

Under heading USAR Command and Control-Northeast, DOD Recommendation includes "Realign Pitt USARC, Coraopolis, PA by disestablishing the HQ 99th Regional Readiness Command and establishing a Northeast Regional Readiness Command Headquarters at Fort Dix, NJ.

- 1) Appendix C(BRAC 2005 Closure and Realignment Impacts by State (shown as Pitt US Army Reserve Center): 119 military, 101 civilians
- 2) During a BRAC Commission staff visit to this unit, we were provided with the following: 282 military, 139 civilians
- 3) Army BRAC personnel (BRACD) provided the following for the 99th RRC: 208 military, 106 civilians

Please explain the discrepancy in the number of full time personnel assigned to the 99th Reserve Readiness Command (RRC) in Coraopolis, PA.



## BRAC 2005 - Query Response Manager



### Response to E0456

**Question:**

Under heading USAR Command and Control-Northeast, DOD Recommendation includes "Realign Pitt USARC, Coraopolis, PA by disestablishing the HQ 99th Regional Readiness Command and establishing a Northeast Regional Readiness Command Headquarters at Fort Dix, NJ.

- 1) Appendix C(BRAC 2005 Clusure and Realignment Impacts by State)(shown as Pitt US Army Reserve Center): 119 military, 101 civilians
- 2) During a BRAC Commission staff visit to this unit, we were provided with the following: 282 military, 139 civilians
- 3) Army BRAC personnel (BRACD) provided the following for the 99th RRC: 208 military, 106 civilians

Please explain the discrepancy in the number of full time personnel assigned to the 99th Reserve Readiness Command(RRC) in Caraopolis, PA.

**Answer:**

#1. The 119 and 101 figures represent the authorizations for AGR and full time civilians for the 99th RRC HQ as of last fall when we put together the proposal. That number came from OCAR Force Programs.

#2. The 282 and 139 may be what the 99th RRC provided but without knowing the basis of their numbers, source documents used for authorizations; or categories included in their numbers we cannot verify them. For example did they include any or all of the following categories: authorized strength vs. required strength (throughout our process we used "authorized"), AGR by TDA, full time civilians by TDA, contract personnel, temporary hires, full time personnel that did not deploy with subordinate units doing temporary duty in the HQ, personnel authorized for subordinate units but retained in the HQ, personnel awaiting discharge, personnel temporarily assigned pending disciplinary action, total full time personnel assigned in the building but not necessarily assigned to the 99th RRC HQ or for any number of reasons. They may also have included recruiter authorizations. To provide a definitive answer a line by line, "faces to spaces to places" analysis would have to be done in order to adequately explain the discrepancy.

Therefore, we cannot comment on their accuracy or provide a definitive answer without knowing the specifics as to how the 99th RRC arrived at their totals.

#3. 208 and 106 represent the authorizations for the new C2 unit that will stand up at Ft. Dix after the 99th disestablishes. This number comes from OCAR Force Programs.

**References:**

**Approved By:**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lambert", written in a cursive style.

**Date:** 18-Jul-05