

SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**[TABS FINAL VERSION]****SCENARIO #MED-0028R TITLE: MED-0028R JOINT CENTER OF EXCELLENCE FOR CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, AND MEDICAL RDA**

General Description: Only actions affecting Army installations described below. Realign Building 42, 8901 Wisconsin Ave, Bethesda, MD, by relocating the Combat Casualty Care Research sub-function of the Naval Medical Research Center to the Army Institute of Surgical Research, Fort Sam Houston, TX.

Realign Naval Training Station Great Lakes, IL, by relocating the Army Dental Research Detachment, the Air Force Dental Investigative Service, and the Naval Institute for Dental and Biomedical Research to the Army Institute of Surgical Research, Fort Sam Houston TX.

Realign 13 Taft Court and 1600 E. Gude Drive, Rockville, MD, by relocating the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Division of Retrovirology to the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Walter Reed Army Medical Center – Forest Glen Annex, MD, establishing it as a Center of Excellence for Infectious Disease.

Realign 12300 Washington Ave, Rockville, MD, by relocating the Medical Biological Defense Research sub-function to the U. S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Ft. Detrick, MD.

Realign Office of the Chief of Naval Research facility, 800 Quincy Street, Arlington, VA, by relocating Office of the Chief of Naval Research headquarters-level planning, investment portfolio management and program and regulatory oversight of DoD Biomedical Science and Technology programs and FDA-regulated medical product development within the RDA function to a new Joint Biomedical Research, Development and Acquisition Management Center at Fort Detrick, MD.

Realign Potomac Annex- Georgetown, DC, by relocating Naval Bureau of Medicine, Code M2, headquarters-level planning, investment portfolio management and program and regulatory oversight of DoD Biomedical Science and Technology programs and FDA-regulated medical product development within the biomedical RDA function to a new Joint Biomedical Research, Development and Acquisition Management Center at Fort Detrick MD.

Realign, 6801 Telegraph Rd, Alexandria, VA, by relocating headquarters-level planning, investment portfolio management and program and regulatory oversight of DoD Biomedical Science and Technology programs and FDA-regulated medical product development within the RDA function National Capital Element, DTRA-CB Science and Technology Office of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) to a new Joint Biomedical Research, Development and Acquisition Management Center at Fort Detrick, MD.

Realign 64 Thomas Jefferson Drive, Frederick, MD, by relocating the Joint Program Executive Office for Chemical Biological Defense, Joint Project Manager for Chemical Biological Medical Systems headquarters-level planning, investment portfolio management and program and regulatory oversight of DoD Biomedical Science and Technology programs and FDA-regulated medical product development within the RDA function to a new Joint Biomedical Research, Development and Acquisition Management Center at Fort Detrick, MD.

Realign Fort Belvoir, VA, by relocating the Chemical Biological Defense Research component of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency to Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

Realign Tyndall AFB, FL, by relocating Non-medical Chemical Biological Defense Research to Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, and consolidating it with Air Force Research Laboratory.

Realign Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren Division, VA, by relocating Non-medical Chemical Biological Defense Research and Development & Acquisition to Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

Realign Naval Surface Warfare Center, Crane Division, IN, by relocating the Non-medical Chemical Biological Defense Development and Acquisition to Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED)**SCENARIO #MED-0028R**

Realign Skyline 2 and 6, Falls Church, VA, by relocating the Joint Program Executive Office for Chemical Biological Defense to Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD.

Notes:

- 1) Walter Reed Medical Center– Forest Glen gains approximately 30 people and no new Milcon
- 2) Approximately 50 personnel leaving Army leased space, Bailey's Crossroads, VA
- 3) Approximately 50 personnel leaving Fort Belvoir, VA
- 4) Aberdeen Proving Ground gains approximately 280 people and 62,000 SF of new MILCON
- 5) Fort Sam Houston gains approximately 80 personnel and 31,000 SF of new MILCON
- 6) Fort Detrick gains approximately 40 personnel and approximately 17,000 SF of new MILCON

ANALYST: _____**LAST UPDATE: 28 APRIL 2005**

Env Resource Area	#1 Gaining Installation Assessment Inst Name: Walter Reed Medical Center – Forest Glen Annex	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	No Impact	#213 –Severe Non-Attainment for Ozone (1-hour and 8-hour) #211 – Over major source threshold and permitted limit for NOx – no other permit limits reported. #212-No permit/threshold limits reported #220 – Has a One Major Operating Permit and one Synthetic Minor Operating Permit –SIC codes 8062 #218/ISR – No mission impact/No restr.
Cultural/Archaeological/Tribal Resources	No Impact	#229,#230, #231, #232- N/A #233- 0.1% surveyed #235 - 55 historic properties #234 – N/A #236 – Prog. Agreement in place
Drinking	No Impact	N/A
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resource Areas	No Impact	Buildable Acres – 2.15 acres available at Forest Glenn #201, 254– No restrictions/coordination required. #256 – One Sensitive Resource Area – restricts ops on 40% of installation – Public Use Only CERL moderate encroachment
Marine Mammals/Marine	No Impact.	N/A
Noise	No Impact.	#239 – N/A

Threatened & Endangered Species/ Critical	No Impact.	#259 –264 N/A
Waste Management	No Impact. Additional hazardous waste management costs may increase due to additional lab and research operations.	#269 & 272 – N/A #265 – No TSD Facility
Water Resources	No impact.	#276- Not over sole source aquifer # 278 – Not subject to McCarren Amend #279 – N/A #293 – N/A #824,825 - Adequate water available IREM - no data available on water infrastructure capacity #282 – N/A #291-3 systems for potable water – appear to be off installation, publicly owned, but answer is not specific #297-3 systems for sewage treatment– appear to be off installation, publicly owned, but answer is not specific
Wetlands	No Impact	#251, #258 – N/A

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED)**SCENARIO #****MED-0028R**

Env Resource Area	#2 Gaining Installation Assessment Inst Name: Ft Detrick	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	Impact Expected. Ft Detrick is in Severe Non-Attainment area for Ozone. Added operations will require New Source Review permitting and Air Conformity Analysis.	#213 – In Severe Non-attainment area for O3 (8-hour) per EPA website; no emission credits may be available, No SIP growth allowance #211 - No permit limits listed; Major Source thresholds already being exceeded for NOx and SO2, with no other thresholds projected to be exceeded based on 2% increase at Fort Detrick #220 - Major Operating Permit. #218/ISR - No mission impact indicated.
Cultural/Archeological/ Tribal Resources	4 archeological sites reported, with restrictions to future construction. 5 historic properties listed. No Native peoples sites. Potential impacts may occur, since resources must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, thereby causing increased delays and costs.	#230 –4 arch sites listed, 2 sites restrict future construction, but no restrictions to tng/opns reported; #231, #234 - No Native peoples sites, no interest asserted #232 - High Potential for arch. sites #233, 100% surveyed; #235- 5 historic properties #236 - No prog. agreement ISR2 - No adverse impact to mission.
Dredging	No Impacts	#226-228 - N/A
Land Use Constraint s/Sensitive Resource Areas	No impacts	#30 - Buildable Acres – 89 buildable acres available, 2.0 acres required, (assuming 1/5 of a small admin. organization bldg.) #201, 254, 256 - no restr. CERL – moderate encroachment projected
Marine Mammals/ Marine Resources	No Impacts	#248, 249, 250, 252, 253 - N/A
Noise	No Impacts	#239 - No noise contours extend off-installation.
Threatened & Endangered Species/ Critical Habitat	No Impacts	#249 No restrictions reported #259-264 No TES, Candidate species, or habitat reported ISR2 shows no impact.
Waste Management	No Impacts.	#265 No permitted TSDF Facility. #269 No Subpart X Permit.

Water Resources	No impacts. Installation is currently experiencing water constraints which may be exacerbated by increased demands due to population and mission increase. However, sufficient water supplies are available.	#276,278 – No restrictions #279 - Doesn't discharge to imp waterway. #293 – Water use restrictions were reported (90 days in 2002) #291 - 1 on-installation govt-owned water production plant #297 - 1 on-installation govt-owned ww treatment plant #282 – No industrial ww treatment plant #824/825 indicates adequate water avail IREM – potable water infr. can support approx 1935 more people
Wetlands	No Impacts.	#251- Survey completed 08/1999 #257 – 1.1% of installation is restricted due to wetlands

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED)**SCENARIO #****MED-0028R**

Env Resource Area	# 3 Gaining Installation Assessment Inst Name: Ft. Sam Houston	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	<p>Ft Sam Houston is in an Attainment area for all NAAQS, but operating permit for VOCs has no room for additional VOC emissions.</p> <p>Addition of operations/new construction at the receiving installation will require New Source Review permitting and Air Conformity Analysis. A more detailed emission analysis will be required to determine regulatory impact of new activities.</p>	<p>#213 –In Attainment for all criteria pollutants</p> <p>#212-No exceedences reported</p> <p>#211 – No exceedences reported or projected assuming 1% increase in personnel at Fort Sam Houston, however no room on permit for additional VOC emissions.</p> <p>#220 – Holds 1 Minor Operating Permit (Natural Minor)</p> <p>#218 – No mission impact indicated</p>
Cultural/Archeological/Tribal Resources	<p>Due to planned construction and interest from non-local tribes, a potential impact may occur as a result of time delays and negotiated restrictions.</p> <p>Since there is no Programmatic Agreement, potential impacts may occur since resources must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, thereby causing increased delays and costs.</p>	<p>#229-No limitations to fee simple ownership</p> <p>#233- 80% of installation has been surveyed for cultural resources</p> <p>#235 –895 Historic props identified</p> <p>#230 – 344 arch resources reported on installation w/no restrictions (Camp Bullis)</p> <p>#231 – No Native People sites identified</p> <p>#236 – No Prog. Agreement with SHPO</p> <p>#234 – 4 non-local tribes assert interest, in formal consultation</p> <p>#232 – Areas with high archaeological potential identified.</p> <p>ISR2-No adverse impact to mission</p>
Dredging	No impact	Dredging is not part of the proposal and there are no impediments to dredging.
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resource Areas	No impact	<p>#30 – 1,176 BA available – approximately 4 acres required (based on 70% of the size of a small administrative buildings)</p> <p>#201 – No constraints reported</p> <p>#256 – No Sensitive Resource Areas identified</p> <p>CERL – High encroachment projected</p>
Marine Mammals	No impact	No marine areas near installation.
Noise	No impact	#239 –No noise contours off installation.

Threatened & Endangered Species/Critical Habitat	<p>No impact. 5 TES on installation include Golden Checked warbler, Black-capped vireo, Madla's cave meshweaver, Rhadine exilis, and Rhadine infernalis. Restrictions on water pumped from Edwards aquifer. Endangered species management may be necessary due to planned construction.</p> <p>TES already restrict operations. Additional operations may further impact TES leading to additional restrictions on operations.</p>	<p>#259 – 5 TES species with impact to range areas. Species are Golden Checked warbler, Black-capped vireo, Madla's cave meshweaver, Rhadine exilis, Rhadine infernalis.</p> <p>#260 – No critical habitat identified</p> <p>#261 – 3 Biological Opinions; 1 imposes restrictions on water pumped from Edwards aquifer.</p> <p>#262 – No development restrictions reported.</p> <p>#263 No candidate species; ISR2 shows no impact</p> <p>#264 – No candidate species/habitat reported</p>
Waste Management	No impact.	<p>#269 – Installation has RCRA Subpart X OB/OD Permit</p> <p>#265- Has RCRA TSD facility.</p> <p>#272 – Not a permitted solid waste disposal facility</p>
Water Resources	<p>Installation is currently experiencing water constraints which will be exacerbated by increased demands due to population and mission increase.</p> <p>Installation is located over or in the recharge zone of sole-source aquifer, which may result in future regulatory limitations on training activities.</p> <p>Water quality is impaired by pollutant loadings. Current operations may contribute to impaired water quality. Significant mitigation measures to limit releases may be required to reduce impacts to water quality and achieve US EPA water quality standards.</p>	<p>#276 – Installation located over a sole-source aquifer</p> <p>#278 – McCarren Amend does not apply</p> <p>#293 – Water use restrictions have been reported (2000, 2002) – water conservation</p> <p>#824/825 indicates adequate water avail.</p> <p>IREM –potable water infrastructure can support approx. 143,000 more people</p> <p>#279 – Installation does discharge to impaired waterway but does not impair waterway</p> <p>#282 – No Industrial wastewater treatment system</p> <p>#291-3 On Military Installation Govt Owned Plants for potable water</p> <p>#2972 On Military Installation Govt Owned Plant, 1 Off Military Installation Publicly Owned Plant for sewage treatment</p>
Wetlands	No impact	<p>#251- Survey completed 9/99.</p> <p>#257 – 1% of installation has restricted wetlands</p>

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED)**SCENARIO #MED-0028R**

Env Resource Area	#4 Gaining Installation Assessment Inst Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	Impact Expected. APG is currently over threshold limits for NOx and close to exceeding VOC threshold and in non-attainment for ozone 8-hour. Addition of operations and personnel may exceed major source thresholds for NOx and VOCs. Added operations will require New Source Review permitting and Air Conformity Analysis.	#213, 219 – In non-attainment for Ozone (EPA web site confirms non-attainment for Ozone 8-hour) #211 – Projected to exceed Major Source thresholds for Nox. #220 – Holds 2 Major Operating Permits (SIC code 9711) #222 – Emissions Credit Trading program available for NOx and VOCs #218 – No restrictions to operations reported due to air quality requirements
Cultural/Archeological/Tribal Resources	78 Historic properties, 5 archeological resources identified to date and areas with high archeological potential, but no restrictions to mission reported. A very limited portion of the installation has been surveyed for cultural resources; therefore, the extent of the cultural resources on the installation and impacts to those resources is uncertain. Potential impacts may occur as result of increased times delays and negotiated restrictions, due to tribal government interest. Potential impacts may occur, since resources must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, thereby causing increased delays and costs.	#233- A very limited portion of the installation has been surveyed for cultural resources (<5%) therefore the extent of cultural resources on the installation and impacts to these resources is uncertain. #235 – 78 Historic properties identified #229 – No known limitations to fee-simple ownership #230 – 5 archaeological resources known on installation; no restrictions reported #231 – Native People sites identified #236 – No Programmatic Agreement with SHPO #201 – Operations are not restricted due to cultural/archaeological/tribal resources however, these resources were identified. #234 – 5 tribes have asserted interest in burial/sacred sites; in contact, but no formal consultation yet. #232 – Areas with high archaeological potential identified.
Dredging	No Impact.	#227 – If new unit/activity requires dredging, then dredging may not be able to occur in the short term due to known dredging impediments. #226 – If the new unit/activity requires dredging, then UXO and endangered species surveys may be required.

<p style="text-align: center;">Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resource Areas</p>	<p>No Impact.</p> <p>Four SRAs identified but cause no restrictions.</p>	<p>#30 – 2,863 buildable acres reported, approximately 13 acres required. (based on approximately 1/3 of a Large Admin Organization Bldg.)</p> <p>#201 - Constraints listed include (4) limited ability to accept new or different missions due to availability of unconstrained land, (5) altered, modified or re-routed flight operations and/or flight patterns and (6) altered, modified or re-routed ground operations.</p> <p>#256 – 4 Sensitive Resource Areas identified but cause no restrictions</p> <p>CERL Encroachment Study – Moderate Encroachment Projected</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Marine Mammals/Marine Resources/ Marine Sanctuaries</p>	<p>No impact.</p>	<p>#248, #250, #252, #253 - No restrictions</p> <p>#249 – TES listed include Shortnosed Sturgeon (<i>Accipenser brevirostrum</i>) (restricting Poole's Island Shoal waters around island) and Bald Eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>), (restricting Poole's Island areas near nest sites, APG Shoreline & Areas near nest sites- affecting 17.2 acres of installation)</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Noise</p>	<p>No impact. No noise expected to be generated by this proposal.</p>	<p>#239 – 235,848 acres of Noise Zone 2 extend outside installation, which is moderately encroached by development.</p> <p>#202 – Installation has published noise abatement procedures for main installation and training range but not for auxiliary airfield.</p>

<p>Threatened & Endangered Species/Critical Habitat</p>	<p>Installation has Federally listed species (Shortnosed Sturgeon, Bald Eagle), that affect 17.2 acres of the installation and restricts night time flying operations (protection buffers around nests) on 7.9% of installation. Additional operations may further impact threatened/endangered species leading to additional restrictions on training or operations.</p>	<p>#249 – TES listed include Shortnosed Sturgeon (<i>Accipenser brevirostrum</i>) (restricting Poole's Island Shoal waters around island) and Bald Eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>), (restricting Poole's Island areas near nest sites, APG Shoreline & Areas near nest sites- affecting 17.2 acres of installation) #259 – TES listed include Shortnosed Sturgeon (<i>Accipenser brevirostrum</i>) and Bald Eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>). The Bald Eagle has delayed operations due to protection of buffers around nests during nesting season on approximately 7.9% of installation. #260 – No critical habitat identified #261 – Biological Opinion for Bald Eagle restricts range operations #262 – Development restrictions reported. Eagles: Existing Biological Opinions have limited impacts as they impose a monitoring responsibility primarily; some sites are protected. The ongoing Biological Assessment and subsequent Opinion will include an incidental take statement and some mitigation limits for some of the SOCOM training functions is expected. The extent of the limits is unclear, as the BA is still in development. Sturgeon: APG has a BA and BO from NOAA containing no limitations. APG is to coordinate with them if specific projects pose a risk. #263, #264 – No candidate species/habitat reported #201 - TES have restricted operations by limiting night flying times.</p>
<p>Waste Management</p>	<p>No impact.</p>	<p>#269 – Interim RCRA Subpart X OB/OD Permit, Permit has been submitted #265- Installation is a permitted hazardous waste RCRA Treatment Storage and Disposal (TSD) facility. #272 – Not a permitted solid waste disposal facility</p>

Water Resources	<p>Minimal impact expected.</p> <p>Water quality is impaired by pollutant loadings. Significant mitigation measures to limit releases may be required to reduce impacts to water quality and achieve US EPA water quality standards.</p>	<p>#276 – Installation not located over a sole-source aquifer</p> <p>#278 – McCarren Amendment does not apply</p> <p>#293 – Potable water restrictions in FY99 (33 days), FY01 (134 days) and FY02 (147 days). Source restrictions to prevent exceeding withdrawal permits, FY99 (9% of time restriction in place), FY01 (37%), FY02 (40%) from CHPPM Water Resources Report.</p> <p>#291 – Installation uses one Gov’t owned on-installation plant and one publically owned off-installation plant for potable water.</p> <p>IREM indicates remaining capacity for potable water to support 33,500 more personnel</p> <p>#279 –Installation discharges to impaired waterway; nutrient discharges from installation further impair waterway but is not a source of potable water.</p> <p>#297 – Two Sewage treatment plants on site; 1 gov’t owned, 1 privatized.</p> <p>#282 – Industrial Gov’t owned wastewater treatment system located on installation.</p> <p>#822, 824, 825, 826, ISRII – no restrictions reported</p> <p>Final Stat Packages- Scenario increases current population by approximately 3%</p>
Wetlands	No impact.	<p>#251- Survey completed 04/92.</p> <p>#257 – Wetlands affect 0.3% of range and installation each but do not restrict operations.</p>

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED);

SCENARIO # MED-0028R

Env Resource Area	<i>Losing Installation Assessment</i> Inst Name: Bailey's Crossroads, VA	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	No impact	Relocation of personnel away from leased sites has no environmental impact since bldg/facility owner is responsible for environmental compliance and impacts.
Cultural/ Archeological Resources	No impact	
Dredging	No impact	
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resource Areas	No impact	
Marine Mammals/ Marine Resources / Marine Sanctuaries	No impact	
Noise	No impact	
Threatened & Endangered Species/ Critical Habitats	No impact	
Waste Management	No impact	
Water Resources	No impact	
Wetlands	No impact	

Subject: Summary of Scenario Environmental Impacts (Continued)

SCENARIO # **MED-0028R**

Env Resource Area	<i>Losing Installation Assessment</i> Inst Name: Fort Belvoir	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	No impact	Reduction in personnel is considered as neutral or positive impact to all environmental areas for sites listed. No installation closures are involved.
Cultural/ Archeological Resources	No impact	
Drinking	No impact	
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resources	No impact	
Marine Mammals/Marine Resources	No impact	
Noise	No impact	
Threatened & Endangered Species	No impact	
Waste Management	No impact	
Water Resources	No impact	
Wetlands	No impact	

Subject: Summary of Scenario Environmental Impacts (Continued)

SCENARIO # MED-0028R

IMPACTS OF COSTS

Env Resource Area	Gaining Installations Inst Name: Ft Detrick, Walter Reed Medical Center – Forest Glen, Fort Sam Houston, Aberdeen Proving Grounds	Losing Installation Inst Name: Army Leased Space – Bailey’s Crossroads, Fort Belvoir
Environmental Restoration*	None	None
Waste Management	None	None

<p>Environmental Compliance</p>	<p><u>Walter Reed Medical Center:</u> -Realignment NEPA at gaining base, <1K pers -\$100K (EA)</p> <p><u>Fort Detrick:</u> -Air Conformity Analysis - \$25K-\$75K -New Source Review Analysis and Permitting - \$100K-\$500K -Realignment NEPA at gaining base <1K pers -\$100K</p> <p><u>Fort Sam Houston</u> -Air Conformity Analysis -\$25K-\$75K - New Source Review Analysis and Permitting - \$100K - \$500K -Develop Programmatic Agreement -\$10K -Tribal gov't-to-gov't consultation -\$500-\$2K per meeting -Realignment NEPA at gaining base, <1K pers -\$100K (EA) -Endangered Species Management - \$20K - \$2M -Install Best Management Practices to protect impaired waterways and reduce non-point source runoff from training areas and ranges -\$100K-\$3M</p> <p><u>Aberdeen Proving Grounds</u> -Air Conformity Analysis - \$25K-\$75K -New Source Review Analysis and -Permitting - \$100K-\$500K -Archeological/tribal resources inventory - \$25-\$100 per acre. - Historical building/structure inventory - \$500 - \$1,500 per structure -Evaluation to determine if arch/tribal site is significant - \$15K - \$40K per site - Evaluation to determine if historic buildings/structures are significant. -Conduct Tribal government to government consultation \$500 to \$2,000 per meeting -Develop Programmatic Agreement - \$10,000 -Endangered Species Management (includes monitoring) \$20K-\$2M -Install Best Management Practices to reduce non-point source runoff from training areas and ranges and protect impaired waterways -\$100K-\$3M -Realignment NEPA at gaining base, <1K pers -\$100K (EA)</p>	<p>None</p>
--	---	-------------

<p>COBRA Costs:</p>	<p><u>Walter Reed Medical Center – Forest Glenn:</u> -Re-alignment NEPA at gaining base - \$100K</p> <p><u>Ft Detrick:</u> -Air Conformity Analysis -\$50K -New Source Review - \$100K -Re-alignment NEPA at gaining base - \$100K</p> <p><u>Fort Sam Houston:</u> -Air Conformity Analysis -\$50K -New Source Review - \$100K -Realignment NEPA at gaining base -\$100K (EA)</p> <p><u>Aberdeen:</u> Air Conformity Analysis - \$50K New Source Review - \$100K NEPA (EA) \$100K</p>	<p>None</p>
----------------------------	---	-------------