



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20350-1000

DCN 8616

25 Feb 05

MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR, OSD BRAC

SUBJECT: DEPARTMENT OF NAVY REVIEW OF CANDIDATE
RECOMMENDATIONS

The Department of the Navy (DON) believes that all candidate recommendations being presented to the Infrastructure Steering Group (ISG) for information and/or "approval" should be fully reviewed prior to being presented to the Infrastructure Executive Council (IEC). It is also understood that we are often limited by time to fully address each and every issue in open forum at the ISG. In an effort to ensure ISG meetings and discussions are complete and succinct, and all issues are fully reviewed for each candidate recommendation, we will provide written comments on the candidate recommendations being presented to each ISG. Comments for the candidate recommendations for the 25 February 2005 ISG are below.

DON objects to the following Candidate Recommendations going forward to the IEC:

- HSA-0058 Requires a contingent favorable agreement from the State. Previous JAST candidate recommendations with similar terms were rewritten. Sets a precedent to allow "offers" to be used in support of final recommendations.
- IND-0127A Eliminates only heavy equipment ground maintenance depot west of the Mississippi – logistics concerns to support West coast requirements. Analysis measured workload based on peacetime tempo and 1.5 shifts; does not measure what we believe to be the "requirement" as substantiated by recent throughput.

DON has concerns about the analysis performed on the following Candidate Recommendations. We recommend that the Red Team be asked to perform a detailed review of these recommendations.:

- IND-0056 Accounting for personnel and their movement, as well as nuclear testing/clean-up issues need to be furthered reviewed.
- IND-0127B Use of 1.5 shifts as an analytical construct may overall begin to overtax our industrial facilities, particularly for ground equipment.
- IND-0083A Use of 1.5 shifts as an analytical construct may overall begin to overtax our industrial facilities, particularly for ground equipment.

**SUBJECT: DEPARTMENT OF NAVY REVIEW OF CANDIDATE
RECOMMENDATIONS**

- IND-0083B** A piece of the candidate recommendation currently moves 6K DLH of Tactical Missile maintenance (maintenance on missile containers/canisters/accessories), that is best left at the Weapons Station since it is integral to their storage function.
- MED-0002** Movement and costing of military medical personnel needs to be consistently analyzed.

DON has concerns regarding whether the investment projected by the following Candidate Recommendation is appropriate in the BRAC context. We recommend that this recommendation receive special attention during the integration process.

IND-0114 Realign Watervliet Arsenal

Consistent with the discussion at the 23 February IEC meeting, it is our expectation that all issues identified in the above comments will either be resolved by the ISG or presented to the IEC as DON concerns.

Finally, we plan to provide comments on all candidate recommendations presented at previous ISG meetings early next week.



Anne Rathmell Davis
Special Assistant to the Secretary of the Navy
For Base Realignment and Closure