



DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT COMMISSION

2521 SOUTH CLARK STREET
ARLINGTON, VA 22202
TELEPHONE: (703) 699-2950

DCN 8463

Chairman: The Honorable Anthony J. Principi
Commissioners: The Honorable James H. Bilbray • The Honorable Philip E. Coyle III • Admirable Harold W. Gehman, Jr., USN (Ret.) • The Honorable James V. Hansen
General James T. Hill, USA (Ret.) • General Lloyd W. Newton, USAF (Ret.) • The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner • Brigadier General Sue Ellen Turner, USAF (Ret.)
Executive Director: Charles Battaglia

6 August, 2005

TO: Clearinghouse@wso.whs.mil

CC: Robert.Meyer.CTR@osd.mil, Nathaniel.Sillin@wso.whs.mil,
Robert.Dinsick@wso.whs.mil, Elizabeth.Bieri@wso.whs.mil,
George.Delgado@wso.whs.mil, Aaron.Butler@wso.whs.mil

FROM: BRAC Commission

SUBJECT: Army Ammunition Plants.

1. In relation to the responses for Clearing House Tasker E0492 and E0492 ERATA, there were products listed for Kansas, Mississippi, Lone Star and Riverbank Army Ammunition Plants. Please provide for each product at each installation the last year in which that product was produced at that installation, and the next year in which it is planned to be produced either at that installation or with another producer, and the planned length of that production run to include option years.
2. For Kansas, Mississippi, Lone Star and Riverbank, please note the current contract period to include option years for the operating contract at each plant

Regards,

R. Gary Dinsick
Army Team Leader

INDUSTRIAL JOINT CROSS SERVICE GROUP

August 3, 2005

MEMORANDUM FOR R. GARY DINSICK, ARMY TEAM LEADER

Subject: Kansas (KS), Lone Star (LS), Mississippi (MS), and Riverbank (RB)
Army Ammunition Plants, OSD BRAC Clearinghouse Tasker E0492, ERATA

There was an inadvertent error in our original response to the subject inquiry. The response should read as follows:

1. *What are the DoD future requirements for each of the products that each installation produces? For each product, please provide requirements and funding through the POM. If there are no requirements in the POM, please relate the DoD's reasoning for maintaining that capability.*

Response:

KANSAS ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT	
Products Produced by Installation	Largest Monthly Rqmt Thru 2025 (in eaches)
105mm HE Artillery	1,202.08
120mm Mortar	6,884.17
155mm HE Artillery	40,588.83
155mm ICM Artillery	26,408.58
60mm Mortar	12,613.83
81mm Mortar	22,591.25
AGM-154	0
CBU-87	Combined with SFW
CBU-97	Combined with SFW
Detonators/Delays/Relays	6,855,047.44
MLRS	4,602
Sensor Fuzed Weapon	31.25
Shoulder-Launched Multipurpose Assault Weapon	150
Tomahawk Missile	60.58

LONE STAR AAP	
Products Produced by Installation	Largest Monthly Rqmt Thru 2025 (in eaches)
105mm ICM Artillery	354.00
155mm ICM Artillery	26,408.58
60mm Mortar	12,613.83
81mm Mortar	22,591.25
CBU-89	Combined with SFW
Demolition Charges	750,701.48
Detonators/Delays/Relays	6,855,047.44
FASCAM Mine	3,365
Grenades Hand	37,968.00
MLRS	4,602
Non-Lethal Munitions	565.75
Primers	1,583,365.77

MISSISSIPPI AAP	
Products Produced by Installation	Largest Monthly Rqmt Thru 2025 (in eaches)
155MM ICM ARTILLERY (MP)	13,239.58

RIVERBANK AAP	
Products Produced by Installation	Largest Monthly Rqmt Thru 2025 (in eaches)
105MM HE/ICM ARTILLERY (MP)	6920.72
105MM TANK (MP)	26.12
155MM ICM ARTILLERY (MP)	13239.583
5" NAVY GUN (MP)	7584.72
60MM MORTAR (MP)	Private Industry
76MM NAVAL GUN AMMUNITION (MP)	2,127.07
81MM MORTAR (MP)	Private Industry
MLRS (Submunitions) (MP)	2,383,836

Using the 20 Year Force Structure Plan, the Military Departments (Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps) identified the largest annual requirement out through FY 2025. On the AGM-154, capability existed at both Kansas and McAlester. Although there are no requirements, we will retain capability at McAlester for unanticipated requirements that may materialize from the commercial sector.

In addition, your analyst, Ms. Bieri, has asked what considerations should be taken into account during the implementation phase of the munitions and armaments recommendations. The following, which is not intended to be all inclusive, is reflective of our thoughts:

1. Identification of commodity affected by BRAC language
2. If a component of an end item, identify all end items affected
3. Identify all customers (i.e. Navy, Army, Marine Corps, Air Force, FMS, etc)
4. Identify planned buys (training and war-reserve)
5. Work with customer to program additional dollars to stockpile during transition
6. Identification of status of contracts:
 - a. Best to allow existing contract to expire and do the stockpiling prior to shut down
 - b. If contract does not expire within the BRAC window, decide when to end contract and pay termination cost
7. Identification of contracts with tenants
 - a. Base Transition Coordinator (BTC) works with tenants
 - b. Finally BTC works with Local Reuse Authorities to help make a final decision on tenants
8. Revisions to acquisition strategies (customers participate)
9. On-hand assets (CONUS and OCONUS)
10. Customers annual usage
11. Impact to current conflict
12. Review of equipment requirement identified in the BRAC analysis
13. If gaining sites already performs production on that commodity:
 - a. Identification of increased capacity requirement
 - b. Development of a Concept Plan of Action
14. If gaining site does not already produce the commodity, develop Concept Plan of Action
15. Sample Concept Plan of Action
 - a. Milestones
 - b. Design
 - c. Order new production equipment
 - d. Run 1st Article Test prior to tear-down
 - e. Move existing usable equipment from losing site
 - f. Overhaul equipment if needed
 - g. Install equipment
 - h. Prove-out
 - i. Start Production
16. Synchronize:
 - a. Customers needs
 - b. Production
 - c. Funding
 - d. Movement
 - e. New start-up
17. When all is finished, turn over to Installation Management for disposal

Should additional information be required, feel free to contact me at 703-560-4317 or e-mail jberry@gallows.vacoxmail.com



Jay Berry
Executive Secretary