

AUG 15 2005

Lloyd Newton,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment: Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule: this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

AUG 15 2005

Received

James T. Hill,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 15 2000

Received

Anthony J. Principi,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated.

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 15 2005

Received

James T. Hill,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number **did not** appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

AUG 15 2017

Received

James V. Hansen.

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number **did not** appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

AUG 15 2005

Received

Philip Coyle,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to stress the importance of the economic impact that closing Cannon would have on our community. The DOD underestimated the economic impact of closing Cannon by starting with a much lower baseline of military personnel and civilians that are currently stationed at the base.

The current authorized manpower at Cannon is 3,919; the Air Force used an inaccurate baseline of 2,824 people. The DOD also calculates a 20.5% employment impact from closing Cannon, a scientifically reliable analysis based on actual manpower finds a higher impact to be 30.58%. Historic precedent from other regional closings confirms this higher percentage. Even at the lower impact, the 20.5% figure poses the largest job loss as a percentage of community employment of all 2005 BRAC recommendations, nearly double the second largest impact. If Cannon were to close, it is likely that the nearby communities would never fully recover within the lifetimes of the current residents. The region also reports a minority population of more than 50%. Closure of Cannon would disproportionately impact this population. Curry and Roosevelt counties are currently designated "medically underserved" by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If Cannon were to close, this situation would worsen. I hope you can see the dilemma my fellow citizens and I am faced with. Now put yourself in our shoes could you let something like closing Cannon affect you without a fight or better yet could you imagine what something like this would do to your hometown. I only write with the deepest regards that a just decision will be made for the well being of this town and our very way of life.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

BRAC Commission

AUG 11 2005

RECEIVED

Harold W. Grehman Jr.,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to stress the importance of the economic impact that closing Cannon would have on our community. The DOD underestimated the economic impact of closing Cannon by starting with a much lower baseline of military personnel and civilians that are currently stationed at the base.

The current authorized manpower at Cannon is 3,919; the Air Force used an inaccurate baseline of 2,824 people. The DOD also calculates a 20.5% employment impact from closing Cannon, a scientifically reliable analysis based on actual manpower finds a higher impact to be 30.58%. Historic precedent from other regional closings confirms this higher percentage. Even at the lower impact, the 20.5% figure poses the largest job loss as a percentage of community employment of all 2005 BRAC recommendations, nearly double the second largest impact. If Cannon were to close, it is likely that the nearby communities would never fully recover within the lifetimes of the current residents. The region also reports a minority population of more than 50%. Closure of Cannon would disproportionately impact this population. Curry and Roosevelt counties are currently designated "medically underserved" by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If Cannon were to close, this situation would worsen. I hope you can see the dilemma my fellow citizens and I am faced with. Now put yourself in our shoes could you let something like closing Cannon affect you without a fight or better yet could you imagine what something like this would do to your hometown. I only write with the deepest regards that a just decision will be made for the well being of this town and our very way of life.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

James T. Hill,

AUG 17 2005

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to stress the importance of the economic impact that closing Cannon would have on our community. The DOD underestimated the economic impact of closing Cannon by starting with a much lower baseline of military personnel and civilians that are currently stationed at the base.

The current authorized manpower at Cannon is 3,919, the Air Force used an inaccurate baseline of 2,824 people. The DOD also calculates a 20.5% employment impact from closing Cannon, a scientifically reliable analysis based on actual manpower finds a higher impact to be 30.58%. Historic precedent from other regional closings confirms this higher percentage. Even at the lower impact, the 20.5% figure poses the largest job loss as a percentage of community employment of all 2005 BRAC recommendations, nearly double the second largest impact. If Cannon were to close, it is likely that the nearby communities would never fully recover within the lifetimes of the current residents. The region also reports a minority population of more than 50%. Closure of Cannon would disproportionately impact this population. Curry and Roosevelt counties are currently designated "medically underserved" by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If Cannon were to close, this situation would worsen. I hope you can see the dilemma my fellow citizens and I am faced with. Now put yourself in our shoes could you let something like closing Cannon affect you without a fight or better yet could you imagine what something like this would do to your hometown. I only write with the deepest regards that a just decision will be made for the well being of this town and our very way of life.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

State Commissioner

AUG 11 2005

Received

Harold W. Grehman Jr.,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated.

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 15 2005

09:20:00

James V. Hansen,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to stress the importance of the economic impact that closing Cannon would have on our community. The DOD underestimated the economic impact of closing Cannon by starting with a much lower baseline of military personnel and civilians that are currently stationed at the base.

The current authorized manpower at Cannon is 3,919; the Air Force used an inaccurate baseline of 2,824 people. The DOD also calculates a 20.5% employment impact from closing Cannon, a scientifically reliable analysis based on actual manpower finds a higher impact to be 30.58%. Historic precedent from other regional closings confirms this higher percentage. Even at the lower impact, the 20.5% figure passes the largest job loss as a percentage of community employment of all 2005 BRAC recommendations, nearly double the second largest impact. If Cannon were to close, it is likely that the nearby communities would never fully recover within the lifetimes of the current residents. The region also reports a minority population of more than 50%. Closure of Cannon would disproportionately impact this population. Curry and Roosevelt counties are currently designated "medically underserved" by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If Cannon were to close, this situation would worsen. I hope you can see the dilemma my fellow citizens and I am faced with. Now put yourself in our shoes could you let something like closing Cannon affect you without a fight or better yet could you imagine what something like this would do to your hometown. I only write with the deepest regards that a just decision will be made for the well being of this town and our very way of life.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

BRAC Commission

AUG 1 2005

Receives

Lloyd Newton,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to stress the importance of the economic impact that closing Cannon would have on our community. The DOD underestimated the economic impact of closing Cannon by starting with a much lower baseline of military personnel and civilians that are currently stationed at the base.

The current authorized manpower at Cannon is 3,919; the Air Force used an inaccurate baseline of 2,824 people. The DOD also calculates a 20.5% employment impact from closing Cannon, a scientifically reliable analysis based on actual manpower finds a higher impact to be 30.58%. Historic precedent from other regional closings confirms this higher percentage. Even at the lower impact, the 20.5% figure poses the largest job loss as a percentage of community employment of all 2005 BRAC recommendations, nearly double the second largest impact. If Cannon were to close, it is likely that the nearby communities would never fully recover within the lifetimes of the current residents. The region also reports a minority population of more than 50%. Closure of Cannon would disproportionately impact this population. Curry and Roosevelt counties are currently designated "medically underserved" by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If Cannon were to close, this situation would worsen. I hope you can see the dilemma my fellow citizens and I am faced with. Now put yourself in our shoes could you let something like closing Cannon affect you without a fight or better yet could you imagine what something like this would do to your hometown. I only write with the deepest regards that a just decision will be made for the well being of this town and our very way of life.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

AUG 17 2015

16000000

Samuel Knox Skinner,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to stress the importance of the economic impact that closing Cannon would have on our community. The DOD underestimated the economic impact of closing Cannon by starting with a much lower baseline of military personnel and civilians that are currently stationed at the base

The current authorized manpower at Cannon is 3,919; the Air Force used an inaccurate baseline of 2,824 people. The DOD also calculates a 20.5% employment impact from closing Cannon, a scientifically reliable analysis based on actual manpower finds a higher impact to be 30.58%. Historic precedent from other regional closings confirms this higher percentage. Even at the lower impact, the 20.5% figure poses the largest job loss as a percentage of community employment of all 2005 BRAC recommendations, nearly double the second largest impact. If Cannon were to close, it is likely that the nearby communities would never fully recover within the lifetimes of the current residents. The region also reports a minority population of more than 50%. Closure of Cannon would disproportionately impact this population. Curry and Roosevelt counties are currently designated "medically underserved" by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If Cannon were to close, this situation would worsen. I hope you can see the dilemma my fellow citizens and I am faced with. Now put yourself in our shoes could you let something like closing Cannon affect you without a fight or better yet could you imagine what something like this would do to your hometown. I only write with the deepest regards that a just decision will be made for the well being of this town and our very way of life.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

Anthony J. Principi

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment: Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule: this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

AUG 15 2005

James H. Bilbray.

Received

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% (this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none). The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

AUG 31 2010

Receiver

Philip Coyle.

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment: Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule, this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

4/16/15 2005

Harold W. Grehman Jr.,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like **emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base**. The ^{receive} Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% (this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none). The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

AUG 15 2010

Received

Anthony J. Principi,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

DATE: 11/11/11

RECEIVED

Philip Coyle,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

Sue Ellen Turner,

411E - 2007

Received

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated.

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

James H. Bilbray,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 15 2005

received

Lloyd Newton,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

4UG 15 2005

Received

James T Hill,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated.

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

James V. Hansen,

AUG 11 2005

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson

AUG 15 2005

Received

Philip Coyle,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated.

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 15 2005

Receiver

Lloyd Newton,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated.

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 11 2005

RECEIVED

Samuel Knox Skinner,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated.

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 11 2005

Received

Sue Ellen Turner,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like to emphasize the cost savings as outlined by the Air Force grossly overstated.

I would like to point out the three reasons the Air Force thought cost savings were out of proportion in the proposed effort to close Cannon. In the first reason the (DOD) calculated costs savings are overstated based on the number of personnel, which will be moved elsewhere and not eliminated from the force. We have not been provided information explaining the NPV savings increase from \$1.3 billion to \$2.7 billion in the last month before the release of the recommendation. Secondly, the DOD calculated cost savings as \$2.7 billion (NPV) over 20 years. Cost savings, in fact, are approximately \$150 million (NPV). Finally, Cannon is a cost-efficient installation in a low cost area, and the Air Force data that was used in the analysis did not accurately reflect the true cost efficiencies of operations at the base. Along with other reasons it is evident somehow information regarding the closure of Cannon was mixed up or ignored. I hope the letters to come help you to better understand the scenario regarding the base closure.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 15 2005

Sue Ellen Turner,

RECEIVED

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 1 2007

RECEIVED

Samuel Knox Skinner,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 15 2005

RECORDED

Lloyd Newton,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson

AUG 11 2010

1000000000

James V. Hansen,

In a final effort to save Cannon I would like emphasize the military value of Cannon Air Force Base. The Military Capability Index (MCI) calculations and subsequent analysis led to inaccurate conclusions attributed to flawed data.

I would like to point out seven reasons that strongly support the military value of Cannon. The first is encroachment; Cannon has no operational, infrastructure, or urban encroachment. Cannon was severely undervalued at 2.28% this number did not appropriately differentiate air force bases that have severe encroachment from those that have little to none. The second Proximity to air space supporting missions was incorrectly scored with respect to multiple sub-elements within the question. Thirdly Low-level routes were not properly scored. Cannon has several low-level routes in close range to the base. Cannon was also incorrectly penalized for reporting legacy routes that have supported earlier missions. The fourth is the Melrose Range, an outstanding complex used by a wide variety of military users, including the army and the Navy. It is also evaluated as having the highest utilization in the Air Combat Command. Operational hours are the fifth reason, because Cannon was reported to only having 12 actual working this concludes that the data was incorrect. Cannon in fact has a 24/7 operational working schedule; this in turn affected scoring in access to airspace, supersonic air space, and range complexes. Acres available for further building were only reported to have 3% of what actually exists. Finally, the Department of Defense (DOD) did not consider the New Mexico Supersonic Training Range Initiative (NMTRI). In the final days of evaluation the people of Clovis and the surrounding areas are urged to keep writing letters by making it known Cannon must not be closed. Hopefully the facts stated in this letter are useful in the proper evaluation of the proposed closure of Cannon Air Force Base.

Sincerely,

Landon Johnson