

## JAST Scenario Idea Summary

<b>Date:</b> <sup>1</sup> 22 Feb 05	<b>Scenario #:</b> <sup>2</sup> N019	<b>Title:</b> <sup>3</sup> Realign NAS Point Mugu Target Launch facilities to Vandenberg AFB	<b>Short Description:</b> <sup>4</sup> Naval Air Station Point Mugu closes, necessitating a realignment of target launch facilities that support the nearby sea range. Vandenberg AFB is the preferred alternative installation based upon available facilities and proximity to the sea range.	<b>Origination:</b> <sup>5</sup> Navy POC: COL Walter Hamm  703-602-6421
<b>JAST Presentation and Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>6</sup> 28 Feb 05	<b>Coordination:</b> <sup>7</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study <input type="checkbox"/> No further study	<b>Comments:</b> <sup>8</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>JAST reviewed and established Service POCs.</li> </ul>		
<b>BRAC Directors' Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>9</sup>	<b>Recommendation:</b> <sup>10</sup> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further study <input type="checkbox"/> No further study			
<b>Service Team Coordination</b> <sup>11</sup> <b>Service POCs:</b> Navy: COL Walter Hamm USAF: Lt Col Phil Lanman		<b>Remarks:</b> <sup>12</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Navy and USAF POCs coordinate analyses.</li> <li>Based on Navy's decision to withdraw scenario idea, there is no joint MILDEP participation. – 22 Mar 05</li> <li>Navy re-opened scenario idea – 30 Mar 05.</li> <li>Navy BRAC Office reported the Secretary of the Navy decided 30 March 2005 to retain NAS Point Mugu as an open installation and not transfer the Target Launch facilities.</li> <li>Therefore, the Navy has withdrawn scenario idea. – 4 Apr 05.</li> </ul>		
<b>BRAC Directors' Review</b> <b>Date:</b> <sup>13</sup> 30 Mar 05	<b>Disposition / comments:</b> <sup>14</sup> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>CLOSED</u> in JAST.</li> </ul>			

## JAST Scenario Idea Summary

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Date originator generates scenario idea. The three primary sources for scenario ideas are OSD, JAST, and MILDEP BRAC offices. While there are numerous sources of good ideas, the MILDEPs will likely generate the majority of joint operational basing ideas.
- <sup>2</sup> Alphanumeric number identifying the originating Service and portraying the JAST sequence number. A=Army; N=Navy; AF=Air Force; MC=Marine Corps; HSA=HQ & Support Activities; O=OSD.
- <sup>3</sup> Succinct title given by originator.
- <sup>4</sup> Succinct description of scenario idea, given by originator, which describes the “What” and “Why” of the scenario idea.
- <sup>5</sup> Service and name, rank, and phone number of the POC generating the scenario idea.
- <sup>6</sup> Date the scenario is presented to the JAST. Once the MILDEP has generated a joint operational basing scenario, it will present the scenario to the JAST.
- <sup>7</sup> The JAST will receive, review, and bin the idea as “recommend for further study and analysis” or “recommend against further study” for BRAC Directors’ action.
- <sup>8</sup> Comments from JAST reviews. If the BRAC Directors direct further study of an idea, the JAST will coordinate with the applicable MILDEPs to work and develop the scenario. If the BRAC Directors determine an idea does not warrant further study or direct dropping a scenario for any reason, the JAST will terminate the effort, refer the scenario back to the MILDEP and document the BRAC Directors’ decision.
- <sup>9</sup> Date the BRAC Directors initially reviewed the scenario idea or provided feedback on the scenario idea.
- <sup>10</sup> Recommendation from BRAC Directors for further study or no further study.
- <sup>11</sup> Name, rank, and phone number of the Services’ POCs.
- <sup>12</sup> Comments pertaining to Services’ review.
- <sup>13</sup> Date BRAC Directors review of results.
- <sup>14</sup> Comments pertaining to JAST scenario idea: clarification, disposition, OSD Tracking Number(s), and other pertinent information.