

JAST Scenario Idea Summary

Date: ¹ 27 Sep 04	Scenario #: ² A041	Title: ³ AFRC JRB Ft Worth, TX	Short Description: ⁴ Close 3 TXARNG Armories, realign 5 USAR and 3 USMCR units already on NAS-JRB Ft Worth. Construct new 169,400K sq ft joint facility on an enclave of NAS-JRB or if no land is available on NAS-JRB on property at the nearby Ft Worth storage area (coordination already begun). Proposal includes two 7300 sq ft multi use (classroom, training, billets, emer/disaster spt) facilities. Includes an FMS and AMSA sub shop. Current facilities range in age from 23-50 yrs old and are at or over capacity. NAS JRB Ft Worth AFRC is a leased facility on permitted land - \$130K per yr. The JRB Ft Worth Bunker City is leased facility on permitted land - \$130K per yr.	Origination: ⁵ Army POC: LTC Gregg Goldsmith 703-588-0569
JAST Presentation and Review Date: ⁶ 30 Sep 04	Coordination: ⁷ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study <input type="checkbox"/> No further study	Comments: ⁸ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> JAST reviewed and established Service POCs. Coordinate with AF depending on what parcel of land. AF still owns some at this base (USAF 12 Oct 04). Navy BRAC Director initially does not approve potential scenario for further study (12 Oct 04). 		
BRAC Directors' Review Date: ⁹ 12 Oct 04	Recommendation: ¹⁰ <input type="checkbox"/> Further study <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No further study			
Service Team Coordination ¹¹ Service POCs: Army (LTC Goldsmith, 703-588-0569) Navy (MAJ Stan Sober, 703-602-6383) USAF (Lt Col Mike Freeland, 703-692-5532)	Remarks: ¹² <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Army and Navy POCs coordinate analyses. USAF determined it does not have sufficient acreage (less than 8); therefore does not warrant further discussion (29 Nov 04). After further review Navy subsequently reported "further study." – 3 Jan 05 Navy BRAC Office marked scenario idea "yes" and is recommended for Navy participation. – 28 Feb 05 Navy BRAC Director informed Army BRAC Director DON has no objection to scenario idea; has no DON costs. – 6 Mar 05 Army's OGC review and SRG 35, Army stopped this candidate recommendation. – 22 Mar 05 			
BRAC Directors' Review Date: ¹³ 30 Mar 05	Disposition / comments: ¹⁴ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>CLOSED</u> in JAST. (No Joint participation resulted in ARNG only. Therefore, SRG & OGC determined realignment no longer "BRAC Installation.") See Army PIMS #256. See DON-0149. 			

JAST Scenario Idea Summary

-
- ¹ Date originator generates scenario idea. The three primary sources for scenario ideas are OSD, JAST, and MILDEP BRAC offices. While there are numerous sources of good ideas, the MILDEPs will likely generate the majority of joint operational basing ideas.
- ² Alphanumeric number identifying the originating Service and portraying the JAST sequence number. A=Army; N=Navy; AF=Air Force; MC=Marine Corps; HSA=HQ & Support Activities; O=OSD.
- ³ Succinct title given by originator.
- ⁴ Succinct description of scenario idea, given by originator, which describes the “What” and “Why” of the scenario idea.
- ⁵ Service and name, rank, and phone number of the POC generating the scenario idea.
- ⁶ Date the scenario is presented to the JAST. Once the MILDEP has generated a joint operational basing scenario, it will present the scenario to the JAST.
- ⁷ The JAST will receive, review, and bin the idea as “recommend for further study and analysis” or “recommend against further study” for BRAC Directors’ action.
- ⁸ Comments from JAST reviews. If the BRAC Directors direct further study of an idea, the JAST will coordinate with the applicable MILDEPs to work and develop the scenario. If the BRAC Directors determine an idea does not warrant further study or direct dropping a scenario for any reason, the JAST will terminate the effort, refer the scenario back to the MILDEP and document the BRAC Directors’ decision.
- ⁹ Date the BRAC Directors initially reviewed the scenario idea or provided feedback on the scenario idea.
- ¹⁰ Recommendation from BRAC Directors for further study or no further study.
- ¹¹ Name, rank, and phone number of the Services’ POCs.
- ¹² Comments pertaining to Services’ review.
- ¹³ Date BRAC Directors review of results.
- ¹⁴ Comments pertaining to JAST scenario idea: clarification, disposition, OSD Tracking Number(s), and other pertinent information.