



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20350-1000
DCN:5416

MN-0586
IAT/JAN
5 May 2005

MEMORANDUM

Subj: MINUTES OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP (IEG)
MEETING OF 14 APRIL 2005

Encl: (1) 14 April 2005 IEG Meeting Agenda
(2) Recording Secretary's Report of IEG Deliberations on
14 April 2005

1. The fifty-ninth meeting of the Department of the Navy (DON) Infrastructure Evaluation Group (IEG) was convened at 1003 on 14 April 2005 in room 4D584 at the Pentagon. The following members of the IEG were present: Ms. Anne R. Davis, Special Assistant to the Secretary of the Navy for all matters associated with BRAC 2005 (Special Assistant for BRAC), Co-Chair; Gen William L. Nyland, USMC, Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps (ACMC), Co-Chair; Ms. Ariane Whittemore, Assistant Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Fleet Readiness and Logistics (N4B), serving as alternate for VADM Justin D. McCarthy, USN, Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Fleet Readiness and Logistics (N4), Member; Mr. Thomas R. Crabtree, Director Fleet Training (N7A), U.S. Fleet Forces Command, serving as alternate for VADM Kevin J. Cosgriff, USN, Deputy and Chief of Staff, U.S. Fleet Forces Command, Member; LtGen Richard L. Kelly, USMC, Deputy Commandant for Installations and Logistics (I&L), Member; LtGen Michael A. Hough, USMC, Deputy Commandant for Aviation (AVN), Member; Mr. Michael F. Jaggard, Chief of Staff, Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition (ASN(RD&A)), serving as alternate for Dr. Michael F. McGrath, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Research Development Test & Evaluation (DASN(RDT&E)), Member; Mr. Robert T. Cali, Assistant General Counsel, Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Manpower & Reserve Affairs (M&RA), Member; Mr. Ronnie J. Booth, Navy Audit Service (NAVAUDSVC), Representative; Mr. Thomas N. Ledvina, Navy Office of General Counsel (OGC), Representative; Mr. David W. LaCroix, Senior Counsel, Infrastructure Strategy and Analysis; LCDR Vincent J. Moore, JAGC, USNR, Recorder; and, Capt James A. Noel, USMC, Recorder. ADM Robert F. Willard, USN, Vice Chief of Naval Operations (VCNO), Co-Chair, was absent.

2. The following members or representatives of the Functional

Subj: MINUTES OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP (IEG)
MEETING OF 14 APRIL 2005

Advisory Board (FAB) were present: VADM Gerald L. Hoewing, USN, Chief of Naval Personnel and Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Manpower and Personnel; VADM Donald C. Arthur, Jr., MC, USN, Director of Naval Medicine/Surgeon General of the Navy, N093/Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery; RADM Jay Cohen, USN, Chief of Naval Research; RADM(sel) Alan S. Thompson, SC, USN, Director, Supply, Ordnance and Logistics Operations Division, N41, OPNAV; Ms. Susan C. Kinney, Deputy Director, Logistics Plans, Policies and Strategic Mobility Division, Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps; Mr. George Ryan, OPNAV 091; RDML Mark Hugel, USN, Deputy Director, Fleet Readiness Division, N43B, OPNAV; Col Jeffrey Bearor, USMC; and, Mr. Thomas Grewe.

3. The following members of the IAT were also present: Mr. Dennis Biddick, Chief of Staff; CAPT Jason A. Leaver, USN; Col Joseph R. Kennedy, USMCR; CAPT Gene A. Summerlin, USN; CAPT Christopher T. Nichols, USN; CAPT Eric Myhre, SC, USN; CAPT Matthew A. Beebe, CEC, USN; CAPT Jan G. Rivenburg, USN; Mr. Robert G. Graham; LtCol Mark S. Murphy, USMC; CDR Judith D. Bellas, NC, USN; and, LCDR Paul V. Neuzil, USN. All attendees were provided enclosure (1). Ms. Davis presented the minutes from the 31 March 2005 IEG meeting for review and they were approved.

4. Ms. Davis noted that there are only 29 days until 13 May 2005, the date proposed for the publication of the BRAC 2005 recommendations. She informed the IEG that the Infrastructure Steering Group (ISG) Chair issued memoranda on 11 April 2005 requesting that final candidate recommendation packages be forwarded to OSD by 18 April 2005, and that the Service and JCSG draft final coordination reports be forwarded to OSD by 20 April 2005. Ms. Davis reviewed the proposed hearing schedule for the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (Commission) and noted that this schedule will be presented to the ISG at its 15 April 2005 meeting and the Infrastructure Executive Council (IEC) at its 18 April 2005 meeting. She informed the IEG that the Commission will be briefed on the Force Structure Plan, SECDEF BRAC guidance and the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) on 4 May 2005. She noted that SECDEF and DOD officials will testify regarding BRAC 2005 methodology and procedures at the initial Commission hearing on 16 May 2005. Ms. Davis noted that the Army and Navy will present their respective candidate recommendations and methodology on 17 May 2005, the Air Force on 18 May 2005, and the JCSGs on 19 May 2005. Ms. Davis informed the IEG that finalization of the BRAC report publication plan is being coordinated with DON and DOD Public Affairs and Legislative Affairs Offices. Lastly, she reviewed the schedule

Subj: MINUTES OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP (IEG)
MEETING OF 14 APRIL 2005

for briefing DON leadership and major claimants on the DON BRAC
2005 recommendations.

5. The IEG moved into deliberative session at 1015. See
enclosure (2). The meeting adjourned at 1135.



Anne Rathmell Davis
Co-Chair, IEG

TAB 1



Infrastructure Evaluation Group

14 April 2005
1000-1200
Pentagon, Room 4D447

Meeting called by: Chairs Recorder: Capt Noel

----- Agenda Topics -----

- Review and approve minutes of IEG Meeting of Ms. Davis
31 March

Deliberative Session :

- SECDEF Brief Ms. Davis
- IEC Update
- New CRs/Fenceline Closures
- COBRA Updates
- Fenceline Integration
- DON Final Review
- DON Candidate Recommendation Recap
- JCSG Candidate Recommendations Recap
- IEG/FAB Open Discussion

Administrative:

- Next meeting 21 Apr 05, 1000-1200, 4D447

Other Information

Draft minutes of 31 Mar 05 IEG meeting provided [To IEG members only]
Report of 31 Mar 05 IEG deliberative session provided [To IEG members only]
Other Read Aheads [To all attendees]

TAB 2



INFRASTRUCTURE ANALYSIS TEAM

ODASN (IS&A), 2221 South Clark Street, Suite 900, Arlington, VA 22202

(703)-602-6500

RP-0587
IAT/VJM
2 May 2005

MEMORANDUM FOR THE INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP (IEG)

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 14 APRIL 2005

Encl: (1) DON Analysis Group Brief to IEG of 14 April 2005

1. The forty-third deliberative session of the Department of the Navy (DON) Infrastructure Evaluation Group (IEG) convened at 1015 on 14 April 2005 in room 4D584 at the Pentagon. The following members of the IEG were present: Ms. Anne R. Davis, Co-Chair; Gen William L. Nyland, USMC, Co-Chair; Ms. Ariane Whittemore, alternate for VADM Justin D. McCarthy, USN, Member; Mr. Thomas R. Crabtree, alternate for VADM Kevin J. Cosgriff, USN, Member; LtGen Richard L. Kelly, USMC, Member; LtGen Michael A. Hough, Member; Mr. Michael F. Jaggard, alternate for Dr. Michael F. McGrath, Member; Mr. Robert T. Cali, Member; Mr. Ronnie J. Booth, Navy Audit Service, Representative; and, Mr. Thomas N. Ledvina, Navy Office of General Counsel (OGC), Representative. The following members or representatives of the Functional Advisory Board (FAB) were present: VADM Gerald L. Hoewing, USN; VADM Donald C. Arthur, Jr., MC, USN; RADM Jay Cohen, USN; RADM(sel) Alan S. Thompson, SC, USN; Ms. Susan C. Kinney; Mr. George Ryan; RDML Mark Hugel, USN; Col Jeffrey Bearor, USMC; and, Mr. Thomas Grewe. The following members of the IAT were also present: Mr. Dennis Biddick, Chief of Staff; Mr. David W. LaCroix, Senior Counsel; CAPT Jason A. Leaver, USN; Col Joseph R. Kennedy, USMCR; CAPT Gene A. Summerlin, USN; CAPT Christopher T. Nichols, USN; CAPT Eric Myhre, SC, USN; CAPT Matthew A. Beebe, CEC, USN; CAPT Jan G. Rivenburg, USN; Mr. Robert G. Graham; LtCol Mark S. Murphy, USMC; CDR Judith D. Bellas, NC, USN; LCDR Paul V. Neuzil, USN; LCDR Vincent J. Moore, JAGC, USNR; and, Capt James A. Noel, USMC. All attendees were provided enclosure (1).

2. Ms. Davis discussed summary COBRA data, Criterion 6, 7, and 8 analyses, and Candidate Recommendation Risk Assessment (CRRA) for fenceline closure scenarios, beginning with DON-0161B (close NSA Corona, CA). Ms. Davis advised the IEG that COBRA data for this scenario has been substantially revised from that presented to the DAG due to an adjustment in the SRM rate, leading to a

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 14 APRIL 2005

significant reduction in steady-state savings and 20-year NPV savings. The scenario now shows a Payback in 15 years vice six years. See slide 6 of enclosure (1). She further advised the IEG that the IAT is continuing to refine data for this scenario and that based on comparison with data from similar scenarios, it is thought likely that additional billet eliminations can be found, leading to increased savings.

3. Ms. Davis displayed the CRRA for DON-0161B and informed the IEG that the updated COBRA data has resulted in the score for Executability Risk being changed from the score shown to the DAG at its last deliberative session. The score has increased to "6", because the investment that would now be required for this scenario would not be recoverable in less than four years, and because the ratio of 20-year NPV savings to initial cost is now less than three to one. In addition, there would be some job losses caused in the community, and environmental mitigation at the receiving site would be required but possible. Warfighting/readiness risk was scored as "1". The reliance of this scenario on TECH-0018D and TECH-0054 as enabling scenarios is noted in the "Issues" block. See slide 7 of enclosure (1). Ms. Davis stated that Criteria 6, 7, and 8 analyses for DON-0161B shows no significant economic, community, or environmental impacts resulting from this scenario. The IEG determined that this scenario is viable based on the likelihood that additional analysis will result in increased savings, and because of synergies resulting from closure of the fenceline and co-location of NSA Corona activities with NAS Point Mugu technical functions and Fleet operational units, and approved preparation of a final CR package.

4. Ms. Davis next discussed summary COBRA data, Criterion 6, 7, and 8 analyses, and CRRA for DON-0070C (close Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) Monterey, CA, and enclave Fleet Numeric Meteorology and Oceanography Center (FNMOC) and Naval Research Laboratory Detachment (NRL Det) at NPS Annex). She advised the IEG that this scenario and its enabler, E&T-0003R, now provide for full privatization of the graduate education function. The movement of personnel of an Army tenant, the Training and Doctrine Command Analysis Center (TRAC), is covered under the DON scenario, which shows an immediate Payback and 20-year NPV savings of \$1.12 billion with one-time costs of \$69.6 million. See slide 9 of enclosure (1). Ms. Davis displayed the CRRA for this scenario and informed the IEG that the DAG has scored Executability Risk as "2" because of the relatively high job loss at the losing community, and Warfighting/Readiness Risk as "2" because of reduced flexibility inherent in the privatization

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 14 APRIL 2005

process. She stated that the unknown executability of the DON vision of a partnership with a major university is noted in the "Issues" block. See slide 10 of enclosure (1). She advised the IEG that Criterion 6 analysis shows job loss of greater than two percent at the losing economic region, and that Criterion 7 and 8 analyses show no significant community or environmental impacts. The IEG approved preparation of a final candidate recommendation (CR) package for DON-0070C.

5. Ms. Davis then advised the IEG that OSD has returned E&T-0004R, a CR for relocation of Navy Supply Corps School (NSCS), Athens, GA, to Newport, RI, and closure of the NSCS fenceline, to DON. She reminded the IEG that it previously reviewed summary COBRA data, Criteria 6, 7, and 8 analyses, and the CRRA for DON-0126 (close NSCS fenceline and relocate NSCS and Center for Service Support to NAVSTRA Newport) during its deliberative session on 17 March 2005. She stated that COBRA data has been adjusted from that previously presented because the annual student population at NAVSTA Newport is significantly smaller than had been reflected in past data runs, affecting BOS calculations, and now shows one-time costs of \$23.6 million leading to 20-year NPV savings of \$40.9 million and a five-year Payback. See slide 12 of enclosure (1). The IEG determined that the changes to the COBRA data are not significant enough to affect the viability of this scenario, particularly given the synergies that will be created by co-location with other training activities at NAVSTA Newport, and approved preparation of a CR package for DON-0126R.

6. Ms. Davis next discussed summary COBRA data, Criterion 6, 7, and 8 analyses, and CRRA for DON-0172 (close the Inland area of Naval Weapons Station (NAVWPNSTA) Seal Beach, Concord Detachment, CA). She advised the IEG that the DAG had invited a representative from TRANSCOM's Surface Distribution and Deployment Command (SDDC) to participate in its deliberations and had been informed by their representative that DOD does not require the Inland area to maintain munitions throughput capacity at the Tidal area of NAVWPNSTA Det Concord, even in the event of a contingency requiring maximum usage of available assets. She reminded the IEG that the Inland area had been previously determined to be excess to DON needs and displayed summary COBRA data showing that DON-0172 has a one-year Payback and 20-year NPV savings of \$199.7 million after one-time costs of \$13.95 million. See slide 13 of enclosure (1). Ms. Davis displayed the CRRA for this scenario, noting that the DAG scored Executability Risk as "1" because environmental mitigation is required but possible, and Warfighting/Readiness Risk as "2"

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 14 APRIL 2005

because of the reduced flexibility caused by loss of the Inland site and its associated magazine field and rail sidings. She reminded the DAG that the City of Concord has requested closure, and this request had been noted in the "Issues" block of the CRRA. See slide 14 of enclosure (1). Ms. Davis stated the Criteria 6, 7, and 8 analyses show no significant economic, community, or environmental impacts resulting from this scenario. The IEG approved preparation of a CR package for DON-0172.

7. Ms. Davis used slide 16 of enclosure (1) to discuss the status of Joint Action Scenario Team (JAST) CRs for Reserve Centers, noting that COBRA analyses has been updated with revised static data in its newest version. She noted that the Army has withdrawn a number of JAST CRs after legal review by OSD, leaving four JAST scenarios (DON-0102, DON-0096, DON-0114 and DON-0120) that create new Armed Forces Reserve Centers (AFRC) that would now be used only by DON units. The updated COBRA results for these scenarios indicate significant one-time costs, along with Payback terms that range from 19 years to over 100 years. The IEG therefore decided to withdraw these four JAST CRs consistent with the recommendation of the DAG because they do not meet previously determined DON criteria for Reserve Center scenarios.

8. The IEG next reviewed updated COBRA results for the remaining JAST CRs (DON-0089A, DON-0113, DON-0115, DON-0118, DON-0129 and DON-0130) that involve DON participation. Ms. Davis noted that these six JAST CRs remain consistent with the DON approach (i.e., Payback achieved within 20 years for DOD and DON, and closure and replacement of DON Reserve Centers with average or below average military value). The IEG reviewed updated COBRA results that indicate total one-time costs of \$51.59 million, and 20-year NPV savings of \$38.96 million. The IEG noted that these six CRs affect nine DON Reserve Centers and address mission priorities identified by Commander, Naval Reserve Forces Command and Commander, Marine Forces Reserve. Accordingly, the IEG approved the DAG's recommendation to continue DON participation in these six JAST CRs.

9. Ms. Davis stated that the DAG, at its 11 April 2005 deliberative session, had reviewed two DON Reserve Center scenarios as alternates to withdrawn JAST DON CRs: DON-0013 (close NRC Cape Girardeau, MO) as an alternate to DON-0096, and DON-0017A (close NMCRC Reading, PA) as an alternate to DON-0120. See slide 18 of enclosure (1). The IEG reviewed updated COBRA results for these scenarios that showed one-time costs of \$62

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 14 APRIL 2005

thousand, an immediate Payback, and 20-year NPV savings of \$7.20 million for DON-0013, and one-time costs of \$9.1 million, a Payback in 12 years, and 20-year NPV savings of \$4.14 million for DON-0017A.

10. Ms. Davis reminded the IEG that it had reviewed Selection Criteria 6-8 analyses and a CRRA for DON-0013 at previous deliberative sessions. The IEG reviewed the analyses for DON-0017A and noted that there were no significant economic, community or environmental impacts. See slides 48-52 of enclosure (1). The IEG next reviewed the CRRA for DON-0017A that indicates medium executability risk and low warfighting/readiness risk. See slide 53 of enclosure (1). The IEG approved the DAG's recommendation to resubmit a CR package for DON-0013 and submit a CR package for DON-0017A.

11. The IEG next reviewed updated COBRA results for DON CRs resulting from changes included in the newest version of COBRA, continued data refinement, integration of JCSG CRs, and the internal DON fenceline review of every CR. See slides 20-26 and 28-38 of enclosure (1). The IEG determined that the updated COBRA results did not necessitate any modification to the DON CRs listed below:

- DON-0002 (Close NAVSTA Pascagoula, MS)
- DON-0032B (Close NAVSTA Ingleside, TX)
- DON-0068A (Close NAS Atlanta, GA)
- DON-0084A (Close NAS JRB Willow Grove, PA)
- DON-0138 (Close NAS Brunswick, ME)
- DON-0133 (Close Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, ME)
- DON-0085 (Realign NAS Pensacola, FL to consolidate Officer Training Command (OTC) Pensacola with OTC Newport at NAVSTA Newport)
- DON-0168A (Realign NAVSTA Newport to relocate NWDC to NAVSTA Norfolk, VA)
- DON-0009, DON-0010, DON-0011, DON-0012, DON-0015, DON-0016, DON-0018, DON-0019, DON-0020, DON-0021, DON-0022, DON-0023, DON-0024, DON-0025, DON-0043, DON-0047, DON-0048, DON-0049, DON-0050, DON-0052, DON-0053, DON-0054, DON-0055, DON-0056, DON-0057, (Reserve Center Closures)
- DON-0158A (Close NSA New Orleans, LA)
- DON-0157 (Close MCSA Kansas City, MO)
- DON-0062 (Close five Navy Recruiting Districts)
- DON-0041 (Consolidation of Regional Installation Management (IM))
- DON-0074A (Close NAVFAC Engineering Field Division South,

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 14 APRIL 2005

Charleston, SC)

DON-0075R (Close NAVFAC Northeast, Philadelphia, PA and
relocate Navy Crane Center, Philadelphia, PA)

DON-0078 (Close NAVRESREDCOM South, NAS JRB Ft Worth, TX)

DON-0156 (Consolidate REDCOM Northeast, Newport, RI and
Mid-Atlantic, Washington, DC with COMNAVREG Mid-Atlantic,
Norfolk, VA)

12. Ms. Davis noted that at its 11 April 2005 deliberative session, the DAG reviewed a modification to DONCR-0033 (DON-0033B, close SUBASE New London, CT). DON-0033B incorporates specific relocation sites for numerous SUBASE New London medical assets identified by the Medical JCSG and the consolidation of COMNAVREG Northeast IM previously included in DONCR-0041. The DAG conducted Selection Criteria 5-8 analyses for DON-0033B and recommended that the IEG modify DONCR-0033 to DONCR-0033B. The IEG noted that DON-0033B indicates increased one-time costs of \$679.6 million (vice \$653.25 million for DONCR-0033), a Payback in three years (vice two years for DONCR-0033), and 20-year NPV savings of \$1.58 billion (vice \$1.66 billion for DONCR-0033). The IEG approved the DAG's recommendation to prepare a candidate recommendation for DONCR-0033B. See slide 22 of enclosure (1). The IEG also reviewed updated COBRA results for scenario DON-0165A (close MCLB Barstow, CA), noting that the Marine Corps objects to closure of MCLB Barstow and that DON-0165A is not a DON CR. See slide 27 of enclosure (1).

13. Ms. Davis advised the IEG that DON-0075 and DON-0154 had been consolidated into DON-0075R, and further noted that DON-0074A and DON-0075R will probably also be consolidated into one CR (DON-0074R) because these CRs provide for consolidation of Naval Facility Engineering Command (NAVFAC) activities in alignment with corresponding IM regions. She also noted that it is likely DON-0078 and DON-0156 will also be consolidated into one CR (DON-0078R) because these CRs provide for REDCOM consolidation in alignment with IM regions. These revised CR packages will be included with the complete final set of DON CR packages to be forwarded to OSD.

14. Ms. Davis used slide 39 of enclosure (1) to discuss the status of the fenceline integration process. She informed the IEG that installations affected by multiple JCSG or Service CRs were reviewed to ensure reconciliation of available capacity, and appropriate allocation of BOS and medical personnel. She stated that appropriate steps were taken to coordinate integration with the JCSGs and other Services, and that all fenceline reviews are complete.

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 14 APRIL 2005

15. Ms. Davis used slide 40 of enclosure (1) to discuss the CR integration process. She informed the IEG that the Chairman of the ISG, via memorandum dated 11 April 2005, identified a list of consolidated CRs and directed the repackaging of fenceline closures by the responsible Service to include all actions affecting the fenceline, including relevant actions from JCSG functional CRs, and making each closure action the primary responsibility of the Service controlling the particular fenceline. Ms. Davis informed the IEG that the IAT is preparing new CR packages to comply with this guidance, and noted that the JCSGs will prepare revised CR packages that capture integrated/combined "like" functional realignments into single CR packages. She noted that approximately 300 CRs have been repackaged into 100 combined CR packages under this process.

16. The IEG next discussed remaining DON BRAC issues and approved the DAG's recommendation to delete the following fenceline scenarios from further consideration, primarily because proposed JCSG actions will not remove sufficient personnel and functions to justify closure: DON-0166 (close NSWC Crane, IN), DON-0167 (close NSA Philadelphia, PA) and DON-0169 (close NSWC Indian Head, MD). See slide 41 of enclosure (1). The IEG also approved the DAG's recommendation to delete DON-0170 (close NSWC Philadelphia, PA) because COBRA data indicates the scenario is not viable due to significant 20-year NPV costs and no Payback. In addition, the IEG approved the DAG's recommendation to delete two scenarios that provide for the realignment of Navy undergraduate pilot training (UPT): (DON-0171 (realign Navy UPT) and DON-0152 (close NAS Whiting Field, FL) because of the IEC's decision not to separate DON rotary and fixed wing UPT, which are currently conducted together at NAS Whiting Field. Ms. Davis noted that DON is recommending that no closure action be initiated for Naval Air Engineering Station Lakehurst, NJ, because the Technical JCSG has deactivated the CRs affecting this fenceline. She also advised the IEG that two Technical JCSG CRs (TECH-0018D and TECH-0042D) affecting NSWC Crane are pending IEC approval, but that it does not appear that sufficient workload is being relocated from NSWC Crane under all JCSG scenarios affecting that installation to allow for a fenceline closure.

17. The IEG next reviewed the summary COBRA data for the fifth group of DON CRs (CR5): DON-0161B, DON-0070C, DON-0126R, DON-0172 and DON-0017A. This group shows one-time costs of \$197.26 million, 20-year NPV savings of \$1.36 billion, and a cost to 20-year NPV savings ratio of one to eight. Ms. Davis informed the

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 14 APRIL 2005

IEG that the CR5 packages will be presented to DON leadership in the near future, and that when data for CR5 recommendations is included in the summary COBRA data for all DON BRAC actions, total one-time costs amount to \$2.21 billion and 20-year savings are \$9.35 billion, resulting in a cost to 20-year NPV savings ratio of one to four. She noted that data for DON-0165A (close MCLB Barstow) is not included in this calculation; DON continues to object to this scenario. See slide 42 of enclosure (1). She then provided the IEG with a cartographical display of the locations of approved DON CRs, see slide 43 of enclosure (1), and a cartographical overview of DON actions (including DON-unique CRs and JCSG CRs impacting DON), see slide 44 of enclosure (1).

18. The IEG next reviewed summary data for all current DOD CRs that indicates one-time costs of \$25.34 billion and 20-year NPV savings of \$51.87 billion. See slide 45 of enclosure (1). Ms. Davis noted that the DON data used in this table does not include data for the CRs included in the CR5 group, and that the data in this table will be further revised, in particular by removing the separate category for Industrial JCSG enabling scenarios and rolling that data into Service CRs.

19. The IEG received the following JCSG status updates:

a. Industrial. RDML Hugel informed the IEG that the JCSG is reviewing the effect of data refinement on its CRs but does not anticipate any modifications. He noted that the Maintenance subgroup is continuing to discuss revised COBRA data for the Barstow scenario.

b. Supply and Storage. The IEG noted that candidate recommendation S&S-0035R has been approved by the IEC in concept, and that S&S-0051 is still under consideration.

20. The deliberative session adjourned at 1135.



VINCENT J. MOORE
LCDR, JAGC, USNR
Recorder