



Congressman Dave Hobson Ohio 7th District
 2346 Rayburn House Office Building, Washington, DC 20515
 phone: (202) 225-4324 fax: (202) 225-1984
<http://www.house.gov/hobson>

To: **DAVE VAN SAUN** Fax: _____

From: Dave Hobson Wayne Struble Kenny Kraft Ginny Gano
 Sara Perkins Beth DeBrosse Jill Schmalz
 Ryan Gaug Nancy Kohler Beth Nelson _____

Subject: **LETTER TO THE CHAIRMAN**

Date: _____

BRANCH COMMISSIONER

Pages: **2** total.

AUG 26 2005

Received

COMMENTS:

SPRINGFIELD ANG

BASE

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2348 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4324



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DEFENSE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

August 26, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Hobson".

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
5 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 269
Springfield, OH 45601-0269

(937) 325-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 55
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 654-5149

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4324



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DEFENSE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

August 26, 2005

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Chairman Principi:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Hobson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
5 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 269
Springfield, OH 45501-0269

(937) 325-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 55
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 654-6149

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4324



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DEFENSE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

August 26, 2005

The Honorable James H. Bilbray
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Commissioner Bilbray:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dave Hobson".

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
5 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 269
Springfield, OH 45501-0289

(937) 325-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 55
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 654-6149

DAVID L. HOBSON

7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4324

**CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES**
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS**

SUBCOMMITTEES:

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DEFENSE**ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP**

August 26, 2005

The Honorable Philip Coyle
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Commissioner Coyle:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress**SPRINGFIELD OFFICE**5 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 269
Springfield, OH 45501-0269

(937) 326-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE212 South Broad Street
Room 55
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 664-5149

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2348 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20516

(202) 225-4324



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DUFENSE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

August 26, 2005

The Honorable Samuel K. Skinner
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Commissioner Skinner:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Hobson".

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
5 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 269
Springfield, OH 45501-0269

(937) 325-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 55
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 654-6149

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2348 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4324



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DEFENSE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

August 26, 2005

General Lloyd Newton
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Commissioner Newton:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Hobson".

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
5 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 289
Springfield, OH 45501-0289

(937) 325-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 55
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 654-5149

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4324



COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DEFENSE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

August 26, 2005

General Sue E. Turner
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Commissioner Turner:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Hobson".

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
6 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 269
Springfield, OH 45501-0269

(937) 325-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 66
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 654-5149

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4324



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DEFENSE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

August 26, 2005

General James T. Hill
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Commissioner Hill:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Hobson".

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
6 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 268
Springfield, OH 45501-0268

(937) 325-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 66
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 654-5149

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

(202) 225-4324



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DIPENBE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

August 26, 2005

The Honorable James V. Hansen
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Commissioner Hansen:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dave Hobson".

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
5 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 269
Springfield, OH 45501-0269

(937) 325-0474

THIS STATIONERY PRINTED ON PAPER MADE OF RECYCLED FIBERS

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 55
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 654-5149

DAVID L. HOBSON
7TH DISTRICT, OHIO

WASHINGTON OFFICE
2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20516

(202) 225-4324



CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES:
ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT
CHAIRMAN
DEFENSE

ASSISTANT MAJORITY WHIP

August 26, 2005

Admiral Harold W. Gehman
Commissioner, Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Commissioner Gehman:

I am shocked at your response to the Springfield-Beckley Municipal Airport Air Guard Station recommendation to redistribute the F-16 aircraft. I thought we made a compelling case against this proposal. For example, there are no savings in DOD's recommendation. Also, the Air Force will need to train pilots, and this move greatly reduces that capability. This may be a challenge to our national defense in our effort to transition to new weapons systems. Furthermore, these recommendations will certainly present challenges to recruiting and training in the future.

I was also very disappointed in the process by which this decision was made. Unlike the active Air Force, there was no discussion by the commissioners or the staff regarding the proposal. I don't understand why there was no discussion when, by the numbers, we demonstrated the flaws in the proposal. In our discussion with staff and the visit by staff, we felt we had made a compelling case.

While I have previously expressed support for the actions of the commission, I cannot express how disappointed I am with this particular decision and the process that was used to reach it. As such, I would greatly appreciate knowing how you arrived at your decision. Furthermore, I must seriously consider voting against the entire package because of this one item.

Sincerely,

David L. Hobson
Member of Congress

SPRINGFIELD OFFICE
6 West North Street, Suite 200
P.O. Box 269
Springfield, OH 45501-0269

(937) 325-0474

LANCASTER OFFICE
212 South Broad Street
Room 55
Lancaster, OH 43130-4389

(740) 664-6149

