

***DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT COMMISSION  
2521 CLARK STREET, SUITE 600  
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22202  
(703) 699-2950***

**MEMORANDUM OF MEETING**

**DATE: June 15, 2005**

**TIME: 9:00 AM – 12:00PM**

**MEETING WITH: Naval Aviation Industrial Joint Cross Service Group (I-JSG), 2301 Gallows rd.**

**SUBJECT: Industrial group's proposal (IND 19)**

**PARTICIPANTS:**

**Stu Paul** Navy I-JCSG Rep      Pax: 301-757-3067  
Gallows: 703-560-2791  
Cell: 301-440-3313

**Don Fathke** Navy I-JCSG Rep      Pax: 301-757-6772  
Gallows: 703-560-4723  
Cell: 240-925-3326

***Commission Staff:***

**Tom Pantelides, Senior Analyst**

**MEETING SUMMARY:**

We continued our discussion of the concepts used to develop the Navy Fleet Readiness Center (FRC) proposal and discussed issues identified during our visits to Cherry Point and North Island Naval Air Depot's (NADEP).

Using the Naval Air (Attached) spider charts I pointed out that of the 587 positions identified as reductions at the North Island Depot, 71 positions are reductions in military and 97 positions are moves to other locations within the proposed west coast FRC network. The 255 Full Time Equivalents (FTE) that are shown as reductions are actually a movement of FTE's to other FRC sites. The estimated savings are based on the assumption that the FTE's moved will in fact be eliminated due to efficiencies of the consolidation.

We also discussed the validity of the projected cost savings given that not all of the positions are filled, therefore the cost associated with eliminating a person needs to be re-estimated. In the case of Cherry Point, no one should be in fear of losing their job because the number of positions not manned. Mr. Stu Paul agreed that costs would be somewhat reduced.

We agreed that if the assumptions hold workload standards need to be revised which would further reduce current personnel requirements. Additionally, we agreed that standards may not have kept pace with improvements made because both Depots are operating effectively, in a war time tempo, without all of the required personnel on board.

I explained my reluctance to accept the military construction as a result of the planned reorganization. For example, I described the consolidation proposed at Naval Air Station Yuma of the Marine Air Logistic Support (MALS) 13 and a Naval Air Depot, North Island detachment into an FRC site. The FRC proposal projects a need for \$11.8 million dollar in additional facilities to house the new FRC. Both Officials agreed that the current facilities used by MALS 13 and the detachment should accommodate the proposed consolidation in Yuma. Mr. Don Fathke and his staff estimated reviewed all of the proposed military construction in the proposal and estimated the following:

- Naval Air Station Whidbey Island Proposal of \$33,956,000 should be about \$16,978,000 or a reduction of \$16,978,000
- Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune Proposal of \$21,642,000 should be about \$4,642,000 or a reduction of \$17,000,000
- Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton Proposal of \$16,885,000 should be about \$0 or a reduction of \$16,885,000
- Marine Corps Air Station Miramar Proposal of \$1,550,000 should be about \$0 or a reduction \$1,550,000
- Marine Corps Air Station Yuma Proposal of \$11,870,000 should be about \$61,920 or a reduction of \$11,808,080

Totals Proposal \$85,903,000 should be about \$21,681,920 or a reduction of \$64,221,080

Mr. Paul said the original estimates from the installations were accepted without review as need given the nature of the FRC consolidation which consolidated component parts rather than depot functions. Mr. Paul noted that some costs associated with the component aspect of the consolidation should be considered and agreed to provide those estimates. The above estimates need to be verified though the COBRA model.

We agreed to have follow-up visits after I have had a chance to review the COBRA data.