

**SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

**[TABS FINAL VERSION]**

**SCENARIO: HSA-0133V2**

**TITLE: HSA-0133V2 JOINT MOBILIZATION SITES**

**General Description:** Realign Aberdeen Proving Ground, Washington Navy Yard, McChord AFB, SUBASE Groton by consolidating at a newly established joint mobilization processing center at Ft Dix. Realign Bangor NSB and McChord AFB by consolidating at a newly established joint processing center at Ft Lewis. Realign Ft Huachuca by consolidating mobilization processing operations at a newly established joint mobilization processing center at Ft Bliss/Holloman AFB. Realign Ft Eustis, Ft Jackson, and Ft Lee by consolidating mobilization processing operations at a newly established joint mobilization processing site at Ft Bragg/Pope AFB

Notes:

1). Proposal adds three personnel at Ft. Dix. Fort Eustis and Fort Jackson each lose one person. No other changes in personnel are involved and no new MILCON occurs at any installation.

**ANALYST:** \_\_\_\_\_

**LAST UPDATED:** 4/27/05

Env Resource Area	#1 Gaining Installation Assessment Inst Name: <b>Ft. Dix</b>	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	No Impact.	Increase of 3 personnel at Ft. Dix is considered to have no impact to any of the 10 environmental areas
Cultural/ Archeological Resources	No Impact.	
Dredging	No Impact.	
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resources	No Impact.	
Marine Mammals/Marine Resources	No Impact.	
Noise	No Impact.	
Threatened/Endangered Species/Critical	No Impact.	
Waste Management	No Impact.	
Water Resources	No Impact.	
Wetlands	No Impact.	

Env Resource Area	#2 <i>Gaining</i> Installation Assessment Inst Name: <b>Ft. Lewis</b>	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	No Impact.	#213 – Installation is in attainment for NO <sub>2</sub> and SO <sub>2</sub> and “maintenance” mode for Ozone (1-hour), PM <sub>10</sub> , and CO. “Unclassifiable” for PM <sub>2.5</sub> , lead, Ozone (8-hour). #211/212 - No permit/Major Source thresholds projected to be exceeded #220 -Major operating permit #218/ISR2 - No mission impact indicated.
Cultural/Archeological/Tribal Resources	No Impact.	#229 No cemeteries imposing restrictions #230-232 248 archeological resources limiting future construction and training, native people sites (burial sites) #233-234 – 82.5% surveyed; #234 - 5 tribes (Nisqually, Puyallup, Squaxin, Yakima, Coleville Confederated) assert interest and in formal consultation. #235- 3 Historic Districts with 415 historic properties #236 - No Programmatic Agreement ISR2 - Adverse impact to mission (Amber) #201 – No constraints on operation
Dredging	No Impact.	
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resource Areas	No Impact.	Buildable Acres – 5,912 acres available, 0 acres req'd #254, 256 - No SRAs that restrict development ISR – No impacts/restrictions CERL – Moderate to High Encroachment Projected
Marine Mammals/Marine Resources	No Impact.	
Noise	No Impact.	#239 – No noise contours extend off installation.  CERL - moderate encroachment ISR Rating is “Amber” for Noise

Threatened & Endangered Species/Critical Habitat	No Impact.	<p>#249 – Salmon (Endangered Species – Marine) restricts less than one acre.</p> <p>#259 – Five TES species identified on main installation (Bald Eagle, Bald Eagle YTC, Water Howellia, Northern Spotted Owl, and Spring Chinnok Salmon), w/ limited restr. on ranges. 72 acres impacting training and 60% of installation impacted.</p> <p>#260-262 – Critical habitat in place that does not restrict missions, biological opinion in place that does not impose restrictions.</p> <p>#263 – 5 Candidate Species: Taylor’s Checkerspot, Mardon Skipper, Streaked Horned Lark, Mazama Pocket Gopher ISR2 shows no impact.</p> <p>#264 – No proposed candidate critical habitat</p>
Waste Management	No Impact.	Q#269 – Installation does not have RCRA Subpart X permit
Water Resources	No Impact.	<p>#276 – over sole source aquifer</p> <p>#278, 279, 293 – No water restr</p> <p>IREM - infr can support 28K more people</p> <p>#822 - dom ww treatment plant</p>
Wetlands	No Impact.	#251, 257 - Installation surveyed for wetlands and wetlands restrict less than 15% of the range.

Env Resource Area	<b>#3&amp;4 Gaining Installation Assessment</b> <b>Inst Name: Ft. Bliss, Fort Bragg</b>	<b>Analyst Comments</b> (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	No Impact.	Since there is no increase in personnel at Fort Bliss or Fort Bragg, there is no impact to any of the 10 environmental areas.
Cultural/ Archeological Resources	No Impact.	
Dredging	No Impact.	
Land Use Constraints/ Sensitive Resources	No Impact.	
Marine Mammals/ Marine Resources	No Impact.	
Noise	No Impact.	
Threatened & Endangered Species/ Critical	No Impact.	
Waste Management	No Impact.	
Water Resources	No Impact.	
Wetlands	No Impact.	

**SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED)**  
**SCENARIO # HSA-0133V2**

Env Resource Area	<i>Losing Installation Assessment</i> Inst Name: <b>Fort Eustis, Fort Jackson, Fort Lee</b>	<b>Analyst Comments</b> (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	No Impact.	No environmental impact to any of the 10 areas. Installations are not closing, only losing 1 person each.
Cultural/ Archeological Resources	No Impact.	
Dredging	No Impact.	
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resources	No Impact.	
Marine Mammals/Marine Resources	No Impact.	
Noise	No Impact.	
Threatened & Endangered Species/Critical	No Impact.	
Waste Management	No Impact.	
Water Resources	No Impact.	
Wetlands	No Impact.	

**SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED)**  
**SCENARIO # HSA-0133v2**

***IMPACTS OF COSTS***

Env Resource Area	Gaining Installation Inst Name: <b>Ft. Dix, Ft. Lewis, Ft. Bliss, Ft. Bragg</b>	Losing Installation Inst Name: <b>Eustis, Jackson, Lee</b>
Environmental Restoration*	None.	None.
Waste Management	None.	None.
Environmental Compliance	None.	None.
<b>COBRA Costs:</b>	None.	None.