

BRAC Commission
RECEIVED
6/17/2005

Dear Anthony J. Principi,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pat Huerta

Dear James T. Hill,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Gary Ware

Dear Sue Ellen Turner,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released? Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta

Dear Samuel Knox Skinner,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta

Dear Lloyd "Fig" Newton,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta


Dear Samuel Knox Skinner,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



6/17/2005


Dear Phillip Coyle,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,




Dear James H. Bilbray,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005


Dear Anthony J. Principi,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Harold W. Gehman, Jr.,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Deena B. Volken

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Phillip Coyle,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Deane B. Volken

**BRAC Commission
Received**
6/17/2005

Dear James H. Bilbray,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Debra B. Velken

BRAC Commission
RECEIVED
6/17/2005

Dear Anthony J. Principi,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Meirose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Gene B. Velken

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005


Dear Anthony J. Principi,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,




Dear James H. Bilbray,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005


Dear Lloyd "Fig" Newton,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Samuel Knox Skinner,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Gary Ware

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Sue Ellen Turner,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



**BRAC Commission
Received**
6/17/2005

Dear James T. Hill,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Deen B. Valken

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear James V. Hansen,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

James B. Volken

**BRAC Commission
Received**
6/17/2005


Dear Phillip Coyle,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
RECEIVED
6/17/2005

Dear Lloyd "Fig" Newton,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pat Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear James H. Bilbray,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pat Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005


Dear James V. Hansen,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Harold W. Gehman, Jr.,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pam Ware

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005


Dear James T. Hill,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



**BRAC Commission
Received**
6/17/2005

Dear James T. Hill,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pam Ware

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Lloyd "Fig" Newton,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pam Ware

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Samuel Knox Skinner,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear James H. Bilbray,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Sue Ellen Turner,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Anthony J. Principi,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pam Ware

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear James H. Bilbray,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pam Ware

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Phillip Coyle,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear James V. Hansen,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pam Ware

BRAC Commission
RECEIVED
6/17/2005

Dear Lloyd Newton,

This is my input concerning your decision to close Cannon AFB in Clovis, NM. Here are a couple of reasons we have to offer to keep Cannon open as well as the major impact it would have on the surrounding community.

All city services will be reduced: City police, Sheriff, Hospital, Paramedics, Fire Dept., etc., as well as, tax revenues. Homes will decrease in value possibly 25-40%, property value will also drop. The hospital has made dramatic expansions can it survive?

The government will reimburse any military personnel for homes they own, or will buy and absorb any remaining homes. (1000 homes @ \$95,000 each = \$95 million tax dollars). Causing all homes to devalue.

We have no large airport or structures, therefore Cannon has abundance of air space and no encroachment issues. There is more room for Cannon to grow if needed. If Cannon goes so does the support from the area citizens for the bombing range and the supersonic flying in this area.

WE strongly urge you to reconsider your decision and "Keep Cannon".

Sincerely,



Gilbert Chavez

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear James V. Hansen,

This is my input concerning your decision to close Cannon AFB in Clovis, NM. Here are a couple of reasons we have to offer to keep Cannon open as well as the major impact it would have on the surrounding community.

All city services will be reduced: City police, Sheriff, Hospital, Paramedics, Fire Dept., etc., as well as, tax revenues. Homes will decrease in value possibly 25-40%, property value will also drop. The hospital has made dramatic expansions can it survive?

The government will reimburse any military personnel for homes they own, or will buy and absorb any remaining homes. (1000 homes @ \$95,000 each = \$95 million tax dollars). Causing all homes to devalue.

We have no large airport or structures, therefore Cannon has abundance of air space and no encroachment issues. There is more room for Cannon to grow if needed. If Cannon goes so does the support from the area citizens for the bombing range and the supersonic flying in this area.

WE strongly urge you to reconsider your decision and "Keep Cannon".

Sincerely,



Gilbert Chavez

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Anthony J. Principi,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Lloyd "Fig" Newton,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Harold W. Gehman, Jr.,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pat Huerta

**BRAC Commission
Received**
6/17/2005


Dear James V. Hansen,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released? Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005


Dear James V. Hansen,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Sue Ellen Turner,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pat Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005


Dear Harold W. Gehman, Jr.,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,



BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear James V. Hansen,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear James T. Hill,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Harold W. Gehman, Jr.,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Paul Huerta

BRAC Commission
Received
6/17/2005

Dear Phillip Coyle,

Turning the news on and seeing Cannon AFB on the list of recommended base closures came as a shock. Our wonderful community has supported Cannon AFB for over fifty years and we weren't expecting for that to end. It came as a shock due to the fact that if you followed the criteria released by the Department of Defense, there would be no way we would be on the closure list. When you look at some of the other bases that are not on the list of bases recommended for closure, it makes me wonder why we would close over them. For example Luke AFB in Arizona has encroachment issues, air space problems and if that base closed it would not hurt Phoenix anything like the hurt that would be inflicted on Clovis if Cannon was closed.

I do not see one thing that the Department of Defense is looking for in their criteria that we do not have. Just to name a few: Clovis has the available land, we do not have any problems with encroachments, we are near the Melrose bombing range, we have great flying weather, great air space and supersonic capabilities. We have the ability for Cannon to continue training with a multitude of aircraft, not just the F-16.

The criteria the DoD released was supposed to look at the economic impact on the city. Clovis is a city with only about 32,667 people with no other major city for about one hundred miles. With the base being the top employer in the area, pulling out 4,780 jobs from a community our size could devastate us. Why would you close a base that fits everything that was asked for by the DoD when there are bases like Luke AFB that do not fit the criteria that the DoD released. Come down to Clovis and see what a great base Cannon AFB is to the air force and how much our community supports and relies on it.

Sincerely,

Pam Ware