

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

BRAC Commission

AUG 08 2005

Received

**Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday?!**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the impact on New**

**Hampshire where approx 50% of the shipyard employees reside. (They used Portland, ME, at least 50 miles from the base and would have a minimum negative impact if the Base were closed.)**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 8) The costs of Environmental Clean-Up were not properly calculated. Why were so many categories of costs not properly calculated? One of only two reasons - either unintentionally (incompetence) or intentionally.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 9) Why is there even a Closure List when the government has not even articulated any type of long-range planning or goals for our military, not even in how many submarines we need over the next twenty years. AND, we keep HEARING from YOU commissioners how IMPRESSED you all are with PNS consistently showering it with ACCOLADES, yet frequently adding this caveat as recent as yesterday's visit by two Committee members – IT all DEPENDS if there is EXCESS CAPACITY in our SHIPYARDS. Certainly a major criteria but with questionable long-term planning guidelines, manipulated data and “fuzzy” thinking/planning by those whom we've placed our TRUST in to make sound, long-term military planning strategies, would we RISK ELIMINATING even ONE more SHIPYARD, to say nothing about the PREMIER SHIPYARD, when the threat to America over the next generation is not only from TERRORISTS (and we can't even handle them), but CHINA and NORTH KOREA, the MIDDLE-EASTERN COUNTRIES and perhaps several OTHER COUNTRIES, as well. If we have future military issues with CHINA, NORTH KOREA (and perhaps (RUSSIA), we'll certainly need ALL of the SUBS that we HAVE and many more, as well. In fact, it can be argued that SUBS can be a DETERRENT to other countries from engaging in military conflicts or skirmishes with us. (Question: WHY is CHINA BUILDING MORE SUBS?)**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 10) Why is it a “good” plan to berth all of our subs in one port on each coast? Was Pearl Harbor that long ago?**
- 11) Why would the government want to eliminate existing dry docks when it would cost HUNDREDS of MILLIONS of DOLLARS to replace them.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 12) The government wouldn't even release documents relating to how they arrived at their decision and only reluctantly and slowly and partially released them after being subpoenaed.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 13) The government prevented an EXPERT (recently retired ADMIRAL) from testifying before your Commission Hearing in Boston only minutes before he was to testify.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 14) IF you are TRULY an INDEPENDENT Commission, then you must HONESTLY and without PREJUDICE, scrutinize the process, the MOTIVATION, the lack of long-range vision, the vested interests of the politicians, their corporate supporters, their attempt to cover-up and make up for an impending deficit about to blindsides this country from our war in Iraq, their Red State/Blue State mentality and more. (These were NOT “a political” recommendations!)**

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because it has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**


**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERN-MENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**

**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

**Respectfully submitted,**



**Charles D. Dunton**

**Letter reviewed and supported by:**



**Bonnie K. Dunton**



**Jennifer A. Dunton**

the Continental Navy's ships, built in Portsmouth in 1776. Her sister ship, the Ranger, was constructed soon after and captained by John Paul Jones.

The closing of the shipyard brings to mind with stunning appropriateness, some words written about another famous early naval vessel -- "Aye! Tear her battered Ensign down, long has it waved on high." Tear the fabric of our economy to shreds. Dismantle that which has for nearly two centuries provided local craftsmen with both a livelihood and pride and given a nation comfort in knowing that the fleet of Portsmouth's submarines were unsurpassed in the entire world.

We've done our share! We've already made the sacrifice. Not only recently with Pease Air Base but the many times before when our local economy has been influenced by the vagaries of political/military policies.

Your decisions are, without question, difficult: especially when predicated on economic or political policy (without even raising the argument of the appropriateness of reducing our military infrastructure so rapidly or of a reduction to a single submarine base on each coast).

So I implore you to use fairness and compassion. Don't totally destroy a proud seacoast community and its surrounding neighbors. A community that has both served its Navy and depended on it since the birth of our nation. Pass the pain around. We still have more than our share.

Respectfully,

Don Dunton

Dunton Commercial Properties  
435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944  
*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

AUG 08 2005

Received

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

## **Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

#### **QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday!!**

#### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

#### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

#### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

#### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

#### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

#### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the impact on New**

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because it has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**


**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERNMENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**

**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

**Respectfully submitted,**




**Charles D. Dunton**

**Letter reviewed and supported by:**



**Bonnie K. Dunton**



**Jennifer A. Dunton**

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

**COPY**

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**(THIS LETTER WAS WRITTEN IN 1994, WHEN THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD WAS BEING CONSIDERED FOR CLOSURE AT THAT TIME. ITS POIGNANCY IS OBVIOUS.)**

Born in Portsmouth in 1939, I grew up in this beautiful town and didn't move away until my college years. My parents lived there most of their lives, as did my grandparents. Many members of my family have worked at the "Yard" for either part or most of their lives. I have personally observed the "Yard" from its frantic days during WWII to its less frantic pace of the late '40s and early '50s (although always vigilant during these Cold War years) to the excitement brought about by the promise of nuclear power --- and so on to the present.

I was there during the construction of Pease Air Base in the '50s - for the coming of the B-47s and later the B-52s.

I saw what these two bases did for the region. They obviously pumped millions of dollars into the community and provided thousands of jobs. More than that they brought a better quality of life to the residents not only through those dollars but through the personnel that were assigned to the bases: the officers, engineers, pilots, technicians and support staff. Many of these folks retired and remained in the area.

The bases also made the community dependent on them. The bases are the lifeblood of this area.

**I have also seen the dismantling of Pease Air Base. Thousands of personnel assigned elsewhere with the corresponding loss of their dollars and their talents. With that came the closing of businesses, schools, medical services. And --- at the worst possible time! A time when the nation was in the middle of a major recession/depression - and when Maine and New Hampshire were suffering severely, to the point when our state banking systems were in near total collapse. But we persevered.**

But even before we can recover fully from the closing of Pease, the government has been threatening our other major artery. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. A proud monument to our local heritage and to the support and defense of our country since the infancy of our Union! We proudly display on our State flag an image of the Raleigh, one the first of

the Continental Navy's ships, built in Portsmouth in 1776. Her sister ship, the Ranger, was constructed soon after and captained by John Paul Jones.

The closing of the shipyard brings to mind with stunning appropriateness, some words written about another famous early naval vessel -- "Aye! Tear her battered Ensign down, long has it waved on high." Tear the fabric of our economy to shreds. Dismantle that which has for nearly two centuries provided local craftsmen with both a livelihood and pride and given a nation comfort in knowing that the fleet of Portsmouth's submarines were unsurpassed in the entire world.

We've done our share! We've already made the sacrifice. Not only recently with Pease Air Base but the many times before when our local economy has been influenced by the vagaries of political/military policies.

Your decisions are, without question, difficult: especially when predicated on economic or political policy (without even raising the argument of the appropriateness of reducing our military infrastructure so rapidly or of a reduction to a single submarine base on each coast).

So I implore you to use fairness and compassion. Don't totally destroy a proud seacoast community and its surrounding neighbors. A community that has both served its Navy and depended on it since the birth of our nation. Pass the pain around. We still have more than our share.

Respectfully,

Don Dunton

Dunton Commercial Properties

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

AUG 08 2005

Received

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

## **Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

#### **QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday!!**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the impact on New**

Hampshire where approx 50% of the shipyard employees reside. (They used Portland, ME, at least 50 miles from the base and would have a minimum negative impact if the Base were closed.)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 8) The costs of Environmental Clean-Up were not properly calculated. Why were so many categories of costs not properly calculated? One of only two reasons - either unintentionally (incompetence) or intentionally.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 9) Why is there even a Closure List when the government has not even articulated any type of long-range planning or goals for our military, not even in how many submarines we need over the next twenty years. AND, we keep HEARING from YOU commissioners how IMPRESSED you all are with PNS consistently showering it with ACCOLADES, yet frequently adding this caveat as recent as yesterday's visit by two Committee members – IT all DEPENDS if there is EXCESS CAPACITY in our SHIPYARDS. Certainly a major criteria but with questionable long-term planning guidelines, manipulated data and “fuzzy” thinking/planning by those whom we've placed our TRUST in to make sound, long-term military planning strategies, would we RISK ELIMINATING even ONE more SHIPYARD, to say nothing about the PREMIER SHIPYARD, when the threat to America over the next generation is not only from TERRORISTS (and we can't even handle them), but CHINA and NORTH KOREA, the MIDDLE-EASTERN COUNTRIES and perhaps several OTHER COUNTRIES, as well. If we have future military issues with CHINA, NORTH KOREA (and perhaps (RUSSIA), we'll certainly need ALL of the SUBS that we HAVE and many more, as well. In fact, it can be argued that SUBS can be a DETERRENT to other countries from engaging in military conflicts or skirmishes with us. (Question: WHY is CHINA BUILDING MORE SUBS?)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 10) Why is it a “good” plan to berth all of our subs in one port on each coast? Was Pearl Harbor that long ago?
- 11) Why would the government want to eliminate existing dry docks when it would cost HUNDREDS of MILLIONS of DOLLARS to replace them.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 12) The government wouldn't even release documents relating to how they arrived at their decision and only reluctantly and slowly and partially released them after being subpoenaed.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 13) The government prevented an EXPERT (recently retired ADMIRAL) from testifying before your Commission Hearing in Boston only minutes before he was to testify.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 14) IF you are TRULY an INDEPENDENT Commission, then you must HONESTLY and without PREJUDICE, scrutinize the process, the MOTIVATION, the lack of long-range vision, the vested interests of the politicians, their corporate supporters, their attempt to cover-up and make up for an impending deficit about to blindsides this country from our war in Iraq, their Red State/Blue State mentality and more. (These were NOT “a political” recommendations!)

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because it has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**


**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERNMENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and Inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**


**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

Respectfully submitted,




Charles D. Dunton

Letter reviewed and supported by:



Bonnie K. Dunton



Jennifer A. Dunton

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

COPY

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**(THIS LETTER WAS WRITTEN IN 1994, WHEN THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD WAS BEING CONSIDERED FOR CLOSURE AT THAT TIME. ITS POIGNANCY IS OBVIOUS.)**

Born in Portsmouth in 1939, I grew up in this beautiful town and didn't move away until my college years. My parents lived there most of their lives, as did my grandparents. Many members of my family have worked at the "Yard" for either part or most of their lives. I have personally observed the "Yard" from its frantic days during WWII to its less frantic pace of the late '40s and early '50s (although always vigilant during these Cold War years) to the excitement brought about by the promise of nuclear power --- and so on to the present.

I was there during the construction of Pease Air Base in the '50s - for the coming of the B-47s and later the B-52s.

I saw what these two bases did for the region. They obviously pumped millions of dollars into the community and provided thousands of jobs. More than that they brought a better quality of life to the residents not only through those dollars but through the personnel that were assigned to the bases: the officers, engineers, pilots, technicians and support staff. Many of these folks retired and remained in the area.

The bases also made the community dependent on them. The bases are the lifeblood of this area.

I have also seen the dismantling of Pease Air Base. Thousands of personnel assigned elsewhere with the corresponding loss of their dollars and their talents. With that came the closing of businesses, schools, medical services. And -- at the worst possible time! A time when the nation was in the middle of a major recession/depression - and when Maine and New Hampshire were suffering severely, to the point when our state banking systems were in near total collapse. But we persevered.

But even before we can recover fully from the closing of Pease, the government has been threatening our other major artery. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. A proud monument to our local heritage and to the support and defense of our country since the infancy of our Union! We proudly display on our State flag an image of the Raleigh, one the first of

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

AUG 3 8 2005

Received

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday!!**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the impact on New**

Hampshire where approx 50% of the shipyard employees reside. (They used Portland, ME, at least 50 miles from the base and would have a minimum negative impact if the Base were closed.)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 8) The costs of Environmental Clean-Up were not properly calculated. Why were so many categories of costs not properly calculated? One of only two reasons - either unintentionally (Incompetence) or intentionally.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 9) Why is there even a Closure List when the government has not even articulated any type of long-range planning or goals for our military, not even in how many submarines we need over the next twenty years. AND, we keep HEARING from YOU commissioners how IMPRESSED you all are with PNS consistently showering it with ACCOLADES, yet frequently adding this caveat as recent as yesterday's visit by two Committee members – IT all DEPENDS if there is EXCESS CAPACITY in our SHIPYARDS. Certainly a major criteria but with questionable long-term planning guidelines, manipulated data and “fuzzy” thinking/planning by those whom we've placed our TRUST in to make sound, long-term military planning strategies, would we RISK ELIMINATING even ONE more SHIPYARD, to say nothing about the PREMIER SHIPYARD, when the threat to America over the next generation is not only from TERRORISTS (and we can't even handle them), but CHINA and NORTH KOREA, the MIDDLE-EASTERN COUNTRIES and perhaps several OTHER COUNTRIES, as well. If we have future military issues with CHINA, NORTH KOREA (and perhaps (RUSSIA), we'll certainly need ALL of the SUBS that we HAVE and many more, as well. In fact, it can be argued that SUBS can be a DETERRENT to other countries from engaging in military conflicts or skirmishes with us. (Question: WHY is CHINA BUILDING MORE SUBS?)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 10) Why is it a “good” plan to berth all of our subs in one port on each coast? Was Pearl Harbor that long ago?
- 11) Why would the government want to eliminate existing dry docks when it would cost HUNDREDS of MILLIONS of DOLLARS to replace them.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 12) The government wouldn't even release documents relating to how they arrived at their decision and only reluctantly and slowly and partially released them after being subpoenaed.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 13) The government prevented an EXPERT (recently retired ADMIRAL) from testifying before your Commission Hearing in Boston only minutes before he was to testify.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 14) IF you are TRULY an INDEPENDENT Commission, then you must HONESTLY and without PREJUDICE, scrutinize the process, the MOTIVATION, the lack of long-range vision, the vested interests of the politicians, their corporate supporters, their attempt to cover-up and make up for an impending deficit about to blindsides this country from our war in Iraq, their Red State/Blue State mentality and more. (These were NOT “a political” recommendations!)

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because it has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**


**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERN-MENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**


**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

**Respectfully submitted,**




**Charles D. Dunton**

**Letter reviewed and supported by:**



**Bonnie K. Dunton**



**Jennifer A. Dunton**

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

COPY

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**(THIS LETTER WAS WRITTEN IN 1994, WHEN THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD WAS BEING CONSIDERED FOR CLOSURE AT THAT TIME. ITS POIGNANCY IS OBVIOUS.)**

Born in Portsmouth in 1939, I grew up in this beautiful town and didn't move away until my college years. My parents lived there most of their lives, as did my grandparents. Many members of my family have worked at the "Yard" for either part or most of their lives. I have personally observed the "Yard" from its frantic days during WWII to its less frantic pace of the late '40s and early '50s (although always vigilant during these Cold War years) to the excitement brought about by the promise of nuclear power --- and so on to the present.

I was there during the construction of Pease Air Base in the '50s - for the coming of the B-47s and later the B-52s.

I saw what these two bases did for the region. They obviously pumped millions of dollars into the community and provided thousands of jobs. More than that they brought a better quality of life to the residents not only through those dollars but through the personnel that were assigned to the bases: the officers, engineers, pilots, technicians and support staff. Many of these folks retired and remained in the area.

The bases also made the community dependent on them. The bases are the lifeblood of this area.

I have also seen the dismantling of Pease Air Base. Thousands of personnel assigned elsewhere with the corresponding loss of their dollars and their talents. With that came the closing of businesses, schools, medical services. And -- at the worst possible time! A time when the nation was in the middle of a major recession/depression - and when Maine and New Hampshire were suffering severely, to the point when our state banking systems were in near total collapse. But we persevered.

But even before we can recover fully from the closing of Pease, the government has been threatening our other major artery. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. A proud monument to our local heritage and to the support and defense of our country since the infancy of our Union! We proudly display on our State flag an image of the Raleigh, one the first of

the Continental Navy's ships, built in Portsmouth in 1776. Her sister ship, the Ranger, was constructed soon after and captained by John Paul Jones.

The closing of the shipyard brings to mind with stunning appropriateness, some words written about another famous early naval vessel -- "Aye! Tear her battered Ensign down, long has it waved on high." Tear the fabric of our economy to shreds. Dismantle that which has for nearly two centuries provided local craftsmen with both a livelihood and pride and given a nation comfort in knowing that the fleet of Portsmouth's submarines were unsurpassed in the entire world.

We've done our share! We've already made the sacrifice. Not only recently with Pease Air Base but the many times before when are local economy has been influenced by the vagaries of political/military policies.

Your decisions are, without question, difficult: especially when predicated on economic or political policy (without even raising the argument of the appropriateness of reducing our military infrastructure so rapidly or of a reduction to a single submarine base on each coast).

So I implore you to use fairness and compassion. Don't totally destroy a proud seacoast community and its surrounding neighbors. A community that has both served it's Navy and depended on it since the birth of our nation. Pass the pain around. We still have more than our share.

Respectfully,

Don Dunton

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

BRAC Commissioner

AUG 08 2005

Received

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday!!**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the Impact on New**

Hampshire where approx 50% of the shipyard employees reside. (They used Portland, ME, at least 50 miles from the base and would have a minimum negative impact if the Base were closed.)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 8) The costs of Environmental Clean-Up were not properly calculated. Why were so many categories of costs not properly calculated? One of only two reasons - either unintentionally (incompetence) or intentionally.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 9) Why is there even a Closure List when the government has not even articulated any type of long-range planning or goals for our military, not even in how many submarines we need over the next twenty years. AND, we keep HEARING from YOU commissioners how IMPRESSED you all are with PNS consistently showering it with ACCOLADES, yet frequently adding this caveat as recent as yesterday's visit by two Committee members - IT all DEPENDS if there is EXCESS CAPACITY in our SHIPYARDS. Certainly a major criteria but with questionable long-term planning guidelines, manipulated data and "fuzzy" thinking/planning by those whom we've placed our TRUST in to make sound, long-term military planning strategies, would we RISK ELIMINATING even ONE more SHIPYARD, to say nothing about the PREMIER SHIPYARD, when the threat to America over the next generation is not only from TERRORISTS (and we can't even handle them), but CHINA and NORTH KOREA, the MIDDLE-EASTERN COUNTRIES and perhaps several OTHER COUNTRIES, as well. If we have future military issues with CHINA, NORTH KOREA (and perhaps (RUSSIA), we'll certainly need ALL of the SUBS that we HAVE and many more, as well. In fact, it can be argued that SUBS can be a DETERRENT to other countries from engaging in military conflicts or skirmishes with us. (Question: WHY is CHINA BUILDING MORE SUBS?)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 10) Why is it a "good" plan to berth all of our subs in one port on each coast? Was Pearl Harbor that long ago?
- 11) Why would the government want to eliminate existing dry docks when it would cost HUNDREDS of MILLIONS of DOLLARS to replace them.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 12) The government wouldn't even release documents relating to how they arrived at their decision and only reluctantly and slowly and partially released them after being subpoenaed.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 13) The government prevented an EXPERT (recently retired ADMIRAL) from testifying before your Commission Hearing in Boston only minutes before he was to testify.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 14) IF you are TRULY an INDEPENDENT Commission, then you must HONESTLY and without PREJUDICE, scrutinize the process, the MOTIVATION, the lack of long-range vision, the vested interests of the politicians, their corporate supporters, their attempt to cover-up and make up for an impending deficit about to blindsides this country from our war in Iraq, their Red State/Blue State mentality and more. (These were NOT "a political" recommendations!)

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because it has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**


**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERNMENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**

**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

**Respectfully submitted,**




**Charles D. Dunton**

**Letter reviewed and supported by:**



**Bonnie K. Dunton**



**Jennifer A. Dunton**

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*



July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**(THIS LETTER WAS WRITTEN IN 1994, WHEN THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD WAS BEING CONSIDERED FOR CLOSURE AT THAT TIME. ITS POIGNANCY IS OBVIOUS.)**

Born in Portsmouth in 1939, I grew up in this beautiful town and didn't move away until my college years. My parents lived there most of their lives, as did my grandparents. Many members of my family have worked at the "Yard" for either part or most of their lives. I have personally observed the "Yard" from its frantic days during WWII to its less frantic pace of the late '40s and early '50s (although always vigilant during these Cold War years) to the excitement brought about by the promise of nuclear power --- and so on to the present.

I was there during the construction of Pease Air Base in the '50s - for the coming of the B-47s and later the B-52s.

I saw what these two bases did for the region. They obviously pumped millions of dollars into the community and provided thousands of jobs. More than that they brought a better quality of life to the residents not only through those dollars but through the personnel that were assigned to the bases: the officers, engineers, pilots, technicians and support staff. Many of these folks retired and remained in the area.

The bases also made the community dependent on them. The bases are the lifeblood of this area.

I have also seen the dismantling of Pease Air Base. Thousands of personnel assigned elsewhere with the corresponding loss of their dollars and their talents. With that came the closing of businesses, schools, medical services. And -- at the worst possible time! A time when the nation was in the middle of a major recession/depression - and when Maine and New Hampshire were suffering severely, to the point when our state banking systems were in near total collapse. But we persevered.

But even before we can recover fully from the closing of Pease, the government has been threatening our other major artery. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. A proud monument to our local heritage and to the support and defense of our country since the infancy of our Union! We proudly display on our State flag an image of the Raleigh, one the first of

the Continental Navy's ships, built in Portsmouth in 1776. Her sister ship, the Ranger, was constructed soon after and captained by John Paul Jones.

The closing of the shipyard brings to mind with stunning appropriateness, some words written about another famous early naval vessel -- "Aye! Tear her battered Ensign down, long has it waved on high." Tear the fabric of our economy to shreds. Dismantle that which has for nearly two centuries provided local craftsmen with both a livelihood and pride and given a nation comfort in knowing that the fleet of Portsmouth's submarines were unsurpassed in the entire world.

We've done our share! We've already made the sacrifice. Not only recently with Pease Air Base but the many times before when our local economy has been influenced by the vagaries of political/military policies.

Your decisions are, without question, difficult: especially when predicated on economic or political policy (without even raising the argument of the appropriateness of reducing our military infrastructure so rapidly or of a reduction to a single submarine base on each coast).

So I implore you to use fairness and compassion. Don't totally destroy a proud seacoast community and its surrounding neighbors. A community that has both served it's Navy and depended on it since the birth of our nation. Pass the pain around. We still have more than our share.

Respectfully,

Don Dunton

Dunton Commercial Properties  
435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944  
*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

AUG 08 2005

Received

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

## **Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

#### **QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday!!**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the impact on New**

Hampshire where approx 50% of the shipyard employees reside. (They used Portland, ME, at least 50 miles from the base and would have a minimum negative impact if the Base were closed.)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 8) The costs of Environmental Clean-Up were not properly calculated. Why were so many categories of costs not properly calculated? One of only two reasons - either unintentionally (incompetence) or intentionally.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 9) Why is there even a Closure List when the government has not even articulated any type of long-range planning or goals for our military, not even in how many submarines we need over the next twenty years. AND, we keep HEARING from YOU commissioners how IMPRESSED you all are with PNS consistently showering it with ACCOLADES, yet frequently adding this caveat as recent as yesterday's visit by two Committee members -- IT all DEPENDS if there is EXCESS CAPACITY in our SHIPYARDS. Certainly a major criteria but with questionable long-term planning guidelines, manipulated data and "fuzzy" thinking/planning by those whom we've placed our TRUST in to make sound, long-term military planning strategies, would we RISK ELIMINATING even ONE more SHIPYARD, to say nothing about the PREMIER SHIPYARD, when the threat to America over the next generation is not only from TERRORISTS (and we can't even handle them), but CHINA and NORTH KOREA, the MIDDLE-EASTERN COUNTRIES and perhaps several OTHER COUNTRIES, as well. If we have future military issues with CHINA, NORTH KOREA (and perhaps (RUSSIA), we'll certainly need ALL of the SUBS that we HAVE and many more, as well. In fact, it can be argued that SUBS can be a DETERRENT to other countries from engaging in military conflicts or skirmishes with us. (Question: WHY is CHINA BUILDING MORE SUBS?)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 10) Why is it a "good" plan to berth all of our subs in one port on each coast? Was Pearl Harbor that long ago?
- 11) Why would the government want to eliminate existing dry docks when it would cost HUNDREDS of MILLIONS of DOLLARS to replace them.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 12) The government wouldn't even release documents relating to how they arrived at their decision and only reluctantly and slowly and partially released them after being subpoenaed.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 13) The government prevented an EXPERT (recently retired ADMIRAL) from testifying before your Commission Hearing in Boston only minutes before he was to testify.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 14) IF you are TRULY an INDEPENDENT Commission, then you must HONESTLY and without PREJUDICE, scrutinize the process, the MOTIVATION, the lack of long-range vision, the vested interests of the politicians, their corporate supporters, their attempt to cover-up and make up for an impending deficit about to blindsides this country from our war in Iraq, their Red State/Blue State mentality and more. (These were NOT "a political" recommendations!)

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because it has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**

**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERNMENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**

**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

**Respectfully submitted,**




**Charles D. Dunton**

**Letter reviewed and supported by:**



**Bonnie K. Dunton**



**Jennifer A. Dunton**

Dunton Commercial Properties

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

COPY

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**(THIS LETTER WAS WRITTEN IN 1994, WHEN THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD WAS BEING CONSIDERED FOR CLOSURE AT THAT TIME. ITS POIGNANCY IS OBVIOUS.)**

Born in Portsmouth in 1939, I grew up in this beautiful town and didn't move away until my college years. My parents lived there most of their lives, as did my grandparents. Many members of my family have worked at the "Yard" for either part or most of their lives. I have personally observed the "Yard" from its frantic days during WWII to its less frantic pace of the late '40s and early '50s (although always vigilant during these Cold War years) to the excitement brought about by the promise of nuclear power --- and so on to the present.

I was there during the construction of Pease Air Base in the '50s - for the coming of the B-47s and later the B-52s.

I saw what these two bases did for the region. They obviously pumped millions of dollars into the community and provided thousands of jobs. More than that they brought a better quality of life to the residents not only through those dollars but through the personnel that were assigned to the bases: the officers, engineers, pilots, technicians and support staff. Many of these folks retired and remained in the area.

The bases also made the community dependent on them. The bases are the lifeblood of this area.

**I have also seen the dismantling of Pease Air Base. Thousands of personnel assigned elsewhere with the corresponding loss of their dollars and their talents. With that came the closing of businesses, schools, medical services. And -- at the worst possible time! A time when the nation was in the middle of a major recession/depression - and when Maine and New Hampshire were suffering severely, to the point when our state banking systems were in near total collapse. But we persevered.**

But even before we can recover fully from the closing of Pease, the government has been threatening our other major artery. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. A proud monument to our local heritage and to the support and defense of our country since the infancy of our Union! We proudly display on our State flag an image of the Raleigh, one the first of

the Continental Navy's ships, built in Portsmouth in 1776. Her sister ship, the Ranger, was constructed soon after and captained by John Paul Jones.

The closing of the shipyard brings to mind with stunning appropriateness, some words written about another famous early naval vessel -- "Aye! Tear her battered Ensign down, long has it waved on high." Tear the fabric of our economy to shreds. Dismantle that which has for nearly two centuries provided local craftsmen with both a livelihood and pride and given a nation comfort in knowing that the fleet of Portsmouth's submarines were unsurpassed in the entire world.

We've done our share! We've already made the sacrifice. Not only recently with Pease Air Base but the many times before when our local economy has been influenced by the vagaries of political/military policies.

**Your decisions are, without question, difficult: especially when predicated on economic or political policy (without even raising the argument of the appropriateness of reducing our military infrastructure so rapidly or of a reduction to a single submarine base on each coast).**

So I implore you to use fairness and compassion. Don't totally destroy a proud seacoast community and its surrounding neighbors. A community that has both served it's Navy and depended on it since the birth of our nation. Pass the pain around. We still have more than our share.

Respectfully,

Don Dunton

1000  
1000  
AUG 08 2005  
1000

**Dunton Commercial Properties**  
435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944  
*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday?!**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the Impact on New**

**Hampshire where approx 50% of the shipyard employees reside. (They used Portland, ME, at least 50 miles from the base and would have a minimum negative impact if the Base were closed.)**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 8) The costs of Environmental Clean-Up were not properly calculated. Why were so many categories of costs not properly calculated? One of only two reasons - either unintentionally (Incompetence) or intentionally.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 9) Why is there even a Closure List when the government has not even articulated any type of long-range planning or goals for our military, not even in how many submarines we need over the next twenty years. AND, we keep HEARING from YOU commissioners how IMPRESSED you all are with PNS consistently showering it with ACCOLADES, yet frequently adding this caveat as recent as yesterday's visit by two Committee members – IT all DEPENDS if there is EXCESS CAPACITY in our SHIPYARDS. Certainly a major criteria but with questionable long-term planning guidelines, manipulated data and "fuzzy" thinking/planning by those whom we've placed our TRUST in to make sound, long-term military planning strategies, would we RISK ELIMINATING even ONE more SHIPYARD, to say nothing about the PREMIER SHIPYARD, when the threat to America over the next generation is not only from TERRORISTS (and we can't even handle them), but CHINA and NORTH KOREA, the MIDDLE-EASTERN COUNTRIES and perhaps several OTHER COUNTRIES, as well. If we have future military issues with CHINA, NORTH KOREA (and perhaps (RUSSIA), we'll certainly need ALL of the SUBS that we HAVE and many more, as well. In fact, it can be argued that SUBS can be a DETERRENT to other countries from engaging in military conflicts or skirmishes with us. (Question: WHY is CHINA BUILDING MORE SUBS?)**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 10) Why is it a "good" plan to berth all of our subs in one port on each coast? Was Pearl Harbor that long ago?**
- 11) Why would the government want to eliminate existing dry docks when it would cost HUNDREDS of MILLIONS of DOLLARS to replace them.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 12) The government wouldn't even release documents relating to how they arrived at their decision and only reluctantly and slowly and partially released them after being subpoenaed.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 13) The government prevented an EXPERT (recently retired ADMIRAL) from testifying before your Commission Hearing in Boston only minutes before he was to testify.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 14) IF you are TRULY an INDEPENDENT Commission, then you must HONESTLY and without PREJUDICE, scrutinize the process, the MOTIVATION, the lack of long-range vision, the vested interests of the politicians, their corporate supporters, their attempt to cover-up and make up for an impending deficit about to blindsides this country from our war in Iraq, their Red State/Blue State mentality and more. (These were NOT "a political" recommendations!)**

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because it has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**

**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERNMENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**


**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

**Respectfully submitted,**




**Charles D. Dunton**

**Letter reviewed and supported by:**



**Bonnie K. Dunton**



**Jennifer A. Dunton**

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

**COPY**

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**(THIS LETTER WAS WRITTEN IN 1994, WHEN THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD WAS BEING CONSIDERED FOR CLOSURE AT THAT TIME. ITS POIGNANCY IS OBVIOUS.)**

**Born in Portsmouth in 1939, I grew up in this beautiful town and didn't move away until my college years. My parents lived there most of their lives, as did my grandparents. Many members of my family have worked at the "Yard" for either part or most of their lives. I have personally observed the "Yard" from its frantic days during WWII to its less frantic pace of the late '40s and early '50s (although always vigilant during these Cold War years) to the excitement brought about by the promise of nuclear power --- and so on to the present.**

**I was there during the construction of Pease Air Base in the '50s - for the coming of the B-47s and later the B-52s.**

**I saw what these two bases did for the region. They obviously pumped millions of dollars into the community and provided thousands of jobs. More than that they brought a better quality of life to the residents not only through those dollars but through the personnel that were assigned to the bases: the officers, engineers, pilots, technicians and support staff. Many of these folks retired and remained in the area.**

**The bases also made the community dependent on them. The bases are the lifeblood of this area.**

**I have also seen the dismantling of Pease Air Base. Thousands of personnel assigned elsewhere with the corresponding loss of their dollars and their talents. With that came the closing of businesses, schools, medical services. And -- at the worst possible time! A time when the nation was in the middle of a major recession/depression - and when Maine and New Hampshire were suffering severely, to the point when our state banking systems were in near total collapse. But we persevered.**

**But even before we can recover fully from the closing of Pease, the government has been threatening our other major artery. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. A proud monument to our local heritage and to the support and defense of our country since the infancy of our Union! We proudly display on our State flag an image of the Raleigh, one the first of**

the Continental Navy's ships, built in Portsmouth in 1776. Her sister ship, the Ranger, was constructed soon after and captained by John Paul Jones.

The closing of the shipyard brings to mind with stunning appropriateness, some words written about another famous early naval vessel -- "Aye! Tear her battered Ensign down, long has it waved on high." Tear the fabric of our economy to shreds. Dismantle that which has for nearly two centuries provided local craftsmen with both a livelihood and pride and given a nation comfort in knowing that the fleet of Portsmouth's submarines were unsurpassed in the entire world.

We've done our share! We've already made the sacrifice. Not only recently with Pease Air Base but the many times before when our local economy has been influenced by the vagaries of political/military policies.

Your decisions are, without question, difficult: especially when predicated on economic or political policy (without even raising the argument of the appropriateness of reducing our military infrastructure so rapidly or of a reduction to a single submarine base on each coast).

So I implore you to use fairness and compassion. Don't totally destroy a proud seacoast community and its surrounding neighbors. A community that has both served its Navy and depended on it since the birth of our nation. Pass the pain around. We still have more than our share.

Respectfully,

Don Dunton

Dunton Commercial Properties

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

BRAC Commission

AUG 11 2005

Albany, VT

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

## **Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

#### **QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday!!**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the impact on New**

Hampshire where approx 50% of the shipyard employees reside. (They used Portland, ME, at least 50 miles from the base and would have a minimum negative impact if the Base were closed.)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 8) The costs of Environmental Clean-Up were not properly calculated. Why were so many categories of costs not properly calculated? One of only two reasons - either unintentionally (incompetence) or intentionally.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 9) Why is there even a Closure List when the government has not even articulated any type of long-range planning or goals for our military, not even in how many submarines we need over the next twenty years. AND, we keep HEARING from YOU commissioners how IMPRESSED you all are with PNS consistently showering it with ACCOLADES, yet frequently adding this caveat as recent as yesterday's visit by two Committee members - IT all DEPENDS if there is EXCESS CAPACITY in our SHIPYARDS. Certainly a major criteria but with questionable long-term planning guidelines, manipulated data and "fuzzy" thinking/planning by those whom we've placed our TRUST in to make sound, long-term military planning strategies, would we RISK ELIMINATING even ONE more SHIPYARD, to say nothing about the PREMIER SHIPYARD, when the threat to America over the next generation is not only from TERRORISTS (and we can't even handle them), but CHINA and NORTH KOREA, the MIDDLE-EASTERN COUNTRIES and perhaps several OTHER COUNTRIES, as well. If we have future military issues with CHINA, NORTH KOREA (and perhaps (RUSSIA), we'll certainly need ALL of the SUBS that we HAVE and many more, as well. In fact, it can be argued that SUBS can be a DETERRENT to other countries from engaging in military conflicts or skirmishes with us. (Question: WHY is CHINA BUILDING MORE SUBS?)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 10) Why is it a "good" plan to berth all of our subs in one port on each coast?  
Was Pearl Harbor that long ago?
- 11) Why would the government want to eliminate existing dry docks when it would cost HUNDREDS of MILLIONS of DOLLARS to replace them.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 12) The government wouldn't even release documents relating to how they arrived at their decision and only reluctantly and slowly and partially released them after being subpoenaed.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 13) The government prevented an EXPERT (recently retired ADMIRAL) from testifying before your Commission Hearing in Boston only minutes before he was to testify.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 14) IF you are TRULY an INDEPENDENT Commission, then you must HONESTLY and without PREJUDICE, scrutinize the process, the MOTIVATION, the lack of long-range vision, the vested interests of the politicians, their corporate supporters, their attempt to cover-up and make up for an impending deficit about to blindsides this country from our war in Iraq, their Red State/Blue State mentality and more. (These were NOT "a political" recommendations!)

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because It has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**

**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERNMENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and Inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**


**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

**Respectfully submitted,**




**Charles D. Dunton**

**Letter reviewed and supported by:**



**Bonnie K. Dunton**



**Jennifer A. Dunton**

**Dunton Commercial Properties**

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

**COPY**

BRAC Commission

July 25, 2005

**AUG 08 2005**

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

Received

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**(THIS LETTER WAS WRITTEN IN 1994, WHEN THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD WAS BEING CONSIDERED FOR CLOSURE AT THAT TIME. IT'S POIGNANCY IS OBVIOUS.)**

Born in Portsmouth in 1939, I grew up in this beautiful town and didn't move away until my college years. My parents lived there most of their lives, as did my grandparents. Many members of my family have worked at the "Yard" for either part or most of their lives. I have personally observed the "Yard" from its frantic days during WWII to its less frantic pace of the late '40s and early '50s (although always vigilant during these Cold War years) to the excitement brought about by the promise of nuclear power --- and so on to the present.

I was there during the construction of Pease Air Base in the '50s - for the coming of the B-47s and later the B-52s.

I saw what these two bases did for the region. They obviously pumped millions of dollars into the community and provided thousands of jobs. More than that they brought a better quality of life to the residents not only through those dollars but through the personnel that were assigned to the bases: the officers, engineers, pilots, technicians and support staff. Many of these folks retired and remained in the area.

The bases also made the community dependent on them. The bases are the lifeblood of this area.

I have also seen the dismantling of Pease Air Base. Thousands of personnel assigned elsewhere with the corresponding loss of their dollars and their talents. With that came the closing of businesses, schools, medical services. And -- at the worst possible time! A time when the nation was in the middle of a major recession/depression - and when Maine and New Hampshire were suffering severely, to the point when our state banking systems were in near total collapse. But we persevered.

But even before we can recover fully from the closing of Pease, the government has been threatening our other major artery. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. A proud monument to our local heritage and to the support and defense of our country since the infancy of our Union! We proudly display on our State flag an image of the Raleigh, one the first of

the Continental Navy's ships, built in Portsmouth in 1776. Her sister ship, the Ranger, was constructed soon after and captained by John Paul Jones.

The closing of the shipyard brings to mind with stunning appropriateness, some words written about another famous early naval vessel -- "Aye! Tear her battered Ensign down, long has it waved on high." Tear the fabric of our economy to shreds. Dismantle that which has for nearly two centuries provided local craftsmen with both a livelihood and pride and given a nation comfort in knowing that the fleet of Portsmouth's submarines were unsurpassed in the entire world.

We've done our share! We've already made the sacrifice. Not only recently with Pease Air Base but the many times before when our local economy has been influenced by the vagaries of political/military policies.

Your decisions are, without question, difficult: especially when predicated on economic or political policy (without even raising the argument of the appropriateness of reducing our military infrastructure so rapidly or of a reduction to a single submarine base on each coast).

So I implore you to use fairness and compassion. Don't totally destroy a proud seacoast community and its surrounding neighbors. A community that has both served its Navy and depended on it since the birth of our nation. Pass the pain around. We still have more than our share.

Respectfully,

Don Dunton

## Dunton Commercial Properties

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

### **Closing: Portsmouth Naval Shipyard – Kittery, ME**

#### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

##### **QUESTIONS:**

- 1) **How can Portsmouth Naval Shipyard receive one of the highest Recognition of Excellence Awards from the United States Navy on a Friday and be announced as being listed for Closure by the government on the following Monday?!**

##### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

**That award, ALONE, should be sufficient for your commission to vote to remove PNS from your Closure List!**

- 2) **How can we be referred to as the "Gold Standard" by which all other shipyards are measured and still be on the Closure List?**

##### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 3) **How come we send consultants all over the World to help train other shipyards to be more efficient only to make the Closure List?**

##### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 4) **How come we complete our overhaul assignments in 50 - 60% of the time it takes other yards and yet, we are placed on the Closure List?**

##### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 5) **Why, when we save MILLIONS & MILLIONS OF DOLLARS on an overhaul, we make the Closure List?**

##### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 6) **Why, when we have one of the best Labor Relations relationships in the country THAT OTHERS MODEL, we still make the Closure List.**

##### **WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 7) **When those who put us on that Closure List failed to properly calculate the Economic Impact on a community that lost a major Air Base on the first Closing List. They didn't calculate properly, if at all, because they never took into consideration the impact on New**

Hampshire where approx 50% of the shipyard employees reside. (They used Portland, ME, at least 50 miles from the base and would have a minimum negative impact if the Base were closed.)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 8) The costs of Environmental Clean-Up were not properly calculated. Why were so many categories of costs not properly calculated? One of only two reasons - either unintentionally (incompetence) or intentionally.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 9) Why is there even a Closure List when the government has not even articulated any type of long-range planning or goals for our military, not even in how many submarines we need over the next twenty years. AND, we keep HEARING from YOU commissioners how IMPRESSED you all are with PNS consistently showering it with ACCOLADES, yet frequently adding this caveat as recent as yesterday's visit by two Committee members -- IT all DEPENDS if there is EXCESS CAPACITY in our SHIPYARDS. Certainly a major criteria but with questionable long-term planning guidelines, manipulated data and "fuzzy" thinking/planning by those whom we've placed our TRUST in to make sound, long-term military planning strategies, would we RISK ELIMINATING even ONE more SHIPYARD, to say nothing about the PREMIER SHIPYARD, when the threat to America over the next generation is not only from TERRORISTS (and we can't even handle them), but CHINA and NORTH KOREA, the MIDDLE-EASTERN COUNTRIES and perhaps several OTHER COUNTRIES, as well. If we have future military issues with CHINA, NORTH KOREA (and perhaps (RUSSIA), we'll certainly need ALL of the SUBS that we HAVE and many more, as well. In fact, it can be argued that SUBS can be a DETERRENT to other countries from engaging in military conflicts or skirmishes with us. (Question: WHY is CHINA BUILDING MORE SUBS?)

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 10) Why is it a "good" plan to berth all of our subs in one port on each coast? Was Pearl Harbor that long ago?
- 11) Why would the government want to eliminate existing dry docks when it would cost HUNDREDS of MILLIONS of DOLLARS to replace them.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 12) The government wouldn't even release documents relating to how they arrived at their decision and only reluctantly and slowly and partially released them after being subpoenaed.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 13) The government prevented an EXPERT (recently retired ADMIRAL) from testifying before your Commission Hearing in Boston only minutes before he was to testify.

**WHERE'S the LOGIC in THAT?**

- 14) IF you are TRULY an INDEPENDENT Commission, then you must HONESTLY and without PREJUDICE, scrutinize the process, the MOTIVATION, the lack of long-range vision, the vested interests of the politicians, their corporate supporters, their attempt to cover-up and make up for an impending deficit about to blindsides this country from our war in Iraq, their Red State/Blue State mentality and more. (These were NOT "a political" recommendations!)

**We HOPE there's LOGIC in THAT?**

**I HOPE but am quite SKEPTICAL that YOU COMMISSIONERS are TRULY an INDEPENDENT GROUP because it has not been the history of this Administration to appoint NON-PARTISAN people to any or many commissions. We will just have to wait and see!)**

**(AND, THIS COMES FROM A REGISTERED REPUBLICAN FOR OVER THIRTY YEARS.)**


**Summary: Portsmouth was hit extremely hard with the first round of base Closings with the Closing of Pease Air Force Base. It took us about 12 years to get a good foothold on redevelopment. The Shipyard would be much worse. It would take years of environmental clean-up before the Navy would turn it over to us. Then another decade or two of redevelopment. It is not as developable a property as Pease, which, by the way, I believe, just finally had it's last polluted site cleaned up.**

**THIS IS NOT A TYPICAL MILITARY BASE CLOSING where the military folks will go off to some new base in Texas or wherever. This is a 200 year old shipyard; the FIRST, the PROUDEST; STEEPED in MILITARY SERVICE and TRADITION. Called upon in every conflict since the Revolutionary Era. It has a trained work force SECOND to NONE, that, if the base is closed, will be scattered to the winds, never to be assembled again and only because of the short-sightedness of the current decision-makers in Washington. PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COST SAVINGS VS. THE GOVERNMENT'S TRUMPED UP VERSION and PLEASE, carefully REVIEW the REAL COSTS OF CLOSING THE Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, NOT the LOW and Inaccurate ESTIMATES PROJECTED BY THE DEFENSE DEPT. et. al.**

**THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD IS SIMPLY THE BEST AND IS SO RECOGNIZED BY THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.**

**NOW - THERE IS LOGIC IN THAT!**

**Respectfully submitted,**




**Charles D. Dunton**

**Letter reviewed and supported by:**



**Bonnie K. Dunton**



**Jennifer A. Dunton**

Dunton Commercial Properties

435 Governor's Road, Milton, NH 03851 (603) 755-3944

*Where professionalism is expressed through confidentiality, experience and performance.*

COPY

July 25, 2005

Dear BRAC Commissioner,

I would like to make the following comments about the possible Closing of the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard

**(THIS LETTER WAS WRITTEN IN 1994, WHEN THE PORTSMOUTH NAVAL SHIPYARD WAS BEING CONSIDERED FOR CLOSURE AT THAT TIME. IT'S POIGNANCY IS OBVIOUS.)**

Born in Portsmouth in 1939, I grew up in this beautiful town and didn't move away until my college years. My parents lived there most of their lives, as did my grandparents. Many members of my family have worked at the "Yard" for either part or most of their lives. I have personally observed the "Yard" from its frantic days during WWII to its less frantic pace of the late '40s and early '50s (although always vigilant during these Cold War years) to the excitement brought about by the promise of nuclear power --- and so on to the present.

I was there during the construction of Pease Air Base in the '50s - for the coming of the B-47s and later the B-52s.

I saw what these two bases did for the region. They obviously pumped millions of dollars into the community and provided thousands of jobs. More than that they brought a better quality of life to the residents not only through those dollars but through the personnel that were assigned to the bases: the officers, engineers, pilots, technicians and support staff. Many of these folks retired and remained in the area.

The bases also made the community dependent on them. The bases are the *lifeblood* of this area.

I have also seen the dismantling of Pease Air Base. Thousands of personnel assigned elsewhere with the corresponding loss of their dollars and their talents. With that came the closing of businesses, schools, medical services. And -- at the worst possible time! A time when the nation was in the middle of a major recession/depression - and when Maine and New Hampshire were suffering severely, to the point when our state banking systems were in near total collapse. But we persevered.

But even before we can recover fully from the closing of Pease, the government has been threatening our other major artery. The Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. A proud monument to our local heritage and to the support and defense of our country since the infancy of our Union! We proudly display on our State flag an image of the Raleigh, one the first of

the Continental Navy's ships, built in Portsmouth in 1776. Her sister ship, the Ranger, was constructed soon after and captained by John Paul Jones.

The closing of the shipyard brings to mind with stunning appropriateness, some words written about another famous early naval vessel -- "Aye! Tear her battered Ensign down, long has it waved on high." Tear the fabric of our economy to shreds. Dismantle that which has for nearly two centuries provided local craftsmen with both a livelihood and pride and given a nation comfort in knowing that the fleet of Portsmouth's submarines were unsurpassed in the entire world.

We've done our share! We've already made the sacrifice. Not only recently with Pease Air Base but the many times before when our local economy has been influenced by the vagaries of political/military policies.

Your decisions are, without question, difficult: especially when predicated on economic or political policy (without even raising the argument of the appropriateness of reducing our military infrastructure so rapidly or of a reduction to a single submarine base on each coast).

So I implore you to use fairness and compassion. Don't totally destroy a proud seacoast community and its surrounding neighbors. A community that has both served its Navy and depended on it since the birth of our nation. Pass the pain around. We still have more than our share.

Respectfully,

Don Dunton