


David M. Schmerfeld
50 De Palma
Sierra Vista, Arizona 85635
1-520-458-1913 - PHONE # DCN 5633

To: B. R. A. C.  RECEIVED

Sir, the City & County
will tell you only the good
news. 06292005

But sir please when
you come to Sierra Vista
talk to the people not
only City hall & the People
in the County City hall,

Sir, I come to the
fort in 1954 & I seen
only this area & the
San Pedro River as then



GOD BLESS AMERICA

② I know it hardly has any
water and now it's.

I know the fort much stay
open for the area, But they
much stop getting more troops
at the fort & the City of
Sierra Vista & County much
stop all Building going on
in Sierra Vista & the fort

Sir, for 34 years I work
on the fort, and I know the
fort much stay open But I
hope it gets no more troops
we don't have the water sir.

I hope sir, when you come
to Sierra Vista you will tell
the people from city hall Please
show me the "Fry-town-site"
right out side the main gate
of Fort Huachuca, and see
in yourself what it looks

David Schmerfeld

② like, every time I tell talk about closing the fort they will do this for the west end of town. But when they know it will stay open they forget the west-end of town, sir, they will only show you the east side of town.

also sir tell them you would like to see the San-Pedro river.

Here is a piece from the paper yesterday about the water here, and you see this in the paper each month.



GOD BLESS AMERICA

④ Sir, when you come please
dont only talk to the city
hall the County People & the
Generall looking for this - her
other stor, come & talk with
me or call me on the phone
"Collection at" 1-520-458-1913

I would like to take your
Team for fly-torn-acts &
show you the Building & the
streets & the real old
travels no one living in
walking but fire-traps.

DAVID M. SCHMERFELD
50 DE PALMA ST NW
SIENNA VISTA, AZ
85625


1-520-458-1913

David Schmerfeld

⑤ Some days we are getting
like Phoenix, Arizona now
you can't see the mountains.

The only thing city hall
and the County think about
is money, money & more
money, they seem to care
less how they place will
look 40-50 years from now.

Thank
David M. Schmerfeld
H



GOD BLESS AMERICA

Water outages prompt ASUA to suggest drilling

MONDAY JUNE 27, 2005

BY MICHAEL SULLIVAN
HERALD/REVIEW

SIERRA VISTA — Continued hot weather and increased residential growth have placed heavy demands on several Sierra Vista-area water systems, resulting in scattered water outages and low pressure in some areas where old systems are inadequate to meet the demands.

Workers with the Arizona Small Utilities Association have been scrambling since Saturday night to keep up with a multitude of problems, but in some cases the only solution will be to drill new wells, said

Edward Alvarez of ASUA. "We've been trying the best we can," Alvarez said Sunday evening, by cell phone in the field.

Workers have been replacing pumps and redoing electrical systems in an attempt to resolve the scattered water outages that for months have plagued the companies formerly controlled by John McLain Sr.

As ASUA workers would solve one problem, another would arise.

The greatest problems now involve the Mustang Heights

See DRILLING, Page A12

DRILLING: ASUA considers all options

FROM PAGE A1

and Crystal water companies in the Whetstone area. Crystal has been drawing water from Mustang Heights to meet high demand and the supply is simply inadequate to handle growth in the area, Alvarez said.

The well is too small to handle the demand, so "quite a few" homes were still without water Sunday evening. A new well will probably have to be drilled, as soon as possible, Alvarez said.

Crews will first attempt to "clean out" the current well, in case it is somehow plugged, he added.

The Horseshoe Water Co. system was shut down Sunday evening, but Alvarez said he expected service to be restored last night.

The three wells feeding the Cochise Water Co. system simply aren't adequate for the

demand, Alvarez said. A new well is needed.

The Sierra Sunset Water Co. system is temporarily on a timer that will run water for three-hour periods, with one-hour interruptions. A new, 5,000-gallon tank has been ordered and should be installed by Tuesday or Wednesday, somewhat alleviating the problem, Alvarez said.

The Coronado and Miracle Valley water systems are currently OK, Alvarez said. But a larger storage tank will be needed for Miracle Valley.

Alvarez advised customers experiencing problems to conserve water until everything can be taken care of.

"Try not to water your trees as much," Alvarez said. "Don't wash cars."

HERALD/REVIEW reporter Michael Sullivan can be reached at 515-4682 or by e-mail at michael.sullivan@svherald.com.

*Out of water, very much in
one of the area etc....*

We need that fort to stay open

OPINIC

CONTACTS

Rep. Manuel V. "Manny" Alvarez
District 25
Arizona State
Legislature
1700 W.
Washington
Room 318
Phoenix AZ 85007
malvarez@azleg.state.az.us
(602) 926-5895
(phone)
(602) 417-3025
(fax)

Rep. Jennifer Burns
District 25
Arizona State
Legislature
1700 W.
Washington
Room 116
Phoenix AZ 85007
jburns@azleg.state.az.us
(602) 926-5836
(phone)
(602) 417-3125
(fax)

Rep. Jonathan Paton
District 30
Arizona State
Legislature
1700 W.
Washington
Room 120
Phoenix AZ 85007
jpaton@azleg.state.az.us
(602) 926-3235
(phone)

Rep. Marian McClure
District 30
Arizona State
Legislature
1700 W.
Washington
Room 308
Phoenix AZ 85007
mmccclure@azleg.state.az.us
(602) 926-3312
(phone)

OUR VIEW

Having a say on BRAC

The Base Realignment and Closure Commission came to the Southwest, but not to our area.

On Friday the commission stopped in Clovis, N.M., where it heard supporters speak loudly for the Air Force Base in that city.

Arizona and Nevada also were invited to the party. Nevada, too, spoke up for the Army Ammunition Depot near Hawthorne, Nev.

Arizona, however, stands more favorably in the Pentagon's proposed BRAC plan. There are two facilities in the state slated for closure, and Gov. Janet Napolitano, the state's voice for 30 minutes to the commission, focused on the Air Force Research Laboratory in Mesa, arguing that about 80 percent of the employees would not relocate if the facility closed.

She also touted the state's "long and strong defense of its military installations," according to an Associated Press report.

Fort Huachuca didn't get much time before the commission. That can be viewed as a good thing, mostly because it keeps the commissioners' minds on other bases being closed or reduced in strength. But it also could be a bad thing, where the commissioners who don't know about the fort may make proposals later that would be a detriment locally.

That's why we encourage local residents who want to support the fort to contact the commission with their comments. By giving a voice of support, it will tell the commission about the importance of our military facility to national defense and the local community.

Here's how you can act, and the result will be your comments will be in the public record of the BRAC Commission:

• By mail: 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission, 2521 S. Clark St., Suite 600, Arlington VA 22202

• By phone: (703) 699-2950

• Via the commission's Web site: www.brac.gov/feedback.aspx

Everyone can play a role to support the fort. We may not get a lot of time in front of the commission, but we can take our case to the commissioners themselves.

We must act to ensure our future is secure.

*we need the fort air base
this is about the west end of brown river + they down-ate, but a most outside*

TUESDAY

Much ado

RECEIVED

06062005

Box 1364

Hereford, Arizona 85615

June 2, 2005

The Base Realignment and Closure Commission
2521 South Clark Street
Suite 600
Arlington, Virginia 22202

Dear Commissioners:

This communication is in respect to the United States Army Intelligence Center and Fort Huachuca, Fort Huachuca, Arizona. Enclosed are documents that strongly suggest that the Intelligence activity on this Army Post warrants its re-location to another military installation where its day by day *unclassified* activities can be more closely watched by others, including the general public and our nation's print and electronic media. That re-location might best be to an installation in the area of the District of Columbia. Transparency in terms of its activities that are *unclassified* would be a positive step in the right direction.

Enclosed are documents that show that two Army Public Affairs General Officers have elected to ignore the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act by failing to even acknowledge receipt of certified mail regarding misconduct at the United States Army Intelligence Center and Fort Huachuca including matters such as "black files" on US citizens and lawfully admitted permanent residents (these files were protected under an order of the United States Courts), unlawful representations of government employees in violation of the Westfall Act, unlawful wire taps, the use of the "Attorney Work Product Doctrine" to conceal unlawful activity, and other matters.

50029090

Fort Huachuca is an asset to our nation and warrants remaining open given its electromagnetic environment and its air and land space.

There is no reason why all other functions could not remain in place. The vacancy left by the Intelligence Center could be taken up by some other Army or DOD activity, or some other federal function. In fact, it might make an excellent training location for certain activities of the Department of Homeland Security such as those mandated to the Border Patrol along the US-Mexico Border. The fact of the matter is that the Intelligence Center and School could even be located on an existing Air Force, Navy, or Marine Corps facility with little reduction in capability to perform its *lawful* missions.

Sincerely,



Carl Bloeser

Enclosures:

1. One page letter to the Hon. Francis J. Harvey, Sec'y of the Army
2. Nine pages to Commanding Generals of US Army Public Affairs:
BG Vincent K. Brooks, and BG Robert E. Gaylord
3. Ten pages titled "United States Government Representation of Personnel Accused of Torture and Other Acts in Iraq, Afghanistan, Guantanamo Bay and other Secret Locations Worldwide."

Box 1364
Hereford, Arizona 85615
June 1, 2005

The Honorable Francis J. Harvey
The Secretary of the United States Army
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20005

Dear Mr. Secretary:

This is a request of the Office of the Secretary of the Army to have the Chief of United States Public Affairs respond to the enclosed unanswered communication.

May I have a response to the enclosed communications no later than midnight, June 30, 2005

Sincerely,

COPY

Carl Bloeser

United States Government Representation of Personnel Accused of Torture and Other Acts In Iraq, Afghanistan, Guantanamo Bay and Other Secret Locations Worldwide

In any case where an individual is being sued in his or her individual capacity, and the Department of Justice elects to certify that the person was acting within the scope of their employment, any statements made to the Court in that respect warrant **great attention and scrutiny**, especially in cases involving Army intelligence and contractor personnel

The attached documents clearly show that the requirement of the Westfall Act was not met in a case arising from actions at the United States Army Intelligence Center and Fort Huachuca. In the case mentioned in these documents representations were made by Department of Justice and Department of the Army attorneys to the District and Appellate Courts that were both false and misleading. The Justice Department is and was aware of that wrong doing, but there is no evidence presented to us to date that the Courts have been informed of that misconduct.

The Justice Department has known of that misconduct since **at least** 2001 but to the best of our knowledge has yet to take appropriate action against those who committed a fraud on the United States Courts. Proof of the misconduct by Justice Department and Department of the Army attorneys has yet to be addressed by different entities within the Department of Justice, to the best of our knowledge. The Department of the Army has elected to have the Department of Justice address the issue.

Since DOJ and DOA got away with it, for the time being, it is appropriate to suggest that they might be willing to try it again, especially in matters of prisoner abuses including torture, in different locations throughout the world.

Carl Bloeser
Box 1364
Hereford, Arizona 85615
May 15, 2004

WASTE, FRAUD, ABUSE AND MISMANAGEMENT
OF TAXPAYER MONEY

On March 5, 2001 an FOIA AND PA request was sent to the United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C The request asked for all documents related to the following:

1. ALL UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE RECORDS OF ANY INVESTIGATION DONE TO WARRANT DOJ'S REPRESENTATION OF DALE PRESCOTT DURBIN IN DISTRICT COURT CASE NO CIV 98-170 TUC-WFN AND THE APPEAL TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT NO.99-17609.
2. ALL UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE RECORDS THAT IDENTIFY THE AMOUNT OF TAXPAYER MONIES SPENT ON THE REPRESENTATION OF DALE P. DURBIN THROUGH THE DATE OF YOUR RESPONSE TO THIS FOIA REQUEST.
3. ALL RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OF ANY COMMUNICATION REGARDING THE CASES CITED IN (1) WITH ANY MEMBER OR ORGANIZATION OF THE PRINT OR ELECTRONIC MEDIA. THIS IS A REQUEST FOR ALL RECORDS THROUGH THE DATE OF YOUR RESPONSE TO THIS FOIA REQUEST.

DOJ MAY EXCLUDE FROM (3) OF THIS REQUEST ALL COMMUNICATIONS DIRECTED TO DOJ BY ANY MEMBER OR ORGANIZATIONS OF THE PRINT OR ELECTRONIC MEDIA.

SUGGESTED POINTS OF CONTACT FOR REQUESTED RECORDS ARE THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA, PHOENIX, ARIZONA, MR. MONTE CLAUSEN, ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, TUCSON, ARIZONA AND THE PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, WASHINGTON, D.C. AND PHOENIX, ARIZONA.

WASTE, FRAUD, ABUSE AND MISMANAGEMENT
OF TAXPAYER MONEY

The request was responded to by the Civil Division of Justice--the first on June 12, 2001, the second on July 18, 2001. Both were responsive to the inquiry of March 5, 2001.

There are two very significant pieces of information in the Justice response:

1. There is no record an investigation was done as Monte Clausen an Assistant United States Attorney claimed under oath to a now deceased federal judge. The law requires that an investigation be done and a decision rendered by Justice before taxpayer representation is granted.
2. "No time was reported to this case by Civil Division Attorneys." It appears that no records exist as to how much the taxpayer has spent on representing a person whom Justice is defending without an investigation being done to warrant his free representation.

The Inspector General of Justice has referred this matter to the Office of Professional Responsibility as the IG "does not have jurisdiction to investigate allegations that a Department of Justice attorney has committed misconduct while exercising his or her litigation authority."

WASTE, FRAUD, ABUSE AND MISMANAGEMENT
OF TAXPAYER MONEY

This is just the "tip of an iceberg" on matters of violations of federal laws, rules, regulations, and orders by the Department of the Army at the United States Army Intelligence Center and Fort Huachuca and those who represented their interests in the matter of Bloeser v. Durbin et. al.

It is also an abuse of the American taxpayer. Everyday individuals meet with their attorneys and enter into arrangements for services that often cost them dearly as they pursue their right to Justice. Many would give "an arm and a leg" to have the United States Department of Justice represent them, especially before the federal courts in areas of possible misconduct. Most taxpayers will never have that level of legal representation.

attachments

- Declaration of Charles K. Bloeser-3 pages
- Motion to Extend Time to Respond to Complaint-3 pages


3. I learned that DOJ lawyer Richard Phillips (202.514.4778) had been assigned to my client's case. I called him on May 14, 1999, and he asked how I got his number. I explained that I am the attorney of record in the case and that I simply needed to know whether DOJ ever conducted the claimed investigation. I further explained that I understood the privilege claims that could be made with respect to the contents of that investigation but that I simply needed to know if that investigation was done. Mr. Phillips told me he would look at his file and call me back, although he said he could not assure me how much he would tell me. Mr. Phillips did not call back, despite two phone calls to his office on later dates, one of them on August 17, 1999.

4. On Tuesday, August 17, 1999, I received a phone message from DOJ lawyer Paul Brown (202.616.4167) concerning my client. At approximately 6:12 pm (Eastern), I called back the number he left on my answering machine. A person answered as "Paul Brown." I explained to Mr. Brown that I represent the Plaintiff in this case and that I simply needed to know whether DOJ conducted the pre-representation investigation that the U.S.A.'s office told the court was done in D.C. without records being kept. Mr. Brown said the file would have documentation revealing whether that investigation was done but that the file was archived and the archives were run by a contractor so he did not know when he would have the file. He said he did not know if I could even get an answer to that question after Labor Day. I explained again to Mr. Brown that I was not asking him about the contents of any

such investigation, but that I was simply asking whether the claimed investigation was done.

Mr. Brown told me that no one can question DOJ's decision to represent someone.

The above and foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'C. Bloeser', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Charles K. Bloeser

1 JOSÉ de JESUS RIVERA
United States Attorney
2 District of Arizona
MONTE C. CLAUSEN
3 Assistant U.S. Attorney
State Bar No. 002420
4 Acapulco Building, Suite 8310
110 South Church Avenue
5 Tucson, Arizona 85701
Telephone: (520) 620-7300
6 Attorneys for Defendants

FILED JUN 5 1998

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

9 CARL HUGH BLOESER,

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 DALE PRESCOTT DURBIN,
13 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ARMY, UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA, and ROBERT M.
14 WALKER, Acting Secretary of the Army,
in his official capacity, and John Does 1-50,

15 Defendants.
16

CIV 98-0170 TUC-RMB

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

17 Comes now the United States Attorney for the District of Arizona, JOSÉ de JESUS
18 RIVERA, by Assistant U.S. Attorney Monte C. Clausen, on behalf of defendant Dale Prescott
19 Durbin, and moves this Court for an order extending the time to respond to the complaint in
20 this matter for sixty (60) days from the date of service which was May 16, 1998. Sixty days
21 from the date of service would be July 15, 1998.

22 According to the attached Declaration of Monte C. Clausen, defendant Dale Prescott
23 Durbin was an employee of the United States, employed as a FECA Administrator at Ft.
24 Huachuca, Arizona, acting in his official capacity, when he allegedly committed the acts
25 complained of by plaintiff herein. Defendant Durbin has requested that the Department of
26 Justice provide him with representation in this matter, and the Department of Justice is

2


1 investigating this matter to determine whether it is appropriate to provide representation.
2 That investigation has not yet been completed.

3 The Department of Justice takes the position that an employee acting within the
4 scope of his employment by the government who is sued in his official capacity is entitled to
5 sixty (60) days after service to respond. Rule 12(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil
6 Procedure. However, plaintiff's summons seems to indicate that it is the plaintiff's position
7 that Dale Prescott Durbin has only twenty (20) days to respond from the date of service.

8 In order to protect Dale Prescott Durbin from possibly being defaulted in this matter,
9 pending the Department of Justice's decision on representation, the U.S. Attorney's Office
10 hereby requests that the Court extend the time to respond to the complaint until, and
11 including, July 15, 1998.

12 Respectfully submitted this 5th day of June, 1998.

13 JOSÉ de JESUS RIVERA
14 United States Attorney
15 District of Arizona

16 

17 MONTE C. CLAUSEN
18 Assistant U.S. Attorney

19 Copy of the foregoing mailed
20 this 5th day of June, 1998,
21 to:

22 Mr. Charles Bloeser
23 2513 Rice
24 Ponca City, OK 74604
25 Attorney for plaintiff
26

1 DECLARATION OF ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY MONTE C. CLAUSEN

2 The undersigned, Assistant U.S. Attorney Monte C. Clausen, hereby declares unde
3 penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, as follows:


4 1. I am the Assistant U.S. Attorney assigned to defend the above matter.

5 2. On May 28, 1998, I sent a letter via facsimile to Mr. Charles Bloeser at the
6 facsimile number listed on the complaint requesting clarification regarding plaintiff's position
7 as to when a response would be required from Mr. Durbin. As to date, I have not heard from
8 plaintiff's counsel.

9 3. On June 4, 1998, I placed a telephone call to plaintiff's counsel at the number
10 listed on the complaint and received a recording stating the number was no longer in service.

11 4. The Department of Justice is investigating this matter to determine whether it is
12 appropriate to provide representation for Dale Prescott Durbin, and this office has not yet
13 received authority to represent Mr. Durbin.

14 DATED this 5th day of June, 1998.

15
16 
17 _____
18 MONTE C. CLAUSEN
19 Assistant U.S. Attorney
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

To: Brigadier General Vincent K. Brooks
Chief of United States Army Public Affairs; and
Senior Disclosure Officer
United States Army Public Affairs

Through: Public Affairs Officer
United States Army Intelligence Center
and Fort Huachuca
ATZS-PA
Fort Huachuca, Az 85613-7027

From: Carl Bloeser
Box 1364
Hereford, Arizona 85615

Date: March 12, 2005

Subject: **Lack of Response to Three (3) Freedom of Information and Privacy Act Requests**

To date, no response has been received to three (3) certified mail FOIA and PA requests sent to General Gaylord and the Senior Disclosure Officer of your Command. One was dated September 22, 2004 and two (2) were dated September 24, 2004. A response to each is warranted under the provisions of both the Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts. As the new Army Chief of Public Affairs would you please respond to the enclosed communications requests made under the provisions of United States law, rules, regulations and orders.

It is expected that your response will ***include all records requested*** through the date of your response to the three requests. I believe that it is appropriate under the circumstances that your response provides with it a certification by the Staff Judge Advocate that the records provided are true, complete, and accurate.

Sincerely,

COPY
Carl Bloeser

7003 3110 0002 9859 6462

U.S. Postal Service™	
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT	
<i>(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)</i>	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com	
OFFICIAL USE	
FORT HUACHUCA, AZ 85613	
Postage	\$ 0.60
Certified Fee	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 2.90
UNIT ID: 0339	Postmark Here
	Clerk: B53BF0
	03/14/05
Sent To 36 Vincent K. Brooks, Chief Army Public Affairs Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No. The PAO, U.S. Army Intel Center W.F.A. City, State, ZIP+4 ATZS-PA - Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613-7027	
PS Form 3800, June 2002 See Reverse for Instructions	

To: Brigadier General Robert E. Gaylord
Chief of United States Army Public Affairs; and
Senior Disclosure Officer
United States Army Public Affairs

Through: Public Affairs Officer
United States Army Intelligence Center
and Fort Huachuca
ATZS-PA
Fort Huachuca, Az 85613-7027

From: Carl Bloeser
Box 1364
Hereford, Arizona 85615

Date: March 10, 2005

Subject: **Lack of Response to Three (3) Freedom of Information and Privacy Act Requests**

To date, no response has been received to three (3) certified mail FOIA and PA requests sent to you and the Senior Disclosure Officer of your Command. One was dated September 22, 2004 and two (2) were dated September 24, 2004. A response to each is warranted under the provisions of both the Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts. Please consider this a **third and final request for you to conform to the laws of the United States and federal rules, regulations and orders.**

It is expected that your response will **include all records requested** through the date of your response to the three requests. I believe that it is appropriate under the circumstances that your response provides with it a certification by the Staff Judge Advocate that the records provided are true, complete, and accurate.

Sincerely,
COPY
Carl Bloeser

7003 3110 0002 9859 6455

U.S. Postal Service™	
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT	
<i>(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)</i>	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com	
OFFICIAL USE	
FORT HUACHUCA, AZ 85613	
Postage	\$ 0.37
Certified Fee	2.30
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 2.67
UNIT ID: 0339	Postmark Here
	Clerk: B53BF0
	03/10/05
Sent To: P.O. US Army Intel Center Fort Huachuca	
Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No. ATZS-PA	
City, State, ZIP+4 Fort Huachuca, Az 85613-7027	

To: Brigadier General Robert E. Gaylord
Chief of United States Army Public Affairs; and
Senior Disclosure Officer
United States Army Public Affairs

Through: Public Affairs Officer
United States Army Intelligence Center
and Fort Huachuca
ATZS-PA
Fort Huachuca, Az 85613-7027

From: Carl Bloeser
Box 1364
Hereford, Arizona 85615


Date: November 7, 2004

Subject: **Lack of Response to Three (3) Freedom of Information and Privacy Act Requests**

To date, no response has been received to three (3) certified mail FOIA and PA requests sent to you and the Senior Disclosure Officer of your Command. One was dated September 22, 2004 and two (2) were dated September 24, 2004. A response to each is warranted under the provisions of both the Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts. Please consider this a second request.

It is expected that your response will include all records requested through the date of your response to the three requests. I believe that it is appropriate under the circumstances that your response provides with it a certification by the Staff Judge Advocate that the records provided are true, complete, and accurate.

Sincerely,



Carl Bloeser

9449 6586 2000 01TE E002

U.S. Postal Service™	
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT	
<i>(Domestic Mail Only, No Insurance Coverage Provided)</i>	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com	
OFFICIAL USE	
FORT HUACHUCA, AZ 85613	
Postage	\$ 5.30
Certified Fee	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 2.67
Postmark Here NOV 08 2004 Clerk: KC6095 11/08/04 USPS	
Sent To B. G. Robert E. Gaylord & Senior Disclosure Officer Street, Apt. No., P.O. - Box or PO Box No. PAO Ft. Huachuca ATZS-PA City, State, ZIP+4 Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613-7027	
PS Form 3800, June 2002 See Reverse for Instructions	

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACT REQUEST

To: Brigadier General Robert E. Gaylord
Chief of United States Army Public Affairs, and
Senior Disclosure Officer United States Army Public Affairs

Through: Public Affairs Officer
United States Army Intelligence Center and
Fort Huachuca
ATZS-PA
Fort Huachuca, Az 85613-7027

From: Carl Bloeser
Box 1364
Hereford, Arizona 85615

Date: September 24, 2004

Cover 2 FVAs: 8/9/24
7003 1010 0005 1860 4783

U.S. Postal Service™	
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT	
<i>(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)</i>	
For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com	
FORT HUACHUCA, AZ 85613	
Postage	\$ 0.37
Certified Fee	2.30
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 2.67
Postmark Here SEP 24 2004	
Sent To <i>BG Robert Gaylord - The U.S. Army</i>	
Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No. <i>ATZS-PA Ft. Huachuca</i>	
City, State, ZIP+4 <i>Ft. Huachuca, AZ 85613-7027</i>	
PS Form 3800, June 2002 See Reverse for Instructions	

Dear General Gaylord and Senior Disclosure Officer:

This is a request for information made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act. This request includes, but is not limited to, all correspondence, memoranda, list of names, applications, diskettes, letters, expense logs and receipts, diary logs, facsimile logs, telephone records, tape recordings, notes, electronic mail, videotapes, photographs and other documents relating to the following:

ALL RECORDS OF UNITED STATES ARMY PUBLIC AFFAIRS REGARDING ME CONVEYED TO ANY MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC, EXCLUDING THE MEDIA, SINCE JULY 1, 1998. MY NAME AND IDENTIFYING INFORMATION IS AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS REQUEST.

ARMY PUBLIC AFFAIRS MAY EXCLUDE ALL RECORDS OF INQUIRIES FROM THE PUBLIC BUT MAY NOT EXCLUDE ANY RECORD OF RESPONSE MADE BY ANY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PERSONNEL INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PUBLIC AFFAIRS PERSONNEL AT THE UNITED STATES ARMY INTELLIGENCE CENTER AND SCHOOL, PUBLIC AFFAIRS PERSONNEL AT TRAINING AND DOCTRINE COMMAND, AND HEADQUARTERS OF ARMY PUBLIC AFFAIRS.

ALL OF THE ABOVE ALSO APPLIES TO ANY OTHER ARMY AND/OR DOD CIVILIAN OR MILITARY PERSONNEL TO WHICH ANY PUBLIC INQUIRY WAS FORWARDED FOR RESPONSE.

A request is made for a thorough search of all records systems that may contain information responsive to this request. Under the internal procedures for the Army's management of records. I believe that all records can be located with a reasonable amount of effort.

This is a request also includes all records which have been destroyed, placed beyond your control, or otherwise disposed of. They shall be identified by : a) author(s); b) addressee(s); c) date; d) type of document; e) subject matter; f) number of pages; g) number of attachments and appendices; h) indicated as blind copies; i) all persons to whom distribution, shown or

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACT REQUEST

explained; j) date of destruction or other deposition; k) reason for the destruction or other deposition; m) person destroying or otherwise disposing of document; and n) if not destroyed, person in possession of document otherwise disposed of.

If any or all portions of my request are denied please provide me a precise explanation as to why the requested information has been denied. I agree to reasonable search and duplication fees, if any. Please contact me in writing at my address above with any estimates of costs if you expect to exceed \$ 25.00.

Finally, I believe that the release of requested records is one that furthers FOIA's core purpose of shedding light on the performance of the Army's statutory duties.

Sincerely,

A large, stylized, and somewhat distorted stamp of the word "COPY" in a bold, sans-serif font. The letters are thick and have a slightly irregular, hand-drawn appearance.

Carl Hugh Bloeser
Social Security number
DOB 1/3/39

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACT REQUEST

To: Brigadier General Robert E. Gaylord
Chief of United States Army Public Affairs, and
Senior Disclosure Officer United States Army Public Affairs

Through: Public Affairs Officer
United States Army Intelligence Center and
Fort Huachuca
ATZS-PA
Fort Huachuca, Az 85613-7027

From: Carl Bloeser
Box 1364
Hereford, Arizona 85615

Date: September 24, 2004

Dear General Gaylord and Senior Disclosure Officer:

This is a request for information made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act. This request includes, but is not limited to, all correspondence, memoranda, list of names, applications, diskettes, letters, expense logs and receipts, diary logs, facsimile logs, telephone records, tape recordings, notes, electronic mail, videotapes, photographs and other documents relating to the following:

ALL RECORDS IN POSSESSION OF, OR REFERRED FROM, UNITED STATES ARMY PUBLIC AFFAIRS REGARDING THE FOLLOWING *UNANSWERED LETTERS* TO THE FORMER PAO AT THE UNITED STATES ARMY INTELLIGENCE CENTER AND FORT HUACHUCA, MARIAN HANSEN:

1. **NOVEMBER 24, 2002**
2. **FEBRUARY 1, 2003**
3. **FEBRUARY 9, 2003**
4. **FEBRUARY 21, 2003**
5. **MARCH 8, 2002**
6. **APRIL 11, 2003**
7. **APRIL 17, 2003**
8. **MAY 1, 2003**
9. **MAY 9, 2003**

A request is made for a thorough search of all records systems that may contain information responsive to this request. Under the internal procedures for the Army's management of records, I believe that all records can be located with a reasonable amount of effort.

This is a request also includes all records which have been destroyed, placed beyond your control, or otherwise disposed of. They shall be identified by : a) author(s); b) addressee(s); c) date; d) type of document; e) subject matter; f) number of pages; g) number of attachments and appendices; h) indicated as blind copies; i) all persons to whom distribution, shown or explained; j) date of destruction or other deposition; k) reason for the destruction or other

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACT REQUEST

explained; j) date of destruction or other deposition; k) reason for the destruction or other deposition; m) person destroying or otherwise disposing of document; and n) if not destroyed, person in possession of document otherwise disposed of.

If any or all portions of my request are denied please provide me a precise explanation as to why the requested information has been denied. I agree to reasonable search and duplication fees, if any. Please contact me in writing at my address above with any estimates of costs if you expect to exceed \$ 25.00.

Finally, I believe that the release of requested records is one that furthers FOIA's core purpose of shedding light on the performance of the Army's statutory duties.

Sincerely,



Carl Hugh Bloeser
Social Security number
DOB 1/3/39

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

FORT HUACHUCA, AZ 85613

Postage	\$ 0.37	UNIT ID: 0139 WENEFORD, AZ 03615-9998 Postmark Here SEP: 22 2004 09/22/04
Certified Fee	2.30	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 2.67	

Sent To: BG Robert Grayland - Thru Ft Huachuca

Street, Apt. No., or PO Box No. BT 25 Ft Huachuca

City, State, ZIP+4 Ft Huachuca AZ 85613-7027

PS Form 3800, June 2002 See Reverse for Instructions

7003 1010 0005 1860 4776

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACT REQUEST

To: Brigadier General Robert E. Gaylord
Chief of United States Army Public Affairs, and
Senior Disclosure Officer United States Army Public Affairs

Through: Public Affairs Officer
United States Army Intelligence Center and
Fort Huachuca
ATZS-PA
Fort Huachuca, Az 85613-7027

From: Carl Bloeser
Box 1364
Hereford, Arizona 85615

Date: September 22, 2004

Dear General Gaylord and Senior Disclosure Officer:

This is a request for information made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and the Privacy Act. This request includes, but is not limited to, all correspondence, memoranda, list of names, applications, diskettes, letters, expense logs and receipts, diary logs, facsimile logs, telephone records, tape recordings, notes, electronic mail, videotapes, photographs and other documents relating to the following:

ALL RECORDS OF UNITED STATES ARMY PUBLIC AFFAIRS REGARDING ME CONVEYED TO ANY MEMBER OF THE PRINT OR ELECTRONIC MEDIA SINCE JULY 1, 1998. MY NAME AND IDENTIFYING INFORMATION IS AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS REQUEST.

ARMY PUBLIC AFFAIRS MAY EXCLUDE ALL RECORDS OF INQUIRIES FROM THE PRINT OR ELECTRONIC MEDIA BUT MAY NOT EXCLUDE ANY RECORD OF RESPONSE MADE BY ANY DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PERSONNEL INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PUBLIC AFFAIRS PERSONNEL AT THE UNITED STATES ARMY INTELLIGENCE CENTER AND SCHOOL, PUBLIC AFFAIRS PERSONNEL AT TRAINING AND DOCTRINE COMMAND, AND HEADQUARTERS OF ARMY PUBLIC AFFAIRS.

ALL OF THE ABOVE ALSO APPLIES TO ANY OTHER ARMY AND/OR DOD CIVILIAN OR MILITARY PERSONNEL TO WHICH ANY MEDIA INQUIRY WAS FORWARDED FOR RESPONSE.

A request is made for a thorough search of all records systems that may contain information responsive to this request. Under the internal procedures for the Army's management of records. I believe that all records can be located with a reasonable amount of effort.

This request also includes all records which have been destroyed, placed beyond your control, or otherwise disposed of. They shall be identified by : a) author(s); b) addressee(s); c) date; d) type of document; e) subject matter; f) number of pages; g) number of attachments and appendices; h) indicated as blind copies; i) all persons to whom distribution, shown or

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACT REQUEST

deposition; m) person destroying or otherwise disposing of document; and n) if not destroyed, person in possession of document otherwise disposed of.

If any or all portions of my request are denied please provide me a precise explanation as to why the requested information has been denied. I agree to reasonable search and duplication fees, if any. Please contact me in writing at my address above with any estimates of costs if you expect to exceed \$ 25.00.

Finally, I believe that the release of requested records is one that furthers FOIA's core purpose of shedding light on the performance of the Army's statutory duties.

Sincerely,

A large, stylized, and somewhat distorted stamp of the word "COPY" in a bold, sans-serif font. The letters are slightly overlapping and have a grainy, high-contrast appearance.
Carl Hugh Bloeser