

MICHIGAN

Reserve Component	
Gains	Losses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Build 1 Armed Forces Reserve Center on Ft Custer Reserve Training Center 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close 1 Army Reserve Center • Close 1 Army Reserve Area Maintenance Support Activity
Detroit Arsenal	
Gains	Losses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TACOM Integrated materiel management from Rock Island Arsenal • Joint robotics program development and acquisition activities from Redstone Arsenal • Ground Forces initiative Development & Acquisition activities from USMC Direct Reporting Program Manager, Woodbridge • Command and selected staff move from United States Army Garrison Michigan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supply functions and consumables items management from the Inventory Control Point to Columbus • Sea Vehicle Development and Acquisition to Naval Surface Warfare Center, Bethesda and Program Management and Direction of Sea Vehicle Development and Acquisition to Naval Sea Systems Command, Washington Navy Yard
US Army Garrison (Selfridge)	
Gains	Losses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None, close US Army Garrison Michigan (Selfridge) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enclave the bridging and water purification laboratories

Army Net Personnel Impacts			Active Army MILCON (\$M)	Army Economic Impact (\$M)
Military	Civilian	Student	Cost Estimate	Total State Salary Change
-126	473	0	\$5.6	\$17.3

- The Army intends to transform its active and reserve facilities in the state to gain a more efficient and effective organization to support Army requirements now and into the future by:
 - Transforming Detroit Arsenal into a multi-functional installation that serves as the home to a Life Cycle Management Command. Consolidating functions at Detroit reflect the Army's determination to transform its Materiel and Logistics base to keep pace with transformation of the combat forces.
 - Creating an installation portfolio that can support and sustain its new force structure, and missions as the Army transforms to an expeditionary force.
 - Creating a multi-functional reserve facility that will enhance unit readiness, increase training opportunities, and generate operational efficiencies.

Transform Reserve Component Facilities in the State of Michigan

BRAC 2005 recommendations transform Reserve Component facilities in the State of Michigan into multi-functional installations that will enhance unit readiness, increase training opportunities, and generate operational efficiencies. These transformed facilities will provide the capability to conduct Soldier Readiness Processing and Home Station Mobilization, reduce the number of substandard / undersized Reserve Component facilities, enhance Anti-Terror / Force-Protection, promote effective recruiting and retention, and enhance the Homeland Security and Homeland Defense capabilities of the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard.

AFRC Fort Custer, Michigan (New Facility)

Close the US Army Reserve Center Stanford C. Parisian in Lansing, Michigan, close the Army Reserve Area Maintenance Support Activity #135 in Battle Creek, Michigan, and re-locate units to a new Armed Forces Reserve Center on Fort Custer Reserve Training Center, Michigan.

Why: The implementation of this recommendation will enhance military value, improve homeland defense capability, greatly improve training and deployment capability, create significant efficiencies and cost savings, and is consistent with the Army's force structure plans and Army transformational objectives.

This recommendation considered feasible locations within the demographic and geographic areas of the closing facilities and affected units. The site selected was determined as the best location because it optimizes the Reserve Components ability to recruit and retain Reserve Component soldiers and to train and mobilize units impacted by this recommendation.

This recommendation provides the opportunity for other Local, State, or Federal organizations to partner with the Reserve Components to enhance Homeland Security and Homeland Defense at a reduced cost to those agencies.

Quantitative Results

Number of USAR Centers Closed for that state	2	Number of opportunities to close ARNG Armories for that state	0
Approximate Closing Square Footage	29,090	Approximate New Military Construction Square Footage	34,497

Estimated Implementation Timeline:

Design new AFRCs and acquire land	FY06	Construct new AFRCs	FY07
Relocate units to new AFRCs	FY08	Shut down closing facilities	FY08

Internal Communications:

- The Army knows that transforming Reserve Component facilities is the key to enable Reserve forces to better meet current and future operational requirements. BRAC helps us get there.
- Closing these Reserve Component facilities is offset by the construction of a modern Armed Forces Reserve Center that will be the right size and design to support our unit and other local Guard and Reserve units that will be stationed there.
- Transforming Reserve Component facilities in the State of Michigan will improve training, readiness and quality of life for more than 1203 Reserve Component soldiers, full-time unit support personnel, and their families.

External Communications: (Civilian community)

- The Secretary of Defense's BRAC 2005 recommendations demonstrate that Reserve Component facilities are valuable installations to the Army and DoD.
- The Department of the Army has more than 4000 Reserve Component facilities across the United States. Because of the sheer numbers of these facilities, the process the Army had developed for arriving at a quantitative military value score for its 97 major installations (including leases) was not practical for its Reserve Component facilities. Additionally, if measured by the active process, which valued training lands and ranges among other things, the Reserve Component facilities would not have compared well against the active installations. For these reasons, it was the military judgment of the Department of the Army that it needed to craft a unique approach for ascertaining the overall military value of Reserve Component facilities.
- A team of functional experts from Headquarters, Department of the Army, the Office of the State Adjutant General, and the Army Reserve Regional Readiness Command conducted a military value assessment of state-owned and Army Reserve sub-threshold facilities. These facilities were assessed, specifically against DoD Criteria one through four, in their ability to support joint stationing options that enhance Army and DoD transformation.
- The Department of the Army decided to consolidate multiple Army Reserve Centers and, where allowed, Army National Guard Armories into modern Armed Forces Reserve Centers because of their potential to enhance Reserve Component operations, training, mobilization and power projection capabilities, and their ability to sustain recruiting and retention.
- The sites for the new AFRCs are also based on their ability to consolidate functions wherever appropriate, to include schools, personnel, logistics, and other management functions.

- New AFRC sites were also selected based on their ability to relocate Reserve Component units and activities from leased and encroached properties that do not meet anti-terrorism and force-protection requirements onto established military facilities, and their ability to ensure the future readiness of Army forces while reducing the long-term costs of sustaining the base structure.
- It is a part of the plan to transform our Armed Services.
- We expect the demands on the community and the benefits to the community from the closure of these Reserve Component properties and the construction of a new Armed Forces Reserve Center will be minimal.

Approving BRAC Recommendations - Statutory Steps:

- 08 Sept 05 BRAC Commission recommendations due to President
- 23 Sept 05 President approves/disapproves Commission recommendations
- 20 Oct 05 Commission resubmits recommendations (if initially rejected by President)
- 07 Nov 05 President submits final recommendations to Congress. Once submitted, the plan becomes final within 45 legislative days, unless Congress passes a joint resolution to block the entire package.

According to BRAC law, this action must be initiated within two years and completed within six years from the date the President transmits the report to Congress.

Detroit Arsenal

BRAC 05 recommendations transforms Detroit Arsenal into a more specific and complete Life Cycle Management Command by enhancing its already multi-functional and joint missions associated with both ground combat and tactical wheeled vehicle systems by consolidating like functions from other installations into a single source. This results in multiple consolidations that directly impact all aspects of weapon system management and cost reductions in acquisition and personnel.

Incoming Activities

What: TACOM Integrated materiel management, user, and related support functions from Rock Island Arsenal, Illinois, to Detroit Arsenal.

Why: Achieves economies and efficiencies that enhance the effectiveness of logistics support to forces as they transition to more joint and expeditionary operations.

What: Joint robotics program development and acquisition activities from Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, and consolidates it with the Program Executive Office Ground Combat Systems, Program Executive Office Combat Support and Combat Service Support and Tank Automotive Research Development Engineering Center.

Why: Consolidates Department of Defense expertise in Ground Vehicle D&A activities at Detroit Arsenal. It promotes jointness, enables technical synergy, and positions the Department of Defense to exploit a center-of-mass of scientific, technical and acquisition expertise with the personnel involved in ground vehicle Research, Development and Acquisition that currently resides at Detroit Arsenal.

What: Ground Forces initiative Development & Acquisition activities from USMC Direct Reporting Program Manager Advanced Amphibious Assault (DRPM AAA) in Woodbridge, Virginia, to Detroit Arsenal, Warren, Michigan.

Why: Consolidates Department of Defense expertise in Ground Vehicle D&A activities at Detroit Arsenal. It promotes jointness, enables technical synergy, and positions the Department of Defense to exploit a center-of-mass of scientific, technical and acquisition expertise with the personnel involved in ground vehicle Research, Development and Acquisition that currently resides at Detroit Arsenal.

What: Garrison and Command and selected staff move from United States Army Garrison Michigan at Selfridge to Detroit Arsenal.

Why: Creates operating efficiencies by consolidating these Headquarters at single locations.

Departing Activities

What: Relocates supply functions for tires from the US Tank-automotive and Armaments Command Inventory Control Point to the Inventory Control Point at defense Supply Center Columbus, Ohio.

Why: Achieves economies and efficiencies that enhance the effectiveness of logistics support to forces as they transition to more joint and expeditionary operations.

What: Inventory Control Point functions for Consumable Items Management to Defense Supply Center Columbus, OH, and reestablish them as Defense Logistics Agency Inventory Control Point functions, and by disestablishing the procurement management functions for Depot Level Repairables and designating them as Defense Supply Center Columbus, OH, Inventory Control Point functions.

Why: Achieves economies and efficiencies that enhance the effectiveness of logistics support to forces as they transition to more joint and expeditionary operations.

What: Relocates Sea Vehicle Development and Acquisition to Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division, Bethesda, MD, and Program Management and Direction of Sea Vehicle Development and Acquisition to Naval Sea Systems Command, Washington Navy Yard, DC.

Why: Consolidates program management of Sea Vehicle Development and Acquisition (D&A) at two principal sites, and enhances synergy by consolidating Sea Vehicle functions.

Quantitative Results

Net Personnel Impacts ¹			MILCON Cost Estimate
Military	Civilian	Student	
0	+647	0	\$5.6M

Implementation Timeline:

According to BRAC law, these actions must be initiated within two years and completed within six years from the date the President transmits the report to Congress.

Internal Communications: (Detroit Arsenal Work Force)

- The transformation of Detroit Arsenal into a multi-functional installation that serves as the home to a Life Cycle Management Command signifies its importance to DoD and the Army.
- The consolidation of functions at Detroit reflects the Army’s determination to transform its Materiel and Logistics base to keep pace with transformation of the combat forces.

External Communications: (Civilian community)

- The transformation of Detroit Arsenal into a multi-functional installation that serves as the home to a Life Cycle Management Command signifies its importance to DoD and the Army.

¹ Based on FY03 ASIP data. Does not reflect any personnel changes resulting from standard programming and Command Plan actions since FY03.

- The consolidation of functions at Detroit reflects the Army's determination to transform its Materiel and Logistics base to keep pace with transformation of the combat forces.

Approving BRAC Recommendations - Statutory Steps:

- 16 May 05 SECDEF forwards Recommendations to BRAC Commission
- 08 Sept 05 BRAC Commission recommendations due to President
- 23 Sept 05 President approves/disapproves Commission recommendations
- 20 Oct 05 Commission resubmits recommendations (if initially rejected by President)
- 07 Nov 05 President submits final recommendations to Congress. Once submitted, the plan becomes final within 45 legislative days, unless Congress passes a joint resolution to block the entire package.

BRAC Recommendations impacting Detroit Arsenal

- Close U.S. Army Garrison Michigan (Selfridge)
- Inventory Control Points to DLA
- Privatize Tires, Petroleum, Oils and Lubricants (POL), and Gases
- Joint Center for Land Vehicle RDAT&E
- Realign Detroit Arsenal

U.S. Army Garrison Michigan (Selfridge)

BRAC 05 recommendations close U.S. Army Garrison Michigan (USAG-Michigan) at Selfridge. A Bridging Lab and Water Purification Lab located on Selfridge will be retained and enclaved. This is a result of recommendations to consolidate functions at multifunctional installations to gain synergies in the technical and industrial fields.

Departing Activities

What: Garrison and selected staff to Detroit Arsenal.

Why: Closing USAG-Michigan at Selfridge avoids the cost of continued operation and maintenance of other unnecessary support facilities. Sufficient housing is available in the local community.

Other

- The Army will retain an enclave to support the Dynamic Structural Load Simulator (Bridging) Laboratory and Water Purification Laboratory.
- Various DoD, Reserve, and other tenant activities will move to a place to be determined by their higher headquarters.

Quantitative Results

Net Personnel Impacts ¹			MILCON Cost Estimate
Military	Civilian	Student	
-126	-174	0	0

Implementation Timeline:

According to BRAC law, this action must be initiated within two years and completed within six years from the date the President transmits the report to Congress.

Internal Communications: (Selfridge Work Force)

- Selfridge has a long and storied history, and has made significant contributions to supporting the Army's missions; however the Army must now look to future requirements and develop an installation portfolio that can support and sustain its new force structure, and missions as the Army transforms to an expeditionary force.
- Each unit and activity transferred from Selfridge has been placed to enhance its operational or support capability through consolidations or co-locations.

¹ Based on FY03 ASIP data. Does not reflect any personnel changes resulting from standard programming and Command Plan actions since FY03.

- The result of these hard decisions is a basing configuration that better supports our transforming Army and saves money.

External Communications: (Civilian community)

- Selfridge has a long and storied history, and has made significant contributions to supporting the Army’s missions; however the Army must now look to future requirements and develop an installation portfolio that can support and sustain its new force structure, and missions as the Army transforms to an expeditionary force.
- The indirect economic impact of this closure is estimated at a maximum potential reduction of .04 percent of economic area employment.
- The Army is committed to working with local communities as Selfridge closes to smooth the transition process.
- Selfridge Army Community has played a long and storied role in the history of the US Army and it was a difficult decision to close it.
- The transformation objectives of the US Army seek to retain installations that are capable of accepting multiple missions.

Approving BRAC Recommendations - Statutory Steps:

- | | |
|------------|--|
| 16 May 05 | SECDEF forwards Recommendations to BRAC Commission |
| 08 Sept 05 | BRAC Commission recommendations due to President |
| 23 Sept 05 | President approves/disapproves Commission recommendations |
| 20 Oct 05 | Commission resubmits recommendations (if initially rejected by President) |
| 07 Nov 05 | President submits final recommendations to Congress. Once submitted, the plan becomes final within 45 legislative days, unless Congress passes a joint resolution to block the entire package. |

BRAC Recommendations impacting Selfridge

- Close U.S. Army Garrison Michigan (Selfridge)