

## JAST Scenario Idea Summary

<b>Date:</b> <sup>1</sup> 27 Sep 04	<b>Scenario #:</b> <sup>2</sup> A050	<b>Title:</b> <sup>3</sup> AFRC Louisville, TN	<b>Short Description:</b> <sup>4</sup> Close the Concord Road Facility. Close NMCRC Knoxville. Move the E-BDE HQ (278th ACR) from Knoxville Armory (Sutherland Road) onto McGhee-Tyson ANG Airbase with the HQ, 164th Air Refueling Wing inside the fence. Elements from the Knoxville Armory (Concord) move into the Sutherland Road facility. Consolidate TNARNG Army Combined Support Maintenance Shop (CSMS) and Army Field Maintenance Shop (FMS) with TANG Air Ground Maintenance Complex into one up to date newly constructed complex complex/facility on McGhee-Tyson Air Base, Louisville, TN.	<b>Origination:</b> <sup>5</sup> Army POC: LTC Gregg Goldsmith  703-588-0569
<b>JAST Presentation and Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>6</sup> 30 Sep 04	<b>Coordination:</b> <sup>7</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study <input type="checkbox"/> No further study	<b>Comments:</b> <sup>8</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>JAST determined idea warrants further study and named Service POCs.</li> <li>Further study if ANG vacates. Presently no excess capacity or room to build. Note - Lease may not allow direct transfer to non-flying mission (USAF 12 Oct 04).</li> <li>Navy BRAC Director does not approve potential scenario for further study (12 Oct 04).</li> </ul>		
<b>BRAC Directors' Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>9</sup> 12 Oct 04	<b>Recommendation:</b> <sup>10</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study <input type="checkbox"/> No further study			
<b>Service Team Coordination</b> <sup>11</sup> <b>Service POCs:</b> Army (LTC Goldsmith, 703-588-0569) Navy (MAJ Stan Sober, 703-602-6383) USAF (Lt Col Mike Freeland, 703-692-5532)	<b>Remarks:</b> <sup>12</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Navy BRAC Director does not approve potential scenario for further study (12 Oct 04). USAF determined it does not have sufficient acreage (less than 8); therefore does not warrant further discussion (29 Nov 04).</li> <li>USAF determined it does not have sufficient acreage (less than 8); therefore does not warrant further discussion (29 Nov 04).</li> <li>Therefore, no joint MILDEP participation and referred back to the Army – 29 Nov 04.</li> </ul>			
<b>BRAC Directors' Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>13</sup> 30 Mar 05	<b>Disposition / comments:</b> <sup>14</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>CLOSED</u> in JAST. (No Joint participation resulted in ARNG only. Therefore, SRG &amp; OGC determined realignment no longer "BRAC Installation.")</li> <li>See USA-0238 &amp; Army PIMS #97.</li> </ul>			

## JAST Scenario Idea Summary

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Date originator generates scenario idea. The three primary sources for scenario ideas are OSD, JAST, and MILDEP BRAC offices. While there are numerous sources of good ideas, the MILDEPs will likely generate the majority of joint operational basing ideas.
- <sup>2</sup> Alphanumeric number identifying the originating Service and portraying the JAST sequence number. A=Army; N=Navy; AF=Air Force; MC=Marine Corps; HSA=HQ & Support Activities; O=OSD.
- <sup>3</sup> Succinct title given by originator.
- <sup>4</sup> Succinct description of scenario idea, given by originator, which describes the “What” and “Why” of the scenario idea.
- <sup>5</sup> Service and name, rank, and phone number of the POC generating the scenario idea.
- <sup>6</sup> Date the scenario is presented to the JAST. Once the MILDEP has generated a joint operational basing scenario, it will present the scenario to the JAST.
- <sup>7</sup> The JAST will receive, review, and bin the idea as “recommend for further study and analysis” or “recommend against further study” for BRAC Directors’ action.
- <sup>8</sup> Comments from JAST reviews. If the BRAC Directors direct further study of an idea, the JAST will coordinate with the applicable MILDEPs to work and develop the scenario. If the BRAC Directors determine an idea does not warrant further study or direct dropping a scenario for any reason, the JAST will terminate the effort, refer the scenario back to the MILDEP and document the BRAC Directors’ decision.
- <sup>9</sup> Date the BRAC Directors initially reviewed the scenario idea or provided feedback on the scenario idea.
- <sup>10</sup> Recommendation from BRAC Directors for further study or no further study.
- <sup>11</sup> Name, rank, and phone number of the Services’ POCs.
- <sup>12</sup> Comments pertaining to Services’ review.
- <sup>13</sup> Date BRAC Directors review of results.
- <sup>14</sup> Comments pertaining to JAST scenario idea: clarification, disposition, OSD Tracking Number(s), and other pertinent information.