

## JAST Scenario Idea Summary

<b>Date:</b> <sup>1</sup> 16 Sep 04	<b>Scenario #:</b> <sup>2</sup> A028	<b>Title:</b> <sup>3</sup> AFRC Port Hueneme, CA	<b>Short Description:</b> <sup>4</sup> Close California Army Guard Armory in Oxnard, CA. Close California Army Guard Armory on Port Hueneme, CA. Close Marine Corps Reserve Centers Port Hueneme, CA. Relocate all units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center on Port Hueneme, CA. Creates joint training opportunities by co-locating the Army and Marines. Improves the infrastructure; improves Anti Terror/Force Protection (AT/FP) posture. Creates joint maintenance capabilities and Improves quality of life for RC.	<b>Origination:</b> <sup>5</sup> Army POC: LTC Greg Goldsmith  703-588-0569
<b>JAST Presentation and Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>6</sup> 21 Sep 04	<b>Coordination:</b> <sup>7</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study <input type="checkbox"/> No further study	<b>Comments:</b> <sup>8</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>JAST reviewed and established Service POCs.</li> <li>Runway is joint use with Channel Islands ANG (USAF 12 Oct 04).</li> <li>Navy BRAC Director approves further study and analysis, but requests outstanding issues be addressed first to prevent needless analysis if a showstopper is identified up front. Likely conflicts with property availability. (12 Oct 04).</li> <li>Navy BRAC Director recommends NAS Point Mugu vs. Port Hueneme – 19 Jan 05.</li> <li>Navy BRAC Office confirmed that Army should now consider Point Hueneme as receiver site instead of NAS Point Mugu. – 3 Feb 05</li> </ul>		
<b>BRAC Directors' Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>9</sup> 12 Oct 04	<b>Recommendation:</b> <sup>10</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study <input type="checkbox"/> No further study			
<b>Service Team Coordination</b> <sup>11</sup> <b>Service POCs:</b> Army (LTC Goldsmith, 703-588-0569) Navy (MAJ Stan Sober, 703-602-6383) USAF (Lt Col Mike Freeland, 703-692-5532)	<b>Remarks:</b> <sup>12</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Army, Navy, and USAF POCs coordinate analyses.</li> <li>Navy BRAC Office marked scenario idea “yes” and is recommended for Navy participation. – 28 Feb 05</li> <li>Navy BRAC Director informed Army BRAC Director DON has no objection to scenario idea; has no DON costs. – 6 Mar 05</li> <li>Army’s OGC review and SRG 34, Army stopped this candidate recommendation. – 15 Mar 05</li> </ul>			
<b>BRAC Directors' Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>13</sup> 30 Mar 05	<b>Disposition / comments:</b> <sup>14</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>CLOSED</u> in JAST. (Army decision to withdraw. SRG &amp; OGC determined ARNG only; Not “BRAC Installation.”)</li> <li>See Army PIMS 6#.</li> <li>See DON-0127.</li> </ul>			

## JAST Scenario Idea Summary

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Date originator generates scenario idea. The three primary sources for scenario ideas are OSD, JAST, and MILDEP BRAC offices. While there are numerous sources of good ideas, the MILDEPs will likely generate the majority of joint operational basing ideas.
- <sup>2</sup> Alphanumeric number identifying the originating Service and portraying the JAST sequence number. A=Army; N=Navy; AF=Air Force; MC=Marine Corps; HSA=HQ & Support Activities; O=OSD.
- <sup>3</sup> Succinct title given by originator.
- <sup>4</sup> Succinct description of scenario idea, given by originator, which describes the “What” and “Why” of the scenario idea.
- <sup>5</sup> Service and name, rank, and phone number of the POC generating the scenario idea.
- <sup>6</sup> Date the scenario is presented to the JAST. Once the MILDEP has generated a joint operational basing scenario, it will present the scenario to the JAST.
- <sup>7</sup> The JAST will receive, review, and bin the idea as “recommend for further study and analysis” or “recommend against further study” for BRAC Directors’ action.
- <sup>8</sup> Comments from JAST reviews. If the BRAC Directors direct further study of an idea, the JAST will coordinate with the applicable MILDEPs to work and develop the scenario. If the BRAC Directors determine an idea does not warrant further study or direct dropping a scenario for any reason, the JAST will terminate the effort, refer the scenario back to the MILDEP and document the BRAC Directors’ decision.
- <sup>9</sup> Date the BRAC Directors initially reviewed the scenario idea or provided feedback on the scenario idea.
- <sup>10</sup> Recommendation from BRAC Directors for further study or no further study.
- <sup>11</sup> Name, rank, and phone number of the Services’ POCs.
- <sup>12</sup> Comments pertaining to Services’ review.
- <sup>13</sup> Date BRAC Directors review of results.
- <sup>14</sup> Comments pertaining to JAST scenario idea: clarification, disposition, OSD Tracking Number(s), and other pertinent information.