

Joint Action Scenario Team (JAST) Notes

Date: 25 February 2004

Meeting Title: Joint Action Scenario Team (JAST) Update for BRAC Directors

Attendees:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Office</u>
Dr Craig E College	ASA-I&E
Ms Anne Davis	DASN(ISA)
Mr Michael Aimone	SAF/IEB
Maj Gen Gary Heckman	AF/XP
COL Kirk Weaver	ASA(I&E)
LTC John Vignali	ASA(I&E)
Col Thomas Fleming	SAF/IEBB
Mr Dennis Biddick	DASN (IA) BRAC
Lt Col Phil Lanman	SAF/IEBB BRAC
CDR Ginger Rice	DASN(ISA)
Mr Phil Schrock	ASA(I&E)
Mr Dave Powell	ASA(I&E)

Purpose. Provide BRAC Directors follow up information from 11 Feb 04 JAST In-Process Review (IPR)

Synopsis of Discussion Items.

LTC Vignali provided an update on the JAST scenario process and the way ahead.

1. JAST Charter. The BRAC Directors have now signed the finalized JAST Charter, dated 20 February 2004.
2. Methodology. LTC Vignali briefed the process in the following general terms:
 - a. MILDEPs select key operational related capacity questions from Data Call # 1 and quantify requirements.
 - b. MILDEPs pass questions & requirements through the JAST to sister services.
 - c. MILDEPs identify potential installations (based on specified questions and requirements from Data Call #1).
 - d. MILDEPs identify service specific MVA questions from Data Call #1 that must be addressed to sister services in Data Call #2.

- e. Potential installations are asked full set of MVA questions in Data Call #2 plus any needed MILDEP specific questions from Data Call #1.
 - f. At completion of Data Call #2, each MILDEP conducts its MVA and assesses its own installations with other services potential installations.
 - g. MILDEPs work possible solutions with sister Services to formulate potential Scenarios (JAST facilitates and monitors).
3. Joint definition. Discussion took place on the need for the JAST to define what is meant by “joint” for the purpose of joint basing in BRAC 05. (e.g., “purple headquarters” vs. support of tenant units.)
 4. Measure of Goodness. The BRAC Directors also discussed the need to develop some “measures of goodness” for the JAST to use in reviewing joint basing scenarios – a common sense approach to assist in determining if suggested scenarios support the overall BRAC 05 goals.
 5. Way ahead. The BRAC Directors discussed the importance of the MILDEPs selecting their criteria, conducting their initial screening, and clarifying questions for inclusion in Data Call #2. Although the timeline presented is ambitious, everyone agreed that the actions needed to be completed prior to DC #2 anticipated in late April.

Decisions.

1. MILDEPs select screening criteria, identify potential installations, and identify and clarify service specific MVA questions prior to Data Call #2.
2. Reaffirmed the overall general methodology concept is sound.
3. Reaffirmed the JAST process is a MILDEP to MILDEP process
4. Reiterated the necessity of close coordination and sharing of information within the JAST and between the MILDEPs.

Taskers.

1. Joint definition. JAST needs to define what is meant by “joint” for the purpose processing joint basing scenarios for BRAC 05.
2. Measures of Goodness. JAST needs to develop some measures of goodness to be used reviewing joint basing scenarios.
3. JAST Timeline. JAST needs to overlay JAST timeline on the overall BRAC 05 timeline to ensure synchronization.