC) 2005
SELECTION CRITERIA

In response to your December 1, 2003 request for review of the Selection Criteria, the Department of the Navy Infrastructure Executive Council (IEC) members concur with the recommendations of the Infrastructure Steering Group (ISG) and recommend going forward to the Secretary of Defense for approval.

This response has been coordinated with the Chief of Naval Operations and the Commandant of the Marine Corps.



Gordon R. England
Secretary of the Navy

MASTER DOCUMENT
DO NOT REMOVE

10



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, DC 20380-1775

IN REPLY REFER TO:

LF

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY

Subj: BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE SELECTION CRITERIA

Ref: (a) DEPSECDEF Memo of Dec 1, 2003

I concur in the subject criteria and action memorandum as provided by the reference,
and recommend forwarding for publication in the *Federal Register*.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. W. Hagee", is positioned above the printed name.

M. W. Hagee



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
2000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

ACTION MEMO

December 4, 2003

FOR: CHAIRMAN, INFRASTRUCTURE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

FROM: ADM VERN CLARK, Chief of Naval Operations

Vern Clark

12/4/03

VIA: SECRETARY OF THE NAVY

SUBJECT: Base Realignment and Closure Selection Criteria

- As requested by DEPSECDEF memo of 1 December 2003, I concur with the proposed Draft Selection Criteria and recommend that they be forwarded to the Secretary of Defense for approval.

COORDINATION: None

Prepared By: CDR David Sienicki; 703-601-1608



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
(INSTALLATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT)
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20350-1000

04 November 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMAN, INFRASTRUCTURE STEERING GROUP

Subj: COORDINATION OF DRAFT SELECTION CRITERIA

The Department of the Navy Infrastructure Steering Group (ISG) members have reviewed your memorandum of Oct 22, 2003 on the draft selection criteria. We concur with the recommendations and support going forward to the Infrastructure Executive Council (IEC) for approval.

This response has been coordinated with the Vice Chief of Naval Operations and the Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps.

H T Johnson
H. T. Johnson

MASTER DOCUMENT
DO NOT REMOVE

MN-0046-F1
*** MASTER DOCUMENT ***
DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILES

areas for the use of the Armed Forces in homeland defense missions, at both the existing and potential receiving locations.

3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, and future total force requirements at both the existing and potential receiving locations to support operations and training.
4. The cost and manpower implications.

Return on Investment

5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs.

Impacts

6. The economic impact on existing communities in the vicinity of military installations.
 7. The ability of both the existing and potential receiving communities' infrastructure to support forces, missions, and personnel.
 8. The environmental impact, including the impact of costs related to potential environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities.
- On 22 Oct 03, Chairman of the ISG requested coordination of the Draft Selection Criteria by the ISG members, TAB B. The Draft Selection Criteria are the same as those previously reviewed by the Military Departments, and the ISG.

RECOMMENDATION: Sign proposed memo to Chairman ISG, TAB A

COORDINATION: VCNO and ACMC (TAB C)

Attachments:
As stated

Prepared by: CDR Ginger Rice, DASN IS&A, 697-6638

Draft Final Selection Criteria

Military Value

1. The current and future mission requirements and the impact on operational readiness of the Department of Defense's total force, including impacts on joint warfighting, training, and readiness.
2. The availability and condition of land, facilities and associated airspace, including training areas suitable for maneuver by ground, naval, or air forces throughout a diversity of climate and terrain areas and staging areas for the use of the Armed Forces in homeland defense missions, at both existing and potential receiving locations.
3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, and future total force requirements at both existing and potential receiving locations to support operations and training.
4. The cost and manpower implications.

Return on Investment

5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs.
-

Impacts

6. The economic impact on existing communities in the vicinity of military installations.
7. The ability of both the existing and potential receiving communities' infrastructure to support forces, missions and personnel.
8. The environmental impact, including the impact of costs related to potential environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities.



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, DC 20380-1775**

IN REPLY REFER TO:

LF
30 OCT 2003

MEMORANDUM FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY
(INSTALLATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT)

Subj: COORDINATION OF DRAFT SELECTION CRITERIA FOR
BRAC05

Ref: (a) Acting USD(AT&L) Memorandum of Oct 22, 2003

1. Concur in forwarding the draft criteria provided by the reference through the Infrastructure Executive Council to the Secretary of Defense for approval.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "W. L. Nyland".

W. L. NYLAND
General, U.S. Marine Corps
Assistant Commandant of the
Marine Corps



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
2000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20350-2000

IN REPLY REFER TO

ACTION MEMO

October 30, 2003

FOR: ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE NAVY (INSTALLATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT)

MGMullen 11/3/03

FROM: Admiral Michael G. Mullen, Vice Chief of Naval Operations

SUBJECT: Draft Selection Criteria

- As requested by USD(AT&L) memo of October 22, 2003, I concur with the proposed Draft Selection Criteria and recommend that they be forwarded to the Infrastructure Executive Council for approval.

RECOMMENDATION: Forward for approval.

COORDINATION: VADM Charles W. Moore, Jr. (N4)

Attachments:
As stated

Prepared By: CDR David Sienicki; 703-601-1608

H.T.

Only comment would be to the degree the BRAC 2005 authorization said earlier criteria were "inapplicable", with the exception(s) (and they are not small exceptions) of joint and HLS, these criteria look the same to me.

usr/mike
11-3-03



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
HEADQUARTERS UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON, DC 20380-1775

IN REPLY REFER TO:

11000

LF

MEMORANDUM FOR SECRETARY OF THE NAVY

Subj: COORDINATION OF THE FINAL SELECTION CRITERIA FOR
BRAC 2005

Ref: (a) USD (AT&L) memo of 2 Feb 2003

1. In response to the reference, the Marine Corps concurs in
the subject document.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. W. Hagee", is written over the typed name and title. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

M. W. Hagee
Commandant of the Marine Corps