

## JAST Scenario Idea Summary

|   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| <b>Date:</b> <sup>1</sup><br>27 Sep 04  | <b>Scenario #:</b> <sup>2</sup><br>A061  | <b>Title:</b> <sup>3</sup><br>AFRC East<br>Houston, TX   | <b>Short Description:</b> <sup>4</sup> Replace 6 fully utilized or over utilized facilities ranging in age from 30-53 yrs old. All require modernization/expansion to meet current needs. Move USAR, TXARNG, TXANG and USMCR units to a new 381K sq ft joint facility to be built on Ellington Field owned by the City of Houston. Facility would include an FMS, two 7300 sq ft multi use facilities (training, classrooms, billets) and a Battle Projection Center (BPC) for the 75th DIV. Naval participation being considered. Navy may want to close NMCRC Houston. | <b>Origination:</b> <sup>5</sup> Army<br>POC: LTC Gregg<br>Goldsmith<br><br>703-588-0569 |
| <b>JAST Presentation and Review</b><br><b>Date:</b> <sup>6</sup> 30 Sep 04  | <b>Coordination:</b> <sup>7</sup><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study<br><input type="checkbox"/> No further study  | <b>Comments:</b> <sup>8</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>JAST determined idea warrants further study and named Service POCs.</li> <li>This is a separate installation from Ellington Field. Therefore, no further study from USAF – 12 Oct 04.</li> <li>Navy BRAC Director approves further study and analysis, but requests outstanding issues be addressed first to prevent needless analysis if a showstopper is identified up front. (12 Oct 04).</li> </ul> |  |  |
| <b>BRAC Directors' Review</b><br><b>Date:</b> <sup>9</sup> 12 Oct 04  | <b>Recommendation:</b> <sup>10</sup><br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study<br><input type="checkbox"/> No further study   |  |  |  |
| <b>Service Team Coordination</b> <sup>11</sup><br><b>Service POCs:</b><br>Army (LTC Goldsmith, 703-588-0569)<br>Navy (MAJ Stan Sober, 703-602-6383)<br>USAF (LtCol Mike Freeland, 703-692-5532) | <b>Remarks:</b> <sup>12</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Army and Navy POCs coordinate analyses.</li> <li>Navy BRAC Director informed Army BRAC Director Navy will not participate in scenario idea. – 6 Mar 05.</li> <li>Therefore, no joint MILDEP participation; referred back to the Army for action.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| <b>BRAC Directors' Review</b><br><b>Date:</b> <sup>13</sup> 30 Mar 05   | <b>Disposition / comments:</b> <sup>14</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>CLOSED</u> in JAST. (No joint MILDEP participation; referred back to the Army – Army only CR)</li> <li>See USA-0225 &amp; Army PIMS #263.</li> <li>See DON-0108.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |

## JAST Scenario Idea Summary

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Date originator generates scenario idea. The three primary sources for scenario ideas are OSD, JAST, and MILDEP BRAC offices. While there are numerous sources of good ideas, the MILDEPs will likely generate the majority of joint operational basing ideas.
- <sup>2</sup> Alphanumeric number identifying the originating Service and portraying the JAST sequence number. A=Army; N=Navy; AF=Air Force; MC=Marine Corps; HSA=HQ & Support Activities; O=OSD.
- <sup>3</sup> Succinct title given by originator.
- <sup>4</sup> Succinct description of scenario idea, given by originator, which describes the “What” and “Why” of the scenario idea.
- <sup>5</sup> Service and name, rank, and phone number of the POC generating the scenario idea.
- <sup>6</sup> Date the scenario is presented to the JAST. Once the MILDEP has generated a joint operational basing scenario, it will present the scenario to the JAST.
- <sup>7</sup> The JAST will receive, review, and bin the idea as “recommend for further study and analysis” or “recommend against further study” for BRAC Directors’ action.
- <sup>8</sup> Comments from JAST reviews. If the BRAC Directors direct further study of an idea, the JAST will coordinate with the applicable MILDEPs to work and develop the scenario. If the BRAC Directors determine an idea does not warrant further study or direct dropping a scenario for any reason, the JAST will terminate the effort, refer the scenario back to the MILDEP and document the BRAC Directors’ decision.
- <sup>9</sup> Date the BRAC Directors initially reviewed the scenario idea or provided feedback on the scenario idea.
- <sup>10</sup> Recommendation from BRAC Directors for further study or no further study.
- <sup>11</sup> Name, rank, and phone number of the Services’ POCs.
- <sup>12</sup> Comments pertaining to Services’ review.
- <sup>13</sup> Date BRAC Directors review of results.
- <sup>14</sup> Comments pertaining to JAST scenario idea: clarification, disposition, OSD Tracking Number(s), and other pertinent information.