

## Joint Action Scenario Team (JAST) In-Process Review (IPR) Minutes

**Date:** 11 February 2004

**Meeting Title:** Joint Action Scenario Team (JAST) IPR

**Attendees:**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Office</u>
Dr Craig E College	ASA-I&E
Ms Anne Davis	DASN(ISA)
Mr Michael Aimone	SAF/IEB
Mr Michael McAndrew	OSD BRAC
COL William J Tarantino	ASA(I&E)
LTC John Vignali	ASA(I&E)
Mr Dennis Biddick	DASN (IA) BRAC
CDR Ginger Rice	DASN(ISA)
CAPT Jason Leaver	DASN (IA) BRAC
CAPT Chris Nichols	DASN (IA) BRAC
Col. Thomas Fleming	SAF/IEBB
Lt Col Phil Lanman	SAF/IEBB BRAC
Mr Phil Schrock	ASA(I&E)
Mr Dave Powell	ASA(I&E)

Additional representatives from the military departments and two JCSG Army representatives were in attendance.

**Purpose.** Conduct a JAST IPR to review the following:

- MVA use and joint basing scenario flow process
- Joint Action Scenario Team (JAST) Charter

**Synopsis of Discussion Items.**

1. MVA Use and Joint Basing Scenario Flow Process. LTC Vignali briefed the JAST IPR by presenting:
  - JAST organizational structure
  - JAST actions to date
  - Military Value Analysis (MVA) use
  - Proposed joint basing scenario flow
  - Status of the JAST charter
  - Way ahead

*Organizational Structure.* The BRAC Directors agreed with the proposed JAST organizational structure as presented.

*Military Value Analyses.* The BRAC Directors concurred that MILDEPs write Military Value questions for their MILDEPs and that each MILDEP conduct its own MILDEP MVA vs. conducting a Joint MVA. However, the key discussion was on determining how best to conduct MVA for actions or scenarios that involve more than one service, e.g., joint basing actions. All agreed that the losing MILDEP will use its own MVA process. Using the MILDEP's own MVA process will be important in defending decisions to the BRAC Commission or other interested parties. The MILDEP MVA process should be equitable, consistent, and defensible. On the other hand, if the JAST sets up a completely new approach, the recommendations could be viewed as based on inconsistent analyses and be subject to criticism.

*Proposed joint basing scenario flow.* It was also agreed that the analyses resulting from Data Call #1 should be used as an initial screen for determining if an installation (or installations) from one Service may meet the requirements to accommodate force structure (operational forces) from another Service. This "initial screen" will make it easier to conduct subsequent joint analysis scenarios. While all agreed in concept, it was apparent that the JAST must now develop the implementation process.

The BRAC Directors emphasized the importance of essential information: ready access to and open sharing with each other during this joint endeavor.

2. The BRAC Directors also discussed the draft charter for the Joint Action Scenario Team. There was some discussion as to whether the JAST should be a Service to Service team, or expanded to Service to JCSG or JCSG to JCSG, in which case it would become an OSD organization. The BRAC Directors agreed that the JAST would be "Service to Service" and welcomes OSD participation. However, it will not be an OSD organization. JAST members will represent their MILDEP BRAC Directors. The JAST will act as a clearinghouse for joint-basing opportunities. It will review, create, coordinate, monitor progress, and facilitate information flow on joint scenarios that are within MILDEP control. The JAST will provide feasible joint-basing options to MILDEP BRAC Directors.

### **Decisions.**

1. MILDEPs conduct their own MVAs.
2. Analyses resulting from Data Call #1 will be an initial screen to identify potential installations for joint-basing scenarios.
3. JAST will be a Service to Service organization.

### **Taskers.**

1. JAST members will reconvene to work methodology for subsequent joint analysis scenarios, and then report back to the BRAC Directors.
2. Final JAST Charter (Service to Service) to go out for coordination and signature.