

JAST Meeting

15 January 2004


Agenda

- Charter of group (MOU/MOA)
- Review general process
- Examine MVA approach

Charter

- Work within existing MILDEP BRAC structure
- Establish a process for joint analysis between MILDEPs
- Review/create scenario ideas, coordinate and monitor progress on joint concepts that are within the control of the MILDEPs
 - Clearing house for scenario ideas from Transformation concepts from OSD, MILDEP BRAC offices or JCSGs that have a operational joint theme
 - Brainstorm ideas for possible joint operational scenarios
 - Oversight/tracking of joint scenarios that have been processes for the MILDEP DASs
 - Coordinate information and link MILDEP analysts that will conduct scenario evaluation

General Process



MVA Issues

- BRAC Law requires all recommendations be evaluated using DoD Selection criteria
- Criteria 1-4 are most important and constitute Military Value
- MVA data call estimated to be released in April 2004, with reviews in Feb 2004

Does DoD Need to Show Improvement in MVA To Meet the Intent of the Law and Be Consistent With MILDEP Processes?

MVA Alternatives

- Conduct Military value across MILDEPs
 - JAST creates its own MVA for all bases
 - JAST develops/adopts service MVA attributes that each service will ask
 - JAST develops a few critical attributes that all installations will be rated against (mission focused)
- Work within MILDEP MVA process

Conduct Military Value Across MILDEPs

- Pro:
 - Directly addresses Criteria 1-4
 - Allows direct comparison for installations cross MILDEPs
- Con:
 - May cause competing military value and/or confusion to Congress and the Commission
 - A lot of work in a short time
 - Must get approval across all MILDEPs
 - Confusion with Service unique attributes
 - Adds requirements to and will cause additional confusion for the installations

JAST Will Work Within MILDEP MVA Process

- Pro:
 - Indirectly through MILDEP to addresses criteria 1-4
 - Will eliminate redundancy within MILDEP attributes
 - No additional requirements or confusion to installations
 - If MILDEPs agrees with tenant move to enduring receiving installation that it will improve MVA by reducing cost, increase operational/joint operations (Criteria 1-4), therefore increasing MVA
- Con:
 - Does not allow direct comparison for installations cross MILDEPs

JAST Recommendations to MILDEP DASs

- Approve guidelines for charter (MOA/MOU) – move forward to document
- Approve general process – work details
- Use MILDEP MVA process and do not conduct competing MVA analysis

JAST Meeting (15 January 04)

Attendees:

Brian Buzzell	OSD
CAPT Jason Leaver	Navy
Jim Casey	OSD
Dave Powell	Army
COL Walter Hamm	Marines
Col Karen Kohlhaas	Air Force
Lt Col Phil Lanman	Air Force
CAPT Chris Nichols	Navy
CAPT Joe Kennedy	Navy
Phil Schrock	Army
LTC John Vignali	Army