

## Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities

**Recommendation:** Close 21820 Burbank Boulevard, a leased installation in Woodland Hills, CA. Relocate all components of the Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals Western Hearing Office to Fort Meade, MD.

Close 800 Elkridge Landing Road, a leased installation in Linthicum, MD. Relocate all components of the National Security Agency Central Adjudication Facility to Fort Meade, MD.

Realign 2780 Airport Drive, a leased installation in Columbus, OH, by relocating all components of the Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office and the Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals Personal Security Division to Fort Meade, MD.

Realign 1777 N. Kent Street, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating all components of the Washington Headquarters Service Central Adjudication Facility to Fort Meade, MD.

Realign 875 N. Randolph Street, a leased installation in Arlington, VA, by relocating all components of the Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals Headquarters to Fort Meade, MD.

Realign 10050 North 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue, a leased installation in Phoenix, AZ, by relocating all components of the Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals Arizona office to Fort Meade, MD.

Realign the Washington Navy Yard, DC, by relocating all components of the Navy Central Adjudication Facility Fort Meade, MD.

Realign Bolling Air Force Base, DC, by relocating all components of the Air Force Central Adjudication Facility and the Defense Intelligence Agency Central Adjudication Facility Fort Meade, MD.

Realign the Pentagon, Washington, DC, by relocating all components of the Joint Staff Central Adjudication Facility to Fort Meade, MD.

Realign the U.S. Army Soldiers Systems Center Garrison, Natick, MA, by relocating all components of the Defense Office of Hearings and Appeals Boston Hearing office to Fort Meade, MD.

**Justification:** This recommendation collocates all Military Department (MILDEP) and Department of Defense (DoD) security clearance adjudication and

## Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities

appeals activities at Fort Meade, MD. It meets several important DoD objectives with regard to future use of leased space, enhanced security for DoD activities, and collocates National Capital Area intelligence community activities. It also enables the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Act of 2004, the Administration's counterintelligence strategy, and the Remodeling Defense Intelligence initiative. Additionally, this recommendation results in a significant improvement in military value due to a shift from predominately-leased space to a location on a military installation. The military value of adjudication activities current portfolio of locations ranges from 152-280 out of 334 entities evaluated by the Major Administration and Headquarters (MAH) military value model. Fort Meade, MD, ranks 94 out of 334.

Implementation will reduce the Department's reliance on leased space, which has historically higher overall costs than government-owned space and generally does not meet Anti-terrorism Force Protection standards as prescribed in UFC 04-010-01. The benefit of enhanced Force Protection afforded by a location within a military installation fence-line will provide immediate compliance with Force Protection Standards. MILDEP and Defense adjudication activities located currently at leased locations are not compliant with current Force Protection Standards. This recommendation eliminates 136,930 Gross Square Feet (GSF) of leased administrative space. This action provides a collocation of these activities, and reduces the number of locations from 13 to one.

**Payback:** The total estimated one-time cost to the Department of Defense to implement this recommendation is \$67.1M. The net of all costs and savings to the Department during the implementation period is a cost of \$47.5M. Annual recurring savings to the Department after implementation are \$5.7M, with a payback expected in 13 years. The net present value of the costs and savings to the Department over 20 years is a savings of \$11.3M.

**Economic Impact on Communities:** Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of two jobs (1 direct job and 1 indirect job) over the 2006-2011 period in the Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ Metropolitan Statistical Area, which is less than 0.1% of the economic area employment.

Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of two jobs (1 direct job and 1 indirect job) over the 2006-2011 period in the Cambridge-Newton-Framingham, MA Metropolitan Division, which is less than 0.1% of the economic area employment.

### **Co-locate Defense/Military Department Adjudication Activities**

Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 25 jobs (14 direct jobs and 11 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the Los Angeles-Long Beach-Glendale, CA Metropolitan Division, which is less than 0.1% of the economic area employment.

Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 411 jobs (236 direct jobs and 175 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the Columbus, OH Metropolitan Statistical Area, which is less than 0.1% of the economic area employment.

Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 867 jobs (501 direct jobs and 366 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV Metropolitan Division, which is less than 0.1% of the economic area employment.

The aggregate economic impact of all recommended actions on these economic regions of influence was considered and is at Appendix B of Volume I.

**Community Infrastructure:** A review of community attributes indicates no issues regarding the ability of the infrastructure of the communities to support missions, forces, and personnel. There are no known community infrastructure impediments to implementation of all recommendations affecting the installations in this recommendation.

**Environmental Impact:** This recommendation is likely to impact Air Quality at Fort Meade. Additional emissions from an increase of personnel will require Air Conformity Analysis, and New Source Review analysis, and permitting. This recommendation has no impact on cultural, archeological, or tribal resources; dredging, land use constraints or sensitive resource areas; marine mammals, resources, or sanctuaries; noise, threatened and endangered species or critical habitat; waste management; water resources; or wetlands. This recommendation will require spending approximately \$0.090M for environmental compliance activities. This cost was included in the payback calculation. This recommendation does not otherwise impact the cost of environmental restoration, waste management, and environment compliance activities. The aggregate environmental impact of all recommended BRAC actions affecting the bases in this recommendation has been reviewed. There are no known environmental impediments to implementation of this recommendation.