

**Air C4ISR Development and Acquisition and Test and Evaluation  
Information Systems Technology D&A**

<b>Facility Name</b>	<b>MilVal</b>
<b>Hanscom AFB</b>	<b>0.4398</b>
<b>Wright-Patterson AFB</b>	<b>0.2160</b>
Tinker AFB	0.1732
BROOKS CITY-BASE	0.1653
<b>Lackland AFB</b>	0.1544
Eglin AFB	0.1302
EDWARDS AFB	<b>0.1146</b>
<b>Maxwell AFB</b>	<b>0.1005</b>
Peterson AFB	0.0999
Langley AFB	0.0994
Hanscom AFB	0.0920

Facility data for this scenario was limited to Air Force facilities because it was an Air Force only scenario. Hanscom AFB appears twice because the data is based on zip codes and Hanscom AFB reported data for 2 zip codes. 14 locations were exempted from consideration as a consequence of a TJCSG decision not to analyze locations with less than 31 full time equivalent work years in a function. It was the military judgment of the TJCSG that the benefit to be derived from consideration of those facilities was far outweighed by the cost of that analysis.

**Air C4ISR Development and Acquisition and Test and Evaluation  
Information Systems Technology T&E**

<b>Facility Name</b>	<b>MilVal</b>
<b>Eglin AFB</b>	<b>0.3174</b>
Arnold AFS	0.196
<b>EDWARDS AFB</b>	<b>0.1833</b>
Wright-Patterson AFB	0.0841
Maxwell AFB	0.0813
Hanscom AFB	0.0781
Lackland AFB	0.0765

Facility data for this scenario was limited to Air Force facilities because it was an Air Force only scenario. 9 locations were exempted from consideration as a consequence of a TJCSG decision not to analyze locations with less than 31 full time equivalent work years in a function. It was the military judgment of the TJCSG that the benefit to be derived from consideration of those facilities was far outweighed by the cost of that analysis.

**Air C4ISR Development and Acquisition and Test and Evaluation  
Sensors, Electronics, and Electronic Warfare T&E**

<b>Facility Name</b>	<b>MilVal</b>
<b>EDWARDS AFB</b>	<b>0.5356</b>
<b>Eglin AFB</b>	<b>0.4644</b>
USAF_2_Alamogorgo	0.2865
Kirtland AFB	0.1222
Hanscom AFB	0.0748
Wright-Patterson AFB	0.0732

Facility data for this scenario was limited to Air Force facilities because it was an Air Force only scenario. 13 locations were exempted from consideration as a consequence of a TJCSG decision not to analyze locations with less than 31 full time equivalent work years in a function. It was the military judgment of the TJCSG that the benefit to be derived from consideration of those facilities was far outweighed by the cost of that analysis.

**Capacity at Locations with Air C4ISR Development and Acquisition and Test and Evaluation**

<b>Facility Name</b>	<b>Current Capacity SqFt</b>	<b>Current Usage SqFt</b>	<b>Max Potential Capacity SqFt</b>	<b>Capacity Available to Surge SqFt</b>	<b>Capacity Required to Surge SqFt</b>	<b>Excess Capacity SqFt</b>
<b>Hanscom AFB</b>	<b>811,468</b>	<b>192,285</b>	<b>811,468</b>	<b>619,184</b>	<b>211,513</b>	<b>599,955</b>
Langley AFB	60	7,200	60	(7,140)	7,920	(7,860)
<b>Eglin AFB</b>	<b>3,012,538</b>	<b>969,210</b>	<b>3,012,538</b>	<b>2,043,328</b>	<b>1,066,131</b>	<b>1,946,407</b>
<b>Hanscom AFB Montgomery (Maxwell)</b>	<b>443,982</b>	<b>155,520</b>	<b>443,982</b>	<b>288,462</b>	<b>171,072</b>	<b>272,910</b>
Arnold AFS	1,529,393	300,347	1,529,393	1,229,046	330,381	1,199,012
<b>Wright-Patterson AFB</b>	<b>2,759,806</b>	<b>1,244,605</b>	<b>2,759,806</b>	<b>1,515,201</b>	<b>1,369,065</b>	<b>1,390,740</b>
Tinker AFB	240,944	55,779	240,944	185,165	61,357	179,587
BROOKS CITY-BASE	260,624	126,790	260,624	133,834	139,469	121,155
<b>Lackland AFB</b>	<b>3,319</b>	<b>7,723</b>	<b>3,319</b>	<b>(4,404)</b>	<b>8,495</b>	<b>(5,176)</b>
Hill AFB	784,431	180,174	784,431	604,258	198,191	586,240
Kirtland AFB	449,841	547,628	449,841	(97,787)	602,391	(152,550)
USAF_2_Alamogorgo	811,539	62,896	811,539	748,643	69,186	742,353
<b>EDWARDS AFB</b>	<b>3,545,150</b>	<b>900,260</b>	<b>3,545,150</b>	<b>2,644,890</b>	<b>990,286</b>	<b>2,554,864</b>

Facility data for this scenario was limited to Air Force facilities because it was an Air Force only scenario.

**Information Systems Technology D&A**

Facility Name	Current Capacity FTE	Current Usage FTE	Max Potential Capacity FTE	Capacity Available to Surge FTE	Required to Surge FTE	Excess Capacity FTE
<b>Hanscom AFB</b>	1,641	1,641	1,698	57	1,805	-107
<b>Wright-Patterson AFB</b>	1,180	1,180	1,357	177	1,298	59
Tinker AFB	33	33	38	5	37	1
BROOKS CITY-BASE	43	43	44	1	47	(3)
<b>Lackland AFB</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>-7</b>
Eglin AFB			0	0	0	0
EDWARDS AFB	45	45	50	5	50	0
<b>Hanscom AFB Montgomery</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
Peterson AFB	307	307	307	0	338	(31)
Langley AFB	33	33	34	1	36	(2)
Hanscom AFB			0	0	0	0

Facility data for this scenario was limited to Air Force facilities because it was an Air Force only scenario. Hanscom AFB appears twice because the data is based on zip codes and Hanscom AFB reported data for 2 zip codes

**Information Systems Technology T&E**

Facility Name	<b>Current Capacity FTE</b>	<b>Current Usage FTE</b>	<b>Max Potential Capacity FTE</b>	<b>Capacity Available to Surge FTE</b>	<b>Required to Surge FTE</b>	<b>Excess Capacity FTE</b>
<b>Eglin AFB</b>	66	66	84	18	73	11
Arnold AFS	88	88	118	30	96	22
<b>EDWARDS AFB</b>	552	552	621	69	608	13
Wright-Patterson AFB			0	0	0	0
Hanscom AFB Montgomery			0	0	0	0
Hanscom AFB			0	0	0	0
Lackland AFB			0	0	0	0

Facility data for this scenario was limited to Air Force facilities because it was an Air Force only scenario.

**Sensors, Electronics, and Electronic Warfare T&E**

Facility Name	Current Capacity FTE	Current Usage FTE	Max Potential Capacity FTE	Capacity Available to Surge FTE	Required to Surge FTE	Excess Capacity FTE
<b>EDWARDS AFB</b>	247	247	274	27	272	2
<b>Eglin AFB</b>	22	22	30	8	24	6
USAF_2_Alamogorgo	132	132	182	50	145	37
Kirtland AFB	174	174	178	4	191	(13)
Hanscom AFB			0	0	0	0
Wright-Patterson AFB			0	0	0	0
Kirtland AFB			0	0	0	0

Facility data for this scenario was limited to Air Force facilities because it was an Air Force only scenario.