

## Joint Action Scenario Team (JAST) Meeting Minutes

Date: 3 January 2005

Meeting Title: JAST Meeting – 3 January 2005

Attendees:

<u>Office</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Email</u>	<u>Telephone</u>
OSD BRAC	✓ Jim Casey	<a href="mailto:James.Casey.CTR@osd.mil">James.Casey.CTR@osd.mil</a>	703-614-6088
OSD BRAC	✓ Brian Buzzell	<a href="mailto:Brian.Buzzell.CTR@osd.mil">Brian.Buzzell.CTR@osd.mil</a>	703-614-6088
DASA (IA) BRAC	✓ LTC John Vignali	<a href="mailto:Joseph.Vignali@hqda.army.mil">Joseph.Vignali@hqda.army.mil</a>	703-588-0486
DASA (IA) BRAC	✓ LTC Gregg S. Goldsmith	<a href="mailto:Gregg.Goldsmith@hqda.army.mil">Gregg.Goldsmith@hqda.army.mil</a>	703-588-0569
USMC BRAC	✓ COL Walter Hamm	<a href="mailto:Walter.Hamm@navy.mil">Walter.Hamm@navy.mil</a>	703-602-6421
DASN (IA) BRAC	✓ MAJ Stan Sober*	<a href="mailto:Stanley.Sober@navy.mil">Stanley.Sober@navy.mil</a>	703-602-6383
SAF/IEBB BRAC	✓ Lt Col Phil Lanman	<a href="mailto:Phillip.Lanman@pentagon.af.mil">Phillip.Lanman@pentagon.af.mil</a>	703-692-9920
DASA (IA) BRAC	✓ Phil Schrock	<a href="mailto:Philip.Schrock@hqda.army.mil">Philip.Schrock@hqda.army.mil</a>	703-588-0487

Note. \*Attended latter portion of meeting.

### Agenda:

- Review 20 Dec 04 Minutes
- New scenario ideas
- Update current scenario ideas
- Other Issues

### Synopsis of Discussion Items:

#### Review 20 December 2004 Minutes

- The JAST reviewed and approved the 20 December 2004 Minutes.

#### New Ideas

- There were no new scenario ideas.

#### Status update of current ideas

- The JAST members reviewed and updated the status of the JAST scenario ideas. The following updates were noted:
  - A002 – Army has stopped this proposal. Therefore, status now reflects CLOSED.
  - A008 – Navy added: status now reflects Army/Navy/USAF.
  - A015 – Navy added: status now reflects Army/Navy/USAF.
  - A041 – Navy recommended “further study.” Therefore, status was changed to OPEN (Army/Navy).

- o A083 – The JAST determined this is not a joint basing scenario idea; rather it is for information and coordination only. Therefore, the status now reflects CLOSED.
- o N017 – USAF added: status now reflects Army/USAF/Navy.
- The JAST members reviewed the Services' corresponding OSD# (reflected in the ISG Scenario Tracking Tool). They agreed to review the ISG Tracking Tool and provide an update at the next JAST meeting.
- The Army representative requested the Navy to provide its “go/no-go” decisions on the JAST scenario ideas as soon as possible in order for the Army to be able to provide accurate Integration of Army Recommendations to the Army's Senior Review Group (SRG). The Army representative will provide the Navy a specific (NLT) date the information is required.

Other Issues

- The JAST members discussed the need to determine how to document and record the results of all JAST scenario ideas. They will discuss the topic at a future meeting.

**Decisions:**

- The JAST members will update their BRAC Directors on status of scenarios and issues discussed.
- The JAST members will review their MILDEPs' OSD# in the ISG Tracking Tool and provide an update to their counterparts.
- The Army representative will provide Navy a suspense (NLT) date the Army needs to know the Navy's “go/no-go” decisions.

**Recommendations;**

1. The JAST members provide feedback to their BRAC Directors and update at the next JAST meeting.
2. The JAST meet Monday, 10 January 2005.